Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				File No.	69205		
Property Address	17072 Cantara St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							

TABLE OF CONTENTS



USPAP Identification Addendum	1
Exterior-Only	2
Additional Comparables 4-6	8
Sales Comparison Commentary	9
Supplemental Addendum w/sig block	10
Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report	
Market Conditions Charts 1-3	12
Subject Photos	13
Subject Photos	14
Comparable Photos 1-3	
Comparable Photos 4-6	16
Exhibit: Tax Records - Page 1	17
Exhibit: Tax Records - Page 2	18
Exhibit: Tax Records - Page 3	19
Plat Map	20
Location Map	21
Aerial Map	22
Zoning Map	
UAD Definitions Addendum	24
License	27
E&O Policy Page	28

USPAP ADDENDUM

Loan # 49340

			File No. 69205
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	17072 Cantara St		
City		County Los A	Angeles State CA Zip Code 91406
	Van Nuys	Los A	Angeles State CA Zip Code 91406
Lender	Wedgewood Inc		
This report w	as prepared under the following	USPAP reporting option:	
Appraisa	I Report	This report was prepared in accordance with US	PAP Standards Rule 2-2(a)
Appraisa	i nepoit	This report was prepared in accordance with 05	FAF Statituatus nuit 2-2(a).
Restricte	d Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with US	PAP Standards Rule 2-2(h)
nestricte	u Appraisai Neport	This report was prepared in accordance with 00	FAF Statituarus Nuie 2-2(b).
Soo "Soon	o of Bonort" commentary	holow	
See Scop	e of Report" commentary	below.	
	Exposure Time		
My opinion of	a reasonable exposure time for the su	ubject property at the market value stated in this rep	ort is:
	*** Tho A	nnraiged Value is based on a resear	able Exposure Time of less than 30 days ***
	THE A	ppraised value is based on a reason	able Exposure Time of less than 30 days
Additional Ce	ertifications		
I certify that to	the best of my knowledge and belief	t.	
-	-		
☐ I have NO	T performed services, as an appraise	er or in any other capacity, regarding the property th	at is the subject of this report within the
_			2
urree-yea	r period immediately preceding acce	plance of this assignment.	
l			
I HAVE pe	erformed services, as an appraiser or	r in another capacity, regarding the property that is t	ne subject of this report within the three-year
period im	mediately preceding acceptance of the	his assignment. Those services are described in the	comments below.
		-	
Disclosure of	prior services is required by USPA	AP prior to acceptance of an appraisal assignment	ent, or upon discovery during an assignment, as well as in the appraiser's
certification.			
001111104110111			
The appraiser	certifies and agrees that this appr	aisal was prepared in accordance with the requ	irements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery & Enforcement
ACT (FIRREA)	of 1989, as amended (12 ILS C	3331 et seg.) and any applicable implementin	g regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.
7.01 (1.11.11.27)	, or 1000, ac amonada (12 0.0.0.	ooo i ot ooq.,, and any applicable implemental	3 regulations in oncot at the time the appraison signs the appraisal continuation.
This Certificat	tion supplements existing Certificat	tions (on pages 5-6) that are required to be in the	nis Appraisal Report. Nothing in this "Supplemental Certification" changes, deletes or
	existing Certifications.	, , ,	
IIIOUIIIES UIE C	skisting dertineations.		
Additional Co	omments		
Scope of	Work: The "Scope of Wo	rk" decision is specific to the state	ed Intended Use and was deemed appropriate for the
	•	•	•• •
specifical	ly named intended User(s). Use of this appraisal for a purp	pose other than the stated "Intended Use" requires that a
new appra	aisal assignment be com	pleted by the appraiser. Informati	on communicating the scope of work performed, may be
	=		
included t	inroughout this report, in	addition to the Scope of Work se	Juon.
Scope of	Panort: This annraisal is	reported under the "Appraisal Pe	port" option identified in USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a). The
			• • •
content of	f the report is consistent	with the Intended Use of this appr	aisal and is believed to adequately address the needs of the
narties ide	entified as Intended User	(s) In addition to communicating	the results of this assignment, the Report includes
1 -			
statement	s indicating the essentia	il Assignment Elements used to id	entify the appraisal problem being solved, summarizes the
Scope of	Work used to develop the	e appraisal, summarizes the inforr	nation analyzed, the appraisal methods & techniques
1			ions, and conclusions. In addition, the report includes a
signed ce	rtification and identifies	any assumptions & limiting condit	ions. Addenda & exhibits are also included in this report
and they	are considered critical to	understanding the appraisal reno	rt and identifying the real property being appraised.
1			
Readers r	nust have access to all p	ages of the report. Readers of this	s report (other than the Client & Intended Users) are advised
that it may	v be difficult to understa	nd parts of the report without spec	cialized UAD training. Readers are directed to the UAD
1	=		Table of the state
Definition	s Addendum included in	tnis appraisai report.	
	.1		
APPRAISER:	\sim . $\prime\prime$ \sim		SUPERVISORY APPRAISER: (only if required)
	D WHO		
		\	
Cianaturo:		7	Cionaturo:
Signature:			Signature:
Name: Briar	n Mathews		Name:
Date Signed:			Date Signed:
	05/02/2022		
State Certification #	⁴ : AR004130		State Certification #:
or State License #:			or State License #:
	-		
State: CA			State:
Expiration Date of C	ertification or License: 05/	/27/2022	Expiration Date of Certification or License:
Effective Date of Ap	· ·		Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of Subject Property:
E Date of Ap	praisal: <u>04/28/2022</u>		
			Did Not Exterior-only from Street Interior and Exterior

Loan # 49340 File # 69205

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

The purpose	of this summary appraisal repo	ort is to pro	ovide the lender/c	lient with an	accurate,	and adequat	ely supp	orted, opir	nion of th	e mark	et value	of the subj	ect property.
Property Address	17072 Cantara St				City	Van Nuys	;			State	CA	Zip Code 91	1406
Borrower Re	edwood Holdings LLC		Owner of	Public Record	Red	wood Hole	dings L	LC		County	Los A	ngeles	
Legal Description	Tract # 16774 Lot 14	40					-						
Assessor's Parce	2204-028-025				Tax Ye	ar 2021				R.E. Tax	es\$ 4	,022	
Neighborhood Na	City of Los Angele	es - Lake B	alboa area		Map Re	eference	N/A			Census	Tract 1	311.00	
Occupant	Owner Tenant Vac	ant	Special A	ssessments \$	0			PUI	D HOA\$	0		per year	per month
Property Rights A	Appraised Fee Simple	Leasehol	d Other (c	lescribe)									
Assignment Type	Purchase Transaction	Refina	ance Transaction	Other ((describe)	Servicino	a						
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		Addr	ess 2015	Manhatt	an Beach		Suite 10	0. Redoi	ndo B	each. C	A 90278	
Is the subject pro	operty currently offered for sale or has it b	een offered for sal	e in the twelve months				D., a.,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	5		Yes No	
Report data sour	ce(s) used, offering price(s), and date(s).		The data s	ource is Cl	RMLS.								
l did	did not analyze the contract for sale f	or the subject pure	chase transaction. Expl	ain the results of	the analysis of	the contract for	sale or wh	y the analysis	s was not				
performed.													
Contract Price \$	Date of Conti	act	Is the p	property seller the	owner of publ	ic record?		Yes	No E	ata Sour	ce(s)		
Is there any finan	icial assistance (loan charges, sale conce	ssions, gift or dov	npayment assistance,	etc.) to be paid b	y any party on	behalf of the bo	rrower?					Y	es No
If Yes, report the	total dollar amount and describe the item	s to be paid.										_	
Note: Race and	the racial composition of the neighbor	nood are not app	raisal factors.										
	Neighborhood Characteristics			One-L	Jnit Housing 1	Frends			One-I	Unit Hous	sina	Present L	and Use %
Location	Urban Suburban	Rural	Property Values	Increasing		Stable	Decl	ining	PRICE	J	AGE	One-Unit	
Built-Up		Under 25%	Demand/Supply	Shortage	' 	In Balance		Supply	\$ (000)		(yrs)	2-4 Unit	97 %
					otho				,	Low			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Growth Road Bo	Rapid Stable	Slow	Marketing Time	Under 3 n		3-6 mths		6 mths	475	Low	50	Multi-Family	
Neighborhood Bo	Ochorally a		oscoe Blvd to	the north,	Balboa B	ivd to the	east,		1,050	High	75	Commercial	3 %
	reet to the south, and Lou								810	Pred.	70	Other	%
Neighborhood De	The cabject		n the city of Lo		•								-
	are of similar age, style a							average	e. The ne	eighbo	rhood i	s convenie	nt to
	rces of employment, shop												
Market Condition	s (including support for the above conclu	sions)	Stron	ng demand	and a lim	nited supp	ly of re	sidentia	l properti	es in t	he area	has allowe	ed the
market to i	remain very strong over th	e prior 7 ye	ear period. Th	e global ou	tbreak C	OVID-19 i	n early	2020 fu	rther imp	acted	supply	and demai	nd. As
	conditions have improved	, supply-ch			es and vo								d *
	60 x 100		Area	6000 sf		Shap	^{pe} Re	ctangula	ar		View N;	Res;	
Specific Zoning C	1111			Description		ntial - Sing		nily Dwe	llings				
Zoning Complian		onforming (Grand		No Zor		Illegal (describe))						
Is the highest and	d best use of subject property as improve	d (or as proposed	per plans and specific	ations) the preser	it use?			\boxtimes	Yes	No	If No, descr	^{ibe} See	attached
	for Highest & Best Use c	ommentary											
Utilities	Public Other (describe)				describe)				ments - Type			Public	Private
Electricity							Stre	дэр	halt			$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$	
Gas							Alle	^y Non	е				
FEMA Special Flo				X	FEMA Ma	. 00	037C1	285F		FE	MA Map Da	ite 09/26	6/2008
	nd off-site improvements typical for the m			Yes		, describe							
Are there any adv	verse site conditions or external factors (e	asements, encroa	chments, environmenta	al conditions, land	uses, etc.)?				\boxtimes	Yes	No	If Yes, describe	
The subject	ct is near the Van Nuys Ai	rport; some	adverse impa	act on mark	etability of	due to airc	craft no	ise. Adv	erse nois	se infl	uence i	s typical	
throughou	t the neighborhood and th	ere is limite	ed adverse imp	oact on ma	rketability	<u>'.</u>							
	for Physical Characteristics of Property		Appraisal Files	MLS		ssment and Tax			Prior Insp	ection	F	roperty Owner	
Other (desc	- Itounotti ropoity D	ata				rce for Gross Liv	ing Area		Public Re	cords			
	General Description		General Description			ting/Cooling			Amenities			Car Storage	9
Units One	e One with Accessory Unit	Concrete	Slab Crawl	Space		HWBB		Fireplac	ce(s) #	0	None		
# of Stories	1	Full Baser	ment Fin	ished	Radiant					0	Drivew	ay # of C	
					naulalit			Woods	tove(s) #	U		.,	ars 2
Type Det	. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Partial Ba	sement Fi	nished	Other			Woods Patio/D	.,		Driveway Sı	.	ars 2 Asphalt
Type Det Existing	Att. S-Det./End Unit Proposed Under Const.	Partial Ba		nished		Gas			- ''	tio	Driveway Sı	ırface	Asphalt
			Stuce	nished	Other Fuel	Gas hir Conditioning		Patio/D	^{eck} Pa	tio		urface # of C	Asphalt ars 2
Existing	Proposed Under Const.	Exterior Walls	Stuce	nished co/Wood p. Shingle	Other Fuel	ir Conditioning		Patio/D Porch Pool	eck Pa Covered	tio	Garag	urface # of C	Asphalt ars 2
Existing Design (Style)	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952	Exterior Walls Roof Surface	Stuce Com nspouts None	nished co/Wood p. Shingle	Other Fuel Central A	ir Conditioning		Patio/D Porch Pool Fence	eck Pa Covered None	tio	Garagi Carpoi	urface # of C t # of C	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0
Existing Design (Style) Year Built	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood	nished co/Wood p. Shingle e d/Ave	Other Fuel Central A	ir Conditioning	/er	Patio/D Porch Pool Fence	Covered None Block None	tio	Garagi Carpoi Attach	urface # of C t # of C	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yra Appliances	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwas	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood sher Dispos	nished co/Wood p. Shingle e d/Ave	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Towave	ir Conditioning I Washer/Dry	/er [Patio/D Porch Pool Fence Other	Covered None Block None escribe)	tio	Garagi Carpoi Attach Built-ir	# of C # of C # of C	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yn Appliances Finished area abo	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains:	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms	Stuce Com Insports None Wood Sher Dispos	nished co/Wood p. Shingle d/Ave sal Mico	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Towave	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s)		Patio/D Porch Pool Fence Other 1,23	Covered None Block None escribe) Square F	tio	Garagi Carpoi Attach Built-ir	urface # of C t # of C	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yn Appliances Finished area abo	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood sher Dispos	nished co/Wood p. Shingle d/Ave sal Mico	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Towave	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s)		Patio/D Porch Pool Fence Other 1,23	Covered None Block None escribe) Square F	tio	Garagi Carpoi Attach Built-ir	# of C # of C # of C	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yn Appliances [Finished area abo Additional feature	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: ss (special energy efficient items, etc.)	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms	Stuce Com nspouts None Woor sher Dispos 3 Additional fea	nished co/Wood p. Shingle d/Ave tal Mic Bedrooms tures include	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Towave Central A Section 1.15 Control Con	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered		Patio/D Porch Pool Fence Other 1,23	Covered None Block None escribe) 5 Square F	tio	Garage Carpon Attach Built-ir	urface e # of C t # of C ed D rea Above Grade	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yr. Appliances Finished area abo Additional feature	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: ss (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s)	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood sher Dispos 3 Additional fea	nished co/Wood p. Shingle d/Ave lal Mici Bedrooms tures including incl	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Towave des a sm	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered	d patio	Patio/D Porch Pool Fence Other (d 1,23 and cer	Covered None Block None escribe) 5 Square F ntral HVA	eet of Gro	Garage Carpon Attach Built-ir	urface # of C t # of C ed \sqrt{D} rea Above Grade	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yr: Appliances Finished area abo Additional feature Describe the con interior ins	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: ss (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms including apparent	Stuce Com nspouts None Woo sher Dispos 3 Additional fea t needed repairs, deter I. Subject disp	nished co/Wood p. Shingle d/Ave sal Micr Bedrooms tures includioration, renovation	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Towave des a sm	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.).	d patio	Patio/D Porch Pool Fence Other Other (d 1,23 and cer	Covered None Block None escribe) 5 Square F httral HVA	eet of Gro	Garage Carpor Attach Built-ir oss Living A	rea Above Grade uest of the trepairs.	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yr. Appliances Finished area abo Additional features Describe the con interior ins Adverse pl	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: ss (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was hysical conditions were no	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms including apparent performed	Stuce Com nspouts None Woo sher Dispos 3 Additional fea t needed repairs, deter I. Subject disp se exterior and	nished co/Wood p. Shingle d/Ave sal Micr Bedrooms tures includioration, renovation lays obvious adverse e.	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Other des a sm ins, remodeling us deferre xternal fa	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.).	d patio	Patio/D Porch Porch Pool Fence Other (d 1,23 and cer	Covered None Block None escribe) 5 Square F htral HVA	eet of Gro	Garage Carpon Attach Built-in ass Living A the requirifican of the	rea Above Grade uest of the trepairs. subject dwe	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yr. Appliances Finished area abo Additional features Describe the con interior ins Adverse pl	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: ss (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms including apparent performed	Stuce Com nspouts None Woo sher Dispos 3 Additional fea t needed repairs, deter I. Subject disp se exterior and	nished co/Wood p. Shingle d/Ave sal Micr Bedrooms tures includioration, renovation lays obvious adverse e.	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Other des a sm ins, remodeling us deferre xternal fa	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.).	d patio	Patio/D Porch Porch Pool Fence Other (d 1,23 and cer	Covered None Block None escribe) 5 Square F htral HVA	eet of Gro	Garage Carpon Attach Built-in ass Living A the requirifican of the	rea Above Grade uest of the trepairs. subject dwe	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yr. Appliances Finished area abo Additional features Describe the con interior ins Adverse pl	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: ss (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was hysical conditions were no	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms including apparent performed	Stuce Com nspouts None Woo sher Dispos 3 Additional fea t needed repairs, deter I. Subject disp se exterior and	nished co/Wood p. Shingle d/Ave sal Micr Bedrooms tures includioration, renovation lays obvious adverse e.	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Other des a sm ins, remodeling us deferre xternal fa	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.).	d patio	Patio/D Porch Porch Pool Fence Other (d 1,23 and cer	Covered None Block None escribe) 5 Square F htral HVA	eet of Gro	Garage Carpon Attach Built-in ass Living A the requirifican of the	rea Above Grade uest of the trepairs. subject dwe	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yn Appliances Additional feature Describe the con interior ins Adverse pl assumed t	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: ss (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was hysical conditions were no	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms Including apparent performed sted from the	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood sher Dispos 3 Additional feat t needed repairs, deter I. Subject disp e exterior and in recent MLS	nished co/Wood p. Shingle e d/Ave al Mici Bedrooms tures includioration, renovation lays obviou adverse e S photos. T	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other owave des a sm ns, remodeling us deferre xternal fa his assur	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.). ed mainter ctors were	d patio	Patio/D Porch Porch Pool Fence Other (d 1,23 and cer	Covered None Block None escribe) 5 Square F htral HVA	eet of Gro	Garage Carpon Attach Built-ir oss Living A the requiricant of the nent res	# of C t # of C ed	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yn Appliances Additional feature Describe the con interior ins Adverse pl assumed t	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: ss (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was hysical conditions were no	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms Including apparent performed sted from the	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood sher Dispos 3 Additional feat t needed repairs, deter I. Subject disp e exterior and in recent MLS	nished co/Wood p. Shingle e d/Ave al Mici Bedrooms tures includioration, renovation lays obviou adverse e S photos. T	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other owave des a sm ns, remodeling us deferre xternal fa his assur	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.). ed mainter ctors were	d patio	Patio/D Porch Porch Pool Fence Other (d 1,23 and cer	Covered None Block None escribe) 5 Square F htral HVA	eet of Gro	Garage Carpon Attach Built-ir oss Living A the requiricant of the nent res	rea Above Grade uest of the trepairs. subject dwe	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yn Appliances Additional feature Describe the con interior ins Adverse pl assumed t Are there any api	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: so (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was hysical conditions were no	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms including apparen performed sted from the on depicted	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood sher Dispos Additional feat t needed repairs, deter I. Subject disp te exterior and in recent MLS the livability, soundne	nished co/Wood p. Shingle e d/Ave al Mici Bedrooms tures includioration, renovation lays obvious adverse e S photos. T	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Owave des a sm ns, remodeling us deferre xternal fa his assur	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.). etctors were mption mig	d patio	Patio/D Porch Pool Fence Other (d 1,23 and cer	Covered None Block None Escribe) 5 Square Fatral HVA (eed of so terior cored the as	tio did did did did did did did did did d	Garage Carpoi Attach Built-ir the req gnifican of the nent res	rea Above Grade uest of the trepairs. subject dwe ults.	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no elling is
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yn Appliances Additional feature Describe the con interior ins Adverse pl assumed t Are there any api If Yes, describe. No physica	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: ss (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was hysical conditions were no o be similar to the condition parent physical deficiencies or adverse co	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms Experior mediated from the port depicted Inditions that affec	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood sher Dispos Additional feat t needed repairs, deter I. Subject disp re exterior and in recent MLS t the livability, soundne were visually of	nished co/Wood p. Shingle e d/Ave al Mici Bedrooms tures includioration, renovation lays obvious adverse e S photos. T ss, or structural in	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Owave des a sm ins, remodeling is deferre xternal fa his assur	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.). ed mainter- ctors were mption mig	d patio	Patio/D Porch Pool Fence Other (d 1,23 and cer	Covered None Block None Block None Borntral HVA (eed of so terior cored the as	tio did did did did did did did did did d	Garage Carpoi Attach Built-ir the req gnifican of the nent res Yes unappa	rea Above Grade uest of the t repairs. No No wrent condit	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no elling is
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yn Appliances Finished area abo Additional feature Describe the con interior ins Adverse pl assumed t Are there any ap If Yes, describe. No physica however, a	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: es (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was hysical conditions were no co be similar to the condition contains the conditions were no contained by the subject was contained by the subj	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms Sincluding apparent Experior mediated from the on depicted Inditions that affect Conditions in the struct	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood sher Dispos Additional fea t needed repairs, deter I. Subject disp ee exterior and in recent MLS t the livability, soundne were visually of tural integrity,	nished co/Wood p. Shingle e d/Ave al	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Cowave des a sm ns, remodeling as deferre xternal fa his assur ntegrity of the p nd the ap	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.). ed mainter ctors were mption mig	d patio	Patio/D Porch Pool Fence Other (d 1,23 and cer	Covered None Block None esscribe) 5 Square F httral HVA (eeed of so terior cor ed the as	tio did did did did did did did did did d	Garage Carpon Attach Built-in sss Living A the req quiffican of the nent res Yes Unappa sers ar	urface # of C # of C	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no elling is
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yn Appliances Finished area abo Additional features Describe the con interior ins Adverse pl assumed t Are there any ap If Yes, describe. No physica however, a consult exi	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: ss (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was contained by the subject	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms including apparent performed sted from the on depicted inditions that affect conditions in the struct appraiser's	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood sher Dispos 3 Additional fea t needed repairs, deter L. Subject disp te exterior and in recent MLS were visually of tural integrity, inspection is r	nished co/Wood p. Shingle d d/Ave al Mici Bedrooms tures includioration, renovatio lays obviou adverse e. S photos. T ss, or structural in observed all soundness tot a "home	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Owave des a sm ins, remodeling is deferre xternal fa his assur integrity of the p of the dv	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.). ed mainter ctors were mption mig	d patio	Patio/D Porch Pool Pence Other (d 1,23 and cer	Covered None Block None escribe) 5 Square F ntral HVA (eed of so terior cor ed the as	eet of Gro. C.C.S;At me signification signment and u advis	Garage Carpor Attach Built-ir sss Living A the req gnifican of the nent res unappa sers an ed to ol	urface # of C # of C	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no elling is
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yn Appliances Finished area abd Additional feature Describe the con interior ins Adverse pi assumed t Are there any app If Yes, describe. No physica however, a consult ex Does the property	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: es (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was chysical conditions were no co be similar to the condition over the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was conditions were no co be similar to the condition over the property and data source(s) pertial conditions were no co be similar to the condition over the property and data source(s) pertial conditions were no co be similar to the condition over the property and data source(s) pertial conditions were no co be similar to the condition over the property and data source(s) pertial conditions were no co be similar to the condition over the property and data source(s) pertial conditions were no co be similar to the condition over the property and data source(s) pertial conditions were no co be similar to the condition over the property and data source(s) pertial conditions were no co be similar to the condition over the property and data source(s) pertial conditions were no co be similar to the condition over the property and data source(s) pertial conditions were no co be similar to the condition over the property and data source(s) over the property and data source(s) pertial conditions were no co be similar to the conditions were no co	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms including apparent performed ated from the on depicted conditions that affect conditions in the struct appraiser's functional utility, structured.	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood sher Dispos Additional fea t needed repairs, deter I. Subject disp se exterior and in recent MLS t the livability, soundne were visually of tural integrity, inspection is retyle, condition, use, or	nished co/Wood p. Shingle d/Ave al Mici Bedrooms tures includioration, renovatic lays obviou adverse e. S photos. T ss, or structural in bobserved an soundness tot a "home onstruction, etc.) (2)	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Other Owave des a sm ons, remodeling is deferre xternal fa his assur ond the ap of the dv	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.). ed mainter ctors were mption mic	nance as noted as no keenviror	Patio/D Porch Pool Pence Other (d 1,23 and cer and in ne I. The in e affecte mowledgement covers are	Covered None Block None Escribe) 5 Square F httral HVA Geed of so terior core det the as e of hidd orditions strongly Yes None	eet of Gro. C.C.;At me signment and u adviso of No.	Garagi Carpoi Attach Built-ir sss Living A the req gnifican of the nent res unappa sers an ed to ol , describe.	rea Above Grade uest of the trepairs. subject dwe ults.	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no ellling is
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age (Yn Appliances Finished area aba Additional feature Describe the con interior ins Adverse pl assumed t Are there any ap if Yes, describe. No physica however, a consult ex Does the propert The subject	Proposed Under Const. Ranch 1952 s) 45 Refrigerator Range/Oven ove grade contains: ss (special energy efficient items, etc.) dition of the property and data source(s) pection of the subject was contained by the subject	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwa: 5 Rooms including apparent performed sted from the on depicted in the struct appraiser's functional utility, so o the surro	Stuce Com nspouts None Wood sher Dispos Additional fea t needed repairs, deter I. Subject disp te exterior and in recent MLS t the livability, soundne were visually of tural integrity, inspection is r style, condition, use, or unding neighb	nished co/Wood p. Shingle d/Ave al Mici Bedrooms tures includioration, renovatic lays obviou adverse e. S photos. T ss, or structural in bobserved an soundness tot a "home onstruction, etc.) (2)	Other Fuel Central A Individua Other Other Owave des a sm ons, remodeling is deferre xternal fa his assur ond the ap of the dv	Washer/Dry 2.0 Bath(s) all covered g, etc.). ed mainter ctors were mption mic	nance as noted as no keenviror	Patio/D Porch Pool Pence Other (d 1,23 and cer and in ne I. The in e affecte mowledgement covers are	Covered None Block None Escribe) 5 Square F httral HVA Geed of so terior core det the as e of hidd orditions strongly Yes None	eet of Gro. C.C.;At me signment and u adviso of No.	Garagi Carpoi Attach Built-ir sss Living A the req gnifican of the nent res unappa sers an ed to ol , describe.	rea Above Grade uest of the trepairs. subject dwe ults.	Asphalt ars 2 ars 0 etached Client, no ellling is

Loan # 49340 File # 69205

	There are O comparable	properties currently	offered	for sale	in 1	the subject r	neighborhoo	d rangi	ng in	price	from \$ O		to \$	0		
	0 '					the past twelv						_			222 200	
	23		Ject neign				/e monus	rangin	-		170,00	0			,030,000 E SALE # 3	
	FEATURE	SUBJECT				SLE SALE # 1					E SALE # 2					
	Address 17072 Cantara St	t	172	01 Willa	ard St	t		7651	Aldea	Ave		7651	Oak F	Park A	ve	
	Van Nuys, CA 91	406	Van	Nuys,	CA 9	1406		Van I	Nuys, (CA 91	406	Van I	Nuys,	CA 91	406	
	Proximity to Subject			miles					miles S				miles			
	Sale Price	\$, , , , , , ,	•	\$ 8	32,000	0.5	11111		\$ 875,000				\$	765.000
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 5	sq.ft. \$	496.42	sa.ft.		02,000	\$:	815.47		0,0,000		610.05			700,000
	Data Source(s)	•									TI DOM E				. a.c.az.D.	~
						53348;DO	M /				'51;DOM 5				19527;D0	OM U
	Verification Source(s)	- FOODINTION		#3745					#36524				#9628/			
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION		DESCRIPTIO	N	+(-) \$ Adju	stment	Di	SCRIPTIO	N	+(-) \$ Adjustment	Di	SCRIPTIC	ON	+(-) \$ A	djustment
	Sales or Financing		Arm	Lth			_	ArmL	.th	_		ArmL	.th			
	Concessions		Cas					Conv				Conv	.0			
	Date of Sale/Time			22;c03	122		+6.000			122	+13,000		,	/21		+63.000
	Location	A;AircrftNoise		rcrftNoi			.0,000		crftNoi:		. 10,000		crftNoi			.00,000
	Leasehold/Fee Simple															
	·	Fee Simple		Simple					Simple	!			Simple	:		
	Site	6000 sf	615	5 sf			0	7638	sf		-8,000	7906	sf			-10,000
	View	N;Res;	N;R	es;				N;Re	s;			N;Re	s;			
	Design (Style)	DT1;Ranch	DT1	;Traditi	onal		0	DT1;	Tradition	onal	0	DT1;	Traditi	onal		0
	Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4					Q4			-25,000	Ω4				
	Actual Age	70	64				0	71				73				0
	Condition		C5													
		C5		T				C3			-50,000					-25,000
	Above Grade		iths Total	Bdrms.	Baths			Total	Bdrms.	Baths		Total	Bdrms.	Baths		
	Room Count	5 3 2	2.0 6	3	2.0		0	5	3	1.0	+10,000	5	3	1.0		+10,000
	Gross Living Area	1,235	sq.ft.	1,676	sq.ft.	-	33,000		1,073	sq.ft.	+12,000		1,254	sq.ft.		0
	Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf					0sf				0sf				
	Rooms Below Grade															
	Functional Utility	Augraga	A.,,					A.,				A.,,				
	Heating/Cooling	Average		rage				Avera				Avera				
	* *	FWA/CAC	FW/	A/CAC					/None		+5,000					
ROACH	Energy Efficient Items	None	Non	е				None	!			None	!			
õ	Garage/Carport	2gd2dw	2ga:	2dw			0	2gd2	dw			2gd2	dw			
ŧ	Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio/Deck	Pati	o/Deck				Deck			0	Deck				0
₹ Z	Pool/Spa	None	Non					Pool			-25,000					
ARISON											-23,000					
	Other	None	Non				_	None				None				
SALES COMP.	Assessor's Parcel Number	APN:	2204	<u>4-004-0</u>				2203	<u>-001-0</u>		•		-008-0	009	^	0
ပ်	Net Adjustment (Total)				<u> </u>	\$.	27,000			₫ -	\$ -68,000		+		\$	38,000
9	Adjusted Sale Price		Net Ad	j.	3.2 %			Net Adj.		7.8 %		Net Adj.		5.0 %		
ŝ	of Comparables		Gross	Adj.	4.7 %	\$ 8	05,000	Gross A	dj. 1	16.9 %	\$ 807,000	Gross A	dj. ·	14.1 %	\$	803,000
	I did did not research the s	sale or transfer history o	f the subject	property an						10.0	001,000					000,000
				p p y												
	Marian Maria Data			of the condition		de Conthe there is			Alice delection	A Halanaan						
	, 6	ot reveal any prior sales	or transfers	of the subje	ct prope	rty for the three y	ears prior to	the effec	tive date o	of this app	oraisal.					
	Data Source(s) Realist/CR															
	My research did did no	ot reveal any prior sales	or transfers	of the comp	arable s	ales for the year p	orior to the d	ate of sal	e of the co	omparabl	e sale.					
	Data Source(s) Realist/CR	MIS														
	Report the results of the research and analy		transfer hist	ory of the su	biect pro	operty and compa	rable sales	report ad	ditional pri	ior sales	on page 3).					
	ITEM	7	SUBJECT	,	,		ARABLE SA				COMPARABLE SALE #2			COMPA	RABLE SALE	#3
						OOWII	ATTABLE OA	LL # 1			OOIWII AITABLE OALL #2			OUIVII A	ITABLE OALL	#0
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	04/25/202	<u> 22</u>													
	Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	\$802,000														
ı	Data Source(s)	Realist/Cl	RMLS			Realist/CR	MLS			Realis	st/CRMLS		Reali	st/CRI	MLS	
٦	Effective Date of Data Source(s)	04/29/202				04/29/2022				04/29				/2022		
۱	Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of			e sales		U 112012022		cubic			previously sold fo	r ¢ՋՈՐ				
١						'										
ı	Based on a review of MLS	•														
١	concessions. The sale price											subje	ct four	nd in th	ne preced	ding
	3 years. No previous sale	or significant tr	ansfer o	of comp	arabl	es #1-3 foι	ınd in p	reced	ing 12	mont	hs.					
	1															
	Summary of Sales Comparison Approach	Soc	Attach	24 Add	ndur	n for Narra	tive Co	mmor	tanı							
	[Allacin	o Auut	muui	II IUI IVaira	live Coi	IIIIICII	laiy.							
	1															
	I															
	<u> </u>															
	l															
	Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Appr	oach \$	805,00	00												
	Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison A	pproach \$	80	05,000	(Cost Approach (i	f developed	i) \$	7	96,80	O Income Approx	ach (if de	veloped)	\$		
	See attached addenda.			,						,	•					
	oce allacrica adderida.															
	1															
z																
NOL																
LIATION	This appraisal is made are in as is										ypothetical condition th				have been	
NCLATION	completed, subject to the	following repairs	or alteratio	ns on t	he bas	sis of a hyp	oothetical	condition	that t	the repa	airs or alterations hav	e been	comple	ted, or	subject	
CONCILIATION	completed, subject to the	following repairs	or alteratio	ns on t	he bas	sis of a hyp	oothetical	condition	that t	the repa		e been	comple	ted, or	subject	
RECONCILIATION	completed, subject to the following required inspection based	following repairs d on the extraord	or alteratio dinary assu	ns on t imption ti	he bas nat the	sis of a hyp e condition o	oothetical r deficien	condition	that t	the repa	airs or alterations hav	e been	comple	ted, or	subject	
RECONCILIATION	completed, subject to the following required inspection based Report was prepared in ac Based on a visual inspection	following repairs d on the extraord ccordance with of the exterior	or alteratio dinary assu USPAF areas o	ns on tumption to Standa	he bas nat the ards f ubject	sis of a hype condition of Rule 2-2(a) property from	oothetical r deficien n at lea	condition cy doe	that t	require define	airs or alterations have alteration or repair:	e been No coi	completed and ition of	s. Th	subject is Apprai	sal
RECONCILIATION	completed, subject to the following required inspection based Report was prepared in ac	following repairs d on the extraord ccordance with of the exterior	or alteratio dinary assu USPAF areas o	ns on t umption the Standa f the s of the	he bas nat the ards f ubject mark	sis of a hype condition of Rule 2-2(a) property from the value, as	oothetical r deficien . n at lea s defined	condition cy doe ast the , of	that to some street, the rea	require define	airs or alterations have alteration or repair:	No con stateme	completed and ition of	s. Th	subject is Apprai	sal

Loan # 49340

		File# 69205		
Clarification of Intended Use & Intended User(s): The Intended User	f this appraisal report is the Lender/	Client. No additi	ional Int	ended
Users are identified by the appraiser. The borrower is not an Intende				
User. The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject				
the stated scope of work, the reporting requirements of this appraisa				
report.		,		
Toport				
Note: the "Scope of Work" decision is specific to the stated Intended	llee and was deemed annronriate fo	r the enecifically	, namod	
Intended User(s). Use of this appraisal for a purpose other than the				
completed by the appraiser. Information communicating the scope of	work performed, may be included t	nroughout this r	eport, ii	1
addition to the scope of work section.				
. Coat Annuach Marriag. The number of Coat Annuach is to help	stimate and arranged the arrhipet was		alua (NO	\T 40
Cost Approach Warning: The purpose of Cost Approach is to help extinct the Replacement Cost of the purpose of Cost Approach is to help extinct the Replacement Cost of the purpose of Cost Approach is to help extinct th				
estimate the Replacement Cost of the subject improvements). Use of				
by the appraiser. Nothing set forth in this appraisal report is intended				
type of insurance coverage to be placed on the subject property. If us				
does not guarantee that any insurable value estimate inferred from the	-			
any loss that may be sustained. The appraiser recommends that an in	-			
may not be a reliable indication of replacement cost new for any date			changin	ng
costs of labor and materials, as well as changing building codes and	governmental regulations and requi	rements.		
The Appraised Value is based on a Reasonable Exposure Time of les	s than 30 days.			
* the overall demand for real property. The reader is cautioned, and i	•		•	
apply only as of the Effective Date indicated. Future market condition	-			
economic conditions are unpredictable. Based on a review of MLS da	ta and interviews with market partici	pants (including	local a	gents,
buyers and sellers), list prices for available properties have continue	I to increase. The number of active	listings in the ma	arket are	ea has
remained low and the sale-to-list-price ratio of closed sales has rema	ned higher than historic levels. Selle	er contributions	are not	
common and there appears to be no pressure toward increased cont	ibutions by sellers under current ma	rket conditions.		
Days-on-market (DOM) has remained consistent with or is lower than				er
cautioned that market conditions can be unpredictable after disruptive	•			
be negatively affected by interest rates returning to normal (higher) le				
may change suddenly and sale prices can change dramatically with I		avisea to consid	ier poss	ibie
changes in value, including the potential for a decline in value, in any	decision related to this transaction.			
COST APPROACH TO VALU	(not required by Fannie Mae)			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.	(not required by Fannie Mae)	uo ratio is typical	of the su	uhigetts
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val	ue ratio is typical		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val ue to lack of available site sales data ir		arket area	a.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val ue to lack of available site sales data ir OPINION OF SITE VALUE	n the subject's ma	arket area	625,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data DwellingCost.com	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$		=\$ =\$	a.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the value h	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val ue to lack of available site sales data ir OPINION OF SITE VALUE	n the subject's ma	==\$ =\$ =\$	625,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the value h	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$	181.80	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	625,000 224,500
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the value h	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$	n the subject's ma	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	625,000 224,500 29,900
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the value h	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New	181.80 74.64	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	625,000 224,500
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the value h	High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE	181.80	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	625,000 224,500 29,900
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the value h	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New	181.80 74.64	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	625,000 224,500 29,900
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the value h	High site to value to lack of available site sales data in	181.80 74.64	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	625,000 224,500 29,900 254,400
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided by ext	High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE	181.80 74.64	======================================	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided by ext	High site to value to lack of available site sales data in	181.80 74.64	======================================	29,900 254,400 152,600)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided by ext	High site to value to lack of available site sales data in	181.80 74.64	======================================	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided state of the opinion of site value with the provided state of the opinion of the provided state of the opinion of the provided state of the opinion of the opinion of the provided state of the opinion of the opinion of the opinion opinion of the provided state of the opinion opi	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	181.80 74.64	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided state of the opinion of site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided state of the opinion of the provided state of the opinion of the provided state of the opinion of the opinion of the provided state of the opinion opinion of the opinion opinio	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value Definion of site value Definion of site value 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae)	181.80 74.64 External 3,500	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the opinion of site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the sales. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data DwellingCost.com Quality rating from cost service 3.60 Effective date of cost data 04/28/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost estimates obtained from DwellingCost.com. Depreciation was calculated using the Economic Age/Life method. Replacement cost estimates are rounded. Note: In order to replicate the analysis, it is noted that a rating of 3.60 was used in DwellingCost.com. This rating corresponds to a rating of average. Garage size estimated due to lack of public records information. External depreciation is due to the impact of traffic noise on the contributory value of the improvements. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) NCOME APPROACH TO VALIESTIMATED AND STATE	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	181.80 74.64 External 3,500	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided state of the opinion of site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided state of the opinion of the provided state of the opinion of the provided state of the opinion of the opinion of the provided state of the opinion opinion of the opinion opinio	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value Definion of site value Definion of site value 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae)	181.80 74.64 External 3,500	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the opinion of site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the sales. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data DwellingCost.com Quality rating from cost service 3.60 Effective date of cost data 04/28/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost estimates obtained from DwellingCost.com. Depreciation was calculated using the Economic Age/Life method. Replacement cost estimates are rounded. Note: In order to replicate the analysis, it is noted that a rating of 3.60 was used in DwellingCost.com. This rating corresponds to a rating of average. Garage size estimated due to lack of public records information. External depreciation is due to the impact of traffic noise on the contributory value of the improvements. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALIE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val ue to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Rt. @ \$ 0 Sq.Rt. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "NDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$	181.80 74.64 External 3,500	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the opinion of site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the sales. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data DwellingCost.com Quality rating from cost service 3.60 Effective date of cost data 04/28/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost estimates obtained from DwellingCost.com. Depreciation was calculated using the Economic Age/Life method. Replacement cost estimates are rounded. Note: In order to replicate the analysis, it is noted that a rating of 3.60 was used in DwellingCost.com. This rating corresponds to a rating of average. Garage size estimated due to lack of public records information. External depreciation is due to the impact of traffic noise on the contributory value of the improvements. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALIE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val ue to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Rt. @ \$ 0 Sq.Rt. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "Noticated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable)	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the structure of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the structure of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods of the structure of the opinion of the structure of the provided sales of the opinion of the structure of the sales of the opinion of the structure of the provided sales of the sale	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attache	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the opinion of site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the sales. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data DwellingCost.com Quality rating from cost service 3.60 Effective date of cost data 04/28/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost estimates obtained from DwellingCost.com. Depreciation was calculated using the Economic Age/Life method. Replacement cost estimates are rounded. Note: In order to replicate the analysis, it is noted that a rating of 3.60 was used in DwellingCost.com. This rating corresponds to a rating of average. Garage size estimated due to lack of public records information. External depreciation is due to the impact of traffic noise on the contributory value of the improvements. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALIE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attache	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the structure of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the structure of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods of the structure of the opinion of the structure of the provided sales of the opinion of the structure of the sales of the opinion of the structure of the provided sales of the sale	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attache	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided provided by extraction and/or allocation of the site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attache	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided provided by extraction and/or allocation of the serious provided prov	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to value to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value \$\text{QOSTAPPRO SQ.Ft. @ \$}\$ Garage/Carport	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided provided by extraction and/or allocation of the provided pro	High site to value to lack of available site sales data in the total site to lack of available site sales data in the total sales data in the tota	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Some preciation of cost data out/28/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost estimates obtained from DwellingCost.com. Depreciation was calculated using the Economic Age/Life method. Replacement cost estimates are rounded. Note: In order to replicate the analysis, it is noted that a rating of 3.60 was used in DwellingCost.com. This rating corresponds to a rating of average. Garage size estimated due to lack of public records information. External depreciation is due to the impact of traffic noise on the contributory value of the improvements. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Some provided Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) NCOME APPROACH TO VALI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATIO Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject proper Legal Name of Project Total number of units ferte	High site to val	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of traffic and area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of section and of value area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of section and of value and are area. Site value has been derived by extraction and of value and area. Site value has been derived by extraction and of value area. Site value and of value area. Site value has been derived by extraction and of value and area. Site value and of value area. Site value and of	High site to val	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the properties of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the properties of the opinion of site value) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data DwellingCost.com Quality rating from cost service 3.60 Effective date of cost data 04/28/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost estimates obtained from DwellingCost.com. Depreciation was calculated using the Economic Age/Life method. Replacement cost estimates are rounded. Note: In order to replicate the analysis, it is noted that a rating of 3.60 was used in DwellingCost.com. This rating corresponds to a rating of average. Garage size estimated due to lack of public records information. External depreciation is due to the impact of traffic noise on the contributory value of the improvements. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALI	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val ue to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value Definion of site value Definion of site value Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attache y is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the properties of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the properties of the opinion of site value) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data DwellingCost.com Quality rating from cost service 3.60 Effective date of cost data 04/28/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost estimates obtained from DwellingCost.com. Depreciation was calculated using the Economic Age/Life method. Replacement cost estimates are rounded. Note: In order to replicate the analysis, it is noted that a rating of 3.60 was used in DwellingCost.com. This rating corresponds to a rating of average. Garage size estimated due to lack of public records information. External depreciation is due to the impact of traffic noise on the contributory value of the improvements. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALI	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val ue to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value Definion of site value Definion of site value Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attache y is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the opinion of site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the service as a s	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val ue to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Rt. @ \$ 0 Sq.Rt. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached y is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the properties of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the properties of the opinion of site value) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data DwellingCost.com Quality rating from cost service 3.60 Effective date of cost data 04/28/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost estimates obtained from DwellingCost.com. Depreciation was calculated using the Economic Age/Life method. Replacement cost estimates are rounded. Note: In order to replicate the analysis, it is noted that a rating of 3.60 was used in DwellingCost.com. This rating corresponds to a rating of average. Garage size estimated due to lack of public records information. External depreciation is due to the impact of traffic noise on the contributory value of the improvements. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALI	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val ue to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value Definion of site value Definion of site value Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attache y is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of cost data. O4/28/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost estimates obtained from DwellingCost.com. Depreciation was calculated using the Economic Age/Life method. Replacement cost estimates are rounded. Note: In order to replicate the analysis, it is noted that a rating of 3.60 was used in DwellingCost.com. This rating corresponds to a rating of average. Garage size estimated due to lack of public records information. External depreciation is due to the impact of traffic noise on the contributory value of the improvements. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Drovide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject proper Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data Source(s)	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val ue to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Rt. @ \$ 0 Sq.Rt. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached y is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) market area. Site value has been derived by extraction and/or allocation of the provided by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject proper legal Name of Project Total number of units of the provided by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Provide the following information of existing building(s) into a PUD? Provide the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Provide the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Provide the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Provide	(not required by Fannie Mae) High site to val ue to lack of available site sales data in opinion of site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,235 Sq.Rt. @ \$ 0 Sq.Rt. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 149,100 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached y is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	181.80	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	29,900 254,400 152,600) 101,800 70,000

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE:

The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

The appraiser's certification in this report is subject

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied. reporting this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist.

 Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Loan # 49340

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report,
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending loan application). mortgage
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005 UAD Version 9/2011 Page 5 of 6

Loan # 49340 File # 69205

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is ordered and will receive this appraisal report.	the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that
	port to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the nortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal ding, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public
22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appra laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provis that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.	
23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or metabolic contents.	market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part
defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my sig	record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this nature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and livered containing my original hand written signature.
25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprison Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.	
SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisor	ory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:
I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser.	
I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.	report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions,
3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a si appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is accommodately appraisal.	ub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the eptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
 This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal report was prepared. 	of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal
defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my sign:	cord" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this ature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and livered containing my original hand written signature.
APPRAISER R WAR	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Brian Mathews Company Name Clario Appraisal Network	Name Company Name
Company Name Clario Appraisal Network Company Address 300 E 2nd Street, Suite 1405	Company Address
Reno, NV 89501	
Telephone Number <u>530.550.2565</u>	Telephone Number
Email Address support@clarioappraisal.com	Email Address Peta of Signatura
Date of Signature and Report 05/02/2022 Effective Date of Appraisal 04/28/2022	Date of Signature State Certification #
State Certification # AR004130	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA Expiration Date of Certification or License 05/27/2022	SUBJECT PROPERTY
	Did not increat exterior of cubicat property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	☐ Did not inspect exterior of subject property ☐ Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
17072 Cantara St	Date of Inspection
Van Nuys, CA 91406 APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 805,000	· <u> </u>
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	☐ Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd., Suite 100,	Did inspect extend of comparable sales from street
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Date of Inspection

Loan # 49340 File # 69205

FEATURE		SUBJECT	Г		CO	MPARAB	E SALE #	4		COI	ИPARABL	E SALE #	5		CO	MPARABL	E SALE #	6
Address 17072 Cantara S	t			1691	5 Lan	ark St			7642	2 Wish	Ave							
Van Nuys, CA 91	406			Van I	Nuys,	CA 9	1406		Van	Nuys,	CA 91	406						
Proximity to Subject				0.20	miles	SE			0.66	miles	S							
Sale Price	\$						\$	925,000				\$	824,900				\$	
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$		sq.ft.	\$	742.3	8 sq.ft.			\$	768.78	3 sq.ft.			\$		sq.ft.		
Data Source(s))41;DO	M 16	CRN			609;D0	OM 21					
Verification Source(s)				Doc 7	#3398	302/Re	alist		Rea	list		,						
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	D	ESCRIPTI	ON	DI	ESCRIPTI	ON	+(-);	\$ Adjustment	1	DESCRIPTION	ON	+(-)\$	Adjustment	[ESCRIPTI	ON	+(-)\$	Adjustment .
Sales or Financing				ArmL	.th				Listi	ng								
Concessions				VA:0														
Date of Sale/Time				- / -	22:c03	3/22		+7,000	Activ	ve								
Location	A·Air	crftNo	ise.			ircrftN		+30,000			ise.							
Leasehold/Fee Simple		Simple			Simple			00,000		Simple								
Site	6000		<u> </u>	8706				-14,000	_				-10,000					
View	N;Re			N;Re				1 1,000	N;R				10,000					
Design (Style)		Rancl	h		o, Tradit	ional				;Ranch	,							
Site View Design (Style) Quality of Construction Actual Age Condition Above Grade Room Construction	Q4	- tarror	•	Q4	Tradic	ionai		-75,000	_	,1 (01101								
Actual Age	70			70				-70,000	72				0					
Condition	C5			C3				-75,000	_				-25,000					
Above Grade	Total	Bdrms.	Baths	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		-13,000	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		-23,000	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		
Room Count	5	3	2.0	5	3	2.0			5	3			+10.000	_	Duillio.	Dutilo		
Gross Living Area	5			5							1.0 sq.ft.		+10,000			sq.ft.		
Basement & Finished	Oct	1,23	االبه ر	O-f	1,246	U adiil		C	_	1,073) 34.11.		+12,000	-		oq.IL		
Rooms Below Grade	0sf			0sf					0sf									
Functional Utility				_										-				
•	Aver			Avera						rage								
Heating/Cooling		/CAC			/CAC					A/CAC								
Energy Efficient Items	None				ed So	lar			Non					-				
Garage/Carport	2gd2			2ga2					2gd2					-				
Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio	/Deck	(Patio	/Deck	(Enc	I. Patio			-5,000					
Pool/Spa	None	•		None	:				Non									
Other	None	9		None	:				Non	e								
Assessor's Parcel Number	APN	:		2204	-031-0			C	220	3-004-0			0					
Net Adjustment (Total)					+ [<u> </u>	\$	-127,000] + [☑ -	\$	-18,000] + [-	\$	
Adjusted Sale Price				Net Adj.		13.7 %			Net Ad		2.2 %			Net Adj		%		
of Comparables				Gross A	dj.	21.7 %	\$	798,000	Gross	Adj.	7.5 %	\$	806,900	Gross A	Adj.	%	\$	
Report the results of the research and anal	ysis of th	ne prior sa			y of the s	subject pr				additional p								
ITEM			SI	UBJECT			C	OMPARABLE SAL	.E #	4		COMPARAE	BLE SALE #	5		COMPAR	RABLE SALI	E# 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	(04/25/	2022															
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	9	\$802,0	000															
Data Source(s)	F	Realis	t/CRM	LS			Realist	/CRMLS			Realis	t/CRM	LS					
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	(04/29/	2022				04/29/2	2022			04/29	/2022						
Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of	the subje	ect proper	ty and cor	nparable	sales			No	previ	ous sa	e or s	ignifica	nt transfer	of co	mpara	bles#	4 and 5	5 found
in preceding 12 months.																		
•																		
Analysis/Comments Comp.	#4 is	a rece	ent sale	e of a	supe	rior dv	ellina b	out was us	ed to	provide	e addit	ional si	upport for	the va	alue es	stimate	. It side	es to a
traffic street and was adjust										•								
closed escrow, it was give						•						•						
appraiser, as well as M.L.S																		,
attached) and some adjus																		the
same quality "rating" (base																		
overall quality of construct																		
						5 01		00111	11	J. 9 10					. u.ic		- 2u	
See Narrative Addendum	for An	ddition	al Sale	es Co	mpari	son C	ommen	tarv										
			Jui	. 5 501				,										
2																		
á																		

Sales Comparison Commentary [Multi-page]

		.pu		oa., La.	pg.j		09203		
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC								
Property Address	17072 Cantara St								
City	Van Nuys		County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc								

File No. GOOGE

Sales Comparison Analysis - Commentary

Methodology & Data: The Sales Comparison Analysis is the primary method of estimating the market value of SFR's through an analysis of sales of similar properties. This method assumes that sales of comparable properties, having similar physical and locational factors, will provide a reliable indicator of value for the subject property. The credibility of this approach depends upon the accuracy of the data collected, as well as the degree of comparability between each sale property and the subject property. Physical and neighborhood characteristics, functional utility or a combination of these attributes defined the search parameters. While a diverse array of transactions were initially considered for this analysis, the sales selected for direct comparison to the subject are those transactions which were most applicable to the subject and/or those sales utilized in order to bracket particular physical factors (consistent with common appraisal practice). The comparable properties were obtained from the same general market as the subject and represent competitive alternatives. Details of the transactions have been verified to the greatest extent possible by utilizing reliable sources and/or with parties involved in the transaction.

With the foregoing in mind, 4 closed comparable sales and 1 active listing were selected and were considered to represent the best available sales and listing data. While the data utilized includes comparable properties from adjacent neighborhoods, dated sales and properties with differences that required significant adjustments, the comparable sales/listings were considered to be the most relevant data for direct comparison to the subject property.

• <u>Comparable Search Summary</u>: The subject neighborhood (see Neighborhood Boundaries on page 1) was searched using the following parameters: detached SFR's between 1,000 sf and 1,800 sf, year built between 1950 and 1975, site area between 5,000 sf and 15,000 sf, sales from 4/29/2021 and 4/28/2022.

Adjustments: For features which are dissimilar between the comparables and the subject, adjustments have been made leading to an indication of value for the subject property. Adjustments were extracted from available market data, including the comparable sales analyzed in this analysis, based on estimates of the market's reaction to differences in particular factors.

Positive adjustments for market conditions (time adjustments) are based on current MLS statistical data, the attached 1004MC analysis, sensitivity analysis using the adjusted comparables, and simple regression analysis developed using Spark (see attached exhibit), resulting in a positive .75% adjustment per month. Site adjustments are based on \$5/sf for significant differences in site utility. No adjustments were applied for minor differences in site area as market participants do not typically recognize very small differences in site utility. Some comparable site sizes were obtained directly from County Assessor's maps and may vary slightly from the site sizes reported by data reporting services.

Quality & condition ratings are consistent with UAD definitions (see attached) and some adjustments were made within the same "rating" for differing levels of overall quality and condition. Quality and condition adjustments are based on visual inspection by the appraiser, as well as M.L.S. data, descriptions and available photographs. While it was given the same quality "rating" (based on UAD definition), an adjustment was applied to comp. #2 for superior quality due to superior level of upgrades and overall quality of construction. Condition adjustments were applied to comps. #2 & 3 for superior levels of remodeling and overall condition. Although there are some age differences, no adjustments were made to the comparables for actual age, as "effective" ages may be different than actual ages. Differences in effective ages are accounted for in quality and condition ratings/adjustments. No adjustments were deemed to be necessary for differences in design (style) as the subject/comparable design styles are all generally acceptable in the subject's market area.

No adjustments were made for number of bedrooms, as all dwellings have similar functional utility and were compared on the basis of their overall gross living area (GLA). Bath adjustments are based on \$10,000/bath and GLA adjustments are based on \$75/SF (rounded). No adjustments were applied for minor differences in GLA as market participants do not typically recognize very small differences in living area.

Summary: Among the closed comparable sales that were analyzed, comps. #1-3 were generally deemed to be the most relevant overall and they established the range from which the estimated value was selected. The most important factors were considered to be Gross Living Area (GLA), date of sale, quality/condition, and proximity to the subject. Comp. #1 is the most similar in overall appeal and was given the most emphasis in developing this analysis. Comp. #2 is a recent sale and provides support for the value estimate. Comp. #3 is a dated sale but was used due to limited recent sales data in the subject neighborhood.

While there is weakness in the available market data, comparables #1-4 were deemed to be the best available closed sales and their use is considered to be reasonable and necessary in order to develop the sales comparison analysis.

Supplemental Addendum

		- Cuppioinioniu				03203		
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17072 Cantara St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							

File No. GOOGE

Notification Regarding Appraiser's Employer, Company Address & Significant Real Property Appraisal Assistance: The appraiser signing the report is a salaried employee of Clario Appraisal Network (CA AMC Registration #1256) and received no appraisal fee for the assignment. The appraiser is using the corporate address of the appraisal company. The appraiser is not based in the corporate office and is based in Thousand Oaks, CA. The appraiser is located roughly 18 miles from the subject property and has 40+ years appraising in the subject's market area.

Nathan Desso (CA License #AT3009137), an appraiser trainee who is also an hourly employee of Clario Appraisal Network (CA AMC Registration #1256), provided assistance in the completion of this appraisal assignment and the preparation of this appraisal report. His assistance was under the direct supervision of the appraiser signing the report and he is competent to perform these tasks. Significant real property appraisal assistance included researching the relevant characteristics of the subject property; researching comparable sale and listing data and researching the economic characteristics of the neighborhood; researching available replacement cost data. Additional assistance included analyzing the market data necessary to develop credible opinions and conclusions; reconciling the comparables selected; developing and reconciling the applicable approaches to value; and assisting the supervisory appraiser in arriving at the final opinion of value.

Yvonne Alamillo, an appraiser trainee who is also an hourly employee of Clario Appraisal Network (CA AMC Registration #1256), provided assistance in the completion of this appraisal assignment and the preparation of this appraisal report. Her assistance was under the direct supervision of the appraiser signing the report (Brian Mathews) and she is competent to perform these tasks. Significant real property appraisal assistance included researching the relevant characteristics of the subject property; researching comparable sale and listing data; researching the economic characteristics of the neighborhood.

Site Comments

The subject site is typical in size and has typical site utility for the neighborhood. The improvements appear to be well situated on the site and no apparent adverse easements, encroachments or other adverse site conditions were noted from off-site.

Note: I have not checked the title/land records for recorded easements and have reported only apparent easements, encroachments and/or other apparent adverse conditions. The title policy was not reviewed by appraiser.

- <u>Highest & Best Use</u>: The stated conclusion that the "highest and best" use of the subject property (as improved) is considered to be its present use as a single-family residential dwelling, is consistent with allowable uses under the subject site's zoning designation (1-family residential dwelling), and is supported by the contributory value of the improvements coupled with the remaining economic life of the structure.
- <u>Effective Age</u>: In estimating the effective age the appraiser has considered any physical deterioration, functional obsolescence, and/or external obsolescence observed from off-site on the effective date. No functional obsolescence was noted from off-site. Thus, the effective age only reflects physical deterioration and external depreciation due to the impact of traffic noise on the contributory value of the improvements. The interior appears to be adequately maintained (based on recent MLS photos) and the effective age is estimated to be somewhat less than the actual age of 70 years.

• Exterior-Only : Reconciliation

The Sales Comparison Analysis best reflects the conditions present in the Market Value definition, and best reflects the actions of buyers & sellers in the market. In addition, the market data presented in support of the Sales Comparison Analysis was verified by the appraiser and considered very reliable.

The Cost Approach was deemed to be a less reliable analysis, due to insufficient land sales data and the difficulty of estimating accrued depreciation. This approach was given secondary consideration and it supports the value conclusion of the Sales Comparison Analysis.

The Income Approach was not developed and is considered "not relevant" as similar properties (in the area) are not typically purchased for income production and there is insufficient rental data.

For the reasons stated above, the Sales Comparison Analysis was considered to be the most valid approach and was given the most emphasis in the final value conclusion.

• Secure Signature

This report was transmitted electronically and includes a computer generated image, of my original signature, encrypted for security purposes. The report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a "paper" version of this report was delivered.

	RM				
Signature	0110			Signature	
Name Brian I	Mathews			Name	
Date Signed	05/02/2022			Date Signed	
State Certification #	AR004130	State	CA	State Certification #	State
Or State License #		State		Or State License #	State

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

File No.


Loan # 49340 69205

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with a cl neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraisal reports w			ends and condition	ons prevalent in the subject						
Property Address 17072 Cantara St	nui an enective date on or after A	·	y Van Nuys			tate CA		ZIP Code 914	106	
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC		311,	- van nuy	•				314	.00	
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this	form as the basis for his/her con	clusions, and r	nust provide sup	oort for those conclusions, regardin	ıg					
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported in the Neigh					nt					
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as indicated below explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to pr					1					
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an										
average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the	-				ie					
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the dat	a, such as seasonal markets, nev	w construction,	foreclosures, etc							
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–	6 Months	Current – 3 Months				Overall Trend		
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	14		8	7	Ę	Increasing	\boxtimes	s .		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Comparable Active Listings	2.33		.67	2.33		Increasing Declining	¥	Stable Stable	H	Declining Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	1.3		1.4	0.0		Declining	H	Stable	H	Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months		6 Months	Current – 3 Months		y -	-	Overall Trend	ш	
Median Comparable Sale Price	\$835,000	\$772	2,250	\$875,000	\triangleright	Increasing		Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	8	4	16	8		Declining	\boxtimes	N .		Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	\$798,800		9,000	N/A		<u> </u>	Ł	Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market Median Sale Price as % of List Price	7		18	N/A 100%	₽	Declining Increasing	H	Stable Stable		Increasing Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance prevalent?	108% Yes	10	0%	109%	挊	Declining	怜	Stable Stable	H	Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the past 12 months			5%, increasing u	se of buydowns, closing costs, co	ndo	_ •	1	4	لـــــر	5
fees, options, etc.). Seller contributions are						non-recur	ring	closing co	sts	i
There appears to be no pressure toward in										
downs and concessions have become more	e common under cui	rrent marl	ket condition	ons but are not genera	lly	orevalent.				
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?	Yes No	If yes ex	olain (including t	he trends in listings and sales of fo	recins	ed pronerties)				
Foreclosure sales have not been a major fa		-	. , .				OW:	ard increas	sed	
foreclosure or short sale activity. In the large										
sales, pending sales, current listings and pr										
competing sales over the past 12 months. I	For those sales, a to	tal of 0.09	% were rep	orted to be REO.						
				nclude MLS data, pul						
database. These sources appear to provid	e a comprehensive									ne
THE GE	le a comprehensive a	and reliab	ole basis fo	r the conclusions set	forth					ne
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the	and reliab	ole basis fo	r the conclusions set	forth					ne
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in	e a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the offormulate your conclusions, pro	and reliab appraisal repor ovide both an ex	ble basis fo t form. If you use oplanation and su	r the conclusions set of dany additional information, such apport for your conclusions.	forth as	n in this ad	der	ndum and	in th	
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to	e a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro ions set forth in the I	and reliab appraisal report ovide both an ex neighborh	t form. If you use wplanation and su	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. n of the attached app	forth as rais	n in this ad	der	appraiser	in th	
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the I in the subject neighb market conditions ad-	and reliab appraisal repor ovide both an ex neighborh oorhood ir dendum.	t form. If you use oplanation and su nood section acluding close At the sar	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. on of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of	forth	al report, t ng sales, e tive listing	he a	appraiser ired and was remaine	in the	lrawn very
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this row. This has kept the months of housing s	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the re- in the subject neighborharket conditions ad- upply low and increa	and reliab appraisal report ovide both an ex- neighborh porthood in dendum. used upwa	ole basis form. If you use opportunition and surprise of the column of t	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope	rais endi	al report, to ng sales, co tive listing values. The	he a	appraiser ired and w as remaind overall me	has ithd ed v	Irawn very n days
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this rolow. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been seen seen and the summarized in the summarket indicates properties have been seen seen seen seen seen seen see	e a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the re- in the subject neighborharket conditions ad- upply low and increa- selling in less than 3	and reliab appraisal repor ovide both an ex neighborh porhood in dendum. ased upwa months w	t form. If you use collaboration and su mood section colluding clo At the sar and pressur when priced	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. on of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based	rais endi of ac erty on	al report, t ng sales, e tive listing values. Th current pe	he expi s h	appraiser ired and w as remaind overall me ng sales).	has ithd ed v diar Re	lrawn very n days eaders
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this row. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC	e a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the re- in the subject neighborharket conditions ad- upply low and increa- selling in less than 3 analysis can be mis	and reliab appraisal repor- ovide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. ased upwa months w leading d	t form. If you use contained and su mood section coluding clo At the sar and pressur when pricedue to reliar	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe use time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam	rais endi of ac erty on	al report, t ng sales, e tive listing values. Th current pe	he expi s h	appraiser ired and w as remaind overall me ng sales).	has ithd ed v diar Re	lrawn very n days eaders
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this rolow. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been seen seen and the summarized in the summarket indicates properties have been seen seen seen seen seen seen see	e a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the re- in the subject neighborharket conditions ad- upply low and increa- selling in less than 3 analysis can be mis	and reliab appraisal repor- ovide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. ased upwa months w leading d	t form. If you use contained and su mood section coluding clo At the sar and pressur when pricedue to reliar	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe use time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam	rais endi of ac erty on	al report, t ng sales, e tive listing values. Th current pe	he expi s h	appraiser ired and w as remaind overall me ng sales).	has ithd ed v diar Re	lrawn very n days eaders
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the oformulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rion the subject neighborhood to the subject neighbo	and reliab appraisal repor- ovide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. ased upwa months w leading d	t form. If you use contained and su mood section coluding clo At the sar and pressur when pricedue to reliar	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. on of the attached app psed sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of e on prices and prope d competitively (based noe on small data sam an Page 1	rais raisendi f acerty on	al report, t ng sales, e tive listing values. Th current pe	he expi s h	appraiser ired and w as remaind overall me ng sales).	has ithd ed v diar Re	lrawn very n days eaders
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached round from the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this rollow. This has kept the months of housing so no market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the 1 in the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood in less than 3 analysis can be miss. See Market Conditions the the following:	and reliab appraisal report vide both an ex- neighborh porthood in dendum. ased upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for t form. If you use oplanation and su nood section cluding clot At the sar and pressur when priced use to reliar	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. on of the attached app posed sales, listings, pe me time, the number of e on prices and prope d competitively (based not properly the properly of the p	rais raisendi f acerty on	al report, t ng sales, e tive listing values. Th current pe	he expi	appraiser ired and w as remain overall me ng sales). of apprais	has ithd ed v diar Re	lrawn very n days eaders
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so on market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the oformulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rion the subject neighborhood to the subject neighbo	and reliab appraisal report vide both an ex- neighborh porthood in dendum. ased upwa months w leading di tions Con	t form. If you use contained and su mood section coluding clo At the sar and pressur when pricedue to reliar	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. on of the attached app psed sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of e on prices and prope d competitively (based noe on small data sam an Page 1	rais raisendi f acerty on	al report, t ng sales, c tive listing values. Th current pe s and inab	he expi	appraiser irred and was remain overall me ng sales). Overall Trend	has ithd ed v diar Re	Irawn rery n days eaders o
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the 1 in the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood in less than 3 analysis can be miss. See Market Conditions the the following:	and reliab appraisal report vide both an ex- neighborh porthood in dendum. ased upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for t form. If you use oplanation and su nood section cluding clot At the sar and pressur when priced use to reliar	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. on of the attached app posed sales, listings, pe me time, the number of e on prices and prope d competitively (based not properly the properly of the p	rais raisendi f acerty on	al report, t ng sales, e, tive listing values. The current pe s and inab	he expi	appraiser irred and was remain overall me ng sales). Of apprais	has ithd ed v diar Re	Irawn rery n days eaders o
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this rollow. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been some are advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, compless subject Project Data	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the 1 in the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood in less than 3 analysis can be miss. See Market Conditions the the following:	and reliab appraisal report vide both an ex- neighborh porthood in dendum. ased upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for t form. If you use oplanation and su nood section cluding clot At the sar and pressur when priced use to reliar	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. on of the attached app posed sales, listings, pe me time, the number of e on prices and prope d competitively (based not properly the properly of the p	rais raisendi f acerty on	al report, t ng sales, c tive listing values. Th current pe s and inab	he expi	appraiser irred and was remain overall me ng sales). Overall Trend	has ithd ed v diar Re	Irawn rery n days eaders o
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project is a unit in a condominium or cooper	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the 1 in the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood in less than 3 analysis can be miss. See Market Conditions the the following:	and reliab appraisal report vide both an ex- neighborh porthood in dendum. ased upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for t form. If you use oplanation and su nood section cluding clot At the sar and pressur when priced use to reliar	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. on of the attached app posed sales, listings, pe me time, the number of e on prices and prope d competitively (based not properly the properly of the p	rais raisendi f acerty on	al report, tong sales, entire listing values. The current pees and inab	he expi	appraiser ired and was remain overall me ng sales). Of apprais	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completed and a formal subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the 1 in the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood in less than 3 analysis can be miss. See Market Conditions the the following:	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. on of the attached app posed sales, listings, pe me time, the number of e on prices and prope d competitively (based not properly the properly of the p	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout the same all reports and in this address and in this add	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been are advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool if the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project or complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project or complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project or complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project or complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project or complete th	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood neig	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been some are advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool if the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, comples Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood neig	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been some are advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project con market indicates ana	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood neig	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been some are advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool if the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, comples Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood neig	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been some are advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool if the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, comples Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood sections and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions the following: Prior 7-12 Months	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been some are advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool if the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- tions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and the subject neighborhood sections and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions the following: Prior 7-12 Months	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing son market indicates properties have been some are advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool if the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project complete the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so on market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, compless Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so on market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, compless Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so on market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completed for the comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so on market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completed for the comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so on market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, compless Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so on market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completed for the comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so on market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completed for the comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the form. If you use opportunition and such concluding closs At the sarrard pressuruhen pricedue to reliar immentary confermentary confermenta	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app used sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based ance on small data sam an Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so no market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completed and a condominium or cooperative project, completed and a force of the comparable sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject under the subject of the subject under the	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the total process of the total proces	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app psed sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of re on prices and prope d competitively (based not on small data sam Project Nar Current – 3 Months r of REO listings and explain the tre	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so non market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completed and # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject under the subject of the subject o	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and upply low and increaselling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	and reliab appraisal repor wide both an ex- neighborh- porhood in dendum. issed upwa months w leading di tions Con	ole basis for the total process of the same of the sam	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app psed sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of e on prices and prope d competitively (based nice on small data sam fin Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months r of REO listings and explain the tre raiser Name	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so on market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completed and a formal support of the subject project Data conditions of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject under the subject of	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the vite Neighborhood section of the Neighborhood	and reliab appraisal repor vide both an ev neighborh oorhood ir dendum. ased upwa months w leading d tions Con	ole basis for the same of the	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app psed sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based tace on small data sam on Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months Trial of REO listings and explain the tre raiser Name	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser ired and was remained and was	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing
database. These sources appear to provid market conditions section of the attached re Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to In support of the market conditions conclus analyzed data about competing properties listings. Analyses are summarized in this re low. This has kept the months of housing so non market indicates properties have been sare advised that the results of the 1004MC correct for physical differences in data pool If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completed and a formal project project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject under the subject of the subject under the s	le a comprehensive a eport. the Neighborhood section of the formulate your conclusions, pro- ions set forth in the rain the subject neighborhood section and upply low and increa selling in less than 3 analysis can be mis. See Market Conditions The results of the section of	and reliab appraisal repor vide both an ev neighborh oorhood ir dendum. ased upwa months w leading d tions Con	ole basis for the total process of the same of the sam	r the conclusions set d any additional information, such pport for your conclusions. In of the attached app psed sales, listings, pe ne time, the number of the on prices and prope d competitively (based tace on small data sam on Page 1 Project Nar Current – 3 Months Trial of REO listings and explain the tre raiser Name	rais rais rais rais rais rais rais rais	al report, to the same all report all reports and inabout a same all reports and inabout all reports and in the same all reports and in this address and in this addre	he expi	appraiser lired and was remaine overall meng sales). Overall Trend Stable Stable	has ithd ed v diar Re	irawn very n days eaders o Declining Declining Increasing


Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

Photograph Addendum


Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17072 Cantara St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



Median \$



Housing Supply



Sales DOM

Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17072 Cantara St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



Subject Front

17072 Cantara St

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 1,235
Total Rooms 5
Total Bedrooms 3
Total Bathrooms 2.0



Additional Front View



Street View

Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17072 Cantara St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



Additional Front View

17072 Cantara St

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 1,235
Total Rooms 5
Total Bedrooms 3
Total Bathrooms 2.0

 Location
 A;AircrftNoise;

 View
 N;Res;

 Site
 6000 sf

 Quality
 Q4

 Age
 70



Subject Peeling Paint

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17072 Cantara St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	Sta	te CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



Comparable 1

17201 Willard St

Quality Age

Prox. to Subject 0.15 miles W 832,000 Sale Price Gross Living Area 1,676 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location A;AircrftNoise; N;Res; View 6155 sf Site

Q4

64



Comparable 2

7651 Aldea Ave

 Prox. to Subject
 0.69 miles SW

 Sale Price
 875,000

 Gross Living Area
 1,073

 Total Rooms
 5

 Total Bedrooms
 3

 Total Bathrooms
 1.0

 Location
 A;AircrftNoise;

 View
 N;Res;

 Site
 7638 sf

 Quality
 Q4

 Age
 71



Comparable 3

7651 Oak Park Ave

 Prox. to Subject
 0.65 miles S

 Sale Price
 765,000

 Gross Living Area
 1,254

 Total Rooms
 5

 Total Bedrooms
 3

 Total Bathrooms
 1.0

 Location
 A;AircrftNoise;

 View
 N;Res;

 Site
 7906 sf

 Quality
 Q4

 Age
 73

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17072 Cantara St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



Comparable 4

16915 Lanark St

Prox. to Subject 0.20 miles SE
Sale Price 925,000
Gross Living Area 1,246
Total Rooms 5
Total Bedrooms 3
Total Bathrooms 2.0

Location A;BsyRd;AircrftNoise

 View
 N;Res;

 Site
 8706 sf

 Quality
 Q4

 Age
 70



Comparable 5

7642 Wish Ave

 Prox. to Subject
 0.66 miles S

 Sale Price
 824,900

 Gross Living Area
 1,073

 Total Rooms
 5

 Total Bedrooms
 3

 Total Bathrooms
 1.0

 Location
 A;AircrftNoise;

 View
 N;Res;

 Site
 7960 sf

 Quality
 Q4

 Age
 72

Comparable 6

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

OWNER INFORMATION			
Owner Name	Redwood Holdings LLC	Tax Billing Zip	90278
Owner Name 2		Tax Billing Zip+4	1230
Mail Owner Name	Redwood Holdings LLC	Owner Vesting	
Tax Billing Address	2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd #100	Owner Occupied	No
Tax Billing City & State	Redondo Beach, CA	No Mail Flag	III yawana miximpaanana mixims
LOCATION INCODES TON			
LOCATION INFORMATION	Taima	1400180 N N ×	
Zip Code	91406	Location Influence	
Carrier Route	C018	TGNO	www.pigg
Zoning	LAR1	Census Tract	1311.00
Tract Number	16774	Topography	Rolling/Hilly
School District	Los Angeles	Township Range Sect	
Comm College District Code	Los Angeles City	Neighborhood Code	
TAX INFORMATION			
APN	2204-028-025	Tax Appraisal Area	
Alternate APN	********************************	Lot	140
Exemption(s)		Block	
% Improved	53%	Water Tax Dist	Southern California
Tax Area	16	Fire Dept Tax Dist	
Legal Description	TRACT # 16774 LOT 140	The Dept Tax Dist	
ASSESSMENT & TAX	(0.00)	1444	72202:
Assessment Year	2021	2020	2019
Assessed Value - Total	\$323,420	\$320,105	\$313,830
Assessed Value - Land	\$152,676	\$151,111	\$148,149
Assessed Value - Improved	\$170,744	\$168,994	\$165,681
OY Assessed Change (\$)	\$3,315	\$6,275	
OY Assessed Change (%)	1.04%	2%	
Exempt Building Value		-647	
Exempt Land Value			
Exempt Total Value			
Tax Year	Total Tax	Change (\$)	Change (%)
2019	\$3,903		
2020	\$4,060	\$156	4.01%
2021	\$4,022	-\$38	-0.93%
Special Assessment		Tax Amount	
Safe Clean Water83		\$68.65	
aco Vectr Cntrl80		\$14.97	
Frauma/Emerg Srv86		\$52.36	
acity Park Dist21		\$17.27	
a Stormwater 21		\$20.76	
Rposd Measure A 83		\$20.99	
Flood Control 62		\$26.04	
Total Of Special Assessments		\$221.04	
OUADAGTERIOTICS			
CHARACTERISTICS	2		
County Land Use	Single Family Resid	Cooling Type	
Universal Land Use	SFR	Patio Type	Covered Patio
Lot Frontage	60	Garage Type	Parking Avail
Lot Depth	100	Garage Sq Ft	
Lot Acres	0.1378	Parking Type	On Site
Lot Area	6,001	Parking Spaces	2
Lot Shape		Roof Type	
Style	Conventional	Roof Material	Composition Shingle
Building Sq Ft	1,235	Roof Frame	
Gross Area		Roof Shape	Hip
2nd Floor Area		Construction Type	
ZIIU FIUUI AIBA			

Page 1/3

Exhibit: Tax Records - Page 2

Stories	1		Exterior		Stucco
Total Units	1		Floor Cover		Hardwood
Total Rooms	5		Flooring Material		
Bedrooms	Tax: 2 MLS	: 3	Foundation		Raised
Total Baths	2		Pool		
MLS Total Baths	2		Year Built		1952
Full Baths	2		Effective Year Built		1952
Half Baths	(******-0-0)		Other Impvs		Fence, Fenced Yard
Dining Rooms			Equipment		CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE
Family Rooms			Porch		
Other Rooms			Patio/Deck 1 Area		
Fireplaces			Patio/Deck 2 Area		
Condo Amenities			Porch 1 Area		
Condition			Porch Type		Type Holmowe
Quality			Building Type		Type Unknown
Water			Bldg Class		
Sewer	Type Unkn	own	Building Comments		211-1-1-1-1-1-1
Heat Type	Central		# of Buildings		1
Heat Fuel Type					
ESTIMATED VALUE					
RealAVM™	\$695,000		Confidence Score	[75
RealAVM™ Range	\$639,400 - 5	\$750,600	Forecast Standard D	eviation	8
Value As Of	04/23/2022				
f) The FSD denotes confidence in an stimate will fall within, based on the c	AVM estimate and uses a consistent sometime of the information available.	scale and meaning to generate a standard le to the AVM at the time of estimation. Th	ized confidence metric. The FSD is a sta ne FSD can be used to create confidence	atistic that measures the l that the true value has a	likely range or dispersion an AVM a statistical degree of certainty.
LISTING INFORMATION					
MLS Listing Number	SR2206190	8	Pending Date		
MLS Status	Closed		Closing Date		04/25/2022
MLS Area	LKBL - LAN	CE BALBOA	MLS Sale Price		\$802,000
MLS Status Change Dat	e 04/25/2022		MLS Listing Agent		Sr207062620-Richie Herrera
MLS Current List Price	\$699,995		MLS Listing Broker		PARK REGENCY REALTY
MLS Original List Price	\$699,995		MLS Source		CN
					NAVIII.
MLS Listing #			F1832501		
27.1			Expired		
MLS Status			01/30/2010		
			\$339,900		
MLS Listing Date			00000 20UU		
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price					
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price			\$360,000		
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price					
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price					
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date	Date				
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price	Date				
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I	Date		\$360,000		
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source			\$360,000		
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source	LES HISTORY		\$360,000 CN		
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date	LES HISTORY 04/25/2022		\$360,000 CN Sale Type		Full
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/	2022 MLS: 04/25/2022	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type		Grant Deed
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000	2022 MLS: 04/25/2022	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name		
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/	2022 MLS: 04/25/2022	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2		Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000 \$649.39	2022 MLS: 04/25/2022	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name		Grant Deed
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000	2022 MLS: 04/25/2022	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2		Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000 \$649.39		\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller		Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000 \$649.39 445860	11/13/2018	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller	02/12/2003	Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr 02/12/2003
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000 \$649.39		\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller		Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000 \$649.39 445860	11/13/2018	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller	02/12/2003	Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr 02/12/2003
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000 \$649.39 445860 04/25/2022 04/18/2022	11/13/2018	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller	02/12/2003	Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr 02/12/2003
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000 \$649.39 445860 04/25/2022 04/18/2022	11/13/2018 10/02/2018 Y Martinez Evaristo & Ma	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 02/09/2007 01/31/2007 Y Martinez Evaristo & Ma	02/12/2003 01/30/2003	Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr 02/12/2003 01/30/2003
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Price Nominal Buyer Name	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18// \$802,000 \$649.39 445860 04/25/2022 04/18/2022 \$802,000 Redwood Holdings LL	11/13/2018 10/02/2018 Y Martinez Evaristo & Ma	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 02/09/2007 01/31/2007 Y Martinez Evaristo & Maria D B D	02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y Martinez Evaris	Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr 02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y sto Martinez Evaristo
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18// \$802,000 \$649.39 445860 04/25/2022 04/18/2022 \$802,000 Redwood Holdings LL C Martinez E & M L/Tr	11/13/2018 10/02/2018 Y Martinez Evaristo & Maria L/Tr Martinez Evaristo	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 02/09/2007 01/31/2007 Y Martinez Evaristo & Maria D B D Martinez Evaristo	02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y Martinez Evaris	Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr 02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y sto Martinez Evaristo sto Martinez Evaristo
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Price Nominal Buyer Name Seller Name	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000 \$649.39 445860 04/25/2022 04/18/2022 \$802,000 Redwood Holdings LL C Martinez E & M L/Tr 445860	11/13/2018 10/02/2018 Y Martinez Evaristo & Maria L/Tr Martinez Evaristo 1142901	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 02/09/2007 01/31/2007 Y Martinez Evaristo & Maria D B D Martinez Evaristo 285054	02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y Martinez Evaris Martinez Evaris 431440	Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr 02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y sto Martinez Evaristo sto Martinez Evaristo 424629
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18// \$802,000 \$649.39 445860 04/25/2022 04/18/2022 \$802,000 Redwood Holdings LL C Martinez E & M L/Tr	11/13/2018 10/02/2018 Y Martinez Evaristo & Maria L/Tr Martinez Evaristo	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 02/09/2007 01/31/2007 Y Martinez Evaristo & Maria D B D Martinez Evaristo	02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y Martinez Evaris	Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr 02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y sto Martinez Evaristo sto Martinez Evaristo 424629
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Price Nominal Buyer Name Seller Name	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000 \$649.39 445860 04/25/2022 04/18/2022 \$802,000 Redwood Holdings LL C Martinez E & M L/Tr 445860	11/13/2018 10/02/2018 Y Martinez Evaristo & Maria L/Tr Martinez Evaristo 1142901	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 02/09/2007 01/31/2007 Y Martinez Evaristo & Maria D B D Martinez Evaristo 285054	02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y Martinez Evaris Martinez Evaris 431440	Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr 02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y sto Martinez Evaristo sto Martinez Evaristo 424629
MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation I MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SA Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Price Nominal Buyer Name Seller Name	04/25/2022 Tax: 04/18/ \$802,000 \$649.39 445860 04/25/2022 04/18/2022 \$802,000 Redwood Holdings LL C Martinez E & M L/Tr 445860	11/13/2018 10/02/2018 Y Martinez Evaristo & Maria L/Tr Martinez Evaristo 1142901	\$360,000 CN Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 02/09/2007 01/31/2007 Y Martinez Evaristo & Maria D B D Martinez Evaristo 285054	02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y Martinez Evaris Martinez Evaris 431440	Grant Deed Redwood Holdings LLC Martinez E & M L/Tr 02/12/2003 01/30/2003 Y sto Martinez Evaristo sto Martinez Evaristo 424629

Exhibit: Tax Records - Page 3

Recording Date	02/12/2003		10/01/1999	10/01/1999	
Sale Date	01/30/2003		07/13/1999	09/14/1999	
Sale Price			\$165,000	\$165,000	
Nominal	Y				
Buyer Name	Martinez Ev	varisto	Martinez Evaristo	Martinez Ev	varisto
Seller Name	Bello Carla	L	Guzey Delores M	Guzey Delo	ores M
Document Number	424628		1881714	1881713	
Document Type	Quit Claim	Deed	Grant Deed	Quit Claim	Deed
MORTGAGE HISTORY					
Mortgage Date	12/03/2007	02/09/2007	09/08/2005	03/16/2005	12/27/2004
Mortgage Amount	\$27,874	\$387,100	\$30,000	\$270,715	\$251,794
Mortgage Lender	American General Fin'l Svcs	Option One Mtg Corp	Household Fin Corp/Ca	Household Fin Corp/Ca	Household Fin Corp/Ca
Mortgage Code	Conventional	Conventional	Conventional	Conventional	Conventional
Mortgage Date	03/30/2004		02/04/2002	10/01/1999	
Mortgage Amount	\$65,473		\$24,000	\$163,903	
Mortgage Lender	The second secon	Fin Corp/Ca	Bank Of America	Miscellane	ous Fin
Mortgage Code	Convention		Conventional	Fha	

Plat Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17072 Cantara St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							




Location Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17072 Cantara St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



Aerial Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17072 Cantara St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	Stat	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



Zoning Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17072 Cantara St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



Loan # 49340 e No. 69205

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high-level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

03

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear			
A	Adverse	Location & View			
ac	Acres	Area, Site			
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location			
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location			
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions			
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)			
В	Beneficial	Location & View			
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade			
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade			
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location			
c	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time			
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions			
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location			
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions			
Ср	Carport	Garage/Carport			
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions			
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View			
CtyStr	City Street View	View			
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport			
DOM DT	Days On Market Detached Structure	Data Sources			
		Design (Style)			
dw	Driveway Finitedian Pete	Garage/Carport			
ee	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time			
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions			
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions			
g	Garage	Garage/Carport			
ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport			
gbi	Built-in Garage	Garage/Carport			
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport			
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location			
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View			
GR	Garden	Design (Style)			
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)			
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade			
Ind	Industrial	Location & View			
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions			
Lndfl	Landfill	Location			
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View			
MR	Mid-rise	Design (Style)			
Mtn	Mountain View	View			
N	Neutral	Location & View			
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions			
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade			
0	Other	Design (Style)			
ор	Open	Garage/Carport			
Prk	Park View				
		View			
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View			
PwrLn	Power Lines	View			
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location			
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions			
REO .	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions			
Res	Residential	Location & View			
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions			
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade			
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)			
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time			
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)			
Chart	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions			
Short	SHOIL Sale				
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement			
		Area, Site, Basement Area, Site			
sf	Square Feet				
sf sqm	Square Feet Square Meters	Area, Site			
sf sqm Unk	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time			
sf sqm Unk VA	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions			
sf sqm Unk VA W	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade			
sf sqm Unk VA W wo Woods	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View			
sf sqm Unk VA w wo Woods Wtr	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View			
sf sqm Unk VA W wo Woods WtrFr	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View Water Frontage	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View Location			
sf sqm Unk VA w wo Woods Wtr	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View Water Frontage Walk Up Basement	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View			
sf sqm Unk VA W WO Woods Wtr WtrFr wu	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View Water Frontage Walk Up Basement Additional Abbreviations	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View Location Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade			
sf sqm Unk VA w wo Woods Wtr WtrFr wu	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View Water Frontage Walk Up Basement	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View Location Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade Sales Comparison Commentary			
sf sqm Unk VA W WO Woods Wtr WtrFr wu	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View Water Frontage Walk Up Basement Additional Abbreviations	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View Location Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade			
sf sqm Unk VA w wo Woods Wtr WtrFr wu	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View Water Frontage Walk Up Basement Additional Abbreviations Gross Living Area	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View Location Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade Sales Comparison Commentary			
sf sqm Unk VA w wo Woods Wtr WtrFr wu GLA MLS	Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View Water Frontage Walk Up Basement Additional Abbreviations Gross Living Area Multiple Listing Service	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View Location Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade Sales Comparison Commentary Listing History, Contract Analysis & Sales Comparison Commentary			

UAD Version 9/2011 (Updated 1/2014)

License



Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE

A BUA BUA BUA BUA BUA BUA BUA

Brian S. Mathews

has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title:

"Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser"

This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law.

BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:

AR 004130

Effective Date:
Date Expires:

May 28, 2020

May 27, 2022

Jiny Martin, Bureau Chief, BREA

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A TRUE WATERMARK - HOLD UP TO LIGHT TO SEE "CHAIN LINK"



CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 10/11/2021

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.

IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(ies) must have ADDITIONAL INSURED provisions or be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s).

PRODUCER

| CONTACT | Floria Chen |

20	surance, a Marsh & McLennan Ager N Martingale Road te 100	ncy LL	C company	NAME: Floria Criefi PHONE (A/C, No, Ext): 312-625-5592 E-MAIL E-MAIL FAX (A/C, No): (847) 440-9123 E-MAIL FAX (A/C, No): (847) 440-9123					
	naumburg IL 60173			INS	NAIC#				
				INSURER A : AXA Ins	urance Comp	any	31127		
INSU			CLEAHOL-02	INSURER B :					
	arCapital.com, Inc.			INSURER C :					
	arCapital Holdings, Inc. E 2nd Street			INSURER D :					
	te 1405		8	INSURER E :					
Re	no NV 89501		8	INSURER F:					
CO	VERAGES CER	TIFICA	TE NUMBER: 667417962	INCORER F.		REVISION NUMBER:			
CE	IIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES DICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY RE ERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY R ICLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH	QUIRE	MENT, TERM OR CONDITION N, THE INSURANCE AFFORDS ES. LIMITS SHOWN MAY HAVE	OF ANY CONTRACT ED BY THE POLICIE BEEN REDUCED BY	OR OTHER I S DESCRIBED PAID CLAIMS.	DOCUMENT WITH RESPEC	T TO WHICH THIS		
INSR LTR		INSD W		POLICY EFF (MM/DD/YYYY)	POLICY EXP (MM/DD/YYYY)	LIMITS	5		
	COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY					EACH OCCURRENCE	\$		
	CLAIMS-MADE OCCUR					DAMAGE TO RENTED PREMISES (Ea occurrence)	\$		
							\$		
						PERSONAL & ADV INJURY	\$		
	GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER:					GENERAL AGGREGATE	\$		
	POLICY PRO- LOC					PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG	\$		
	OTHER:						\$		
	AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY					COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT (Ea accident)	\$		
	ANY AUTO						\$		
	OWNED SCHEDULED AUTOS					BODILY INJURY (Per accident)	\$		
	AUTOS ONLY AUTOS NON-OWNED AUTOS ONLY AUTOS ONLY					PROPERTY DAMAGE (Per accident)	s		
	ADTOCONE! ACTOCONE!					(i or deadorn)	\$		
	UMBRELLA LIAB OCCUR					EACH OCCURRENCE	\$		
	EXCESS LIAB CLAIMS-MADE					THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS O	\$		
	DED RETENTION \$						\$		
	WORKERS COMPENSATION					PER OTH-	, T		
	AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ANYPROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE	DOMONO:				ne-mile-environan-Ne-mil-	s		
	OFFICER/MEMBEREXCLUDED? (Mandatory in NH)	N/A					\$		
	If yes, describe under DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below				1	Suresia report free processors and a	s		
Α	Professional Liability		MPP9044163	10/18/2021	10/18/2022	Claim/Aggregate	\$5,000,000		
	144 / 2000-1-03 (1000000) (1000000) (10000000)						ABOLESTO CONTROLL		
RE	RIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICL PROOF OF INSURANCE agreed that the following is an Additional	12 R 50		W N SN SN SN					
CEI	RTIFICATE HOLDER			CANCELLATION					
Clario Appraisal Network, Inc.				SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS. AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE					
	M .			office 1	000				

ACORD 25 (2016/03)

The ACORD name and logo are registered marks of ACORD

© 1988-2015 ACORD CORPORATION. All rights reserved.