# Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

32660135 File# 220502w

	The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	rt is to provide the lender/client with an	accurate, and adequately supported, of	ppinion of the market value	of the subject property.
	Property Address 1635 Alison Ave		City Mountain View	State CA	Zip Code 94040
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC	Owner of Public Reco	ord Thomas, Edward Joseph/Edv	vard Josepl County Sant	a Clara
	Legal Description TRACT 1428 BLOCk	K 7 8 BOOK 57 PAGE 38 PAGE 3	9 BLOCK 8 LOT 7		
	Assessor's Parcel # 193-20-035		Tax Year 2021	R.E. Taxes \$	1,646
H	Neighborhood Name Santa Clara		Map Reference 41940	Census Tract	5098.02
SUBJECT	Occupant 🔀 Owner 🗌 Tenant 🗌 Vaca	ant Special Assessments		PUD HOA\$ 0	per year per month
单	Property Rights Appraised	Leasehold Other (describe)			
รเ	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction		r (describe) Servicing		
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc.		5 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 1	00. Redondo Beach. C	A 90278
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale of				Yes X No
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and				
	<u> </u>				
	I did did not analyze the contract for	sale for the subject purchase transaction. Exp	plain the results of the analysis of the contra	act for sale or why the analysis	s was not
	performed.	, ,	,	, ,	
ဌ					
RΑ	Contract Price \$ Date of Cont	tract Is the property selle	er the owner of public record?	s No Data Source(s)	
CONTRACT	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa			f of the borrower?	Yes No
ᅙ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe		, , , , ,		
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the r	neighborhood are not appraisal factors			
	Neighborhood Characteristics		nit Housing Trends	One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
			•	0	
	Location Urban Suburban Duit Up 25, 75%	Rural Property Values Increas		PRICE AGE	One-Unit 80 %
2	Built-Up Over 75% 25-75%	Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortage		-	2-4 Unit 5 %
ŏ	Growth Rapid Stable	Slow Marketing Time Under 3			Multi-Family 5 %
숨		by El Camino Real north,Grant R	d. east, Cuesta Dr. south, and	4,500 High 99	Commercial 5 %
鱼	S Springer Rd. west.			2,810 Pred. 65	Other 5 %
<b>NEIGHBORHOOD</b>		major employment area is 1-15 m			
岁	public transportation, recreation facili			e, and appeal to the ma	arket is good.
	Protection from detrimental condition				
	Market Conditions (including support for the above		he homes in the subject's marke		
	have been sold or are under contract		s such as average Sale Price / L	ist Price of 100%+ are	typical of a stable
	market. Properties competitively price				
	Dimensions 60 ft x 100 ft	Area 6000 sf	Shape Rectangu	ılar/Typical <sup>View</sup> N	;Res;Res
	Specific Zoning Classification R1		Single Family Residential		
	Zoning Compliance 🔀 Legal 🗌 Legal None		<u> </u>		
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as			Yes No If No, de	scribe Subject is in a
	residential SFR area and the highest				
	Utilities Public Other (describe)			provements - Type	Public Private
SITE	Electricity \( \sum_{\text{\tinc{\text{\ti}\text{\texi{\text{\ti}}}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}}}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\titt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texit}\titt{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\tet	Water 🔀 🗌	Street As	•	
S	Gas 🔀 🗌	Sanitary Sewer 🔀	Alley No	-	
		No FEMA Flood Zone X500	FEMA Map # 06085C0039H	FEMA Map	Date 05/18/2009
	Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical		No If No, describe		
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external f	actors (easements, encroachments, environn	nental conditions, land uses, etc.)?	Yes X No	If Yes, describe
	C ()				D 1 0
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pr	roperty Appraisal Files ML	<u> </u>		Property Owner
	Other (describe) Parcelquest	Conoral Description	Data Source for Gross Living Area	Parcelquest	Car Storago
	General Description	General Description	Heating/Cooling	Amenities Non	Car Storage
	Units   ✓ One   ✓ One with Accessory Unit	Concrete Slab Crawl Space		place(s) # 1 None	"
	# of Stories 1	Full Basement Finished			eway # of Cars 0
	Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Partial Basement Finished			Surface Concrete/Typica
	Existing Proposed Under Const.	Exterior Walls Stucco/Wood		Ch None Gara	-
	Design (Style) Ranch	Roof Surface Shingle		None Carp	
	Year Built 1955	Gutters & Downspouts Metal	☐ Individual		
	Effective Age (Yrs) 35	Window Type DP windows		er None Built	-in
	Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven			r (describe)	
ITS	Finished area above grade contains:	6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms		78 Square Feet of Gross Li	ving Area Above Grade
Ē	Additional features (special energy efficient items	, etc.) Interior: Home has typic	al amenities for its neighborhood	d.	
Ē					
õ	Describe the condition of the property and data so			,,	
IMPROVEMENTS	maintenance noted. Physical depred				he neighborhood,
=	and well accepted in the local market	t. No functional depreciation was	noted. No external depreciation	was observed.	
	<u> </u>				<b>3</b>
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ac	dverse conditions that affect the livability, sou	ndness, or structural integrity of the proper	ty? Yes	<b>▼</b> No
	If Yes, describe.				
	<u> </u>				
	Does the property generally conform to the neight	borhood (functional utility, style, condition, us	e, construction, etc.)?	Yes No If No, descri	De.
	Does the property generally conform to the neight	borhood (functional utility, style, condition, us	e, construction, etc.)?	Yes No If No, descri	be.

# Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

32660135 File# 220502w

There are 1 comparable	properties currently	offered for sale in t	the subject neighborh	ood ranging in price	from \$ 2,098,000	n	to \$ 2,0	198 000
					rice from \$ 1,870,0			3,290,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT		LE SALE # 1		BLE SALE # 2	000		LE SALE # 3
	JUDJECT		LL JALL // I		DEL SALL // Z	1600		
Address 1635 Alison Ave		736 Lola Ln	24 24242	1663 Tulane Dr	0.1.0.10		Begen Ave	
Mountain View, C	A 94040	Mountain View, 0	SA 94040	Mountain View,	CA 94040		ntain View, C	SA 94040
Proximity to Subject		0.11 miles SE	Ι.	0.12 miles SW	Ι.		miles NE	Ι.
	\$		\$ 2,820,000		\$ 2,810,000			\$ 2,800,000
	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 2393.89 sq.ft.		\$ 2333.89 sq.ft.		\$ 2	376.91 sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)		MLSL#81879367	7;DOM 8	MLSL #8188060	02;DOM 7	Real	quest#0;DO	M unk
Verification Source(s)		PQ, DOC# 2526	2298	PQ, DOC# 2527	75510	PQ,	DOC# 2523	7909
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		ArmLth	,	ArmLth	, ,	ArmL		,,,,
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0		Conv		
Date of Sale/Time		· ·					•	
Location	N-Das-Das	s03/22;c03/22		s04/22;c03/22			22;c01/22	
	N;Res;Res	N;Res;Res		N;Res;Res			s;Res	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple			Simple	
Site	6000 sf	6465 sf	0	6284 sf	0	6500		0
View	N;Res;Res	N;Res;Res		N;Res;Res		N;Re	s;Res	
Design (Style)	DT1;Ranch	DT1;Ranch		DT1;Ranch		DT1;	Ranch	
Quality of Construction	Q3	Q3		Q3		Q3		
Actual Age	67	67		70	0	67		
Condition	C3	C3		C3		C3		
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths			Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	6 3 2.0	6 3 2.0		6 3 2.0		6	3 2.0	
Gross Living Area					_			
•	1,178 sq.ft.			1,204 sq.ft.	. 0		1,178 sq.ft.	
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0sf		0sf		
Rooms Below Grade						-		
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Aver		
Heating/Cooling	FWA/None	FWA/None		FWA/None		FWA	/None	
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None		None	)	
Garage/Carport	2ga	2ga		2ga		2ga		
Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio	Patio		Patio		Patio	)	
Fireplaces	1	1		1		1	·	
Pool/Spa	No	No		No		No		
1 P00/Spa	INO	INO		INO		INO		
Not Adicator and /Tatal)			Φ -		<b>.</b>			<b>.</b>
Net Adjustment (Total)		+	\$ 0		\$ 0			\$ 0
		Net Adj. 0.0 %						
Adjusted Sale Price		,		Net Adj. 0.0 %		Net Ad	0.0	
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 0.0 %	\$ 2,820,000	Gross Adj. 0.0 %			0.0	
of Comparables	he sale or transfer histo	Gross Adj. 0.0 %		Gross Adj. 0.0 %			0.0	
of Comparables	he sale or transfer histo	Gross Adj. 0.0 %	\$ 2,820,000	Gross Adj. 0.0 %			0.0	
of Comparables	he sale or transfer histo	Gross Adj. 0.0 %	\$ 2,820,000	Gross Adj. 0.0 %			0.0	
of Comparables      did   did not research the		Gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale	Gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain		Gross	0.0	
of Comparables      did   did not research the	not reveal any prior sale	Gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale	Gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain	\$ 2,810,000	Gross	0.0	
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the did no	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale	Gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the e	\$ 2,810,000	Gross raisal.	0.0	
of Comparables  I  did  did not research tl  My research  did  did not	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale	Gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the e	\$ 2,810,000	Gross raisal.	0.0	
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the did not	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul  es or transfers of the co	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale bject property for the the	cross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the eyear prior to the date of	\$ 2,810,000	Gross raisal.	Adj. 0.0 %	
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the did no	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject or transfers of the corr sale or transfer history	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale bject property for the th mparable sales for the y of the subject property	es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e  year prior to the date of	\$ 2,810,000  Iffective date of this appropriate of the comparable	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %	\$ 2,800,000
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the did  did not research the did n	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul  es or transfers of the co	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale bject property for the the	es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e  year prior to the date of	\$ 2,810,000	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %	
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the did  did not research the did n	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022	Gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject or transfers of the corr sale or transfer history	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale bject property for the th mparable sales for the y of the subject property	es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e  year prior to the date of	\$ 2,810,000  Iffective date of this appropriate of the comparable	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %	\$ 2,800,000
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the did  did not research	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale bject property for the th mparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S	es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e  year prior to the date of	\$ 2,810,000  Iffective date of this appropriate of the comparable	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %	\$ 2,800,000
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the did  did not research  did not r	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale bject property for the th mparable sales for the y of the subject property	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1	\$ 2,810,000  Iffective date of this appropriate of the comparable	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %	\$ 2,800,000
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the did  did not research the did not research did not research the did not research did not research the did not resear	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT  Data	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale bject property for the th mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI	# 2,810,000  Iffective date of this apple  If sale of the comparable  If (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 3)	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %	\$ 2,800,000  RABLE SALE #3
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the did  did not research  did not r	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT  Data	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sale bject property for the th mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02	# 2,810,000  Iffective date of this apple  If sale of the comparable  If (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 3)	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %  page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022	\$ 2,800,000  RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the did  did not research the did not research did not research the did not research did not research the did not resear	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul  es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %  page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022	\$ 2,800,000  RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2
My research did did not research the did not research the did did not research the did not research the did did not research the did not research did the not research did not research the not research did not research the not research did not research the not research did not research did not research did not research and the not research did not research di	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul  es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %  page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022	\$ 2,800,000  RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2
My research did did not research the did not research the did did not research the did not research the did did not research the did not research did the not research did not research the not research did not research the not research did not research the not research did not research did not research did not research and the not research did not research di	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul  es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %  page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022	\$ 2,800,000  RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2
My research did did not research the did not research the did did not research the did not research the did did not research the did not research did the not research did not research the not research did not research the not research did not research the not research did not research did not research did not research and the not research did not research di	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul  es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %  page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022	\$ 2,800,000  RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2
My research did did not research the did not research the did did not research the did not research the did did not research the did not research did the not research did not research the not research did not research the not research did not research the not research did not research did not research did not research and the not research did not research di	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul  es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale.	Adj. 0.0 %  page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022	\$ 2,800,000  RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the did  did not research the not research did not research the not research did not research the not research did not research did not research did not research the not research did not r	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s  within the past 36	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the th mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub S months.	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the e year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 pject had a deed	# 2,810,000  Iffective date of this apple  If sale of the comparable  If comparable some additional prior  If comparable s	raisal. sales or 2	Adj. 0.0 %  Adj. 0.0 %  Description of the page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022  0/2022 for \$	\$ 2,800,000  RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2  So. Subject,
of Comparables  I did did not research the did did not research d	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the sul es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s  within the past 36	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the th mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub 6 months.	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elegan prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 oject had a deed when the date of	# 2,810,000  Iffective date of this apple of sale of the comparable of the comparabl	raisal. sales or 2	Adj. 0.0 %  Adj. 0.0 %  Description of the page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022  0/2022 for \$  patible to the	RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2  So. Subject,
of Comparables  I did did not research the did did not research did not re	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  proach All sale been a limited nu	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the subject prope  es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable si  within the past 36  es are located with  mber of similar si	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub 6 months.	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elever prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 pject had a deed side and the ions . All comps a	# 2,810,000  Iffective date of this apple of the comparable of the	raisal. sale. sales or 2	Adj. 0.0 %  a page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022  0/2022 for \$  patible to the with similar	RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2  So. Subject,  e r amenities.
of Comparables  I did did not research the did did not research the did not research di	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  proach Deen a limited nu .ft. for gross living	Gross Adj. 0.0 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the subject prope  es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s  within the past 36  es are located with  mber of similar signarea difference of	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub 6 months.	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elevar prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 bject had a deed since and the ions . All comps a 00 per bedroom, \$100 cm.	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale. sales or 2	Adj. 0.0 %  a page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022  0/2022 for \$  patible to the with similar ,000 per ye	RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2  SO. Subject,  e r amenities. ar of age
of Comparables    My research   Mid   did not research the did   Mid   did not research the did   Mid   Mid	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  proach All sale been a limited nu .ft. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for Ice	Gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope es or transfers of the coes or transfers of the coes or transfer history JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable swithin the past 36 es are located with mber of similar sing area difference of size differe	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub S months.  hin the same mar ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desired.	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elevant prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 oject had a deed since and the ions . All comps a 100 per bedroom, 3 ifference. C1 & C	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale. sales or 2	Adj. 0.0 %  a page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022  0/2022 for \$  patible to the with similar ,000 per ye	RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2  SO. Subject,  e r amenities. ar of age
of Comparables  I did did not research the did did not research the did not research di	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  proach All sale been a limited nu .ft. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for Ice	Gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope es or transfers of the coes or transfers of the coes or transfer history JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable swithin the past 36 es are located with mber of similar sing area difference of size differe	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub S months.  hin the same mar ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desired.	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elevant prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 oject had a deed since and the ions . All comps a 100 per bedroom, 3 ifference. C1 & C	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale. sales or 2	Adj. 0.0 %  a page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022  0/2022 for \$  patible to the with similar ,000 per ye	RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2  SO. Subject,  e r amenities. ar of age
of Comparables    My research   Mid   did not research the did   Mid   did not research the did   Mid   Mid	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  proach All sale been a limited nu .ft. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for Ice	Gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope es or transfers of the coes or transfers of the coes or transfer history JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable swithin the past 36 es are located with mber of similar sing area difference of size differe	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub S months.  hin the same mar ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desired.	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elevant prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 oject had a deed since and the ions . All comps a 100 per bedroom, 3 ifference. C1 & C	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale. sales or 2	Adj. 0.0 %  a page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022  0/2022 for \$  patible to the with similar ,000 per ye	RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2  SO. Subject,  e r amenities. ar of age
of Comparables    My research   Mid   did not research the did   Mid   did not research the did   Mid   Mid	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  proach All sale been a limited nu .ft. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for Ice	Gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope es or transfers of the coes or transfers of the coes or transfer history JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable swithin the past 36 es are located with mber of similar sing area difference of size differe	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub S months.  hin the same mar ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desired.	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elevant prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 oject had a deed since and the ions . All comps a 100 per bedroom, 3 ifference. C1 & C	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale. sales or 2	Adj. 0.0 %  a page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022  0/2022 for \$  patible to the with similar ,000 per ye	RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2  SO. Subject,  e r amenities. ar of age
of Comparables    My research   Mid   did not research the did   Mid   did not research the did   Mid   Mid	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  proach All sale been a limited nu .ft. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for Ice	Gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope es or transfers of the coes or transfers of the coes or transfer history JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable swithin the past 36 es are located with mber of similar sing area difference of size differe	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub S months.  hin the same mar ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desired.	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elevant prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 oject had a deed since and the ions . All comps a 100 per bedroom, 3 ifference. C1 & C	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale. sales or 2	Adj. 0.0 %	RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2  So. Subject,  e r amenities. ar of age
of Comparables    My research   Mid   did not research the did   Mid   did not research the did   Mid   Mid	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior O1/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC O5/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  Droach All sale been a limited nu .ft. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for keently there is no li	Gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject of th	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub S months.  hin the same mar ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desired.	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elevant prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 oject had a deed since and the ions . All comps a 100 per bedroom, 3 ifference. C1 & C	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	raisal. sale. sales or 2	Adj. 0.0 %	RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2  So. Subject,  e r amenities. ar of age
of Comparables    My research   did   did not research the did   did not research the did   did not research the did   did not research   did not research	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior O1/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC O5/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  Droach All sale been a limited nu .ft. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for lo	Gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope es or transfers of the corresponding to the subject prope es or transfers of the corresponding to the subject property and comparable subject p	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub s months.  hin the same mar ze home transactioner 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf di ze homes in the a	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elegar prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02  Diject had a deed of the deed of	### 2,810,000  Iffective date of this applies of the comparable of	Gross raisal. sale. sales or 2	n page 3). COMPA  MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 0/2022 for \$  patible to the with similar, 000 per yellow paying for harmonic paying the paying for harmonic paying for ha	RABLE SALE #3  C Data C Data C Data C Data c ar of age ving been sold
of Comparables    My research   did   did not research the did   did not research the did   did not research the did   did not research   did n	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope es or transfers of the co r sale or transfer history JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s within the past 36 es are located with mber of similar size a rea difference of the size difference of the subject prope	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the th mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub S months.  hin the same marr ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf dee homes in the a	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elever prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 pject had a deed signs and the fions and comps and the fions and comps are prior to the date of the fions and comps are prior to the date of the fions and comparable sales are and the fions and per bedroom, sifference. C1 & Grea.	ffective date of this apple of the comparable of	Gross  raisal.  sale.  sales or  0  0  0  0  oroach (	Adj. 0.0 %  a page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022  0/2022 for \$  patible to the with similar, 000 per yellow eavily for harmonic fif developed) \$	RABLE SALE #3  C Data 2  CO. Subject,  e r amenities. ar of age ving been sold
of Comparables  I did did not research the did did not research did not re	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope es or transfers of the co r sale or transfer history JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s within the past 36 es are located with mber of similar size of area difference of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope ses or transfers of the subjec	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub S months.  hin the same marr ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf deep to the year of the	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elever prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 Diject had a deed of the date of	ffective date of this apple of sale of the comparable of the compa	sales or 2  a commomes ath, \$1 ore here	n page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022  0/2022 for \$  patible to the with similar ,000 per yee eavily for har if developed) \$  oped area.	RABLE SALE #3  C Data  C Data  C Data  c ar of age ving been sold  COST
of Comparables  I did did not research the did did not research did not re	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope es or transfers of the co r sale or transfer history JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s within the past 36 es are located with mber of similar size of area difference of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope ses or transfers of the subjec	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub S months.  hin the same marr ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf deep to the year of the	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elever prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 Diject had a deed of the date of	ffective date of this apple of sale of the comparable of the compa	sales or 2  a commomes ath, \$1 ore here	n page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/NDC  05/02/2022  0/2022 for \$  patible to the with similar ,000 per yee eavily for har if developed) \$  oped area.	RABLE SALE #3  C Data  C Data  C Data  c ar of age ving been sold  COST
of Comparables  I did did not research the did did not research did not re	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  proach All sale been a limited nu st. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for lo ently there is no li  on Approach \$ given predominar ak supporting indi-	gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the subject prope es or transfers of the co r sale or transfer history JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable s within the past 36 es are located with mber of similar size area difference of tot size difference sisting of similar size area difference of size d	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y r of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub S months.  hin the same mar ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf dependence of the subject property Cost Approach (if devenes the saction of the same mar see homes in the answer of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see homes in the answer of the same mar see homes in the answer of the same mar see homes in the answer of the same mar see homes in the answer of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence of the same mar see home transaction over 1,000sf dependence over 1,000sf dependenc	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elever prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 Dject had a deed of the date of t	# 2,810,000  Iffective date of this apple of sale of the comparable of the comparabl	sales or 2  n 01/2 s comnomes th, \$1 ore he or oach (development)	patible to the with similar, 000 per yee eavily for harmoned oped-Relial	RABLE SALE #3  C Data C Data C Subject,  e r amenities. ar of age ving been sold  COST ble data is not
of Comparables  I did did not research the did did not research did not re	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  or transfer history  or transfer history  and \$5/sq.ft. for ice ently there is no li  on Approach signiven predominar ak supporting indie s", subject to	gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject properson of the subject of the subject properson of the sub	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub in the same mar ize home transactioner 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf dige homes in the a  Cost Approach (if development of the sales in the a cost action to the sales action to the sales in the sales and specifications of the sales and specific	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elever prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 Dject had a deed with the date of	# 2,810,000  Iffective date of this apple of sale of the comparable of the comparabl	sales or 22  n 01/2 s commomes ath, \$1 ore he development (development)	patible to the swith similar ,000 per yee eavily for har improvements improvements	RABLE SALE #3  C Data C Data C Data er amenities. ar of age ving been sold  COST ble data is not  have been
of Comparables  I did did not research the did did not research did not re	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  proach All sale been a limited nu st. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for lo ently there is no li  on Approach \$ given predominar ak supporting indi st, Subject to following repairs or a	gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope as or transfers of the subject prope as or transfers of the subject prope as or transfers of the contract of transfer history.  Data  Data  perty and comparable so within the past 36 area difference of similar size area difference of size difference of siz	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub 6 months.  hin the same mar ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf dependence of the sales in the a  Cost Approach (if devenest reflects action seliable with new holes and specifications of a hypothetical of the sales are sales.)	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elever prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 Dject had a deed of the date of t	### 2,810,000  ################################	sales or 22  n 01/2 s commomes ath, \$1 ore he development (development)	patible to the swith similar ,000 per yee eavily for har improvements improvements	RABLE SALE #3  C Data C Data C Data er amenities. ar of age ving been sold  COST ble data is not  have been
of Comparables  I did did not research the did did not research did not re	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  proach All sale been a limited nu st. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for lo ently there is no li  on Approach \$ given predominar ak supporting indi st, Subject to following repairs or a	gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope as or transfers of the subject prope as or transfers of the subject prope as or transfers of the contract of transfer history.  Data  Data  perty and comparable so within the past 36 area difference of similar size area difference of size difference of siz	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub 6 months.  hin the same mar ze home transactiover 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf dependence of the sales in the a  Cost Approach (if devenest reflects action seliable with new holes and specifications of a hypothetical of the sales are sales.)	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elever prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 Dject had a deed of the date of t	### 2,810,000  ################################	sales or 22  n 01/2 s commomes ath, \$1 ore he development (development)	patible to the swith similar ,000 per yee eavily for har improvements improvements	RABLE SALE #3  C Data C Data C Data er amenities. ar of age ving been sold  COST ble data is not  have been
of Comparables    My research   did   did not research the late of Prior Sale/Transfer	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 01/20/2022 \$0 MLSL/NDC 05/02/2022 story of the subject pro or transfer history  or transfer history  and \$5/sq.ft. for ice ently there is no li con Approach given predominar ak supporting indicate s", subject to following repairs or a ed on the extraordina	gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject proper ses or transfers of the subject proper ses or transfers of the subject proper ses or transfers of the contract of the subject proper ses or transfers of the subject of transfer history JBJECT  Data  perty and comparable ses are located with mber of similar sized area difference of the size difference	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub in the same mar ize home transactioner 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf dige homes in the a  Cost Approach (if development of the condition or deficie and specifications of the condition or deficie	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elevant prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02  Dject had a deed of the comparable sales ALE and the comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02  Dject had a deed of the comparable sales ALE #1  All comps are comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02  Dject had a deed of the comparable sales ALE #1  Eloped 1 2,811  Beloped 2,811  Beloped 2,811  Beloped 3 2,811	ffective date of this apple of sale of the comparable of the compa	sales or 22  n 01/2  s commomes ath, \$1 ore here at the le been	patible to the with similar ,000 per ye eavily for har improvements completed, or	RABLE SALE #3  Data  Data  Conclusion of the subject to the subjec
of Comparables    My research   did   did not research the did   did not research the did   did not research the did   did not research   did not rese	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior    01/20/2022   \$0   MLSL/NDC   05/02/2022   story of the subject pro or transfer history    or transfer history   or transfer	gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope as or transfers of the subject prope as or transfers of the subject prope as or transfers of the contract of transfer history.  Data  Data  Design and comparable so within the past 36 area difference of similar size area difference of size difference of size difference of size difference of the subject properties are plansfer at the completion per plansfer as of the subject properties are so the subject properties are subject properties.	s 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub s months.  hin the same mar ze home transactioner 1,000sf do ze homes in the a  Cost Approach (if developments action eliable with new ho is of a hypothetical come condition or deficie	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elegar prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02  Diject had a deed of the deed of	ffective date of this applies of the comparable	sales or 2  sales or 2  n 01/2  s commomes th, \$1 ore he development the ground the grou	patible to the with similar ,000 per yee eavily for harmoned improvements completed, or	RABLE SALE #3  C Data  C Data  C Data  c ar of age  ving been sold  COST  ble data is not  have been  subject to the
of Comparables    My research   did   did not research the late of Prior Sale/Transfer	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior    01/20/2022   \$0   MLSL/NDC   05/02/2022   story of the subject pro or transfer history    or transfer history   or transfer	gross Adj. 0.0 % ory of the subject prope as or transfers of the subject prope as or transfers of the subject prope as or transfers of the contract of transfer history.  Data  Data  perty and comparable so within the past 36 are located with mber of similar size are a difference of the size of the size difference of the size difference of the size difference of the size difference of the size of the size of the subject population of the mass of t	\$ 2,820,000 rty and comparable sales bject property for the the mparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S  MLSL/NDC Data 05/02/2022 sales Sub in the same mar ize home transactioner 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf dige homes in the a  Cost Approach (if development of the same in the a cost and specifications of the same in the a condition or deficient or deficie	gross Adj. 0.0 % es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the elever prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 Dject had a deed of the date of the street and the sions and comparable sales ALE #1  MLSI 05/02 Dject had a deed of the sions and the sions are since the sions and the sions are since the sions are si	ffective date of this applies of the comparable	sales or 2  sales or 2  solution of the component of the	patible to the with similar ,000 per yee eavily for harmoned improvements completed, or	RABLE SALE #3  C Data  C Data  C Data  c ar of age  ving been sold  COST  ble data is not  have been  subject to the

# Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

32660135 File# 220502w

<del>3</del> 1	1107 22000211
Based on1004MC data, property values in subject area in recent months	have been stable.
No employee, director, officer, or agent of the lender, or any other third p	arty acting as a joint venture, partner, independent contractor, appraisal
managment company, or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced of	
this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, inst	
this assignment through occioin, extertion, contains, compensation, made	detion, inducement, intimidation, bribery of in any other mariner.
I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user(lende	/client as indentified on the first page of the report), borrower, or
designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property.	
The comp search involves the use of both Realquest/NDC and MLS. Initial	
bracketed including GLA, age, and lot size, etc. Since Realquest does no	
searches are done with MLS for data accuracy. Some of MLS data are n	
are compared against Realquest data. Simple printout of MLS comparab	
accuracy. School area and zip codes are checked to make sure they car	be used as comparables.
The comparable sale search forcued on sales, listings, and pending sales	with the following parameters to obtain most recent and relevant
comparables. Sales history; within the past 6 months Range; within 1 mile	
size Age difference; within 10-15 years difference from the subject age.	
If not sufficient number of comparables eixist, the GLA size difference is	xpanded up to 20%, then the range of up to 1.3 mile, and lastly sales
history up to 9 months. In order to find more meaningful comparables, sa	es history does not exceed 12 months.
Other 5% land use represents parks, public buildings, schools, and other	
It does not have any negative marketability impact for the subject property	<i>y</i> .
	(not required by Fannie Mae)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est	ns.  Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area,
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.  Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area,
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est	ns.  Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area,
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors.	mating site value)  Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area string REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	ns. mating site value)  Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 2,160,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area supported by the support of the provided High land/value ratio is typical of area supported by the support of the supp	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 2,160,000  DWELLING 1,178 Sq.Ft. @\$ 800.00 =\$ 942,400
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area   ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Data form Marshall/Swift, In house Data Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Inspect Date	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 2,160,000  DWELLING 1,178 Sq.Ft. @\$ 800.00 = \$ 942,400  O Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area   ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Data form Marshall/Swift, In house Data Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Inspect Date Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 2,160,000  DWELLING 1,178 Sq.Ft. @\$ 800.00 =\$ 942,400  O Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of the support of site of the support of	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 2,160,000  DWELLING 1,178 Sq.Ft. @\$ 800.00 =\$ 942,400  O Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$  Garage/Carport 460 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @\$ 40.00 =\$ 18,400
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area   ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Data form Marshall/Swift, In house Data Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Inspect Date Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of the support of support of the support	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of the support of support of the support	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of the support of support of the support	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. Reproduction or Reproduction of Reproduction or Reproduction of Repr	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.    OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area supported by the contractors, in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area supported by the contractors, in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area supported by the contractors, in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area supported by the contractors, in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area supported by the contractors, in- house data. Supported by the contractors of cost data. Inspect Date comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated in- 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.    OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local land. Source of cost data local land. Source of cost data local land. Inspect Date local land, and land land land. Inspect Date land. Inspect Date land. Inspect local land, and land. Inspect local land. Inspect local land. Physical depreciation estimated (a) 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 64 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE.	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.    OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local land. Source of cost data local land. Inspect Date local land land land land land land land la	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local lation. In source of cost data local lations area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated @ 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  64 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local land, and in support data local land, lan	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local land, and in the land,	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. Inspect Data local lation of cost data lations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated @ 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  64 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income guidance.  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support for market rent and graph of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is no ontrol of the HoNa as the provided the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is no ontrol of the HoNa as the provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is no other methods for estimated in the period of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HoNa as the period of the HoNa as t	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support for market rent and sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support for market rent and sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support for market rent and sales or other methods for est local for est land.  Estimated for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  64 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income quidance.  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and Legal Name of Project	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area (summary of contractors) and sales (summary of contractors). Page 12 Page 13 Page 14 Page 15 Page 16 Page 16 Page 16 Page 17 Page 18 Pa	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area (summary of contractors) and in the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area (summary of contractors) and in the summary of contractors and sales are summary of contractors. Physical depreciation estimated @ 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  64 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income guidance.  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Hone and Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of cost data. High land/value ratio is typical of area support of the sale support of the House support of the sale support of the sale support of the House support of the H	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local land. Inspect Data local data land, and is typical data land, land, and is typical data land, l	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local land. Inspect Data local data land, and is typical data land, land, and is typical data land, l	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors. In house data land land land land land land land lan	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors. In house data land land land land land land land lan	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area summary of contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area summary of contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area summary of cost data. Inspect Date Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated @ 196/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  64 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income guidance.  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale  Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)  Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculations. The provided is a local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculations. The provided is a local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculations. The provided is a local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculations are calculations and calculations. The provided is a local contractors and calculations. The provided is a local contractor of the Homeowners and calculations. The provided is a local contractor of the Homeowners and calculations are contractors. In provided is a local contractor of the Homeowners and calculations are calculations. The provided is a local contractor of the Homeowners and calculations are calculations. In provided is a local contractor of the Homeowners and calculations are calculations. In provided the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners and calculations are calculations. In provided the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners are calculations. In provided the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners are calculations. In provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners are calculations. In provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners are calculations, dependent and calculations. In provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners are calculations, depression and calculations, dependent and calculations are calculations, dependent and calculati	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 2,160,000  DWELLING

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 3 of 6

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

**INTENDED USE:** The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

**DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE:** The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

**STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS:** The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

#### APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

#### SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER This digital signature is pass code protected.	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Eunjae Kelly Lee	Name
Company Name <u>www.clearcapital.com</u>	Company Name
Company Address 300 E 2nd St Ste 1405	Company Address
Reno, NV 89501-1508	
Telephone Number (408) 777-8338	Telephone Number
Email Address kelly.lee@clarioappraisal.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 05/02/2022	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/02/2022	State Certification #
State Certification # AR023493	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 03/14/2023	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDDECC OF BDODEDTY ADDDAUGED	☐ Did not inspect exterior of subject property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
1635 Alison Ave	Date of Inspection
Mountain View, CA 94040	
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 2,810,000	COMPARABLE SALES
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name <u>ClearCapital</u>	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc.	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address <u>2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100,</u>	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

File No. 220502w

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	1635 Alison Ave							
City	Mountain View	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	94040	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.							

The age adjustments are made based on actual age differences. When searching for comps in the area, this appraiser tries to select homes with similar ages, but sometimes age adjustments are necessary, typically beyond 5 years of actual age differences. The effective age can be somewhat subjective and inaccurate. All comps in the report have adjustments made for \$1,000 per year which is based on local MLS and works reasonably well for the subject area. Actual age difference adjustments are based on typical local market reaction with the current MLS trend in the area. Home in subject area are old and it it typical for site values to exceed 30% of overall value. It does not affect the marketability.

There are no market dividing roads in the report.

Public record shows owner's name as "Thomas, Edward Joseph/Edward Joseph Thomas Trust", different from the appraisal order form.

The appraiser is signing the report using the corporate address of the appraisal company. The appraiser is not based in the corporate office and is based in Santa Clara County, CA.

The appraiser is located within 15 miles from the property and has 20 years appraising in the market.

The appraiser is an hourly employee of Clario Appraisal Network and received no appraisal fee for the assignment.

ClearCapital.com, Inc. AMC Registration # California 1256

# Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	1635 Alison Ave			
City	Mountain View	County Santa Clara State C	Zip Code	94040
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



# Subject Front

1635 Alison Ave

Sales Price

 Gross Living Area
 1,178

 Total Rooms
 6


 Total Bedrooms
 3

 Total Bathrooms
 2.0

 Location
 N;Res;Res

 View
 N;Res;Res

Site 6000 sf Quality Q3 Age 67





Subject Street



## Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	1635 Alison Ave							
City	Mountain View	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	94040	
Landar/Cliant	Wedgewood Inc							



# Comparable 1

736 Lola Ln

0.11 miles SE Prox. to Subject Sale Price 2,820,000 Gross Living Area 1,178 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res;Res N;Res;Res View 6465 sf Site Quality Q3 67 Age



# Comparable 2

1663 Tulane Dr

Prox. to Subject 0.12 miles SW Sale Price 2,810,000 Gross Living Area 1,204 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0


 Location
 N;Res;Res

 View
 N;Res;Res

 Site
 6284 sf

 Quality
 Q3

 Age
 70



## Comparable 3

1600 Begen Ave

Age

Prox. to Subject 0.07 miles NE 2,800,000 Sale Price Gross Living Area 1,178 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 N;Res;Res Location View N;Res;Res 6500 sf Site Quality Q3

67

## Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	1635 Alison Ave							
City	Mountain View	Coun	y Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	94040	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.							



# Comparable 3(same)

640 Hamilton Ln

Prox. to Subject 0.12 miles S
Sale Price 1,641,000
Gross Living Area 1,092
Total Rooms 6
Total Bedrooms 3
Total Bathrooms 2.0

 Location
 N;Res;Res

 View
 N;Res;Res

 Site
 5000 sf

 Quality
 Q3


 Age
 69


Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

# Plat Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	1635 Alison Ave							
City	Mountain View	Count	y Santa Clara	Sta	ate CA	Zip Code	94040	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							





BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency

# Eunjae K. Lee

has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title:

"Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser"

This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law.

AR 023493 BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:

March 14, 2023 March 15, 2021 Date Expires: Effective Date:

oretta Dillon, Deputy Bureau Chief, BREA



Suite 100

Schaumburg IL 60173

Assurance, a Marsh & McLennan Agency LLC company 20 N Martingale Road

# CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 10/11/2021

NAIC#

31127

FAX (A/C, No): (847) 440-9123

INSURER(S) AFFORDING COVERAGE

INSURER A: AXA Insurance Company

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.

IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(ies) must have ADDITIONAL INSURED provisions or be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s). CONTACT Fiona Chen
PHONE
(A/C, No. Ext): 312-625-5592
E-MAIL
ADDRESS: fchen@assuranceagency.com

					NSURER A : AAA	risurance Comp	any		31121
INSU				CLEAHOL-02	NSURER B :				
	earCapital.com, Inc. earCapital Holdings, Inc.			1	NSURER C :				
	DE 2nd Street			1	NSURER D :				
Su	ite 1405			ī	NSURER E :				
Re	no NV 89501				NSURER F :				
CO	VERAGES CER	TIFIC	ATE	E NUMBER: 667417962			REVISION NUMBER:	-	
TI IN C	HIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES IDICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY RE ERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY F XCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH F	OF II QUIR PERTA	NSUF EME AIN,	RANCE LISTED BELOW HAVE NT, TERM OR CONDITION O THE INSURANCE AFFORDEI	F ANY CONTRA	CT OR OTHER CIES DESCRIBE	ED NAMED ABOVE FOR TH DOCUMENT WITH RESPEC D HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO	CT TO V	VHICH THIS
INSR LTR		ADDL INSD	SUBR			F POLICY EXP (Y) (MM/DD/YYYY)	LIMIT	s	
LIK	COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY	INSU	WVD	FOLICT NUMBER	(IVIIVI/DD/YY	(IVIIVI/DD/1111)	EACH OCCURRENCE	\$	
							DAMAGE TO RENTED		-
	CLAIMS-MADE OCCUR						PREMISES (Ea occurrence)	\$	
							MED EXP (Any one person)	\$	
							PERSONAL & ADV INJURY	\$	
	GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER:						GENERAL AGGREGATE	\$	
	POLICY PRO- JECT LOC						PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG	\$	
	OTHER:							\$	
	AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY					Y .	COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT (Ea accident)	\$	,
	ANY AUTO						BODILY INJURY (Per person)	\$	
	OWNED SCHEDULED						BODILY INJURY (Per accident)	\$	
	AUTOS ONLY AUTOS NON-OWNED						PROPERTY DAMAGE	\$	
	AUTOS ONLY AUTOS ONLY						(Per accident)	\$	
	UNDESTRACION							(125)	-
	UMBRELLA LIAB OCCUR						EACH OCCURRENCE	\$	
	EXCESS LIAB CLAIMS-MADE						AGGREGATE	\$	
	DED RETENTION\$							\$	
	WORKERS COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY						PER OTH- STATUTE ER		
	ANYPROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE						E.L. EACH ACCIDENT	\$	
	OFFICER/MEMBER EXCLUDED? (Mandatory in NH)	N/A					E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOYEE	\$	
	If yes, describe under DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below						E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT	\$	
Α	Professional Liability			MPP9044163	10/18/202	1 10/18/2022	Claim/Aggregate	\$5,000	0.000
10.21				1811 1 3044 100	10/10/202	10/10/2022	35.03.00	3-1	,,,,,,
RE	CRIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICL : PROOF OF INSURANCE : agreed that the following is an Additiona								
CE	RTIFICATE HOLDER				CANCELLATIO	N			
	Clario Appraisal Network, li PROOF OF INSURANCE	nc.		4	THE EXPIRAT	ION DATE TH			
					V 1				

ACORD 25 (2016/03)

© 1988-2015 ACORD CORPORATION. All rights reserved. The ACORD name and logo are registered marks of ACORD

32660135 le No. 220502w

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

#### Condition Ratings and Definitions

#### C1

The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.\*

\*Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

#### C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

#### C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

#### C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

#### C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

#### C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

# Quality Ratings and Definitions

#### Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

#### Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

#### Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

#### Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

## UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

#### Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

#### 05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

#### 06

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

#### Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

#### Not Updated

#### Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

#### Updated

# The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

#### Remodeled

# Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

#### **Explanation of Bathroom Count**

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

#### Example

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

## Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
С	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
е	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location Location
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf		Area, Site, Basement
	Square Feet	
sqm	Square Meters Unknown	Area, Site Date of Sale/Time
Unk VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
W		
WO	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WU WtrFr	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

# Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear

# **USPAP ADDENDUM**

32660135 File No. 220502w

Borr	ower		Redwood Holdings LLC	`		1 110 110	· 220002W
				,			
				County Sant	a Clara Si	ate CA	Zip Code 94040
Len	der		Wedgewood Inc.				
Γ	This	report wa	as prepared under the fol	Jowing USPAP reporting option:			
		-			with USDAD Standards Dula 2, 2(a)		
	F	Restricted	d Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance	e with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b).		
L							
Γ	Dane	conable E	ynocure Time				
				for the subject property at the market value	e stated in this report is:	10 days	
	iviy O	pirilorrore	a reasonable exposure time	To the subject property at the market value	e stated in this report is.	io uays.	
L							
_							
	Addi	tional Ce	rtifications				
				and belief:			
	Χı	have NOT	Eperformed services, as an	appraiser or in any other capacity, regardi	ng the property that is the subject of the	nis report w	vithin the
	_		· ·		ing the property that is the subject of the	пэтороги	Admir dio
	_	-					
						ort within t	the three-year
	-			<del>-</del>	re described in the comments below.		
		-			nptions and limiting conditions and are m	y personal, i	mpartial, and unbiased
	-				is the subject of this report and no person	anal interest	with respect to the parties involve
						mai interest	with respect to the parties involved
						or direction i	n value that favors the cause of th
	were i	in effect at	the time this report was prepared	ared.			
					cordance with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).  cordance with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b).  Tricket value stated in this report is:  10 days.  11 days.  12 days.  13 days.  14 days.  15 days.  16 days.  17 days.  18 days.  19 days.  19 days.  10 days.  10 days.  10 days.  10 days.  10 days.  10 days.  11 days.  12 days.  13 days.  14 days.  15 days.  16 days.  17 days.  18 days.  19 days.  10 days		
			The state of the s			ı (if there are	e exceptions, the name of each
	individ	dual provid	ling significant real property ap	praisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this i	report).		
_ 							
	Addi	tional Co	mments				
£ : /							
L							
Δ	PPR	AISER			SUPERVISORY APPRAISER: (	only if re	eauired)
-	1 1	LIV.	_	/	OSI ERVISORT ALTRAISER. (	21.11y 11 1 E	rquii ouj
			<i>S</i>	<b>/</b>			
	•		Ju !	re-	Signature:		
			Kelly Lee		Data Cianada		
D	ate Sig	yriea: <u>05</u>	5/02/2022 #: AD022402		Date Signed:		
5	iale Ce Ctata	Licanso #	#· <u>AKU23493</u>		State Certification #:		
c C	State:	CV	·		or State License #: State:		
	-		Certification or License: 03	/14/2023	Expiration Date of Certification or License	<del></del>	
			opraisal: <u>05/02/2022</u>	17/2020	Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of Subje		
_	- 5 11 4 6	01 / 14	00/02/2022		Did Not Exterior only from S		

# Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report


32660135 File No. 220502w

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl				prevalent in the su	ıbject	
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all apprai	isal reports with an effective			01.1	710.0 1	
Property Address 1635 Alison Ave Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC		City Mountair	n View	State CA	ZIP Code 940	)40
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information req	uired on this form as the ba	asis for his/her conclusion	s, and must provide support	t for those conclus	ions, regarding	
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported						
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as ir						
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will	·					
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required inform						
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal				sed by a prospecti	ve buyer of the	
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months	1	Overall Trend	
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	10	1	8	Increasing		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	1.67	0.33	2.67	✓ Increasing		Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	N/A	N/A	1	Declining	★ Stable	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	N/A	N/A	0.4	Declining	<b>X</b> Stable	Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months	D to concertion	Overall Trend	D. dieber
Median Comparable Sale Price  Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	2,420,100 9	1,900,000	2,496,375	Increasing  Declining	➤ Stable ➤ Stable	Declining Increasing
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market  Median Comparable List Price	N/A	6 N/A	2,098,000	Increasing		Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	N/A	N/A	7	Declining	▼ Stable	Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	106.5	100.0	113.6	✓ Increasing		Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance		<b>⊠</b> No		Declining	<b>X</b> Stable	Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas	-			-	-	
fees, options, etc.). Usually seller concess						ncession
for the purchase transactions. Previous pe	eriod active listing info	ormation is not avai	lable in county MLS(n	nedian list prid	ce and DOM).	
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?	? Yes 🔀 No	o If ves. explain (inclu	ding the trends in listings and	d sales of foreclos	ed properties).	
REO activities are not common in subject			gg			
Cite data sources for above information. MLS/F	N					
Cite data sources for above information. MLS/F	Realquest					
Summarize the above information as support for your co	nclusions in the Neighborh	ood section of the apprais	al report form. If you used a	ny additional infor	mation, such as	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav	-		· ·	-		
	vn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppo	ort for your conclu	sions.	e in recent
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav	vn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppo	ort for your conclu	sions.	e in recent
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw There has been a limited number of REO	vn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppo	ort for your conclu	sions.	e in recent
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw There has been a limited number of REO	vn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppo	ort for your conclu	sions.	e in recent
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw There has been a limited number of REO	vn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppo	ort for your conclu	sions.	e in recent
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw There has been a limited number of REO	vn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppo	ort for your conclu	sions.	e in recent
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw There has been a limited number of REO	vn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppo	ort for your conclu	sions.	e in recent
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw There has been a limited number of REO months.	vn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and supports a major issue. Prop	ort for your conclusionerty values ha	sions.	e in recent
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	ort for your conclusionerty values ha	sions. ave been stabl	e in recent
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro	vn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and supports a major issue. Prop	ort for your conclusionerty values ha	sions.  ave been stable  Overall Trend	
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	ort for your conclusion of the values has been to value been to va	overall Trend	□ Declining
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	values ha	Overall Trend Stable Stable	Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	values have the control of the contr	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a stransactions in the a specific property of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of	grea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a stransactions in the a specific property of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of	grea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a stransactions in the a specific property of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of	grea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a stransactions in the a specific property of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of	grea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a stransactions in the a specific property of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of	grea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a stransactions in the a specific property of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of the stransactions in the asset of the stransactions of	grea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a stransactions in the a specific property of the stransactions in the a specific property of the following prior 7–12 Months	grea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a  oject , complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a  oject , complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a  oject , complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a  oject , complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a  oject , complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a  oject , complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a  oject , complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a  oject , complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	th an explanation and support a major issue. Prop	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the sales of the	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a  oject , complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	th an explanation and support a major issue. Proport a major issue. Proport of the control of th	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the sales in the project foreclosed properties.	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a  oject , complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  Prior 7–12 Months	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	Project N Current – 3 Months  Appraiser Name	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the sales impact of the sales impact of the sales impact on the sales impact of the	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a	g: Prior 4–6 Months  Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisory Company Na Company Ac Company Ac	Project N Current – 3 Months  Appraiser Name me Idress	Name:  Increasing  Increasing  Declining  Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on summarize the summarize the above trends and address the impact on summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the above trends and address the impact of t	vn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a	g: Prior 4–6 Months  Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisory Company Na Company Ac Company Ac	Project N Current – 3 Months  Appraiser Name me	Name:    Increasing   Declining   Declining   Declining   Increasing   Declining   Declini	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing

Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

#### Location Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	1635 Alison Ave							
City	Mountain View	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	94040	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.							



## Location Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	1635 Alison Ave							
City	Mountain View	Coun	y Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	94040	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							

