Supplemental Addendum

		Supplementa	Addendum		File	No. JLJBMI	D051322	
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave							
City	Glendale	County	Los Angeles	State	e CA	Zip Code	91214	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							

05/16/2022

1. MLS photo of Comp 3 was added at the UW's request.

File No. 32720597

USPAP ADDENDUM

	File
32720597	
File No. JLJBMDO	51322

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave		<u></u>	
City Lender	Glendale Wedgewood Inc	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91214
This report	was prepared under the fol	lowing USPAP reporting option:		
🗙 Appraisa	al Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standa	rds Rule 2-2(a).	
Restrict	ed Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standa	rds Rule 2-2(b).	
Perconable	Exposure Time			
	-	for the subject property at the market value stated in this report	is: 30-60 days	
		of time that the property interested being appraised v		narket prior to the
hypothetica	l consummation of a sale	at market value on the effective date of the appraisa		
and open i	-	sure time is a retrospective opinion based on an analy	sis of past events assumin	g a competitive
	naixet.			
Additional C	ertifications			
I certify that,	to the best of my knowledge	and belief:		
🗙 I have N) T performed services, as an	appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that i	s the subject of this report withi	n the
three-yea	ar period immediately preced	ng acceptance of this assignment.		
I HAVE n	erformed services as an ann	raiser or in another capacity, regarding the property that is the	subject of this report within the	three-vear
		ince of this assignment. Those services are described in the co		
	of fact contained in this report are true ar	-		
		ed only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impart	tial, and unbiased professional analyses, op	inions,
and conclusio				
		ive interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with ect of this report or the parties involved with this assignment.	respect to the parties involved.	
		on developing or reporting predetermined results.		
		ontingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in valu	e that favors the cause of the client, the am	ount of
the value opin	ion, the attainment of a stipulated result,	or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appr	aisal.	
		and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professi	onal Appraisal Practice that were in effect at	the time
this report was	• •	ction of the property that is the subject of this report.		
		al property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing this certification (if there are ex	ceptions, the name of each individual provid	lina
		where in this report).APPRAISER COMPETENCY		
		nent, that he or she can perform the assignment competently. Competency requires		
		ed; and 2. The knowledge and experience to complete the assignment competently; and		
regulations that a	ipply to the appraiser or to the assignme	 I am competent to perform this assignment based on my state appraiser license and 	i ramiliarity with this type of property in the s	sudject market.
Additional C	omments			
APPRAISE	R COMPETENCY			
An appraise	er must determine, prior t	o accepting the assignment, that he or she can perfor	m the assignment compete	ently. Competency
requires:				
1 The shill	h, to proporty identify the	nroblem to be addressed; and		
		problem to be addressed; and complete the assignment competently; and		
		ith, laws and regulations that apply to the appraiser or	to the assignment.	
	etent to perform this assign	gnment based on my state appraiser license and fami	liarity with this type of prope	erty in the subject
market.				
APPRAISER:			ADDRAISED (only if root	ired)
ALERAISER	(H	SUPERVISORY	APPRAISER: (only if requ	n cuj
Circu I	1 10			
Signature:		Signature: Name:		
Name: <u>Jenni</u> Date Signed: (fer L. Landon	Name: Date Signed:		
	# 10007447	State Certification #:		
or State License	"	Chata Lianna //		
State: CA		State:		
-		/07/2023 Expiration Date of Cert		
Effective Date of	Appraisal: <u>05/13/2022</u>		Inspection of Subject Property: Exterior-only from Street	Interior and Exterior
				INCOLOR AND EXICITUR

SunWest Appraisals Inc. (818) 613-1767

File No. 32720597

		Exterior-Only Inspection Re	esidential Appraisa	I Report 52720 File # JLJBN	
	The purpose of this summary appraisal report	t is to provide the lender/client with an a	ccurate, and adequately suppor	rted, opinion of the market value	of the subject property.
	Property Address 3314 Fairmount Ave		City Glendale	State CA	Zip Code 91214
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC	Owner of Public Record	Coleman,Lewis/Lewis Co	oleman Trust County Los A	Angeles
	Legal Description Tract # 13416 Lot 13				
	Assessor's Parcel # 5602-020-003		Tax Year 2021	R.E. Taxes \$	3,309
F	Neighborhood Name Crescenta Highland	ds	Map Reference 504/ E7	Census Tract	3003.01
SUBJECT	Occupant 🗙 Owner 🗌 Tenant 📋 Vaca	nt Special Assessments \$	0	PUD HOA\$ 0	per year per month
В	Property Rights Appraised 🛛 🗙 Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)			
ร	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transaction X Other (c	lescribe) Servicing		
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc			uite 100, Redondo Beach, C	A 90278
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale o				
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and		· ·	operty was sold on 05/10/20]
	······································				σzz τοι φτ,000,000.
-	I did did not analyze the contract for s	sale for the subject purchase transaction. Explai	n the results of the analysis of the	contract for sale or why the analysis	was not
	performed.				washot
E	•				
AC	Contract Price \$ Date of Cont	ract Is the property seller t	he owner of public record?	Yes No Data Source(s)	
ΤR	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa	117	•		Yes No
CONTRACT	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe		e, etc.) to be paid by any party on	Denail of the borrower?	
0					
	Note: Doop and the metal service (11)	aighborhood are not completely it			
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the n	- · · ·			
	Neighborhood Characteristics		Housing Trends	One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
		Rural Property Values Increasing		•	One-Unit 95 %
Δ	Built-Up 🗙 Over 75% 🗌 25-75% 🗌	Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortage	🗙 In Balance 🗌 Over S	Supply \$ (000) (yrs)	2-4 Unit 1 %
<u>S</u>	Growth 🗌 Rapid 🔀 Stable	Slow Marketing Time 🔀 Under 3 m	iths 🗌 3-6 mths 🗌 Over e	6 mths 805 Low 1	Multi-Family 2 %
RH	Neighborhood Boundaries The subject is	s bound by: North of Foothill Blvd, S	outh of Deukmejuan	1,950 High 99	Commercial 2 %
õ	Wilderness Park, East of New York A	ve, and West of La Crescenta Ave		1,170 Pred. 75	Other %
Ĩ	Neighborhood Description The subject a	area is comprised of single family dv	vellings built predominatel	ly from 1940-1970. Dwelling	gs vary in age,
NEIGHBORHOOD	style, condition and site size. Com				
Z	support services, schools and emp				
	Market Conditions (including support for the above		are considered to be sta	abilizing over the last year.	There is an average
	supply of residential properties and a			0 days. Conventional & FH	
	prevalent and readily available at non				
_	Dimensions 104.93x60.16	Area 6313 sf	Shape Regi		·Mtn·
	Specific Zoning Classification R1		Low Density Residential		, ivici i,
	· · ·	conforming (Grandfathered Use) No Zoni			
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		X Yes No If No, des	crihe
	The subject is considered to be a leg-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public Other (de		site Improvements - Type	Public Private
ш	Electricity	Water X	,	et Paved	
SITE	Gas X	Sanitary Sewer		/ None	
		No FEMA Flood Zone χ			Date 09/26/2008
	Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical		No If No, describe		Dute 09/20/2000
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external fa			🗌 Yes 🗙 No	If Yes, describe
	There were no apparent adverse eas				
	no obvious environmental hazards pro				
	no obvious environmental nazarus pr	esent in the improvements, on the s			
-	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro	operty 🗌 Appraisal Files 🗙 MLS	Assessment and Tax Record	ds Prior Inspection I	Property Owner
	Other (describe)		Data Source for Gross Living Ar		1 ,
	General Description	General Description	Heating/Cooling	rea Parcel Quest;Realist;C Amenities	Car Storage
	Units X One One with Accessory Unit	•		a	÷
	# of Stories 1	Full Basement Finished	Radiant	Fireplace(s) # 1 None Woodstove(s) # 0 Image: Construction	
	Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Partial Basement Finished		A Patio/Deck Open Driveway	-
				Porch None Sara	
		otabbolititg	lel Gas Central Air Conditioning		-
	<i>j</i> (<i>j</i>), Haditerial				
		Gutters & Downspouts Yes/Alum/Avg			
	Effective Age (Yrs) 15 Appliances 🗙 Refrigerator 🔀 Range/Oven		Other None 🗌	_ Other None Built-	•111
ŝ	Finished area above grade contains:	6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms	2.0 Bath(s)	1,444 Square Feet of Gross Liv	ling Area Above Grade
ΞW	Additional features (special energy efficient items,	etc.) Typ to market area			
IMPROVEM					
RO	Describe the condition of the property and data so	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- / /	
МΡ	years;IT IS NOTED THAT THE DIFFI	<u>=RENCE BETWEEN THE ACTUAL</u>	AGE AND EFFECTIVE	AGE IS ATTRIBUTED THE	SUBJECTS
	CONDITION.				
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies and	warso conditions that affect the live like as we	noss or structural integrity of the	nronortu?	
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ad	verse contaitions that affect the livability, sound	ness, or siructural integrity of the p	property? Yes 💟	
	If Yes, describe.	Later and the			L = P = 1.99
	The subject property is considered to				
	soundness, or structural integrity of th			ne identification of hazardou	s substances or
	environmental conditions, nor is the a				
	Does the property generally conform to the neighb			Yes No If No, describ	
	The subject property is typical and co	nforming to the immediate market a	area in style, condition, us	se, construction as well as fu	inctional utility.
Fr	eddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005	UAD Version 9/2011 Page	1 of 6	Fannie Mae	Form 2055 March 2005

File No. 32720597

		E	Exter	rior-	Only Ins	spe	ection Resid	enti	al Ap	prais	sal R	eport	File#	3272 JLJB	0597 MD05	1322
							subject neighborh					,		to \$	5 1,2	74,900
There are 83 comparable FEATURE	7	in the SUBJEC	-	neight	corhood with		e past twelve mon	ths rar			ice from LE SALE	1	0			<u>,950,000</u> _E SALE # 3
Address 3314 Fairmount		SUBJEC	1	2030	Stevens		SALE # 1	302		ama S		# Z	3039	3 Santa		
Glendale, CA 912					rescenta,		91214				A 9121	4				A 91214
Proximity to Subject					miles E	0/1	01211		' miles					miles		01211
Sale Price	\$					\$	1,200,000				\$	1,068,000				\$ 1,275,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$		sq.ft.		0 38.96 sq.				965.6					016.75		
Data Source(s) Verification Source(s)					LS#P1-85							DOM 32				696;DOM 59
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DF	SCRIPT	ION		DOC# 401 Scription		> +(-) \$ Adjustment		ESCRIPT	<u>‡ 1741</u> Ton		S Adjustment		DOC# ESCRIPT		+ (-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing				ArmL				Arm				riajaounoni	Arm			() + Adjustitisti
Concessions				Conv					v;8000)			Con	v;0		
Date of Sale/Time					22;c03/22				/21;c1	1/21				22;c12	2/21	
Location	N;Re			N;Re				N;R					N;Re			
Leasehold/Fee Simple Site	Fee 6313	Simple	9	Fee 3	Simple		+3,000		Simpl	e		+10,000		Simple	9	-35,000
View	N;Mt			N;Mt			+3,000	N;M				+10,000	N;M			-33,000
Design (Style)		Traditi	ional		Bungalow	/	C		;Tradit	tional				;Rancł	า	0
Quality of Construction	Q4			Q4				Q4					Q4			
Actual Age	75			77			C	72				0	70			0
Condition Above Grade	C3	Rdrm-	Datha	C3	Rdrme Dath	hc		C3	Ddrm o	Datha			C3	Bdrms.	Patha	
Room Count	Total 6	Bdrms.	Baths 2.0	Total 5	Bdrms. Bath		+6,000	Total	Bdrms.	Baths 2.0			Total 6	Barms.	Baths 2.0	
Gross Living Area		1,444		5	1,155 sq.		+0,000			<u>2.0</u> 6 sq.ft.		+29,000		-	<u>2.0</u> sq.ft.	+16,000
Basement & Finished	0sf	,		0sf	,		3,000	0sf	.,				0sf	.,_0		
Rooms Below Grade																
Functional Utility	Aver			Avera				Ave					Avei			
Heating/Cooling Energy Efficient Items				-			+10,000								با رو ا	
Garage/Carport	2gd2	for Ma	rket	2gd2	or Market	τ		2ga	for Ma	irket		0	1yp 2dw	for Ma	гкет	+10,000
Porch/Patio/Deck		h/Patio	0		h/Patio				ch/Pati	0		0		h/Patio	0	110,000
Amenities	None		-		is Room		-10,000			-				l/Cnvrt		-35,000
APN# Net Adjustment (Total)	5602	2-020-0	003		-024-017				2-015-	007				2-018-0		0
					+ -									+	XI. I	\$ -44,000
Adjusted Sale Drice				Not Ad	i 20	· \$	34,000		(+	<u> </u>	\$	39,000				11,000
Adjusted Sale Price				Net Ad	j. 2.8	%		Net A	dj.	 3.7 %			Net A	dj.	3.5 %	11,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables	the sale	or trans	fer histo	Gross	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5	% % \$		Net A Gross	dj. 5 Adj.	3.7 %	\$	1,107,000	Net A Gross	dj. Adj.	3.5 % 7.5 %	\$ 1,231,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables				Gross ory of th	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject pro	;% % \$ pperty	1,234,000 and comparable sal	Net A Gross es. If n	dj. 5 Adj. ot, explai	3.7 % n	\$	<u>1,107,000</u> A thorough	Net A Gross	dj. Adj.	3.5 % 7.5 %	\$ 1,231,000
of Comparables of Comparables I X did did not research t CRMLS as well as public	record	ds on t	the su	Gross ory of th bject	j. 2.8 Adj. <u>4.5</u> e subject proj and each s	% % \$ perty sale	1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable t	Net A Gross es. If no o det	dj. 5 Adj. ot, explai 5 ermine	3.7 % n e past	\$ sales I	1,107,000 A thorough history.	Net A Gross	dj. Adj.	3.5 % 7.5 %	\$ 1,231,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I X did did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research X did did r	record	ds on t eal any p	the su	Gross ory of th bject es or tra	j. 2.8 Adj. <u>4.5</u> e subject proj and each s	% % \$ perty sale	1,234,000 and comparable sal	Net A Gross es. If no o det	dj. 5 Adj. ot, explai 5 ermine	3.7 % n e past	\$ sales I	1,107,000 A thorough history.	Net A Gross	dj. Adj.	3.5 % 7.5 %	\$ 1,231,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I X did did not research t CRMLS as well as public t My research X did did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que	record not reve est/Re	ds on t eal any p ealist/C	the su prior sale CRML	Gross ory of th bject es or tran	j. 2.8 Adj. <u>4.5</u> e subject pro and each s	subje	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable t ct property for the th	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea	dj. s Adj. ot, explai eermine ars prior	3.7 % n e past to the ef	\$ sales I	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this app	Net A Gross sea raisal.	dj. Adj.	3.5 % 7.5 %	\$ 1,231,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I Adjusted Add I did not research t CRMLS as well as public f My research Adjust did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research Adjust did i did r	record not reve est/Re not reve	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p	the su rior sale CRML prior sale	Gross ory of th bject es or trai S es or trai	j. 2.8 Adj. <u>4.5</u> e subject pro and each s	subje	1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable t	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea	dj. s Adj. ot, explai eermine ars prior	3.7 % n e past to the ef	\$ sales I	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this app	Net A Gross sea raisal.	dj. Adj.	3.5 % 7.5 %	\$ 1,231,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I Adjusted Add I did not research t CRMLS as well as public t My research Add I did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research Add I did r	not reve est/Re not reve est/Re	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C	the su rior sale CRML orior sale CRML	Gross bry of th bject es or traines or traines or traines S	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject pro and each nsfers of the s	subje	1,234,000 and comparable sales comparable to ct property for the the parable sales for the	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea	dj. 5 Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the	3.7 % n e past to the ef	\$ sales I fective d sale of th	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable	Net Ad Gross raisal.	dj. Adj. rch wa:	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad	\$ 1,231,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I did did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research did did i Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research did did i Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM	not reve est/Re not reve est/Re	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C	the su prior sale CRML prior sale CRML the prior	Gross bry of th bject es or traines or traines or traines S	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject pro and each nsfers of the s	subje	1,234,000 and comparable sales comparable to ct property for the the parable sales for the	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr	dj. 5 Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab	3.7 % n e past to the ef e date of	\$ sales h fective d sale of th (report a	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable	Net Ad Gross sean raisal. sale.	dj. Adj. rch was	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad	\$ 1,231,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I Adjusted Sale Price CRMLS as well as public in My research Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted Adjust	not reve est/Re est/Re and ana	eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C ealist/C ealist/C	the su rior sale CRML crior sale CRML CRML SI SI 2022	Gross or the bject of the bject	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject pro and each nsfers of the s	subje	1,234,000 and comparable sales comparable to act property for the the parable sales for the f the subject property	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr	dj. 5 Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab	3.7 % n ⇒ past to the ef e date of le sales (07/16	\$ sales I fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this app ne comparable dditional prior	Net Ad Gross sean raisal. sale.	dj. Adj. rch was	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad	\$ 1,231,000 e on the
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I Adjusted Sale Price CRMLS as well as public My research Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted	not reversest/Renot reversest/Renot reversest/Renot reversest/Renot reversest/Renot and ana	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C llysis of t 05/10/2 \$1,050	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML CRML SI 2022 0,000	Gross bject s or trans s or trans	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject pro and each nsfers of the s	% \$ % \$ pperty sale subje comp	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable to ct property for the th parable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr year pr and co ALE #	dj. 5 Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab	3.7 % n > past to the ef e date of le sales (0 07/16 \$850,	\$ sales I fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR s/2021 000	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2	Net Ad Gross sean raisal. sale.	dj. Adj. rch was	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I A did did not research t CRMLS as well as public of My research A did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research A did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	record not reve est/Re est/Re and ana ((((((((((((((((((ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5	the su rior sale CRML crior sale CRML the prior St 2022 0,000 60427	Gross bject s or trans s or trans	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject pro and each nsfers of the s	% % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable to ct property for the th parable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr year pr and co ALE #	dj. 5 Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab	3.7 % n a past to the ef date of le sales (0 07/16 \$850, Doc#	\$ fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR 5/2021 000 11014	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2	Net Ad Gross sean raisal. sale.	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I Adjusted Sale Price CRMLS as well as public My research Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted Adjusted My research Adjusted	record not reve est/Re and ana	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C ealist/C 25/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 D5/13/2	the su rior sale CRML crior sale CRML the prio St 2022 0,000 60427 2022	Gross ory of th bject es or traines or traines s or traines S r sale or JBJECT	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each s nsfers of the s nsfers of the s transfer histo	% % \$pperty sale subje comp ory of Pa 05	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable to ct property for the th parable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr and c ALE #	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of ole sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13	\$ fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR 5/2021 000 11014 //2022	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79	Net Au Gross n sean raisal. sales o 2	dj. Adj. rch wa:	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I i did i did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	record not reve est/Re and ana (((story of	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C ealist/C 25/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 D5/13/2	the su rior sale CRML crior sale CRML the prio St 2022 0,000 60427 2022	Gross ory of th bject es or traines or traines s or traines S r sale or JBJECT	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each s nsfers of the s nsfers of the s transfer histo	% % \$pperty sale subje comp ory of Pa 05	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable to ct property for the th parable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr and c ALE #	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of ole sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13	\$ fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR 5/2021 000 11014 //2022	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79	Net Au Gross n sean raisal. sales o 2	dj. Adj. rch wa:	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I i did i did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	record not reve est/Re and ana (((story of	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C ealist/C 25/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 D5/13/2	the su rior sale CRML crior sale CRML the prio St 2022 0,000 60427 2022	Gross ory of th bject es or traines or traines s or traines S r sale or JBJECT	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each s nsfers of the s nsfers of the s transfer histo	% % \$pperty sale subje comp ory of Pa 05	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable to ct property for the th parable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr and c ALE #	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of ole sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13	\$ fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR 5/2021 000 11014 //2022	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79	Net Au Gross n sean raisal. sales o 2	dj. Adj. rch wa:	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I i did i did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	record not reve est/Re and ana (((story of	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C ealist/C 25/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 D5/13/2	the su rior sale CRML crior sale CRML the prio St 2022 0,000 60427 2022	Gross ory of th bject es or traines or traines s or traines S r sale or JBJECT	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each s nsfers of the s nsfers of the s transfer histo	% % \$pperty sale subje comp ory of Pa 05	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable to ct property for the th parable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr and c ALE #	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of ole sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13	\$ fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR 5/2021 000 11014 //2022	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79	Net Au Gross n sean raisal. sales o 2	dj. Adj. rch wa:	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I i did i did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	record not reve est/Re and ana (((story of	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C ealist/C 25/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 D5/13/2	the su rior sale CRML crior sale CRML the prio St 2022 0,000 60427 2022	Gross ory of th bject es or traines or traines s or traines S r sale or JBJECT	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each s nsfers of the s nsfers of the s transfer histo	% % \$ pperty sale subje comp ory of Pa	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable to ct property for the th parable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr and c ALE #	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of ole sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13	\$ fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR 5/2021 000 11014 //2022	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79	Net Au Gross n sean raisal. sales o 2	dj. Adj. rch wa:	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I i did i did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	record not reve est/Re and ana ind ana	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C llysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 the sub	the su rior sale CRML crior sale CRML 2022 0,000 0427 2022 ject pro	Gross ory of th bject s or trans S r sale or JBJECT	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each is insfers of the single state transfer histo	% % % \$ % \$ subje subje comp ory of 0ry of 0e 0e 0e 0e	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable to es comparable to to property for the th parable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DO	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr and c ALE #	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparat 1 ;Per C	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS	\$ sales f fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR 5/2021 000 11014 5/2022 5#2214	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s	Net Ar Gross Sean raisal. sales o 2 sales o 2	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPAI el Que 3/2022 perty w	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I	record not reve est/Re and ana and ana ((story of O.	ds on t eal any p ealist/C al any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 D5/13/2 the sub	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML the prio St 2022 0,000 0427 2022 ject pro	Gross ory of th bject s or trans s or trans transformation bject solution bject solution b	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each is insfers of the signature transfer histo ind comparable um.The compared	% % % % % % % % subje subje comp ory of 0ry of	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable ta es comparable ta ct property for the th parable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DO	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and co ALE # ALE # M 17	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed close	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS	\$ sales f fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR 5/2021 000 11014 5/2022 5#2214	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s	Net Ai Gross a sear raisal. sales o 2 subje	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPAI el Que 3/2022 perty w	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I	record not reve est/Re and ana and ana ((story of 0. proach o be t	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 the sub s he mo	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML the prior St 2022 0,000 0427 2022 ject pro	Gross ory of th bject s or trans s or trans s or trans s or trans s or trans s or trans s or trans transformation bill of the second second second second s of the second	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each is insfers of the s insfers of the s transfer histo d comparablic um.The con age, conce	% % % % % % % % subje subje comp 0 0ry of 0	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable ta es comparable ta ct property for the th parable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DO	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and co ALE # M 17 M 17	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of ole sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS	\$ sales f fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR 5/2021 000 11014 5/2022 5#2214 s#2214	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s 2281, the s bracket the	Net Ar Gross a sear raisal. sales o 2 subje	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPAI el Que 3/2022 perty w	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on the imenities, and
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I did did not research t CRMLS as well as public to My research did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his O5/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison App comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value	record not reve est/Re and ana est/Re and ana (c story of 0. proach o be t are co ue. Th	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 the sub the sub set he mo onside ne adju	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML the prior SI 2022 0,000 :0427 2022 ject pro See ad sst sim red to usted	Gross ory of th bject sor trans sor trans sor trans sor trans sor trans	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each a insfers of the e insfers of the e intransfer histo intransfer hist	% % % % % % % % subje subje comp ory of 0ry of	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable ta es comparable ta ct property for the th parable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DO parables are all n, quality and a ple as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and cc ALE # M 17 M 17 verific ppeal ective he fir	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c hal esti	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS RMLS	\$ fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR i/2021 000 11014' i/2022 i#2214 i#2214 i#2214 i#2214	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this apprent comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ	Net Ar Gross a sear sale. sales o 2 subje ect ma e sub paral ined	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA comPA berty w area. T titlity, a yen eq 51,125	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on he menities, and ual ,000. Typical
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I did did not research t CRMLS as well as public f My research did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research did did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his 05/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value exposure time for the mar	record not reve est/Re and ana est/Re and ana (c story of 0. proach o be t are cc ue. Th rket a	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 the sub conside ne adju rea is 0	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML the prior SI 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 00	Gross ory of th bject ss or trans S ss or trans S r sale or JBJECT 1 perty ar oddend nillar in be th range days.	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each a insfers of the e insfers of the e itransfer histo itransfer histo it	% % % % % % % % subje	1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable to act property for the th barable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DC barables are all n, quality and ap ble as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and cc ALE # Alist M 17 werifie ppeal ective free fir PER	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparat 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c hal esti FORM	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS RMLS	\$ fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR /2021 000 11014 /2022 #2214 i#2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA	Net Ar Gross a sear sale. sale. 2 subje subje ect mit e sub paral ined LS C	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA). COMPA). COMPA 3/2022 perty w sarea. T tillity, a ven eq S1,125 E SUB	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on he umenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I did did not research t CRMLS as well as public to My research did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his O5/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison App comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value	record not reve est/Re and ana est/Re and ana (c story of 0. proach o be t are cc ue. Th rket a	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 the sub conside ne adju rea is 0	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML the prior SI 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 00	Gross ory of th bject ss or trans S ss or trans S r sale or JBJECT 1 perty ar oddend nillar in be th range days.	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each a insfers of the e insfers of the e itransfer histo itransfer histo it	% % % % % % % % subje	1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable to act property for the th barable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DC barables are all n, quality and ap ble as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and cc ALE # Alist M 17 werifie ppeal ective free fir PER	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparat 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c hal esti FORM	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS RMLS	\$ fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR /2021 000 11014 /2022 #2214 i#2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA	Net Ar Gross a sear sale. sale. 2 subje subje ect mit e sub paral ined LS C	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA). COMPA). COMPA 3/2022 perty w sarea. T tillity, a ven eq S1,125 E SUB	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on he umenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I did did not research t CRMLS as well as public f My research did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research did did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his 05/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value exposure time for the mar	record not reve est/Re and ana est/Re and ana (c story of 0. proach o be t are cc ue. Th rket a	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 the sub conside ne adju rea is 0	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML the prior SI 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 2022 00 00	Gross ory of th bject ss or trans S ss or trans S r sale or JBJECT 1 perty ar oddend nillar in be th range days.	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each is insfers of the e insfers of the e itransfer histo itransfer histo i	% % % % % % % % subje	1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable to act property for the th barable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DC barables are all n, quality and ap ble as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and cc ALE # Alist M 17 werifie ppeal ective free fir PER	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparat 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c hal esti FORM	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS RMLS	\$ fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR /2021 000 11014 /2022 #2214 i#2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA	Net Ar Gross a sear sale. sale. 2 subje subje ect mit e sub paral ined LS C	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA). COMPA). COMPA 3/2022 perty w sarea. T tillity, a ven eq S1,125 E SUB	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on he umenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I did did not research t CRMLS as well as public f My research did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research did did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his 05/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value exposure time for the mar	record not reve est/Re and reve est/Re and ana (((story of 0.))))))))))))))))))	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of t 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 \$1000 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 \$1000 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 \$1000\$1000 \$10000 \$1000 \$1000 \$10000 \$1000 \$1000 \$1000 \$10000 \$10000 \$1000 \$10000 \$10000 \$1000 \$1000	the su rior sale CRML crior sale CRML the prior SI 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00427 2022 0,000 00 00427 2022 0,000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	Gross ory of th bject ss or trans S ss or trans S r sale or JBJECT 1 perty ar oddend nillar in be th range days.	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject prop and each a nsfers of the a transfer histo transfer histo d comparabl um.The con age, conc e best ava is \$1,107 THE APPF DERATION	% % % % % % % % subje	1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable to act property for the th barable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DC barables are all n, quality and ap ble as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and cc ALE # Alist M 17 werifie ppeal ective free fir PER	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparat 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c hal esti FORM	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS RMLS	\$ fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR /2021 000 11014 /2022 #2214 i#2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA	Net Ar Gross a sear sale. sale. 2 subje subje ect mit e sub paral ined LS C	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA). COMPA). COMPA 3/2022 perty w sarea. T tillity, a ven eq S1,125 E SUB	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on he umenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I did did not research t CRMLS as well as public to My research did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research did did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his 05/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison App comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value exposure time for the mar PROPERTY. COMP 1-3	record not reve est/Re and reve est/Re and ana ((story of 0. proach o be t are co ue. Th rket an GIVE	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 Doc*5 Doc	the su rior sale CRML crior sale CRML the prior SI 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 2022 0,000 0427 See ad sst sim red to usted 0-90 c ST CC	Gross ory of th bject s or trans S s or trans S r sale or JBJECT 1 perty ar ddend iilar in be th range days. DNSIE	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject prop and each a nsfers of the a transfer histo transfer histo d comparabl um.The con age, conc e best ava is \$1,107 THE APPF DERATION	9% % % % % </td <td>1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable to act property for the th barable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DC barables are all n, quality and ap ble as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT</td> <td>Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr and cc ALE # alist M 17 werifie ppeal ective he fir PER JE. T</td> <td>dj. s Adj. ot, explai eermine ars prior ior to the omparate 1 ;Per C ed closs . The c date c he incr</td> <td>3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS RMLS</td> <td>\$ sales f fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR 5/2021 000 11014 5/2022 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214</td> <td>1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA</td> <td>Net Ai Gross a sear raisal. sales o 2 subje ect m. e sub paral ined LLS C ed to</td> <td>dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ pN THE the up</td> <td>3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA). COMPA 3/2022 perty w s3/2022 perty w s3/2022 perty w s3/2022 perty w</td> <td>\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist as sold on imenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT narket trend.</td>	1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable to act property for the th barable sales for the f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DC barables are all n, quality and ap ble as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr and cc ALE # alist M 17 werifie ppeal ective he fir PER JE. T	dj. s Adj. ot, explai eermine ars prior ior to the omparate 1 ;Per C ed closs . The c date c he incr	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS RMLS	\$ sales f fective d sale of th (report a COMPAR 5/2021 000 11014 5/2022 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214 5#2214	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appr ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA	Net Ai Gross a sear raisal. sales o 2 subje ect m. e sub paral ined LLS C ed to	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ pN THE the up	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad). COMPA). COMPA 3/2022 perty w s3/2022 perty w s3/2022 perty w s3/2022 perty w	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist as sold on imenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT narket trend.
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I did did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research did did i Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research did did i Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his 05/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison App comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value exposure time for the mar PROPERTY. COMP 1-3	record not reve est/Re and reve est/Re and ana ((story of 0. (story of 0. (stor)) (stor) (stor)) (stor) (stor))) (stor)) (stor))) (stor))) (stor))) (stor))) (stor))) (stor))) (stor))) (stor)))) (stor))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 the sub 05/13/2 the sub 05/13/2 the sub 05/13/2 the sub 05/13/2 the sub 05/13/2 the sub 05/13/2 the sub 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 the sub 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 Do	the su rior sale CRML crior sale CRML 2022 0,000 0427 2022 ject pro See ac st sim red to usted 0-90 c ST CC	Gross ory of th bject sor trans sor trans sor trans sor trans sor trans sor trans the or trans sor trans sor trans the or transt the or transt the or transt the or transt the	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each s insfers of the s insfers of the s transfer histo it comparable d comparable um.The comparable um.The comparable is \$1,107 THE APPF DERATION	% % % % % <td>1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable tal es comparable for ct property for the th barable sales for the fithe subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DO barables are all n, quality and ap ole as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT DWARDS VALU</td> <td>Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and co ALE # alist M 17 M 17 Verific ppeal ective he fir PER JE. T</td> <td>dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparat 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c he incr he incr</td> <td>3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of of sed sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS compa of the a mate of ED A rease i 1,193,</td> <td>\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COMPAR /2021 000 11014 /2022 #2214 B#2214 B#221 B#2214 B#221 B#</td> <td>1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appine comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute Income App</td> <td>Net Ar Gross a sear raisal. sales sales 2 subje ect mi e sub paral ined LLS C ed to</td> <td>dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles gin to be \$ pN THE the up</td> <td>3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA comPA el Que 3/2022 perty w sarea. T tillity, a ven eq S1,125 s UB ward r</td> <td>\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on "he imenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT narket trend.</td>	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable tal es comparable for ct property for the th barable sales for the fithe subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DO barables are all n, quality and ap ole as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT DWARDS VALU	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and co ALE # alist M 17 M 17 Verific ppeal ective he fir PER JE. T	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparat 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c he incr he incr	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of of sed sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS compa of the a mate of ED A rease i 1,193,	\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COMPAR /2021 000 11014 /2022 #2214 B#2214 B#221 B#2214 B#221 B#	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appine comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute Income App	Net Ar Gross a sear raisal. sales sales 2 subje ect mi e sub paral ined LLS C ed to	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles gin to be \$ pN THE the up	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA comPA el Que 3/2022 perty w sarea. T tillity, a ven eq S1,125 s UB ward r	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on "he imenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT narket trend.
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I did did not research t CRMLS as well as public to My research did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research did did in Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his O5/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison App comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value exposure time for the mar PROPERTY. COMP 1-3 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approvided a Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Indicated Value by Sales Comparison The Sales Comparison approvided and the sales provided and th	record not reve est/Re and reve est/Re and ana (c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/: \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/: the sub conside ne adju rea is of N MOS roach \$ Approac as mos due to	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML the prior SI 2022 0,000 0427 2022 ject pro 0427 2022 ject pro See ac ost sim red to usted 0-90 c ST CO 1 ch \$ st weig the su	Gross ory of th bject s or trans s or trans t sale or JBJECT 1 perty ar of dend iilar in be th range days. DNSIE ,125,0 1,1 hted in bject's	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each a insfers of the e insfers of the	% % % % % <td>1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal parable sales for the fithe subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DO parables are all n, quality and a ble as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT DWARDS VALU st Approach (if dev e final estimate o on in a fully develo</td> <td>Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and co ALE # alist M 17 werific ppeal ective he fir PER JE. Ti eloped f value</td> <td>dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed closs . The c date c he incr he incr) \$ e, as it</td> <td>3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of of sed sales (0 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 SRMLS sed sal compa of the a mate of ED A rease i 1,193, best rej</td> <td>\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COMPAR 2021 000 11014 2022 #2214 s#2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST n value 675 present</td> <td>1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appine comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute Income App s typical buy</td> <td>Net Ar Gross a sear raisal. sales sales 2 subje ect mo e sub paral ined LS C ed to rroach er's a</td> <td>dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles gin to be \$ bN THE the up (if devel nd selle</td> <td>3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA comPA comPA s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s s 1,125 s UB ward r s s UB ward r s s s The s s s t s</td> <td>\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 st/Realist ras sold on 'he imenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT market trend.</td>	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal parable sales for the fithe subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DO parables are all n, quality and a ble as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT DWARDS VALU st Approach (if dev e final estimate o on in a fully develo	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and co ALE # alist M 17 werific ppeal ective he fir PER JE. Ti eloped f value	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed closs . The c date c he incr he incr) \$ e, as it	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of of sed sales (0 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 SRMLS sed sal compa of the a mate of ED A rease i 1,193, best rej	\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COMPAR 2021 000 11014 2022 #2214 s#2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST n value 675 present	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appine comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute Income App s typical buy	Net Ar Gross a sear raisal. sales sales 2 subje ect mo e sub paral ined LS C ed to rroach er's a	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles gin to be \$ bN THE the up (if devel nd selle	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA comPA comPA s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s s 1,125 s UB ward r s s UB ward r s s s The s s s t s	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 st/Realist ras sold on 'he imenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT market trend.
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I did did not research t CRMLS as well as public to My research did did in did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research did did did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his 05/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison App comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value exposure time for the mar PROPERTY. COMP 1-3 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Sales Comparison appro approach was given less emp SFR's in the subject's area and	record not reve est/Re and reve est/Re and ana i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 the sub 05/13/2 the sub	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML the prior SI 2022 0,000 i0427 2022 ject pro See ad ost sim red to usted 0-90 c ST CC 1 ch \$ st weig the su urchase	Gross pry of th bject s or transitions s or transitions s or transitions perty ar perty ar ddend illar in be th range days. DNSIE ,125,0 1,1 hted in bject's s for or	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each a insfers of the s insfers of the	% % % % % <td>1,234,000 and comparable sal es for the comparables are all n, quality and a ple as of the effe co-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT DWARDS VALU</td> <td>Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and cc ALE # Allist M 17 Speal ective he fir PER JE. Ti eloped f value oped la ation.</td> <td>dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c he incr FORM he incr) \$ e, as it l ocale. T</td> <td>3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of of le sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS RMLS compa of the a ease i ease i 1,193, best rep fhe Inc</td> <td>\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COMPAR /2021 000 11014 /2022 #2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST n value 675 present ome ap</td> <td>1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appine comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute Income App s typical buy proach was</td> <td>Net Ar Gross a sear aisal. sales o 2 subje ect ma e sub paral ined LS C ed to roach er's an not cc</td> <td>dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ N THE the up (if devel nd selle onsidere</td> <td>3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA comPA s 2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s s 1,125 s UB ward r s uped) \$ rs. The ad appli</td> <td>\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on ihe imenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT market trend. 0 cost cable due to</td>	1,234,000 and comparable sal es for the comparables are all n, quality and a ple as of the effe co-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT DWARDS VALU	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and cc ALE # Allist M 17 Speal ective he fir PER JE. Ti eloped f value oped la ation.	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c he incr FORM he incr) \$ e, as it l ocale. T	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of of le sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS RMLS compa of the a ease i ease i 1,193, best rep fhe Inc	\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COMPAR /2021 000 11014 /2022 #2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST n value 675 present ome ap	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appine comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute Income App s typical buy proach was	Net Ar Gross a sear aisal. sales o 2 subje ect ma e sub paral ined LS C ed to roach er's an not cc	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ N THE the up (if devel nd selle onsidere	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA comPA s 2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s 3/2022 perty w s s 1,125 s UB ward r s uped) \$ rs. The ad appli	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on ihe imenities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT market trend. 0 cost cable due to
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I I i did i did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research i did i did not research t Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research i did i did not research i Jata Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his 05/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison App comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value exposure time for the mar PROPERTY. COMP 1-3 Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis SFR's in the subject's area and This appraisal is made i as image	record not reve est/Re and reve est/Re and ana i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/: \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/: the sub Doc#5 05/13/: the sub S he mo onside ne adju rea is f N MOS each \$ Approac as mos due to cally pu sub	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML the prior SI 2022 0,000 0427 2022 ject pro See ac ost sim red to usted 0-90 c ST CC 1 ch \$ st weig the su urchase ject to	Gross pry of th bject s or transitions s or transitions s or transitions s or transitions perty ar perty ar be th range days. DNSIE ,125,0 1,1 hted in bject's s for completions completions bigget's	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each a insfers of the s insfers of the	% % % % % <td>1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable sal as comparable to as comparable to arable sales for the fithe subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea arcel Quest/Rea arcel Quest/Rea brancher and specifications comparables brancher and specifications comparables brancher and specifications comparables brancher and specifications comparables brancher and specifications brancher and bra</td> <td>Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and co ALE # alist M 17 werific ppeal ective he fir PER JE. Ti eloped f value opped lo ation. n the</td> <td>dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c he incr FORM he incr) \$ e, as it l ocale. 1 basis c</td> <td>3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of of le sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS compa of the a compa of the a ease i 1,193, best rep The Inco</td> <td>\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COMPAR COMPAR 2021 000 11014 2022 #2214 s#2214 s#24 s#24 s#24 s#24 s#24 s#24 s#24 s#2</td> <td>1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appi- ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute Income App s typical buy proach was condition that</td> <td>Net Ar Gross a sear aisal. sales asas</td> <td>dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ N THE the up (if devel nd selle onsidere improve</td> <td>3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA COMPA (). COMPA (). COMPA (). SUB ().</td> <td>\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 st/Realist ras sold on he menities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT market trend. 0 c cost cable due to have been</td>	1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable sal as comparable to as comparable to arable sales for the fithe subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea arcel Quest/Rea arcel Quest/Rea brancher and specifications comparables brancher and specifications comparables brancher and specifications comparables brancher and specifications comparables brancher and specifications brancher and bra	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and co ALE # alist M 17 werific ppeal ective he fir PER JE. Ti eloped f value opped lo ation. n the	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c he incr FORM he incr) \$ e, as it l ocale. 1 basis c	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of of le sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS compa of the a compa of the a ease i 1,193, best rep The Inco	\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COMPAR COMPAR 2021 000 11014 2022 #2214 s#2214 s#24 s#24 s#24 s#24 s#24 s#24 s#24 s#2	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this appi- ne comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute Income App s typical buy proach was condition that	Net Ar Gross a sear aisal. sales asas	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ N THE the up (if devel nd selle onsidere improve	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA COMPA (). COMPA (). COMPA (). SUB ().	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 st/Realist ras sold on he menities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT market trend. 0 c cost cable due to have been
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I I i did i did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research i did i did not research t Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research i did i did not research i Jata Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his 05/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison App comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value exposure time for the mar PROPERTY. COMP 1-3 Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis SFR's in the subject's area and This appraisal is made i as image	record not reve est/Re and reve est/Re and ana (c story of 0. (c story of c story of (c story of (c story of (c stor) (c (c stor) (c (c (c	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/: \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/: the sub Doc#5 05/13/: the sub conside ne adju rea is of N MOS coach \$ Approac as mos due to cally pu sub ng repai	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML the prior SI 2022 0,000 i0427 2022 ject pro See ac ost sim red to usted 0-90 c ST CC 1 ch \$ st weig the su urchase ject to irs or a	Gross ory of th bject s or trans s or trans transference dilar in be th ransference days. DNSIE ,125,0 1,1 htted in bject's es for complea	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each a insfers of the e insfers of the	% % % % % <td>1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable sal as comparable to arable sales for the fithe subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea fithe subject property fithe subject pro</td> <td>Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and co ALE # alist M 17 werific ppeal ective he fir PER JE. Ti eloped f value opped lo ation. n the conditio</td> <td>dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c he incr be, as it l ocale. T basis c on that t</td> <td>3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of of le sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS compa of the a compa of the a ease i 1,193, best rep f he Inco</td> <td>\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COMPAR 2021 000 11014 2022 #2214 s#2214 s#2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST n value 675 present ome ap oothetical irs or a</td> <td>1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this apprent comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute Income App s typical buy proach was condition that</td> <td>Net Ar Gross a sear aisal. sales asas</td> <td>dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ N THE the up (if devel nd selle onsidere improve</td> <td>3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA COMPA (). COMPA (). COMPA (). SUB ().</td> <td>\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 st/Realist ras sold on he menities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT market trend. 0 c cost cable due to have been</td>	1,234,000 and comparable sal as comparable sal as comparable to arable sales for the fithe subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea fithe subject property fithe subject pro	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea and co ALE # alist M 17 werific ppeal ective he fir PER JE. Ti eloped f value opped lo ation. n the conditio	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c he incr be, as it l ocale. T basis c on that t	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of of le sales (07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS compa of the a compa of the a ease i 1,193, best rep f he Inco	\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COMPAR 2021 000 11014 2022 #2214 s#2214 s#2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST n value 675 present ome ap oothetical irs or a	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this apprent comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute Income App s typical buy proach was condition that	Net Ar Gross a sear aisal. sales asas	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ N THE the up (if devel nd selle onsidere improve	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA COMPA (). COMPA (). COMPA (). SUB ().	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 st/Realist ras sold on he menities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT market trend. 0 c cost cable due to have been
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I I i did i did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research i did i did not research t Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research i did i did not research i Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his 05/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison Apple comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value exposure time for the mar PROPERTY. COMP 1-3 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison appro approach was given less emp SFR's in the subject's area and This appraisal is made i as in a price and Secompleted, isopection based	record not reve est/Re and reve est/Re and ana (c story of 0. (story of 0. (story of 0. (c story of 0. (c stor) (c c (c stor) (c c (c c (c c (c c (c c (c c (c c (c c (c c (c c (c c (c (c c (c (c c (c c (c c (c c (c c (c c (c (c (c (c (c (c (c (c (c (c (c (c)) (c (c	ds on t eal any p ealist/C eal any p ealist/C lysis of f 05/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 the sub conside ne adju rea is of N MOS eadju rea is of N MOS cally pu g repai the extr	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML the prior SI 2022 0,000 0427 2022 ject pro See ac ost sim red to usted 0-90 c ST CO 1 ch \$ st weig the su urchase ject to irs or a raordina	Gross pry of th bject s or transitions s or transitions s or transitions s or transitions perty ar perty ar ddend iilar in be th range days. DNSIE ,125,0 1,1 hted in bject's s for compleation alteration ar assumed to the second of the second to the second the second to the second to the second the second to the s	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each a insfers of the e insfers of the	% % % % % <td>1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DO parables are all n, quality and a ble as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT DWARDS VALU st Approach (if device not income gener and specifications co of a hypothetical of condition or deficie</td> <td>Net A Gross es. If not o det ree yea and co ALE # alist M 17 werific ppeal ective the fir PER JE. The sective for a fir ppeal ective for a fir ppeal active for a fir for a fir for</td> <td>dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c he incr basis con n that for basis con</td> <td>3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of get sales (0 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS Boot# 05/13 RMLS compa of the a mate of ED A rease i 1,193, best rep The Inco</td> <td>\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR /2021 000 11014 /2022 #2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST n value 675 present ome ap oothetical irs or a alteration</td> <td>1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this apprent comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute is attribute is attribute condition that terations have n or repair:</td> <td>Net Ar Gross a sear sales sales o 2 subje ect ma e sub parat ined LS C ed to roach er's a not cc at the e been</td> <td>dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ 9N THE the up (if devel nd selle nsidere improve comple</td> <td>3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA COMPA 9. COMPA 9. COMPA 9. COMPA 9. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.</td> <td>\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on he menities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT narket trend. 0 e cost cable due to nave been □ subject to the</td>	1,234,000 and comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal es comparable sal f the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea 5/13/2022 es DO parables are all n, quality and a ble as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT DWARDS VALU st Approach (if device not income gener and specifications co of a hypothetical of condition or deficie	Net A Gross es. If not o det ree yea and co ALE # alist M 17 werific ppeal ective the fir PER JE. The sective for a fir ppeal ective for a fir ppeal active for a fir for	dj. s Adj. ot, explai ermine ars prior ior to the omparab 1 ;Per C ed clos . The c date c he incr basis con n that for basis con	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of e date of get sales (0 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS Boot# 05/13 RMLS compa of the a mate of ED A rease i 1,193, best rep The Inco	\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR /2021 000 11014 /2022 #2214 les fror rables apprais of value PAST n value 675 present ome ap oothetical irs or a alteration	1,107,000 A thorough history. ate of this apprent comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute is attribute is attribute condition that terations have n or repair:	Net Ar Gross a sear sales sales o 2 subje ect ma e sub parat ined LS C ed to roach er's a not cc at the e been	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parce 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ 9N THE the up (if devel nd selle nsidere improve comple	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPA COMPA 9. COMPA 9. COMPA 9. COMPA 9. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.	\$ 1,231,000 e on the RABLE SALE #3 est/Realist ras sold on he menities, and ual ,000. Typical JECT narket trend. 0 e cost cable due to nave been □ subject to the
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I I i did i did not research t CRMLS as well as public i My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que My research i did i did r Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his 05/10/2022 for \$1,050,000 Summary of Sales Comparison App comparables are noted to GLA. The sales provided a consideration towards value exposure time for the mar PROPERTY. COMP 1-3 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison appro approach was given less emp SFR's in the subject's area and This appraisal is made i as i completed, isopection base	record not reve est/Re and reve est/Re and ana in (c story of 0. in (story of 0. in (stor) in (in (stor)) in (in (ds on t eal any p ealist/C al any p ealist/C al any p ealist/C dlysis of f D5/10/2 \$1,050 Doc#5 D5/13/2 the sub conside ne adju rea is o N MO2 roach \$ Approac as mos due to cally pu 	the su rior sale CRML rior sale CRML 2022 2022 0,000 0427 2022 ject pro See ad st sim red to usted 0-90 c ST CC 1 ch \$ st weig the su urchase ject to irs or a raordina ins or a raordina	Gross pry of th bject ss or trained ss or train	j. 2.8 Adj. 4.5 e subject proj and each a insfers of the s insfers of the subject on of the subject on of the subject	% % % % % <td>1,234,000 and comparable sales comparable sales comparable sales comparable sales comparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea comparables are all n, quality and an ale as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT DWARDS VALU St Approach (if device not income gener and specifications of of a hypothetical condition or deficie perty from at lea ket value, as defi</td> <td>Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr and c ALE # alist M 17 M 17 Verific ppeal ective be fir PER JE. Ti ective f value pped lu ation. n the condition n the condition f value pped lu ation.</td> <td>dj. s Adj. ot, explai eermine ars prior ior to the omparate 1 ;Per C ed closs . The c date c he incr FORM he incr) \$ e, as it l ocale. 1 basis of basis of the solution</td> <td>3.7% n e past to the ef e date of le sales (0 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS RMLS acced sal compa of the a mate of EDA rease i 1,193, best rep The Inc. of a hyp the repa require</td> <td>\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COM</td> <td>1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this app e comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s 2281, the s 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute is attribute income App s typical buy proach was condition that iterations have n or repair:</td> <td>Net Ar Gross a sear raisal. sales o 2 subje ect ma sales o 2 subje ect ma e sub paral paral contect e sub paral contect e sub contect e sub contect contect e sub contect e sub contect contect sub contect sub contect sub co</td> <td>dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parco 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ 9N THE the up (if devel nd selle nnsidere improve comple</td> <td>3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPAI el Que 3/2022 perty w el Que 3/2022 perty w company s/2022 perty s/2022 perty s/2022 p</td> <td>\$ 1,231,000 e on the a 1,231,000 e on the a 1,231,000 e on the a 1,231,000 a cost a sold on a cost co</td>	1,234,000 and comparable sales comparable sales comparable sales comparable sales comparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE S arcel Quest/Rea comparables are all n, quality and an ale as of the effe D-\$1,234,000. T SER HAS NOT DWARDS VALU St Approach (if device not income gener and specifications of of a hypothetical condition or deficie perty from at lea ket value, as defi	Net A Gross es. If no o det ree yea year pr and c ALE # alist M 17 M 17 Verific ppeal ective be fir PER JE. Ti ective f value pped lu ation. n the condition n the condition f value pped lu ation.	dj. s Adj. ot, explai eermine ars prior ior to the omparate 1 ;Per C ed closs . The c date c he incr FORM he incr) \$ e, as it l ocale. 1 basis of basis of the solution	3.7% n e past to the ef e date of le sales (0 07/16 \$850, Doc# 05/13 RMLS RMLS acced sal compa of the a mate of EDA rease i 1,193, best rep The Inc. of a hyp the repa require	\$ sales I fective d sale of II (report a COMPAR COM	1,107,000 A thorough nistory. ate of this app e comparable dditional prior ABLE SALE #2 79 2281, the s 2281, the s 2281, the s bracket the sal. All com e is determ APPRAISA e is attribute is attribute income App s typical buy proach was condition that iterations have n or repair:	Net Ar Gross a sear raisal. sales o 2 subje ect ma sales o 2 subje ect ma e sub paral paral contect e sub paral contect e sub contect e sub contect contect e sub contect e sub contect contect sub contect sub contect sub co	dj. Adj. rch was n page 3 Parco 05/13 ct prop arket a jects u bles giv to be \$ 9N THE the up (if devel nd selle nnsidere improve comple	3.5 % 7.5 % s mad s mad). COMPAI el Que 3/2022 perty w el Que 3/2022 perty w company s/2022 perty s/2022 perty s/2022 p	\$ 1,231,000 e on the a 1,231,000 e on the a 1,231,000 e on the a 1,231,000 a cost a sold on a cost co

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

File No. 32720597

32720597

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

Exterior-Only Inspection Re	esidential Appraisal Report	File # JLJBMD0	51322	
Appraisal Parameters and Methods:				
A six month market search was conducted within the subject's neighborh	ood and similar competing neighborhoo	d(s) for compara	able sale	es,
pending sales and properties currently listed for sale. Many properties we	ere reviewed, and to the best of the app	oraiser's knowled	ge, the	
Comparables presented and utilized in this report represent the most rele				
property. The comparable section and valuation analysis is governed by				operty
than for another that is equally desirable. When determinable, adjustmer				
matched paired analysis or abstraction. When matched pair analysis or				
appraiser's knowledge and experience in the market area are utilized in c sales were confirmed closed per the information data sources cited in the				
sources the appraisers placed more weight on the MLS data for descripti				
The comments below are explanations regarding the analysis and adjustr				g data.
	0			
The following adjustments were indicated:				
Active Listing: No adjustment due to the list price to sale price ratios at a	or over 100.0% for the last 12 months.			
Location adjustment: \$ for gated community				
Condition: +/-5 % GLA: Differences greater than 100 SF were adjusted at \$85 per SF. Bat	bs: \$12,000 Half Baths: \$6,000 Apv.va	riances in the tot	al room	count
were considered in the GLA adjustment.	13. \$12,000 Hall Baths. \$0,000 Ally Va			count
Site: Differences greater than 1,000 sf were adjusted at \$10 per SF.				
Pool: \$20,000; Spa: \$5,000				
Bonus Room:\$10,000				
Age: No age adjustment applied as subject and comparables are similar	in effective age due to remodeling and	will be adjusted	within th	ne
condition grid.				
No other adjustments were indicated.				
Subject reconciliation noted at predominant values within a 15% variance	The predominant value refers to the r	nost frequently o	ccurring	ı value
or value of the market place. The subject is not an over improvement for				Value
		ł.		
	(not required by Fannie Mae)			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ons.			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of sales or other methods for estimation or other methods fo	mating site value) The land value	is estimated wit		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem	ent from the tota	l value o	or sales
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem	ent from the tota	l value o	or sales
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. Subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one.	mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v	ent from the tota acant land sales	I value o within th	or sales ne
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem	ent from the tota acant land sales	il value o within th	or sales ne 875,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	ons. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE	ent from the tota acant land sales	<u>ll value o</u> within th \$ =\$	or sales ne
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$	acant land sales	<u>ll value o</u> within th \$ =\$	or sales ne 875,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift	ons. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem the opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$	acant land sales	<u>l value (</u> within th _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$	or sales ne 875,000 324,900
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land	ons. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New	ent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 	l value o within th _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$	or sales ne 875,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the	ons. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional	acant land sales	l value (within th _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 81,225	ent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	<u>l value d</u> within th _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$	br sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 81,225 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	ent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	<u>l value d</u> within th _ = \$ _ = \$	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 81,225 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	ent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	<u>l value d</u> within th _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$ _ =\$	br sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years.	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements May be a standard of the st	ent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = \$ = \$ =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED ☐ REPRODUCTION OR RepLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years.	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 81,225 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	ent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = \$ = \$ =	br sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	br sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 81,225 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 81,225 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ N FOR PUDs (if applicable)	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 81,225 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ No Unit type(s) Detached Attach	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 81,225 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ No Unit type(s) Detached Attach	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR Image: Reproduction cost new Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name o	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport O Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements Total Estimate of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ VFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR Image: Reproduction cost new Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years PROJECT INFORMATION Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of unit	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport O Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements Tas-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH Te (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ No Unit type(s) Detached Attach nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s)	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED ☐ REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport O Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements Total Estimate of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ VFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. TI subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATIOF Is the dev	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport O Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport O Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements Total Estimate of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ VFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. TI subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATIOF Is the dev	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport O Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements Tas-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH Te (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ No Unit type(s) Detached Attach nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s)	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. TI subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATIOF Is the dev	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport O Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport O Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements Total Estimate of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ VFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land.T subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years PROJECT INFORMATION Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the devel	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport O Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport O Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements Total Estimate of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ VFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR Image: Reproduction of site value (summary of cost data current cost data current comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years PROJECT INFORMATION Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information of PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is nontrol of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No No	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 81,225 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ VFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land.T subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 73% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 45 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years PROJECT INFORMATION Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the devel	ns. mating site value) The land value d replacement cost new of all improvem he opinion of site value was based off v OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,444 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 0 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 81,225 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ VFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.	eent from the tota acant land sales 225.00 External	I value of within th = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =\$ = =	or sales ne 875,000 324,900 324,900 81,225) 243,675 75,000 1,193,675

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 3 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

32720597

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.

2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.

4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.

2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.

3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.

8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.

9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.

10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.

11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.

12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.

13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.

14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.

15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.

16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.

17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).

19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

32720597 File #______JLJBMD051322

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.

23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.

24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.

4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER Jennifer L. Landon	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Jennifer L. Landon	Name
Company Name Sunwest Appraisals Inc	Company Name
Company Address <u>303 N Glenoaks Blvd, Suite 200,</u>	Company Address
Burbank, CA 91502	
Telephone Number (818)322-3449	Telephone Number
Email Address orders@sunwestappraisals.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 05/16/2022	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/13/2022	State Certification #
State Certification # AR037417	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 06/07/2023	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
3314 Fairmount Ave	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Glendale, CA 91214	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 1,125,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	 Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

File No. 32720597

		ł	zxter	rior-0	niy ins	pection Resid	ential Ap	prais	sal Report	File #	JLJBMD05	51322
FEATURE		SUBJEC	Т		COMPARAE	BLE SALE # 4	CON	IPARABI	LE SALE # 5		COMPARAB	LE SALE # 6
Address 3314 Fairmoun	nt Ave			3041 A	labama S	St	3333 Alaba	ama S	St	3357	' Santa Carl	
Glendale, CA 9						A 91214	Glendale,				dale, CA 91	
Proximity to Subject	/1214			0.35 m		M 01214	0.21 miles		217		miles N	211
Sale Price	\$			0.55 m		\$ 1.325.000		IN	\$ 1,130,000		miesin	\$ 1 095 000
	+			¢ 100		.,020,000		a a	.,,		- 10 0 -	1,000,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$		sq.ft.		6.85 sq.ft		\$ 946.4				840.37 sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)				CRMLS	5#32000	9034;DOM 10			245 ;DOM 2		ILS#P1-898	
Verification Source(s)				PQ, DC	DC# 2856	<u>528</u>	Active Listi	ing & A	Agent	Activ	e Under Co	ntract & Agent
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	D	ESCRIPT	ION	DESC	RIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing				ArmLth			Listing			Listir	na	
Concessions				Conv:0							.9	
Date of Sale/Time				s03/22			Active			c04/2	22	
Location	NID											.75.000
	N;R			N;Res;			N;Res;			A;Bs		+75,000
Leasehold/Fee Simple Site View		Simple	Э	Fee Sir			Fee Simple	е			Simple	
Site	631	3 sf		5421 st	f	+9,000	5274 sf		+10,000	5408	5 sf	+9,000
VIEW	N;M	ltn;		N;Mtn;			N;Mtn;			N;Mt	n;	
Design (Style)	DT1	;Traditi	ional	DT2;Tr	aditional	0	DT1;Tradit	ional		DT1;	Traditional	
Design (Style) Quality of Construction	Q4			Q4			Q4			Q4		
	75			72		0	96		0	74		0
Actual Age Condition Above Grade Room Count	C3			C2		-127,000			0	C3		0
Above Grade	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		rms. Baths	-127,000		Baths			Bdrms. Baths	
										Total		
	6	3	2.0		2 2.0	+8,000		1.0	+12,000		3 2.0	
Gross Living Area	_	1,444	1 sq.ft.		,208 sq.ft	+20,000		4 sq.ft.	+21,000		1,303 sq.ft.	+12,000
Dasement a finished	0sf			0sf			0sf			0sf		
Rooms Below Grade												
Functional Utility	Ave	rage		Averag	e		Average			Aver	age	
Heating/Cooling		A/CAC		FWA/C			FWA/CAC				VCAC	
Energy Efficient Items		for Ma			Market		Typ for Ma				or Market	
Garage/Carport			INCL					INCL				
		2dw		2ga2dv		0	2gd2dw			2gd2		
Porch/Patio/Deck		ch/Patio	0	Porch/F			Porch/Pati	0			h/Patio	
Amenities	Non	e		Pool/Bu	ilt In BBQ	-25,000	None			None	e/Solar	-5,000
APN#	560	2-020-0	003	5802-0	16-006	0	5602-016-	010	0	5601	-025-024	0
Net Adjustment (Total)				+	· 🗙 -	\$ -115,000	X + [-	\$ 43,000	X	+ 🗌 -	\$ 91,000
Adjusted Sale Price				Net Adj.	8.7 %		Net Adj.	3.8 %		Net Ad		
of Comparables				Gross Adj				3.8 %			-	
Report the results of the researc	h and an	alvsis of	the prio									• 1,100,000
ITEM				JBJECT		COMPARABLE SA			OMPARABLE SALE #			RABLE SALE # 6
			30	JDJLCI			NLL # 4	0	OWILANADLE SALL π	0		$\pi D L L J \pi L L \pi 0$
Data of Driar Sala/Transfor		05/40/	0000							-		
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer		05/10/2										
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer		\$1,050	0,000,									
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer		\$1,050 Doc#5),000 04271	1		Parcel Quest/Rea			el Quest/Realist		Parcel Que	
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer		\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2),000 04271 2022			05/13/2022			el Quest/Realist 3/2022		Parcel Que 05/13/2022	
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer		\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2),000 04271 2022		comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer		\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2),000 04271 2022		comparable	05/13/2022				-		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer		\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2),000 04271 2022		comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer		\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2),000 04271 2022		comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer		\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2),000 04271 2022		comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer		\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2),000 04271 2022		comparable	05/13/2022				-		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022				-		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer Analysis/Comments See) history c	\$1,050 Doc#5 05/13/2 of the sub	0,000 604271 2022 ject pro	perty and o	comparable	05/13/2022						

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

		Supplemental Addendum	File No. JLJBMD051322
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave		
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles	State CA Zip Code 91214
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		

CONDITION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:

The subject property is 3 bedroom and 2 bathroom 1 story home noted in average condition, quality and appeal.

MLS description: "Location! Location! Situated in the La Crescenta/Glendale area. Perfect for Investors and/or owner occupants! 3 bed 2 bath single family residence for sale. Property has been a family gem for several decades, and it's now available for the 1st time since. Needs a little TLC but is generally in average to good condition and has tremendous potential. This property exudes character and pride of ownership. Initially you will notice the drought resistant, tastefully landscaped front yard. Residence includes large family-style kitchen with dining area adjacent to the living room. Adjacent bedroom/office area adjacent to breakfast area with fireplace. Updated master suite with attached master bathroom and ample custom built storage/closet areas. 2-car detached garage provides private parking. Spacious multi-leveled backyard includes patio areas with ADU possibilities. Mature Orange fruit tree in backyard bearing delicious fruit. Rose garden lines the driveway leading to the back yard. Laundry room and storage area situated beneath the home in the separate basement/storage area. DO NOT DISTURB OCCUPANTS. Near neighborhood conveniences and public transportation. Come and get this cozy family home while it is available and make it your own! It won't last long!

The prior listing commentary noted the view amenity and prior listing photos indicated the mountain view.

The subject is a style dwelling located on a residential street. The site is level with front & rear landscape, hardscape and car garage.

IT IS NOTED THAT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE ACTUAL AGE AND EFFECTIVE AGE IS ATTRIBUTED THE SUBJECT'S CONDITION.

PURPOSE OF THE APPRAISAL REPORT:

The purpose of this appraisal report is to estimate the market value of the subject property as defined herein. The function of the appraisal is to assist the above-named lender/client, its successors and/or assigns, in evaluating the subject property for lending purposes. This is a federally regulated transaction. Additional supporting data can be found in the appraiser's work file.

It is assumed that the title to this property is good and marketable. No title search has been made, nor have we attempted to determine ownership of the property. The value estimate is given without regard to any questions of title, boundaries, or encroachments. It is assumed that all assessments are paid. We assume the property to be free and clear of liens and encumbrances except as noted.

We are not familiar with any engineering studies made to determine the bearing capacity of the land. Improvements in the area appear to be structurally sound. It is therefore assumed that soil and subsoil conditions are stable unless specifically outlined in this report.

Any exhibits in the report are intended to assist the reader in visualizing the property and its surroundings. The drawings are not intended as surveys and no responsibility is assumed for their cartographic accuracy. Drawings are not intended to be exact in size, scale or detail.

Areas and dimensions of the property were physically measured. If data is furnished by the principal or from plot plans or surveys furnished by the principal, or from public records, we assume it to be reasonably accurate. In the absence of current surveys, land areas may be based upon representations made by the owner's agents or the client. No attempt has been made to render an opinion or determine the status of easements that may exist. No responsibility is assumed for discrepancies that may become evident from a licensed survey of the property.

The value estimate involves only the real estate and all normal building equipment if any improvements are involved. Unless otherwise indicated, the opinion of value arrived at in this appraisal report is for the real estate only and DOES NOT INCLUDE ANY PERSONAL PROPERTY OF ANY KIND. Above ground pools or non-attached items such as freestanding appliances and window treatments are some examples of personal property. The inclusion of personal property in the sale of real estate is common. Although only the real estate is valued in this report, including typical personal property in a sale does not limit the marketability of a house.

The separate allocations between land and improvements, if applicable, represent our judgment only under the existing utilization of the property. A re-evaluation should be made if the improvements are removed or substantially altered, and the land utilized for another purpose.

All information and comments concerning the location, neighborhood trends, construction quality and costs, loss in value from whatever cause, condition, rents, or any other data for the property appraised herein, represents the estimates and opinions of the appraiser formed after an examination of the subject property.

All opinions, as to values stated, are presented as the appraiser's considered opinion based on the information set forth in the report and his experience. We assume no responsibility for changes in market conditions or for the inability of the client or any other party to achieve their desired results based upon the appraised value. Further, some of the assumptions made can be subject to variation depending upon evolving events. We realize some assumptions may never occur and unanticipated events or circumstances may occur. Therefore, actual results achieved during the projection period may vary from those in this report.

The appraisal report was not based on developing or reporting predetermined results, or a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the approval of a loan.

Our analysis, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of: USPAP – Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

THE APPRAISER HAS PREPARED THIS APPRAISAL IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE APPRAISER INDEPENDENCE REQUIREMENTS AND HAS NOT PERFORMED, PARTICIPATED IN, OR BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH ANY ACTIVITY IN VIOLATION OF AIR.

At the request of the client, this appraisal has been prepared in compliance with the Uniform Appraisal Dataset (UAD) from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The UAD requires the appraiser to use standardized responses that include specific formats, definitions, abbreviations, and acronyms. The UAD standard requires property information for the subject and comparables that may be difficult to verify in the normal course of business. The appraiser relies on MLS data, public records data, property owner and realtor verification when available. However, when those collective sources cannot provide precise information, estimates and assumptions are made to comply with the UAD requirements. Should information become available that was not known during the original appraisal due diligence, it could impact the appraisal. The UAD data standard also requires the use of whole numbers in certain data fields. The appraiser was required to round certain numeric entries in order to comply with the UAD data standard.

We do not authorize the out of context quoting or partial reprinting of this appraisal report. Further, neither all nor any part of the contents of this report (especially any conclusions as to value, the identity of the appraiser nor the name of the firm which he/she is connected, shall be reproduced, published, or disseminated to the public through advertising media, public relations media, news media, or another public means of communication, without the prior written consent of the appraiser signing the report.

APPRAISAL DATA:

Appraisal reports are technical documents addressed to the specific needs of clients. In most cases, appraisals are made for mortgage companies and/or banks whose use for this report may be wholly different than that of the casual reader. Therefore, the reader should understand that this was made with a limited amount of data and limited ability to verify certain information. Information was verified when possible through public records, multiple listing services, real estate agents and exterior inspection. This includes verifications that the comparables are actually closed sales and the transactions are arms-length. No verification technique is one hundred percent accurate but the appraiser has relied upon information as reported and recorded unless better sources prevail.

From time to time, the indicated sizes of comparables shown in the available sources such as MLS services listing sheets or assessor appears to be incorrect based on the appraisers professional experience. If the size used in the MLS sheets does not correlate with other known data, the appraiser will estimate the size of comparables. These include assessor's sheets, physical inspection and use of interior room measurements along with a multiplier to depict size based on exterior measurements. The deviation of comparable size from published sizes only indicates an attempt at higher accuracy in the final report. However, there are many times that the exact size and features found in comparables cannot be confirmed except by any exterior inspection from the street. We have used three or more comparables in this report to eliminate the limited data associated with any single comparable.

Information regarding the comparable sales has been obtained from public sources and listing agencies. If any significant discrepancies are revealed, the right to amend this report is reserved.

CONDITION OF MATERIALS:

The appraisal report requires the appraiser to note the condition of materials of several components of the subject property. The appraiser makes no representations, guarantees or warranties (express or implied), regarding the materials, their fitness, quality, condition or remaining economic life. An appraiser is NOT QUALIFIED OR TRAINED to discover/disclose hidden defects in material or workmanship. The lender/client should utilize or at least consider the services of a professional licensed home inspector to evaluate same if concerned about the condition of materials of the subject property.

ENVIRONMENTAL:

The opinion of value reported in this appraisal report is predicated on the belief that there are no adverse conditions that would affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property, unless noted in the appraisal report. Adverse conditions include but are not limited to the following: Needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, and other adverse environmental conditions. Neither the appraiser(s), nor the appraisal firm and the associate's staff have the expertise required to discover any environmental hazards, toxic substances or infestation concerning the subject property. The appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards and this report is not to be considered as an environmental assessment of the property. The appraiser does not make any representations, guarantees, or warranties, express or implied, that the property is free of defects or environmental problems including but not limited to the following:

INFESTATION – The appraiser has no expertise in the field of insect, termites or pest infestation. We are not qualified to detect the presence of these or any other unfavorable infestations. We have not specifically inspected the subject property to determine the presence of any infestation. No effort was made to dismantle or probe the structure to observe enclosed, encased, or otherwise concealed evidence of infestation. Infestation may be present in areas the appraiser cannot see.

		Supplemental Addendum	File No. JLJBMD051322
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave		
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles	State CA Zip Code 91214
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		

LEAD BASE PAINT – A residential dwelling that was built prior to 1978 may present exposure to lead based paint that may place young children at risk of developing lead poisoning. The appraiser is not qualified to determine if lead based paint is present or if it poses any risk or hazard to its inhabitants.

MECHANICAL SYSTEMS – The appraiser is NOT A HOME INSPECTOR, ELECTRICIAN, OR PLUMBER. Mechanical systems, including but not limited to plumbing, electrical, HVAC, appliances, septic systems and wells, have not been tested by the appraiser to determine their fitness of condition. If an electrical capacity has been noted in the appraisal report, it has been taken from the electrical service panel within the subject property or provided by another source including but not limited to, the owner, the blueprints, specifications, contractors, or other sources believed to be reliable. The appraiser will not be responsible for the condition, alterations, defects, or other unapparent modifications related to the mechanical systems of the subject property.

MOLD – The appraiser is not qualified to determine if mold is present in the property and if present, the appraiser is not qualified to determine the cause of the mold, the type of mold, or whether it poses any risk or hazard to the inhabitants.

SEASONAL CONDITIONS – There are instances when portions of the exterior of the property are obscured or not readily observable due to weather related conditions. In those instances, the appraiser(s) has relied upon a source(s) familiar with the property to cite the material and the condition of those improvements.

PROPERTY INSPECTION:

A "complete visual inspection" includes a walking tour of the property, interior and exterior and viewing all readily observable items; observing the floor plan and layout; identifying relevant amenities, evaluating conformity of the subject with the neighborhood; observing general conditions; assessing functional utility; measuring the house or utilizing other data and information to calculate the living area, and noting any renovations or remodeling that may have been done to the property.

A "complete visual inspection" does not include observing or viewing any portion of the property not readily accessible from a walking tour include full access to attics and/or crawl spaces; activation or operation of all mechanicals, electrical, or plumbing equipment or fixtures; any observation or viewing of the roof surface other than that which is readily viewable from ground level; or activation or testing of any water system of sewage or septic tank; walking the entire home site if the size and/or topography do not readily allow. THE APPRAISER IS NOT A QUALIFIED HOME INSPECTOR OR ENGINEER AND DOES NOT REPRESENT THOSE SERVICES. THIS APPRAISAL IS NOT A WARRANTY AGAINST ANY DEFECT OF THE IMPROVEMENTS.

SITE COMMENTS:

The site is very typical of the neighborhood in terms of size, topography, view and general appeal. It provides a suitable setting for the improvements and is consistent with market expectations in this price range. Statements regarding zoning compliances are intended only in the most general sense. Zoning and building ordinances vary significantly from one municipality to another and can be extremely detailed. The scope of this assignment does not include a comparison of every potentially significant characteristics of the subject property's site and improvements relative to zoning and building ordinances. Unless otherwise noted, standard utility and right of way easements are insignificant to value. However, a current locational or boundary survey or title report may reveal encroachments, easements, zoning violations or other matters of interest that could warrant modifications of the appraised value.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE:

In compliance with USPAP the following is included in the appraisal;

The rationale and support for the opinion of highest and best use developed for this assignment is as per below: Highest and Best Use is defined as "The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property that is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible, and that results in the highest value. The four criteria the highest and best use must meet are legal permissibility, physical possibility, financial feasibility, and maximum productivity. Alternatively, the probable use of land or improved property—specific with respect to the user and timing of the use—that is adequately supported and results in the highest present value"

Source: Appraisal Institute, The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, 5th ed. (Appraisal Institute, 2010). The highest and best use analysis is a critical step in the valuation process. The comparable properties incorporated into the appraisal are directly affected by the highest and best use analysis. The analysis is based on the use that a hypothetical purchaser would make of the property based on the four tests cited below:

Legally Permissible - The use must be legal and probable. That is, the use must conform to existing zoning restrictions, or there must be a reasonable likelihood a rezoning or variance may be granted.

Physically Possible - The test of physical possibility addresses the physical characteristics associated with the site that might affect it's highest and best use. The size, shape, terrain, accessibility of land and risk of natural disasters affect the uses to which land can be put.

Financially Feasible - Land may be developed with different uses. Only those uses, which produce a positive net return over time, are deemed financially viable. This use must not depress surrounding property values.

Maximum Productivity - Of all the uses that fulfill the first three tests, there is only one use, which produces the greatest return. This single use represents the property's Highest and Best Use. Supply and demand are constantly fluctuation, so its common for a property's Highest and Best Use to Change.

File No. JLJBMD051322

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave						
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91214	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						

The current use of the real estate as of the date of value is Residential as described in the improvements section of this appraisal.

After consideration of the above criteria it has been determined that the current improvements continue to contribute to the total market value of the property and the return from a new improvement would not currently offset the cost of demolishing the existing improvements and constructing a new one. THEREFORE, THE HIGHEST AND BEST USE IS AS IMPROVED.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

F.I.R.R.E.A. regulations require the appraiser to attempt to provide a legal description as part of the appraisal. If the legal description is provided, the appraiser has assumed it is correct. The legal description should be verified through legal documentation.

Comment Regarding FEMA:

Subject's market area is within the declared FEMA county disaster area due to recent fire. At the time of the inspection there was no damage to the property due to the recent fires in the Los Angeles county. There has been no affect on the value or marketability of the subject. All photos of the subject and comparables are current photos (post disaster) and not from the MLS listing.

At the time of inspection, there was no noticeable damage to the subject property due to any recent weather related disasters, floods or fires. There has been no effect on value or marketability of the subject.

NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION:

The subject is located in the city of Glendale area known as Crescenta Highlands. The subject area is comprised of single family dwellings built predominately from 1940-1970. Dwellings vary in age, style, condition and site size. Commercial and multi-residential properties are situated along major thoroughfares. There is good access to support services, schools and employment.

MARKET CONDITIONS:

Trends in real estate are directly related to historic, economic, demographic, and political forces within a market area. Events occurring nationally, regionally and locally can significantly impact the success of all types of real estate development. Macroeconomic conditions, such as interest rates, inflation, job security, industrial productivity, and stability in the stock market, shape consumer confidence and business investment activity. Regional and local indicators do not always mirror national trends. As a result, the economic conditions on a regional and local level have the most significant impact on real estate markets and must be analyzed separately. Diversity and stability in employment, job growth, business expansion and the profile of the available labor force all impact the economic stability of a region. Consumer demographics in the local market, such a population growth, household statistics, age/family characteristics and income levels, specifically impact the type of real estate development that can be sustained, the amount of development supported, prices/rents, absorption of space and the amenities required.

1004 MC Instructions state: "Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property".

"Subject Specific" parameters are often utilized, but by doing this, it will yield a smaller number of sales, too low to be considered statistically significant, it is then the appraiser's parameters may be expanded to include areas outside of the subject's immediate market neighborhood but still within the surrounding area to produce a statistically credible amount of data to achieve results in which support the appraiser's trend conclusions & not miss-lead the reader with an inadequate amount of statistical data,

Market conditions search criteria (farm list) are:

DUE TO THE INABILITY OF THE MLS TO FILTER CERTAIN KEY DATA, THE 1004MC INCLUDES PROPERTIES WHICH MAY MATCH IN FILTERABLE PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS, BUT ARE NOT ALWAYS COMPARABLE DWELLINGS.

In an effort to get a more accurate opinion of the market place CRMLS data was utilized.

SEARCH CRITERIA:

Researching comparable sales took place within the neighborhood boundaries to locate similar supportive and bracketing comparable analysis of closed and current market activity with weighted noted attributes to the subject. The initial research was conducted within subject's immediate neighborhood and then expanded within a 1 mile radius and within a 6 month time frame. Due to minimal inventory in the subject's Hillcrest Park neighborhood, the criteria was incrementally increased to a 25% variance within a 10 month time period to locate similar competing comparables to the subject. Comparables were researched to support the marketable characteristics of the subject with support for upgraded kitchens and bathrooms with additional interior and exteriors that have been well maintained and the view amenity. Criteria took into consideration towards the room counts, gross living area and site square footages while remaining within the neighborhood boundaries. Since not every subject can be compared to "ideal" comparable sales, the appraiser has chosen what are believed to be the best comparable sales available from a thorough and extensive search for comparable sales data in the subject's market. The sales selected for use

File No. II IBMD051322

Supplemental Addendum

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave		
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles	State CA Zip Code 91214
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		

in the analysis are considered to be the best indicators of value for the subject property. Other sales reviewed would have required excessive adjustments and were not considered to be as reliable as the sales chosen. Adjustment within the Sales Comparison Analysis are based on the market extraction method, generally employing the matched pair process, and not specifically based on cost figures. Search criteria remained within the subject's Stevenson Ranch neighborhood and located similarly supportive comparable sales considered to compete with the subject on the open market and are considered to support the main marketable attributes: condition, location and view amenity.

FINAL ANALYSIS:

The comparables are all verified closed sales from the subject market area. The comparables are noted to be the most similar in age, condition, quality and appeal. The comparables bracket the subjects utility, amenities, and GLA. The sales provided are considered to be the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal. The adjusted range is \$-\$. The final estimate of value is determined to be \$with recent comps 1-3 give most consideration towards value. Typical exposure time for the market area is under 3 months. THE APPRAISER HAS NOT PERFORMED A PAST APPRAISAL ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IN THE LAST 36 MONTHS. See Cost Approach page for adjustment clarification.

Comparables and are active/pending/contingent listings. They received negative adjustments to anticipate potential closed sales price.

Gross living area adjustments were made at \$ per square foot. The remaining adjustments are indicated on the sales comparison grid. ALL ADJUSTMENTS WERE DERIVED FROM THE SUBJECT MARKET AND THE APPRAISERS EXPERTISE IN THE MARKET PLACE.

Some comparables are closed over 6 months ago. Market trends are stable within subject market area, therefore, no time adjustments were applied to comps sold over 6 months ago.

It is acknowledged that the difference in actual age between the subject and comparables exceeds 30%, however, in this area of custom homes they are considered to be among the best market data available. Real estate is an imperfect market and there will always be a range in values and marketing times. This range in values and marketing times have no affect on the subject's estimated market value.

Due to extenuating circumstances such as heavy traffic, private streets/driveways, or people in front of the properties at the time of exterior drive by inspection, some comparables have MLS photos.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRRA) OF 1989, AS AMENDED (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.) and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

Cost Approach:

Land to market value percentage/ratio is typical for the neighborhood.

RECONCILIATION AND FINAL VALUE CONCLUSION:

The sales comparison approach was considered most applicable for the subject property because a typical buyer or seller would most readily understand and apply this approach. The income approach was not considered applicable due to the fact that the majority of housing stock in the area is owner occupied and not typically used for investment property. The cost approach was not completed due to the age of the subject and the subjectivity in estimating depreciation and because the typical buyer does not base price points on the cost approach. The quality of available data utilized in the Sales Comparison Approach was considered adequate. The quantity was relatively limited due to the attributes of the subject as previously discussed.

ADDITIONAL SALES COMPARABLE COMMENTS:

The comparables utilized were considered the best available to derive subject's valuation. Appropriate market adjustments were made for dissimilarities in all comps. Square footage where obtained from the assessor's office. Where assessor records were unavailable or appeared inaccurate, square footages were obtained from a multiplier derived from the market. The appraiser uses a variety of data services such as public and private online databases which include assessor's records, county recorder, FEMA Flood Maps, county websites, local zoning maps and/or phone confirmations by the appropriate zoning authorities, local MLS information, or any other reliable sources considered typical for the market area. All sources are considered to be reliable sources of data. When discrepancies in the information are found, the appraiser will use the source(s) that is believed to be the most reliable in the appraisal report. The appraiser will report only the data pertinent to the valuation process. When applicable, the data presented in the Sales Comparison Approach has been verified by more than one source unless otherwise noted.

The appraiser attempted to obtain an adequate amount of information in the normal course of business regarding the subject and comparable properties. Some of the standardized responses required by the UAD, especially those in which the appraiser has not had the opportunity to verify personally or measure, could mistakenly imply greater precision and reliability in the data than is factually correct or typical in the normal course of business. Examples include condition and quality ratings as well as comparable sales and listing data. Not every element of the subject property was viewable (list if necessary) and comparable property data was generally obtained from third-party sources (list sources). Consequently, this information should be considered an "estimate" unless otherwise noted by the appraiser.

COST APPROACH:

Supplemental Addendum

		Supplemental Addendum	File No. JLJBMD051322
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave		
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles	State CA Zip Code 91214
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		

At the request of the client, development of the cost approach has been attempted by the appraiser as an analysis to support their opinion of the property's market value. Because there is insufficient market evidence to credibly support the site value/derivation of total depreciation, the cost approach is not given any consideration in the appraiser's final analysis. Use of the data, in the whole or in part, for other purposes is not intended by the appraiser. Nothing set forth in the appraisal should be relied upon for the purpose of determining the amount or type of insurance coverage to be placed on the subject property. The appraiser assumes no liability and does not guarantee that any insurable value estimate inferred from this report will result in the subject property being fully insured for any loss that may be sustained. The appraiser recommends that an insurance professional be consulted. Further, the cost approach may not be reliable indication of replacement or reproduction cost for any date other than the effective date of this appraisal due to the changing costs of labor and materials and due to changing building codes and governmental regulations and requirements.

As of the date of this appraisal, any impact on real estate market conditions from COVID-19 is immeasurable. Market conditions and trends require a sufficient time and quantity of data to fully analyze. It would be reasonable to assume some impact on supply and demand; however, at this time, there is insufficient evidence that would allow us to formulate a conclusion. Prior virus outbreaks did not have any lasting impact since viral outbreaks have a limited timeline on interruption on business activity.

At the time of inspection, there was no noticeable damage to the subject property due to any recent weather related disasters, floods or fires. There has been no effect on value or marketability of the subject.

Finished square footage calculations for this dwelling were made based on measured dimensions only and may include unfinished areas, openings in floors not associated with stairs, or openings in floors exceeding the area of associated stairs.

SIGNIFICANT PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANCE: Jacob Bosco has provided assistance with research on market trends, subject & data entry. He was directly supervised by Jennifer Landon. McKenzie Dotson has provided assistance with written analysis of subjects and comparable market improvements. Claire Levine-Dotson provided administrative duties and data entry. They were directly supervised by Jennifer Landon.

Market	Conditions Add	lendum	to the A	Appraisal Report	File No.	32720597 JLJBMD051	322
The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/c	lient with a clear and accura	ate understar	nding of the m	arket trends and conditions p	1 110 1101		022
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appra Property Address 3314 Fairmount Ave	isal reports with an effective				State CA	ZIP Code 912	
Property Address 3314 Fairmount Ave Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC		City	Glendale			ZIF COUE 912	14
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information re-	•						
housing trends and overall market conditions as reporte it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as i							
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources wi							
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required info	rmation as an average instea	ad of the med	dian, the appr	aiser should report the availa	ble figure and iden	tify it as an	
average. Sales and listings must be properties that com		-			ed by a prospective	e buyer of the	
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anoma Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months		ts, new constr 6 Months	Current – 3 Months		Overall Trend	
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	47		7	19	Increasing	Stable	Declinir
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	7.83		67	6.33	Increasing	Stable	Declinir
Total # of Comparable Active Listings Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	4 0.5		3 .5	<u> </u>	Declining	Stable Stable	Increas
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months		6 Months	Current – 3 Months		Overall Trend	
Median Comparable Sale Price	\$1,150,000		0,000	\$1,215,000	Increasing	Stable	Declinir
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market Median Comparable List Price	14 \$1,040,000		9 9,000	<u> </u>	Declining	Stable Stable	Increas
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	47		3,000 30	9	Declining	Stable	Increas
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	109.52%		.01%	97.28%	Increasing	Stable	Declinir
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pa	·	N0	neroasod from	2% to 5% increasing use o	Declining	Stable	Increas
fees, options, etc.). Generally typical selle	-				-	-	3%
and has not increased or decreased over							0,0
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market	l? 🗌 Yes 🗙 No) If yes, e	explain (includ	ling the trends in listings and	sales of foreclosed	d properties).	
A monthly analysis was performed on 88	competing sales over	r the past	12 month	s. For those sales, a t	total of 0.0% w	vere reported	to be
REO.							
				sing an effective date			
at the results noted on this addendum. An	ny percent change res	sults noted	d in these	comments are based	on simple reg	ression.	
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co	ny percent change res	ood section of	d in these	comments are based al report form. If you used an	on simple reg	ation, such as	
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	ny percent change res onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ood section our conclusion	d in these of the apprais ns, provide bot	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor	on simple reg y additional inform t for your conclusion	ation, such as	ssume
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co	ny percent change rest onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you tte overall some stabi	ood section of a conclusion ility in this	d in these of the appraise ns, provide bot area. Bas	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf	on simple reg y additional inform t for your conclusion ormation extra	ression. ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a	
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties	ay percent change rest onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi s would sell within thre	ood section of a conclusion ility in this	d in these of the appraise ns, provide bot area. Bas	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf	on simple reg y additional inform t for your conclusion ormation extra	ression. ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a	
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica	ay percent change rest onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi s would sell within thre	ood section of a conclusion ility in this	d in these of the appraise ns, provide bot area. Bas	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf	on simple reg y additional inform t for your conclusion ormation extra	ression. ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a	
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties	ay percent change rest onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi s would sell within thre	ood section of a conclusion ility in this	d in these of the appraise ns, provide bot area. Bas	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf	on simple reg y additional inform t for your conclusion ormation extra	ression. ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a	
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties	ay percent change rest onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi s would sell within thre	ood section of a conclusion ility in this	d in these of the appraise ns, provide bot area. Bas	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf	on simple reg y additional inform t for your conclusion ormation extra	ression. ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a	
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties	ay percent change rest onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi s would sell within thre	ood section of a conclusion ility in this	d in these of the appraise ns, provide bot area. Bas	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf	on simple reg y additional inform t for your conclusion ormation extra	ression. ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a	
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within thre and is stable.	sults noted ood section of ar conclusion ility in this ee and six	d in these of the apprais is, provide bol area. Bas months, p	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N	on simple reg y additional inform t for your conclusi ormation extra appropriately	ression. ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and n	
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stabi s would sell within thre end is stable.	sults noted ood section of ar conclusion ility in this ee and six	d in these of the apprais is, provide bol area. Bas c months, p	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and n	narketed.
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within thre and is stable.	sults noted ood section of ar conclusion ility in this ee and six	d in these of the apprais is, provide bol area. Bas months, p	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N	on simple reg y additional inform t for your conclusi ormation extra appropriately	ression. ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and n	
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within thre and is stable.	sults noted ood section of ar conclusion ility in this ee and six	d in these of the apprais is, provide bol area. Bas months, p	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N	on simple reg	Verall Trend	Declinir Declinir
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within thre and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six ee and six	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within thre and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six ee and six	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within thre and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six ee and six	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within thre and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six ee and six	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within thre and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six ee and six	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within thre and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six ee and six	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tree As indicated above, the overall market tree If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within three and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six g: Prior 4–6	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months indicate the nu	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within three and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six g: Prior 4–6	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tree As indicated above, the overall market tree If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within three and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six g: Prior 4–6	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months indicate the nu	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tree As indicated above, the overall market tree If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within three and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six g: Prior 4–6	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months indicate the nu	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tree As indicated above, the overall market tree If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within three and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six g: Prior 4–6	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months indicate the nu	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tree As indicated above, the overall market tree If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within three and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six g: Prior 4–6	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months indicate the nu	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tree As indicated above, the overall market tree If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within three and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six prior 4-6 prior 4-6	d in these of the apprais is, provide bol area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months indicate the nu NA	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within three and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six prior 4-6	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months indicate the nu NA NA	comments are based al report form. If you used an than explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above t	ay percent change res onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabi is would sell within three and is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six prior 4-6 prior 4-6 p	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months indicate the nu NA NA Signature Supervisory <i>J</i> Company Na	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex project N Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	ay percent change rest onclusions in the Neighborho wn listings, to formulate you ate overall some stabils is would sell within three end is stable.	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six prior 4-(prior 4-(p	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months indicate the nu ndicate the nu NA Signature Supervisory A Company Na Company Ad	comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex umber of REO listings and ex hypraiser Name me dress	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Istable Stable Stable Istable Istable Istable Istable Istable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties As indicated above, the overall market tre If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pr Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above t	ay percent change resident of the subject unit and project of	sults noted ood section (ir conclusion ility in this ee and six g: Prior 4-6 D If yes, i If yes, i If yes, i If yes, i If yes, i	d in these of the apprais is, provide boi area. Bas months, p NA 6 Months indicate the nu ndicate the nu NA Signature Supervisory A Company Na Company Ad	Comments are based al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppor ed on comparable inf provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex umber of REO listings and ex // Current – 3 Months // Current – 3 Months	on simple reg	ation, such as ons. acted, it is to a priced and m Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declinir Declinir Declinir Increas Increas

File No. 32720597

32720597 File No. JLJBMD051322

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.*

*Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
-	-	Date of Sale/Time
e Estate	Expiration Date Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA		Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
	Federal Housing Authority	
GlfCse	Golf Course	
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn	Mountain View	View
Ν	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear

LIST/CLOSE:

\$1,250,000/\$1,050,000



Cross Property 360 Property View

3314 FAIRMOUNT Avenue, La Crescenta, CA 91214

Listing

3314 FAIRMOUNT Ave, La Crescenta 91214 STATUS: Closed

North of Interstate 210; East of New York Ave.; West of Pennsylvania Ave.; South of Orange Ave.



Recent: 05/10/2022 : SOLD : P->S

Valley View Elementary Dunsmore Park CRESCENTA HIGHLANDS Crescenta Valley Dog Park Crescenta Valley Dog Park Crescenta Valley Dog Park Crescenta

BED / BATH: 3/2,0,0,0 SQFT(src): 1,444 PRICE PER SQFT: \$727.15 LOT(src): 6,278/0.14 LEVELS: GARAGE: 2/Detached YEAR BUILT(src): 1947 PROP SUB TYPE: SFR DOM / CDOM: 17/17 SLC: Standard PARCEL #: 5602020003 LISTING ID: 22142281

Submit Offer

DESCRIPTION


Location! Location! Location! Situated in the La Crescenta/Glendale area. Perfect for Investors and/or owner occupants! 3 bed 2 bath single family residence for sale. Property has been a family gem for several decades, and it's now available for the 1st time since. Needs a little TLC but is generally in average to good condition and has tremendous potential. This property exudes character and pride of ownership. Initially you will notice the drought resistant, tastefully landscaped front yard. Residence includes large family-style kitchen with dining area adjacent to the living room. Adjacent bedroom/office area adjacent to breakfast area with fireplace. Updated master suite with attached master bathroom and ample custom built storage/closet areas. 2-car detached garage provides private parking. Spacious multi-leveled backyard includes patio areas with ADU possibilities. Mature Orange fruit tree in backyard bearing delicious fruit. Rose garden lines the driveway leading to the back yard. Laundry room and storage area situated beneath the home in the separate basement/storage area. DO NOT DISTURB OCCUPANTS. Near neighborhood conveniences and public transportation. Come and get this cozy family home while it is available and make it your own! It won't last long!

EXCLUSIONS:		INCLUSIONS:	
AREA: 635 - La Crescenta/Glendale Montrose & Annex SUBDIVISION: / COUNTY: Los Angeles SENIOR COMMUNITY?: No CERTIFIED 433A?: No	LIST \$ ORIGINAL: \$1,250,000 BASEMENT SQFT: COMMON WALLS: PARKING: Driveway, Garage - Two Door, Detached Carport HORSE: PROBATE AUTHORITY:		COOLING: Central Air HEATING: Central VIEW: Mountain(s) WATERFRONT: LAUNDRY: See Remarks, Individual Room
PROP SUB TYPE: Single Family Residence ()	STRUCTURE TYPE:		COMMON INTEREST:
INTERIOR			
INTERIOR: Ceiling Fan(s) MAIN LEVEL BEDROOMS: MAIN LEVEL BATHROOMS:	ACCESSIBILITY: APPLIANCES: Dishwa : Microwave KITCHEN FEATURES: BATHROOM FEATURES:	sher, Disposal,	FLOORING: Wood ENTRY LOC/ENTRY LVL: / FIREPLACE:
EXTERIOR			
EXTERIOR: FENCING: DIRECTION FACES:	SECURITY: SEWER:	LOT: POOL: None	PATIO/PORCH: SPA: None
BUILDING			
BUILDER NAME: MAKE: BUILD MODEL: TAX MODEL:	ARCH STYLE: Traditional DOOR: WINDOW:	ROOF: Composition, Shingl FOUNDATION DTLS: PROP COND:	CONSTR MTLS: OTHER STRUCT: NEW CONSTRUCTION YN:
GARAGE AND PARKING	G		
ATTACHED GARAGE?: Detached UNCOVERED SPACES:	PARKING TOTAL: 2 # REMOTES:	GARAGE SPACES: 2 RV PARK DIM:	CARPORT SPACES:

ALK SCORE:	GREEN ENERGY EFF:	GREEN SUSTAIN:	GREEN WTR CONSERV:
POWER PRODUCTION	v]		
WER PRODUCTION:	GREEN VERIFICATION:		
COMMUNITY			
OA FEE: OA FEE 2: OA FEE 3: OMMUNITY: OA MANAGEMENT NAME: OA MANAGEMENT NAME 2: OA MANAGEMENT NAME 3:	HOA NAME: HOA NAME 2: HOA NAME 3: HOA AMENITIES:	Hoa Phone: Hoa Phone 2: Hoa Phone 3:	# OF UNITS: # UNITS IN COMMUNITY: STORIES TOTAL: 1
LAND			
AND LEASE?: ARCEL #: <u>5602020003</u> DDITIONAL APN(s): No	LAND LEASE AMOUNT: LAND LEASE AMT FREQ: LAND LEASE PURCH?: LAND LEASE RENEW:	UTILITIES: ELECTRIC: WATER SOURCE: LOT SIZE DIM: 60x108 ASSESSMENTS:	TAX LOT: TAX BLOCK: TAX TRACT #: ZONING: GLR1YY TAX OTHER ASSESSMENT: TAX OTHER ASSESS SOURCE:
SCHOOL			
IGH SCHOOL DISTRICT:	ELEMENTARY: ELEMENTARY OTHER:	MIDDLE/JR HIGH: MIDDLE/JR HIGH OTHER:	HIGH SCHOOL: HIGH SCHOOL OTHER:
LISTING		DATE	S
BAC: 2.5% BAC RMRKS: DUAL/VARI COMP?: EASE CONSIDERED?:	TERMS: LIST AGRMT: Seller Re LIST SERVICE: Limited AD NUMBER:	Service	LIST CONTRACT DATE: 04/01/22 START SHOWING DATE: ON MARKET DATE: 04/01/22 PRICE CHG TIMESTAMP: 04/01/22
OSSESSION: IGN ON PROPERTY?: Yes ONTINGENCY LIST: ONTINGENCY: RIVATE REMARKS: Property is to oes not guarantee the accuracy	of SF or other information pertain	?: Yes/Yes Yes/Yes ET REPORT YN?: ies or repairs as this is a Trus ning to specific details of the	STATUS CHG TIMESTAMP: 05/10/22 MOD TIMESTAMP: 05/10/22 EXPIRED DATE: 10/01/22 PURCH CONTRACT DATE: 04/18/22 CLOSE DATE: 05/10/22 At Sale. Listing agent nor representative of the sign property provided by the seller. Buyer is advised to personal inspection with appropriate
DSSESSION: IGN ON PROPERTY?: Yes DNTINGENCY LIST: ONTINGENCY: RIVATE REMARKS: Property is to oes not guarantee the accuracy idependently verify the accuracy rofessionals. Buyer to verify wit	INTERNET, AVM?/COMM INTERNET?/ADDRESS?: NEIGHBORHOOD MARKE to be sold "As-Is" with no warrant of SF or other information pertain y of all information (Dept. of Build th appropriate entities and satisfy ric Robbins, erobbins316@gmail.co	?: Yes/Yes Yes/Yes ET REPORT YN?: ies or repairs as this is a Trus ning to specific details of the ling & Safety/Zoning) throug self. Cash buyers preferred. 1	MOD TIMESTAMP: 05/10/22 EXPIRED DATE: 10/01/22 PURCH CONTRACT DATE: 04/18/22 CLOSE DATE: 05/10/22 It Sale. Listing agent nor representative of the set
DSSESSION: IGN ON PROPERTY?: Yes DNTINGENCY LIST: ONTINGENCY: RIVATE REMARKS: Property is to bes not guarantee the accuracy rofessionals. Buyer to verify with ccupants. Submit all offers to Er SHOWING INFORMATI HOW CONTACT TYPE: HOW CONTACT TYPE: HOW CONTACT PH:	INTERNET, AVM?/COMM INTERNET?/ADDRESS?: NEIGHBORHOOD MARKE to be sold "As-Is" with no warrant of SF or other information pertain by of all information (Dept. of Build th appropriate entities and satisfy ric Robbins, erobbins316@gmail.co ION	?: Yes/Yes Yes/Yes ET REPORT YN?: les or repairs as this is a Trus ning to specific details of the ling & Safety/Zoning) throug self. Cash buyers preferred. J om. Offers to include RPA, PC	MOD TIMESTAMP: 05/10/22 EXPIRED DATE: 10/01/22 PURCH CONTRACT DATE: 04/18/22 CLOSE DATE: 05/10/22 At Sale. Listing agent nor representative of the supproperty provided by the seller. Buyer is advised h personal inspection with appropriate (deal property for investors and/or owner DF, Copy of Credit, Copy of EMD Check. OCCUPANT TYPE: OWNER'S NAME:
OSSESSION: GN ON PROPERTY?: Yes DNTINGENCY LIST: DNTINGENCY: RIVATE REMARKS: Property is to bes not guarantee the accuracy dependently verify the accuracy rofessionals. Buyer to verify wit scupants. Submit all offers to Er SHOWING INFORMATI HOW CONTACT TYPE: HOW CONTACT TYPE: HOW CONTACT PH: HOW CONTACT PH: HOW INSTRUCTIONS: Showing I Instructions: Showing I	INTERNET, AVM?/COMM INTERNET?/ADDRESS?: NEIGHBORHOOD MARKE to be sold "As-Is" with no warrant of SF or other information pertain cy of all information (Dept. of Build th appropriate entities and satisfy ric Robbins, erobbins316@gmail.co ION LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX TYPE:	?: Yes/Yes Yes/Yes ET REPORT YN?: ies or repairs as this is a Trus ning to specific details of the ling & Safety/Zoning) throug self. Cash buyers preferred. I om. Offers to include RPA, PC Ith accepted offer. DO NOT DI A 1	MOD TIMESTAMP: 05/10/22 EXPIRED DATE: 10/01/22 PURCH CONTRACT DATE: 04/18/22 CLOSE DATE: 05/10/22 At Sale. Listing agent nor representative of the superoperty provided by the seller. Buyer is advised h personal inspection with appropriate Ideal property for investors and/or owner DF, Copy of Credit, Copy of EMD Check. OCCUPANT TYPE: OWNER'S NAME: ISTURB occupants. Contact Listing agent for
OSSESSION: IGN ON PROPERTY?: Yes ONTINGENCY LIST: ONTINGENCY: RIVATE REMARKS: Property is to oes not guarantee the accuracy idependently verify the accuracy idependently	INTERNET, AVM?/COMM INTERNET?/ADDRESS?: NEIGHBORHOOD MARKE to be sold "As-Is" with no warrant of SF or other information pertain cy of all information (Dept. of Build th appropriate entities and satisfy ric Robbins, erobbins316@gmail.co ION LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX TYPE:	?: Yes/Yes Yes/Yes ET REPORT YN?: ies or repairs as this is a Trus ning to specific details of the ling & Safety/Zoning) throug self. Cash buyers preferred. J om. Offers to include RPA, PC ith accepted offer. DO NOT DI A 1 of Pennsylvania Ave.; South	MOD TIMESTAMP: 05/10/22 EXPIRED DATE: 10/01/22 PURCH CONTRACT DATE: 04/18/22 CLOSE DATE: 05/10/22 et Sale. Listing agent nor representative of the seproperty provided by the seller. Buyer is advised h personal inspection with appropriate deal property for investors and/or owner DF, Copy of Credit, Copy of EMD Check. OCCUPANT TYPE: OWNER'S NAME: ESTURB occupants. Contact Listing agent for of Orange Ave.
bes not guarantee the accuracy ndependently verify the accuracy professionals. Buyer to verify wit accupants. Submit all offers to Er SHOWING INFORMATT HOW CONTACT TYPE: HOW CONTACT TYPE: HOW CONTACT PH: HOW INSTRUCTIONS: Showing In dditional information Showing I	INTERNET, AVM?/COMM INTERNET?/ADDRESS?: NEIGHBORHOOD MARKE to be sold "As-Is" with no warrant of SF or other information pertain cy of all information (Dept. of Build th appropriate entities and satisfy ric Robbins, erobbins316@gmail.co ION LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX LOCATIO	?: Yes/Yes Yes/Yes ET REPORT YN?: ies or repairs as this is a Trus ning to specific details of the ling & Safety/Zoning) throug self. Cash buyers preferred. I om. Offers to include RPA, PC include RPA, PC ith accepted offer. DO NOT DI A 1 of Pennsylvania Ave.; South CON 1301923	MOD TIMESTAMP: 05/10/22 EXPIRED DATE: 10/01/22 PURCH CONTRACT DATE: 04/18/22 CLOSE DATE: 05/10/22 At Sale. Listing agent nor representative of the superoperty provided by the seller. Buyer is advised h personal inspection with appropriate Ideal property for investors and/or owner DF, Copy of Credit, Copy of EMD Check. OCCUPANT TYPE: OWNER'S NAME: ISTURB occupants. Contact Listing agent for
DSSESSION: IGN ON PROPERTY?: Yes DNTINGENCY: RIVATE REMARKS: Property is to boes not guarantee the accuracy independently verify the accuracy is the accuracy independently verify the accuracy is	INTERNET, AVM?/COMMI INTERNET?/ADDRESS?: NEIGHBORHOOD MARKE to be sold "As-Is" with no warrant of SF or other information pertain cy of all information (Dept. of Build th appropriate entities and satisfy ric Robbins, erobbins316@gmail.co ION LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX TYPE: Information: Accepted Offer,Call L 210; East of New York Ave.; West CoLA State License: LO State License: LO State License: COLO State License: COLO State License: COLO FAX: Offers Email:	?: Yes/Yes Yes/Yes ET REPORT YN?: ies or repairs as this is a Trus ning to specific details of the ling & Safety/Zoning) throug self. Cash buyers preferred. I om. Offers to include RPA, PC include RPA, PC ith accepted offer. DO NOT DI A 1 of Pennsylvania Ave.; South CON 1301923	MOD TIMESTAMP: 05/10/22 EXPIRED DATE: 10/01/22 PURCH CONTRACT DATE: 04/18/22 CLOSE DATE: 05/10/22 At Sale. Listing agent nor representative of the superoperty provided by the seller. Buyer is advised h personal inspection with appropriate Ideal property for investors and/or owner DF, Copy of Credit, Copy of EMD Check. OCCUPANT TYPE: OWNER'S NAME: ISTURB occupants. Contact Listing agent for of Orange Ave. TACT PRIORITY 1.LA CELL: 213-479-9717 2.OTHER: 3.LA EMAIL: erobbins316@gmail.com 4.CoLA CELL: 5.OTHER:
OSSESSION: IGN ON PROPERTY?: Yes ONTINGENCY: RIVATE REMARKS: Property is to oes not guarantee the accuracy idependently verify the accuracy SHOWING INFORMATI HOW CONTACT TYPE: HOW CON	INTERNET, AVM?/COMMI INTERNET?/ADDRESS?: NEIGHBORHOOD MARKE to be sold "As-Is" with no warrant: of SF or other information pertain cy of all information (Dept. of Build th appropriate entities and satisfy ric Robbins, erobbins316@gmail.co ION LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX LOCATION: LOCK BOX TYPE: mstructions: Interior inspection wi Information: Accepted Offer,Call L 210; East of New York Ave.; West Dins LA State License: LO FAX: 310-673-6 COLO State License: COLO FAX: Offers Email: MATION BA: (IVDOLGALE) ALEX DOLGINOV BO: DOLAN REALTY COMPANY	?: Yes/Yes Yes/Yes ET REPORT YN?: ies or repairs as this is a Trus ning to specific details of the ling & Safety/Zoning) throug self. Cash buyers preferred. I om. Offers to include RPA, PC ith accepted offer. DO NOT DI A 1 cof Pennsylvania Ave.; South CON 1301923 6377 CoBA: () CoBO: CoBA State License: CoBO State License:	MOD TIMESTAMP: 05/10/22 EXPIRED DATE: 10/01/22 PURCH CONTRACT DATE: 04/18/22 CLOSE DATE: 05/10/22 At Sale. Listing agent nor representative of the superoperty provided by the seller. Buyer is advised h personal inspection with appropriate Ideal property for investors and/or owner DF, Copy of Credit, Copy of EMD Check. OCCUPANT TYPE: OWNER'S NAME: ISTURB occupants. Contact Listing agent for of Orange Ave. TACT PRIORITY 1.LA CELL: 213-479-9717 2.OTHER: 3.LA EMAIL: erobbins316@gmail.com 4.CoLA CELL: 5.OTHER:


Location Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave				
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91214	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				




Aerial Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave				
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91214	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				



Plat Map



Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave		
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles State CA	Zip Code 91214
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		



	Subject Front
3314 Fairmo	unt Ave
Sales Price	
Gross Living Area	a 1,444
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Mtn;
Site	6313 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	75





Subject Street

Subject Alternate Front Angle

Photograph Addendum

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC					
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave					
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles State	CA	Zip Code	91214	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc					



Alternate Subject View

Side 1



Side 1

Alternate Street View

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave			
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91214
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			




Co	omparable1
2939 Stevens S	St
Prox. to Subject	0.47 miles E
Sale Price	1,200,000
Gross Living Area	1,155
Total Rooms	5
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Mtn;
Site	6053 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	77



Comparat	ple2
----------	------

3028 Alabama S	St
Prox. to Subject	0.37 miles E
Sale Price	1,068,000
Gross Living Area	1,106
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Mtn;
Site	5360 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	72





Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave			
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91214
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Сс	omparable4
3041 Alabama	St
Prox. to Subject	0.35 miles E
Sale Price	1,325,000
Gross Living Area	1,208
Total Rooms	5
Total Bedrooms	2
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Mtn;
Site	5421 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	72





t
0.21 miles N
1,130,000
1,194
6
3
1.0
N;Res;
N;Mtn;
5274 sf
Q4
96




Comparable6				
3357 Santa Carlotta St				
Prox. to Subject	0.42 miles N			
Sale Price	1,095,000			
Gross Living Area	1,303			
Total Rooms	6			
Total Bedrooms	3			
Total Bathrooms	2.0			
Location	A;BsyRd;			
View	N;Mtn;			
Site	5408 sf			
Quality	Q4			
Age	74			

CRMLS - Photograph Addendum

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC					
Property Address	3314 Fairmount Ave					
City	Glendale	County Los Angeles State	CA	Zip Code	91214	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc					



COMP 3 - 3038 Santa Carlotta St





PRA-1RE-10000 24

HUDSON INSURANCE COMPANY 100 William Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10038

REAL ESTATE PROFESSIONAL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY DECLARATIONS

NOTICE: THIS IS A "CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED" POLICY. THIS POLICY REQUIRES THAT A CLAIM BE MADE AGAINST THE INSURED DURING THE POLICY PERIOD AND REPORTED TO THE INSURER, IN WRITING, DURING THE POLICY PERIOD OR AUTOMATIC EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD.

THIS POLICY MAY CONTAIN PROVISIONS WHICH LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF CLAIM EXPENSES THE INSURER IS RESPONSIBLE TO PAY IN CONNECTION WITH CLAIMS. CLAIM EXPENSES SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ANY DEDUCTIBLE AMOUNT. THE PAYMENT OF CLAIM EXPENSES WILL REDUCE THE LIMITS OF LIABILITY STATED IN ITEM 4. OF THE DECLARATIONS. PLEASE READ YOUR POLICY CAREFULLY.

PLEASE READ THIS POLICY CAREFULLY.

Policy Number: PRA-1RE-1000218 Renewal of:

1. Named Insured: SunWest Appraisals, Inc. (including Predecessor Entities and DBA's)

- 2. Address: 303 N Glenoaks Blvd Suite 200 Burbank, CA 91502
- **3.** Policy Period: From: March 16, 2022 To: March 16, 2023 12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Number 2 above
- 4. Limit of Liability
 - A. Per Claim: \$1,000,000 B. Aggregate: \$1,000,000
- 5. Deductible: \$5,000 Each Claim
- 6. Policy Premium: \$1,820.00 State Taxes / Surcharges: \$0.00
- 7. Retroactive Date: Full Prior Acts
- 8. Notice to Company: Notice of a Claim or Potential Claim should be sent to:

Hudson Insurance Group 100 William Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10038 Fax: 646-216-3786 Email: hudsonclaims300@hudsoninsgroup.com

9. A. Program Administrator: B. Agent/Broker: Riverton Insurance Agency Corp. ALIA 800-882-4410

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, We have caused this policy to be executed by our President and our Corporate Secretary at New York, New York

to 2. Dallog

President

Ina Dastie

Secretary

PRA102 (01/20)

Page | 1