Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

49803 File # R22-043860

			, <u>'</u>	ket value of the subject property.
Property Address 10617 Gum Tree Ct		City Las Vegas	State	NV Zip Code 89144
Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC	Owner of Public Reco		& ANTONIA Count	y Clark
	PLAT BOOK 82 PAGE 67 LOT 124 BLOC			
Assessor's Parcel # 137-25-220-050		Tax Year 2022	R.E. T	Taxes \$ 2,648
Neighborhood Name Royal Woods		Map Reference 137-02		us Tract 0032.50
Occupant 🔀 Owner 🗌 Tenant 🔲 Vac	ant Special Assessments	\$ 0	▼ PUD HOA \$ 57	per year 🔀 per month
Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)			
Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transaction X Other	r (describe) Servicing		
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc.	Address 2015	Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite	100, Redondo Beach, CA	90278
Is the subject property currently offered for sale of	or has it been offered for sale in the twelve mo	nths prior to the effective date of t	this appraisal?	X Yes No
Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and	d date(s). DOM 7;The subject was	offered for sale on 04/27/202	2 for \$525,000, per LVR	#2391312. The listing is now
classified as closed with an MLS sale date	<u> </u>		· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	sale for the subject purchase transaction. Exp		he contract for sale or why th	e analysis was not
performed.				
5				
Contract Price \$ Date of Con	tract Is the property selle	r the owner of public record?	Yes No Data So	ource(s)
Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, s	ale concessions, gift or downpayment assista	nce, etc.) to be paid by any party	on behalf of the borrower?	Yes No
If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
., .,				
Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood are not appraisal factors			
Neighborhood Characteristics		nit Housing Trends	One-Unit Ho	ousing Present Land Use %
-				•
Location Urban Suburban Duar 75% Suburban	Rural Property Values Increasi		clining PRICE	AGE One-Unit 85 %
Built-Up Over 75% Z 25-75%	Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortag		er Supply \$ (000)	(yrs) 2-4 Unit 5 %
Growth Rapid Stable	Slow Marketing Time Vunder 3		er 6 mths 420 Low	18 Multi-Family 5 %
	bounded to the North by Summerlin Pkw	yy, South by Alta Dr, East by N		26 Commercial 5 %
Hualapai Way, West by Desert Foothills Dr.			620 Pred.	22 Other 0 %
	in an established residential neighborhoo			
construction. Residential amenities includi	ng schools, shopping, recreational facilit	ies and public transportation	are nearby. Employment o	centers are located throughout the
metropolitan area. Freeway access is wit	thin 2-3 miles.			
Market Conditions (including support for the above	ve conclusions) General market co	onditions are increasing with s	supply and demand short.	Loan discount, interest rate
buydowns and other concessions are not u	uncommon; however; typical adjustment	ts to the sales prices are dolla	r for dollar over 3%. There	e is evidence of conventional and
government financing in the area.				
Dimensions 74.46x84.31x64.56x120	Area 6534 sf	Shape Red	ctangular	View N;Res;
Specific Zoning Classification P-C	Zoning Description	Planed community		
Zoning Compliance 🔀 Legal 🗌 Legal Non		oning Illegal (describe)		
Is the highest and best use of subject property as	s improved (or as proposed per plans and spe	cifications) the present use?	X Yes No	If No, describe Subject is zoned
residential with no anticipated transition to other land us	ses Current HABII is compatible within this market:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		10 otner use is drysically dossible. Ied	ally permissible, financially feasib	ole or more productive.
Utilities Public Other (describe)			ally permissible, financially feasib f-site Improvements - Type	ole or more productive. Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public Other	(describe) Of	f-site Improvements - Type	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other Water ✓	(describe) Of	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt	
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other Water ✓ Sanitary Sewer ✓	Of (describe) Of St All	reet Asphalt None	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water X □ Sanitary Sewer X □ No FEMA Flood Zone X	(describe) Of St All FEMA Map # 32003C21	reet Asphalt None	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other Water X □ Sanitary Sewer X □ No FEMA Flood Zone X for the market area? X Yes □	Continue	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F	Public Private Control Control
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other Water X □ Sanitary Sewer X □ No FEMA Flood Zone X for the market area? X Yes □	Continue	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other Water X □ Sanitary Sewer X □ No FEMA Flood Zone X for the market area? X Yes □	Continue	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F	Public Private Control Control
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other Water X □ Sanitary Sewer X □ No FEMA Flood Zone X for the market area? X Yes □	Continue	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F	Public Private Control Control
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone Fent the market area?	St St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe nental conditions, land uses, etc.)?	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X for the market area? ✓ Yes factors (easements, encroachments, environments) Toperty Appraisal Files Multiple Other Market Area? Appraisal Files Multiple Other Market Area? Multiple Other Multiple Oth	St St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe nental conditions, land uses, etc.)?	rf-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F Yes rords Prior Inspection	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X for the market area? ✓ Yes factors (easements, encroachments, environments) Toperty Appraisal Files Multiple Other Market Area? Appraisal Files Multiple Other Market Area? Multiple Other Multiple Oth	St Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living	### ### ##############################	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X for the market area? Appraisal Files Mater Appraisal Files General Description	FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe nental conditions, land uses, etc.)? Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F Yes Ords Prior Inspection Area County records Amenities	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X for the market area? Appraisal Files MLS MS General Description Crawl Space	FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe nental conditions, land uses, etc.)? Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F Yes Ords Prior Inspection Area County records Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone Yes for the market area? Foperty Appraisal Files ML: General Description Full Basement Finished	FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe No If	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F Yes Ords Prior Inspection Area County records Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0 Woodstove(s) # 0	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitar	FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe lental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other	resite Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F Yes Ords Prior Inspection Area County records Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0 Patio/Deck None	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitar	FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe Idental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F Yes Ords Prior Inspection Area County records Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck None Porch None	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitar	St. All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe nental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F P Yes Ords Prior Inspection Area County records Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck None Pool None	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitar	St. All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe nental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E F Prior Inspection Area County records Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0 Patio/Deck None Porch None Pool None Fine Block	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanita	St. All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe Idental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanita	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe nental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other	St. All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe Idental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe nental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other	FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe lental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s)	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other	St FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe Idental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s)	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other	St. All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe rental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s)	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Public Other	St. All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe rental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s)	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer ML: MICHARY Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco/Gd Roof Surface Tile/Gd Gutters & Downspouts No Window Type Sliding/Gd Sliding/Gd Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Michary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sevironments Sanitary Sevironmen	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe Idental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) eterioration, renovations, remodelications will of business. The effective age	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer ML: MICHARY Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco/Gd Roof Surface Tile/Gd Gutters & Downspouts No Window Type Sliding/Gd Sliding/Gd Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Michary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sevironments Sanitary Sevironmen	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe Idental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) eterioration, renovations, remodelications will of business. The effective age	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer ML: MICHARY Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco/Gd Roof Surface Tile/Gd Gutters & Downspouts No Window Type Sliding/Gd Sliding/Gd Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Michary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sevironments Sanitary Sevironmen	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe Idental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) eterioration, renovations, remodelications will of business. The effective age	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Microsoft Microsoft Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Microsoft Microsoft Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sanitary S	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe Idental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) eterioration, renovations, remodeli #2391312. Any deviations will of business. The effective age sed on exterior inspection and	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Microsoft Microsoft Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Microsoft Microsoft Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sanitary S	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe Idental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) eterioration, renovations, remodeli #2391312. Any deviations will of business. The effective age sed on exterior inspection and	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sanita	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe nental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) eterioration, renovations, remodeli #2391312. Any deviations will of business. The effective age sed on exterior inspection and	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sanita	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe nental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) eterioration, renovations, remodeli #2391312. Any deviations will of business. The effective age sed on exterior inspection and	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sanita	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe nental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) eterioration, renovations, remodeli #2391312. Any deviations will of business. The effective age sed on exterior inspection and	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sever Sanitar	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe Idental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) deterioration, renovations, remodeling #2391312. Any deviations will of business. The effective age aged on exterior inspection and andness, or structural integrity of the set the subject is poor per LVR-	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer	St All FEMA Map # 32003C21 No If No, describe Idental conditions, land uses, etc.)? S Assessment and Tax Rec Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Crowave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) deterioration, renovations, remodeling Fed on exterior inspection and sed on exterior inspection and at the subject is poor per LVR. et construction, etc.)?	f-site Improvements - Type reet Asphalt ley None 50E	Public Private

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 49803 File # R22-043860

49803

There are 9 comparable	e properties currently	offered for sale in	the subject neighborho	ood ranging in price	from \$ 539,000	to \$ 785	5,000 .
					price from \$ 420,000		300,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 1	COMPARAI	BLE SALE # 2		LE SALE # 3
Address 10617 Gum Tree Ct		10448 Niagara Falls	s Ln	412 Silver Grove S	St	500 Ruby Vista Ct	
Las Vegas, NV 8914		Las Vegas, NV 891		Las Vegas, NV 89		Las Vegas, NV 891	44
Proximity to Subject		0.55 miles SE		0.41 miles S		0.41 miles SW	
Sale Price	\$		\$ 640,000		\$ 585,000		\$ 621,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 263.37 sq.ft.		\$ 270.58 sq.ff		\$ 298.70 sq.ft.	,
Data Source(s)		LVR #2364495;DC)M 51	LVR #2374815;D		LVR #2381633;D0	
Verification Source(s)		LVMLS & County As		LVMLS & County A		LVMLS & County As	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	DECOMM FIGHT	ArmLth	i () ¢ riajaotinone	ArmLth	1 () \$ riajasanone	ArmLth	i () ¢ riajaoanione
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0		Conv;0	
Date of Sale/Time		s04/22;c03/22	⊥10 200	s04/22;c03/22	⊥17 550	s05/22;c04/22	+9,315
Location	N:Doo:		T 19,200		T 17,550		T 3,313
Leasehold/Fee Simple		N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Site		Fee Simple	0	Fee Simple	. 0 404	Fee Simple	0.014
View		5663 sf	U	4792 sf	+3,484	7841 sf	-2,614
		N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Design (Style)		DT2;Traditional.		DT2;Traditional.		DT2;Traditional.	
Quality of Construction		Q4		Q4		Q4	
Actual Age		23		24		22	0
Condition		C3	-10,000		-10,000		-10,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	6 5 3.0	7 5 3.0	0		+2,500		+2,500
Gross Living Area	2,518 sq.ft.	2,430 sq.ft.	+5,720	2,162 sq.ff	+23,140	2,079 sq.ft.	+28,535
Basement & Finished	Osf	0sf		0sf		0sf	
Rooms Below Grade							
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Average	
Heating/Cooling		FWA/CAC		FWA/CAC		FWA/CAC	
Energy Efficient Items		None		None		SIrPnls/Leased	0
Garage/Carport		3ga3dw		2ga2dw	+5.000	3ga3dw	
Porch/Patio/Deck	_	CovPor/CovPat	-5 000	CovPrh/UnCv/Pat	. 5,555	CvPrh/CvPat/Bal	-10,000
Fireplaces	1 Fireplace	1 Fireplace	0,000	1 Fireplace		1 Fireplace	10,000
Pool Features		Pool/Spa	-20,000	<u> </u>		Pool/Spa	-20,000
Exterior Features		None	20,000	None		None	20,000
Net Adjustment (Total)	NOTIC	<u> </u>	\$ -10,080		\$ 41,674		\$ -2,264
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 1.6 %		Net Adj. 7.1 %		Net Adj. 0.4 %	
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 9.4 %		Gross Adj. 10.5 %		Gross Adj. 13.4 %	
•	the sale or transfer histo		,		020,074	u1033 Auj. 13.4 /0	Ψ 010,730
	not reveal any prior sale	s or transfers of the su	bject property for the th	ree years prior to the	effective date of this appi	raisal.	
Data Source(s) Realist							
	not reveal any prior sale	s or transfers of the co	mparable sales for the y	ear prior to the date o	of sale of the comparable	e sale.	
Data Source(s) Realist							
Report the results of the research a							
ITEM	SU	BJECT	COMPARABLE S	ALE #1	COMPARABLE SALE #2	2 COMPA	RABLE SALE #3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Data Source(s)	Public Records	<u> </u>	Public Records	Public	c Records	Public Reco	rds
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	05/24/2022		05/24/2022	05/24	1/2022	05/24/2022	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	story of the subject pror	perty and comparable s	sales <u>N</u> o s	ales or transfers in	the last 3 years.		
Summary of Sales Comparison Ap	proach See atta	ched addenda.					
	<u> </u>						
Indicated Value by Sales Comparis	on Annroach \$ 60	25,000					
Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis		•	Cost Approach (if deve	lonod\ ¢	Income Ann	reach (if developed)	t 0
-	arison Approach \$	625,000	Cost Approach (il deve	elopea) \$	income App	proach (if developed)	\$ 0
See attached addenda.							
This appraisal is made X "as i completed, Subject to the following required inspection based.	is", subject to sollowing repairs or a				pothetical condition the		

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 2 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 49803 File # R22-043860

49803

Scope of work and clarification.			
The appraiser has not identified any purchaser, borrower or seller as an intended user of this appraisal, and no such party should use or rely on this appraisal for any purpose. Such parties are advised to obtain an appraisal from an appraiser of their own choosing if they require an appraisal report by a purchaser, borrower or seller for their own purposes, including without limitation for the purposes of a property purchase decision or an appraisal contingency in a purchase agreement, is at such party's			
own risk and is not intended or authorized by the appraiser.			
At a minimum, and unless otherwise noted, the following steps were taken in arriving data and MLS data pertaining to the subject was obtained from the appraisers source factors pertinent to the subject property. (2) A complete, physical observation of the process is intended to be sufficient to identify the readily apparent attributes of the subwithin the typical scope of observations normally employed by appraisers for valuation	s. A preliminary search was ma roperty was completed on the e ject site and improvements wit	de to determin ffective date o hin the context	e market trends and other significant f this report. The physical observation of developing an opinion of value and
inspection as would be conducted by a professional building inspector, home inspect			
appraiser has not observed areas that are not readily accessible such as, but not limit ground or systems below ground such as septic systems, wells, etc. The appraiser h hazardous waste, mechanical systems such as, but not limited to, heating and air cor unapparent environmental hazards. If the client has any questions or concerns regard appropriate inspections by qualified parties. (3) A detailed review of market sales was county and/or city records, appraisers files, and other appraisers. See data source se considered and if applicable and necessary, the result of the analysis was reported. A	as no specific expertise in such dition, electrical and plumbing, ng these issues, it is the client extracted from various sources tion in the market grid. Replacent additional steps required to see the second steps.	matters as pe etc. or identifi s responsibility including rea ement cost dat supplement thi	st control, structural engineering, cation of mold, lead-based paint or y to use the due diligence and order the l estate brokers and agents, principals, ta and market rental data were s minimum scope of work are described
elsewhere in this report, either in the comment cells in the body of the form report or i appraisal. The development of this appraisal is based on the standard assumptions are extraordinary assumptions were used in the development of the appraisal.			
I have performed (no) services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the	property that is the subject of t	his report with	in the three-year period immediately
preceding acceptance of this assignment.			
My provider prevents me from including our E&O insurance. It is on file with your AM).		
The appraiser used the ANSI Z765 standard for measuring square footage. Finished square footage calculations for this house were made based on measured dimensions only and may include unfinished areas, openings in floors not			
associated with stairs, or openings in moors exceeding the area of associated stairs.			
	(not required by Fannie Mae)		
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.	ala fau thia	vancet has been devived from
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent impr arrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p	lecords were a	report has been derived from also analyzed and compared in order to
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent impr arrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent wi	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value".	lecords were a	also analyzed and compared in order to
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent impr arrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent wi ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p h "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE	lecords were a urposes.	also analyzed and compared in order to =\$
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent impr arrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent wi	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value".	lecords were a	also analyzed and compared in order to
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent important at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p h "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING	ecords were a urposes. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent imprarrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021	ns. mating site value) oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical	ecords were a urposes. Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estit comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent important at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely. The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation	sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$(
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estit comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent important at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely. The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estit comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent improperative at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely the definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates.	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$(
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estit comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent improvement arrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$(
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estit comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent improvement arrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely. The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF E (not required by Fannie Mae)	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent impr arrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent wi ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	ns. mating site value) oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p h "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ approach was not applicable to	sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estit comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent improvement arrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely. The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW. Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files. Quality rating from cost service. Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	ns. mating site value) oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p h "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ approach was not applicable to	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approachent see reconciliation statement.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent impurarrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	ns. mating site value) poved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Continuous assignm Attach	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approachent see reconciliation statement.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent important at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely arrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	ns. mating site value) oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPE E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ approach was not applicable to FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache and the subject property is an attache	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Continuous assignm Attach	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approachent see reconciliation statement.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent impurarrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	ns. mating site value) poved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Continuous assignm Attach	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approachent see reconciliation statement.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent improvement arrive at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	ns. mating site value) poved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF E (not required by Fannie Mae) O = \$ approach was not applicable to FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache and the subject property is an attache	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Continuous assignm Attach	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approachent see reconciliation statement.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent improvement at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely the definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p h "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements to approach was not applicable to the subject property is an attached the subject property is an attached Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional O this assignm d	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approachent see reconciliation statement.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent improvement at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely the definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p th "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements to approach was not applicable to the subject property is an attached the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s)	sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional O this assignm d	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approachent see reconciliation statement.
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent impresent impresent at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely. The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED. REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service. Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance ph "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ approach was not applicable to FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache and the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of complete	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional O this assignm d Attach ed dwelling unit.	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approachent see reconciliation statement.
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti comparable land sales, when available, or by extraction or allocation from recent impresent impresent at the site value opinion. Replacement No-one, client or 3rd party, should rely. The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this appraisal report is not consistent with ESTIMATED. REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data MS/Local Cost Quotes/NBC/Appraisers Files Quality rating from cost service. Avg Effective date of cost data 04/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Depreciation is calculated by effective age divided by economic life. Cost figures are based on Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, NBC, and local cost estimates. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	ns. mating site value) Site oved sales. MLS and County R on these figures for insurance p h "insurable value". OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements to approach was not applicable to the subject property is an attached the subject property is an attached Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional O this assignm d Attach ed dwelling unit.	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approachent see reconciliation statement.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

49803 File # R22-043860

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER AMALAMA	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature Signature	Signature
Name Jeffrey Lerger	Name
Company Name Impact Valuation Group LLC	Company Name
Company Address 10808 S. River Front Parkway, , Suite 3042	Company Address
South Jordan, UT 84095	
Telephone Number (877)284-2351	Telephone Number
Email Address info@impactvaluation.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 05/26/2022	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/24/2022	State Certification #
State Certification #	or State License #
or State License # A.0207995-RES	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State NV	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 09/30/2023	SUBJECT PROPERTY
	Did not increat outside of authiost are next.
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
10617 Gum Tree Ct	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Las Vegas, NV 89144	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 625,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc.	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo	Date of Inspection
Beach, CA 90278	
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 49803 File # R22-043860

FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAE	BLE SALE # 4	COMPARA	ABLE SALE # 5	COM	PARABL	E SALE # 6
Address 10617 Gum Tree Ct		10601 Turquoise \	/alley Dr		-			
Las Vegas, NV 8914		Las Vegas, NV 89	•					
Proximity to Subject		0.51 miles S						
Sale Price	\$	0.0111111000	\$ 770,000		\$			\$
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 275.79 sq.ft	-,	\$ sq.		\$	sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)	4 54	LVR #2372863;D		, J		T	04	
Verification Source(s)		LVMLS & County A						
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT	IUN	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	DESCRIPTION	ArmLth	+ (-) Ψ Aujustinont	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$\psi \text{Aujustinonit}	DESCRIPT	IOIV	+ (-) Ψ Aujustinont
Concessions			10,000					
Date of Sale/Time		Conv;10000	-10,000					
Location	N.D	s04/22;c02/22	+34,650					
	N;Res;	N;Res;						
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple						
Site	6534 sf	8276 sf	-3,484					
View	N;Res;	B;Res;Strip View	-5,000					
Design (Style)	DT2;Traditional.	DT2;Traditional.						
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4	-5,000					
Actual Age	23	24	0					
Condition	C4	C3	-10,000					
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Bath	ns	Total Bdrms.	Baths	
Room Count	6 5 3.0	7 4 2.1	+2,500					
Gross Living Area	2,518 sq.ft.	2,792 sq.ft	17,810	sq.	ft.		sq.ft.	
Basement & Finished	Osf	Osf	,				•	
Rooms Below Grade								
Functional Utility	Average	Average						
Heating/Cooling	FWA/CAC	FWA/CAC						
Energy Efficient Items	None None	None						
Garage/Carport	3ga3dw	3ga3dw						
Porch/Patio/Deck	CovPrh/UnCv/Pat	CvPrh/CvPat/Bal	-10,000					
Fireplaces	1 Fireplace	1 Fireplace						
Pool Features	None	Pool	-15,000					
Exterior Features	None	BBQ	-1,000					
Net Adjustment (Total)		_ + 🗶 -	\$ -40,144		\$	+ [\$
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 5.2 %		Net Adj.	%	Net Adj.	%	
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 14.9 %		Gross Adj.	% \$	Gross Adj.	%	\$
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the prio							
ITEM		JBJECT	COMPARABLE SA		COMPARABLE SALE #			ABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer			007	.== //	001111111111111111111111111111111111111			
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer								
Data Source(s)	Dublic Decord		Public Records					
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	Public Records)						
	05/24/2022		05/24/2022					
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	story of the subject pro	perty and comparable	Sales					
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								
Analysis/Comments								

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

49803

File No. R22-043860

neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all apprai		-	•	Jiovaio	in in the out	jout			
Property Address 10617 Gum Tree Ct	oan reported man and endeated	City Las Vegas		Sta	te NV	ZI	P Code 8914	14	
Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC									
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information req	•								
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as ir explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will									
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required inform	•								
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	_		-			
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal				,		•			
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months			0\	verall Trend		
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	43	14	15		Increasing		Stable		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	7.17	4.67	5.00	닏	Increasing		Stable		Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	9	5	9		Declining	X	Stable	ı	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	1.3 Prior 7–12 Months	1.1 Prior 4–6 Months	1.8 Current – 3 Months		Declining		Stable verall Trend	N.	Increasing
Median Comparable Sale Price	\$510,000	\$587,500	\$621,000	X	Increasing	\Box	Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	9	14	φο <u>Σ</u> 1,000		Declining		Stable	Ħ	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	\$639,000	\$649,999	\$660,000		Increasing		Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	15	22	23	X	Declining		Stable		Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	100%	99%	100%		Increasing		Stable		Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p		No			Declining		Stable		Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas	• •								
fees, options, etc.). An analysis was performed		over the past 12 mon	ths. For those sales, a to	tal of	30.6% were	e rep	oorted to ha	ve se	eller
concessions. This analysis shows a change of -2	2.1% per month.								
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?	? Yes X No	If ves. explain (includ	ling the trends in listings and	sales	of foreclosed	pro	perties).		
An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale							1/		
	ation reported in the LVR :) was	utilized to a	arriv	e at the resu	ults r	noted on
Cite data sources for above information. Information this addendum. Any percent change results noted) was	utilized to a	arriv	e at the resu	ults r	noted on
this addendum. Any percent change results noted	d in these comments are	based on simple regre	ession.					ults r	noted on
this addendum. Any percent change results noted	d in these comments are	based on simple regro od section of the apprais	ession. al report form. If you used ar	ny addi	tional inform	atior		ults r	noted on
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your	based on simple regro od section of the apprais conclusions, provide bo	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor	ny addi t for y	tional inform our conclusio	atior	ı, such as		
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your es over the past 12 month	based on simple regro od section of the apprais conclusions, provide bo hs. The sales within the	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor iis group had a median sa	ny addi t for yo ale pri	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562	atior ons.	n, such as D. This analy	rsis :	shows a
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales in	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there	based on simple regro od section of the apprais conclusions, provide bo hs. The sales within the	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor iis group had a median sa	ny addi t for yo ale pri	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562	atior ons.	n, such as D. This analy	rsis :	shows a
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there	based on simple regro od section of the apprais conclusions, provide bo hs. The sales within the	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor iis group had a median sa	ny addi t for yo ale pri	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562	atior ons.	n, such as D. This analy	rsis :	shows a
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales in	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there	based on simple regro od section of the apprais conclusions, provide bo hs. The sales within the	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor iis group had a median sa	ny addi t for yo ale pri	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562	atior ons.	n, such as D. This analy	rsis :	shows a
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales in	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there	based on simple regro od section of the apprais conclusions, provide bo hs. The sales within the	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor iis group had a median sa	ny addi t for yo ale pri	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562	atior ons.	n, such as D. This analy	rsis :	shows a
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales in	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there	based on simple regro od section of the apprais conclusions, provide bo hs. The sales within the	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor iis group had a median sa	ny addi t for yo ale pri	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562	atior ons.	n, such as D. This analy	rsis :	shows a
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales in	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there	based on simple regro od section of the apprais conclusions, provide bo hs. The sales within the	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor iis group had a median sa	ny addi t for yo ale pri	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562	atior ons.	n, such as D. This analy	rsis :	shows a
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales i a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change	d in these comments are conclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your es over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month.	based on simple reground section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within this a 1.5 month supply	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor iis group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a	ny addi t for yo ale pri chang	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562	atior ons.	n, such as D. This analy	rsis :	shows a
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative part of the	d in these comments are conclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month.	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N	ny addi t for yo ale pri chang	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562	atior ons. ,500 % pe	n, such as D. This analy er month. Th	rsis :	shows a
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data	d in these comments are conclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your es over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month.	based on simple reground section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within this a 1.5 month supply	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor iis group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a	ny addi t for yo ale pri chang	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 le of +2.29	atior ons. ,500 % pe	n, such as D. This analy er month. Th	rsis :	shows a sales had
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative part of the	d in these comments are conclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month.	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N	ny addi t for yo ale pri chang	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562	atior ons. ,500 % pe	n, such as D. This analy er month. Th	rsis :	shows a
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative process Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	d in these comments are conclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month.	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N	ny addi t for yo ale pri chang	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.29	atior ons. ,500 % pe	n, such as D. This analy er month. The verall Trend Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales i a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	d in these comments are conclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month.	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N	ny addi t for yo ale pri chang	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.29 Increasing Increasing	atior ons. ,500 % pe	n, such as D. This analy er month. The verall Trend Stable Stable	vsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales i a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a chang If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your es over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month. project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales i a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a chang If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your es over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month. project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your es over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month. project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your es over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month. project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your es over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month. project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your es over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month. project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood wn listings, to formulate your es over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month. project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales i a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a chang If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month. Project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month. Project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales i a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a chang If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month. Project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales i a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a chang If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month. Project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales i a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a chang If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month. Project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales i a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a chang If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month. Project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav An analysis was performed on 72 competing sale change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales i a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a chang If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative p Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month. Project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month. Project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple reground section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the sales within the supply sing sing sing sing sing sing sing sing	ession. al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor is group had a median sa y. This analysis shows a Project N Current – 3 Months	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month. Project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the sales within the sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Signature	Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Jeffrey Lerner	d in these comments are onclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there are of +1.9% per month. Project, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	based on simple regro od section of the apprais conclusions, provide bo hs. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply ing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the supply Signature Supervisory of the supply Signature Supervisory of the supply Signature Supervisory of the supply Signature	Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on signature Appraiser Name Jeffrey Lerner Company Name Impact Valuation Group LLC	d in these comments are inclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month. Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project.	based on simple regroup od section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply sing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the supply supervisory of Company Na	Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name me	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on summarize the above trends and address the impact on 10808 S. River Front Parkw.	d in these comments are inclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month. Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project.	based on simple regroup of section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply of the sales within the sales withi	Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name me dress	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing
this addendum. Any percent change results noted. Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. An analysis was performed on 72 competing sales change of +1.5% per month. Based on all sales is a median DOM of 9. This analysis shows a change subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on signature Appraiser Name Jeffrey Lerner Company Name Impact Valuation Group LLC	d in these comments are inclusions in the Neighborhood with listings, to formulate your as over the past 12 month in this same group, there ge of +1.9% per month. Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project.	based on simple regroup of section of the appraise conclusions, provide boths. The sales within the is a 1.5 month supply of the sales within the sales withi	Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name me dress // Certification #	addit t for you	tional inform our conclusion ce of \$562 te of +2.25 Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ov	verall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	rsis :	shows a sales had Declining Declining Increasing

Suppl

nementai Addendum	File No. R22-043860

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC			
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct			
City	Las Vegas	County Clark	State NV	Zip Code 89144
Lender/Client				

Summary of Sales Comparison Approach

Comparable sale #1 sold approximately 2 months ago. Adjustments for items that were dissimilar to the subject were time, condition (superior flooring, kitchen and bathrooms), GLA and pool/spa.

Comparable sale #2 sold approximately 2 months ago. Adjustments for items that were dissimilar to the subject were time, site, condition (superior flooring, kitchen and bathrooms), half bathroom, GLA and garage.

Comparable sale #3 sold approximately 1 month ago. Adjustments for items that were dissimilar to the subject were for time, site, condition (superior flooring, kitchen and bathrooms), half bathroom, GLA, patio/balcony and pool/spa.

Comparable sale #4 sold approximately 3 months ago. Adjustments for items that were dissimilar to the subject were concessions, time, site, upgraded finish work (plantation shutters and crown molding), condition (superior flooring, kitchen and bathrooms), half bathroom, GLA, patio/balcony and exterior features.

Comparable #4 was used to bracket GLA and not given any weight.

If subject property were upgraded to the comparables condition, the value of property would be \$625,000.

The appraiser is using an extraordinary assumption that the condition is still being based on MLS (LVR#2391312) provided in report. The pictures shown in the listing show damage and old kitchen countertop, missing cabinetry, missing flooring and the backyard needs clean up, therefore an across the board adjustment was made for condition.

If repairs would have been made it would be the lenders responsibility to order an interior inspection to verify updated condition.

Comparable 1, 2 and 3 appears most similar/recent and was given the most weight.

Solar electric in the Las Vegas valley is an asset to the real estate only if it is owned. Financed and leased contracts are mention in report but not given any weight.

State Requirements - Nevada Appraisal AMC# - Nevada ClearCapital.com, Inc. - AMC.0000143

The streets in the PUD are open all year for police, fire, garbage and utility work. The roads are similar to public streets as both are asphalt.

Due to very limited listing of similar homes in the immediate area, it was necessary to use sales over 1 mile in distance.

The subject's market is currently increasing, therefore market condition adjustments were made to comps 1, 2, 3 and 4 from their contract dates based on MLS data of 1.5%/month.

All comparables used are verified as closed. Dates shown for the comparables are closed dates unless the contract date is indicated. Offering or pending dates are the date of the transaction.

The appraisers comparables search parameters began with a search of the LVAR MLS for single family homes sold within the last 12 months and located within the subjects market area built within a range of 35 years up and down or homes in similar condition of the subject and with similar bedroom and bath counts and GLA. The comparable sales used within the report were the most recent and similar within the subjects market area. Listings were used in this report to illustrate competition within the subjects market area and were adjusted for sale/list price ration and other items as required via paired sales analysis. While consideration was given to all the comparables used in the report, the most weight was placed on comparable 1, 2 and 3 as they are the most similar sales similar to the subject.

A reasonable exposure time for the subject property developed independently from the stated marketing time is 0-90 days.

The address listed on the appraiser's signature page is the company's main business address located in South Jordan Utah, however the appraiser resides in and is licensed to appraise real property in the state of Nevada, Clark County as a residential appraiser license# A 0207995-RES

Reconciliation

The quality and quantity of data available was reconciled and analyzed within the approaches considered. The sales comparison approach best reflects the interaction of buyers and sellers in the real estate market. Due to the age of the subject and subjectiveness of depreciation, the cost approach is given no weight. The income approach is not applicable because the typical purchaser of homes in the subjects market area is owner occupied.

Supplemental Addendum

File	Nη	R22-043860
LIIC	IVU.	R//-U4.380U

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct				
City	Las Vegas	County Clark	State NV	Zip Code 8	9144
Lender/Client					

Format:

This appraisal is presented in a summary format designed to be in compliance with the reporting requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, recent addition (hereafter referred to a USPAP) as set forth in standards rule 2-2(b). In this format only a summary discussions of the data, reasoning, and analysis that were used in the documentation has been retained (subject to USPAP record keeping requirements of the ethics provision) in the appraiser's file/workplace. The format and extent of information provided in this report are specific to the needs of the intended user. The appraiser retains no responsibility for unauthorized use of this appraisal.

Intended use, user and purpose of report:

The appraiser has not identified any purchaser, borrower or seller as an intended user of this appraisal, and no such party should use or rely on this appraisal for any purpose. Such parties are advised to obtain an appraisal from an appraiser of their own choosing if they require an appraisal report by a purchaser, borrower or seller for their own purposes, including without limitation for the purposes of a property purchase decision or an appraisal contingency in a purchase agreement, is at such party's own risk and is not intended or authorized by the appraiser.

Scope of the appraisal assignment:

The "scope" of this residential appraisal assignment is to review the subject property, research applicable data, analyze the data, arrive at an opinion of the "highest and best use" of the property, employ the generally accepted approaches to value that are applicable (see page 3 of the URAR), and arrive at a final opinion of the property's value subject to the "assumptions and limiting conditions" and "certifications" attached.

Additional information as to the scope of the appraisal is included in the "inspection addendum" which outlines inspection procedures which should be considered as relative to the scope of the appraisal process used.

For the purposes of this assignment, the following represents the scope of work relating to the "complete visual inspection" of the subject property:

the appraiser(s) viewed the subject on the effective date of value, the following items are among those considered hidden components by the appraiser and were not visually available to the appraiser: (including but not limited to) framing, foundation, insulation, roofing, underlayment, electrical, plumbing, heating/cooling, attic and crawl space, areas under floor coverings, wall coverings, furniture and storage. The appraiser does not move or inspect behind personal items, furniture, or wall hangings. Concerns may exist in the areas of the subject not viewed by the appraiser that could be discovered by a professional home inspection. The appraiser is not a qualified home inspector and strongly recommends that one be engaged to obtain an accurate and complete perspective of the condition of the subject property if desired by the intended user.

Additionally: The appraiser did not operate the appliances, HVAC systems, plumbing system, or test the electrical systems. The appraiser did not note any obvious problems with these systems during the walkthrough, however, problems may exist that could be discovered through testing by a qualified individual. The appraiser recommends that these systems be tested by a professional home inspector or other qualified individuals. (also see attached inspection advisory)

The quality and quantity of the data used is limited by the sources typically available to the appraiser. Utah is a non-disclosure state and sales data can not be confirmed through county records. The appraiser's typically employed data sources are the local multiple listing services, appraiser's files and assessor's data. Available sources do not include "for sale by owner" properties and sales not reported in WFRMLS.

Property rights being appraised:

Unless otherwise noted on the URAR, the property rights being appraised are "fee simple".

No personal property is included in the opinion of value.

Flood zones and flood zone maps:

Information regarding flood zones in this report have been provided by a third party and reflect the appraiser's best effort to correctly locate the subject property on available flood maps. Due to the vague indication of individual streets/road on these maps, it is strongly advised that flood zone data be verified with local officials and/or private flood zone certification company.

General area trends and economic data:

An analysis of local trends indicate that the subject's area has had increasing marketing conditions with a bump up in prices since 2016. The area has had minimal foreclosure and short sale activity. An analysis of local trends indicates that the subject's area presently has good marketing conditions and reasonable marketing times (0 to 90 days) for well priced properties. The comparables used are recent enough so that no date of sale adjustments are considered necessary unless otherwise noted. (see attached for additional area information)

Site comments:

The subject property is situated on a residential site typical of the neighborhood and adequate utilities are available. No adverse easements were noted at the time of inspection. The functional utility of the site is such that it meets the requirements for residential use.

the legal description used in the report was obtained from other sources which may include the lender and the local assessor's

Supplemental Addendum	File No. R22-043860
BLLC	
County Clark	State NV Zip Code 89144

Lender/Client office.

Borrower Property Address

City

Improvements:

The subject improvements appear to meet the expectations of the market in this value sector. Marketability is considered to be typical for this type of property.

No obvious encroachments were noted, however, the appraiser has no readily available means to determine property boundaries. Also the appraiser lacks methodology to determine that the improvements described are situated on the legal description provided by others (see above). The intended user may desire a professional survey if concerns exist. The appraiser has no professional training in survey work and such is considered outside the scope of this assignment.

Highest and best use (vacant):

Catamount Properties 2018

10617 Gum Tree Ct

Las Vegas

although the appraiser has not been provided with a soil or geological survey of the site, it appears to be adequate for residential use (based on the appraiser's cursory inspection). Size, location and off site infra-structure also appear adequate. Based on a preliminary review of the zoning regulations, single family usage appears to be the major permitted use. Said residential use is also considered to be economically feasible given current market conditions and will return the maximum productivity to the site in the current market. Therefore, the single family residential use is considered to be the "highest and best use" of the site.

Highest And best use (improved):

The subject improvements were designed with single family residential usage as the primary intended use. Said use is currently legally permitted and under current market conditions represents a financially feasible use which will return the maximum productivity to the improved property. Therefore, and in consideration of the above analysis, the "highest and best use" of the subject "as improved" is the residential use.

Inspection Advisory:

Obtain a professional home inspection. It is the appraiser's recommendation that all existing homes be professionally inspected prior to transfer of ownership.

The subject property was viewed by the appraiser for valuation purposes only. No inspection has been made of the structural and mechanical systems of the home other than a cursory viewing by the appraiser to assist in valuing the property. The appraiser has not received formal training in the analysis, operation or proper design of the structural systems, operating systems, mechanical systems, hvac, or appliances typically found in a residential property. The appraiser views only the visible/easily accessible areas of the home and hidden or unrecognized problems (such as mold, dry rot, insect infestation or conditions that may lead to such problems in the future may not be noted. The appraiser is not qualified to determine the cause of mold, the type of mold or whether the mold might pose any risk to the property or it's inhabitants. For this reason, the appraiser always recommends that a professional home inspection, pest inspection and or environmental inspection be obtained prior to the transfer of any interest in a residential property. The appraisal does not guarantee that the property is free of defects or environmental problems (including mold or other unseen contamination). The appraisal should not alone be relied upon to report the condition of the property.

Additionally, if prospective purchasers or lenders have any concerns in regards to zoning, encroachments, off-site factors or other similar factors, they should contact experts in those fields. Referrals are readily available from local realtors, lenders, title companies, and the library.

It should be noted that in the course of some appraisals, the appraiser may recommend certain inspections or repairs. In no way should these findings be construed as a home inspection. There are many other systems of a typical home that need to be inspected (or repairs that may be needed) that may not have been noted by the appraiser.

The appraiser also recommends that all wood stove and inserts be inspected by competent parties. It is also recommended that all bedrooms have adequate egress (per local code) and all bedroom areas have u.l. Approved smoke detectors. It is further recommended that all properties serviced by private well and septic systems be inspected and approved by local health authorities.

the Appraiser considers it prudent (and within the anticipated due diligence) of a potential investor or home buyer to obtain the above described inspections to assure themselves of the quality, condition and safety of the residential property they are purchasing or gaining an interest in.

Hazardous substances and materials:

Unless otherwise stated in this report, the existence of hazardous substances, including and without limitation: asbestos, molds poly chlorinate biphenyls, radon gas, petroleum (or by-products), agricultural or dry cleaning chemicals, insecticides, or past or present chemicals relating to toxic substance production, which may or may not be present on the property, or in proximity to the property, were not called to the attention of, nor did the appraiser become aware of such during the appraiser's viewing of the subject property. The appraiser has no knowledge of the existence of such materials on, in, or near the property unless otherwise stated. It should be noted, that the appraiser is not qualified to test for such substances and is not trained in their identification. The presence of these materials or substances may have a negative effect on the value of the property. The opinion of value arrived in this appraisal is predicated on the belief that there is no such condition on or in the proximity to the property that would cause such a loss in value. The appraiser takes no responsibility for any such conditions, nor for any expertise or engineering knowledge required to discover them. The client, or any potential reader or user of this report is urged to retain an expert in the field of environmental concerns and their impact on real estate is so desired, or considered prudent. Additional information may be obtained at "www.epa.gov".

Supplemental Addendum

File No.	R22-043860
----------	------------

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC			
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct			
City	Las Vegas	County Clark	State NV	Zip Code 89144
Lender/Client				

Privacy Policy Notice

Purpose of this notice:

Title V of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley act. (GLBA) generally prohibits any financial institution, directly or through its affiliates, from sharing nonpublic personal information about you with a nonaffiliated third party unless the institution provides you with a notice of its privacy policies an practices, such as the type of information that it collects about you and the categories of persons or entities to whom it may be disclosed. In compliance with the GLBA, we are providing you with this document, which notifies you of the privacy policies and practices.

We may collect nonpublic personal information about you from the following sources:


Information, such as anticipated loan proceeds, type of mortgage or loan, and fees paid, others involved in your transaction, such as the real estate agent, on applications or other forms. Information about your transaction with us, our affiliates, or others, such as your earnest money, down payment, sales price, rental data, size and condition of home and other details concerning your property.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise in an amended privacy policy notice no additional nonpublic personal information will be collected about you.

We may disclose any of the above information that we collect about our customers or former customers to our affiliates or to nonaffiliated third parties as permitted by law. We do not disclose any nonpublic personal information about you with anyone for any purpose that is not specifically permitted by law. If you do not want us to use or disclose such information as described above please contact us at: 877-284-2351.


Location Map

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct				
City	Las Vegas	County Clark	State NV	/ Zip Code	89144
Lender/Client					




Plat Map

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC							
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct							
City	Las Vegas	County	Clark	State	NV	Zip Code	89144	
Lender/Client								



Aerial Map

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct				
City	Las Vegas	County Clark	State NV	/ Zip Code	89144
Lender/Client					



Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC							
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct							
City	Las Vegas	County	Clark	State	NV	Zip Code	89144	
Lender/Client								



Subject Front

10617 Gum Tree Ct

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 2,518 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 5 Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View 6534 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 23



Subject Street

Photograph Addendum

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct				
City	Las Vegas	County Clark	State NV	Zip Code 89144	
Lender/Client					



Left side



Right side



Address



Backyard



Kitchen



Bathroom

Photograph Addendum

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct				
City	Las Vegas	County Clark	State NV	Zip Code 89144	
Lender/Client					



Missing flooring



Missing flooring

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC								
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct								
City	Las Vegas	County	Clark	Sta	te	NV	Zip Code	89144	
Landar/Cliant									



Comparable 1

10448 Niagara Falls Ln

0.55 miles SE Prox. to Subject Sales Price 640,000 Gross Living Area 2,430 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 5 Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 5663 sf Quality Q4 23 Age



Comparable 2

412 Silver Grove St

Prox. to Subject 0.41 miles S 585,000 Sales Price Gross Living Area 2,162 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 4792 sf Quality Q4 Age 24



Comparable 3

500 Ruby Vista Ct

Prox. to Subject 0.41 miles SW Sales Price 621,000 2,079 Gross Living Area Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 2.1 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 7841 sf Quality Q4 22 Age

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC							
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct							
City	Las Vegas	County	Clark	State	NV	Zip Code	89144	
Lender/Client								



Comparable 4

10601 Turquoise Valley Dr
Prox. to Subject 0.51 miles S
Sale Price 770,000
Gross Living Area 2,792
Total Rooms 7
Total Bedrooms 4
Total Pathrooms 2,1

Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res;

View B;Res;Strip View
Site 8276 sf
Quality Q4
Age 24

Comparable 5

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

Comparable 6

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

49803

USPAP ADDENDUM File No. <u>R22-043860</u>

Property Add	ress 10617 Gum Tree Ct	10 LL0		
City	Las Vegas	County Clark	State NV	Zip Code 89144
Lender	Wedgewood Inc.			
		following USPAP reporting option:		
⋈ Ap	praisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standard		
Re	stricted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standard	s Rule 2-2(b).	
1	nable Exposure Time			
		me for the subject property at the market value stated in this report i	s: <u>0-90 Days</u>	
A reaso	inable estimate of exposure time	for the subject would be in the range of 0-90 days.		
Additio	onal Certifications			
I certify	that, to the best of my knowled	ge and belief:		
	•	an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is \ensuremath{T}	the subject of this report wi	ithin the
thr	ee-year period immediately prec	eding acceptance of this assignment.		
□ тн	AVE performed services, as an a	appraiser or in another capacity, regarding the property that is the su	ubject of this report within th	ne three-year
	- · · ·	ptance of this assignment. Those services are described in the com-	ments below.	
	atements of fact contained in this i	report are true and correct. Iclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting condit	ione and are my personal im-	portial and unbiased
	onal analyses, opinions, and conclu		ons and are my personal, mig	Jartial, allu ulibiaseu
		sent or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this repo	t and no personal interest wif	th respect to the parties
involved		to the first the second of the		
		ty that is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this assigni not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.	nent.	
-	= = =	signment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predete	ermined value or direction in v	alue that favors the cause of
the clier	nt, the amount of the value opinion,	the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent ev	ent directly related to the inten	ided use of this appraisal.
		were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the	Uniform Standards of Profess	sional Appraisal Practice that
1	effect at the time this report was pro- s otherwise indicated. I have made	epared. a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ded significant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing	this certification (if there are e	exceptions, the name of each
individu	al providing significant real property	appraisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this report).		
A 1 1111	10			
	onal Comments			
1	on of Market Value	that a property should bring in a competitive and open market under	r all conditions requisits to	a fair cala the buyer and
		that a property should bring in a competitive and open market unde eably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Imp	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		tle from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:		
	nd seller are typically motivated;			
	irties are well informed or well ac nable time is allowed for exposu	dvised, and each acting in what he or she considers his/her own bes are in the open market:	st interest;	
		S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto;	and	
		eration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financi	ng or sales concessions gra	anted by anyone associated
with the			. No adicatorate an access	
		must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiab		
	= -	inancing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by c	· · ·	=
instituti	onal lender that is not already inv	volved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be	calculated on a mechanical	dollar for dollar cost of the
	· = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction	to the financing or concess	sions based on the
	er's judgment. of definition of market value: Far	nnie Mae		
AMC F	ee Split: \$325.00 Appraiser Fee S	Split: \$335.00		
APPRA	ISER:	SUPERVISORY A	PPRAISER: (only if re	quired)
	() & / /	1////		
Signature:		Signature:		
	Jeffrey Lerner	Name:		
Date Signe State Certi	d: <u>05/26/2022</u> fication #:	Date Signed: State Certification #:		
01-1-11	//: A 0007005 DE0	State Ortanous II.		

or State License #: or State License #: A.0207995-RES State: NV State: Expiration Date of Certification or License: Expiration Date of Certification or License: 09/30/2023 Effective Date of Appraisal: 05/24/2022 Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of Subject Property:

Did Not Exterior-only from Street

Interior and Exterior

License



49803 File No. R22-043860

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and ungrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
А	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk AdjPwr	Adjacent to Park	Location
ArmLth	Adjacent to Power Lines Arms Length Sale	Location Sale or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
В	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
С	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash Commercial Influence	Sale or Financing Concessions Location
Comm	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
Ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway Evoiration Data	Garage/Carport
Estate	Expiration Date Estate Sale	Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Estate Sale Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
g	Garage	Garage/Carport
ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-in Garage	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Lndfl	Landfill	Location View
LtdSght MR	Limited Sight Mid-rise	Design (Style)
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
0	Other	Design (Style)
ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location Solo or Financing Concessions
Relo REO	Relocation Sale REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown Veterana Administration	Date of Sale/Time
VA w	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date	Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time
wo	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Woods	Woods View	View
Wtr	Water View	View
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade

PROPERTY HISTORY

File No. R22-043860

				ILEE 0 10	000
Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	10617 Gum Tree Ct				
City	Las Vegas	County Clark	State N\	/ Zip Code	89144
Landar/Cliant					

* SUBJECT 36-MONTH PRIOR TRANSFER HISTORY *

10617 Gum Tree Ct

-No transfer history.

* COMPARABLE 12-MONTH PRIOR TRANSFER HISTORY * (may include properties that were considered but not utilized as comparables)

10601 Turquoise Valley Dr

-No transfer history.

412 Silver Grove St

-No transfer history.

10448 Niagara Falls Ln -No transfer history.

500 Ruby Vista Ct -No transfer history.

513 Carlton Kay PI

-No transfer history.

10628 Esk Dr

-No transfer history.