File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	The purpose of this summary appraisal report is to	o provide the lender/client w	vith an accura	ate, and adequately supp	orted, opinio	on of the market v	/alue of t	he subject prope	erty.
	Property Address 6632 Hesperia Ave		City	Reseda		State CA	Zip Co		
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC	Owner of Public Re	cord	Redwood Holdin	ngs Llc	County		Los Angeles	S
	Legal Description Tract # 16425 Lot 19								
_	Assessor's Parcel # 2122-029-006			Tax Year	2	021 R.E	. Taxes	\$ 5,809	
ပ္ပ	Neighborhood Name Reseda		Ma	ap Reference	C007	Cer	sus Trac	t 1327.	.00
2	Occupant X Owner Tenant Vacan	nt Special Assessments \$	0	PUD	HOA\$	0		per year p	er month
SUBJECT	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (de	escribe)						
S	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transaction	X Other (describe) Loan Servi	cing				
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	Addre	ess 2015 N	/lanhattan Beach Blv	/d Suite 1	00, Redondo E	Beach,	CA 90278	
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale of	or has it been offered for sal	le in the twelv	re months prior to the effe	ective date of	of this appraisal?	Ye	es X No	
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), and	nd date(s). CRMLS#							
	I did did not analyze the contract for	sale for the subject purchas	se transaction	n. Explain the results of the	he analysis	of the contract for	sale or	why the analysis	s was not
н	performed.			•	•				
CONTRACT									
2	Contract Price \$ Date of Contr	ract Is the pr	roperty seller	the owner of public recor	rd? Ye	es No Data	Source(s)	
ΙŹ	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa						e borrow	er? Yes	No
ဗ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe		, ,	, , ,	, ,,	,			
	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	•							
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the n	neighborhood are not app	raisal factor	'S.					
	Neighborhood Characteristics			ousing Trends		One-Unit Hou	sing	Present Land Us	e %
	Location Urban X Suburban Rural				Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	65 %
0		er 25% Demand/Supply	_		OverSupply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	00 %
<u>ŏ</u>	Growth Rapid X Stable Slow				Over6mths	605 Low	57	Multi-Family	5 %
푼	Neighborhood Boundaries Sherman Way to					1,300 High	74	Commercial	5 %
8	South, Reseda Blvd to the West.			, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		815 Pred.	72	Other Vac/Prk	
NEIGHBORHOOD	Neighborhood Description Subject neighborh	hood is within reasona	able distan	ce from educational.	retail. an				
8	support facilities including public transpo								
Z	protection from detrimental conditions as								
	Market Conditions (including support for the abov								
	fluctuation of median prices. However, t				.,				
	μ	<u></u>							
	Dimensions See Site Map for Area C	Calculation Area	6788	sf Shape	Rectang	gular View	,	N;Res;	
	Specific Zoning Classification R1			Single Family Resid				, ,	
	·	conforming (Grandfathered L		Zoning Illegal (des					
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as	s improved (or as proposed	ner nlans and	d specifications) the pres	entuse?	X Yes No	If No. de	scribe. The h	ighest
	and best use meets legal permissibility,							,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.9
	Utilities Public Other (describe)		Other (desc	•	-	rovementsType	<u>.</u>	Public P	rivate
쁜	Electricity X	Water X			t Asphal			X	
SIT	Gas X	Sanitary Sewer X		Alley		· -			
		No FEMA Flood Zone >	X	FEMA Map # 06		5F FEMA		te 09/26/200	
							. Mad Da		8 I
			< Yes I				. Мар Да		8
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic	cal for the market area? X		No If No, describe.	and uses, et	c.)? Yes X		Yes, describe.	8
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic Are there any adverse site conditions or external f	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroa	chments, en	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la		c.)? Yes X		Yes, describe.	8
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroa	chments, en	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la		c.)? Yes X		Yes, describe.	8
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic Are there any adverse site conditions or external f	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroa	chments, en	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la		c.)? Yes X		Yes, describe.	8
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic Are there any adverse site conditions or external f	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments,	or environ	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la	oted.	C.)? Yes X	No If	Yes, describe. Property Owner	
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro-	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments,	or environ	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no	oted.		No If	Property Owne	
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Other (describe).	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, encroa	or environ MLS Da	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, lamental conditions no	oted. Records iving Area		No If	Property Owne	r
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Control (Describe) General Description	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroachments, encroachments, eoperty Appraisal Files	or environ MLS Da	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li	Records viving Area	Prior Inspecti	on Tax Re	Property Owner	r
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Control Co	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroa nents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description	or environ MLS Da Space X	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li Heating / Cooling	Records viving Area An	Prior Inspectinenities lace(s) # 1	on Tax Re	Property Owner ecords Car Storage	r •
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Control Co	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroa nents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S	or environ MLS Da Space X ed	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li leating / Cooling FWA HWBB	Records viving Area An X Firepl Wood	Prior Inspecti	on Tax Re	Property Owner ecords Car Storage	r e
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Characteristics of Pro	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroa nents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S Full Basement Finishe	MLS Da pace X shed	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant	Records viving Area An X Firepl Wood	Prior Inspectinenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Patio	on Tax Re	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Car	r ears 2 ncrete
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prox Other (describe) General Description Units X One OnewithAccessoryUnit X One Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit For Stories 1 Existing Proposed UnderConst External for External for Stories 2 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit External for Stories 2 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit External for Stories 2 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit External for Stories 2 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit External for Stories 2 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit External for Stories 2 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit External for Stories 2 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit External for Stories 2 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit External for Stories 2 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit External for Stories 2 Type X Det. Sexies 2	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, encroa	or environ MLS Da Space X ed Shed System Street Da Figure Street Space Street	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None	Records viving Area An X Firepl Wood X Patio X Porch	Prior Inspectinenties lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Patio	on Tax Re	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Car ay Surface Cor Garage # of Car	r ars 2 ncrete ars 2
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external formula there are no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Months (Seneral Description) General Description Units X One OnewithAccessoryUnit X of Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Formula there is a conditional proposed of the proposed of	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroa nents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S Full Basement Finishe Partial Basement Finishe Partial Basement Stucco/Av	or environ MLS Da Space X ed Shed Shed Shed Shed Shed Shed Shed Sh	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas	Records iving Area X Firepl Wood X Pation X Poorl X Pool	Prior Inspectinenties lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Patio	on Tax Re	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Car ay Surface Cor Garage # of Car carport # of Car	ars 2 arcrete ars 2 ars 0
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for There were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Months (September 1988) General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit X of Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit For X Existing Proposed UnderConst Exter Design (Style) Ranch Roof Year Built 1950 Gutter	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroa nents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S Full Basement Finishe Partial Basement Finishe Partial Basement Stucco/Av f Surface Shingles/A ers & Downspouts Alum	or environ MLS Da Space X ed shed vg Fuel Avg X AVG	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax tta Source(s) for Gross Li teating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning	Records iving Area X Firepl Wood X Pation X Porch X Pool X Fence	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Pation Cov Pool e Concrete	On Tax Ro	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Car ay Surface Cor Garage # of Car Carport # of Car attached D	r ars 2 ncrete ars 2
(0)	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Months (Section 1988) General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit X One Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit For Existing Proposed UnderConst Exter Design (Style) Ranch Roof Year Built 1950 Gutte Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Wind	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S Full Basement Finisher Partial Basement Finisher Partial Basement Stucco/Av f Surface Shingles/A ers & Downspouts Alum/Av dow Type Alum/Av	or environ MLS Da Space X ed shed vg Fuel Avg X n/Avg g	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax tta Source(s) for Gross Li leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None	Records viving Area An X Firepl Wood X Pation X Porch X Pool X Fence Other	Prior Inspecting	On Tax Ro	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Car ay Surface Cor Garage # of Car carport # of Car	ars 2 arcrete ars 2 ars 0
SLY	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prox (Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S Full Basement Finishe Partial Basement Finisherior Walls Stucco/Av f Surface Shingles/A ers & Downspouts Alum dow Type Alum/Av K Dishwasher X Disposa	or environ MLS Da Space X ed shed vg Fuel Avg X n/Avg g	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li teating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer	Records viring Area An Wood X Pation X Porch X Pool X Fence Other (Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None describe)	ON If	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Ca ray Surface Cor Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca Suilt-in	ars 2 acrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached
MENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Note: The proximal Management advers	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S Full Basement Finishe Partial Basement Finisheror Walls Stucco/Av f Surface Shingles/A ers & Downspouts Alum dow Type Alum/Av Dishwasher X Disposa Rooms 2 B	multiple of the control of the contr	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li teating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer	Records viving Area An X Firepl Wood X Pation X Porch X Pool X Fence Other	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None	ON If	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Ca ray Surface Cor Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca Suilt-in	ars 2 acrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached
EMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prox (Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S Full Basement Finishe Partial Basement Finisheror Walls Stucco/Av f Surface Shingles/A ers & Downspouts Alum dow Type Alum/Av Dishwasher X Disposa Rooms 2 B	multiple of the control of the contr	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li teating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer	Records viring Area An Wood X Pation X Porch X Pool X Fence Other (Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None describe)	ON If	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Ca ray Surface Cor Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca Suilt-in	ars 2 acrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached
OVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for There were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Proposed Seneral Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit X One X Existing Proposed Under Const. We state of Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit For X Existing Proposed Under Const. Exter Design (Style) Ranch Roof Year Built 1950 Gutter Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Wind Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Finished area above grade contains: 6 Additional features (special energy efficient items,	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, ments, encroachments, coperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S Full Basement Finisher Partial Basement Finisher Partial Basement Finisher Finis Stucco/Av f Surface Shingles/A ers & Downspouts Alum/Av dow Type Alum/Av C Dishwasher X Disposa Rooms 2 B s, etc.) None	or environ MLS Da Space X ed shed Avg Fuel Avg X n/Avg g al X Microv Bedrooms	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax tta Source(s) for Gross Li teating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s)	Records viving Area Nooc Nooc Nooc Nooc Nooc Nooc Nooc Noo	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete n None describe) Square Feet of	On Tax Ro	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Car ay Surface Cor Garage # of Car carport # of Car attached	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached
PROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for There were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Proposed Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit X One Onewith Accessory Unit X One X Existing Proposed Under Const. Existing Proposed Under Const. Exter Design (Style) Ranch Roof Year Built 1950 Gutte Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Wind Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Finished area above grade contains: 6 Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S Full Basement Finisher Partial Basement Finisher Partial Basement Finisher For Walls Stucco/Av f Surface Shingles/A ers & Downspouts Alum dow Type Alum/Av C Dishwasher X Disposa Rooms 2 B S, etc.) None	or environ MLS Da Space X ed shed N/Avg Fuel Avg X n/Avg G al X Microv Bedrooms	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax tta Source(s) for Gross Li teating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s)	Records iving Area An X Firepi Wood X Pation X Pool X Pool X Pool X Fence Other (1,483	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete n None describe) Square Feet of	On Tax Ro	Property Owner ecords Car Storage Ione Priveway # of Car ay Surface Cor Barage # of Car Carport # of Car Statached	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Proxim	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S Full Basement Finishe Partial Basement Finisher Partial Basement Stucco/Av f Surface Shingles/A rers & Downspouts Alum/Av dow Type Alum/Av Dishwasher X Disposa Rooms 2 B S, etc.) None ource(s) (including apparent n overall average condi	multiple of the control of the contr	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li teating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s)	Records iving Area X Firepl Wood X Pation X Porch X Pool X Fence Other (1,483	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Pation Cov Pool e Concrete r None (describe) Square Feet of odeling, etc.). C4 on. The apprais	On Tax Re Tax Re Drivew X C X A Gross Liv Fer exister male	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Ca ray Surface Cor Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca Suilt-in ving Area Above kterior inspectives the extract	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade tion, ordinary
IMPROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for There were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Proposed Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit X One Onewith Accessory Unit X One X Existing Proposed Under Const. Existing Proposed Under Const. Exter Design (Style) Ranch Roof Year Built 1950 Gutte Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Wind Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Finished area above grade contains: 6 Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, roperty Appraisal Files NDC Data General Description Concrete Slab Crawl S Full Basement Finishe Partial Basement Finisherior Walls Stucco/Av f Surface Shingles/A ers & Downspouts Alum/Av dow Type Alum/Av C Dishwasher X Disposa Rooms 2 B S, etc.) None ource(s) (including apparent n overall average condict is also in average co	MLS Da	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ita Source(s) for Gross Li leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s)	Records viring Area An X Firepl Wood X Pation Other Other (1,483	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 distove(s) # 0 //Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None describe) Square Feet of odeling, etc.). C4 on. The appraise ferred mainte	ON O	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Ca ray Surface Cor Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca Carport # of Ca Cattached D Suilt-in ving Area Above exterior inspect ess the extract ssues not se	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade tion, ordinary en from
IMPROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proximal Management adver	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroachments, encroachments Support Sential Basement Finisher Partial Basement Finisher Partial Basement Finisher Partial Basement Finisher Surface Shingles/Aers & Downspouts Alum dow Type Alum/Avg Dishwasher X Disposa Rooms 2 B s, etc.) None ource(s) (including apparent n overall average coops of the subject were for each partial strength of	MLS Da Space X ed Shed Shed Shed Shed Shed Shed Shed Sh	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li teating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) airs, deterioration, renove a average quality of on no need for any repections.	Records viring Area Area Wood X Pation Other (1,483	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 distove(s) # 0 //Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None describe) Square Feet of odeling, etc.). C4 on. The appraise ferred mainte	ON O	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Ca ray Surface Cor Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca Carport # of Ca Cattached D Suilt-in ving Area Above exterior inspect ess the extract ssues not se	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade tion, ordinary en from
IMPROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prox Other (describe) General Description Units X One OnewithAccessoryUnit X Off Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Exter Design (Style) Ranch Roof Year Built 1950 Gutte Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Wind Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Finished area above grade contains: 6 Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so age, location, the subject appears to be in assumption that the interior of the subject the exterior inspection. No interior photo	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroachments, encroachments Support Sential Basement Finisher Partial Basement Finisher Partial Basement Finisher Partial Basement Finisher Surface Shingles/Aers & Downspouts Alum dow Type Alum/Avg Dishwasher X Disposa Rooms 2 B s, etc.) None ource(s) (including apparent n overall average coops of the subject were for each partial strength of	MLS Da Space X ed Shed Shed Shed Shed Shed Shed Shed Sh	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li teating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) airs, deterioration, renove a average quality of on no need for any repections.	Records viring Area Area Wood X Pation Other (1,483	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 distove(s) # 0 //Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None describe) Square Feet of odeling, etc.). C4 on. The appraise ferred mainte	ON O	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Ca ray Surface Cor Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca Carport # of Ca Cattached D Suilt-in ving Area Above exterior inspect ess the extract ssues not se	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade tion, ordinary en from
IMPROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prox Other (describe) General Description Units X One OnewithAccessoryUnit X Off Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Exter Design (Style) Ranch Roof Year Built 1950 Gutte Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Wind Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Finished area above grade contains: 6 Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so age, location, the subject appears to be in assumption that the interior of the subject the exterior inspection. No interior photo	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroachments, encroachments	MLS Da	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) airs, deterioration, renova a average quality of connoneed for any reperse CRMLS. The right tcome of this assign	Records viving Area Nooc Nooc Nooc Nooc Nooc Nooc Nooc Noo	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None describe) Square Feet of odeling, etc.). C4 on. The appraise ferred mainter y this report is	ONO If ONO IF TAX RO N X D Drivew X C X A Gross Liv Ser male nance is reserved.	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Ca ray Surface Cor Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca Carport # of Ca Cattached D Suilt-in ving Area Above exterior inspect ess the extract ssues not se	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade tion, ordinary en from
IMPROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prox Other (describe) General Description Units X One OnewithAccessoryUnit X One OnewithAccessoryUnit X One X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Extern Design (Style) Ranch Roof Year Built 1950 Gutte Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Wind Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Finished area above grade contains: 6 Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so age, location, the subject appears to be in assumption that the interior of the subject the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which with the subject deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which is the subject deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which is the property and deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which is the property and deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which is the property and deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which is the property and deficiencies or acceptable to the property and deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection.	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, encroa	or environ MLS Da Space X ed Shed Avg Fuel Avg X n/Avg g al X Microv Bedrooms at needed repairition with an and the outer the livability	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) airs, deterioration, renova a average quality of connoneed for any reperse CRMLS. The right tcome of this assign	Records viving Area And X Firepl Wood X Pation X Porch X Pool X Fence Other (1,483	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None describe) Square Feet of odeling, etc.). C4 on. The appraise ferred mainte by this report is f the property?	ONO If ONO IF TAX RO N X D Drivew X C X A E Gross Liv ;Per exister male in reserve Yes	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Car ay Surface Cor Garage # of Car Carport # of Car Cattached	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade tion, ordinary en from e noted
IMPROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external from the property and data scage, location, the subject the exterior inspection. Are there any adverse site conditions or external from the property and daficiencies or act of the property in the proposed. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Proposed Interest of Propos	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, encroachments	or environ MLS Da Da Da Da Da Da Da Da Da D	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li teating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) airs, deterioration, renova n average quality of connoneed for any repercements. The right tcome of this assign r, soundness, or structural ditions that affect the	Records iving Area An X Firepi Wood X Pation X Porch X Pool X Fence Other (1,483 ations, remocconstruction pairs or det to modifyment.	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete n None describe) Square Feet of odeling, etc.). C4 on. The appraise ferred mainte by this report is f the property? soundness, of	On Tax Re Tax Re Drivew X C X A C X A E Gross Liv Fee experiment Teserve Yes Trestructure	Property Owner ecords Car Storage Ione Priveway # of Car ay Surface Cor Barage # of Car Carport # of Carport # of Car Carport # of Carport #	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade tion, ordinary en from e noted
IMPROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prox Other (describe) General Description Units X One OnewithAccessoryUnit X One OnewithAccessoryUnit X One X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Extern Design (Style) Ranch Roof Year Built 1950 Gutte Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Wind Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Finished area above grade contains: 6 Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so age, location, the subject appears to be in assumption that the interior of the subject the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which with the subject deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which is the subject deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which is the property and deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which is the property and deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which is the property and deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which is the property and deficiencies or acceptable to the property and deficiencies or acceptable to the exterior inspection.	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, encroachments General Description Concrete Slab	MLS Da	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ita Source(s) for Gross Li leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) airs, deterioration, renova in average quality of connoneed for any repective CRMLS. The right tcome of this assign if, soundness, or structural ditions that affect the all building inspector,	Records iving Area X Firepl Wood X Pation X Porch X Pool X Fence Other (1,483 ations, remoconstruction pairs or defit to modifiement.	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 distove(s) # 0 //Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None idescribe) Square Feet of odeling, etc.). C4 on. The appraise ferred mainte by this report is f the property? soundness, one refore not quare	On Tax Re	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Ca ay Surface Cor Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca Cattached De Cattac	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade tion, ordinary en from e noted Please
IMPROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external for there were no apparent adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prox (X) Other (describe) General Description Units (X) One OnewithAccessoryUnit (X) Off (Y) OnewithAccessoryUnit (Y) OnewithAccesoryUnit (Y) Onewith	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, encroachments	MLS Da	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ita Source(s) for Gross Li leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) airs, deterioration, renova n average quality of on no need for any repectation of this assign of soundness, or structural ditions that affect the all building inspector, and eye. If the client he	Records iving Area X Firepl Wood X Pation X Porch X Pool X Fence Other (1,483 ations, remoconstruction pairs or defit to modifiement.	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 distove(s) # 0 //Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None idescribe) Square Feet of odeling, etc.). C4 on. The appraise ferred mainte by this report is f the property? soundness, one refore not quare	On Tax Re	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Ca ay Surface Cor Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca Cattached De Cattac	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade tion, ordinary en from e noted Please
IMPROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external from the ready adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prox Other (describe) General Description Units X One OnewithAccessoryUnit # of Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Exter Design (Style) Ranch Roof Year Built 1950 Gutte Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Wind Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Finished area above grade contains: 6 Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so age, location, the subject appears to be in assumption that the interior of the subject the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate while the appraiser is not a licensed to comment on physical items that are not in	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, encroachments	MLS Da	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) airs, deterioration, renovant average quality of on no need for any reperted to make the composition of this assign of the composition of the co	Records viring Area Area Wood X Pation Other (1,483) ations, remote to modify ment. All integrity of the last concerns or details and is the last concerns or details.	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None describe) Square Feet of odeling, etc.). C4 on. The appraise ferred mainte y this report is f the property? soundness, of the property? soundness, of the property of the property? soundness, of the property of the property? soundness, of the property of the property of the property? soundness, of the property of th	On Tax Re No If No	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Ca ay Surface Cor Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca Cattached De Cattac	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade tion, ordinary en from e noted Please llyze, or ructural
IMPROVEMENTS	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic. Are there any adverse site conditions or external from the ready adverse easem. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prox Other (describe) General Description Units X One OnewithAccessoryUnit X Off Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Exter Design (Style) Ranch Roof Year Built 1950 Gutte Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Wind Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Finished area above grade contains: 6 Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so age, location, the subject appears to be in assumption that the interior of the subject the exterior inspection. No interior photo information is found to be inaccurate which are there any apparent physical deficiencies or actif Yes, describe There are no apparent physical that the appraiser is not a licensed to comment on physical items that are not in physical problems, an expert in that field	cal for the market area? X factors (easements, encroanents, encroachments, encroachments encroachmen	MLS Da	No If No, describe. vironmental conditions, la mental conditions no X Assessment and Tax ta Source(s) for Gross Li leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other None Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other None vave X Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) airs, deterioration, renova an average quality of connoneed for any reperted the common of this assign of the common of this assign of the common o	Records viring Area Area Wood X Pation Other (1,483 Area Other (1,	Prior Inspection nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Pation n Cov Pool e Concrete r None describe) Square Feet of odeling, etc.). C4 on. The appraise ferred mainte y this report is f the property? soundness, of the property? soundness, of the property of the property? soundness, of the property of the property? soundness, of the property of the property of the property? soundness, of the property of th	On Tax Re No If No	Property Owner ecords Car Storage lone Priveway # of Car ay Surface Cor Garage # of Car carport # of Car ca	ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade tion, ordinary en from e noted Please llyze, or ructural

SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

	Į.	=yteri	or-O	nly Ir		digy Appraisal S			nraisa	al Report	File N Case		327947 49916	55	
There are 9 con						he subject neighbo				•	00	to \$	899,	.000	. 1
						n the past twelve n					50,000	_			,000
FEATURE	SUBJ			COMPA	RABLE	SALE#1	(SALE # 2	CC)MPAF	RABLE SA	۱LE ت	# 3
	Hesperia Ave)		664	3 Balco	om Ave				owen St			58 Zelza		
	da, CA 91335				seda, CA				seda, CA				eseda, CA		
Proximity to Subject				0	.29 mil			0.	26 mile			0	.30 mile		
Sale Price	\$ 0.00	#	¢.	511.0	\$	830,000 q. ft.	Φ.	639.3	\$	780,000	ф	530.5	\$		825,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area Data Source(s)	\$ 0.00	sq. ft.				9939;DOM 44	\$ CR			q. ft. 0351;DOM 57	\$ CRI			q. ft. 2761	4;DOM 6
Verification Source(s)						RealQuest				RealQuest					alQuest
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIP'	TION		ESCRIP		+(-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIPT		+(-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIP			\$ Adjustment
Sale or Financing				ArmLt		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		ArmLt				ArmL			, .,
Concessions				Conv;	0	0	С	onv;10	000	-10,000	C	onv;7	500		-7,500
Date of Sale/Time			s10	0/21;c0	9/21	+16,500		5/22;c0		0		/21;c		<u></u>	+14,500
Location	N;Res			N;Res	-			A;BsyF		+19,500		Near		<u> </u>	+20,500
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Sim	•	F	ee Sim	•		F	ee Sim				e Sin		<u> </u>	
Site	6788			6469 9		0		7219		0		<u>5997</u>		\vdash	0
View	N;Res			N;Res				N;Res T1;Ra				N;Re: T1;Ra	,	\vdash	
Design (Style) Quality of Construction	Q4	HUH	ע	011;Rai Q4	IUII		L	Q4	IIUII		ט	11;Ra Q3	II ICH		-20,500
Actual Age	72			73		0		72				Q3 71			- <u>-</u> 20,300
Condition	C4			C4		Ĭ		C4				C3			-20,625
Above Grade	Total Bdrms.	Baths	Total	Bdrms.	Baths	-10,000	Total	Bdrms.	Baths	-10,000	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		- ,
Room Count	6 2	2.0	6	3	2.0		6	3	2.0		6	2	3.0		-10,000
Gross Living Area	1,483	sq. ft	1	,624	sq. ft.	-14,000	1	,220	sq. ft.	+26,500	1,	555	sq. ft.	<u></u>	0
Basement & Finished	0sf			0sf				0sf				0sf		1	
Rooms Below Grade														<u> </u>	
Functional Utility	Averag			Averag				Averag				Avera		\vdash	
Heating/Cooling	FAU/C		ŀ	FAU/C/ None				FAU/C/ None			<u>_</u>	AU/C None		\vdash	
Energy Efficient Items Garage/Carport	2ga2d			2ga2d				2gd2d		0		None 2gd2c			
Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio/Po		Р	atio/Po			P	atio/Po		0		atio/Po			
Other	None			None				None				None			
Pool	Pool			Pool				None		+15,000		None			+5,000
										·					·
Net Adjustment (Total)				+ X	-	\$ -7,500	X	+	-	\$ 41,000	+	Χ	-	\$	-18,625
Adjusted Sale Price				\dj: -1%				\dj: 5%		1	Net A	•			
of Comparables I X did did not re				s Adj :				s Adj: 1			Gross	Adj: ′	12%	\$	806,375
My research X did Data source(s) CRMLS	did not revea	l any pric		•		e subject property					e of this	appra	isal.		
My research X did	1		r sales	or trans	fers of th	e comparable sale	s for th	e vear n	rior to the	e date of sale of the	compa	rable s	sale		
Data source(s) CRMLS			,, <u>caico</u>	or traine	1010 01 111	o comparable calc.	3 101 (1	o you. p	1101 10 111	s date of care of the	остра	14510 0	, a.o.		
Report the results of the r			the pric	or sale o	r transfer	history of the subj	ect pro	perty an	d compa	rable sales (report	addition	al prior	r sales on	pag	e 3).
ITEM		SU	BJECT			COMPARABLE S	ALE#	1	COM	PARABLE SALE#	2	CC	OMPARAE	3LE	SALE#3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfe		05/2	23/202	.0									05/07		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe	er		20,000										\$550		
Data Source(s)	()	RealQ				RealQuest.				ealQuest.com	-+		RealQu		
Applyois of prior colo or tr			26/202		d 00m====	05/26/202		tranefe		05/26/2022	lictor	00 th	05/26		
Analysis of prior sale or truthe prior sale and cor															
The home was renov												шиа	HSACIO	ıua	irisaciiori.
THE HOME WAS TONEY	atou prior to	tilo ilic	70 100	one tra	nouotio	ir and apactou	*******	101101 11	itorioi	innones un sagr	out.				
Summary of Sales Compa	arison Approach	n SEI	E COI	MMEN	ITS										
														—	
														—	
														—	
Indicated Value by Sales	Comparison An	proach \$	<u> </u>	820,00	00										
Indicated Value by: Sales (820,00		Cost Approach (if de	velope	ed) \$		Income Ap	proach	if deve	loped) \$		
Value is based on princip									ach Du					ubje [,]	ct's area and
the age of the subject in															
home within the subject		od are o	wner o	ccupied	, not inc	come producing, a	and th	erefore,	the inco	me approach is ι	nneces	ssary.			
This appraisal is made	X l"asis"	subje	ct to co	mnletion	ner nlar	ns and specification	ns on t	he hasis	of a hyp	othetical condition	hat the	improv	ements h	ave	heen

Value is bas the age of th home within This appraisa

subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or subject to the completed, following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair:

Based on a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and appraiser's certification, my (our) opinion of the market value, as defined, of the real property that is the subject of this report is 820,000 , as of 05/26/2022 , which is the date of inspection and the effective date of this appraisal.

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

_	Exterior-only mapection ite	Sideritiai Appraisai	Itoport		
	The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in	accordance with the requi	rements of		
	Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforceme	nt Act (FIRREA) of 1989.	as amended (12	
	U.S.C. 3331 et seg.), and any applicable implementing regulations in e	,			
	appraisal certification.	meet at the time the appre	aloci olgilo tilo		
	appraisai cerinication.				
	The appraiser has not performed any services on the subject in the las	t 36 months prior to acce	pting this order	•	
	This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the A	ppraisal Report option of	USPAP Standa	ards Rule 2-2(a).	
	Fannie Mae Definition: Market value is the most probable price which a	a property should bring in	a competitive a	and onen market i	ınder all
	conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting pruc				
		dentity, knowledgeably and	assuming me	price is not affect	ed by undue
	stimulus.				
	AMC Registration # for ClearCapital.com, Inc: California #1256				
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS					
Z					
٣					
⋝					
Ö					
\Box					
⋖					
S					
Ĕ					
\Box					
9					
					
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie M	20)		
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE		ae.)		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal	culations.			
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth	culations. ods for estimating site value)	Opinion of site va		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal	culations. ods for estimating site value)	Opinion of site va		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra	Opinion of site va	provements and the	n compared to
<u> </u>	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va	Opinion of site vacted from site im	provements and the	n compared to
АСН	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimate affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the assertion of the subject in the assertion of the subject in the assertion of the subject is estimated.	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va	Opinion of site vacted from site im	provements and the	n compared to
ОАСН	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estima affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the as ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va s is value of the site improve	Opinion of site va cted from site im lue ratio greater t ments.	provements and the than 30% is typical fo =\$	n compared to or the area. No
РКОАСН	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methoderived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the assets the subject of cost data.	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va s is value of the site improve	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater the ments. Sq. Ft. @\$	provements and the than 30% is typical fo =\$ =\$	n compared to or the area. No
NPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va s is value of the site improve	Opinion of site va cted from site im lue ratio greater t ments.	provements and the than 30% is typical fo =\$	n compared to or the area. No
r approach	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methoderived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the assets the subject of cost data.	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va s is value of the site improve OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater the ments. Sq. Ft. @\$	provements and the than 30% is typical for =\$ =\$ =\$	n compared to or the area. No
ST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va s is value of the site improve	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater the ments. Sq. Ft. @\$	provements and the than 30% is typical fo =\$ =\$	n compared to or the area. No
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va s is value of the site improve OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	provements and the than 30% is typical for =\$ =\$ =\$	n compared to or the area. No
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vasis value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	provements and the chan 30% is typical for =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	n compared to or the area. No
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vast is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater the ments. Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	provements and the than 30% is typical for specific speci	n compared to or the area. No
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vast is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	provements and the than 30% is typical for specific speci	n compared to or the area. No
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va s is value of the site improve OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional	provements and the than 30% is typical for specific speci	n compared to or the area. No
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vast is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional	provements and the than 30% is typical for specific speci	n compared to or the area. No
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimal affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va s is value of the site improve OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional	eprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30% i	n compared to or the area. No
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimal affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va s is value of the site improve OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments	provements and the than 30% is typical for specific speci	n compared to or the area. No
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimal affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vasts is value of the site improved DPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved Indicated Value By Cost Apple	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments ments	eprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30% i	n compared to or the area. No
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimateffects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the assertion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated set. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to value is site value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments ments ments ments ments ments ments	eprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30% i	n compared to or the area. No
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast affects of cost data. REPRODUCTION REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to value is site value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments ments	eprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30% i	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimateffects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the assertion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated set. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to value is site value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments ments ments ments ments ments ments	eprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30% i	n compared to or the area. No
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated fects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vasts is value of the site improved DPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved Indicated Value By Cost Apples (not required by Fannie Indicated Value By Fannie Indicated Value By Cost Apples) [Indicated Value By Fannie Indicated Value B	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments ments ments ments ments ments ments	eprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30% i	n compared to or the area. No
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vast is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved)	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) ndicated Value by	eprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30% i	n compared to or the area. No
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated fects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vasts is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved Indicated Value By Cost Applete (not required by Fannie National State of Site Improved) [State of Site Improved Site Improved Indicated Value By Cost Applete (not required by Fannie National State of Site Improved) [State of Site Improved Site Improved Indicated Value By Cost Applete (not required by Fannie National State of Site Improved) [State of Site Improved Si	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) ndicated Value by	eprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30% i	n compared to or the area. No
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vast is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved)	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) indicated Value by stached Att	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the assertion of service and set of cost data. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Population of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)?	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vast is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improved)	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) indicated Value by stached Att	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estima affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED. REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to value is site value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve solution Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Defort the HOA and the subject proposite of the HOA and the subject proposite of the HOA and the subject proposition of the HO	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) indicated Value by stached Att	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estima affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the at ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va is is value of the site improve OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie Institute of Site Improve) Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve The Institute of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve The Institute of Site Improve T	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) indicated Value by stached Att	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the attest some marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the attest some marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the attest some service of cost data. REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va is is value of the site improve OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve s Indicated Value By Cost Appl e (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) indicated Value by stached Attoerty is an attached	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the assertion of cost data. REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va is is value of the site improve OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve s Indicated Value By Cost Appl e (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) indicated Value by stached Attoerty is an attached	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estima affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the at ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va is is value of the site improve OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve s Indicated Value By Cost Appl e (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) indicated Value by stached Attoerty is an attached	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estima affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the at ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstra ted site value. The land to va is is value of the site improve OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve s Indicated Value By Cost Appl e (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) indicated Value by to be tached perty is an attached ersion.	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estima affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the at ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vast is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved "Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National S	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) indicated Value by to be tached perty is an attached ersion.	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estima affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the at ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vast is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved "Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National S	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) indicated Value by to be tached perty is an attached ersion.	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to value is is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National States) FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Deformance of Units Sold Source	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) ndicated Value by tached Att perty is an attached ersion.	external = \$ External = \$ Income Approach	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area wer derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estima affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the at ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to vast is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved "Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National State of Cost-new) [Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National S	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) ndicated Value by tached Att perty is an attached ersion.	external = \$ External = \$ Income Approach	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to value is is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National States) FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Deformance of Units Sold Source	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) ndicated Value by tached Att perty is an attached ersion.	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated affects on marketability. The hardscape, pool, spa etc were included in the ast ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) e considered with land abstrated site value. The land to value is is value of the site improved OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,483 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improved "As-is" Value of Site Improved Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National States) FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Deformance of Units Sold Source	Opinion of site vacted from site im lue ratio greater to ments. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach flae.) ndicated Value by tached Att perty is an attached ersion.	exprovements and the shan 30% is typical for shan 30%	n compared to or the area. No

Prodigy Appraisal Services EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 6632 Hesperia Ave

CityResedaCountyLos AngelesStateCAZip Code91335Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

	FEATURE Address 6632 F	lesper		;T	690		son Ave		-	com Ave	С		Yarmo	outh Ave
	Resed	a, CA	91335				A 91335	F		CA 91335				<u>4 91335</u>
	Proximity to Subject Sale Price	\$				0.41 mile \$	840,000		0.35 m			0	.35 mil	es <u>E</u> 899,000
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area		0.00	sq. ft.	\$ 471		q. ft.	\$ 62		sq. ft.	\$	616.6		q. ft.
	Data Source(s)		0.00	<u> </u>			64680;DOM 8			92578;DOM 22				0287;DOM 0
	Verification Source(s)				DOC#	527308,	RealQuest		No Doc S	Selected			Doc Se	
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DE	SCRIPT	ION	DESCRI	PTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESC	RIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustmen	DE	SCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustme
	Sale or Financing				Arm				ting			Listing		
	Concessions				Con	•			ive;0			Active;		
	Date of Sale/Time Location		N;Res		s05/22;0 N;Re		C		tive Res;	(,	c05/22 N;Res		
	Leasehold/Fee Simple		ee Sim	•	Fee Si				Simple		F	ee Sim	-	
	Site		6788 s	•	6498		C		23 sf	(6536 s	•	
	View		N;Res	;	N;Re	es;		N;	Res;			N;Res	s;	
	Design (Style)	D.	T1;Rar	nch	DT1;R			-	Ranch		D	T1;Raı	nch	
	Quality of Construction		Q4		Q ₄				Q4			Q4		
	Actual Age		72 C4		73 C4		C		7 <u>2</u> C4			73 C4		
	Condition Above Grade	Total	Bdrms.	Baths	Total Bdrm		-20,000		_	-10,000	Total	Bdrms.	Baths	-10,00
	Room Count	6	2	2.0	7 4	2.0	-20,000		3 2.0		6	3	2.0	-10,00
	Gross Living Area	1,	,483	sq. ft.	1,781	sq. ft.	-30,000		_		1	,458	sq. ft.	
	Basement & Finished		0sf		0s	f		()sf			0sf		
	Rooms Below Grade		_											
S	Functional Utility Heating/Cooling		Averag AU/CA		Avera FAU/0	_			rage I/CAC			Averaç FAU/C		
YS	Energy Efficient Items	'	None		Nor				d Solar			None		
AL.	Garage/Carport		2ga2dv	N	2ga2				a1dw	+5,000		2gd2d	W	
A	Porch/Patio/Deck	Pa	atio/Po	rch	Patio/F	orch		Patio	/Porch		P	atio/Po	rch	
N O	Other		None		Nor				one			None		4= 0
RIS	Pool		Pool		Nor	ie	+15,000) F	ool			None		+15,00
COMPARISON ANALYSIS	Net Adjustment (Total)				+ X	(\$ -35,000	X +		\$ 6,500	X	+ -		\$ 5,000
O	Adjusted Sale Price				Net Adj: -4			Net Adj:				\dj: 1%		
ES C	of Comparables				Gross Adj	: 8%	\$ 805,000	Gross A	lj: 3%	\$ 866,400	Gross	s Adj: 3	8%	\$ 904,000
LLL									, and com	parable sales				
	Donart the recults of the r	ocoarch	and and	alveic of	the prior cale	or transfo	r history of the sub	inat proport						
SALE	Report the results of the r	esearch	and ana								5	COM	PARABI	FSALF# 6
	Report the results of the r		and ana	SUI	the prior sale BJECT 23/2020		r history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 08/23/202	LE# 4		PARABLE SALE #	5	COM	PARABL	E SALE# 6
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe	er		SUI 05/2 \$82	BJECT 23/2020 20,000		08/23/202 \$0	LE# 4 21	COM	PARABLE SALE#	5			
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	er er		SUI 05/2 \$82 RealQ	BJECT 23/2020 20,000 uest.com		COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20 \$0 RealQuest.	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2	BJECT 23/2020 20,000 uest.com		COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE#	5		RealQı	
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	er er urce(s)		\$UI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2	BJECT 23/2020 20,000 uest.com		COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2	BJECT 23/2020 20,000 uest.com		COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2	BJECT 23/2020 20,000 uest.com		COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2	BJECT 23/2020 20,000 uest.com		COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2	BJECT 23/2020 20,000 uest.com		COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2	BJECT 23/2020 20,000 uest.com		COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2	BJECT 23/2020 20,000 uest.com		COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2	BJECT 23/2020 20,000 uest.com		COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2 the sub	BJECT :3/2020 20,000 uest.com :6/2022 ject property a	and compa	COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2 the sub	BJECT :3/2020 20,000 uest.com :6/2022 ject property a	and compa	COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2 the sub	BJECT :3/2020 20,000 uest.com :6/2022 ject property a	and compa	COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2 the sub	BJECT :3/2020 20,000 uest.com :6/2022 ject property a	and compa	COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2 the sub	BJECT :3/2020 20,000 uest.com :6/2022 ject property a	and compa	COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2 the sub	BJECT :3/2020 20,000 uest.com :6/2022 ject property a	and compa	COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2 the sub	BJECT :3/2020 20,000 uest.com :6/2022 ject property a	and compa	COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2 the sub	BJECT :3/2020 20,000 uest.com :6/2022 ject property a	and compa	COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com
	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUI 05/2 \$82 RealQ 05/2 the sub	BJECT :3/2020 20,000 uest.com :6/2022 ject property a	and compa	COMPARABLE SA 08/23/20: \$0 RealQuest. 05/26/20:	LE# 4 21 com	COM	PARABLE SALE #	5		RealQı	uest.com

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 49916

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

/		
APPRAISER	al de	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	r yene 1	Signature
Name	Antonio Anderson	Name
Company Name		Company Name
Company Address	P. O. Box 4609	Company Address
	West Hills, CA 91308	
Telephone Number		Telephone Number
	antonio@prodigyappraisal.com	Email Address
Date of Signature a	nd Report05/28/2022	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Ap	praisal <u>05/26/2022</u>	State Certification #
State Certification #	AR035678	or State License #
or State License#		State
or Other (describe)	State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State	CA	
Expiration Date of C	Certification or License11/23/2022	
		SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PRO	PERTY APPRAISED	
	6632 Hesperia Ave	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
	Reseda, CA 91335	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
		Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE	E OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 820,000	
LENDER/CLIENT		
Name	ClearCapital	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name	Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address	2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
	Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address		Date of Inspection

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	6632 Hesperia Ave						
City Reseda		County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91335
Lender/Client V	Vedgewood Inc	·	Address 20	15 Manhattan Bea	ach Blvd Suite 100,	Redondo Beach	, CA 90278

This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Appraisal Report option of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).

This report was completed in full compliance with the appraiser independence regulations.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

PURPOSE AND INTENDED USERS:

The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for an asset valuation, loan servicing, subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, reporting requirements of the appraisal report form, and Definition of Market Value. No additional Intended Users are identified by the appraiser. The report will not be used for mortgage lending purposes.

COMPETENCY PROVISION:

The appraiser has the appropriate knowledge and experience to complete this assignment competently. Appraiser qualifications are maintained in Prodigy Appraisal Services files and can be provided upon request.

SELF CONTAINMENT:

This appraisal report is intended to be a report containing the information necessary to enable the reader to understand the appraiser's opinion. Any third party studies referred to, such as pest, hazardous materials, or structural reports have been verified by the appraiser to the extent of the assumptions and conclusions used.

PERSONAL PROPERTY:

Any personal property involved in the transaction has been excluded from the valuation of the real property. Should a transaction, which includes personal property of sufficient value to affect the market value of the real property, be evident, a separate assessment of the personal property, fixtures, or intangible items will be identified and included in the report as a separate valuation.

DIGITAL SIGNATURE:

This appraisal is digitally signed. This digital signature requires a security password known only by the appraiser. No changes can be made to any portion of this appraisal report once it has been digitally signed. The digital signature used in this report is an accurate representation of the appraiser's signature.

LIMITING CONDITIONS:

The appraiser is not a licensed building contractor or a professional building inspector. I am not qualified to survey or analyze physical items that are not readily visible. If any of the parties in this transaction have questions or concerns regarding any mechanical or structural physical problems, conditions, infestation, contamination, or other issues regarding the subject property, an expert in that field or specialty should be consulted.

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	6632 Hesperia Ave						
City Reseda		County	Los Angele	es State	e CA	Zip Code	91335
Lender/Client V	Nedgewood Inc	,	Address 2	2015 Manhattan	Beach Blvd Suite	100, Redondo Be	ach, CA 90278

GRID ADJUSTMENTS:

Market Grid adjustments are deemed to be self-explanatory and adjustments to the comparable sales reflect the appraiser's best estimate of market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparables. Paired sales analysis is conducted to a limited degree based on the market data in the area and may not only be limited to the information included in the sales comparison grid. This approach is deemed most reliable and reflective of the typical buyer reaction to or lack thereof, certain amenities, room count, condition, quality of construction, location, view, etc...

MARKET CONDITIONS: Adjustments made at .25% per month to comps that sold more than 30 days prior to reflect the increasing trend in the neighborhood based on the 1004MC, CRMLS CMA data, neighborhood value trend charts, and market area analysis over the last 24 months. SEE EXHIBIT AND CHARTS BELOW. The list to sale price ratios is 100%+.

LOCATION: Adjustments made at 2.5% increments based on level of difference and the paired sales analysis of comparables one and three.

SITE: Based on a review of Los Angeles County Tax Assessor plat map, the subject has a site area of 6,788 square feet. The Google Aerial maps and 3D measuring tools were utilized to estimate the overall usable area for the subject and comparables. Even though the comparable lots differ in size from the subject, they still can only be used to build one home, so their larger or smaller site areas are worth only a marginal difference, not the full overall value of land. That being noted, sales which differed from the subject by more than 1,000 square feet of usable lot size were adjusted due to similar overall actual utility at a nominal \$10 per sqft and rounded to \$500.

VIEW: None warranted.

DESIGN/STYLE: None warranted.

GLA: Adjustments made at \$100.00 per square foot of difference for differences greater than 100 square feet and then rounded to the nearest \$500 based on comps one and two including sensitivity analysis focused on narrowing the range.

ROOM COUNT: Bathroom adjustments were made at \$10,000 per full bathroom and bedroom count differences.

QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION AND CONDITION: Adjustments made at 2.5% increments based on level of difference and the paired sales analysis of comparables one versus three. Comp three was recently renovated with all new interior good quality surface finishes throughout.

AGE: None warranted. Age was considered in the quality and condition ratings.

GUEST HOUSE: None warranted.

AUTO STORAGE: Adjustments deemed warranted at \$5K per stall/storage up to 2 cars.

SOLAR: Per conversation with several local realtors and market data, there's no evidenc of premiums being paid for owned solar use.

SUMMARY OF SALES COMPARISON APPROACH:

A diligent effort was made to find comparables sales that were similar to the subject in age, style, size, construction, and condition. The initial search criteria were 12 months prior to inspection, 20% GLA difference, and 1 mile radius. Based on the above noted search criteria the comparables included in the report bracket the major characteristics of the subject and considered the best indication of value.

Comp one was given dominate weight due to the most similar overall condition and least amount in gross adjustments. Comp two was given supportive weight due to the very recent sale date. Comp three was included and given secondary weight to bracket the subject bedroom count. Comp four was added for additional support due to the recent sale date, similar size, limited gross adjustment ratio.

Prodigy Appraisal Services PLAT MAP

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	6632 Hesperia Ave					
City Reseda	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91335
Lender/Client Wed	lgewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan E	Beach Blvd Suite	e 100, Redondo Be	each, CA 90278

2122 29 NEWCASTLE AVE. 27 3 25 **24** 23 22 ② () 14 6 3 17 3 2 5 TRACT NO. 16425 TRACT NO. 21544 M.B. 588-70-71 CODE ASSESSOR'S MAP COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIF. UAD Version 9/2011 Produced by ClickFORMS Software 800-622-8727 30

Prodigy Appraisal Services AERIAL MAP ADDENDUM


File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

 Property Address
 6632 Hesperia Ave

 City Reseda
 County
 Los Angeles
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 91335

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278




Prodigy Appraisal Services LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	6632 Hesperia Ave						
City Reseda	(County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91335
Lender/Client We	edgewood Inc		Address	2015 Manhattan Be	ach Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Beac	h, CA 90278



Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

The purpose of this addendum is	•			•	nds a	nd conditions p	reva	lent in the s	ubject	
neighborhood. This is a required Property Address	addendum for all app 6632 Hesper		City	aπer April 1, 2009. Reseda	St	ate CA		ZIP Code	9.	1335
Borrower Redwood Holdi			Oity	1100044		<u> </u>		211 0000		1000
Instructions: The appraiser mu housing trends and overall marke it is available and reliable and me	st use the information et conditions as repor	rted in the Neighborho	od section of the app	oraisal report form. The	appr	aiser must fill i	n all	the informat	ion to the	e extent
explanation. It is recognized that	•		• •						-	
in the analysis. If data sources p		·								
average. Sales and listings must		•					ed by	a prospect	ive buye	r of the
subject property. The appraiser r	must explain any ano				forecl					
Inventory Analysis Total # of Comparable Sales (Se	attled)	Prior 7-12 Months 18	Prior 4-6 Months 5	Current - 3 Months 5		Increasing		l Trend Stable		eclining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo	,	3	1.67	1.67		Increasing		•	$\overline{}$	eclining eclining
Total # of Comparable Active Lis		4	3	9		Declining		Stable		ncreasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total	_	1.33	1.8	5.4		Declining		Stable		ncreasing
Median Sales & List Price, D		Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months			veral	I Trend		
Median Comparable Sales Price		\$822,500	\$724,500	\$840,000	X		\ <u>\</u>	Stable		eclining
Median Comparable Sales Days Median Comparable List Price	on Market	8 \$724,500	\$739,000	\$830,000	X	Declining Increasing	X	Stable Stable		ncreasing Declining
Median Comparable Listings Day	vs on Market	53	125	20	X			Stable		ncreasing
Median Sale Price as % of List P	•	106.57	100	101.33		Increasing	Х	Stable		Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc,) p		ice prevalent?	Yes X	No		Declining	Х		$\overline{}$	ncreasing
Explain in detail seller concessio condo fees, options, etc.)	·									20/ - \$ 41
CRMLS indicates there we total transactions in this ma										
concessions; 0% of sales for										
and \$15,000. The median of			,	о от оштоо тот и но р						σο φ. σσ
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales	s) a factor in the mark	ket? Yes X	No If yes, expl	ain (including the trend	ls in li	stings and sale	es of	foreclosed	oroperties	s).
The data used in the grid a										
transactions. However, this					distr	essed sales	tha	t were not	reporte	ed. It is
beyond the scope of this as	ssignment to conf	firm each sale use	ed in the Market (Conditions Report.						
Cite data sources for above infor	mation.									
CRMLS was the data source		ete the Market Cor	nditions Addendı	um. 5/26/2022						
Summarize the above information			-							uch as
an analysis of pending sales, and	d/or expired and with	drawn listings, to form	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an exp	olanat	ion and suppo	t for	your conclu	sions.	
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g	d/or expired and with enerated from an	drawn listings, to form exported MLS ma	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an exp	olanat	ion and suppo	t for	your conclu	sions.	
an analysis of pending sales, and	d/or expired and with enerated from an	drawn listings, to form exported MLS ma	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an exp	olanat	ion and suppo	t for	your conclu	sions.	
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g	d/or expired and with enerated from an	drawn listings, to form exported MLS ma	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an exp	olanat	ion and suppo	t for	your conclu	sions.	
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g	d/or expired and with enerated from an	drawn listings, to form exported MLS ma	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an exp	olanat	ion and suppo	t for	your conclu	sions.	
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g	d/or expired and with enerated from an	drawn listings, to form exported MLS ma	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an exp	olanat	ion and suppo	t for	your conclu	sions.	
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g	d/or expired and with enerated from an	drawn listings, to form exported MLS ma	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an exp	olanat	ion and suppo	t for	your conclu	sions.	
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com	d/or expired and with lenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh	drawn listings, to formi exported MLS ma tml.	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an exp ails regarding the c	olanat	ion and suppo	t for	your conclu	sions.	
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com	d/or expired and with lenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh	drawn listings, to formi exported MLS ma tml.	ulate your conclusion arket search. Deta	ns, provide both an exp ails regarding the c	olanat	ion and suppo ations and p	rt for	your conclu	sions.	
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condo Subject Project Data	d/or expired and withd lenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh	drawn listings, to formi exported MLS ma tml.	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an exp ails regarding the c	olanat	ion and suppo ations and p	rt for	your conclu	sions. e found	online at
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com	d/or expired and withdusenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh	drawn listings, to formi exported MLS ma tml.	ulate your conclusion arket search. Deta	ns, provide both an exp ails regarding the c	olanat	ion and suppo ations and p	rt for	your concluess can be	sions. e found	
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis	d/or expired and withoutenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh	drawn listings, to formi exported MLS ma tml.	ulate your conclusion arket search. Deta	ns, provide both an exp ails regarding the c	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	rt for	your concluess can be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List	d/or expired and withdusenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate)	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be seen be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale	d/or expired and withdusenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate)	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an exp ails regarding the c	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be seen be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List	d/or expired and withdusenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate)	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be seen be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale	d/or expired and withdusenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate)	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be seen be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale	d/or expired and withdusenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate)	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be seen be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale	d/or expired and withdusenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate)	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale	d/or expired and withdusenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate)	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale	d/or expired and withdusenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate)	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (See Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable List Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale of foreclosed properties.	d/or expired and withdenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate) es) a factor in the pro-	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale	d/or expired and withdenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate) es) a factor in the pro-	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (See Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable List Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale of foreclosed properties.	d/or expired and withdenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate) es) a factor in the pro-	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (See Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable List Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale of foreclosed properties.	d/or expired and withdenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate) es) a factor in the pro-	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (See Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable List Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale of foreclosed properties.	d/or expired and withdenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate) es) a factor in the pro-	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (See Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable List Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale of foreclosed properties.	d/or expired and withdenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate) es) a factor in the pro-	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable List Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale of foreclosed properties.	d/or expired and withdenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) enths) estings tings/Ab. Rate) es) a factor in the pro-	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (See Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable List Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale of foreclosed properties.	d/or expired and with lenerated from an n/1004mc/calc.sh minium or cooperative ettled) onths) stings tings/Ab. Rate) es) a factor in the pro	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be seen be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were good http://bradfordsoftware.com/ If the subject is a unit in a condous subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sea Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale of foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and Signature	minium or cooperative ettled) sonths) etings tings/Ab. Rate) es) a factor in the pro	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of Ri	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be seen be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale of foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and Signature Appraiser Name	minium or cooperative titled) stings tings/Ab. Rate) es) a factor in the pro	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and otherson	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of Richards and the nu	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be seen be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale of foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and Signature Appraiser Name Company Name	minium or cooperative of the project of address the impact of addr	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and addreson sal Services	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incompany Signature Supervisor Company	Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of Ri Name Name	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be seen be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were g http://bradfordsoftware.com If the subject is a unit in a condor Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Se Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Mo Total # of Active Comparable Lis Months of Unit Supply (Total List Are foreclosures sales (REO sale of foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and Signature Appraiser Name Company Name	minium or cooperative of the project of address the impact of addr	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and addrson sal Services thills, CA 91308	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc d project. Signature Supervisor Company of Company	Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of Ri Name Name	olanat	on and suppo ations and p	vveral	your concluess can be seen be	sions. e found D D Ir	Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining Declining

MARKET RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

Prodigy Appraisal Services SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM


File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	6632 Hesperia Av	e					
City Reseda		County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91335
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc	·	Address	2015 Manhattar	n Beach Blvd Sui	te 100, Redondo I	Beach, CA 90278



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 6632 Hesperia Ave Reseda, CA 91335



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

 Property Address
 6632 Hesperia Ave

 City
 Reseda
 County
 Los Angeles
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 91335

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 6643 Balcom Ave Reseda, CA 91335



COMPARABLE SALE # 17905 Vanowen St Reseda, CA 91335




COMPARABLE SALE # 3 6458 Zelzah Ave Reseda, CA 91335

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

 Property Address
 6632 Hesperia Ave

 City
 Reseda
 County
 Los Angeles
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 91335






COMPARABLE SALE # 4 6900 Jamieson Ave Reseda, CA 91335



COMPARABLE SALE # 5 6457 Balcom Ave Reseda, CA 91335





COMPARABLE SALE # 6701 Yarmouth Ave Reseda, CA 91335



ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales


BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Sales






ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Listings

BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Listings





ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales and Listings








ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales Price

BELOW: Neighborhood - Median Sales Price





ABOVE: Competing Market - Median List Price

BELOW: Neighborhood - Median List Price




30



ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and List Price







ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and Listings DOM







ABOVE: Competing Market - Average Sale and List Price Per SqFt





UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C:1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Quality Ratings and Definitions

∩1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Abbreviation	Full Name	May Appear in These Fields
4	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
\djPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
\rmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sales or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
	Beneficial	Location & View
3		
oa	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
or	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
;	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
p	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
:V	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
OT .	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
		- , , , ,
lw	Driveway	Garage/Carport
	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
-HA	Federal Housing Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
]	Garage	Garage/Carport
ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
	Built-In Garages	Garage/Carport
gbi	-	
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Slfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
n	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
	•	
nd	Industrial	Location & View
isting	Listing	Sales or Financing Concessions
₋ndfl	Landfill	Location
_tdSght	Limited Sight	View
MR	Mid Rise	Design (Style)
Иtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
)	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
)	Other	Design (Style)
pp	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
		View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
(63 RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
<u>r </u>	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
रा	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
3	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm 	Square Meters	Area, Site
Jnk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
/A	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
V	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
vo	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
Voods	Woods View	View
	Water View	View
Vtr		Location
Vtr VtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Vtr VtrFr	-	
Vtr	Water Frontage Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
Vtr VtrFr	-	
Vtr VtrFr	-	
Vtr VtrFr	-	

Prodigy Appraisal Services

APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

		AL CON	IPLIANCE A	ADDENDUM	Case No. 4991	16
Borrower/Client Redwood Ho						
Address 6632 Hesperia Ave)	<u> </u>		21.1	Unit No.	04005
City Reseda		County	Los Angeles	State <u>C</u>	ZIP Code	91335
Lender/Client Wedgewood I	nc					
This App	raisal Compliance Addendum is	included to ens	sure this appraisal re	eport meets all USPAP	2014 requirements	i .
APPRAISAL AND REPOR		moladou to one	are and appraisant	sport mode an oor 7 a	2011 Toquironione	
This Appraisal Report is one of the						
X Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accord	lance with the re	guirements of the App	raisal Report option of US	SPAP Standards Rule	2-2(a).
Restricted Appraisal Report						
	intended user of this report is limite	ed to the identifie	ed client. This is a Res	tricted Appraisal Report a	and the rationale for h	ow the appraiser arrived
	at the opinions and conclusions set					
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT	IONS					
I certify that, to the best of my kno						
	ained in this report are true and corre					
	ions, and conclusions are limited on	ly by the reported	d assumptions and are	e my personal, impartial, a	and unbiased professi	onal analyses,
opinions, and conclusions.						
	I have no present or prospective inte		•	•	•	•
	I have performed no services, as an	appraiser or in a	iny other capacity, reg	arding the property that is	the subject of this rep	port within the three-year
	ng acceptance of this assignment.					
	to the property that is the subject of the			-		
	gnment was not contingent upon dev				and discretized in the last of	
• •	eting this assignment is not continge	•		•		
	he value opinion, the attainment of a	i stipulated result	t, or the occurrence of	a subsequent event direc	tly related to the inter	ided use of
this appraisal.	conclusions were developed and this	e roport has boor	a propared in conform	nity with the Uniform Stand	dards of Professional	Appraisal Practice that
were in effect at the time this		s report nas beer	i prepareu, in comoni	illy with the Official Stant	Jaius oi Fiolessionai	Appraisal Fractice that
	I have made a personal inspection of	of the property the	at is the subject of this	renort		
	no one provided significant real proj		•		tion (if there are excer	ntions the name of each
	int real property appraisal assistance			in(o) eigimig and continout	ion (ii inoro aro oxoo)	yaono, aro namo or odon
	red in accordance with Title XI of FIR		• •	ing regulations.		
PRIOR SERVICES				3 3		
· X I have NOT performe	ed services, as an appraiser or in and	other other capac	city, regarding the prop	perty that is the subject of	the report within the t	hree-year period
immediately preceding acce					•	
IHAVE performed serv	rices, as an appraiser or in another c	apacity, regardin	g the property that is t	the subject of this report w	vithin the three-year p	eriod immediately
	s assignment. Those services are de	escribed in the co	mments below.			
PROPERTY INSPECTION						
	sonal inspection of the property that	-	•			
· I have NOT made	a personal inspection of the property	y that is the subj	ect of this report.			
APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE						
	provided significant real property app			this certification. If anyon	e did provide significa	ant assistance, they
are hereby identified along with a	summary of the extent of the assista	ance provided in	the report.			
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS						
	requiring disclosure and/or any state	mandated requi	romonto:			
Additional OSPAP related issues	requiring disclosure and/or any state	: manuateu requi	rements.			
MARKETING TIME AND E	XPOSURE TIME FOR THE S	UBJECT PRO	OPERTY			
X A reasonable marketing time	e for the subject property is 1-90 D	ays day(s) ι	ıtilizing market conditi	ons pertinent to the appra	isal assignment.	
X A reasonable exposure time	e for the subject property is 1-90 D	ays day(s).				
APPRAISER			SUPERVISOR	RY APPRAISER (ON	LY IF REQUIRED)
Y WAR						
Signature	<u>'//</u>					
Name Antonio Anderso			Name			
Date of Signature 05/28/202			_ Date of Signature			
State Certification # AR03567	8			ı#		
			_ or State License #			
State <u>CA</u>			_ State			
Expiration Date of Certification o	r License 11/23/2022			f Certification or License		
E# # B # * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	00/0000			iser Inspection of Subject		
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/2	2012022		Did Not	Exterior Only from s	treet Interior a	and Exterior

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 6632 Hesperia Ave

City Reseda County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 91335 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



DECLARATIONS

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS **ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY**

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Renewal of: RAP4113647-20 Policy Number: RAP4113647-21

Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc. Program Administrator:

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Item 1. Named Insured: Antonio D. Anderson

Item 2. Address: P.O. Box 4609

> West Hills, CA 91308 City, State, Zip Code:

11/29/2021 11/29/2022 Item 3. **Policy Period**: From To

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year) (Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ 1,000,000 Damages Limit of Liability - Each Claim

1,000,000 B. \$ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Each Claim

1,000,000 C. \$ Damages Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

1,000,000 D. \$ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

500 A. \$ Each Claim

1,000 B. \$ Aggregate

Item 6. Premium: \$ 895.00

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 11/29/2005

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (08/12)

D42402 (05/13) D42408 (05/13) D42412 (03/17) D42413 (06/17)

D42414 (08/19)

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1

File No. 32794755 Case No. 49916

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 6632 Hesperia Ave

City ResedaCountyLos AngelesStateCAZip Code91335Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

