Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			File No.	JLJBMD	052722A
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave					
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91401
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc					

TABLE OF CONTENTS



Invoice	1
Table of Contents	2
USPAP Identification Addendum	3
Exterior-Only	4
Additional Comparables 4-6	10
Additional Comparables 7-9	11
General Text Addendum	12
MLS Listings - Page 1	18
MLS Listings - Page 2	19
MLS Listings - Page 3	20
Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report	21
UAD Definitions Addendum	22
Location Map	25
Location Map	
Plat Map	27
Subject Photos	28
Photograph Addendum	29
Comparable Photos 1-3	30
Comparable Photos 4-6	31
Comparable Photos 7-9	32
License	33
F&O	2/

USPAP ADDENDUM

2132800112 File No. .II .IBMD0527224

		OOI AL ADDENDON	File No. JLJBMD052722A
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave		
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA Zip Code 91401
ender.	Wedgewood Inc		0.1
	W cagewood inc		
This report v	was prepared under the foll	owing USPAP reporting option:	
★ Appraisa	al Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards Rule:	2.2(a)
Applaise	пторон	This report was prepared in accordance with OSI At Standards Rule :	2-2(a).
Restricte	ed Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards Rule :	2-2(b).
Danasahla	Francisco Timos		
I	Exposure Time		
		or the subject property at the market value stated in this report is:	30-60 days
EXPOSUR	E TIME: estimated length	of time that the property interested being appraised would ha	ave offered on the market prior to the
hypothetica	ll consummation of a sale	at market value on the effective date of the appraisal.	
USPAP 20	18-2019 Comment: Expo	sure time is a retrospective opinion based on an analysis of p	ast events assuming a competitive
and open r	market.		
Additional C	ertifications		
I certify that,	to the best of my knowledge a	nd belief:	
		appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the sub	iect of this report within the
		appraiser of in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the sub ng acceptance of this assignment.	geet of this report within the
l unee-yea	ar period irrimediatery precedi	iy acceptance oi tino assiyriment.	!
	erformed services, as an anni	aiser or in another capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of	f this report within the three-year
		nce of this assignment. Those services are described in the comments	·
· ·		-	₩₩.
	of fact contained in this report are true and		
- The reported ana	lyses, opinions, and conclusions are limit	ed only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unb	iased professional analyses, opinions,
and conclusio	ns.		
- Unless otherwise	indicated, I have no present or prospective	re interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the	ne parties involved.
- I have no bias w	ith respect to the property that is the subje	ct of this report or the parties involved with this assignment.	
- My engagement	in this assignment was not contingent upo	n developing or reporting predetermined results.	
- My compensation	n for completing this assignment is not co	ntingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors	s the cause of the client, the amount of
the value opin	ion, the attainment of a stipulated result, of	r the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.	
- My analyses, opi	inions, and conclusions were developed, a	nd this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisa	al Practice that were in effect at the time
this report was	prepared.		
1		tion of the property that is the subject of this report.	
	·	Il property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing this certification (if there are exceptions, the	name of each individual providing
	•	ewhere in this report). APPRAISER COMPETENCY	Thank of cacif individual providing
1 ''		ent, that he or she can perform the assignment competently. Competency requires	the of and associate with laws and
1 .		d; and 2. The knowledge and experience to complete the assignment competently; and 3. Recognit	·
regulations that a	apply to the appraiser or to the assignmen	t. I am competent to perform this assignment based on my state appraiser license and familiarity v	vith this type of property in the subject market.
Additional C	commente		
Auditional o	יטוווווסוונס		
APPRAISE	R COMPETENCY		
An appraise	er must determine, prior to	accepting the assignment, that he or she can perform the a	ssignment competently. Competency
requires:	•		
'			
1. The abilit	ty to properly identify the p	problem to be addressed; and	
		complete the assignment competently; and	
		th, laws and regulations that apply to the appraiser or to the a	essianment
J. Recognii	ilon or, and compliance w	in, laws and regulations that apply to the appraiser of to the a	assignment.
	atomt to morform this cools	amount boood on my state appreciacy license and familiarity wi	the thin turns of property in the cubic of
1	etent to benorm this assic	nment based on my state appraiser license and familiarity wi	in this type of property in the subject
market.			
			!
APPRAISER:		SUPERVISORY APPRAI	SER: (only if required)
	/ H		
Clanatur	1 10	Character	
Signature:	\\\.		
		Name:	
State Certification	n#: AR037417	State Certification #:	
or State License	ш.	or State License #:	
State: CA		State:	
	f Certification or License: 06/	07/2023 Expiration Date of Certification or	r License:
Effective Date of	Appraisal: <u>05/27/2022</u>	Supervisory Appraiser Inspection	n of Subject Property:
			nly from Street Interior and Exterior

2132800112 File# JLJBMD052722A

	The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	rt is to prov	vide the lender/cl	lient with an	accurate, and adequa	tely supported	d, opinion of the	e market value	of the subject	property.		
	Property Address 6554 Colbath Ave				City Van Nuys	-	•		Zip Code 914			
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC		Owner	of Public Reco			on Of Trust	County Los A		-		
	Legal Description Tract # 12743 Lot 11											
	Assessor's Parcel # 2238-018-011				Tax Year 2021			R.E. Taxes \$ 1	•			
ე ე	Neighborhood Name Van Nuys	1	C	A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Exterior		Census Tract 1		1		
SUBJECT	Occupant 🔀 Owner 🗌 Tenant 🔲 Vaca Property Rights Appraised 🔀 Fee Simple	Leaseho		Assessments (describe)	\$ 0	L	PUD HOA \$	> 0 ∟	per year	per month		
SU	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction		ance Transaction		(describe) Market	Value						
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc				5 Manhattan Beach		100 Redon	do Beach, C	A 90278			
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale of	r has it been						X				
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and	l date(s).	DOM 7;Pe	r CRMLS#	SR22090429, the	subject pro	operty was so	old on 05/24/	2022 for \$98	30,000.		
	I did did not analyze the contract for	sale for the su	ubject purchase tra	ansaction. Exp	lain the results of the ana	alysis of the co	ontract for sale or	why the analysis	was not			
_	performed.											
AC	Contract Price \$ Date of Cont	ract	Is the	nronerty selle	r the owner of public rec	ord?	Yes No D	Pata Source(s)				
CONTRACT	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa								Yes	S No		
ဝ္ပ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe		•	,	,, բ, .)						
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the r	neighborhoo	d are not apprais									
	Neighborhood Characteristics				nit Housing Trends			nit Housing	Present Lar			
		Rural	Property Values	Increas	· -	Declining		AGE	One-Unit	95 %		
8		Under 25% Slow	Demand/Supply Marketing Time	Shortag		Over Sup		(yrs)	2-4 Unit Multi-Family	1 % 2 %		
皇			•		South of Sherman			Low 2 High 102	Commercial	2 %		
OR	of Sepulveda Blvd, and West of Woo			ciory bivu,	South of Sherman	way, ⊑as	1.260		Other	<u> </u>		
NEIGHBORHOOD	•			ale family	dwellings built pred	lominately t	. ,					
Ĕ	style, condition and site size. Com		-	_		_						
_	support services, schools and emp											
	Market Conditions (including support for the above				ns are considered							
	supply of residential properties and a				area typically less				A financing is	3		
	prevalent and readily available at non	ninal relate		/ pay a por 8052 sf					.D			
	Dimensions See Plat Map Specific Zoning Classification R1-1				Low Residential	ape Irregula	ar	View N	;Kes;			
	-	conformina ((Grandfathered Use)		oning Illegal (descr	ibe)						
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as				<u> </u>		X Yes	No If No, des	cribe			
	The subject is considered to be a leg	al conforn	ning use and t	he subject	's current use as a	single fam	ily dwelling is	it's highest a	and best use			
	Utilities Public Other (describe)				(describe)		Improvements - T	уре	Public	Private		
SITE	Electricity \(\sum_{\text{\tinc{\text{\texitext{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi{\text{\texi{\text{\ti}}}\tittt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tetx{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\tittit{\text{\texi}\tittt{\text{\texict{\texi}\tittt{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texit{\texi{\texi{\texi}\tet			<u>X</u> _			Paved		\square			
S				\mathbf{X}	FFMA Mon # OO		None	FFMA Man	Doto 00/00/	0000		
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical			X X Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No. describe	0137/0603	37C/1310F	FEIVIA IVIAP	Date 09/26/2	2008		
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external fa					ses, etc.)?		Yes X No	If Yes, describe			
	There were no apparent adverse eas									were		
	no obvious environmental hazards pr											
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro	operty [Appraisal Files	ML:			Prior Ins		Property Owner			
	Other (describe) MLS General Description		eneral Description	nn .	Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling		Parcel Qu Amenities	<u>lest;Realist;C</u>	CRMLS Car Storage			
		Concret		d Space	FWA HWBB		Fireplace(s) #	2 None	<u> </u>			
	# of Stories 1	Full Base		nished	Radiant		Woodstove(s) #	0 X Drive		ars 2		
	Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit			nished	Other		Patio/Deck Op			Ashpalt		
		Exterior Wall	s Stuce	co/Avg	Fuel Gas		Porch None	X Gara				
	Design (Style) Traditional	Roof Surface		Tile/Avg	Central Air Condition		Pool None	Carpo	ort # of Ca	ars O		
	Year Built 1942		ownspouts Yes/		Individual		Fence Perm/Av			tached		
	Effective Age (Yrs) 22	Window Typ		Pn/Avg	Other None		Other None	Built-	in			
	Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven				crowave Washer/E		Other (describe)	F4 -6 C 15	A Al	2d.		
IMPROVEMENTS	Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items	6 Rooms		Bedrooms	2.0 Bath(s	5)	1,683 Square	Feet of Gross Liv	ing Area Above (∍raαe		
ME	Additional realties (special energy emicient tierns	, etc.)	Typ for marke	et area								
2	Describe the condition of the property and data so	ource(s) (inclu	uding apparent nee	eded repairs, d	eterioration, renovations,	remodeling, e	etc.). (C4;Updates i	n the prior 15	5		
PRO	years;IT IS NOTED THAT THE DIFF			-								
Σ	CONDITION. Exterior inspection, see											
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ac	tuoree conditi	one that affect the	livability com	adnose or structural late	arity of the are	norty2	□ Voo N	Z No.			
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies of actificiencies of actification and the second	ivei se collal(l	ons wat difect the	iivabiiity, SOU	iuness, or structural lifte	grity of the pro	perty!	Yes Yes	N INO			
	The subject property is considered to	be in ave	rage condition	າ with ກດ ລ	pparent deficiencie	s or adver	se conditions	that affect t	he livahility			
	soundness, or structural integrity of the									s or		
	environmental conditions, nor is the a											
	Does the property generally conform to the neighb							o If No, describ				
	The subject property is typical and co	onforming	to the immedi	ate marke	t area in style, con	dition, use,	construction	as well as fu	nctional utilit	у.		

2132800112 File# JLJBMD052722A

	There are 226 comparable	e sales in the subject	neighborhood within	the subject neighborho the past twelve mont	hs ranging in sale pr	rice from \$ 700,00		79,000 2,285,000
	FEATURE	SUBJECT		BLE SALE # 1		BLE SALE # 2		LE SALE # 3
	Address 6554 Colbath Ave		6609 Burnet Ave		6645 Lemona A		15016 Hartland	
	Van Nuys, CA 91 Proximity to Subject	401	Van Nuys, CA 9 1.54 miles W	1405	Van Nuys, CA 9 1.35 miles W	1405	Van Nuys, CA 91 1.41 miles W	1405
	Sale Price	\$	1.54 ITIIIes W	\$ 975,000		\$ 1,106,500		\$ 848,000
-		\$ sq.ft.	\$ 565.55 sq.ft		\$ 619.54 sq.ft.		\$ 487.08 sq.ft.	010,000
	Data Source(s)		CRMLS#SR220		CRMLS#320009	'	CRMLS#SB212	58047;DOM 9
	Verification Source(s)		PQ, DOC# 5568		PQ, DOC# 4096	525	PQ, DOC# 2086	30
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment
	Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth	
	Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0		Conv;0	
	Date of Sale/Time Location	N.D.	s05/22;c05/22		s04/22;c03/22		s02/22;c12/21	.05.000
	Leasehold/Fee Simple	N;Res; Fee Simple	N;Res; Fee Simple		N;Res; Fee Simple		A;BsyRd; Fee Simple	+25,000
	Site	8052 sf	8100 sf	0	8100 sf	0	6751 sf	+6,505
	View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	10,000
	Design (Style)	DT1;Traditional	DT1;Traditional		DT1;Traditional		DT2;Traditional	0
	Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4	
	Actual Age	80	68	0	72		73	0
	Condition	C4	C4		C3	-75,000		
	Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	
	Room Count Gross Living Area	6 3 2.0 1,683 sq.ft.	5 2 2.0			0.000	6 3 2.0	4.000
	Basement & Finished	1,683 Sq.ii.	1,724 sq.ft	-3,485	1,786 sq.ft.	-9,000	1,741 sq.ft. Osf	-4,930
	Rooms Below Grade	001	001		031		001	
	Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Average	
ğ	Heating/Cooling	Floor/None	FWA/CAC	-5,000	FWA/CAC	-5,000	Wall/Floor/None	0
Ş.	Energy Efficient Items	Typ for Market	Typ for Market		Typ for Market		Typ for Market	
<u>.</u>	Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	2ga2dw		2gd2dw	0	2gd2dw	0
Ž-	Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Patio	Porch/Patio		Porch/Patio		Porch/Patio	
<u>s</u>	Amenities	None	None		None		None	
ES COMPARISON APPROACH	APN#	2238-018-011	2235-003-046	0	2235-010-018	0	2220-019-009	0
Š	Net Adjustment (Total)		_ + 🗶 -	\$ -485		\$ -89,000		\$ 26,575
ဂ္ဂ	Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 0.0 %		Net Adj. 8.0 %		Net Adj. 3.1 %	
SAL	of Comparables		Gross Adj. 1.7 %					
				erty and comparable sale	·		search was mad	le on the
	CRMLS as well as public	records on the su	bject and each s	ales comparable i	o determine past	sales history.		
	My research 🔀 did 🗌 did r	not reveal any prior sale	es or transfers of the su	bject property for the th	ree years prior to the e	ffective date of this app	aisal.	
		est/Realist/CRML	S					
				omparable sales for the y	ear prior to the date of	sale of the comparable	sale.	
	Data Source(s) Parcel Que Report the results of the research a	est/Realist/CRML		u of the cubicat property	and comparable calce	(raport additional prior	color on nago 2)	
	ITEM		JBJECT	COMPARABLE S		COMPARABLE SALE #2		RABLE SALE #3
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	05/24/2022	555201	OOMI THUIDEE O		OOM THUIDEE ONEE W		THISEE OFFICE # 0
	Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	\$980,000						
	Data Source(s)	Doc#55725	5	Parcel Quest/Rea	list Parce	el Quest/Realist	Parcel Que	est/Realist
-	Effective Date of Data Source(s)	05/27/2022		05/27/2022	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7/2022	05/27/2022	
	Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	story of the subject pro	perty and comparable	sales DO	M 7;Per CRMLS#	#SR22090429, the	subject property	was sold on
H	05/24/2022 for \$980,000.							
	Summary of Sales Comparison Ap			Il verified closed s				
	be the most similar in age	, condition, quality	y and appeal. The	e comparables bra	cket the subjects	s utility, amenities,	and GLA. The sa	les provided
	be the most similar in age are considered to be the b	, condition, quality	y and appeal. The of the effective da	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa	cket the subjects I. All comparable	s utility, amenities, s given equal cons	and GLA. The sa sideration towards	les provided s value. The
	be the most similar in age are considered to be the badjusted range is \$874,57	, condition, quality best available as 0 75-\$1,017,500. Th	y and appeal. The of the effective dance final estimate of	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi	cket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0	s utility, amenities, s given equal cons 00. Typical expos	and GLA. The sa sideration towards ure time for the n	les provided s value. The narket area is
	be the most similar in age are considered to be the b	, condition, quality pest available as of 5-\$1,017,500. The AISER HAS NOT	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA	cket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 LS ON THE SUE	s utility, amenities, s given equal cons 00. Typical expos 3JECT PROPERT	and GLA. The sa sideration towards ure time for the n	les provided s value. The narket area is
	be the most similar in age are considered to be the badjusted range is \$874,57 45-120 days. THE APPRA	, condition, quality pest available as of 5-\$1,017,500. The AISER HAS NOT	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA	cket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 LS ON THE SUE	s utility, amenities, s given equal cons 00. Typical expos 3JECT PROPERT	and GLA. The sa sideration towards ure time for the n	les provided s value. The narket area is
	be the most similar in age are considered to be the badjusted range is \$874,57 45-120 days. THE APPRA CONSIDERATION TOWA	, condition, quality pest available as of 75-\$1,017,500. TH AISER HAS NOT ARDS VALUE AS	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A IT IS MOST APF	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA	cket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 LS ON THE SUE	s utility, amenities, s given equal cons 00. Typical expos 3JECT PROPERT	and GLA. The sa sideration towards ure time for the n	les provided s value. The narket area is
	be the most similar in age are considered to be the badjusted range is \$874,5745-120 days. THE APPRACONSIDERATION TOWA	, condition, quality pest available as of the condition o	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A IT IS MOST APF	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA PROXIMATE SFR	icket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 ILS ON THE SUB SALE TO THE S	s utility, amenities, s given equal cons 00. Typical expos BJECT PROPERT UBJECT.	and GLA. The sa sideration towards ure time for the n Y. COMP 1 GIVI	les provided s value. The narket area is EN MOST
-	be the most similar in age are considered to be the badjusted range is \$874,5745-120 days. THE APPRACONSIDERATION TOWA	, condition, quality pest available as of 25-\$1,017,500. The AISER HAS NOT ARDS VALUE AS on Approach \$ 9 arison Approach \$	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A IT IS MOST APP 75,000 975,000	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA PROXIMATE SFR	Icket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 ILS ON THE SUB SALE TO THE S Eloped)\$ 1,018	s utility, amenities, s given equal cons 00. Typical expos 3JECT PROPERT UBJECT.	and GLA. The sa sideration towards ure time for the n Y. COMP 1 GIVI	les provided s value. The narket area is EN MOST
-	be the most similar in age are considered to be the badjusted range is \$874,5745-120 days. THE APPRACONSIDERATION TOWA	, condition, quality pest available as of 25-\$1,017,500. The ARDS VALUE AS on Approach \$ 9 arison Approach \$ ach was most weighted.	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A IT IS MOST APF 75,000 975,000 hted in determining	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA PROXIMATE SFR Cost Approach (if deve	Icket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 ILS ON THE SUB SALE TO THE S Ploped) \$ 1,018 I value, as it best re	s utility, amenities, s given equal cons 00. Typical expos 3JECT PROPERT UBJECT.	and GLA. The sa sideration towards ure time for the n Y. COMP 1 GIVI	lles provided s value. The narket area is EN MOST 0
- - - -	be the most similar in age are considered to be the badjusted range is \$874,5745-120 days. THE APPRACONSIDERATION TOWAS Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparate Sales Comparate Comparison approach was given less emparate approach was given less emparate are considered value by:	, condition, quality pest available as of the condition o	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A IT IS MOST APF 75,000 975,000 hted in determining	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA PROXIMATE SFR Cost Approach (if deve	Icket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 ILS ON THE SUB SALE TO THE S Ploped) \$ 1,018 Value, as it best re sped locale. The Inc.	s utility, amenities, s given equal cons 00. Typical expos 3JECT PROPERT UBJECT.	and GLA. The sa sideration towards ure time for the n Y. COMP 1 GIVI	lles provided s value. The narket area is EN MOST 0
- - - -	be the most similar in age are considered to be the badjusted range is \$874,5745-120 days. THE APPRACONSIDERATION TOWAS Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison approach was given less emps SFR's in the subject's area at This appraisal is made March	on Approach \$ arison Approach	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A IT IS MOST APF 75,000 975,000 hted in determining bject's age and locues for owner use ar completion per plan	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA PROXIMATE SFR Cost Approach (if devel the final estimate of ation in a fully devel and not income general and specifications of	Icket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 ILS ON THE SUB SALE TO THE S Isloped) \$ 1,018 Is value, as it best re sped locale. The Including in the basis of a hy	s utility, amenities, s given equal consolon. Typical exposes BJECT PROPERT UBJECT. 285 Income Appropresents typical buy come approach was pothetical condition the	and GLA. The satisfied and GLA. The satisfied and satisfied are time for the normal of the normal of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied application of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied application of	lles provided s value. The harket area is EN MOST 0 e cost icable due to
- - - -	be the most similar in age are considered to be the badjusted range is \$874,5745-120 days. THE APPRACONSIDERATION TOWAS Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison approach was given less emps SFR's in the subject's area at This appraisal is made Signal is made Signal is subject to the	on Approach \$ arison Approach	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A IT IS MOST APF 75,000 975,000 hted in determining bject's age and locues for owner use ar completion per plan alterations on the bas	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA PROXIMATE SFR Cost Approach (if develoation in a fully develoation of a hypothetical costs of a hypothetical costs and specifications of a hypothetical costs.	Icket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 ILS ON THE SUB SALE TO THE S Included the subjects Inclu	s utility, amenities, s given equal consolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical long. Income Appresents typical buy come approach was pothetical condition the airs or alterations have	and GLA. The satisfied and GLA. The satisfied and satisfied are time for the normal of the normal of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied application of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied application of	lles provided s value. The harket area is EN MOST 0 e cost icable due to
- - - -	be the most similar in age are considered to be the badjusted range is \$874,5745-120 days. THE APPRACONSIDERATION TOWAS Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison approach was given less emps SFR's in the subject's area at This appraisal is made March	on Approach \$ arison Approach	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A IT IS MOST APF 75,000 975,000 hted in determining bject's age and locues for owner use ar completion per plan alterations on the bas	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA PROXIMATE SFR Cost Approach (if develoation in a fully develoation of a hypothetical costs of a hypothetical costs and specifications of a hypothetical costs.	Icket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 ILS ON THE SUB SALE TO THE S Included the subjects Inclu	s utility, amenities, s given equal consolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical long. Income Appresents typical buy come approach was pothetical condition the airs or alterations have	and GLA. The satisfied and GLA. The satisfied and satisfied are time for the normal of the normal of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied application of the satisfied and satisfied applications of the satisfied application of	lles provided s value. The harket area is EN MOST 0 e cost icable due to
RECONCILIATION	be the most similar in age are considered to be the badjusted range is \$874,5745-120 days. THE APPRACONSIDERATION TOWAS Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison approach was given less emportant of the Sales Comparison approach was given less emportant of the Sales Comparison approach was given less emportant of the Sales Comparison approach was given less emportant of the sales completed, subject to the following required inspection bases	on Approach \$ arison Approach	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A IT IS MOST APF 75,000 975,000 hted in determining bject's age and loc- ges for owner use ar completion per plan alterations on the bas ary assumption that the	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA PROXIMATE SFR Cost Approach (if develoation in a fully develoation in a fully develoation of a hypothetical che condition or deficie	Icket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 ILS ON THE SUB SALE TO THE S Isloped) \$ 1,018 Is value, as it best respect locale. The Incomparable in the basis of a hypondition that the reparable of the street, define	s utility, amenities, s given equal consolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical exposolon. Typical long. Typical exposolon. Typical ex	and GLA. The sa sideration towards ure time for the n Y. COMP 1 GIVI roach (if developed) \$ er's and sellers. The not considered appl at the improvements in the been completed, or	o cost icable due to subject to the
RECONCILIATION	be the most similar in age are considered to be the best adjusted range is \$874,5745-120 days. THE APPRACONSIDERATION TOWAS Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Compari	on Approach \$ arison Approach	y and appeal. The of the effective da ne final estimate of PERFORMED A IT IS MOST APF 75,000 975,000 hted in determining bject's age and loc- es for owner use ar completion per plan alterations on the bas ary assumption that the	e comparables bra ate of the appraisa of value is determi PAST APPRAISA PROXIMATE SFR Cost Approach (if developments and specifications of a hypothetical condition or deficie	Icket the subjects I. All comparable ned to be \$975,0 ILS ON THE SUB SALE TO THE S Included in the service of the street, defined of the real product of the service of the	s utility, amenities, s given equal consolon. Typical exposolon. Typic	and GLA. The sa sideration towards ure time for the n Y. COMP 1 GIVI roach (if developed) \$ er's and sellers. The not considered appl at the improvements of the been completed, or attement of assumpticubject of this repo	o cost icable due to subject to the

2132800112 File# JLJBMD052722A

Appraisal Parameters and Methods:				
A six month market search was conducted within the subject's neighborho				
pending sales and properties currently listed for sale. Many properties we				
Comparables presented and utilized in this report represent the most rele				
property. The comparable section and valuation analysis is governed by				roperty
than for another that is equally desirable. When determinable, adjustmer matched paired analysis or abstraction. When matched pair analysis or				.0
appraiser's knowledge and experience in the market area are utilized in d				
sales were confirmed closed per the information data sources cited in the				
sources the appraisers placed more weight on the MLS data for descripting				
The comments below are explanations regarding the analysis and adjustr	nents in the market grid of	the URAR F	orm.	
The following adjustments were indicated:	400,007,6,41,1,4	40 11		
Active Listing: No adjustment due to the list price to sale price ratios at a Condition: +/- \$75,000	or over 100.0% for the last	12 months.		
GLA: Differences greater than 100 SF were adjusted at \$85 per SF. Bed	rooms: \$8 000 Any variar	nces in the to	tal room count were co	nsidered
in the GLA adjustment.	1001110. ψο,000, 7 πη νατιατ	1000 111 1110 10	idi 100111 00dili W010 00	in loid of od
Site: Differences greater than 1,000 sf were adjusted at \$5 per SF.				
Age: No age adjustment applied as subject and comparables are similar	in effective age due to rem	nodeling and	will be adjusted within t	the
condition grid.				
No other adjustments were indicated.				
Subject reconciliation noted at predominant values within a 15% variance.	•			g value
or value of the market place. The subject is not an over improvement for	the area. This will not affe	ct the marke	tability of the subject.	
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)			
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	(not required by Fannie Mae)			
	ns.	e land value	is estimated with land o	extraction
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns. mating site value) Th			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The	ns. mating site value) Th replacement cost new of a	all improvem	ent from the total value	or sales
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one.	ns. mating site value) The placement cost new of an opinion of site value was	all improvem	ent from the total value acant land sales within t	or sales the
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an eopinion of site value was	all improvem s based off v	ent from the total value acant land sales within t	or sales the 550,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift	mating site value) The replacement cost new of a period of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,68	all improvems based off value of the second	ent from the total value acant land sales within t	or sales the
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current	mating site value) The replacement cost new of a period of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,68	all improvem s based off v	ent from the total value acant land sales within t =\$ =\$ =\$	or sales the 550,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of the opinion of site value was OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,68	all improvems based off vor	ent from the total value acant land sales within t = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	or sales the 550,000 501,534
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift	mating site value) The replacement cost new of a new opinion of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value and opinion opini	all improvems based off value of the second	ent from the total value acant land sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of the opinion of site value was OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,68	all improvems based off vor	ent from the total value acant land sales within t = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	or sales the 550,000 501,534
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an element of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value and opinion of site value was opinion of site value and opinion op	all improvem s based off va 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	ent from the total value acant land sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an expension of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value and opinion opinio	all improvem s based off va 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ c). Sq.Ft. @ \$	ent from the total value acant land sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an expension of site value was opinion opinion of site value was opinion opin	all improvem based off vi s based off vi s 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 1 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 1 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within the sales within to a sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years.	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of a new opinion of site value was opinion of site value of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value of site in provements opinion o	all improvem based off vi s based off vi s 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 1 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within to a sales within	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of a new opinion of site value was opinion of site value of site value was opinion of site value of site in the value of site	all improvem based off vi s based off vi s 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 1 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$	ent from the total value acant land sales within to sales within the sales within to sales within the s	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an experiment cost new of an experiment cost new of an experiment cost new as one opinion of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value of a cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 213,249 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae)	all improvem based off vi s based off vi s 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 1 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within the sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of a new opinion of site value was opinion of site value of site value was opinion of site value of site in the value of site	all improvem based off vi s based off vi s 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 1 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within to a sales within	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an experiment cost new of an experiment cost new of an experiment cost new as one opinion of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value of a cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 213,249 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae)	all improvem based off vi s based off vi s 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 1 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within the sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an element cost n	all improvem based off vi s based off vi s 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 1 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within the sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	mating site value) The replacement cost new of an element cost new opinion of site value was opinion of site value was opinion of site value and opinion of site value of site in provement cost. The site of the site of cost. New opinion of site in provement cost. The site of site is opinion	all improvem s based off vi 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional S OACH	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within the sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an element cost new opinion of site value was present to the provided site of the provided site	all improvem based off vi s based off vi s based off vi s Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional SS OACH	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within the sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigated approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an element cost new opinion of site value was present to the provided site of the provided site	all improvem based off vi s based off vi s based off vi s Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional SS OACH	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within the sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an element cost new opinion of site value was present to the provided site of the provided site	all improvem based off vi s based off vi s based off vi s Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional SS OACH	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within the sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of a new opinion of site value was opinion of site value of site value of site in provements opinion opi	all improvem based off vi s based off vi s based off vi s Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional SS OACH	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within the sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of a new opinion of site value was opinion of site value of site lamprovements opinion o	all improvem based off vi a Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional a Sq.Ft. @ \$ Attach ad Melling unit.	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within the sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Prose Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an element cost new and cost new description. Garage/Carport 4000 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 213,249 Depreciated Cost of Improvement cost and cost of Improvement cost cost new c	all improvem be based off vi 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Color Color Color	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within the sales within t	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an element cost new opinion of site value was element cost. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DEWELLING 1,68 Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 213,249 Depreciated Cost of Improvement cos	all improvem be based off vi 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Color Color Color	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within to a sales within	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estigation approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Prose Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an element cost new and cost new description. Garage/Carport 4000 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 213,249 Depreciated Cost of Improvement cost and cost of Improvement cost cost new c	all improvem be based off vi 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Color Color Color	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within to a sales within	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	mating site value) The replacement cost new of a new opinion of site value was opinion of site value of site value of site in provements opinion opinio	all improvem based off vi a space of	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within to a sales within	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete?	ns. mating site value) The replacement cost new of an element cost new and cost new description. Garage/Carport 4000 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 213,249 Depreciated Cost of Improvement cost and cost of Improvement cost cost new c	all improvem based off vi a space of	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within to a sales within	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting approach. This land approach is performed by extracting the depreciated price of the comparable sales used to determined the value of the land. The subject neighborhood boundaries noted on page one. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The reproduction cost per square foot based on the Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook and information supplied by local builders. The land value ratio of 54% was determined by extraction and is typical for the market area. Physical depreciation is derived utilizing a modified Age Life Method. The estimated remaining life is 38 years. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 38 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	mating site value) The replacement cost new of a new opinion of site value was opinion of site value of site value of site in provements opinion opinio	all improvem based off vi a space of	ent from the total value acant land sales within to a sales within the sales within to a sales within to a sales within	or sales the 550,000 501,534 80,000 581,534 213,249) 368,285 100,000 1,018,285

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

2132800112 File# JLJBMD052722A

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

2132800112 File# JLJBMD052722A

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER Jennifer L. Landon	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Jennier L. Landon	Name
Company Name Sunwest Appraisals Inc	Company Name
Company Address 303 N Glenoaks Blvd, Suite 200,	Company Address
Burbank, CA 91502	
Telephone Number (818)322-3449	Telephone Number
Email Address orders@sunwestappraisals.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 05/30/2022	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/27/2022	State Certification #
State Certification # AR037417	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 06/07/2023	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
6554 Colbath Ave	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Van Nuys, CA 91401	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 975,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	שמב טו וווסףבכנוטוו
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

2132800112 File# JLJBMD052722A

FEATURE	SUBJECT		LE SALE # 4			LE SALE # 5		COMPARAB	LE SALE # 6
Address 6554 Colbath Av		6636 Tobias Ave		6724 Tobia					
Van Nuys, CA 9	1401	Van Nuys, CA 9	1405	Van Nuys,		1405		Nuys, CA 9	1405
Proximity to Subject	Φ.	0.89 miles W	¢	0.90 miles	W	¢		miles W	¢
Sale Price Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$	¢ 540.40.00 ft	\$ 895,000		0 on ft	\$ 925,000		05.4.0.4.o.r.ft	\$ 995,000
	\$ sq.ft.			\$ 535.30		54400 BOM 74		654.61 sq.ft.	•
Data Source(s) Verification Source(s)		CRMLS#221383				54163 ;DOM 74			97168 ;DOM 21
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	Active Under Co DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	Active Listi DESCRIPT		+(-) \$ Adjustment		<u>re Listing &</u> SCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	DESCRII HON	Listing	+(-) \$ Aujustinent	Listing	ION	+(-) \$ Aujustinent	Listir		+(-) \$ Aujustinent
Concessions		Listing		Listing			LISUI	ig	
Date of Sale/Time		c05/22		Active			Activ	re	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Re		
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple	<u> </u>			Simple	
Site	8052 sf	6543 sf	+8,000	8100 sf		0	6700		+7,000
View	N;Res;	N;Res;	·	N;Res;			N;Re		
Design (Style)	DT1;Traditional	DT1;Ranch	0	DT1;Tradit	ional		DT1	Traditional	
Leasehold/Fee Simple Site View Design (Style) Quality of Construction Actual Age Condition Above Grade Room Count Gross Living Area Basement & Finished	Q4	Q4		Q4			Q4		
Actual Age	80	68	0	69		0	72		0
Condition	C4	C4		C4	1		C4		
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms.	Baths			Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	6 3 2.0	6 3 2.0		6 3	2.0		7	4 3.0	-14,000
Gross Living Area	1,683 sq.ft.	1,638 sq.ft.	3,825		g sq.ft.	-3,825		1,520 sq.ft.	+14,000
Dasement & Hillsheu	0sf	0sf		0sf			0sf		
Rooms Below Grade Functional Utility	Avoress	Διοτοπο		A. 10 = 0 = =			Λ	000	
Heating/Cooling	Average Floor/None	Average FWA/CAC	F 000	Average FWA/CAC		-5,000	Aver		-5,000
Energy Efficient Items	Typ for Market		-5,000			-5,000			-5,000
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	Typ for Market 2ga2dw		Typ for Ma 2gd3dw	irkei	0	2gd	for Market	0
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Patio	Porch/Patio		Porch/Pati		0		h/Patio	0
Amenities	None	Pool/Spa	-20,000		<u> </u>		ADU		-25,000
Amenides	110110	1 001/004	20,000	110110			7100		20,000
APN#	2238-018-011	2236-008-025	0	2236-008-	010	0	2220)-015-019	0
Net Adjustment (Total)		_ + 🗶 -	\$ -13,175	_ +	X -	\$ -8,825		+ 🗶 -	\$ -23,000
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 1.5 %		Net Adj.	1.0 %		Net Ac	•	
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 4.1 %			1.0 %				\$ 972,000
Report the results of the research		Ť						1 -	
ITEM		JBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	LE# 4	C	OMPARABLE SALE #	5		RABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	05/24/2022							10/20/202	1
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	\$980,000	_			_			\$800,000	
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h \$799,999 and it was cand	Doc#557255 05/27/2022		Parcel Quest/Rea	list		el Quest/Realist		Doc#1575	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer h			05/27/2022	29 Marlin B		7/2022 one previous listin	a with	05/27/202	
\$799,999 and it was can					THAU (one previous listin	ig witi	iiii tile past	30 1110111115 101
n	30104 011 02/11/202	LO POI MILOMOTTI	0200010,B0Wi.01	•					
Analysis/Comments See C	Comment Addendu	m							
20									
COMMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE									
ANALYSIS COMMENTS									
ANALYSIS / COMMEN IS									
ANALYSIS COMMEN IS									
ANALYSIS COMMEN									
ANALYS SOCIAL STATES OF THE ST									
ANALYSIS									
ANALYSIS COMMENTS									
ANALYSIS COMMEN IS									
ANALYSIS COMMENTS									
ANALYSIS COMMINE									

2132800112 File# JLJBMD052722A

FEATURE	SUBJECT		BLE SALE # 7	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 8	COMPARAE	BLE SALE # 9
Address 6554 Colbath Ave		14605 Hart St					
Van Nuys, CA 91	401	Van Nuys, CA 9	1405				
Proximity to Subject		1.00 miles NW					
Sale Price	\$		\$ 899,900		\$		\$
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.			\$ sq.ft.		\$ sq.ft	
Data Source(s)			00546 ;DOM 18				
Verification Source(s)		Active Listing &					
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		Listing					
Concessions							
Date of Sale/Time		Active					
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;					
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple					
Site	8052 sf	10103 sf	-10,000				
View	N;Res;	N;Res;					
Design (Style)	DT1;Traditional	DT1;Traditional					
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4					
Actual Age	80	85	0				
Condition	C4	C4	_				
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	6 3 2.0	5 2 2.0					
Leasehold/Fee Simple Site View Design (Style) Quality of Construction Actual Age Condition Above Grade Room Count Gross Living Area	1,683 sq.ft.	1,655 sq.ff	•			sq.ft	
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf	2,000	-7		2-4	
Rooms Below Grade							
Functional Utility	Average	Average					
Heating/Cooling	Floor/None	FWA/CAC	-5,000				
Energy Efficient Items	Typ for Market	Typ for Market	-5,000				
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	2gd2dw	0				
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Patio	2ga2aw Porch/Patio	0		+		
Amenities	None	None			+		
APN#	2238-018-011	2240 042 042	0				
Net Adjustment (Total)	2238-018-011	2219-012-013	\$ -4.620		\$	П+ П-	\$
Adjusted Sale Price			.,0=0	Net Adj. %	· ·	Net Adj. %	
of Comparables		_				-	
·	and analysis of the price	Gross Adj. 2.8 %			\$,	\$
Report the results of the research a			COMPARABLE SA				DADLE CALE# 0
		IBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	ALE# / C	OMPARABLE SALE #	8 COMPA	RABLE SALE # 9
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	05/24/2022						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	\$980,000						
Data Source(s)	Doc#557255)	Parcel Quest/Rea	llist			
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	05/27/2022		05/27/2022				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his months. See above for co			sales Sub	oject does not hav	ve a prior listing, to	ransfer or sale in	the last 36
months. See above for co	mparable sales h	istory.					
Analysis/Comments See Ac	ldenda						
20							
ANALY SERVICE OF THE							
ANALYSIS COMMEN							
ANALYSIS							
ANALYSIS							
ANALY SECTION AND ANALY SECTIO							
ANALYSIS COMMEN							
ANALYSIS COMMEN							
ANALYSIS COMMEN							
ANALYSIS OF STATE OF							

File No. JLJBMD052722A

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91401
Landar/Cliant	Wedgewood Inc			

CONDITION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY:

The subject property is -bedroom and -bathroom -story home noted in good condition. The CRMLS reporting upgraded interior condition with supporting finishes to the kitchen, bathrooms, bedrooms and main living areas that have been modernized to meet current buyer needs. The prior listing commentary noted the view amenity and prior listing photos indicated the skyline view.

The subject is a style dwelling located on a residential street. The site is level with front & rear landscape, hardscape and car garage.

IT IS NOTED THAT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE ACTUAL AGE AND EFFECTIVE AGE IS ATTRIBUTED THE SUBJECT'S CONDITION.

PURPOSE OF THE APPRAISAL REPORT:

The purpose of this appraisal report is to estimate the market value of the subject property as defined herein. The function of the appraisal is to assist the above-named lender/client, its successors and/or assigns, in evaluating the subject property for lending purposes. This is a federally regulated transaction. Additional supporting data can be found in the appraiser's work file

It is assumed that the title to this property is good and marketable. No title search has been made, nor have we attempted to determine ownership of the property. The value estimate is given without regard to any questions of title, boundaries, or encroachments. It is assumed that all assessments are paid. We assume the property to be free and clear of liens and encumbrances except as noted.

We are not familiar with any engineering studies made to determine the bearing capacity of the land. Improvements in the area appear to be structurally sound. It is therefore assumed that soil and subsoil conditions are stable unless specifically outlined in this report.

Any exhibits in the report are intended to assist the reader in visualizing the property and its surroundings. The drawings are not intended as surveys and no responsibility is assumed for their cartographic accuracy. Drawings are not intended to be exact in size, scale or detail.

Areas and dimensions of the property were physically measured. If data is furnished by the principal or from plot plans or surveys furnished by the principal, or from public records, we assume it to be reasonably accurate. In the absence of current surveys, land areas may be based upon representations made by the owner's agents or the client. No attempt has been made to render an opinion or determine the status of easements that may exist. No responsibility is assumed for discrepancies that may become evident from a licensed survey of the property.

The value estimate involves only the real estate and all normal building equipment if any improvements are involved. Unless otherwise indicated, the opinion of value arrived at in this appraisal report is for the real estate only and DOES NOT INCLUDE ANY PERSONAL PROPERTY OF ANY KIND. Above ground pools or non-attached items such as freestanding appliances and window treatments are some examples of personal property. The inclusion of personal property in the sale of real estate is common. Although only the real estate is valued in this report, including typical personal property in a sale does not limit the marketability of a house.

The separate allocations between land and improvements, if applicable, represent our judgment only under the existing utilization of the property. A re-evaluation should be made if the improvements are removed or substantially altered, and the land utilized for another purpose.

All information and comments concerning the location, neighborhood trends, construction quality and costs, loss in value from whatever cause, condition, rents, or any other data for the property appraised herein, represents the estimates and opinions of the appraiser formed after an examination of the subject property.

All opinions, as to values stated, are presented as the appraiser's considered opinion based on the information set forth in the report and his experience. We assume no responsibility for changes in market conditions or for the inability of the client or any other party to achieve their desired results based upon the appraised value. Further, some of the assumptions made can be subject to variation depending upon evolving events. We realize some assumptions may never occur and unanticipated events or circumstances may occur. Therefore, actual results achieved during the projection period may vary from those in this report.

The appraisal report was not based on developing or reporting predetermined results, or a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the approval of a loan.

Our analysis, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of: USPAP – Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

THE APPRAISER HAS PREPARED THIS APPRAISAL IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE APPRAISER INDEPENDENCE REQUIREMENTS AND HAS NOT PERFORMED, PARTICIPATED IN, OR BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH ANY ACTIVITY IN VIOLATION OF AIR.

File No. JLJBMD052722A

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles State C	Zip Code	91401
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			

At the request of the client, this appraisal has been prepared in compliance with the Uniform Appraisal Dataset (UAD) from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The UAD requires the appraiser to use standardized responses that include specific formats, definitions, abbreviations, and acronyms. The UAD standard requires property information for the subject and comparables that may be difficult to verify in the normal course of business. The appraiser relies on MLS data, public records data, property owner and realtor verification when available. However, when those collective sources cannot provide precise information, estimates and assumptions are made to comply with the UAD requirements. Should information become available that was not known during the original appraisal due diligence, it could impact the appraisal. The UAD data standard also requires the use of whole numbers in certain data fields. The appraiser was required to round certain numeric entries in order to comply with the UAD data standard.

We do not authorize the out of context quoting or partial reprinting of this appraisal report. Further, neither all nor any part of the contents of this report (especially any conclusions as to value, the identity of the appraiser nor the name of the firm which he/she is connected, shall be reproduced, published, or disseminated to the public through advertising media, public relations media, news media, or another public means of communication, without the prior written consent of the appraiser signing the report.

APPRAISAL DATA:

Appraisal reports are technical documents addressed to the specific needs of clients. In most cases, appraisals are made for mortgage companies and/or banks whose use for this report may be wholly different than that of the casual reader. Therefore, the reader should understand that this was made with a limited amount of data and limited ability to verify certain information. Information was verified when possible through public records, multiple listing services, real estate agents and exterior inspection. This includes verifications that the comparables are actually closed sales and the transactions are arms-length. No verification technique is one hundred percent accurate but the appraiser has relied upon information as reported and recorded unless better sources prevail.

From time to time, the indicated sizes of comparables shown in the available sources such as MLS services listing sheets or assessor appears to be incorrect based on the appraisers professional experience. If the size used in the MLS sheets does not correlate with other known data, the appraiser will estimate the size of comparables. These include assessor's sheets, physical inspection and use of interior room measurements along with a multiplier to depict size based on exterior measurements. The deviation of comparable size from published sizes only indicates an attempt at higher accuracy in the final report. However, there are many times that the exact size and features found in comparables cannot be confirmed except by any exterior inspection from the street. We have used three or more comparables in this report to eliminate the limited data associated with any single comparable.

Information regarding the comparable sales has been obtained from public sources and listing agencies. If any significant discrepancies are revealed, the right to amend this report is reserved.

CONDITION OF MATERIALS:

The appraisal report requires the appraiser to note the condition of materials of several components of the subject property. The appraiser makes no representations, guarantees or warranties (express or implied), regarding the materials, their fitness, quality, condition or remaining economic life. An appraiser is NOT QUALIFIED OR TRAINED to discover/disclose hidden defects in material or workmanship. The lender/client should utilize or at least consider the services of a professional licensed home inspector to evaluate same if concerned about the condition of materials of the subject property.

ENVIRONMENTAL:

The opinion of value reported in this appraisal report is predicated on the belief that there are no adverse conditions that would affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property, unless noted in the appraisal report. Adverse conditions include but are not limited to the following: Needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, and other adverse environmental conditions. Neither the appraiser(s), nor the appraisal firm and the associate's staff have the expertise required to discover any environmental hazards, toxic substances or infestation concerning the subject property. The appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards and this report is not to be considered as an environmental assessment of the property. The appraiser does not make any representations, guarantees, or warranties, express or implied, that the property is free of defects or environmental problems including but not limited to the following:

INFESTATION – The appraiser has no expertise in the field of insect, termites or pest infestation. We are not qualified to detect the presence of these or any other unfavorable infestations. We have not specifically inspected the subject property to determine the presence of any infestation. No effort was made to dismantle or probe the structure to observe enclosed, encased, or otherwise concealed evidence of infestation. Infestation may be present in areas the appraiser cannot see.

LEAD BASE PAINT – A residential dwelling that was built prior to 1978 may present exposure to lead based paint that may place young children at risk of developing lead poisoning. The appraiser is not qualified to determine if lead based paint is present or if it poses any risk or hazard to its inhabitants.

File No. JLJBMD052722A

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave		
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code	91401
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		

MECHANICAL SYSTEMS – The appraiser is NOT A HOME INSPECTOR, ELECTRICIAN, OR PLUMBER. Mechanical systems, including but not limited to plumbing, electrical, HVAC, appliances, septic systems and wells, have not been tested by the appraiser to determine their fitness of condition. If an electrical capacity has been noted in the appraisal report, it has been taken from the electrical service panel within the subject property or provided by another source including but not limited to, the owner, the blueprints, specifications, contractors, or other sources believed to be reliable. The appraiser will not be responsible for the condition, alterations, defects, or other unapparent modifications related to the mechanical systems of the subject property.

MOLD – The appraiser is not qualified to determine if mold is present in the property and if present, the appraiser is not qualified to determine the cause of the mold, the type of mold, or whether it poses any risk or hazard to the inhabitants.

SEASONAL CONDITIONS – There are instances when portions of the exterior of the property are obscured or not readily observable due to weather related conditions. In those instances, the appraiser(s) has relied upon a source(s) familiar with the property to cite the material and the condition of those improvements.

PROPERTY INSPECTION:

A "complete visual inspection" includes a walking tour of the property, interior and exterior and viewing all readily observable items; observing the floor plan and layout; identifying relevant amenities, evaluating conformity of the subject with the neighborhood; observing general conditions; assessing functional utility; measuring the house or utilizing other data and information to calculate the living area, and noting any renovations or remodeling that may have been done to the property.

A "complete visual inspection" does not include observing or viewing any portion of the property not readily accessible from a walking tour include full access to attics and/or crawl spaces; activation or operation of all mechanicals, electrical, or plumbing equipment or fixtures; any observation or viewing of the roof surface other than that which is readily viewable from ground level; or activation or testing of any water system of sewage or septic tank; walking the entire home site if the size and/or topography do not readily allow. THE APPRAISER IS NOT A QUALIFIED HOME INSPECTOR OR ENGINEER AND DOES NOT REPRESENT THOSE SERVICES. THIS APPRAISAL IS NOT A WARRANTY AGAINST ANY DEFECT OF THE IMPROVEMENTS.

SITE COMMENTS:

The site is very typical of the neighborhood in terms of size, topography, view and general appeal. It provides a suitable setting for the improvements and is consistent with market expectations in this price range. Statements regarding zoning compliances are intended only in the most general sense. Zoning and building ordinances vary significantly from one municipality to another and can be extremely detailed. The scope of this assignment does not include a comparison of every potentially significant characteristics of the subject property's site and improvements relative to zoning and building ordinances. Unless otherwise noted, standard utility and right of way easements are insignificant to value. However, a current locational or boundary survey or title report may reveal encroachments, easements, zoning violations or other matters of interest that could warrant modifications of the appraised value.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE:

In compliance with USPAP the following is included in the appraisal;

The rationale and support for the opinion of highest and best use developed for this assignment is as per below: Highest and Best Use is defined as "The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property that is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible, and that results in the highest value. The four criteria the highest and best use must meet are legal permissibility, physical possibility, financial feasibility, and maximum productivity. Alternatively, the probable use of land or improved property—specific with respect to the user and timing of the use—that is adequately supported and results in the highest present value"

Source: Appraisal Institute, The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, 5th ed. (Appraisal Institute, 2010). The highest and best use analysis is a critical step in the valuation process. The comparable properties incorporated into the appraisal are directly affected by the highest and best use analysis. The analysis is based on the use that a hypothetical purchaser would make of the property based on the four tests cited below:

Legally Permissible - The use must be legal and probable. That is, the use must conform to existing zoning restrictions, or there must be a reasonable likelihood a rezoning or variance may be granted.

Physically Possible - The test of physical possibility addresses the physical characteristics associated with the site that might affect it's highest and best use. The size, shape, terrain, accessibility of land and risk of natural disasters affect the uses to which land can be put.

Financially Feasible - Land may be developed with different uses. Only those uses, which produce a positive net return over time, are deemed financially viable. This use must not depress surrounding property values.

Maximum Productivity - Of all the uses that fulfill the first three tests, there is only one use, which produces the greatest return. This single use represents the property's Highest and Best Use. Supply and demand are constantly fluctuation, so its common for a property's Highest and Best Use to Change.

File No. JI JBMD052722A

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91401
Landar/Cliant	Wedgewood Inc			

The current use of the real estate as of the date of value is Residential as described in the improvements section of this appraisal.

After consideration of the above criteria it has been determined that the current improvements continue to contribute to the total market value of the property and the return from a new improvement would not currently offset the cost of demolishing the existing improvements and constructing a new one. THEREFORE, THE HIGHEST AND BEST USE IS AS IMPROVED.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

F.I.R.R.E.A. regulations require the appraiser to attempt to provide a legal description as part of the appraisal. If the legal description is provided, the appraiser has assumed it is correct. The legal description should be verified through legal documentation.

Comment Regarding FEMA:

Subject's market area is within the declared FEMA county disaster area due to recent fire. At the time of the inspection there was no damage to the property due to the recent fires in the Los Angeles county. There has been no affect on the value or marketability of the subject. All photos of the subject and comparables are current photos (post disaster) and not from the MLS listing.

At the time of inspection, there was no noticeable damage to the subject property due to any recent weather related disasters, floods or fires. There has been no effect on value or marketability of the subject.

NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION:

The subject is located in the city of Van Nuys. The subject area is comprised of single family dwellings built predominately from 1940-1970. Dwellings vary in age, style, condition and site size. Commercial and multi-residential properties are situated along major thoroughfares. There is good access to support services, schools and employment.

MARKET CONDITIONS:

Trends in real estate are directly related to historic, economic, demographic, and political forces within a market area. Events occurring nationally, regionally and locally can significantly impact the success of all types of real estate development. Macroeconomic conditions, such as interest rates, inflation, job security, industrial productivity, and stability in the stock market, shape consumer confidence and business investment activity. Regional and local indicators do not always mirror national trends. As a result, the economic conditions on a regional and local level have the most significant impact on real estate markets and must be analyzed separately. Diversity and stability in employment, job growth, business expansion and the profile of the available labor force all impact the economic stability of a region. Consumer demographics in the local market, such a population growth, household statistics, age/family characteristics and income levels, specifically impact the type of real estate development that can be sustained, the amount of development supported, prices/rents, absorption of space and the amenities required.

1004 MC Instructions state: "Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property".

"Subject Specific" parameters are often utilized, but by doing this, it will yield a smaller number of sales, too low to be considered statistically significant, it is then the appraiser's parameters may be expanded to include areas outside of the subject's immediate market neighborhood but still within the surrounding area to produce a statistically credible amount of data to achieve results in which support the appraiser's trend conclusions & not miss-lead the reader with an inadequate amount of statistical data.

Market conditions search criteria (farm list) are:

DUE TO THE INABILITY OF THE MLS TO FILTER CERTAIN KEY DATA, THE 1004MC INCLUDES PROPERTIES WHICH MAY MATCH IN FILTERABLE PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS, BUT ARE NOT ALWAYS COMPARABLE DWELLINGS.

In an effort to get a more accurate opinion of the market place CRMLS data was utilized.

SEARCH CRITERIA:

Researching comparable sales took place within the neighborhood boundaries to locate similar supportive and bracketing comparable analysis of closed and current market activity with weighted noted attributes to the subject. The initial research was conducted within subject's immediate neighborhood and then expanded within a 1 mile radius and within a 6 month time frame. Due to minimal inventory in the subject's Hillcrest Park neighborhood, the criteria was incrementally increased to a 25% variance within a 10 month time period to locate similar competing comparables to the subject. Comparables were researched to support the marketable characteristics of the subject with support for upgraded kitchens and bathrooms with additional interior and exteriors that have been well maintained and the view amenity. Criteria took into consideration towards the room counts, gross living area and site square footages while remaining within the neighborhood boundaries. Since not every subject can be compared to "ideal" comparable sales, the appraiser has chosen what are believed to be the best comparable sales available from a thorough and extensive search for comparable sales data in the subject's market. The sales selected for use in the analysis are considered to be the best indicators of value for the subject property. Other sales reviewed would have required excessive adjustments and were not considered to be as reliable as the sales chosen. Adjustment within the Sales Comparison Analysis are based on the market extraction method, generally employing the matched pair process, and not specifically based on cost figures. Search criteria remained within the subject's Stevenson Ranch neighborhood and located similarly supportive comparable sales considered to compete with the subject on the open market and are considered to support the main marketable attributes: condition, location and view amenity.

File No. JLJBMD052722A

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave		
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code	91401
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		

FINAL ANALYSIS:

The comparables are all verified closed sales from the subject market area. The comparables are noted to be the most similar in age, condition, quality and appeal. The comparables bracket the subjects utility, amenities, and GLA. The sales provided are considered to be the best available as of the effective date of the appraisal. The adjusted range is \$-\$. The final estimate of value is determined to be \$with recent comps 1-3 give most consideration towards value. Typical exposure time for the market area is under 3 months. THE APPRAISER HAS NOT PERFORMED A PAST APPRAISAL ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IN THE LAST 36 MONTHS. See Cost Approach page for adjustment clarification.

Comparables and are active/pending/contingent listings. They received negative adjustments to anticipate potential closed sales price.

Gross living area adjustments were made at \$ per square foot. The remaining adjustments are indicated on the sales comparison grid. ALL ADJUSTMENTS WERE DERIVED FROM THE SUBJECT MARKET AND THE APPRAISERS EXPERTISE IN THE MARKET PLACE.

Some comparables are closed over 6 months ago. Market trends are stable within subject market area, therefore, no time adjustments were applied to comps sold over 6 months ago.

It is acknowledged that the difference in actual age between the subject and comparables exceeds 30%, however, in this area of custom homes they are considered to be among the best market data available. Real estate is an imperfect market and there will always be a range in values and marketing times. This range in values and marketing times have no affect on the subject's estimated market value.

Due to extenuating circumstances such as heavy traffic, private streets/driveways, or people in front of the properties at the time of exterior drive by inspection, some comparables have MLS photos.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRRA) OF 1989, AS AMENDED (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.) and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

Cost Approach:

Land to market value percentage/ratio is typical for the neighborhood.

RECONCILIATION AND FINAL VALUE CONCLUSION:

The sales comparison approach was considered most applicable for the subject property because a typical buyer or seller would most readily understand and apply this approach. The income approach was not considered applicable due to the fact that the majority of housing stock in the area is owner occupied and not typically used for investment property. The cost approach was not completed due to the age of the subject and the subjectivity in estimating depreciation and because the typical buyer does not base price points on the cost approach. The quality of available data utilized in the Sales Comparison Approach was considered adequate. The quantity was relatively limited due to the attributes of the subject as previously discussed.

ADDITIONAL SALES COMPARABLE COMMENTS:

The comparables utilized were considered the best available to derive subject's valuation. Appropriate market adjustments were made for dissimilarities in all comps. Square footage where obtained from the assessor's office. Where assessor records were unavailable or appeared inaccurate, square footages were obtained from a multiplier derived from the market. The appraiser uses a variety of data services such as public and private online databases which include assessor's records, county recorder, FEMA Flood Maps, county websites, local zoning maps and/or phone confirmations by the appropriate zoning authorities, local MLS information, or any other reliable sources considered typical for the market area. All sources are considered to be reliable sources of data. When discrepancies in the information are found, the appraiser will use the source(s) that is believed to be the most reliable in the appraisal report. The appraiser will report only the data pertinent to the valuation process. When applicable, the data presented in the Sales Comparison Approach has been verified by more than one source unless otherwise noted.

The appraiser attempted to obtain an adequate amount of information in the normal course of business regarding the subject and comparable properties. Some of the standardized responses required by the UAD, especially those in which the appraiser has not had the opportunity to verify personally or measure, could mistakenly imply greater precision and reliability in the data than is factually correct or typical in the normal course of business. Examples include condition and quality ratings as well as comparable sales and listing data. Not every element of the subject property was viewable (list if necessary) and comparable property data was generally obtained from third-party sources (list sources). Consequently, this information should be considered an "estimate" unless otherwise noted by the appraiser.

COST APPROACH:

At the request of the client, development of the cost approach has been attempted by the appraiser as an analysis to support their opinion of the property's market value. Because there is insufficient market evidence to credibly support the site value/derivation of total depreciation, the cost approach is not given any consideration in the appraiser's final analysis. Use of the data, in the whole or in part, for other purposes is not intended by the appraiser. Nothing set forth in the appraisal should be relied upon for the purpose of determining the amount or type of insurance coverage to be placed on the subject property. The appraiser assumes no liability and does not guarantee that any insurable value estimate inferred from this report will result in the subject property being fully insured for any loss that may be sustained. The appraiser recommends that an insurance professional be consulted.

File No. 2132800112

Supplemental Addendum

File No	ш	JBMD052722A
THE INC.	JL	JOIVIDUUZIZZA

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave		
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code	91401
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		

Further, the cost approach may not be reliable indication of replacement or reproduction cost for any date other than the effective date of this appraisal due to the changing costs of labor and materials and due to changing building codes and governmental regulations and requirements.

As of the date of this appraisal, any impact on real estate market conditions from COVID-19 is immeasurable. Market conditions and trends require a sufficient time and quantity of data to fully analyze. It would be reasonable to assume some impact on supply and demand; however, at this time, there is insufficient evidence that would allow us to formulate a conclusion. Prior virus outbreaks did not have any lasting impact since viral outbreaks have a limited timeline on interruption on business activity.

At the time of inspection, there was no noticeable damage to the subject property due to any recent weather related disasters, floods or fires. There has been no effect on value or marketability of the subject.

SIGNIFICANT PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANCE: Jacob Bosco has provided assistance with research on market trends, subject inspection, subject & data entry. He was directly supervised by Jennifer Landon. McKenzie Dotson has provided assistance with written analysis of subjects and comparable market improvements. Claire Levine-Dotson provided administrative duties and data entry. They were directly supervised by Jennifer Landon.



Cross Property 360 Property View

6554 Colbath Avenue, Valley Glen, CA 91401

6554 Colbath Ave, Valley Glen 91401

STATUS: Closed

LIST/CLOSE: \$929,000/\$980,000 4

East of Hazeltine, corner of Kittridge and Colbath



FIND: Property Details Community

05/24/2022 : SOLD : P->S

Coople Map data ©2022

BED / BATH: 3/1,0,1,0 SQFT(src): 1,683 (A) PRICE PER SQFT: \$582.29 LOT(src): 8,052/0.1848 (A)

LEVELS: One

GARAGE: 2/Attached YEAR BUILT(src): 1942 (ASR) PROP SUB TYPE: SFR/D DOM / CDOM: 7/7 SLC: Standard, Trust PARCEL #: 2238018011 LISTING ID: SR22090429

Submit Offer

DESCRIPTION

Located on a sweeping large (8052 sq ft) corner lot in Prime Valley Glen. Beautifully loved and maintained by owners of over 50 years. Light and spacious Living room featuring wood or gas burning fireplace. Formal dining room enhanced with custom built in hutches and wood floors. Kitchen features ample cupboards and breakfast area with adjacent laundry room. 3 good size bedrooms, master with two closets and a dressing room with own entrance to full bath. Added back bedroom was custom built with extensive use of knotty Pine wood featuring built in bunk beds, desk, and dresser with nice size closet and exit door. Den features wood floors, beam ceilings, fireplace, bookshelf, closet and a double door to backyard, Could be used for 4th bedroom. Even though this home does not have air there are 3 ceiling fans, an attic fan and a retractable awning off the den. Copper repipe and mainline done in 2002, and 10 feet of sewer line replace in 2007. Low maintenance backyard with brick patio area and covered patio when awning is out. Large separate cement slab area next to 2 car garage. The possibilities are endless for this property, room to add an ADU or 2nd home? Check with the city.

EXCLUSIONS:

INCLUSIONS: stove, washer, dryer, fridge

AREA: VG - Valley Glen SUBDIVISION: /
COUNTY: Los Angeles SENIOR COMMUNITY?: No CERTIFIED 433A?: LIST \$ ORIGINAL: \$929,000
BASEMENT SQFT:
COMMON WALLS: No Common

Walls PARKING: HORSE:

PROBATE AUTHORITY:

ROOM TYPE: All Bedrooms Down, Converted Bedroom, Formal Entry EATING AREA: Dining Room, In

Kitchen

COOLING: None HEATING: Floor Furnace VIEW: None

COMMON INTEREST: None

WATERFRONT: LAUNDRY: Dryer Included, Gas Dryer Hookup, Individual Room, Washer Hookup, Washer Included

PROP SUB TYPE: Single Family Residence (Detached)

INTERIOR

INTERIOR: Beamed Ceilings, Ceiling Fan(s), Copper Plumbing Full, Tile

Counters
MAIN LEVEL BEDROOMS: 3 MAIN LEVEL BATHROOMS: 2 ACCESSIBILITY: APPLIANCES: Dishwasher, Electric

STRUCTURE TYPE: House

Range, Free-Standing Range, Disposal, Gas Water Heater, Refrigerator, Solar Hot Water KITCHEN FEATURES: Tile Counters BATHROOM FEATURES: Bathtub, Sho Linen Closet/Storage, Separate tub and shower, Tile Counters

FLOORING: Carpet, Vinyl, Wood ENTRY LOC/ENTRY LVL: / FIREPLACE: Den, Living Room

EXTERIOR

EXTERIOR: FENCING: DIRECTION FACES: SECURITY:

LOT: 0-1 Unit/Acre, Sprinklers SEWER: Public Sewer, Sewer Paid In Front, Sprinklers In Rear, Sprinklers Manual POOL: None

PATIO/PORCH: Brick SPA: None

BUILDING

MLS Listings - Page 2

ROOF: FOUNDATION DTLS: **BUILDER NAME:** ARCH STYLE: Traditional CONSTR MTLS: MAKE: DOOR: OTHER STRUCT: BUILD MODEL: WINDOW: Wood Frames PROP COND: NEW CONSTRUCTION YN: No TAX MODEL: GARAGE AND PARKING ATTACHED GARAGE?: Attached UNCOVERED SPACES: PARKING TOTAL: 2 GARAGE SPACES: 2 CARPORT SPACES: # REMOTES: RV PARK DIM: **GREEN** GREEN ENERGY GEN: GREEN ENERGY EFF: GREEN SUSTAIN: GREEN WTR CONSERV: POWER PRODUCTION GREEN VERIFICATION: No POWER PRODUCTION: No COMMUNITY # OF UNITS: 1 HOA FEE: \$0 HOA PHONE: HOA NAME: HOA NAME 2: # UNITS IN COMMUNITY: HOA FEE 2: **HOA PHONE 2:** HOA FEE 3: COMMUNITY: Gutters HOA NAME 3: HOA PHONE 3: STORIES TOTAL: 1 HOA AMENITIES: HOA MANAGEMENT NAME: HOA MANAGEMENT NAME 2: HOA MANAGEMENT NAME 3: LAND LAND LEASE?: No PARCEL #: 2238018011 ADDITIONAL APN(s): No LAND LEASE AMOUNT: UTILITIES: Electricity TAX LOT: 11 LAND LEASE AMT FREQ: LAND LEASE PURCH?: Connected, Natural Gas Connected, Sewer Connected, TAX BLOCK: TAX TRACT #: 12743 ZONING: LAR1 Water Connected
ELECTRIC: 220V Other - See LAND LEASE RENEW: TAX OTHER ASSESSMENT: \$280 Remarks TAX OTHER ASSESS SOURCE: Estimated WATER SOURCE: Public LOT SIZE DIM: ASSESSMENTS: None SCHOOL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT: Los Angeles ELEMENTARY: MIDDLE/JR HIGH: HIGH SCHOOL: Unified **ELEMENTARY OTHER:** MIDDLE/JR HIGH OTHER: HIGH SCHOOL OTHER: LISTING DATES BAC: 2.5% LIST CONTRACT DATE: 05/03/22 TERMS: Cash, Conventional BAC RMRKS: DUAL/VARI COMP?: No LIST AGRMT: Exclusive Right To Sell
LIST SERVICE: Full Service START SHOWING DATE: ON MARKET DATE: 05/03/22 PRICE CHG TIMESTAMP: STATUS CHG TIMESTAMP: 05/24/22 LEASE CONSIDERED?: No CURRENT FINANCING: AD NUMBER: DISCLOSURES INTERNET, AVM?/COMM?: Yes/Yes
INTERNET?/ADDRESS?: Yes/Yes
NEIGHBORHOOD MARKET REPORT YN?: Yes POSSESSION: MOD TIMESTAMP: 05/24/22 EXPIRED DATE: 07/29/22 PURCH CONTRACT DATE: 05/10/22 SIGN ON PROPERTY?: Yes CONTINGENCY LIST: Standard Contract Contingencies CLOSE DATE: 05/24/22 PRIVATE REMARKS: Elderly man passed away on property in April 2021. Seller reserves the right to rent back for 29 days if needed. Seller has requested no buyer letters to be submitted. information regarding zoning, permits, condition of property, features, square footage, etc. for this property provided by the seller, agents or obtained from public records & other sources, buyer is advised to independently verify the accuracy of that information & personally satisfy themselves through personal inspection conducted with appropriate professionals or inquiring to qualified local municipalities. SHOWING INFORMATION SHOW CONTACT TYPE: Agent LOCK BOX LOCATION: front door OCCUPANT TYPE: Owner
SHOW CONTACT NAME: Linda LOCK BOX TYPE: Supra OWNER'S NAME: Ellen
SHOW CONTACT PH: 818-4061543
SHOW INSTRUCTIONS: Must make appointment with listing agent Linda 818-4061543. Do not go without appointment with Linda. Please us Den
door to access backyard. Do not open Kitchen door. Please be sure and lock all doors. Thank you
DIRECTIONS: East of Hazeltine, corner of Kittridge and Colbath CONTACT PRIORITY AGENT / OFFICE LA: (F210057225) Linda Carreon CoLA: 1.LO PHONE: **818-728-2200**2.LA CELL: **818-406-1543**3.LA DIRECT:
4.LA TOLL FREE:
5.LA VOICEMAIL: LA State License: 00569297 CoLA State License: LO: (F4375001) Dilbeck Real Estate LO PHONE: 818-728-2200Ext:0 LO State License: 01345642 LO FAX: 818-728-2345 CoLO State License: CoLO: CoLO FAX: CoLO PHONE: 6.LA EMAIL: lindacarreon@earthlink.net Offers Email: lindacarreon@earthlink.net COMPARABLE INFORMATION CLOSE PRICE: \$980,000 BUYER FINANCING: Cash BA: (F210003744) CoBA: ()

MLS Listings - Page 3

LIST PRICE: \$929,000 LIST \$ ORIGINAL: \$929,000 PURCH CONTRACT DATE: 05/10/22 DOM/CDOM: 7/7

Thomas Sidell
BO: RE/MAX One
BA State License: 01214630
BO State License: 00965994

CoBO: CoBA State License: CoBO State License:

CONCESSIONS \$: \$0 CONCESSION CMTS: none COE DATE: 05/24/22

AGENT FULL: Residential LISTING ID: SR22090429 Printed by Jennifer Landon, State Lic: 01281552 on 05/30/2022 3:33:58 PM

Jennifer Landon | BROKER | California

File No. 2132800112

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

2132800112
File No. JLJBMD052722A

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl				prevale	ent in the sub	ject			
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appra Property Address 6554 Colbath Ave	isal reports with an effectiv	e date on or after April 1, City Van Nuy		Sta	te CA	7ID	Code 91 4	101	
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC		ony van Nuy	/S	Jic	ile CA	LII	code 914	ЮТ	
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information rec	quired on this form as the b	asis for his/her conclusion	ns, and must provide support	for the	se conclusio	ons, re	garding		
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported	d in the Neighborhood secti	ion of the appraisal report	form. The appraiser must fill	in all th	e information	n to the	e extent		
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as it									
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources wil	•								
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required infor									
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp		, , , , ,	•	sed by	a prospective	e buy e	er of the		
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anoma Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months			Ove	erall Trend		
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	135	30	61		Increasing		Stable		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	22.50	10.00	20.33		Increasing	=	Stable	Ħ	Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	6	12	32		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	0.3	1.2	1.6		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months				rall Trend		
Median Comparable Sale Price	\$1,245,000	\$1,145,000	\$1,350,000		Increasing	_	Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	9	13	7	$\perp \!$	Declining	_	Stable	Н	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	\$1,274,950	\$1,399,350	\$1,299,450		Increasing	_	Stable	H	Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market Median Sale Price as % of List Price	110 110.67%	38	17		Declining Increasing		Stable Stable	H	Increasing Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance		109.10% No	113.91%	╁	Declining	-	Stable	H	Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas			m 3% to 5%, increasing use of	of buyd					moreasing
fees, options, etc.). Generally typical seller				-		-		s 39	6
and has not increased or decreased over					ing coole.		o moan i	00,	<u> </u>
	p								
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market	? Yes 🔀 No	o If yes, explain (inclu	ding the trends in listings and	d sales	of foreclosed	d prope	erties).		
A monthly analysis was performed on 88 of	competing sales ove	r the past 12 month	ns. For those sales, a	total	of 0.0% w	vere i	reported	to b	e
REO.									
Cite data sources for above information. Inform	nation reported in the	CRMI S system (i	using an effective date	of w	ıas utilize	d to a	arrive		
THOM	iation reported in the					u io i			
at the results noted on this addendum. An	v percent change res	sults noted in these	comments are based	d on s	imple rea	iressi			
at the results noted on this addendum. An	y percent change res	sults noted in these	comments are based	d on s	imple reg	ressi			
at the results noted on this addendum. An Summarize the above information as support for your co							ion.		
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	rood section of the apprais our conclusions, provide bo	sal report form. If you used a oth an explanation and suppo	ny addi rt for y	tional inform our conclusion	ation,	such as		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray The data and opinions noted above indica	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab	nood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide boility in this area. Bas	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and suppo sed on comparable in	ny addi rt for y forma	tional inform our conclusion	ation, ons.	such as		
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab	nood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide boility in this area. Bas	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and suppo sed on comparable in	ny addi rt for y forma	tional inform our conclusion	ation, ons.	such as		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thr	nood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide boility in this area. Bas	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and suppo sed on comparable in	ny addi rt for y forma	tional inform our conclusion	ation, ons.	such as		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray The data and opinions noted above indica	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thr	nood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide boility in this area. Bas	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and suppo sed on comparable in	ny addi rt for y forma	tional inform our conclusion	ation, ons.	such as		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thr	nood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide boility in this area. Bas	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and suppo sed on comparable in	ny addi rt for y forma	tional inform our conclusion	ation, ons.	such as		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thr	nood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide boility in this area. Bas	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and suppo sed on comparable in	ny addi rt for y forma	tional inform our conclusion	ation, ons.	such as		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indica that the majority of comparable properties	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thr	nood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide boility in this area. Bas	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and suppo sed on comparable in	ny addi rt for y forma	tional inform our conclusion	ation, ons.	such as		
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicathat the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tre	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thr nd is stable.	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo ility in this area. Bas ee and six months,	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and suppo sed on comparable in	ny addi rt for y forma	tional inform our conclusion	ation, ons.	such as		
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicathat the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tre	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thr nd is stable.	nood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound illity in this area. Basee and six months,	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are	ny addi rt for y forma e app	tional inform our conclusion	acted	such as		
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicathat the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thr nd is stable.	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo ility in this area. Bas ee and six months,	sal report form. If you used a oth an explanation and suppo sed on comparable in provided that they are	ny addi rt for y forma e app	tional inform our conclusion ation extra ropriately	ons. acted price	such as I, it is to a sed and r		seted.
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicathat the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thr nd is stable.	nood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound illity in this area. Basee and six months,	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are	ny addi rt for y forma e app	tional inform our conclusion ation extra ropriately	ons. Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r		ceted. Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicathat the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thr nd is stable.	nood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound illity in this area. Basee and six months,	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are	ny addi rt for y forma e app	tional inform our conclusion ation extra ropriately Increasing Increasing	ons. Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable		Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicathat the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thr nd is stable.	nood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound illity in this area. Basee and six months,	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are	ny addi rt for y forma e app	tional inform our conclusion ation extra ropriately Increasing Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicathat the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrax. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled). Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months). Total # of Active Comparable Listings. Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate). Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicathat the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrax. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled). Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months). Total # of Active Comparable Listings. Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate). Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrax. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled). Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months). Total # of Active Comparable Listings. Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate). Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrax. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled). Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months). Total # of Active Comparable Listings. Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate). Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrax. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled). Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months). Total # of Active Comparable Listings. Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate). Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months	prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	g: NA Prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrax. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled). Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months). Total # of Active Comparable Listings. Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate). Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	g: NA Prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	g: NA Prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	g: NA Prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	g: NA Prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	g: NA Prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	g: NA Prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	g: NA Prior 4–6 Months	sal report form. If you used an oth an explanation and supposed on comparable in provided that they are Project N Current – 3 Months	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	g: NA Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the r	Project M Current – 3 Months number of REO listings and ex	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Jennifer L. Landon	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	g: NA Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the r Signature Supervisory	Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the Appraisals Inc Signature Appraiser Name Company Name Jennifer L. Landon Sunwest Appraisals Inc	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ?	ility in this area. Base e and six months, If yes, indicate the results of the supervisory company National Signature Signature Supervisory Company National Signature Supervisory Company National Signature Supervisory Company National Signature	Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name ame	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrax. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact of Summarize the above trends and address the impact of Summarize the above trends and address the impact of Summarize the above trends and address the	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab is would sell within thre and is stable. oject , complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ?	incod section of the appraisur conclusions, provide buility in this area. Basee and six months, Is NA Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the results of the signature of the supervisory of the superviso	Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name ame ddress	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ove	erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The data and opinions noted above indicat that the majority of comparable properties. As indicated above, the overall market tree. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. NA Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the Appraisals Inc Signature Appraiser Name Company Name Jennifer L. Landon Sunwest Appraisals Inc	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you te overall some stab s would sell within thre and is stable. oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No the subject unit and project the subject unit and project ste 200, Burbank, CA State CA	ility in this area. Basee and six months, Is NA Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the results of the supervisory Company National Supervisory Company As 91502 Company As 91502 Company As 91502	Appraiser Name ame didress sel/Certification #	ny addirt for y	tional informour conclusion extra ropriately Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ove	such as I, it is to a ed and r erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	mark	Declining Declining Increasing

2132800112 File No. JLJBMD052722A

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.*

*Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

06

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text


Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
С	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
е	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
		View
LtdSght	Limited Sight	
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn N	Mountain View	View Location & View
	Neutral	
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
0	Other D. L. V.	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear


Location Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave				
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91401	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				




Location Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave				
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91401	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				



Plat Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave				
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91401	
Londor/Cliont	Wedgewood Inc				



Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC					
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave					
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code	91401	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc					



Subject Front

6554 Colbath Ave

Sales Price

1,683 Gross Living Area Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 N;Res; Location View N;Res; 8052 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 80



Subject Street



Subject Alternate Front Angle

Photograph Addendum

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91401
Landar/Cliant	Wedgewood Inc			





Reverse Street SIde





Side Side

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91401
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Comparable 1

6609 Burnet Ave


Prox. to Subject 1.54 miles W Sale Price 975,000 Gross Living Area 1,724 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 2 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 8100 sf Site Quality Q4 68 Age



Comparable 2

6645 Lemona Ave

Prox. to Subject 1.35 miles W 1,106,500 Sale Price Gross Living Area 1,786 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 8100 sf Quality Q4 Age 72



Comparable 3

15016 Hartland St

1.41 miles W Prox. to Subject Sale Price 848,000 Gross Living Area 1,741 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location A;BsyRd; N;Res; View 6751 sf Site Quality Q4 73 Age

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91401
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Comparable 4

6636 Tobias Ave

0.89 miles W Prox. to Subject Sale Price 895,000 Gross Living Area 1,638 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View 6543 sf Site Quality Q4 68 Age



Comparable 5

6724 Tobias Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.90 miles W 925,000 Sale Price Gross Living Area 1,728 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 8100 sf Quality Q4 Age 69



Comparable 6

15028 Marlin Pl

Prox. to Subject 1.46 miles W 995,000 Sale Price Gross Living Area 1,520 Total Rooms 7 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 6700 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 72

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	6554 Colbath Ave			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91401
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Comparable 7

14605 Hart St


1.00 miles NW Prox. to Subject Sale Price 899,900 1,655 Gross Living Area Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 2 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View 10103 sf Site Quality Q4 85 Age

Comparable 8

Prox. to Subject Sale Price Gross Living Area Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms Location View Site Quality Age

Comparable 9

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Ouality
Age



HUDSON INSURANCE COMPANY

100 William Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10038



REAL ESTATE PROFESSIONAL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY DECLARATIONS

NOTICE: THIS IS A "CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED" POLICY. THIS POLICY REQUIRES THAT A CLAIM BE MADE AGAINST THE INSURED DURING THE POLICY PERIOD AND REPORTED TO THE INSURER, IN WRITING, DURING THE POLICY PERIOD OR AUTOMATIC EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD.

THIS POLICY MAY CONTAIN PROVISIONS WHICH LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF CLAIM EXPENSES THE INSURER IS RESPONSIBLE TO PAY IN CONNECTION WITH CLAIMS. CLAIM EXPENSES SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ANY DEDUCTIBLE AMOUNT. THE PAYMENT OF CLAIM EXPENSES WILL REDUCE THE LIMITS OF LIABILITY STATED IN ITEM 4. OF THE DECLARATIONS. PLEASE READ YOUR POLICY CAREFULLY.

PLEASE READ THIS POLICY CAREFULLY.

Policy Number: PRA-1RE-1000218 Renewal of: PRA-1RE-10000 24

 Named Insured: SunWest Appraisals, Inc. (including Predecessor Entities and DBA's)

2. Address: 303 N Glenoaks Blvd Suite 200

Burbank, CA 91502

3. Policy Period: From: March 16, 2022 To: March 16, 2023

12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Number 2 above

4. Limit of Liability

A. Per Claim: \$1,000,000 B. Aggregate: \$1,000,000

5. Deductible: \$5,000 Each Claim

6. Policy Premium: \$1,820.00 State Taxes / Surcharges: \$0.00

7. Retroactive Date: Full Prior Acts

8. Notice to Company: Notice of a Claim or Potential Claim should be sent to:

Hudson Insurance Group 100 William Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10038 Fax: 646-216-3786

Email: hudsonclaims300@hudsoninsgroup.com

9. A. Program Administrator: Riverton Insurance Agency Corp.

B. Agent/Broker: ALIA

t 2. Sallog

800-882-4410

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, We have caused this policy to be executed by our President and our Corporate Secretary at New York, New York

President

Secretary

Ina Darkie

PRA102 (01/20)