# Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

32928972 File# 220612w

	The purpose of this s	ummary appraisal rep	ort is to prov	vide the lender.	/client with a	n accurate, and adequ	ately supported,	opinion of the r	narket value	of the subject	property.
	Property Address 34	75 Kenvon Dr				City Santa C	lara	Sta	ate CA	Zip Code 950	)51
	Borrower Redwood			Owne	er of Public Red			Со	unty Sant		
	Legal Description T <sub>I</sub>	2492 Lot 104									
	Assessor's Parcel #	293-06-058				Tax Year 2021				1,133	
CT		Santa Clara				Map Reference	41940		nsus Tract :	5061.01	
SUBJECT	Occupant Owner	Tenant X Vac			ial Assessment	s \$ 0		PUD HOA \$ (	) [	per year	per month
ΣÚΒ	Property Rights Apprais		Leaseho		er (describe)						
U)	Assignment Type	Purchase Transaction	Refin	ance Transactio		er (describe) Servici					
		gewood Inc.				5 Manhattan Beac					
	, , ,					onths prior to the effective			<u>X</u>		
	Report data source(s) u with 13 DOM.	seu, onenng price(s), ar	iu uaie(s).	DOM 6;F	keal Quest,	MLSL#81892488	Subject was	purchased on	06/10/202	22 for \$1,750.	,000
		analyze the contract for	r sale for the su	ihiect nurchase	transaction Ev	plain the results of the ar	nalysis of the con	tract for sale or why	v the analysis	was not	
	performed.	analyze the contract for	Saic for the St	ibject purchase	tiansaction. La	piani inc results of the ar	idiyələ or tile com	liaction sale of wing	y tric dridiysis	was not	
ဌ	-										
RΑ	Contract Price \$	Date of Cor	ntract	Is th	ne property sell	er the owner of public re	cord?	Yes 🗌 No Data	Source(s)		
CONTRACT	Is there any financial as	sistance (loan charges,	sale concessio	ns, gift or down	payment assist	ance, etc.) to be paid by	any party on beh	alf of the borrower?	?	Yes	No
ဗ	If Yes, report the total d	ollar amount and describ	e the items to	be paid.							
		ial composition of the	neighborhoo	d are not appra						_	
		rhood Characteristics				Jnit Housing Trends		One-Unit	Housing	Present Lan	
	Location Urban	Suburban	Rural	Property Value			Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	80 %
Q	Built-Up X Over 75		Under 25%	Demand/Suppl	_		_=	-	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	5 %
ᅙ	Growth Rapid	Stable	Slow	Marketing Time			Over 6 mtl			Multi-Family	5 %
양	Neighborhood Boundari		d by Home	stead Rd. no	orth, Kiely E	Blvd east, Prunerid	ge Ave.	3,900 Hig		Commercial	5 %
9	south, and Lawren Neighborhood Descripti				- i	ilaa and nrayidaa	for good on	2,200 Pre		Other	5 %
<b>NEIGHBORHOOD</b>	-					niles, and provides compatibility, gene					
Ž		on, recreation raci etrimental conditio			-		rai appearan	се, апо арреа	i to the ma	arket is good.	
		iding support for the abo				the homes in the s	uhiect's mark	cet seament th	at were lie	sted since 02/	/2022
						s such as average					
	market. Propertie					o odon do dirondgo	<u> </u>		00701 410	1) p. 10 a. 0. a. 0	10.0.0
	Dimensions 62 ft x 1				ea 6262 sf	SI	nape Rectang	gular/Typical	View N	;Res;Res	
	Specific Zoning Classific					Single Family R					
	Zoning Compliance	<del>- • - •</del>	<u> </u>	Grandfathered Us		Zoning 🔲 Illegal (desc					
	Is the highest and best	ise of subject property a	ıs improved (or	as proposed pe	er plans and sp	ecifications) the present	use?	X Yes N	o If No, des	scribe	
	Utilities Public	Other (describe)				er (describe)		mprovements - Type	9		Private
SITE	Electricity X Gas			Water	X [	]	Street A	_		<u> </u>	+
U)	Gas X FEMA Special Flood Ha	ard Area Yes		Sanitary Sewer EMA Flood Zone		FEMA Map # O	Alley N 6085C0228H	lone	FEMA Man	Date 05/18/2	2000
	Are the utilities and off-				X Yes [	No If No, describe	0000002201	1	i Livia iviap	Date 05/16/2	2009
						mental conditions, land u	ses, etc.)?	Yes	No	If Yes, describe	
	J			·	·	,				·	
	-	sical Characteristics of P	roperty [	Appraisal Fil	es 🔀 MI	S Assessment an	d Tax Records	Prior Inspec	tion	Property Owner	
		Parcelquest				Data Source for Gro		Parcelquest			
	General D	•		eneral Descrip		Heating/Cooling		Amenities		Car Storage	
		e with Accessory Unit	Concret		awl Space	FWA HWBB		replace(s) # 1			
	# of Stories 2	C D-1 /5- 411-2	Full Base		Finished	Radiant		/oodstove(s) # (		,	
	Type X Det. Att		Exterior Wall		Finished	Other Gas		atio/Deck 1	Driveway  Gara	Surface Concr ge # of Ca	
		aditional	Roof Surface	0.0	cco/Wood	Fuel Gas  Central Air Condition		orch None  None	Carp	-	
	Year Built 19			wnspouts Met	ngle tal	Individual		ence 3	✓ Attac		ached
	Effective Age (Yrs) 35	<u> </u>	Window Typ	•		Mone None		ther None	Built		uonou
	Appliances Refrige	rator X Range/Oven				icrowave X Washer		ner (describe)			
Ş	Finished area above gra		8 Rooms		5 Bedrooms	3.0 Bath		,902 Square Fee	et of Gross Liv	ving Area Above G	Grade
돔	Additional features (spe					cal amenities for its				3	
MΕ					,,		<u> </u>				
õ	Describe the condition of	f the property and data s	source(s) (inclu	ıding apparent n	eeded repairs,	deterioration, renovation	s, remodeling, etc	c.). C4;	;No major	deferred	
IMPROVEMENTS	maintenance note	<ul> <li>d. Physical depre</li> </ul>	ciation is ty	pical wear 8	tear for its	age. Floor plan, l	kitchen, and a	amenities are t	ypical of t	ne neighborh	ood,
≦	and well accepted	in the local marke	et. No func	tional depre	ciation was	noted. No externa	al depreciatio	n was observe	ed.		
	Are there any apparent	husical deficiencies or	dvorco conditi	one that affect th	ho livability co	undness, or structural int	agrity of the prope	orty?	Yes	<b>Z</b> I No.	
	If Yes, describe.	inysical deliciencies of a	auverse conun	UIIS IIIAI AIIECI II	ie iivability, soi	andress, or structural life	egnty of the prope	erty :	les N	INO	
	ii ies, uesciibė.										
	Does the property gener	ally conform to the neigl	nborhood (func	tional utility, sty	le, condition, u	se, construction, etc.)?		X Yes No	If No, descril	pe.	
	Does the property gener	ally conform to the neigl	nborhood (func	tional utility, sty	le, condition, u	se, construction, etc.)?		X Yes No	If No, descril	De.	

# Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 32928972 File # 220612w

There are 17 comparable	nronarties currently	offered for sale in	the subject neighborh	ood ranging in pric	ce from \$ 1,630,00	n	to \$ 2.0	150,000
							to \$ 3,	
					price from \$ 1,470,	000		3,290,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAE	BLE SALE # 1	COMPAR	ABLE SALE # 2		COMPARAE	BLE SALE # 3
Address 3475 Kenyon Dr		663 Tulane Dr		736 Pomeroy	Ave	3195	Temple Ct	t
Santa Clara, CA S	95051	Santa Clara, CA	95051	Santa Clara, C			a Clara, CA	
Proximity to Subject	33031		1 33031		77 33031		•	( 3303 1
, ,	<b>^</b>	0.07 miles S	<u></u>	0.26 miles E	h		miles SE	<u></u>
Sale Price	\$		\$ 2,436,000		\$ 2,200,000			\$ 2,199,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 1165.55 sq.ft.		\$ 1039.70 sq	.ft.	\$ 1	136.43 sq.ft	
Data Source(s)		MLSL#8188133	5;DOM 23	MLSL#818811	118;DOM 43	MLS	L#8188608	6:DOM 12
Verification Source(s)		PQ, DOC# 2529		PQ, DOC# 252			DOC# 2530	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment
	DESCRIF HON		+(-) \$ Aujustinient		+(-) \$ Aujustinent			+ (-) \$ Aujustinent
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		Arml		
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0		Conv	/;0	
Date of Sale/Time		s05/22;c04/22		s04/22;c04/22		s05/2	22;c05/22	
Location	N;Res;Res	N;Res;Res		A;BsvRd;Res	+50,000			
					100,000		•	
,	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple			Simple	
	6262 sf	6000 sf	0	6300 sf	0	8000	) sf	-8,690
View	N;Res;Res	N;Res;Res		N;Res;Res		N;Re	s;Res	
Design (Style)	DT2;Traditional	DT2;Traditional		DT2:Traditiona	al	DT2:	Traditional	
	Q3	Q3		Q3		Q3		
,								
	61	61		61		59		0
	C4	C3	-10,000		-10,000			-10,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Bath	hs	Total	Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	8 5 3.0	8 5 3.0		8 5 3.0	0	6	3 2.0	+20,000
Gross Living Area	1,902 sq.ft.		-22,560				1,935 sq.ft	
-			-22,560		<u></u> -∠5,680		1,930 Sq.II	. 0
	0sf	0sf		0sf		0sf		
Rooms Below Grade								
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Aver	age	
Heating/Cooling	FWA/None	FWA/None		FWA/CAC	-5,000			F 000
Francis February					-5,000			-5,000
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None		None	9	
Garage/Carport	2ga	2ga		2ga		2ga		
Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio	Patio		Patio		Patio	)	
Fireplaces	1	1		4		1		
riiepiaces	•	<u> </u>		1		<u> </u>		
Pool/Spa	No	No		Yes	-20,000			
Upgrades	Typical for age	Kitchen&baths	-50,000	Kitchen&baths	-50,000	Kitch	en&baths	-50,000
Net Adjustment (Total)			\$ -82,560	_ + 🗶 -	\$ -60,680		+ 🗶 -	\$ -53,690
,,		Net Adj. 3,4 %						00,000
Adjusted Sale Price					% 1	I Net An	i 2.4%	
Heating/Cooling Energy Efficient Items Garage/Carport Porch/Patio/Deck Fireplaces Pool/Spa Upgrades Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I did did not research to	he sale or transfer histo	Gross Adj. 3.4 %			% \$ 2,139,320	Net Ad Gross		
or Comparables  I  did  did not research the did not resea	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the su	\$ 2,353,440 erty and comparable sale	Gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain ree years prior to the	% \$ 2,139,320	Gross raisal.		
My research  did  did not research the  did not	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the su	\$ 2,353,440 erty and comparable sale	Gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain ree years prior to the	% \$ 2,139,320	Gross raisal.		
My research  did  did not research th  My research  did  did not research th  My research  did  did not not research th  My research  did  did not not research th	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properties or transfers or transfers of the subject properties or transfers or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers or transfers of the subject properties or transfers or transfe	\$ 2,353,440 erty and comparable sale bject property for the the	Gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain ree years prior to the year prior to the date	% \$ 2,139,320	Gross raisal.	Adj. 4.3 %	
My research did did not research the  My research did did not research the  My research did did not research the  My research did did not research the research the research did not research the research the research the research did not research the	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject or transfers of the corr sale or transfer history.	\$ 2,353,440 erty and comparable sale bject property for the the emparable sales for the y of the subject property	Gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain ree years prior to the year prior to the date	% \$ 2,139,320 e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior	raisal. e sale.	Adj. 4.3 %	\$ 2,145,310
My research  did  did not research th  My research  did  did not research th  My research  did  did not research th  My research  did  did not research  did not research  did  did not research  did  did not research  did  did not research  did  did not research  did not research  did  did not research  did not research  did  did not  research  did  did not  research  did  did not  research  did  did not  research  did  did not  did no	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properties or transfers or transfers of the subject properties or transfers or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers or transfers of the subject properties or transfers or transfe	\$ 2,353,440 erty and comparable sale bject property for the the	Gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain ree years prior to the year prior to the date	% \$ 2,139,320	raisal. e sale.	Adj. 4.3 %	
My research did did not research the  My research did did not research the  My research did did not research the  My research did did not research the research the research did not research the research the research the research did not research the	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 3.4 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the su  es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer histor  JBJECT	\$ 2,353,440 erty and comparable sale bject property for the the emparable sales for the y of the subject property	Gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain ree years prior to the year prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1	% \$ 2,139,320 e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior	raisal. e sale.	Adj. 4.3 %	\$ 2,145,310
My research  did  did not research th  My research  did  did not research th  My research  did  did not research th  My research  did  did not research  did not research  did  did not research  did  did not research  did  did not research  did  did not research  did not research  did  did not research  did not research  did  did not  research  did  did not  research  did  did not  research  did  did not  research  did  did not  did no	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 3.4 %  Ory of the subject prope  es or transfers of the su  es or transfers of the co  r sale or transfer histor  JBJECT	\$ 2,353,440 erty and comparable sale bject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the year prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1  10/	% \$ 2,139,320 e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: 29/1999	raisal. e sale.	Adj. 4.3 %	\$ 2,145,310
My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research  did	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000	Gross Adj. 3.4 %  ory of the subject proper  es or transfers of the subject or transfers of the country  r sale or transfer history  JBJECT	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sale  bject property for the the  comparable sales for the y  of the subject property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/. \$44	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 29/1999 14,000	raisal. e sale.	Adj. 4.3 %	2,145,310 ARABLE SALE #3
My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research  did n	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property es or transfers of the subject or transfers of the correct or sale or transfer history JBJECT	\$ 2,353,440 erty and comparable sales bject property for the the emparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S 09/28/2004 \$698,000 PQ, DOC# 18021	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/2  \$44  958  PQ	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 29/1999 14,000 , DOC# 15037075	raisal. e sale.	Adj. 4.3 %  page 3).  COMP/	2,145,310  ARABLE SALE #3  C Data
or Comparables  I  did  did not research the did  did not research the did not research t	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property es or transfers of the subject or transfers of the correct or sale or transfer history JBJECT  Data	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  bject property for the the  comparable sales for the comparable sales  y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/2  \$44  958  PQ  06/	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000 , DOC# 15037075 12/2022	raisal.  sale.  sales or	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND( 06/12/202	2,145,310  ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2
My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research  did n	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property and comparable	\$ 2,353,440 erty and comparable sales bject property for the the comparable sales for the comparable sales of the comparable sales for the compara	Gross Adj.   7.3	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000 , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha	gross raisal. e sale. sales or 2	n page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/ND  06/12/202  n 06/10/202	2,145,310  ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for
My research did did not research the Data Source(s) Real Quest My research did did not	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior  06/10/2022 \$1,750,000  MLSL/NDC  06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwise	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property and comparable se, has no listing	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  object property for the the  omparable sales for the comparable sales  y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales  ML  or transfer history	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958 PQ  06/ .SL#81892488 within the past	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #. 29/1999 14,000 , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec	gross raisal. e sale. sales or 2	n page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/ND  06/12/202  n 06/10/202	2,145,310  ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for
My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research  did n	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior  06/10/2022 \$1,750,000  MLSL/NDC  06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwise	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property and comparable se, has no listing	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  object property for the the  omparable sales for the comparable sales  y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales  ML  or transfer history	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958 PQ  06/ .SL#81892488 within the past	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #. 29/1999 14,000 , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec	gross raisal. e sale. sales or 2	n page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/ND  06/12/202  n 06/10/202	2,145,310  ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for
My research did did not research the Data Source(s) Real Quest My research did did not	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior  06/10/2022 \$1,750,000  MLSL/NDC  06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwise	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property and comparable se, has no listing	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  object property for the the  omparable sales for the comparable sales  y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales  ML  or transfer history	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958 PQ  06/ .SL#81892488 within the past	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #. 29/1999 14,000 , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec	gross raisal. e sale. sales or 2	n page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/ND  06/12/202  n 06/10/202	2,145,310  ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for
My research  did  did not research the  did  did not research	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior  06/10/2022 \$1,750,000  MLSL/NDC  06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwise	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property and comparable se, has no listing	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  object property for the the  omparable sales for the comparable sales  y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales  ML  or transfer history	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958 PQ  06/ .SL#81892488 within the past	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #. 29/1999 14,000 , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec	gross raisal. e sale. sales or 2	n page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/ND  06/12/202  n 06/10/202	2,145,310  ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for
My research  did  did not research the  did  did not research	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior  06/10/2022 \$1,750,000  MLSL/NDC  06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwise	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property and comparable se, has no listing	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  object property for the the  omparable sales for the comparable sales  y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales  ML  or transfer history	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1  10/ \$44  958 PQ 06/ .SL#81892488 within the past	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #. 29/1999 14,000 , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec	gross raisal. e sale. sales or 2	n page 3).  COMPA  MLSL/ND  06/12/202  n 06/10/202	2,145,310  ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for
My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research  did n	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the	Gross Adj. 3.4 %  ory of the subject proper  es or transfers of the subject proper  es or transfers of the contract of the con	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  bject property for the the  comparable sales for the y  of the subject property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales  ML  or transfer history  ent list price with t	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/: \$44  958 PQ  06/ .SL#81892488  within the past he current SP/L	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.	raisal. e sale. sales or 2	Adj. 4.3 %  n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  ansactions of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size
My research  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research  did not research	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the	Gross Adj. 3.4 %  ory of the subject proper  es or transfers of the subject proper  es or transfers of the contract of the con	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be property for the the comparable sales for the compara	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/: \$44  958 PQ  06/ .SL#81892488  within the past he current SP/L	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.	raisal.  sales or  assed coent tra	Adj. 4.3 %  n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  ansactions of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size
My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research  did n	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the	Gross Adj. 3.4 %  ory of the subject proper  es or transfers of the subject proper  es or transfers of the contract of the con	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be property for the the comparable sales for the compara	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/: \$44  958 PQ  06/ .SL#81892488  within the past he current SP/L	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.	raisal.  sales or  assed coent tra	Adj. 4.3 %  n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  ansactions of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size
My research  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research  did	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property and comparable see, has no listing nan subject's curres are located with mber of similar si	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be property for the the comparable sales for transfer history ent list price with the comparable sales for	gross Adj. 7.3 es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/3  \$44  958  PQ  06/ SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #. 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is g predominantly smale	raisal. sales or 2 ased compaller raisal	n page 3). COMPA  MLSL/ND 06/12/202 n 06/10/202 ansactions of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1
My research  did  did not research the  did  did not research  did not rese	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior St 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the proach Decen a limited nu to story homes with	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property and comparable see, has no listing nan subject's curres are located with mber of similar sith similar amenitic	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be property for the the comparable sales for the end of the subject property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004 \$698,000 PQ, DOC# 18021 06/12/2022 sales ML  or transfer history ent list price with technic the same mare fize home transactes. C1 through C4	gross Adj. 7.3  es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/2  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale a superior. C2 and C	raisal. sales or 2 ased comaller ra	n page 3). COMPA  MLSL/ND 06/12/202 n 06/10/202 ansactions of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets.
My research  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research the  Data Source(s)  Real Quest  My research  did  did not  did  did not  research  did  did  did not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  not  research  did  did  not  research  did  not  did  not  research  did  not  not  not  not  not  not  not  no	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable se, has no listing han subject's currect of similar sith similar ameniting area difference	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be b	gross Adj. 7.3  es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/1  \$44  958  PQ  06/ SL#81892488  within the past he current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly sma e superior. C2 and C 1, \$5,000 per half ba	raisal.  sale.  sales or  assed commaller ra  C5 are ath, \$1	n page 3). COMPA  MLSL/ND0 06/12/202 n 06/10/202 nnsactions of patible to the anch home on busy st ,000 per ye	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age
My research  did  did not research the  did  did not research  did not rese	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable se, has no listing nan subject's currents are located with motion of similar sith similar ameniting area difference of size difference of size difference	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be bject property for the the comparable sales for the expect property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales ML  or transfer history ent list price with teles. C1 through C4  over 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desired.	gross Adj. 7.3  es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past he current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app  of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999  14,000  , DOC# 15037075  12/2022  Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale e superior. C2 and C  1, \$5,000 per half ba hrough C3 are weig	sales or 2  ssed compaller races compaller races ath, \$1 hed m	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  ansactions of the control of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age
My research  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research the  Data Source(s)  Real Quest  My research  did  did not  did  did not  research  did  did  did not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  did  did  not  research  did  not  research  did  did  not  research  did  not  did  not  research  did  not  not  not  not  not  not  not  no	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable se, has no listing nan subject's currents are located with motion of similar sith similar ameniting area difference of size difference of size difference	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be bject property for the the comparable sales for the expect property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales ML  or transfer history ent list price with teles. C1 through C4  over 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desired.	gross Adj. 7.3  es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past he current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app  of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999  14,000  , DOC# 15037075  12/2022  Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale e superior. C2 and C  1, \$5,000 per half ba hrough C3 are weig	sales or 2  ssed compaller races compaller races ath, \$1 hed m	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  ansactions of the control of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age
My research  did  did not research the  did  did not research  did not rese	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable se, has no listing nan subject's currents are located with motion of similar sith similar ameniting area difference of size difference of size difference	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be bject property for the the comparable sales for the expect property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales ML  or transfer history ent list price with teles. C1 through C4  over 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desired.	gross Adj. 7.3  es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past he current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app  of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999  14,000  , DOC# 15037075  12/2022  Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale e superior. C2 and C  1, \$5,000 per half ba hrough C3 are weig	sales or 2  ssed compaller races compaller races ath, \$1 hed m	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  ansactions of the control of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age
or Comparables    My research   did   did not research the did   did not research   did not research	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the proach All sale been a limited nu to story homes with control of the subject pro story of the subject pro subject, otherwiseen sold higher the proach All sale been a limited nu to story homes with the subject pro story for subject pro subject, otherwiseen sold higher the proach All sale been a limited nu to story homes with the subject pro subject proach All sale been a limited nu to story homes with the subject pro subject proach All sale been a limited nu to story homes with the subject pro subjec	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable se, has no listing nan subject's currents are located with motion of similar sith similar ameniting area difference of size difference of size difference	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be bject property for the the comparable sales for the expect property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales ML  or transfer history ent list price with teles. C1 through C4  over 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desired.	gross Adj. 7.3  es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past he current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app  of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999  14,000  , DOC# 15037075  12/2022  Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale e superior. C2 and C  1, \$5,000 per half ba hrough C3 are weig	sales or 2  ssed compaller races compaller races ath, \$1 hed m	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  ansactions of the control of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age
My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research the My research  did  did not research  did n	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the proach All sale been a limited nu to story homes with f.t. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for lo	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable se, has no listing nan subject's currents are located with motion of similar sith similar ameniting area difference of size difference of size difference	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be bject property for the the comparable sales for the expect property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales ML  or transfer history ent list price with teles. C1 through C4  over 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desired.	gross Adj. 7.3  es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past he current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app  of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999  14,000  , DOC# 15037075  12/2022  Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale e superior. C2 and C  1, \$5,000 per half ba hrough C3 are weig	sales or 2  ssed compaller races compaller races ath, \$1 hed m	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  ansactions of the control of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age
or Comparables    My research   did   did not research the did   did not research the did   did not research the did   did not research   did not rese	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the been a limited nu to story homes with f.t. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for lo	gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject proper ses or transfers of the subject proper ses or transfers of the contract of the subject of the subj	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales for the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE SO9/28/2004 \$698,000 PQ, DOC# 18021 06/12/2022 sales ML or transfer history ent list price with the same mar fize home transact es. C1 through C4 over 100 sf, \$5,00 for over 1,000sf desale prices differ be	gross Adj. 7.3  es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the cons in subject's 's upgrades are 20 per bedroom ifference. C1 the y more than 15	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #. 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale superior. C2 and C  , \$5,000 per half bathrough C3 are weig % of subject's mark	sales or 2  ased compaller races compaller races are ath, \$1 hed many cet value.	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  ansactions of the control of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.
or Comparables    My research   did   did not research the	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the proach All sale been a limited nu to story homes with ft. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for le condendations on Approach \$2 arison Approach \$2	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be property for the the comparable sales for the end of the subject property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004 \$698,000 PQ, DOC# 18021 06/12/2022 sales ML  or transfer history ent list price with the same mare fize home transactes. C1 through C4 over 100 sf, \$5,000 for over 1,000sf desale prices differ be cost Approach (if deven	gross Adj. 7.3  es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/2  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the fons in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th y more than 15	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec .P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale be subject property is a predominantly smale c superior. C2 and C 1, \$5,000 per half be a hrough C3 are weig % of subject's mark	sales or 2  ssed comaller races th, \$1 hed made to value or oach	Adj. 4.3 %  a page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  an 06/10/202  ansactions of the partiple to the partiple t	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.
or Comparables  I  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research the  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his  \$1,750,000 with 13 DOM.  homes in the area have be  Summary of Sales Comparison Apprinciphorhood. There has be  through C3 are similar two  Adjustments are; \$120/sq.  differences over 5 years, a  Due to the lack of similar he  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison  Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison  MARKET APPROACH is getting.	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior    06/10/2022   \$1,750,000   MLSL/NDC   06/12/2022   story of the subject pro   Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the   or oach	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be be to property for the the comparable sales for the expect property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales  ML  or transfer history  ent list price with t  thin the same mar  ize home transact  es. C1 through C4  over 100 sf, \$5,00  for over 1,000sf desale prices differ b  Cost Approach (if developest reflects action)	gross Adj. 7.3  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/2  \$44  958  PQ  06/ SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the fons in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th y more than 15	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale be subject property is a predomi	sales or 2  ssed comaller races are ath, \$1 hed made to vale or oach devel	Adj. 4.3 %  a page 3).  COMP/  O6/12/202  an O6/10/202  ansactions of the condition of the	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.
or Comparables  I  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research the  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his  \$1,750,000 with 13 DOM.  homes in the area have be  Summary of Sales Comparison Apprinciphorhood. There has be  through C3 are similar two  Adjustments are; \$120/sq.  differences over 5 years, a  Due to the lack of similar he  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison  Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison  MARKET APPROACH is getting.	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior    06/10/2022   \$1,750,000   MLSL/NDC   06/12/2022   story of the subject pro   Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the   or oach	Gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be be to property for the the comparable sales for the expect property  COMPARABLE S  09/28/2004  \$698,000  PQ, DOC# 18021  06/12/2022  sales  ML  or transfer history  ent list price with t  thin the same mar  ize home transact  es. C1 through C4  over 100 sf, \$5,00  for over 1,000sf desale prices differ b  Cost Approach (if developest reflects action)	gross Adj. 7.3  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/2  \$44  958  PQ  06/ SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the fons in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th y more than 15	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale be subject property is a predomi	sales or 2  ssed comaller races are ath, \$1 hed made to vale or oach devel	Adj. 4.3 %  a page 3).  COMP/  O6/12/202  an O6/10/202  ansactions of the condition of the	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.
or Comparables  I  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research the  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his  \$1,750,000 with 13 DOM.  homes in the area have be  Summary of Sales Comparison Apprinciphorhood. There has be  through C3 are similar two  Adjustments are; \$120/sq.  differences over 5 years, a  Due to the lack of similar he  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison  Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison  MARKET APPROACH is getting.	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwise een sold higher the proach All sale been a limited nu to story homes with fit. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for le mome transactions on Approach \$ given predominar ak supporting indicates	gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject of th	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be b	gross Adj. 7.3  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/2  \$44  958  PQ  06/ SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th y more than 15  eloped)\$ 2,20 as of informed b ome. INCOME	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale e subject property is a pre	sale. sale. sales or 2 ssed coment tra scoment tra coment tra come	n page 3). COMP/ O6/12/202 In O6/10/202 In o6/10/203 Insactions of the conditions of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.  COST ble data is not
or Comparables  I  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research the  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his  \$1,750,000 with 13 DOM.  homes in the area have be  Summary of Sales Comparison Apprinciphorhood. There has be  through C3 are similar two  Adjustments are; \$120/sq.  differences over 5 years, a  Due to the lack of similar he  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison  Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison  MARKET APPROACH is getting.	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior 06/10/2022 \$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro Subject, otherwise een sold higher the proach All sale been a limited nu to story homes with fit. for gross living and \$5/sq.ft. for le mome transactions on Approach \$ given predominar ak supporting indicates	gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject of th	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be b	gross Adj. 7.3  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/2  \$44  958  PQ  06/ SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th y more than 15  eloped)\$ 2,20 as of informed b ome. INCOME	% \$ 2,139,320  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is a predominantly smale be subject property is a predomi	sale. sale. sales or 2 ssed coment tra scoment tra coment tra come	n page 3). COMP/ O6/12/202 In O6/10/202 In o6/10/203 Insactions of the conditions of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.  COST ble data is not
or Comparables  I  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research the  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his  \$1,750,000 with 13 DOM.  homes in the area have be  Summary of Sales Comparison Apprinciphorhood. There has be  through C3 are similar two  Adjustments are; \$120/sq.  differences over 5 years, a  Due to the lack of similar he  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison  Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison  MARKET APPROACH is getting.	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior	gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject of the subject properson of the sub	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be b	gross Adj. 7.3  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958  PQ  06/ SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 100 per bedroom ifference. C1 th y more than 15  eloped) \$ 2,20  as of informed b ome. INCOME	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is predominantly smale superior. C2 and C  1, \$5,000 per half bachrough C3 are weig % of subject's mark  106,200 Income App ouyers & sellers in a APPROACH is not	sales or 2  ssed complete transfer tran	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  nnsactions of the control of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.  COST able data is not have been
or Comparables  I  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research the  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his  \$1,750,000 with 13 DOM.  homes in the area have be  Summary of Sales Comparison Apprinciphorhood. There has be  through C3 are similar two  Adjustments are; \$120/sq.  differences over 5 years, a  Due to the lack of similar he  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison  Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison  MARKET APPROACH is getting.	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior    06/10/2022   \$1,750,000   MLSL/NDC   06/12/2022   story of the subject pro   Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the   or oach	gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject of th	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be b	gross Adj. 7.3  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/2  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th y more than 15  eloped)\$ 2,20 as of informed bome. INCOME  in the basis of a land of the condition that the re- condition that the re-	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is s predominantly smale e subject property is s predominantly smal	sales or 2  ssed complete transfer tran	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  nnsactions of the control of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.  COST able data is not have been
or Comparables  I  did  did not research the  My research  did  did not research the  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his  \$1,750,000 with 13 DOM.  homes in the area have be  Summary of Sales Comparison Apprinciphorhood. There has be  through C3 are similar two  Adjustments are; \$120/sq.  differences over 5 years, a  Due to the lack of similar he  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison  Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison  MARKET APPROACH is getting.	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior    06/10/2022   \$1,750,000   MLSL/NDC   06/12/2022   story of the subject pro   Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the   or oach	gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject properson of the subject of th	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be b	gross Adj. 7.3  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/2  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th y more than 15  eloped)\$ 2,20 as of informed bome. INCOME  in the basis of a land of the condition that the re- condition that the re-	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is s predominantly smale e subject property is s predominantly smal	sales or 2  ssed complete transfer tran	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  nnsactions of the control of	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.  COST able data is not have been
or Comparables    My research   Mid   did not research the	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior    06/10/2022   \$1,750,000   MLSL/NDC   06/12/2022   story of the subject pro   Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the   or sol	gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject proper ses or transfers of the subject proper ses or transfers of the ses or transfers of the contract of transfer history. The ses are located with a subject's current ses are located with similar ameniting area difference of size difference	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be b	gross Adj. 7.3  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/2  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are no per bedroom ifference. C1 the y more than 15  eloped) \$ 2,20  as of informed b  pme. INCOME	# 2,139,320  e effective date of this app  of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # 29/1999  14,000  , DOC# 15037075  12/2022  Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is predominantly smale superior. C2 and C  1, \$5,000 per half bachrough C3 are weig % of subject's mark  of subject sin a APPROACH is not  hypothetical condition the pairs or alterations hav ire alteration or repair:	sales or 2  ssed compaller races compaller races at the development of the company of the compan	patible to the anch home a on busy struction oped area. oped-Relia improvements completed, or	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 22 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.  COST able data is not  have been r  subject to the
My research  did  did not research the  did  did not research  did not re	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior    06/10/2022   \$1,750,000   MLSL/NDC   06/12/2022   story of the subject pro   Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the   or oach	gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject proper ses or transfers of the subject proper ses or transfers of the subject of the subject proper ses or transfers of the ses or transfer history. JBJECT  Data  Data  perty and comparable see, has no listing han subject's currular ameniting area difference of size difference of size difference set, some comps' set, and so the set of the subject proper set of the subject property as sumption that the set of the subject property of the subject proper	s 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be b	gross Adj. 7.3  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/  \$44  958  PQ  06/  SL#81892488  within the past the current SP/L  ket area and the cons in subject's 's upgrades are no per bedroom ifference. C1 th y more than 15  eloped) \$ 2,20 as of informed be ome. INCOME  in the basis of a leading to the condition that the re oncy does not requisit the street, definited.	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable tes (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #. 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is predominantly smale superior. C2 and C  , \$5,000 per half back prough C3 are weig % of subject's mark of subject's mark and provided the subject's mark of subject's mark	sale. sales or 2 ssed coment tra scoment tra contact yale oroach devel devel at the e been	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  ansactions of the arch home  on busy stance heavily  ue.  (if developed): oped area. oped- Reliation of the arch home  oped- Reliation of the arch home  oped area. oped- Reliation of the arch home  oped area. oped- Reliation of the arch home  oped area.	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 222 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.  COST able data is not have been r subject to the
My research  did  did not research the  did  did not research did not rese	not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL not reveal any prior sale t, MLSL and analysis of the prior    06/10/2022   \$1,750,000   MLSL/NDC   06/12/2022   story of the subject pro   Subject, otherwiseen sold higher the   or oach	gross Adj. 3.4 % ory of the subject proper ses or transfers of the subject proper ses or transfers of the ses or transfers of the contract of transfer history. The ses or transfers of the contract of transfer history. The ses or transfers of the contract of transfer history. The ses or transfers of the contract of transfer history. The ses or transfers of the subject's current of the ses of the subject property and compared to the ses of the subject property and transfers on the base of the subject property and transfers on the base of the subject property and transfers on the base of the subject property and the subject property and transfers of the subject property an	\$ 2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be be be be be be be be condition or deficie  2,353,440  erty and comparable sales  be b	gross Adj. 7.3  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the date  year prior to the date  and comparable sal  ALE #1  10/1  \$44  958  PQ  06/ SL#81892488  within the past he current SP/L  ket area and the ions in subject's 's upgrades are 00 per bedroom ifference. C1 th y more than 15  eloped) \$ 2,20  as of informed b ome. INCOME  in the basis of a land indition that the real condition that the real  st the street, defined, of the real	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable tes (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #. 29/1999 14,000  , DOC# 15037075 12/2022 Subject was purcha 36 months. All rec P ratio of 118.3%.  e subject property is predominantly smale superior. C2 and C  , \$5,000 per half back prough C3 are weig % of subject's mark of subject's mark and provided the subject's mark of subject's mark	sales or 2  ssed coment tra scoment tra scoment tra contact yellow and the development of	n page 3).  COMP/  MLSL/ND0  06/12/202  n 06/10/202  ansactions of the arch home  on busy stance heavily  ue.  (if developed): oped area. oped- Reliation of the arch home  oped- Reliation of the arch home  oped area. oped- Reliation of the arch home  oped area. oped- Reliation of the arch home  oped area.	ARABLE SALE #3  C Data 2 222 for of similar size  ne area. C1 reets. ear of age for similarities.  COST able data is not have been r subject to the

# Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

32928972 File# 220612w

Based on1004MC data, property values in subject area in recent months	have been stable.						
No employee, director, officer, or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture, partner, independent contractor, appraisal							
managment company, or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted to infulence the development, reporting, result, or review of							
this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or in any other manner.							
I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user(lender/client as indentified on the first page of the report), borrower, or designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property.							
The comp search involves the use of both Realquest/NDC and MLS. Initia	•						
bracketed including GLA, age, and lot size, etc. Since Realquest does no							
searches are done with MLS for data accuracy. Some of MLS data are not are compared against Realquest data. Simple printout of MLS comparab							
accuracy. School area and zip codes are checked to make sure they can	•						
The comparable sale search forcued on sales, listings, and pending sales							
comparables. Sales history; within the past 6 months Range; within 1 mile size Age difference; within 10-15 years difference from the subject age.	range from the subject. GLA differences, within 15% from the subject						
If not sufficient number of comparables eixist, the GLA size difference is e							
history up to 9 months. In order to find more meaningful comparables, sal	es history does not exceed 12 months.						
Other 5% land use represents parks, public buildings, schools, and other	non-residential, non-commercial use.						
It does not have any negative marketability impact for the subject propert							
	(not required by Fannie Mae)						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti-	ns.  Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area,						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.  Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area,						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area land.	ns. mating site value)  Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and sales of the methods for estimated ratio is typical of area and sales of the methods for estimated ratio is typical of area and sales of the methods for estimated ratio is typical of area and sales of the methods for estimated ratio is typical of area and sales of the methods for estimated ratio.	ns. mating site value)  Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,550,000						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area land.	ns. mating site value)  Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estillocal contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Data form Marshall/Swift, In house Data	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,550,000  DWELLING 1,902 Sq.Ft. @\$ 500.00 =\$ 951,000  O Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$  =\$						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculation.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Data form Marshall/Swift, In house Data  Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Inspect Date  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated 2 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,550,000  DWELLING 1,902 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 500.00 = \$ 951,000  O Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$  Garage/Carport 440 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ 40.00 = \$ 17,600						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Data form Marshall/Swift, In house Data  Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Inspect Date  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,550,000  DWELLING 1,902 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 500.00 = \$ 951,000  O Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$  Garage/Carport 440 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ \$ 40.00 = \$ 17,600  Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ 968,600						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculation.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Data form Marshall/Swift, In house Data  Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Inspect Date  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated 2 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,550,000  DWELLING 1,902 Sq.Ft. @\$ 500.00 =\$ 951,000  O Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$  Garage/Carport 440 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @\$ 40.00 =\$ 17,600  Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ 968,600  Less Physical Functional External						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Data form Marshall/Swift, In house Data  Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Inspect Date  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,550,000  DWELLING 1,902 Sq.Ft. @\$ 500.00 =\$ 951,000  O Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$  Garage/Carport 440 Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @\$ 40.00 =\$ 17,600  Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ 968,600  Less Physical Functional External						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Data form Marshall/Swift, In house Data  Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data Inspect Date  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,550,000  DWELLING						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculation of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated in the provided in the provided sales of the provided in	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area,						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite local contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area calculation of cost NEW source of cost data data form Marshall/Swift, In house data (Quality rating from cost service and a located data of cost data data inspect data (Quality rating from cost service and general calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated (Quality 19%/year 1st 10; then 1/29%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site-utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area,						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite local contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area calculation of cost NEW source of cost data data form Marshall/Swift, In house data (Quality rating from cost service and a located data of cost data data inspect data (Quality rating from cost service and general calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated (Quality 19%/year 1st 10; then 1/29%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site-utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area,						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite local contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculations. A line in the provided in the provid	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,550,000  DWELLING						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite local contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculations, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculations, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculation is typical of area and contractors, & in-house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculation is typical data. Page of cost data and calculation is depreciated. Quality rating from cost service. Avg. Effective date of cost data. Inspect Date Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated @ 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  64 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income guidance.	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,550,000  DWELLING 1,902 Sq.Ft. @\$ 500.00 =\$ 951,000  O Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculations, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculations, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculation is typical of area and calculation. We suppose the provided area and calculated in the provided area and calculated. Source of cost data and cost and cost and cost and cost and cost and calculated. Source of cost data and cost and cost and calculated. Source of cost data and cost	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,550,000  DWELLING						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area contractors, & in- house data. Inspect Date Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation estimated @ 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  64 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Income guidance.  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.    OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,550,000     DWELLING						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculations, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculations, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and calculation is typical of area and calculation. We suppose the provided area and calculated in the provided area and calculated. Source of cost data and cost and cost and cost and cost and cost and calculated. Source of cost data and cost and cost and calculated. Source of cost data and cost	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.    OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,550,000     DWELLING						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitucial local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors. In house data local data local data local data local local data lo	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,550,000  DWELLING						
Provide adequate information for the lender/Client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitucial local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area local contractors, & in- house data. Inspect Date local local local data local loca	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,550,000  DWELLING						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local data in lo	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,550,000  DWELLING						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitucation local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local data in local data in local data. Inspect data in local data	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,550,000  DWELLING						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitucation local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local data in local data in local data. Inspect data in local data	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,550,000  DWELLING						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estillocal contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area and contractors. Physical depreciation estimated @ 1%/year 1st 10; then 1/2%/year adjusted for condition, updating. Site value is for "finished" site- utilities in. No functional depreciation noted. No external depreciation noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  64 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  65 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  66 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  67 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated R	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, - land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,550,000  DWELLING						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estillocal contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local contractors, & in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local land, in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local land, in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local land, in- house of the local land, in- house data in local land, in- house of land, in- house data. High land/value ratio is typical of area in local land, in- house of the local land, in- house of land, in- house of land, in- house data. High land, in- house of land, in- hou	Data form Marshall/Swift, adjusted for local area, -land is very scarce - high developed area.  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,550,000  DWELLING						

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 3 of 6

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

**INTENDED USE:** The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

**DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE:** The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

**STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS:** The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

# 32928972

#### APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

### SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER This digital signature is pass code protected.	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Eunjae Kelly Lee	Name
Company Name <u>www.clearcapital.com</u>	Company Name
Company Address 300 E 2nd St Ste 1405	Company Address
Reno, NV 89501-1508	
Telephone Number (408) 777-8338	Telephone Number
Email Address kelly.lee@clarioappraisal.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 06/12/2022	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 06/12/2022	State Certification #
State Certification # AR023493	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License <u>03/14/2023</u>	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	☐ Did not inspect exterior of subject property
	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
3475 Kenyon Dr	Date of Inspection
Santa Clara, CA 95051  APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 2,200,000	·
<u></u>	COMPARABLE SALES
LENDER/CLIENT	COMI AIRABLE SALES
Name <u>ClearCapital</u>	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc.	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 32928972 File# 3290612w

FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 4		BLE SALE # 5	COMPARABLE SALE # 6		
Address 3475 Kenyon Dr		566 Eden Ct		2822 Forbes Av		485 Woodhams		
Santa Clara, CA	95051	Santa Clara, CA	95051	Santa Clara, CA	x 95051	Santa Clara, CA	95051	
Proximity to Subject		0.21 miles SW	1.	0.96 miles E	1.	0.70 miles E		
Sale Price	\$		\$ 2,625,000		\$ 2,200,000		\$ 2,100,888	
	\$ sq.ft.			\$ 1257.86 sq.ft		\$ 1353.66 sq.ft.		
Data Source(s)		MLSL#81869712		MLSL#8188226		MLSL#81892729	9;DOM 4	
Verification Source(s)		PQ, DOC# 2519		PQ, DOC# 2528		MLSL		
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		Listing		
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0				
Date of Sale/Time		s12/21;c12/21		s04/22;c03/22		c05/22		
Location	N;Res;Res	N;Res;Res		A;BsyRd;school	+50,000	A;BsyRd;Res	+50,000	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple		
Site	6262 sf	9200 sf	-14,690	9250 sf	-14,940	8375 sf	-10,565	
View	N;Res;Res	N;Res;Res		N;Res;Res		N;Res;Res		
Design (Style)	DT2;Traditional	DT1;Ranch	0	DT1;Ranch	0	DT1;Ranch	0	
Quality of Construction	Q3	Q3		Q3		Q3		
Actual Age	61	62		62	0	62	0	
Condition	C4	C3	-10,000			C3	-10,000	
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		
Room Count	8 5 3.0	6 3 3.0	+10,000		+10,000		+20,000	
Gross Living Area	1,902 sq.ft.	1,968 sq.ft.	0	1,1.10	+18,360		+42,000	
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0sf		0sf		
Rooms Below Grade								
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Average		
Heating/Cooling	FWA/None	FWA/CAC	-50,000	FWA/CAC	-5,000	FWA/CAC	-5,000	
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None		None		
Garage/Carport	2ga	2ga		2ga		2ga		
Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio	Patio		Patio		Patio		
Fireplaces	1	1		1		1		
Pool/Spa	No	No		No		No		
Upgrades	Typical for age	Kitchen&baths	-50,000	Typical for age		Kitchen&baths	-50,000	
Net Adjustment (Total)		_ + 🗶 -	\$ -114,690		\$ 58,420		\$ 36,435	
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 4.4 %		Net Adj. 2.7 %		Net Adj. 1.7 %		
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 5.1 %					\$ 2,137,323	
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the prior	r sale or transfer history	of the subject property	and comparable sales	(report additional prior			
ITEM	Sl	JBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	LE# 4 (	COMPARABLE SALE #	5 COMPAR	ABLE SALE # 6	
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	06/10/2022		11/15/2005					
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	06/10/2022 \$1,750,000		11/15/2005 \$860,000					
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)				6453 MLS	L/NDC Data	MLSL/NDC	C Data	
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022	Data	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022		L/NDC Data 2/2022	MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022	Data	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022					
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022	Data	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022					
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022	Data	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022					
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022	Data	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022					
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022	Data	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022					
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1		06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his  Analysis/Comments Curren price. It is on a busy stree	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his  Analysis/Comments Curren price. It is on a busy stree	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his Analysis/Comments Current	\$1,750,000 MLSL/NDC 06/12/2022 story of the subject pro	Data perty and comparable s	\$860,000 PQ, DOC# 18676 06/12/2022 sales	06/1	2/2022	06/12/2022		

File No. 220612w

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	3475 Kenyon Dr							
City	Santa Clara	County	y Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95051	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.							

The age adjustments are made based on actual age differences. When searching for comps in the area, this appraiser tries to select homes with similar ages, but sometimes age adjustments are necessary, typically beyond 5 years of actual age differences. The effective age can be somewhat subjective and inaccurate. All comps in the report have adjustments made for \$1,000 per year which is based on local MLS and works reasonably well for the subject area.

Actual age difference adjustments are based on typical local market reaction with the current MLS trend in the area. Home in subject area are old and it it typical for site values to exceed 30% of overall value. It does not affect the marketability.

There are no market dividing roads in the report.

Subject was purchased in recent days and the public record has not been updated and the current title holder's name is unknown

The MLS was sold as a rental property with the tenant occupancy but the MLS interior photos show vacant. From the street level inspection, the subject appears to be vacant and the report is marked as such.

The appraiser is signing the report using the corporate address of the appraisal company. The appraiser is not based in the corporate office and is based in Santa Clara County, CA.

The appraiser is located within 15 miles from the property and has 20 years appraising in the market.

The appraiser is an hourly employee of Clario Appraisal Network and received no appraisal fee for the assignment.

ClearCapital.com, Inc. AMC Registration # California 1256

# Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	3475 Kenyon Dr						
City	Santa Clara	County Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95051	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						



# Subject Front

3475 Kenyon Dr

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 1,902 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms 5 Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location N;Res;Res N;Res;Res View 6262 sf Site Q3 Quality Age 61



Subject Side



Subject Street

# Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	3475 Kenyon Dr						
City	Santa Clara	County Santa (	State State	CA	Zip Code	95051	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						



# Comparable 1

663 Tulane Dr

Age

0.07 miles S Prox. to Subject Sale Price 2,436,000 Gross Living Area 2,090 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms 5 Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location N;Res;Res N;Res;Res View 6000 sf Site Quality Q3 61



# Comparable 2

736 Pomeroy Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.26 miles E Sale Price 2,200,000 Gross Living Area 2,116 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms 5 **Total Bathrooms** 3.0

Location A;BsyRd;Res N;Res;Res View Site 6300 sf Quality Q3 Age 61



## Comparable 3

3195 Temple Ct

Age

Prox. to Subject 0.39 miles SE 2,199,000 Sale Price Gross Living Area 1,935 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 N;Res;Res Location View N;Res;Res 8000 sf Site Quality Q3

59

# Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	3475 Kenyon Dr							
City	Santa Clara	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95051	
Landar/Cliant	Wedgewood Inc							



# Comparable 4

566 Eden Ct

0.21 miles SW Prox. to Subject Sale Price 2,625,000 Gross Living Area 1,968 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 3.0 Location N;Res;Res N;Res;Res View 9200 sf Site Quality Q3 Age 62



# Comparable 5

2822 Forbes Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.96 miles E
Sale Price 2,200,000
Gross Living Area 1,749
Total Rooms 7
Total Bedrooms 4
Total Bathrooms 2.1

Location A;BsyRd;school View N;Res;Res

Site 9250 sf Quality Q3 Age 62



## Comparable 6


485 Woodhams Rd


Prox. to Subject 0.70 miles E
Sale Price 2,100,888
Gross Living Area 1,552
Total Rooms 6
Total Bedrooms 3
Total Bathrooms 2.0

Location A;BsyRd;Res
View N;Res;Res
Site 8375 sf
Quality Q3
Age 62

# Plat Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	3475 Kenyon Dr		
City	Santa Clara	County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code	95051
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.		





BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency

# Eunjae K. Lee

has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title:

"Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser"

This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law.

AR 023493 BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:

March 14, 2023 March 15, 2021 Date Expires: Effective Date:

oretta Dillon, Deputy Bureau Chief, BREA



Suite 100

Schaumburg IL 60173

Assurance, a Marsh & McLennan Agency LLC company 20 N Martingale Road

# CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 10/11/2021

NAIC#

31127

FAX (A/C, No): (847) 440-9123

INSURER(S) AFFORDING COVERAGE

INSURER A: AXA Insurance Company

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.


IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(ies) must have ADDITIONAL INSURED provisions or be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s). CONTACT Fiona Chen
PHONE
(A/C, No. Ext): 312-625-5592
E-MAIL
ADDRESS: fchen@assuranceagency.com

					NSURER A : AAA	risurance Comp	any		31121
INSU				CLEAHOL-02	NSURER B :				
	earCapital.com, Inc. earCapital Holdings, Inc.			1	NSURER C :				
	DE 2nd Street			1	NSURER D :				
Su	ite 1405			ī	INSURER E :				
Re	no NV 89501		INSURER F:						
CO	VERAGES CER	TIFIC	ATE	E NUMBER: 667417962			REVISION NUMBER:	-	
TI IN C	HIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES IDICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY RE ERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY FACLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH F	OF II QUIR PERTA	NSUF EME AIN,	RANCE LISTED BELOW HAVE NT, TERM OR CONDITION O THE INSURANCE AFFORDEI	F ANY CONTRA	CT OR OTHER CIES DESCRIBE	ED NAMED ABOVE FOR TH DOCUMENT WITH RESPEC D HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO	CT TO V	VHICH THIS
INSR LTR		ADDL INSD	SUBR			F POLICY EXP (Y) (MM/DD/YYYY)	LIMIT	s	
LIK	COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY	INSU	WVD	FOLICT NUMBER	(IVIIVI/DD/YY	(IVIIVI/DD/1111)	EACH OCCURRENCE	\$	
							DAMAGE TO RENTED		-
	CLAIMS-MADE OCCUR						PREMISES (Ea occurrence)	\$	
							MED EXP (Any one person)	\$	
							PERSONAL & ADV INJURY	\$	
	GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER:						GENERAL AGGREGATE	\$	
	POLICY PRO- JECT LOC						PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG	\$	
	OTHER:							\$	
	AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY					Y .	COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT (Ea accident)	\$	,
	ANY AUTO						BODILY INJURY (Per person)	\$	
	OWNED SCHEDULED						BODILY INJURY (Per accident)	\$	
	AUTOS ONLY AUTOS NON-OWNED						PROPERTY DAMAGE	\$	
	AUTOS ONLY AUTOS ONLY						(Per accident)	\$	
	UNDESTRACION							(125)	-
	UMBRELLA LIAB OCCUR						EACH OCCURRENCE	\$	
	EXCESS LIAB CLAIMS-MADE						AGGREGATE	\$	
	DED RETENTION\$							\$	
	WORKERS COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY						PER OTH- STATUTE ER		
	ANYPROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE						E.L. EACH ACCIDENT	\$	
	OFFICER/MEMBER EXCLUDED? (Mandatory in NH)	N/A					E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOYEE	\$	
	If yes, describe under DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below						E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT	\$	
Α	Professional Liability			MPP9044163	10/18/202	1 10/18/2022	Claim/Aggregate	\$5,000	0.000
10.21				1811 1 3044 100	10/10/202	10/10/2022	35.03.00	3-1	,,,,,,
RE	CRIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICL : PROOF OF INSURANCE : agreed that the following is an Additiona								
CE	RTIFICATE HOLDER				CANCELLATIO	N			
	Clario Appraisal Network, li PROOF OF INSURANCE	nc.		4	THE EXPIRAT	ION DATE TH			
					V 1				

ACORD 25 (2016/03)

© 1988-2015 ACORD CORPORATION. All rights reserved. The ACORD name and logo are registered marks of ACORD

## Subject MLS



32928972 e No. 220612w

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

#### Condition Ratings and Definitions

#### C1

The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.\*

\*Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

#### C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

#### C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

#### C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

#### C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

#### C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

# Quality Ratings and Definitions

#### Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

#### Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

#### Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

## 04

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

#### Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

#### 05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

#### 06

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

#### Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

#### Not Updated

#### Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

#### Updated

# The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

#### Remodeled

# Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

#### **Explanation of Bathroom Count**

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

#### Example

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

## Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
С	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
e	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location Location
0 0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
rr Relo	Relocation Sale	
		Sale or Financing Concessions
REO Res	REO Sale Residential	Sale or Financing Concessions Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing Settlement Date	Sale or Financing Concessions  Date of Sale/Time
S		
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown Veterans Administration	Date of Sale/Time
VA		Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WU	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

# Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear


# **USPAP ADDENDUM**

32928972 File No. 220612w

Bori	ower		Redwood Holdings LLC			THE IVO.	22U012W
		Address	3475 Kenyon Dr				
City			Santa Clara	County Santa	a Clara Stat	e CA	Zip Code 95051
Len	der		Wedgewood Inc.				
Γ	Thic	report w	as prepared under the follo	owing USPAP reporting option:			
		-			" HODAD CL.		
	X	Appraisal	кероп	This report was prepared in accordance	with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).		
		Restricte	d Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance	with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b).		
L							
г							
			xposure Time				
	Мус	opinion of	a reasonable exposure time fo	or the subject property at the market value	e stated in this report is: 10	days.	
L							
Г							
			rtifications				
		=	the best of my knowledge a				
	_		· · ·	appraiser or in any other capacity, regardin	ng the property that is the subject of this	s report wit	thin the
		three-year	period immediately preceding	ng acceptance of this assignment.			
		LUAVE no	rformed corvices as an appro	aisor or in another canacity, regarding the	proporty that is the subject of this rape	rt within th	o throo year
				aiser or in another capacity, regarding the nce of this assignment. Those services are		it within th	e tillee-yeal
		-	nts of fact contained in this repo	<del>-</del>	e described in the comments below.		
				usions are limited only by the reported assump	ntions and limiting conditions and are my i	narsanal im	nartial and unhiased
		-	alyses, opinions, and conclusion		puons and infining conditions and are my p	JCISUIIAI, IIII	ipartial, and unbiascu
	-			nt or prospective interest in the property that is	s the subject of this report and no persona	al interest w	with respect to the parties involve
				hat is the subject of this report or the parties in			nar respect to any parase arreits
				t contingent upon developing or reporting pred	***		
				nment is not contingent upon the developmen		direction in	value that favors the cause of th
				inment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence			
	— N	Ny analyses	, opinions, and conclusions we	re developed, and this report has been prepare	ed, in conformity with the Uniform Standar	ds of Profes	ssional Appraisal Practice that
			t the time this report was prepar				
				personal inspection of the property that is the			
				d significant real property appraisal assistance		if there are	exceptions, the name of each
	indiv	idual provid	ling significant real property app	oraisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this re	eport).		
	Add	litional Co	omments				
L				-			
P	PPR	RAISER:			SUPERVISORY APPRAISER: (or	າly if rec	quired)
				/			
S	ignatu	ure:	Eyin X	e-	Signature:		
			e Kelly Lee		Nome a :		
D	ate Si	igned: O	6/12/2022		Data Cianada		
S	tate C	ertification	# AR023493		State Certification #:		
0	r State	e License #	:		or State License #:		
S	tate:	CA			State:		
				14/2023	Expiration Date of Certification or License:		
Ε	ffectiv	e Date of A	ppraisal: <u>06/12/2022</u>		Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of Subject		_
					Did Not Exterior only from Str		Land to the state of the state

#### Location Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	3475 Kenyon Dr						
City	Santa Clara	County	Santa Clara	State CA	Zip Code	95051	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						



# Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

32928972 File No. 220612w

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl	lient with a clear and accura	ate understanding of the n	narket trends and conditions i	oreval	ent in the subi	ect			
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appra		-	-	p. 0 . u.	,	001			
-	isar reports with an encetive	•		St	ate CA	ZIP Code	0505	- 1	
1 3 0 11 0 11 0 11 2 1		City Santa C	ara	310	ile CA	ZII Coue	9503	) I	
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC									
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information rec	•					-	-		
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported							nt		
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as it	ndicated below. If any requi	ired data is unavailable or	is considered unreliable, the	appra	iser must prov	ide an			
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources wil	I be able to provide data for	the shaded areas below;	if it is available, however, the	appra	iser must incl	ude the dat	a		
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required infor	mation as an average inste	ad of the median, the app	aiser should report the availa	ble fic	ure and ident	ifv it as an			
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp							ıρ		
			•	cu by	a prospective	buyer or tr			
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anoma				_		0 117			
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months	4_		Overall Tr			
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	59	22	27			X Stable	_		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	9.83	7.33	9.00		Increasing	X Stable			Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	N/A	N/A	17		Declining	X Stable			Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	N/A	N/A	1.9	悑	Declining	<b>▼</b> Stable	-	=	Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months	+	Dooming	Overall Tr			norousing
				+	la sus subsus			$\overline{}$	D II I
Median Comparable Sale Price	1,981,898	2,380,550	2,203,241	44		X Stable	-	=	Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	11	8	9		,	X Stable	-		Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	N/A	N/A	1,909,382		Increasing	<b>X</b> Stable			Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	N/A	N/A	16		Declining	X Stable			Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	114.5	126.7	118.3	一	Increasing	X Stable	$\neg$	$\overline{\sqcap}$	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance		<b>№</b> No	110.0	╁	Declining	X Stable		=	Increasing
			20/ 1 50/ 1						increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas	. •		•	•		•			
fees, options, etc.). Usually seller concess	ions are not describe	ed in detail in MLS a	and assumed that ther	e is	no signific	ant selle	cond	ces	sion
for the purchase transactions. Previous pe	eriod active listing info	ormation is not avai	lable in county MLS(m	nedia	n list price	and DC	M).		
·			, ,		•				
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market	? 🗌 Yes 🔀 No	o If yes, explain (inclu	ding the trends in listings and	sales	of foreclosed	properties	).		
REO activities are not common in subject	area.								
Cite data sources for above information. MLS/F	Realquest								
ivileo/i	realquest								
inico,	realquest								
meo.	realquest								
		ood section of the apprais	al report form. If you used an	ny add	itional informa	ation, such	as		
Summarize the above information as support for your co	onclusions in the Neighborh			-			as		
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ır conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	rt for y	our conclusio	ns.			
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray There has been a limited number of REO	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ır conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	rt for y	our conclusio	ns.		: in :	ecent
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ır conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	rt for y	our conclusio	ns.		in i	ecent
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray There has been a limited number of REO	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ır conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	rt for y	our conclusio	ns.		· in :	ecent
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray There has been a limited number of REO	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ır conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	rt for y	our conclusio	ns.		in i	ecent
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray There has been a limited number of REO	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ır conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	rt for y	our conclusio	ns.		in i	ecent
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray There has been a limited number of REO	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ır conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	rt for y	our conclusio	ns.		in I	ecent
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray There has been a limited number of REO	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ır conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	rt for y	our conclusio	ns.		in I	recent
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray There has been a limited number of REO	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ır conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	rt for y	our conclusio	ns.		in I	recent
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray There has been a limited number of REO	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ır conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	rt for y	our conclusio	ns.		in I	recent
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray There has been a limited number of REO	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ır conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	rt for y	our conclusio	ns.		in I	recent
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray There has been a limited number of REO	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a	r conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and suppor	erty v	our conclusio	ns.		in I	recent
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray.  There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the a	r conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Properties	erty v	our conclusio	ns.	stable	in I	recent
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray.  There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pro	onclusions in the Neighborh on listings, to formulate you transactions in the al	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and suppor	erty v	our conclusion	e been s	end		
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	onclusions in the Neighborh on listings, to formulate you transactions in the al	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Properties	erty v	our conclusion values hav	ons.  e been s  Overall Tr	end		Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	onclusions in the Neighborh on listings, to formulate you transactions in the al	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Properties	erty v	our conclusion  Values have  Increasing  Increasing	Overall Tr	end		Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	onclusions in the Neighborh on listings, to formulate you transactions in the al	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Properties	erty v	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Properties	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an	ur conclusions, provide borea and they are no	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	grea and they are not they are	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	grea and they are not they are	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	grea and they are not they are	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	grea and they are not they are	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	grea and they are not they are	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	grea and they are not they are	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	grea and they are not they are	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	grea and they are not they are	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	grea and they are not they are	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the project No. 2 Current - 3 Months	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	g: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property Project N Current – 3 Months  umber of REO listings and ex	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature Appraiser Name Eunjae Kelly Lee	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	g: Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisory	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the amajor issue. Property of the control of the contr	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature Appraiser Name Eunjae Kelly Lee Company Name www.ckearcapital.com	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	g: Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisory Company Na	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the amajor issue. Property of the amajor issue. Project N Current – 3 Months with the amajor issue. Project N Current – 3 Months with the amajor issue. Project N Current – 3 Months with the amajor issue. Project N Current – 3 Months with the amajor issue.	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature Appraiser Name Eunjae Kelly Lee	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No	g: Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisory Company Na	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property of the amajor issue. Property of the amajor issue. Project N Current – 3 Months with the amajor issue. Project N Current – 3 Months with the amajor issue. Project N Current – 3 Months with the amajor issue. Project N Current – 3 Months with the amajor issue.	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature Appraiser Name Eunjae Kelly Lee Company Name Eunjae Kelly Lee Company Address 300 E 2nd St Ste 1405,	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No the subject unit and project	g: Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisory Company Na Company Ac Company Company Ac Company Company	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property Project N  Current – 3 Months  Appraiser Name  me ldress	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	end saales o		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. There has been a limited number of REO months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prosubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature Appraiser Name Eunjae Kelly Lee Company Name www.clearcapital.com Company Address 300 E 2nd St Ste 1405,	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you transactions in the an oject, complete the following Prior 7–12 Months  ? Yes No the subject unit and project  Reno, NV 89501-15 State CA	g: Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisory Company Na Company Ac Company Company Ac Company Company	th an explanation and support a major issue. Property Project N  Current – 3 Months  umber of REO listings and ex  Appraiser Name  me  idress e/Certification # ARO27	ame:	our conclusion values have have have have have have have have	Overall Tr Stable Stable Stables Stables Stables Stable	end saales o		Declining Declining Increasing

# Location Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	3475 Kenyon Dr							
City	Santa Clara	Count	y Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95051	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							

