| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | File No. | 3294093 | 30 | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|----------|----------|-------|--|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | | | | | |
| City | Arvada | County | Jefferson | State | СО | Zip Code | 80002 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | | | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS



| Table of Contents | 1 |
|--|----|
| USPAP Identification Addendum | 2 |
| Exterior-Only | 3 |
| Exterior-Only | 4 |
| Additional Comparables 4-6 | 5 |
| Exterior-Only | 6 |
| Exterior-Only | 7 |
| Exterior-Only | 8 |
| Exterior-Only | 9 |
| Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report | 10 |
| Market Conditions Charts 1-3 | 11 |
| Market Conditions Charts 4-6 | 12 |
| Market Trend Graph | 13 |
| Primary Market Adjustments | 14 |
| Market Adjustment Comments | 15 |
| Market Adjustment Methods | 16 |
| Market Adjustment Charts | 17 |
| General Text Addendum | 18 |
| Subject Photos | 23 |
| Comparable Photos 1-3 | 24 |
| Comparable Photos 4-6 | 25 |
| Assessor Data - Page 1 | 26 |
| Assessor Data - Page 2 | 27 |
| Assessor Data - Page 3 | 28 |
| Assessor Map | 29 |
| Aerial Map | 30 |
| Location Map | 31 |
| License | 32 |
| E&O Insurance | 33 |
| NAD Definitions Addendum | 2/ |

USPAP ADDENDUM

File No. 32940930

| rrower | Catamount Properties | 2018 LLC | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| operty Address | 9617 W 56th Pl Arvada | County | Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 |
| nder | Wedgewood Inc | | | | |
| | | following USPAP reporting option | | 2.2() | |
| Appraisa | | | ordance with USPAP Standards Rule | | |
| Restricte | ed Appraisal Report | This report was prepared in acco | ordance with USPAP Standards Rule | 2-2(b). | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Reasonable | Exposure Time | | | | |
| | | e for the subject property at the mark | | 0-60 Days | |
| | | th of time that the property intere le at market value on the effective | | e been ollered on | the market prior to the |
| LISDAD 20 | 21.22 Comment: Evnosi | ure time is a retrospective opinio | on based on an analysis of past | t evente assumina | a a competitive and |
| open marke | <u>=</u> ' | ne unic is a retrospective opinic | in based on an analysis of past | coverno accuming | g a competitive and |
| Additional (| Certifications | | | | |
| | to the best of my knowledge | and belief: | | | |
| | | n appraiser or in any other capacity, ding acceptance of this assignment. | regarding the property that is the su | bject of this report v | vithin the |
| | | praiser or in another capacity, regard | | | the three-year |
| | | tance of this assignment. Those serv | ices are described in the comments | below. | |
| | nts of fact contained in this re I analyses, opinions, and conc | port are true and correct. Iusions are limited only by the reported : | assumptions and limiting conditions an | id are my personal, im | partial, and unbiased |
| professional a | nalyses, opinions, and conclus | sions. | | | |
| Unless other involved. | wise indicated, I have no prese | ent or prospective interest in the property | y that is the subject of this report and n | no personal interest w | ith respect to the parties |
| - I have no bia | | that is the subject of this report or the p | _ | | |
| | - | ot contingent upon developing or reporti gnment is not contingent upon the devel | • . | Lyalua or direction in | value that favore the cause of |
| | | ne attainment of a stipulated result, or the | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| - My analyses | , opinions, and conclusions w | ere developed, and this report has been | | - | |
| | at the time this report was prepovise indicated. I have made a | pared. personal inspection of the property that | is the subject of this report | | |
| | | ed significant real property appraisal assi | | rtification (if there are | exceptions, the name of each |
| individual prov | riding significant real property a | appraisal assistance is stated elsewhere | in this report). | | |
| | | | | | |
| Additional (| | | | | |
| | | using the corporate address of t The appraiser has experience a | | praiser is not bas | sed in the corporate |
| onice and i | s based in Golden, GO. | The appraiser has experience a | ppraising in the market. | | |
| | | egistration/License AMC.200000 | | approisal foo for | the assignment |
| ree Disclos | sure: The appraiser is ar | n hourly employee of Clario App | raisai Network and received no | appraisai iee ior | the assignment. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| PPRAISER | 1 | 1/1 | SUPERVISORY APPRA | ISER: (only if re | equired) |
| | • | 1/ 0/ 004 | | | |
| | / AMAN | , F M1/4PM. | 0: 1 | | |
| | Logan | hauzea | Signature: Name: | | |
| ame: Loga | Jogan n Katzer 06/17/2022 | Marzer | Name: Date Signed: | | |
| ame: Loga ate Signed: (ate Certification | n Katzer 06/17/2022 n #: | Maizer | Name: Date Signed: State Certification #: | | |
| ame: Logal ate Signed: tate Certification r State License | n Katzer 06/17/2022 | Marzer | Name: Date Signed: | | |
| Date Signed: (State Certification or State License State: CO | n Katzer 06/17/2022 n #: #: AL200002944 f Certification or License: 12 | 2/31/2022 | Name: Date Signed: State Certification #: or State License #: | or License: | |

| 1 1 3 11 1 | 10 to p.o. | ide the lender/client with | an accurate, and acceptan | ory capportou, op | | ot talao | or the easyeet | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Property Address 9617 W 56th PI | | | City Arvada | | State | | Zip Code 8000 |)2 |
| Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 | LLC | Owner of Public R | ecord Hmwbs Llc | | County | Jeffer | son | |
| Legal Description See attached addenged | | | | | | | | |
| Assessor's Parcel # 009640 / 39-103-1 | 3-009 | | Tax Year 2020 | | | xes \$ 2 | | |
| Neighborhood Name Highland Homes | nnt | Special Assessme | | <u>19740</u> | | Tract 0 | | nor month |
| Occupant Owner Tenant X Vaca Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple | Leaseho | | nts \$ 0 | PU | ID HOA\$ 0 | | per year | per month |
| Assignment Type Purchase Transaction | | | ther (describe) Loan Se | m dain a | | | | |
| Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc | | | 115 Manhattan Beach | |) Pedondo Bes | ach CA | 00278 | |
| Is the subject property currently offered for sale of | r has it been o | | | | | X | | |
| Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and | | | t was listed on the lo | | | | | listed |
| closed date on 04/22/2022 for \$422,5 | | | | | | | | |
| I did did not analyze the contract for s | | bject purchase transaction. E | xplain the results of the ana | lysis of the contract | for sale or why the | analysis v | was not | |
| performed. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Contract Price \$ Date of Cont | | | eller the owner of public rec | | | rce(s) | | |
| Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa | | | stance, etc.) to be paid by a | ny party on behalf o | f the borrower? | | Yes | No |
| If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe | the items to t | oe paid. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Note: Race and the racial composition of the | neighborhoo | d are not annraisal factors | | | | | | |
| Neighborhood Characteristics | neignbornoo | | -Unit Housing Trends | | One-Unit Hou | eina | Present Land | H Hea % |
| Location Urban Suburban | Rural | Property Values X Incre | | Declining | | AGE | One-Unit | 65 % |
| Built-Up ➤ Over 75% 25-75% | | Demand/Supply Shor | | Over Supply | | (yrs) | 2-4 Unit | 15 % |
| Growth Rapid Stable | Slow | Marketing Time Unde | | Over 6 mths | 380 Low | 0 | Multi-Family | 10 % |
| | | s bound the west by h | | | 871 High | 103 | Commercial | 5 % |
| 58th Ave, to the East by Carr St, and | | | upmig r kwy, to the re | oran by vv | 575 Pred. | 67 | Other | 5 % |
| - | | s a mixture of residen | tial homes. There are | no apparent f | | | ct the subject | |
| marketability. The subject has access | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Market Conditions (including support for the above | e conclusions | See attached | addendum. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Dimensions No Survey Provided | | Area 6,870 | | ipe Generally I | Rectangular V | √iew N;I | Res; | |
| Specific Zoning Classification SFR | | | on Residential | 9 \ | | | | |
| | | | Zoning Illegal (descr | | 7 Mar. | CN | - 41 | |
| Is the highest and best use of subject property as | improvea (or | as proposed per plans and s | specifications) the present us | se? | Yes No It | f No, desc | cride | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Iltilities Public Other (describe) | | Public Of | har (dascriba) | Off-site Impr | ovements - Tyne | | Public I | Orivato |
| Utilities Public Other (describe) | V | | her (describe) | | ovements - Type | | | Private |
| Electricity 🔀 🗌 | | Vater 🔀 | her (describe) | Street Asp | halt | | Public I | Private |
| Electricity 🔀 🔲 | | Vater 🔀 | | Street Asp | halt e | MA Map I | X | |
| Electricity 🔀 🔲 | S No FE | Vater X [Sanitary Sewer X [MA Flood Zone X | | Street Asp Alley Non | halt e FE | | X | |
| Electricity | No FE for the market | Vater X [Sanitary Sewer X [MA Flood Zone X area? Yes | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F | halt e FE | | X | |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical | No FE for the market factors (easem | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe nmental conditions, land us | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F | halt e FE | | Date 02/05/2 | |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external f | No FE for the market factors (easem | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe nmental conditions, land us | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F | halt e FE | | Date 02/05/2 | |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external f No observed or known adverse influe | No FE for the market factors (easem | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe inmental conditions, land used. | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? | halt e FE Yes | No No | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe | |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external f No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pri | No FE for the market factors (easem | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? | halt le FE Yes Prior Inspection | No P | Date 02/05/2 | |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external f No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pri Other (describe) | Some state of the market for the market factors (easem ences to main paperty | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Gross | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Es Living Area | halt le FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor;MLS; | No P | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe | |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external f No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pri Other (describe) General Description | No FE for the market actors (easemences to ma | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land use d. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area | halt le FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor;MLS;F menities | No No PRealist | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe | |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external f No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pri Other (describe) | No FE for the market factors (easem ences to main paperty Ge Ge Concrete | Vater Anitary Sewer Anitary Se | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Gross | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area A Firepla | halt ie FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; F menities ace(s) # 1 | No PRealist | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage | 014 |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external f No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 | No FE for the market actors (easemences to ma | Vater Sanitary Sewer Manitary Sewer Manitary Sewer Manitary Sewer Manitary Sewer Manitary Sewera Manitary Seweral Manitary Seweral Description Slab Crawl Space Seweral Manitary Seweral Manitary Seweral Seweral Seweral Manitary Seweral Seweral Manitary Seweral | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land use d. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area A Firepla Wood | halt ie FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; F menities ace(s) # 1 [stove(s) # 0] | No No PRealist | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage | 014 S 2 |
| Electricity | No FE for the market factors (easemences to main paperty George Concrete Concrete Full Base | Vater Sanitary Sewer Market Value were note Appraisal Files Appraisal Files Peneral Description Slab Crawl Space Appraised Sement Finished asement Finished | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area A Firepla Wood | halt le FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; F menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear | No PRealist None Drivey | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co | 014 s 2 ncrete |
| Electricity | No FE for the market factors (easem ences to main paperty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Ba | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land use d. WLS Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area Wood Patio/ Porch | halt le FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; F menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear | None None Drivev | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car | ond services of the services o |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external file No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. | Full Base Partial Baser Full Roof Surface | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land use Ind. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area Wood Patio/ Porch | halt le FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; F menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None | None None Drivewoy Garag | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car ort # of Car | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 |
| Electricity | Full Base Partial Baser Full Roof Surface | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land use d. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Cher None | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Ss Living Area Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other | halt le FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; F menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None | None None Garag Carpo | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car rt # of Car ned Deta | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 |
| Electricity | Full Base Partial Baseror Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land use d. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Cher None | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Ss Living Area Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other | halt le FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; Finenities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None None | None None Garag Carpo Attach | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car rt # of Car ned Deta | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external fill No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Properties General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1955 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: | For the market factors (easemences to make the concest to make the | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land use d. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Individual Microwave Washer/I | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Ss Living Area A Firepla Wood A Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other | halt le FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None | None None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car ort # of Car ned Deta | s 2 ncrete s 0 ched |
| Electricity | For the market factors (easemences to make the concest to make the | Appraisal Files Apprai | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land use Indexidation of the second of the se | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Ss Living Area A Firepla Wood A Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other | Halt Ive FE Yes Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; menities ace(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) | None None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car ort # of Car ned Deta | s 2 ncrete s 0 ched |
| Electricity | Full Base Concrete Sterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Surface Surface Gutters & Do | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land use Id. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Individ | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Ss Living Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Tence Other | Halt Ive FE Yes Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; menities ace(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) | None None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car ort # of Car ned Deta | s 2 ncrete s 0 ched |
| Electricity | Full Base Determine Concrete C | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Individua | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Striving Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Oryer Other () R5 | halt le FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; Finenities ace(s) # 1 [stove(s) # 0] Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of (| None None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i Gross Livi | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Troperty Owner Car Storage Way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car out # of Car ned Deta n ing Area Above G | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |
| Electricity | Full Base Determine Window Type Window Type Dishwa To Rooms Durce(s) (include in average | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Individua | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Ss Living Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Stremodeling, etc.). Sterior siding, tr | halt ie FE Yes Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; Finenities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of (| None None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i Gross Livi | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car rit # of Car ned Deta n ing Area Above Go the exterior o ing features) | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external f No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1955 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, inspection, the subject appeared to b nearing the end of their respective life. | Full Base Concrete Concrete Full Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 5 Rooms etc.) Durce(s) (inclue e in average ecylces. The | Water | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Individua | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Es Living Area Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Stremodeling, etc.). Asp | halt ie FE Yes Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; Finenities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of (| None None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i Gross Livi seed on tondscapin similar | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car ort # of Car ned Deta n ing Area Above G the exterior o ing features) r condition to | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |
| Electricity | Full Base Concrete Concrete Full Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 5 Rooms etc.) Durce(s) (inclue e in average ecylces. The | Water | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Individua | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Es Living Area Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Stremodeling, etc.). Sterior siding, tresumption that | halt ie FE Yes Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; Finenities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of (| None None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i Gross Livi seed on tondscapin similar | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car ort # of Car ned Deta n ing Area Above G the exterior o ing features) r condition to | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external f No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1955 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, inspection, the subject appeared to b nearing the end of their respective life. | Full Base Concrete Concrete Full Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 5 Rooms etc.) Durce(s) (inclue e in average ecylces. The | Water | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Individua | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Es Living Area Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Stremodeling, etc.). Sterior siding, tresumption that | halt ie FE Yes Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; Finenities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of (| None None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i Gross Livi seed on tondscapin similar | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car ort # of Car ned Deta n ing Area Above G the exterior o ing features) r condition to | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |
| Electricity | Full Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 5 Rooms etc.) Durce(s) (inclu e in average ecylces. Tr for C4 ove | Water | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land use d. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Individu | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Es Living Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Sterior siding, tresumption that n impact on the | halt ie FE Yes Yes Yes Prior Inspection Assessor;MLS;F menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of C C4;Bas im and paint lar the interior is in e final opinion o | None Realist None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i Gross Livi seed on tondscapin similar | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car int # of Car ing Area Above G the exterior o ing features) r condition to | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |
| Electricity | Full Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 5 Rooms etc.) Durce(s) (inclu e in average ecylces. Tr for C4 ove | Water | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land use d. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Individu | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Es Living Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Sterior siding, tresumption that n impact on the | halt ie FE Yes Yes Yes Prior Inspection Assessor;MLS;F menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of C C4;Bas im and paint lar the interior is in e final opinion o | None None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i Gross Livi seed on tondscapin similar | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car int # of Car ing Area Above G the exterior o ing features) r condition to | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external file No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property and data so inspection, the subject appeared to be nearing the end of their respective life exterior and meet the UAD definition Electricity Gas Proposed Contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, inspection, the subject appeared to be nearing the end of their respective life exterior and meet the UAD definition Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or act of the special energies of the condition of the property and data so inspection, the subject appeared to be nearing the end of their respective life exterior and meet the UAD definition Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or act of the property and deficiencies or act of the | Solution Surface Gutters & Dource(s) (include in average ecylces. The for C4 overage of the market actors (easemberces to market actors (easemberces to market actors (easemberces) Guters & Dource (solution) Durce (solution) Solution Surface Gutters & Dource (solution) Durce (solution) Guters & Dource (solution) Durce (solution) Guters & Calletter (solution) Solution Surface Gutters & Dource (solution) For C4 overage ecylces. The for C4 overage condition | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition g Individual g Nother None Microwave Washer/I g S 1.0 Bath(s be by analysis only. G, deterioration, renovations, e items (including; ex g the extraordinary as rue this could have a | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Es Living Area Patio/ Porch ing Pool Fence Other Other Other Other Other Sumption that In impact on the | halt ie FE Yes Yes Yes Prior Inspection Assessor;MLS;F menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of C C4;Bas im and paint lar the interior is in e final opinion o | None Realist None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i Gross Livi seed on tondscapin similar | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car int # of Car ing Area Above G the exterior o ing features) r condition to | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external f No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1955 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so inspection, the subject appeared to be nearing the end of their respective life exterior and meet the UAD definition Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or acceptable and the subject appeared to be nearing the end of their respective life exterior and meet the UAD definition | Solution Surface Gutters & Dource(s) (include in average ecylces. The for C4 overage of the market actors (easemberces to market actors (easemberces to market actors (easemberces) Guters & Dource (solution) Durce (solution) Solution Surface Gutters & Dource (solution) Durce (solution) Guters & Dource (solution) Durce (solution) Guters & Calletter (solution) Solution Surface Gutters & Dource (solution) For C4 overage ecylces. The for C4 overage condition | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition g Individual g Nother None Microwave Washer/I g S 1.0 Bath(s be by analysis only. G, deterioration, renovations, e items (including; ex g the extraordinary as rue this could have a | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Es Living Area Patio/ Porch ing Pool Fence Other Other Other Other Other Sumption that In impact on the | halt ie FE Yes Yes Yes Prior Inspection Assessor;MLS;F menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of C C4;Bas im and paint lar the interior is in e final opinion o | None Realist None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i Gross Livi seed on tondscapin similar | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car int # of Car ing Area Above G the exterior o ing features) r condition to | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external file No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property and data so inspection, the subject appeared to be nearing the end of their respective life exterior and meet the UAD definition Electricity Gas Proposed One with Accessory Unit Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1955 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, inspection, the subject appeared to be nearing the end of their respective life exterior and meet the UAD definition Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or act if Yes, describe. | Solution Surface Gutters & Dource(s) (include in average ecylces. The for C4 overage of the market actors (easemberces to market actors (easemberces to market actors (easemberces) Guters & Dource (solution) Durce (solution) Solution Surface Gutters & Dource (solution) Durce (solution) Guters & Dource (solution) Durce (solution) Guters & Calletter (solution) Solution Surface Gutters & Dource (solution) For C4 overage ecylces. The for C4 overage condition | Vater | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition g Individual g Nother None Microwave Washer/I g S 1.0 Bath(s be by analysis only. G, deterioration, renovations, e items (including; ex g the extraordinary as rue this could have a | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Ss Living Area A Firepla Wood A Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Oth | halt ie FE Yes Yes Yes Prior Inspection Assessor;MLS;F menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of C C4;Bas im and paint lar the interior is in e final opinion o | None Realist None Garag Carpo Attach Built-i Gross Livi seed on tondscapin similar | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe roperty Owner Car Storage way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car int # of Car ing Area Above G the exterior o ing features) r condition to | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external file No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property and data so inspection, the subject appeared to be nearing the end of their respective life exterior and meet the UAD definition Electricity Gas Proposed One with Accessory Unit Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1955 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, inspection, the subject appeared to be nearing the end of their respective life exterior and meet the UAD definition Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or act if Yes, describe. | Full Base Concrete Co | Water Maintary Sewer Market Value Were note Appraisal Files Appraisal Files Peneral Description Slab Crawl Space Peneral Description Slab Crawl Space Peneral Finished Stucco/Avg Comp/Avg Waspouts Aluminum/Avg Bellon Disposal Maintary Bellon | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Individua | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Ss Living Area A Firepla Wood A Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Oth | halt ie FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; Finenities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of (continuous particular interior is interi | None None None Grarag Carpo Attach Built-in seed on the similar of value. Yes | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Troperty Owner Car Storage Way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car int # of Car int # of Car ing Area Above Gi the exterior of ing features) in condition to . | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |
| Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external file No observed or known adverse influe Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pri Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det Att. S-Det/End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1955 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so inspection, the subject appeared to be nearing the end of their respective life exterior and meet the UAD definition Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or act of Yes, describe. There are no apparent external or fur | Full Base Cutters & Do Window Type Window Type Dishwa 5 Rooms etc.) Durce(s) (inclu e in average ecylces. Tr for C4 ove | Water Maintary Sewer Market Value Were note Appraisal Files Appraisal Files Peneral Description Slab Crawl Space Peneral Description Slab Crawl Space Peneral Finished Stucco/Avg Comp/Avg Waspouts Aluminum/Avg Bellon Disposal Maintary Bellon | FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe Inmental conditions, land used. MLS Assessment and Data Source for Grost Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Condition Individual Individua | Street Asp Alley Non 059C0211F es, etc.)? Tax Records Striving Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other O | halt ie FE Yes Prior Inspection Assessor; MLS; Finenities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Rear Front None Wood None (describe) O Square Feet of (continuous particular interior is interi | None None None Grarag Carpo Attach Built-in seed on the similar of value. Yes | Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Troperty Owner Car Storage Way # of Car Surface Co e # of Car int # of Car int # of Car ing Area Above Gi the exterior of ing features) in condition to . | s 2 ncrete s 0 s 0 ched |

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 1 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

| | | ently offered for sale in the subject neighborhood ranging in price from \$ 0 to \$ 0. bject neighborhood within the past twelve months ranging in sale price from \$ 380,000 to \$ 820,000. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | 0 | | 320,000 . |
| FEATURE | SUBJECT | | BLE SALE # 1 | | | LE SALE # 2 | | | LE SALE # 3 |
| Address 9617 W 56th PI | | 5108 Iris St | | 8595 W 54 | Ith Ave | 9 | 5626 | Johnson S | t |
| Arvada, CO 8000 |)2 | Arvada, CO 800 | 02-3250 | Arvada, Co | 0 8000 | 02-3426 | Arvac | la, CO 800 | 02-2149 |
| Proximity to Subject | | 0.58 miles S | | 0.76 miles | | | 0.15 | niles W | |
| Sale Price | \$ | | \$ 435,000 | | | \$ 482,425 | | | \$ 475,000 |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ sq.ft. | \$ 478.02 sq.ft | | \$ 482.4 | 3 sq.ft. | | \$ 424.11 sq.ft. | | |
| Data Source(s) | | MLS #1753181; | DOM 2 | MLS #256 | 3564;[| OOM 5 | MLS #7748866;D | | OOM 0 |
| Verification Source(s) | | Doc #149275;R | ealist | Doc #6690 |);Reali | st | Doc # | ² 24079;Rea | alist |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DESCRIPTION | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIPTION | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DES | SCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment |
| Sales or Financing | | ArmLth | | ArmLth | | | ArmL | th | |
| Concessions | | FHA;5826 | | Cash;0 | | | Conv | | |
| Date of Sale/Time | | s10/21;c09/21 | +19,500 | s01/22;c01 | 1/22 | +12,000 | | 2;c02/22 | +9,500 |
| Location | N;Res; | N;Res; | 10,000 | N;Res; | | 1=,000 | N;Re | | 2,222 |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple | Fee Simple | Fee Simple | | Fee Simple | e | | | Simple | |
| Site | 6,870 sf | 6,910 sf | 0 | 6,498 sf | | 0 | 7,175 | | 0 |
| View | N;Res; | N;Res; | | N;Res; | | 0 | N;Re | | 0 |
| Design (Style) | DT1;Ranch | DT1;Ranch | | DT1;Rancl | h | | | Ranch | |
| Quality of Construction | | | | Q4 | | | | Vallell | |
| • | Q4 | Q4 | | | | 0 | Q4 | | 0 |
| Actual Age | 67 | 64 | 0 | 62 | | 0 | 63 | | 0 |
| Condition | C4 | C4 | | C4 | T | | C4 | n. n.: | |
| Above Grade | Total Bdrms. Baths | Total Bdrms. Baths | | Total Bdrms. | | | | Bdrms. Baths | |
| Room Count | 5 3 1.0 | 4 2 1.0 | 0 | | 1.0 | 0 | | 3 1.1 | -5,000 |
| Gross Living Area | 850 sq.ft. | 910 sq.ft | . 0 | 1,000 | O sq.ft. | -13,500 | | 1,120 sq.ft. | -24,000 |
| Basement & Finished | 850sf425sfin | 910sf800sfin | -19,000 | 1000sf100 | 0sfin | -33,000 | 1120 | sf739sfin | -23,500 |
| Rooms Below Grade | 1rr0br1.0ba1o | 1rr0br1.0ba2o | 0 | 1rr1br1.0b | <u>a1</u> o | -5,000 | 1rr0b | r0.0ba1o | +5,000 |
| Functional Utility | Average | Average | | Average | | | Avera | | |
| Heating/Cooling | FWA;None | FWA;Evap | -2.500 | FWA;Evap |) | -2,500 | | _ | |
| Energy Efficient Items | None | None | | None | | _,,,,, | None | | |
| Garage/Carport | 2dw | 1ga1dw | -8,000 | | | 0 | 1cp1 | | 0 |
| Porch/Patio/Deck | Porch;Patio | Similar | | Similar | | | Simila | | 0 |
| 1 ordiyi allo/beek | Porcii,Palio | Similal | | Similar | | U | SIIIIII | 11 | U |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | • |
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | _ + 🔀 - | \$ -10,000 | | _ | \$ -42,000 | | + 🗶 - | \$ -38,000 |
| Adjusted Sale Price | | Net Adj. 2.3 % | | Net Adj. | 8.7 % | | Net Adj | | |
| of Comparables | | Gross Adj. 11.3 % | | | 13.7 % | \$ 440,425 | Gross A | \dj. 14.1 % | \$ 437,000 |
| I 🔀 did 🗌 did not research t | the sale or transfer hist | ory of the subject prop | erty and comparable sale | es. If not, expla | in | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| My research 🔀 did 🗌 did i | not reveal any prior sale | es or transfers of the si | ubject property for the th | ree years prior | to the ef | fective date of this appr | aisal. | | |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad | o, County Assess | or, Realist | | | | | | | |
| My research X did did id | not reveal any prior sale | es or transfers of the c | omparable sales for the | year prior to the | e date of | sale of the comparable | sale. | | |
| , | | D1!-4 | | | | • | | | |
| | o. County Assess | sor Realist | | | | | | | |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad | o, County Assess | | v of the subject property | and comparab | ole sales | (report additional prior : | sales on | page 3). | |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a | and analysis of the prio | r sale or transfer histor | | | 1 | | | , | RABLE SALE #3 |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a | and analysis of the prio | | y of the subject property COMPARABLE S. | | 1 | (report additional prior s COMPARABLE SALE #2 | | , | RABLE SALE #3 |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer | and analysis of the prio | r sale or transfer histor | | | 1 | | | , | RABLE SALE #3 |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer | and analysis of the prio SI 04/22/2022 \$422,000 | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT | COMPARABLE S. | ALE #1 | С | COMPARABLE SALE #2 | 2 | COMPA | |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) | and analysis of the prio SI 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses | ALE #1 | ReCol | COMPARABLE SALE #2 | 2 | COMPA | o;Assessor;Realist |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | and analysis of the prio SI 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 | ALE #1 | ReCol | COMPARABLE SALE #2 lorado;Assessor;R /2022 | ealist | COMPA ReColorado 06/15/2022 | o;Assessor;Realist |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi | and analysis of the prio SI 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject pro | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor | ALE #1 sor;Realist | ReCol 06/15 ic reco | COMPARABLE SALE #2 lorado;Assessor;R /2022 irds indicate the s | ealist ubject | COMPA ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp | and analysis of the prio SI 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject pro pecial warranty de | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ted - doc #47866 | COMPARABLE S ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ | ALE #1 sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core | ReCol 06/15 ic reco | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 rds indicate the s public records als | ealist ubject | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi | and analysis of the prio SI 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject pro pecial warranty de | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ted - doc #47866 | COMPARABLE S ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ | ALE #1 sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core | ReCol 06/15 ic reco | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 rds indicate the s public records als | ealist ubject | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp | and analysis of the prior SI 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject propecial warranty devithin the last three | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable eed - doc #47866 e years: [quit clai | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. | and analysis of the prior SI 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject propecial warranty devithin the last three r than the prior sa | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable sed - doc #47866 e years: [quit clai lle price, due to g | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher | and analysis of the prior SI 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject propecial warranty devithin the last three r than the prior sa | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable sed - doc #47866 e years: [quit clai lle price, due to g | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher | and analysis of the prio 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject pro pecial warranty de within the last three r than the prior sa | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable sed - doc #47866 e years: [quit clai lle price, due to g | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn opinion of value is v | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c | and analysis of the prio 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject pro pecial warranty de within the last three r than the prior sa | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ted - doc #47866 te years: [quit clai- le price, due to gue and the final c | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn opinion of value is v | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c | and analysis of the prio 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject pro pecial warranty de within the last three r than the prior sa | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ted - doc #47866 te years: [quit clai- le price, due to gue and the final c | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn opinion of value is v | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c | and analysis of the prio 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject pro pecial warranty de within the last three r than the prior sa | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ted - doc #47866 te years: [quit clai- le price, due to gue and the final c | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn opinion of value is v | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c | and analysis of the prio 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject pro pecial warranty de within the last three r than the prior sa | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ted - doc #47866 te years: [quit clai- le price, due to gue and the final c | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn opinion of value is v | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c | and analysis of the prio 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject pro pecial warranty de within the last three r than the prior sa | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ted - doc #47866 te years: [quit clai- le price, due to gue and the final c | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn opinion of value is v | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c | and analysis of the prio 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject pro pecial warranty de within the last three r than the prior sa | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ted - doc #47866 te years: [quit clai- le price, due to gue and the final c | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn opinion of value is v | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c | and analysis of the prio 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject pro pecial warranty de within the last three r than the prior sa | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ted - doc #47866 te years: [quit clai- le price, due to gue and the final c | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn opinion of value is v | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c Summary of Sales Comparison Ap | and analysis of the prior SI 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject propecial warranty devithin the last three rethan the prior saturrent market val | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ed - doc #47866 e years: [quit clai- le price, due to g ue and the final c | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn opinion of value is v | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 | ealist ubject o indio | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | o;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject w value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c Summary of Sales Comparison Ap | and analysis of the prior of the prior of the subject properties of the prior saturent market value on Approach \$420.000 Approach \$40.000 Appr | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ted - doc #47866 te years: [quit clai- le price, due to g ue and the final contact tached Addendu assessor;Realist | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 leneral market dyn opinion of value is v | sor;Realist relogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The well suppor | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the si public records alsecorded on 3/16/2 arables included i | ealist ubject o indic 022]. This | ReColorade 06/15/2022 s most rece ate the follour The current analysis are | p;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer pwing opinion of e the best |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c Summary of Sales Comparison Ap | and analysis of the prior of the prior of the subject properties of the prior saturent market value on Approach \$420.000 Approach \$40.000 Appr | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ted - doc #47866 te years: [quit clai- le price, due to g ue and the final contact tached Addendu assessor;Realist | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dyn opinion of value is v | sor;Realist relogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The well suppor | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa | lorado;Assessor;R /2022 ords indicate the si public records alsecorded on 3/16/2 arables included i | ealist ubject o indic 022]. This | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco | p;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer pwing opinion of e the best |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject w value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c Summary of Sales Comparison Ap | and analysis of the prior of the prior of the subject properties of the prior second market value on Approach \$ 4 arison Approach \$ 100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (10 | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ed - doc #47866 e years: [quit cla lle price, due to g ue and the final c ttached Addendu 35,000 435,000 | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/20; eneral market dyn opinion of value is v m Cost Approach (if devi | sor;Realist relogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The well suppor | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa ted. | lorado;Assessor;R/2022 urds indicate the sipublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 arables included i | ealist ubject o indic 022]. n this | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco ate the follo The current analysis are | p;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of e the best |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's c Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis | and analysis of the prior of the prior of the subject properties of th | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ed - doc #47866 e years: [quit cla lle price, due to g ue and the final c ttached Addendu 35,000 435,000 | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/20; eneral market dyn opinion of value is v m Cost Approach (if devi | sor;Realist relogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The well suppor | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa ted. | lorado;Assessor;R/2022 urds indicate the sipublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 arables included i | ealist ubject o indic 022]. n this | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco ate the follo The current analysis are | p;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of e the best |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject vivalue of the subject higher indicator of the subject's comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison The cost approach is not a | and analysis of the prior of the prior of the subject properties of th | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ed - doc #47866 e years: [quit cla lle price, due to g ue and the final c ttached Addendu 35,000 435,000 | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Cor recorded on 5/16/ im deed on 8/7/20; eneral market dyn opinion of value is v m Cost Approach (if devi | sor;Realist relogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The well suppor | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 5504 re compa ted. | lorado;Assessor;R/2022 urds indicate the sipublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 arables included i | ealist ubject o indic 022]. n this | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco ate the follo The current analysis are | p;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer owing opinion of e the best |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject vivalue of the subject higher indicator of the subject's comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison The cost approach is not a most readily reflects active | and analysis of the prior of the prior of the subject properties of th | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ed - doc #47866 e years: [quit clai lle price, due to g ue and the final c ttached Addendu 35,000 435,000 icient data is ava | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Correcorded on 5/16/im deed on 8/7/20: eneral market dynopinion of value is vom | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The well suppor | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 6504 re compa ted. | lorado; Assessor; R/2022 ords indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 arables included income Appach. Thus the Sal | ealist ubject o indic 022]. n this | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco ate the follo The current analysis are | p;Assessor;Realist 2 ent transfer pwing opinion of e the best |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject vivalue of the subject higher indicator of the subject's comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison The cost approach is not a most readily reflects active This appraisal is made "as i | and analysis of the prior SI 04/22/2022 \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 story of the subject propercial warranty devithin the last three rethan the prior saturent market val proach See A arison Approach \$4 arison Approach \$4 arison Approach \$5 applicable. Insuffe buyers / sellers. | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ed - doc #47866 e years: [quit clai lle price, due to g ue and the final c ttached Addendu 35,000 435,000 completion per plan | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Correcorded on 5/16/im deed on 8/7/202 eneral market dynopinion of value is volumed to the computed of the c | sor;Realist elogic publi 2022). Core 21, doc# 26 amics. The well suppor | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 6504 re compa ted. | lorado; Assessor; R./2022 Indo indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 Income Appach. Thus the Saleconded condition that | ealist ubject o indic 022]. n this | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco ate the follo The current analysis are f developed) mparison A | p;Assessor;Realist ent transfer owing opinion of e the best |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject vivalue of the subject higher indicator of the subject's comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison The cost approach is not a most readily reflects active This appraisal is made "as i completed, subject to the | and analysis of the prior of the prior of the subject properties of the subject to following repairs or the subject to subject to following repairs or the subject to subject subject to subject to subject sub | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ed - doc #47866 e years: [quit clai lle price, due to g ue and the final c ttached Addendu 35,000 435,000 completion per plan alterations on the bas | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Correcorded on 5/16/im deed on 8/7/20: eneral market dynopinion of value is volumed to compute the sand specifications of sis of a hypothetical compute the sand specifications of sis of sand specifications of sis of sand specifications of sand sp | eloped) \$ the basis of condition that | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 6504 re compa ted. approa | lorado; Assessor; R./2022 Inds indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 arables included income Appach. Thus the Salecond condition that irs or alterations have | ealist ubject o indic 022]. n this | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco ate the follo The current analysis are f developed) mparison A | p;Assessor;Realist ent transfer pwing opinion of the the best spproach is have been subject to the |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's comparison of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison The cost approach is not a most readily reflects active This appraisal is made "as i completed, | and analysis of the prior of the prior of the subject properties of the subject of the subject to following repairs of t | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ed - doc #47866 e years: [quit clai le price, due to g ue and the final c stached Addendu attached Addendu 35,000 435,000 icient data is ava completion per plan alterations on the bas any assumption that te | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Correcorded on 5/16/im deed on 8/7/20: eneral market dynopinion of value is volumed to compute the sand specifications of sis of a hypothetical compute the sand specifications of sis of sand specifications of sis of sand specifications of sand sp | eloped) \$ the basis of condition that | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 6504 re compa ted. approa | lorado; Assessor; R./2022 Inds indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 arables included income Appach. Thus the Salecond condition that irs or alterations have | ealist ubject o indic 022]. n this | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most reco ate the follo The current analysis are f developed) mparison A | p;Assessor;Realist ent transfer pwing opinion of the the best spproach is have been subject to the |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject vivalue of the subject higher indicator of the subject higher indicator of the subject's comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison The cost approach is not a most readily reflects active This appraisal is made subject to the following required inspection bas Personal property is not in Based on a visual inspection | and analysis of the prior of the prior of the subject properties of the prior satisfactor of the prior satisfactor of the prior of the subject to following repairs or seed on the extraordinal production of the exterior are considered in this value of the exterior are | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ed - doc #47866 e years: [quit clai lle price, due to g ue and the final c ttached Addendu 35,000 435,000 icient data is ava completion per plan alterations on the bas ary assumption that t uation. as of the subject in | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Correcorded on 5/16/im deed on 8/7/20: eneral market dynopinion of value is volumental market dynopinion of va | eloped) \$ he income on the basis of the street. | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 6504 re compa ted. approa | lorado; Assessor; R/2022 Inds indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 arables included income Appach. Thus the Saleconded condition the lirs or alterations have alteration or repair: Note that it is some of work, states and some of w | ealist ubject o indic 022]. roach (i | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most recolate the follor for current analysis are feveloped): f developed): mparison A mprovements completed, or ecial conditions assumption of the conditions are feveloped. | p;Assessor;Realist ent transfer pwing opinion of the best spproach is have been subject to the ons are noted. |
| Data Source(s) ReColorad Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi occurred on 4/22/2022 (sp. transfer(s) of the subject v value of the subject higher indicator of the subject's comparison of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison The cost approach is not a most readily reflects active This appraisal is made "as i completed, | and analysis of the prior of the prior of the subject properties of the prior satisfactor of the prior satisfactor of the prior of the subject to following repairs or seed on the extraordinal production of the exterior are considered in this value of the exterior are | r sale or transfer histor JBJECT Assessor;Realist perty and comparable ed - doc #47866 e years: [quit clai lle price, due to g ue and the final c ttached Addendu attached Addendu completion per plan atterations on the bas ary assumption that t uation. as of the subject p opinion of the n | COMPARABLE S. ReColorado; Asses 06/15/2022 sales Correcorded on 5/16/im deed on 8/7/20: eneral market dynopinion of value is volumental market dynopinion of va | eloped) \$ the basis of condition that incy does not the street, ned, of the | ReCol 06/15 ic reco elogic p 6504 re compa ted. approa | lorado; Assessor; R/2022 Inds indicate the sepublic records alsecorded on 3/16/2 arables included income Appach. Thus the Saleconded condition the lirs or alterations have alteration or repair: Income Saleconded in the sepublic records alseconded in the sepublic records alseconded in the sepublic records alseconded in the sepublic records alteration or repair: Income Appach. Thus the Saleconded in the sepublic records alteration or repair: Income Appach. Thus the Saleconded in the sepublic records alteration or repair: Income Appach. Thus the Saleconded in the sepublic records alteration or repair: Income Appach. Thus the Saleconded in the sepublic records alteration or repair: Income Appach. Thus the Saleconded in the sepublic records also record | ealist ubject o indic 022]. roach (i | ReColorado 06/15/2022 s most recolate the follor for current analysis are feveloped): f developed): mparison A mprovements completed, or ecial conditions assumption of the conditions are feveloped. | p;Assessor;Realist ent transfer pwing opinion of the best spproach is have been subject to the ons are noted. |

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 2 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

| FEATURE | SUBJECT | (| COMPARAL | BLE SALE # 4 | CON | VIPAKAB | LE SALE # 5 | | CUIVI | PAKABL | E SALE # | 0 |
|--|---|---------------|------------------------|--|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Address 9617 W 56th PI | | | / 56th PI | | 5335 Holla | | | | | | nce St | |
| Arvada, CO 8000 |)2 | Arvada, | , CO 800 | 02-2109 | Arvada, C | O 800 | 02-2962 | Arva | da, C0 | 0008 C | 2-2920 | |
| Proximity to Subject | | 0.08 mi | les NW | 1. | 0.48 miles | SE | 1. | | miles | S | | |
| Sale Price | \$ | | | \$ 587,000 | | | \$ 490,000 | | | | \$ | 505,000 |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ sq.ft. | \$ 69 | 0.59 sq.ff | | \$ 478.0 |)5 sq.ft. | | \$ | 513.2° | 1 sq.ft. | | |
| Data Source(s) | | MLS #6 | 208449 | DOM 2 | MLS #236 | 8157;I | DOM 3 | MLS | #4830 |)996;E | OOM 4 | |
| Verification Source(s) | | Doc #5 | 1369;Re | alist | Doc #103 | 718;Re | ealist | Doc: | #1098 | 48;Re | alist | |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DESCRIPTION | | RIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIP | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | | SCRIPT | | +(-) \$ A | djustment |
| Sales or Financing | | ArmLth | | 1 | ArmLth | | | ArmL | | | ,,, | |
| Concessions | | Conv;0 | | | Cash;0 | | | 1 | /;1800 | 1 | | |
| Date of Sale/Time | | s05/22; | | +3 000 | s07/21;c0 | 6/21 | +29,500 | | | | | +30,500 |
| Location | N;Res; | N;Res; | 003/22 | 13,000 | N;Res; | 0/21 | 129,300 | | | | | +5,000 |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple | <u> </u> | | | | <u> </u> | | | | s;Bsy | | | +5,000 |
| Site | Fee Simple | Fee Sin | | 0.000 | Fee Simpl | ie | | | Simple |) | | |
| | 6,870 sf | 7,615 s | T | -6,000 | 6,966 sf | | U | 7,106 | | | | 0 |
| View | N;Res; | N;Res; | | | N;Res; | | | N;Re | • | | | |
| Design (Style) | DT1;Ranch | DT1;Ra | nch | | DT1;Rand | :h | | | Ranch | 1 | | |
| Quality of Construction | Q4 | Q4 | | | Q4 | | | Q4 | | | | |
| Actual Age | 67 | 67 | | | 65 | | 0 | 65 | | | | 0 |
| Condition | C4 | C3 | | -44,000 | C4 | | | C3 | | | | -38,000 |
| Above Grade | Total Bdrms. Baths | Total Bd | rms. Baths | | Total Bdrms | . Baths | | Total | Bdrms. | Baths | | |
| Room Count | 5 3 1.0 | 4 | 2 1.0 | 0 | 5 3 | 1.0 | | 5 | 2 | 1.0 | | 0 |
| Gross Living Area | 850 sq.ft. | | 850 sq.ff | | | 5 sq.ft. | -15,500 | | 984 | sq.ft. | | -12,000 |
| Basement & Finished | 850sf425sfin | 850sf85 | | | 1025sf878 | | -27,500 | | | • | | +36,500 |
| Rooms Below Grade | 1rr0br1.0ba1o | 1rr2br1 | | | 1rr2br1.0b | | 0 | | | | | +5,000 |
| Functional Utility | Average | Average | | 1 | Average | | | Aver | ane | | | 0,000 |
| Heating/Cooling | FWA;None | FWA;C | | E 000 | FWA;CAC | | -5,000 | | | | | -5,000 |
| - | | | AU | -5,000 | | , | -5,000 | | | love | | |
| Energy Efficient Items | None | None | | | None | | 100 | | r;Wind | IOWS | | -20,000 |
| Garage/Carport | 2dw | 2dw | | 1 | 2ga2dw | | -16,000 | | | | | -8,000 |
| Porch/Patio/Deck | Porch;Patio | Similar | | 0 | Similar | | 0 | Simil | ar | | | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | + | | | | X - | \$ -34,500 | | | _ | \$ | -6,000 |
| Adjusted Sale Price | | Net Adj. | 12.5 % | ó | Net Adj. | 7.0 % | | Net Ad | | 1.2 % | | |
| of Comparables | | Gross Adj | | | Gross Adj. | 19.1 % | | | | 31.7 % | \$ | 499,000 |
| Report the results of the research a | and analysis of the prior | r sale or tra | ınsfer histo | y of the subject property | and compara | ble sales | (report additional prior | sales or | n page 3 | 8). | | |
| ITEM | SL | JBJECT | | COMPARABLE SA | LE # 4 | C | OMPARABLE SALE # | 5 | C | OMPAR | ABLE SALE | # 6 |
| | 04/22/2022 | | | 09/07/2021 | | | | | | | | |
| Date of Prior Sale/Transfer | 04/22/2022 | | | 00/01/2021 | | | | | | | | |
| Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$422,000 | Assessor | | \$1,350,000 | sor:Realist | ReCo | lorado:Assessor:R | ealist | ReCo | olorado | :Assesso | r:Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) | \$422,000 ReColorado;/ | Assessor | | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses | sor;Realist | | | ealist | | | | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | \$422,000 ReColorado;/ 06/15/2022 | | ;Realist | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi | \$422,000 ReColorado;/ 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | \$422,000 ReColorado;/ 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi | \$422,000 ReColorado;/ 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi | \$422,000 ReColorado;/ 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi | \$422,000 ReColorado;/ 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado;/ 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi #130017 - Warranty Deed | \$422,000 ReColorado; 06/15/2022 istory of the subject pro | perty and c | ;Realist comparable | \$1,350,000 ReColorado;Asses 06/15/2022 sales 965 | 55 W 56th F | 06/15 PI trans | 5/2022 | | 06/15 | 5/2022 | ! | r;Realist |

| PLEASE SEE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR FURTHER INFORMATION | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| ANSI Declaration: A one-story detached single-family house with 850 abo | ve-grade finished square fe | et and 425 b | pelow-grade finished s | quare feet |
| in the basement and 425 below grade unfinished square feet. In addition, | the property includes a 280 | sq. ft. enclo | sed-car port. | |
| | | | | |
| Note: The measurements are shown using ANSI guidelines, as recently s Mae, and may vary from the prior measurement standards/methods which public records, MLS, and other available sources. Finished square footag | n can result in a variance in e calculations for the subjec | the finished at were made | square footage (GLA) based on measured | shown in |
| dimensions only and may include unfinished areas, openings in floors not | associated with stairs, or o | penings in fl | oors exceeding the ar | ea of |
| associated stairs. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| COST APPROACH TO VALUE | (not required by Fannie Mae) | | | |
| | E (not required by Fannie Mae) | | | |
| COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation control for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est | ns. | ck of vacant l | land sales required the | e appraiser |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation | ns. mating site value) Lac | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject co | ns. mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b | pplied to imp | proved sales of similar | lot sizes |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject considerable sometimes of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of | ns. mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. | pplied to imp | proved sales of similar ther. Land values exc | lot sizes eeding |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject consider a subject of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED. REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW | ns. mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. | pplied to impy fire or wea | proved sales of similar ther. Land values exc =\$ | lot sizes |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for esting to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject comparable land sales or other methods for esting to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for esting to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for esting to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for esting to use the land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for established to use the land | ns. mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. | pplied to impy fire or wea | oroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc =\$ =\$ | lot sizes eeding |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject conduction of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED. REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A | ns. mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. | pplied to impy fire or wea | oroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc =\$ =\$ =\$ | lot sizes eeding |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject considerable of other subject market due to the lack of the subject market due to the subject mar | ns. mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. | pplied to impy fire or wea | oroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc =\$ =\$ | lot sizes eeding |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject conduction of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED. REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A | ns. mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING | pplied to imp y fire or wea Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ | oroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ | lot sizes eeding |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable and allocate a final value for the subject site. The subject composed of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there | ns. mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New | pplied to imp y fire or wea Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ | continued cont | lot sizes eeding |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject composition of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation | spplied to imply fire or weal Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ | coroved sales of similar coroved sales of sa | r lot sizes eeding 155,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ | coroved sales of similar coroved sales of sa | r lot sizes eeding 155,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject composed of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED. REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ | coroved sales of similar coroved sales of sa | r lot sizes eeding 155,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject composition of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. | ns. mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements | splied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ | content | r lot sizes eeding 155,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable site is subject to subject | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR | splied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ | coroved sales of similar coroved sales of sa | r lot sizes eeding 155,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable site. The subject composed site. The subject comparable site site subject comparable site site. The subject comparable site site subject comparable site. The subject comparable site subject compar | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) | splied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ | | r lot sizes eeding 155,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject composition of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional | Section Sect | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject composition of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional | Section Sect | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject composition of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED. REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional | Section Sect | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject composed of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED. REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW. Source of cost data N/A. Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not applice | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$(=\$) =\$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject composed of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED. REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW. Source of cost data N/A. Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not application IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Cable to this | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$(=\$) =\$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject composition of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not applicated I FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Cable to this | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$(=\$) =\$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject composition of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of some subject with the subject of the subject of site of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of some source of cost data. N/A comments on cost data N/A and the subject market of cost data N/A comments on cost service N/A and Effective date of cost data. N/A comments on cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the HOA and Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not applic I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Indicate the subject property is an attached | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Cable to this | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$(= \$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject composition of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of some subject with the subject of the subject of sites of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of some sour subject of sites of sites and subject of some subject of some subject of sites of subject of some subject of some subject of some subject of some subject of subject of subject of subject of some subject of subj | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not applied I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached nd the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s) | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Cable to this | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$(= \$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject co 30% of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not applic I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Indicate the subject property is an attached | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Cable to this | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$(= \$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject co 30% of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not applicated IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Ind the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Cable to this d Attached dwelling unit. | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$(= \$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject co 30% of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not applied I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached nd the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s) | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Cable to this d Attached dwelling unit. | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$(= \$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject co 30% of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not applicated IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Ind the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Cable to this d Attached dwelling unit. | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$(= \$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject co 30% of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project crontain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? | mating site value) Lac from local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not applicated IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Ind the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Cable to this d Attached dwelling unit. | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$(= \$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject co 30% of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No | mating site value) Lac Trom local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not applicate IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached nd the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of complete | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Cable to this d Attached dwelling unit. | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$(= \$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est to use the allocation method where land to value ratios were established to reconcile and allocate a final value for the subject's site. The subject cost 30% of overall value were common in the subject market due to the lack of ESTIMATED. REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data N/A Quality rating from cost service N/A Effective date of cost data N/A Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not applied as the area is fully built up and there is no vacant land available, except where an existing house will be torn down. In addition, physical depreciation is often difficult to estimate for homes over 5 years of age. Although the Cost Approach could be considered an applicable approach to value, it is not typically relied upon by market participants for one to four family properties. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) The intypically owner occupied. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No | mating site value) Lac Trom local tax records and a uld be rebuilt if destroyed b of available vacant sites. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ come approach is not applicate IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached nd the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of complete | spplied to imply fire or wear Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Cable to this d Attached dwelling unit. | coroved sales of similar ther. Land values exc = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$(= \$ Indicated Value by I report as homes in the | ncome Approach |

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

| APPRAISER 1 | SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED) |
|--|--|
| Signature Jogan Kalgey | Signature |
| Name Logan Kalzer | Name |
| Company Name Clark Appraisal Network | Company Name |
| Company Address 300 East 2nd Ave, Suit #1405 | Company Address |
| Reno, NV 89501 | |
| Telephone Number <u>530.550.2562</u> | Telephone Number |
| Email Address logan.katzer@clarioappraisal.com | Email Address |
| Date of Signature and Report 06/17/2022 | Date of Signature |
| Effective Date of Appraisal 06/15/2022 | State Certification # |
| State Certification # | or State License # |
| or State License # AL200002944 | State |
| or Other (describe) State # | Expiration Date of Certification or License |
| State CO | |
| Expiration Date of Certification or License 12/31/2022 | SUBJECT PROPERTY |
| | ☐ Did not inspect exterior of subject property |
| ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED | Did inspect exterior of subject property from street |
| 9617 W 56th PI | Date of Inspection |
| Arvada, CO 80002 | |
| APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 435,000 | COMPARADIS ON SO |
| LENDER/CLIENT | COMPARABLE SALES |
| Name Clear Capital | ☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street |
| Company Name Wedgewood Inc | Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street |
| Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, | Date of Inspection |
| Redondo Beach, CA 90278 | |
| Email Address | |

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

File No. The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with a clear and accurate understanding of the market trends and conditions prevalent in the subject neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraisal reports with an effective date on or after April 1, 2009. ZIP Code 80002 Property Address 9617 W 56th PI City Arvada State CO Catamount Properties 2018 LLC Borrower Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions, and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding housing trends and overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an average instead of the median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, foreclosures, etc. Prior 7-12 Months Inventory Analysis Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Declining Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) 17 8 10 Increasing Stable **X** Increasing Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Stable Declining 2.83 2.67 3.33 Total # of Comparable Active Listings Increasing Declining Stable 0 0 ■ Stable Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Declining Increasing 0.4 0.0 0.0 Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Declining Median Comparable Sale Price Increasing Stable \$522,000 \$519,000 \$616,500 Median Comparable Sales Days on Market Declining X Stable Increasing 4 4 Median Comparable List Price Stable Declining \$572,000 N/A N/A Increasing Median Comparable Listings Days on Market N/A Declining Stable Increasing 44 N/A Median Sale Price as % of List Price Increasing Declining Stable 102% 104% 110% X Stable Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance prevalent? Declining Increasing **⋈** No Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the past 12 months (e.g., seller contributions increased from 3% to 5%, increasing use of buydowns, closing costs, condo An analysis was performed on 35 competing sales over the past 12 months. For those sales, a total of 34.3% were reported to have seller concessions. This analysis shows a change of -2.3% per month. Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market? **X** No If yes, explain (including the trends in listings and sales of foreclosed properties). Yes An analysis was performed on 35 competing sales over the past 12 months. For those sales, a total of 0.0% were reported to be REO Cite data sources for above information. Information reported in the REColorado system (using an effective date of 06/15/2022) was utilized to arrive at the results noted on this addendum. Any percent change results noted in these comments are based on simple regression Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. If you used any additional information, such as an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. An analysis was performed on 35 competing sales over the past 12 months. The sales within this group had a median sale price of \$575,000. This analysis shows a change of +2.3% per month. Based on all sales in this same group, there is a 0.0 month supply. This analysis shows a change of +6.8% per month. These sales had a median DOM of 4. This analysis shows a change of +25.5% per month. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: **Project Name** Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4–6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Stable Declining Increasing Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Declining Total # of Active Comparable Listings Stable Declining Increasing Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Declining Stable Increasing Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of Yes No foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject unit and project. Signature Signature Supervisory Appraiser Name Appraiser Name Company Name Clario praisal Network Company Name Company Address Company Address 300 East 2nd Ave, Suit #1405, Reno, NV 89501

logan.katzer@clarioappraisal.com Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

AL200002944

State License/Certification #

Email Address

ARCH &

0/CO-OP

Page 1 of 1

State

CO

Fannie Mae Form 1004MC March 2009


State

State License/Certification #


Email Address

Photograph Addendum


| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | |
| City | Arvada | County Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 |
| Landar/Cliant | Modgowood Inc | | | |



Median \$




Housing Supply




Photograph Addendum


| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|--|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | | |
| City | Arvada | County Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | |



Concession %



Foreclosure Analysis



Market Trend Graph

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|---|------|----|----------|-------|--|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | | | | | | |
| City | Arvada | County | Jefferson | S | tate | СО | Zip Code | 80002 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | | | | | |



Sales Comparison Adjustment Support

Summary Information

Effective Date 06/17/2022

Analysis Date

06/17/2022 Datasets Analyzed 3
06/17/2022 Properties per Dataset 116 - 35 - 6

The following transactional items were accounted for in the order shown prior to calculating any property feature adjustments below and only as necessary for transaction adjustments: Property Rights, Financing, Distressed Sales, Seller Concessions, Market Conditions.

Lot Size

\$8.25 / SqFt

Calculated Results for the Adjustment Methods

Low: \$0.00 High: \$16.35

Name of the Adjustment Methods Calculated Above: 7 different types of Simple Regression, Average True Paired Sales

GLA

\$89 / SqFt

Calculated Results for the Adjustment Methods

Low: \$36 High: \$132

Name of the Adjustment Methods Calculated Above: Depreciated Cost, Least Absolute Deviation Regression, Least Median of Squares Regression, Robust Least Median of Squares Regression, Sensitivity Analysis, Theil-Sen Regression

Full Bath

\$10,000

Calculated Results for the Adjustment Methods

Low: \$7,108 High: \$19,660

Name of the Adjustment Methods Calculated Above: Depreciated Cost, Least Absolute Deviation Regression, Modified Quantile Regression **Half Bath**

\$5,000

Calculated Results for the Adjustment Methods

Low: \$1,017 High: \$15,410

Name of the Adjustment Methods Calculated Above: Depreciated Cost, Least Absolute Deviation Regression, Theil-Sen Regression

Basement Fin. Size

\$50

Calculated Results for the Adjustment Methods

Low: \$9 High: \$86

Name of the Adjustment Methods Calculated Above: Depreciated Cost, 7 different types of Simple Regression, Sensitivity Analysis **Basement Size**

\$28

Calculated Results for the Adjustment Methods

Low: \$9 High: \$75

Name of the Adjustment Methods Calculated Above: Depreciated Cost, Robust Least Median of Squares

Regression, Sensitivity Analysis

Garage Spaces

\$8,000

Calculated Results for the Adjustment Methods

Low: \$0 High: \$17,639

Name of the Adjustment Methods Calculated Above: Grouped Data (Median and Average), 5 different types of Simple Regression, Adjusted and True Paired Sales (Median and Average)

Market Adjustment Comments

Sales Comparison Adjustment Comments

The following transactional items were accounted for in the order shown prior to calculating any property feature adjustment results and only as necessary for transaction adjustments: Property Rights, Financing Terms, Distressed Sales, Seller Concessions, and Market Conditions.

Lot Size

The Lot Size adjustment was developed at \$8.25 per square foot. The results (based on all adjustment methods that were calculated and considered relevant) provide an adjustment range from \$0.00 to \$16.35. Average True Paired Sales in addition to seven different types of simple regression were the adjustment methods used to develop this adjustment.

GLA

The GLA adjustment was developed at \$89 per square foot. The results (based on all adjustment methods that were calculated and considered relevant) provide an adjustment range from \$36 to \$132. Depreciated Cost, four different types of simple regression, and Sensitivity Analysis were the adjustment methods used to develop this adjustment.

Full Bath

The Full Bath adjustment was developed at \$10,000. The results (based on all adjustment methods that were calculated and considered relevant) provide an adjustment range from \$7,100 to \$19,700. Depreciated Cost, Modified Quantile Regression, and Least Absolute Deviation Regression were the adjustment methods used to develop this adjustment.

Half Bath

The Half Bath adjustment was developed at \$5,000. The results (based on all adjustment methods that were calculated and considered relevant) provide an adjustment range from \$1,000 to \$15,400. Depreciated Cost, Theil-Sen Regression, and Least Absolute Deviation Regression were the adjustment methods used to develop this adjustment.

Basement Fin. Size

The Basement Finished Area adjustment was developed at \$50. The results (based on all adjustment methods that were calculated and considered relevant) provide an adjustment range from \$9 to \$86. Depreciated Cost, seven different types of simple regression, and Sensitivity Analysis were the adjustment methods used to develop this adjustment.

Basement Size

The Basement Total Area adjustment was developed at \$28. The results (based on all adjustment methods that were calculated and considered relevant) provide an adjustment range from \$9 to \$75. Depreciated Cost, Robust Least Median of Squares Regression, and Sensitivity Analysis were the adjustment methods used to develop this adjustment.

Garage Spaces

The Garage Space adjustment was developed at \$8,000. The results (based on all adjustment methods that were calculated and considered relevant) provide an adjustment range from \$0 to \$17,600. Depreciated Cost, Grouped Data (Median and Average), Adjusted and True Paired Sales (Median and Average), and five different types of simple regression were the adjustment methods used to develop this adjustment.

Market Adjustment Methods

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|----|----------|-------|--|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | | | | | |
| City | Arvada | County | Jefferson | State | CO | Zip Code | 80002 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | | | | |

Sales Comparison Adjustment Methods

Allocation

For the allocation method, a certain percentage of the sale price of a property is allocated to each feature. The potential adjustment is based on that percentage allocated for a particular feature. Percentages applied may be based on cost data, market analysis, or assessor information.

Depreciated Cost

This method determines a potential adjustment by subtracting depreciation from the cost to build an improvement with the result being the value (adjustment) for the feature being measured. The difference between cost and value is depreciation so if the cost to build an improvement and the depreciation can be determined with relative accuracy then the result is the potential adjustment for that feature.

Grouped Data

This method involves grouping the data (sales) into two categories based on the feature being measured. The average or median price of the first group is compared to the average or median price of the second. The difference in those two prices is the potential adjustment for the feature being measured.

Paired Sales (True)

A method of comparing two properties that are considered to be the same in all features except for one. In theory, the difference in the sales price of each property is an approximation of the value difference (or adjustment) for the one feature in which the properties differ. For this analysis, all properties that were analyzed are compared against each other to find all "pairs" and then the average and median of the results of all of those pairs is found.

Paired Sales (Adjusted)

This is the same as True Paired Sales except that if a property differs in more than one feature (True Paired Sales requires that only one feature is different) and the appraiser is confident they can adjust for any of those differing methods so that the result is only one differing method this would allow for an "Adjusted Pair". Adjusted Pairs will nearly always have more data points since it allows for more than one differing feature (non-perfect matches).

Sensitivity

This method is based on the theory that the best adjustment is the one that results in the smallest range of adjusted sales prices for all sales analyzed. It "plugs in" an adjustment and calculates what the sales price would be if that were the adjustment and it does that for every sale. Then it determines the range (difference between the low and high) of the adjusted sales prices. It repeats that process to test every possible adjustment. The adjustment that leads to the smallest range of adjusted prices is the final result.

Survey

In this method, market participants (e.g. appraisers, brokers, real estate agents, etc) are contacted in order to determine what they believe to be what a typical buyer and seller would agree to as far as the added value for a particular feature (swimming pool, barn, new roof, addition, etc). Typically the average and/or median of those results is the potential adjustment based on the survey method.

Ordinary Least Squares Regression

Among the most common of all types of simple regression, this method minimizes the sum of the squares of the differences between a variable and it's predicted value (called the residual). One of the results of this regression method is the slope of a line that can be drawn through the data points. That slope is the potential adjustment based on this method.

Theil-Sen Regression

This simple regression method finds the slope of every possible line that can be drawn between every pair of data points if they were plotted on a chart. It then takes the median of all of the slopes of those lines and that is the potential adjustment based on this method. Since this method utilizes the median, it does reduce the impact of outliers on the data.

Least Absolute Deviation

This simple regression method determines every line that can be drawn between each pair of data points. For each of those lines, the distance of the remaining data points to the line is calculated using the absolute value. All of those distances are then added up and the slope of the particular line that results in the smallest sum of absolute values for the residuals (deviation) is the potential adjustment result based on this method.

Least Median of Squares

Another form of simple regression that is very similar to Ordinary Least Squares Regression except that instead of taking the average of the squares of the residuals, this method utilizes the median of the squares of the residuals. As a result this method tends to be a bit more robust to outliers than Ordinary Least Squares Regression.

Robust Simple Regression


If any of the above Simple Regression methods has the word "Robust" in front of it that means that during the calculations, when the average of all of the data points is subtracted from the data point in question, instead the median of all data points is subtracted from the data point in question. This tends to make a particular regression method more "robust" to outliers (meaning less impacted by outliers).

Modified Quantile Regression

This is a modified type of Robust Least Squares Regression where, instead of subtracting the median (the 50th percentile) from each data point, 9 different percentiles are tested (from 10% up to 90%) and the result from the one that has the best (highest) r-squared is the final result. This means that regression is calculated nine times (one time for each percentile tested) but only the results from the one with the best r-squared score is utilized.

Market Adjustment Charts

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|----|----------|-------|--|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | | | | | |
| City | Arvada | County | Jefferson | State | СО | Zip Code | 80002 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | | | | |



| | - Cap | pioinontai maaonaam | 11101 | 10. 02040000 | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|--|
| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | |
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | | |
| City | Arvada | County Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | |

File No. 32040030

PURPOSE OF APPRAISAL REPORT

The purpose of this appraisal is to estimate the market value of the subject property as defined herein. The function of the appraisal is to assist the above-named Lender/Client, its successors and/or assigns, in evaluating the subject property for lending purposes. This is a federally regulated transaction. Additional supporting data can be found in our appraiser work file.

It is assumed that the title to this property is good and marketable. No title search has been made, nor have we attempted to determine ownership of the property. The value estimate is given without regard to any questions of title, boundaries, or encroachments. It is assumed that all assessments are paid. We assume the property to be free and clear of liens and encumbrances except as noted.

The legal description, if included herein, should be verified by legal counsel before being relied upon or used in any conveyance or other document.

We are not familiar with any engineering studies made to determine the bearing capacity of the land. Improvements in the area appear to be structurally sound. It is therefore assumed that soil and subsoil conditions are stable unless specifically outlined in this report.

Any exhibits in the report are intended to assist the reader in visualizing the property and its surroundings. The drawings are not intended as surveys and no responsibility is assumed for their cartographic accuracy. Drawings are not intended to be exact in size, scale or detail.

Areas and dimensions of the property were physically measured. If data is furnished by the principal or from plot plans or surveys furnished by the principal, or from public records, we assume it to be reasonably accurate. In the absence of current surveys, land areas may be based upon representations made by the owner's agents or the client. No attempt has been made to render an opinion or determine the status of easements that may exist. No responsibility is assumed for discrepancies that may become evident from a licensed survey of the property.

The value estimate involves only the real estate and all normal building equipment if any improvements are involved. No consideration was given to personal property, (or special equipment), unless stated.

It is assumed that the property is subject to lawful, competent and informed ownership and management unless noted.

Information in this report concerning market data was obtained from buyers, sellers, brokers, attorneys, trade publications or public records. To the extent possible, this information was examined for accuracy and is believed to be reliable. Dimensions, areas or data obtained from others is believed correct; however, no guarantee is made.

Any information, in whatever form, furnished by others is believed to b e reliable; however, no responsibility is assumed for accuracy.

The separate allocations between land and improvements, if applicable, represents our judgment only under the existing utilization of the property. A re-evaluation should be made if the improvements are removed or substantially altered, and the land utilized for another purpose.

All information and comments concerning the location, neighborhood trends, construction quality and costs, loss in value from whatever cause, condition, rents, or any other data for the property appraised herein, represents the estimates and opinions of the appraiser formed after an examination and study of the property.

Any valuation analysis of the income stream has been predicted upon financing conditions as specified herein, which we have reason to believe are currently available for this property. Financing terms and conditions other than those indicated may alter the final value conclusions.

The appraiser is not required to give testimony or appear in court because of having made this appraisal, with reference to the property in question, unless arrangements have been made previously thereto. If the appraiser (s) is subpoenaed pursuant to court order, the client will be required to compensate said appraiser(s) for his/her time at his/her regular hourly rates, plus expenses.

All opinions, as to values stated, are presented as the appraiser's considered opinion based on the information set forth in the report and his experience. We assume no responsibility for changes in market conditions or for the inability of the client or any other party to achieve their desired results based upon the appraised value. Further, some of the assumptions made can be subject to variation depending upon evolving events. We realize some assumptions may never occur and unanticipated events or circumstances may occur. Therefore, actual results achieved during the projection period may vary from those in this report.

The appraisal assignment was not based on developing or reporting predetermined results, or a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the approval of a loan.

Our analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of: USPAP Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, and SPP-AI Code of Professional Ethics and the Standards of Professional Practice of the Appraisal Institute; and, except as noted in the Scope of Appraisal, in conformity with specific implementation rules of the following agencies:

| | - Cupp | ioilioiltai Audollaalii | | 1110 110. 32340 | 1930 |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------|-------|
| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | |
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | | |
| City | Arvada | County Jefferson | State C | Zip Code | 80002 |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | |

File No. 32040030

FIRREA Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act and section 5(b) of the Bank Company Holding Act; FRB – Federal Reserve Board; RTC-Resolution Trust Corporation; OTS-Office of Thrift Supervision; FDIC – Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; OTC – Office of the Comptroller; NCUA – National Credit Union Association.

THE APPRAISER HAS PREPARED THIS APPRAISAL IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE APPRAISAL INDEPENDENCE REQUIREMENTS AND HAS NOT PERFORMED, PARTICIPATED IN, OR BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH ANY ACTIVITY IN VIOLATION OF AIR.

AT THE REQUEST OF THE CLIENT, THIS APPRAISAL REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) FROM FANNIE MAE AND FREDDIE MAC. THE UAD REQUIRES THE APPRAISER TO USE STANDARDIZED RESPONSES THAT INCLUDE SPECIFIC FORMATS, DEFINITIONS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND ACRONYMS.

We do not authorize the out-of context quoting from or partial reprinting of this appraisal report. Further, neither all nor any part of the contents of this report (especially any conclusions as to value, the identity of the appraiser nor the name of the firm which he is connected, shall be reproduced, published, or disseminated to the public through advertising media, public relations media, news media, or another public means of communication, without the prior written consent of the appraiser signing this report.

Adobe's Distiller software or equivalent may be utilized by appraiser to transmit this encrypted PDF-formatted appraisal. At a minimum, the software contains the following security measure:

- identifies transmission error during the transmission process, and confirms date, time and quantity of data submitted by appraiser and the date, time and quantity of data received by the Client, and/or its assigns and secures data from editing by means of a password, bardware device, or other means that remains in the sole
- secures data from editing by means of a password, hardware device, or other means that remains in the sole control of the transmitting appraiser.

NEIGHBORHOOD MARKET CONDITIONS

No discounts, buy downs or other concessions were noted. Current 30 year fixed rate financing.

Stricter Lending Standards and the availability of Mortgage Capital may affect the average sales prices in the area, however, given the market data analyzed by the appraiser, there are no fiscal or economic trends expected to occur that would significantly impact the relatively stable market currently experienced in this neighborhood.

Neighborhood conditions can be found in detail in the attached 1004MC form.

1004 MARKET CONDITIONS COMMENTS

Trends in real estate are directly related to historic, economic, demographic, and political forces within a market area. Events occurring nationally, regionally and locally can significantly impact the success of all types of real estate development. Macroeconomic conditions, such as interest rates, inflation, job security, industrial productivity, and stability in the stock market, shape consumer confidence and business investment activity. Regional and local indicators do not always mirror national trends. As a result, the economic conditions on a regional and local level have the most significant impact on real estate markets and must be analyzed separately. Diversity and stability in employment, job growth, business expansion and the profile of the available labor force all impact the economic stability of a region. Consumer demographics in the local market, such a population growth, household statistics, age/family characteristics and income levels, specifically impact the type of real estate development that can be sustained, the amount of development supported, prices/rents, absorption of space and the amenities required.

1004 MC Instructions state: "Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property".

"Subject Specific" parameters are often utilized, but by doing this, it will yield a smaller number of sales, too low to be considered statistically significant, it is then the appraiser's parameters may be expanded to include areas outside of the subject's immediate market neighborhood but still within the surrounding area to produce a statistically credible amount of data to achieve results in which support the appraiser's trend conclusions & not miss-lead the reader with an inadequate amount of statistical data,

DUE TO THE INABILITY OF THE MLS TO FILTER CERTAIN KEY DATA, THE 1004MC INCLUDES PROPERTIES WHICH MAY MATCH IN FILTERABLE PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS, BUT ARE NOT ALWAYS COMPARABLE DWELLINGS.

****As of the date of this appraisal, any impact on real estate market conditions from COVID-19 is immeasurable. Market conditions and trends require a sufficient time and quantity of data to fully analyze. It would be reasonable to assume some impact on supply and demand; however, at this time, there is insufficient evidence that would allow us to formulate a conclusion. Prior virus outbreaks did not have any lasting impact since viral outbreaks have a limited timeline on interruption on business activity.****

| | - Ju | ppionionitai Addonadii | 1 110 1 | 10. 32940930 | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------------|--|
| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | |
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | | |
| City | Arvada | County Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | |

File No. 32040030

SITE COMMENTS

This site is very typical of the neighborhood in terms of size, topography, view and general appeal. It provides a suitable setting for the improvements and is consistent with market expectations in this price range. Statements regarding zoning compliance are intended only in the most general sense. Zoning and building ordinances vary significantly from one municipality to another and can be extremely detailed. The scope of this assignment does not include a comparison of every potentially significant characteristic of the subject property's site and improvements relative to zoning and building ordinances. Unless otherwise noted, standard utility and right-of-way easements are insignificant to value. However, a current locational or boundary survey or title report may reveal encroachments, easements, zoning violations or other matters of interest that could warrant modification of the appraised value.

SUBJECT IMPROVEMENTS COMMENTS

The subject appeared to be vacant with some remodeling happening due to the roll off dumpster in the drive way, which appeared to contain mostly landscaping remnants. The carport appears to have been fully enclosed, the door to the carport was open at the time of the drive by inspection, the room appeared to be partially finished and used as storage, the appraiser is making the extraordinary assumption that the assessor data for property improvements is most accurate and the enclosed carport is non-confirming living space, not heated or cooled and not contiguous. Therefore not included with the finished GLA of the subject.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

SECTION 10 TOWNSHIP 03 RANGE 69 QTR SW SUBDIVISIONCD 343400 SUBDIVISIONNAME HIGHLAND HOMES BLOCK LOT 0121 SIZE: 6870 TRACT VALUE: .158

SALES COMPARABLE COMMENTS

Closing cost credits were noted for comparables. These types of concessions are not uncommon for the market. According to industry standards, including Fannie Mae guidelines, the amount of the negative dollar adjustment for each comparable with sales or financing concessions should be equal to any increase in the purchase price of the comparable that the appraiser determines to be attributable to the concessions. However, negative adjustments for sales or financing concessions on a dollar-for-dollar deduction that are equal to the cost of the concessions to the seller, as a strict cash equivalency approach would dictate, are not appropriate and the appraiser is responsible to determine what adjustment, if any, would be attributable to the concession. In the case of comparable sales the closing cost credits do not appear to have impacted the sale price and therefore no adjustments were warranted.

The Market Trend graph indicates an 7.7% increase in value over the past year. Due to the limitations of the 1004MC the appraiser used data provided by the local area MLS. This data would be considered by the typical buyer and seller (listing and selling agents) as the Gold Standard for a determination of market trends. The appraiser is making a time adjustment of 0.5% per month (based on the InfoSpark data along with paired sales analysis) in the direction of the market as this data is indicating an increasing market.

Currently the Denver-metro area is experiencing historically low inventory, which is driving up prices. Bidding wars and offers over asking price are common.

The appraiser identified no market reaction to the following items: Fireplaces, Decks/Patios/Porches. These items could have contributory value to borrower/seller individually.

The subject appeared to be in the process of being worked on at the time of the drive by inspection. The appraiser is making the extra ordinary assumption that the interior is in similar condition to the exterior with a UAD rating of C4. If found to be untrue this could have an impact on the final opinion of value. Condition ratings were based on UAD definitions and were based on MLS data on a case by case basis. The appraiser would note that chronological age is not the benchmark of the typical buyer. Effective age is the market standard and condition adjustments were applied. Typically homes will receive update and finishes to correct outdates items in preparation to be listed on the MLS. Comparables #1, #2, #3 and #5 appeared to be most similar to the subject's condition.

Unless otherwise specified in the original engagement of the appraiser the following criteria for market based adjustments and established guidelines.

Gross living area adjustments were made at \$89 per square foot. Fannie Mae does not have a percentage GLA adjustments guideline, but does state that large differences should be addressed. A market threshold of 10% of the subjects reported GLA was used to addresses differences in GLA. Smaller differences in GLA were either not recognized by the market or were negligible to the typical buyer.

Comparable #6 featured energy efficient items included upgraded windows and owned solar panels. combined adjustment of \$20,000 was given based on paired sales analysis for these additional amenities.

The remaining adjustments are indicated on the sales comparison grid and supported by the included market adjustment pages. All adjustments are rounded to the nearest \$500.00

| Supp | iementai Addendum | File No. 32940930 | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | | |
| 9617 W 56th PI | | | | | |
| Arvada | County Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 | | |

FINAL ANALYSIS

Wedgewood Inc

Arvada

Borrower

City

Property Address

Lender/Client

The Sales Comparison Approach was considered most applicable in the valuation of the subject.

Comparable sales bracket the potential value of the subject property in adjusted range of:

<u>High</u> **Central Tendency** Variation of Adj Sales Price

\$425,000 \$513,500 21% \$461.738

The appraiser gave weighted consideration to comp #1 (33.3%) for low gross and net adjustments, similar GLA and similar condition, #2 (33.3%) for next lowest gross adjustment, and similar parking, then #3 (33.3%) for next low gross adjustment, recency of the closed and second closes proximity. The comparable bracket the overall factors for of market reaction, above and below grade living utility, chronilogical age, condition and similar site sizes. All other comparable were used to further refine market based adjustments and indicate marketability.

Weighted Comparison Comp 1 Comp 2 Comp 3

\$425,000 \$440,425 \$437,000 \$434,142

All factors considered; it is reasonable the subject would have a market value of \$435,000.

The Cost Approach was not developed, however an opinion of site value was included at the request of the client. The Income Approach was not developed.

PREDOMINANT VALUE

The predominant value refers to the most frequently occurring value or value of the market place. The subjects estimated market value differs from the predominant value. The subject is not an under improvement for the area. This will not affect the marketability of the subject.

ADDITIONAL SALES COMPARABLE COMMENTS

The comparables utilized were considered the best available to derive subject's valuation. Appropriate market adjustments were made for dissimilarities in all comps. Square footage were obtained from the assessor's office. Where assessor records were unavailable or appeared inaccurate, square footages were obtained from a multiplier derived from the market. The appraiser uses a variety of data services such as public and private online databases which include assessor's records, county recorder, FEMA Flood Maps, county websites, local zoning maps an/or phone confirmations by the appropriate zoning authorities, local MLS information, or any other reliable sources considered typical for the market area. All sources are considered to be reliable sources of data. When discrepancies in the information are found, the appraiser will use the source(s) that is believed to be the most reliable in the appraisal report. The appraiser will report only the data pertinent to the valuation process. When applicable, the data presented in the Sales Comparison Approach has been verified by more than one source unless otherwise noted.

The appraiser attempted to obtain an adequate amount of information in the normal course of business regarding the subject and comparable properties. Some of the standardized responses required by the UAD, especially those in which the appraiser has not had the opportunity to verify personally or measure, could mistakenly imply greater precision and reliability in the data than is factually correct or typical in the normal course of business. Examples include condition and quality ratings as well as comparable sales and listing data. Not every element of the subject property was viewable (list if necessary) and comparable property data was generally obtained from third-party sources (list sources). Consequently, this information should be considered an "estimate" unless otherwise noted by the appraiser.

EXTERIOR INSPECTION ADDENDA

The appraiser has been requested to perform an appraisal based on an exterior only inspection and not to disturb the occupants by entering the building. The physical characteristics used to develop this appraisal are based on the assessment records of El Paso County, Colorado and on the multiple listing service information. The subject property was observed from the public street as of the effective date of the appraisal. On the basis of the observed conditions, the assessment records and multiple listing service information appear to be accurate. For the purposes of this appraisal, it is assumed that the interior condition of the subject property is consistent with the exterior conditions as observed and that the information concerning the interior condition as provided by the assessor's records and the multiple listing service is accurate.

PHYSICAL DEFICIENCIES OR ADVERSE CONDITIONS

Unless otherwise stated in this report, the existence of hazardous material and/or electromagnetic emission, which may or may not be present on the property, was not observed by the appraiser. The appraiser has no such knowledge of the existence of such materials on or in the subject property, or in the properties of the subject neighborhood. The appraiser is not qualified to detect such substances. The presence of such substances as asbestos, urea formaldehyde foam insulation, radon, mold, or other potentially hazardous material may affect the value of the property. The value estimate expressed is predicated on the assumption that there is no such material in or on the property that would cause a loss in value. No responsibility is assumed for any such conditions, or for any expertise or engineering knowledge required discovering them. The customer is urged to retain an expert in this

Dwellings built prior to 1978 may contain lead-based paint.

| Supp | iementai Addendum | File N | 0. 32940930 | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------|--|
| Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | |
| 9617 W 56th PI | | | | |
| Arvada | County Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 | |

Lender/Client MOLD

Property Address

Wedgewood Inc

Borrower

City

The appraiser is not a home or environmental inspector. The appraiser provides an opinion of value. The appraisal does not guarantee that the property is free of defects or environmental problems. The appraiser performs an inspection of visible and accessible areas only. Mold may be present in areas the appraiser cannot see. A professional home inspection or environmental inspection is recommended.

CONCLUSION

This is an Appraisal Report which is intended to comply with the reporting requirements set forth under Standards Rule 2-2(a) of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice for an Appraisal Report. As such, it presents only minimal discussions of the data, reasoning, and analyses that were used in the appraisal process to develop the appraiser's opinion of value. Supporting documentation concerning the data, reasoning, and analyses is retained in the appraiser's file. The depth of discussion contained in this report is specific to the needs of the client and for the intended use stated herein. The appraiser is not responsible for unauthorized use of this report.

Subject Photo Page

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | |
| City | Arvada | County Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | |



Subject Front

9617 W 56th PI

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 850 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View 6,870 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 67



Subject Side



Subject Street

Comparable Photo Page

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | |
| City | Arvada | County Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | |



Comparable 1

5108 Iris St

0.58 miles S Prox. to Subject Sales Price 435,000 Gross Living Area 910 Total Rooms 4 Total Bedrooms 2 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6,910 sf Quality Q4 64 Age



Comparable 2

8595 W 54th Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.76 miles SE Sales Price 482,425 Gross Living Area 1,000 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms **Total Bathrooms** 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 6,498 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 62



Comparable 3

5626 Johnson St

Prox. to Subject 0.15 miles W Sales Price 475,000 Gross Living Area 1,120 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.1 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7,175 sf Quality Q4 Age 63

Comparable Photo Page

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | |
| City | Arvada | County Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | |



Comparable 4

9655 W 56th PI

Prox. to Subject 0.08 miles NW Sales Price 587,000 Gross Living Area 850 **Total Rooms** 4 Total Bedrooms 2 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 7,615 sf Quality Q4 67 Age



Comparable 5

5335 Holland St

Prox. to Subject 0.48 miles SE Sales Price 490,000 Gross Living Area 1,025 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 6,966 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 65



Comparable 6

5409 Independence St

Prox. to Subject 0.25 miles S
Sales Price 505,000
Gross Living Area 984
Total Rooms 5
Total Bedrooms 2
Total Bathrooms 1.0

Location A;Res;BsyRd
View N;Res;
Site 7,106 sf
Quality Q4
Age 65

Assessor Data - Page 1

6/15/22, 9:40 AM

Assessor Property Records Search - Property Details

Property Information

Home / PIN/Schedule Number / Property Information

Property Information

Sales

History

PIN/Schedule 300009640 Owners SIEGRIST RANDY

AIN/Parcel ID 39-103-13-009 Property Address 9617 W 56TH PL ARVADA, CO 80002

Address and Political Address Information

Property Class 1212 Single Family Residential Mailing Address 9617 W 56TH PL ARVADA, CO 80002-2109



Legal Description

Subdivision 343400 HIGHLAND HOMES Parcel Map Map

Interactive Map Aspin Interactive Map JMap

| Block | Lot | Tract/Key | Section | Township | Range | QSection | Land SQFT | Land Acres |
|-------|------|-----------|---------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|------------|
| | 0121 | | 10 | 03 | 69 | SW | 6,870 | 0.158 |
| | | | | | | Total | 6,870 | 0.158 |

CAUTION: The above legal description is incomplete and for internal purposes only. DO NOT USE THE ABOVE LEGAL DESCRIPTION FOR DEEDS and other legal

Sale History

| Sale Date | Sale Amount | Deed Type | Doc/Instr# |
|-----------|-------------|------------------------------|------------|
| 5/8/1969 | \$14,500 | Other | 21010670 |
| 12/1/1993 | \$0 | Death Certificate | 93199141 |
| 12/2/1993 | \$0 | Quit Claim Deed | 93205388 |
| 1/28/2007 | \$0 | Letter or Letters | 2007021506 |
| 2/24/2007 | \$0 | Quit Claim Deed | 2007021507 |
| 2/24/2007 | \$0 | Quit Claim Deed | 2007021508 |
| 2/24/2007 | \$0 | Quit Claim Deed | 2007021509 |
| 3/12/2007 | \$0 | Personal Representative Deed | 2007029925 |
| 3/12/2007 | \$200,000 | Warranty Deed | 2007029926 |
| 5/16/2008 | \$162,000 | Warranty Deed | 2008049417 |

1/3

Assessor Data - Page 2

6/15/22, 9:40 AM Assessor Property Records Search - Property Details Property Valuation S FIND COMPARABLE SALES Mass Appraisal Valuation Explanation Actual Land Value Assessed Imp Value Tax Year Actual Imp Actual Total Assessed Land Assessed Total Notice of Value Value Value Value Valuation 2022 payable \$154,260 \$191,904 \$346,164 \$10,721 \$13,337 \$24,058 2023 \$154,260 \$191,904 \$11,030 \$13,721 \$24,751 View Notice 2021 payable \$346,164 2022 Mill Levy Information Treasurer Tax Information Authority Mill Levy 2022 payable 2023 1013 APEX PARK & REC DIST TBD ARVADA TBD ARVADA FIRE DIST TBD COUNTY TBD MOFFAT TUNNEL IMPROVEMENT DIST TBD REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION DIST TBD SCHOOL TBD URBAN DRAINAGE&FLOOD C SO PLAT TBD URBAN DRAINAGE&FLOOD CONT DIST TBD **Total Mill Levy** TBD 2021 payable 2022 APEX PARK & REC DIST ARVADA ARVADA FIRE DIST 1013 4 5600 4.3100 14.8490 26.2410 MOFFAT TUNNEL IMPROVEMENT DIST 0.0000 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION DIST 0.0000 SCHOOL 45.8080 URBAN DRAINAGE&FLOOD C SO PLAT 0.1000 URBAN DRAINAGE&FLOOD CONT DIST 0.9000 Total Mill Levy 96.7680 Property Inventory Neighborhood 2329 ARVADA PLAZA AREA Market Area 2 ResMA: Central Northeast Jeffco Land Use State Tax Class Unit Type **Land Contributor Contributor Category** 1112 Residential 0.158 Acre Location Factor 4 Location Factor Adjustments Improvements Adj Year Impr# **Property Type** Design Construction Quality Year Remd Cmp% Gross State Tax Area Select 1-1 201 Single 0101 Brick Average 1955 1955 100% 850 1212 Story/Ranch Family Impr# Sub-Areas Area-SQFT

2/3

https://propertysearch.jeffco.us/propertyrecordssearch/pin/property/details/w7OWAiU-N7U1zgRNCWpOK0Z-2uB7G7yYvKylqhE_AtA1

Assessor Data - Page 3

6/15/22, 9:40 AM

Assessor Property Records Search - Property Details

| Impr# | Sub-Areas | Area-SQFT |
|-------|----------------|-----------|
| 1-1 | Basement Total | 850 |
| 1-1 | Carport | 280 |
| 1-1 | First Floor | 850 |

| Impr# | Structural Elements by Unit | # Units |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1-1 | Bedroom (Above Grade) | 2 |
| 1-1 | Full Bath+K+L | â |
| 1-1 | Nbr of Dwelling Units | 11 |
| 1-1 | Wood Stove | a |

| Impr# | Structural Elements by SQFT | Description | Area-SQFT |
|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| 1-1 | Remodel Type | 0%; As Is; Paint; Carpet | 850 |
| 1-1 | Interior Finish Type | Bsmt Finish W/O 2 | 425 |
| 1-1 | HVAC Systems | Forced Air Unit | 850 |

Extra Features

| Description | State Tax Class | Quality | Year Built | SQFT/Units | Quantity |
|------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|------------|----------|
| Carport, Res, Detached | 1212 | Average | 1955 | 280 | 1 |

©2022 Assessor Property Records Search – Jefferson County, CO


Assessor Map

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|----|----------|-------|--|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | | | | | |
| City | Arvada | County | Jefferson | State | СО | Zip Code | 80002 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | | | | |




Aerial Map

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|--|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | | |
| City | Arvada | County Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | |



Location Map

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|--|
| Property Address | 9617 W 56th PI | | | | |
| City | Arvada | County Jefferson | State CO | Zip Code 80002 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | |



License

Logan A Katzer 14565 W 32nd Ave Golden, CO 80401

Director: Marcia Waters

State of Colorado
Department of Regulatory Agencies
Division of Real Estate

CO

Board of Real Estate Appraisers

Logan A Katzer

Licensed Appraiser

License #: AL200002944

Status: Active Expires: 12/31/2022

For the most up to date information regarding this credential, visit http://dora.colorado.gov/dre

E&O Insurance



PRODUCER

CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 10/11/2021

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.

IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(ies) must have ADDITIONAL INSURED provisions or be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s). CONTACT NAME: Fiona Chen PHONE (A/C. No. Ext): 312-625-5592

| Assurance, a Marsh & McLennan Agency LLC company 20 N Martingale Road Suite 100 | | | NAME: Floha Chen PHONE (A/C, No, Ext): 312-625-5592 E-Malt ADDREss: fchen@assuranceagency.com | | | | |
|--|----------------------|--|--|--------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Schaumburg IL 60173 | | | INS | SURER(S) AFFOR | RDING COVERAGE | NAIC# | |
| | | | INSURER A : AXA Ins | urance Comp | any | 31127 | |
| INSURED ClearCapital.com, Inc. | | CLEAHOL-02 | INSURER B: | | | | |
| ClearCapital Holdings, Inc. | | | INSURER C: | | | | |
| 300 E 2nd Street | | | INSURER D: | | | | |
| Suite 1405 | | | INSURER E : | | | | |
| Reno NV 89501 | | | INSURER F : | | | | |
| | | E NUMBER: 667417962 | | | REVISION NUMBER: | | |
| THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES INDICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY RECERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY EXCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH INST! | EQUIREME PERTAIN, | NT, TERM OR CONDITION THE INSURANCE AFFORD LIMITS SHOWN MAY HAVE | OF ANY CONTRACT ED BY THE POLICIE | OR OTHER I | DOCUMENT WITH RESPEC | T TO WHICH THIS | |
| LTR TYPE OF INSURANCE | INSD WVD | POLICY NUMBER | (MM/DD/YYYY) | (MM/DD/YYYY) | LIMITS | i | |
| COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY | | | | | DAMAGE TO RENTED | \$ | |
| CLAIMS-MADE OCCUR | | | | | PREMISES (Ea occurrence) | \$ | |
| | | | | | MED EXP (Any one person) | \$ | |
| | | | | | PERSONAL & ADV INJURY | \$ | |
| GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER | | | | | GENERAL AGGREGATE | \$ | |
| POLICY PRO- | | | | | | \$ | |
| OTHER: | | | | | COMPINED SINGLE LIMIT | \$ | |
| AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY | | | | | (La accident) | \$ | |
| ANY AUTO OWNED SCHEDULED | | | | | A TOP SERVICE TO PROGRESS A CANCELLE PROVINCES | \$ | |
| AUTOS ONLY AUTOS NON-OWNED | | | | | BBSBBBB7BTTTAB | \$ | |
| AUTOS ONLY AUTOS ONLY | | | | | (Per accident) | \$ | |
| | | | | | | \$ | |
| UMBRELLA LIAB OCCUR | | | | | EACH OCCURRENCE | \$ | |
| EXCESS LIAB CLAIMS-MADE | | | | | AGGREGATE | \$ | |
| DED RETENTIONS | | | | | | \$ | |
| WORKERS COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY Y/N | | | | | PER OTH- STATUTE ER | | |
| ANYPROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE OFFICER/MEMBER EXCLUDED? | N/A | | | | E.L. EACH ACCIDENT | \$ | |
| (Mandatory in NH) If yes, describe under | | | | | E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOYEE | \$ | |
| DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below | | A consistent seamont representation | 200000000000000000000000000000000000000 | ALIAN COLLAND SERVICE IN | | \$ | |
| A Professional Liability | | MPP9044163 | 10/18/2021 | 10/18/2022 | Claim/Aggregate | \$5,000,000 | |
| DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHIC | LES (ACORI |) 101, Additional Remarks Schedu | le, may be attached if mor | e space is requir | ed) | 3 | |
| RE: PROOF OF INSURANCE | 3 | | 2 | | -70 | | |
| It is agreed that the following is an Addition | al Insured | , when required by written | contract, on the Profe | essional Liabi | lity policy_ | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| CERTIFICATE HOLDER | | | CANCELLATION | | | | |
| Clario Appraisal Network, PROOF OF INSURANCE | | N DATE THE | ESCRIBED POLICIES BE CA EREOF, NOTICE WILL B LY PROVISIONS. | | | | |
| <u>a</u> | | | fine t | ayak | ORD CORPORATION. A | All rights reserved | |

ACORD 25 (2016/03) The ACORD name and logo are registered marks of ACORD

File No. 32940930

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

 C^2

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and ungrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

| Abbreviation | Full Name | Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear |
|------------------|---|---|
| Α | Adverse | Location & View |
| ac | Acres | Area, Site |
| AdjPrk AdjPwr | Adjacent to Park | Location |
| ArmLth | Adjacent to Power Lines Arms Length Sale | Location Sale or Financing Concessions |
| AT | Attached Structure | Design (Style) |
| В | Beneficial | Location & View |
| ba | Bathroom(s) | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| br | Bedroom | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| BsyRd | Busy Road | Location |
| С | Contracted Date | Date of Sale/Time |
| Cash | Cash Commercial Influence | Sale or Financing Concessions Location |
| Comm | Conventional | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| Ср | Carport | Garage/Carport |
| CrtOrd | Court Ordered Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| CtySky | City View Skyline View | View |
| CtyStr | City Street View | View |
| CV | Covered | Garage/Carport |
| DOM | Days On Market | Data Sources |
| DT | Detached Structure | Design (Style) |
| dw | Driveway Series Pote | Garage/Carport |
| e Fototo | Expiration Date | Date of Sale/Time |
| Estate FHA | Estate Sale Federal Housing Authority | Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | Garage | Garage/Carport |
| g ga | Attached Garage | Garage/Carport |
| gbi | Built-in Garage | Garage/Carport |
| gd | Detached Garage | Garage/Carport |
| GlfCse | Golf Course | Location |
| Glfvw | Golf Course View | View |
| GR | Garden | Design (Style) |
| HR | High Rise | Design (Style) |
| in | Interior Only Stairs | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| Ind | Industrial | Location & View |
| Listing | Listing | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| Lndfl | Landfill | Location |
| LtdSght | Limited Sight | View |
| MR Mtn | Mid-rise Mountain View | Design (Style) View |
| N | Neutral | Location & View |
| NonArm | Non-Arms Length Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| 0 | Other | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| 0 | Other | Design (Style) |
| ор | Open | Garage/Carport |
| Prk | Park View | View |
| Pstrl | Pastoral View | View |
| PwrLn | Power Lines | View |
| PubTrn | Public Transportation | Location |
| Relo | Relocation Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| REO | REO Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| Res RH | Residential USDA - Rural Housing | Location & View Sale or Financing Concessions |
| rr | Recreational (Rec) Room | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| RT | Row or Townhouse | Design (Style) |
| S | Settlement Date | Date of Sale/Time |
| SD | Semi-detached Structure | Design (Style) |
| Short | Short Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| sf | Square Feet | Area, Site, Basement |
| sqm | Square Meters | Area, Site |
| Unk | Unknown | Date of Sale/Time |
| VA | Veterans Administration | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| W | Withdrawn Date | Date of Sale/Time |
| Woods | Walk Out Basement | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View |
| Woods Wtr | Woods View Water View | View |
| WtrFr | Water Frontage | Location |
| Wu | Walk Up Basement | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| - · · · | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |