# USPAP ADDENDUM

	rrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
	perty Address	4854 Circle Dr							
City	-	San Diego	County San	Diego	State CA	Zip Code 92116			
Ler	nder	Wedgewood Inc							
	This report v	vas prepared under the fo	llowing USPAP reporting option:						
	X Appraisa		This report was prepared in accordance	ce with LISPAP Standards Bule 2-2(	a)				
				·					
	Restricte	d Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance	ce with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(	b).				
	Reasonable	Exposure Time							
	My opinion of	a reasonable exposure time	for the subject property at the market va	lue stated in this report is:	0-45 days				
	A dditional O								
	Additional C		and haliafi						
	I certify that, t	o the best of my knowledge	and delief:						
	🗙 I have NO	T performed services, as an	appraiser or in any other capacity, regar	ding the property that is the subject	of this report wit	hin the			
	three-yea	r period immediately precedi	ng acceptance of this assignment.						
		rformed convience as an one	rejear or in another consolt, reporting t	he property that is the symbolis of this	- ranget within the	a three weer			
			raiser or in another capacity, regarding t			e lillee-year			
			nce of this assignment. Those services a	are described in the comments belo	Ν.				
		its of fact contained in this repo							
			sions are limited only by the reported assun	nptions and limiting conditions and are	my personal, impa	artial, and unbiased			
	-	alyses, opinions, and conclusio							
		vise indicated, I have no presen	t or prospective interest in the property that	is the subject of this report and no pe	rsonal interest with	respect to the parties			
	involved.	a with reasonables the property th	ant in the publicat of this report or the parties	involved with this appiarment					
			nat is the subject of this report or the parties						
		-	contingent upon developing or reporting pre						
			ment is not contingent upon the development						
			attainment of a stipulated result, or the occu						
		•	e developed, and this report has been prepa	ired, in conformity with the Uniform Sta	indards of Profess	ional Appraisal Practice that			
		t the time this report was prepa							
			ersonal inspection of the property that is the						
			significant real property appraisal assistanc		tion (if there are ex	ceptions, the name of each			
	individual provi	ding significant real property ap	praisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this	s report).					
	Additional Co	omments							
		_							
			A LA CALLER AND A LA CALLER AND A LA						
l '			. (1 )						
	APPRAISER:	CKI LAA.		SUPERVISORY APPRAISE	ł: (only if req	luired)			
		V MANNA M	n n n n n n n n						
	Cianata an	141-7	1. Diminung	Circulation					
	Signature:			Signature:					
		y Stanley	V	Name:					
		6/27/2022		Date Signed:					
		#: <u>3006117</u>		State Certification #:					
	or State License #	#:		or State License #:					
	State: <u>CA</u>			State:					
	•		23/2023	Expiration Date of Certification or Lice					
	Effective Date of A	Appraisal: 06/24/2022	-	Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of S		_			
				Did Not Exterior-only fro	om Street	Interior and Exterior			

			Clario App	raisal Netw	ork					
	E	Exterior-Only Inspe	ection R	esider	ntial App	oraisal Rer	ort File #	50312 50312		
	- The purpose of this summary appraisal repo								of the subject	property.
	Property Address 4854 Circle Dr				San Diego		State		Zip Code 921	
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC	Owner of	f Public Recor		wood Holdi	ngs LLC		y San [		10
	Legal Description Tr 1244 Lot 63									
	Assessor's Parcel # 440-460-25-00				ar 2022				614	
СТ	Neighborhood Name Normal Heights				eference 41			is Tract (	019.00	
SUBJECT	Occupant 🗌 Owner 🗌 Tenant 🗙 Vaca	•	Assessments \$	S 0		Pui	) HOA\$ 0		] per year 📃	per month
SUB BUS	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (d	/	(1 11 )						
	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transaction	Other (	,	Servicing				4 00070	
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Is the subject property currently offered for sale of	Addre				Blvd, Suite 100		each, C		
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and					on 05/09/202				1135
	The listing is now classified as closed						2 101 \$1,200,0	700, por	MEC #22001	1100.
		sale for the subject purchase tran					for sale or why th	e analysis	was not	
	performed.		·					-		
ст										
CONTRACT	Contract Price \$ Date of Cont	•			of public record		No Data Sc	urce(s)		
NU	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa		nent assistand	ce, etc.) to b	be paid by any	party on behalf of	the borrower?		Yes	No No
ບິ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe	the items to be paid.								
	Nata Daga and the restal same ""		facto							
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neignbornood are not appraisa		+ Uevelar '	Tronda		One list	uoina	Duesant	d Llee %
	Neighborhood Characteristics	Dural Dranathe Values		t Housing		Dealining	One-Unit Ho PRICE	-	Present Lan	
	Location Urban Suburban		Increasing		Stable	Declining	-	AGE	One-Unit	70 %
DD	Built-Up         Over 75%         25-75%           Growth         Dapid         Stable		Shortage X Under 3 r		In Balance	Over Supply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit Multi-Family	5 %
ĕ	Growth Rapid X Stable Neighborhood Boundaries The subject's				3-6 mths	Over 6 mths	550 Low	5	Commercial	10 %
OR	#8 to the north, Fairmount Ave to the	s neighborhood boundarie		Jajon Biv	a to the so	uth, Route	3,125 High 1,225 Pred.	<u>116</u> 96	Other	15 %
ΗĐ	Neighborhood Description See attached		ine wesi.				1,225 Fieu.	90	Utilei	/0
NEIGHBORHOOD										
Z										
	Market Conditions (including support for the abov	re conclusions) see at	tached add	dendum						
	Dimensions 51X112X49X113		5,601 sf			lrregular		View N;	Res;	
	Specific Zoning Classification R1				amily Resi					
	Zoning Compliance 🔀 Legal 🗌 Legal Non				llegal (describe	/	V \	K N	4h -	
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as	improved (or as proposed per pr	ans and speci	lications) th	e present use?		Yes 🗌 No	lf No, des	cribe	
	Utilities Public Other (describe)	Pu	blic Other (	describe)		Off-site Impro	vements - Type		Public	Private
ш	Electricity	Water		,		Street Aspł	<i>7</i> 1		X	
SITE	Gas X	Sanitary Sewer				Alley None				
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes	No FEMA Flood Zone 🗴	(	FEMA M	ap # 0607	73C1638H	F	ЕМА Мар	Date 05/16/2	012
	Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical		🖌 Yes 📃		, describe					
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external f	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · ·	Yes		If Yes, describe	
	At the time of inspection, the appraise									e
	flood map, although believed to be ad	ccurate is not guaranteed	I. Standard	drainag	e & utility e	asements, no	adverse affe	ct to val	ue.	
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pr	operty Appraisal Files	🗙 MLS		essment and Ta	ax Records	Prior Inspection		Property Owner	
	Other (describe)				Irce for Gross		ublic Record			
	General Description	General Description			ng/Cooling		nenities	, 	Car Storage	
	Units 🗙 One 🗌 One with Accessory Unit	Concrete Slab 🗙 Crawl		FWA	HWBB	🗙 Firepla	ce(s) # 1	None		
	# of Stories 1	Full Basement Finis	shed	K Radiant		Woods	stove(s) # 0	X Drive	way # of Car	rs 2
	Type 🗙 Det. 🗌 Att. 📄 S-Det./End Unit	Partial Basement 🗌 Fini	ished	Other		X Patio/[	<sup>)eck</sup> BrkPv	Driveway	Surface Co	oncrete
	Existing Proposed Under Const.	Exterior Walls Stucco	o/Avg F	uel	Gas	🗙 Porch		🗙 Gara	ge # of Car	rs 2
	Design (Style) Ranch	Roof Surface Flat/A	vg		Air Conditionin		None	Carpo		v
	Year Built 1929	Gutters & Downspouts None		Individu		Fence		Attac		iched
	Effective Age (Yrs) 20	Window Type Sliding	<u> </u>	Context Other	None		None	Built-	in	
	Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven	Dishwasher Dispos		owave	Washer/Dry		lescribe)		ing Area Abaua O	ua da
SEN	Finished area <b>above</b> grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items,		Bedrooms	1	.1 Bath(s)	1,542	2 Square reel o	GIOSS LIV	ring Area Above G	raue
<b>IMPROVEMENTS</b>	Additional loadares (special chergy children terns,	, etc.) None								
Š	Describe the condition of the property and data so	ource(s) (including apparent need	ed repairs, det	terioration, I	renovations, rei	modeling, etc.).	C4:Se	e attacl	ned addenda.	
PRO					,		0.,0			
Ň										
	Are there any apparent physical definitionaise or a	duarea aanditiana that affaat the "	vahility courd	nooc or ot	untural interest	v of the present of				
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ac If Yes, describe.	iverse conditions that affect the li	vaunity, sound	mess, of sti	uctural integrit	y or the property?		Yes 🔰		
	וו ווסס, ענסטוושל.									
	Does the property generally conform to the neigh	borhood (functional utility, style, d	condition, use,	constructio	on, etc.)?		′es 🗌 No If N	lo, describ	е	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

#### Exterior–Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 50312 File # 50312

			pection Resid			File # 50312	
					e from \$ 899,000	to \$ 1,2	
					price from \$ 850,00		,350,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT		BLE SALE # 1		ABLE SALE # 2		LE SALE # 3
Address 4854 Circle Dr	20110	4837 Mansfield		4401 Van Dyke		4565 Iowa St	0440
San Diego, CA S Proximity to Subject	92116	San Diego, CA	92110	San Diego, CA	92116	San Diego, CA 9	2110
Sale Price	\$	0.42 miles W	\$ 1,159,000	0.73 miles SE	\$ 879,000	0.93 miles SW	\$ 1,350.00
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 648.5 sq.ft.	\$ 931.67 sq.ft	.,	\$ 1046.43 sq.		\$ 847.99 sq.ft.	\$ 1,350,00
Data Source(s)	ψ 040.5 34.π.	MLS #21001665		MLS #2200072		MLS #220008843	
Verification Source(s)			#440-232-09-00		.pn#471-022-18-00		
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	BEGGHIN HOIT	ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth	
Concessions		Conv;0		Cash;250		Conv:0	
Date of Sale/Time		s02/22;c01/22	+14 000	s04/22;c04/22	+4 000	s05/22;c04/22	+7,00
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;	1,000	N;Res;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	
Site	5,601 sf	6,120 sf	0	2,827 sf	0	6,458 sf	
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Design (Style)	DT1;Ranch	DT1;Ranch		DT1;Ranch		DT1;Ranch	
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4	
Actual Age	93	58	0	93		93	
Condition	C4	C4		C4		C3	-100,00
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Bath	ns 0	Total Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	6 3 1.1	6 3 2.0	-10,000	5 2 1.0	) +10,000	6 3 2.0	-10,00
Gross Living Area	1,542 sq.ft.	1,244 sq.ft					
Basement & Finished	Osf	0sf		0sf		0sf	
Rooms Below Grade							
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Average	
Heating/Cooling	Radiant/None	FWA/None	0	Wall/None	0	Wall/None	
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None		Solar-Unkn	
Garage/Carport	2gd2dw	2gd2dw		1ga1dw	+10,000	1ga1dw	+10,00
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch,Patio	Porch,Patio		Porch	+5,000	Porch,2-Decks	-5,00
Pool Features	None	None		None		AGPool/Spa	-15,00
ADU/Studio/Guest Ste	None	None		None		ADU	-50,00
List Price	N/A	\$1,189,000	0	\$789,000	0	\$1,299,000	
Net Adjustment (Total)		X +	\$ 26,000		+ 02,000	□ + X -	\$ -163,00
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables		Net Adj.         2.2 %           Gross Adj.         4.0 %		Net Adj. 9.3		Net Adj.         12.1 %           Gross Adj.         14.6 %	
Data Source(s) Realist					of sale of the comparable		
Report the results of the research							
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer		JBJECT	COMPARABLE S	ALE #1	COMPARABLE SALE #2		RABLE SALE #3
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	06/16/2022						
Data Source(s)	\$1,000,000		Popliat		aliot	Destint	
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	Doc#025292	/Realist	Realist	Rea		Realist	•
	06/24/2022	nerty and comparable	06/24/2022		24/2022	06/24/2022	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer I		, , ,			fered for sale on 05		
MLS #220011135. The lip				e of 06/16/2022	and sale price of \$	1,000,000. Com	ps #1 thru #3
have not transferred for t	ne year prior to the	e uate of sale ind	icaleu adove.				
Summary of Sales Comparison A	pproach see at	tached addendur	n				
Indicated Value by Sales Compari	ison Approach \$ 1	,000,000					
Indicated Value by: Sales Com			Cost Approach (if dev	eloped) \$ 0	Income Ann	roach (if developed) \$	6 0
In determining the marke	• • •	.,,	•• •	• •		( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>
the most appropriate indi							
were not considered nec					market. The Incom	ο απά στος Αρρίο	aches lo value
This appraisal is made <b>X</b> "as completed, <b>S</b> subject to the following required inspection by	s is", Subject to subject to following repairs or a	completion per plan alterations on the ba	s and specifications o sis of a hypothetical c	n the basis of a h condition that the re			
Based on a visual inspectio conditions, and appraiser's \$ 1,000,000 , as of	n of the exterior are certification, my (our 06/24/2022	<ul><li>opinion of the n</li></ul>	narket value, as defi	ned, of the real	ned scope of work, st property that is the s tive date of this app	subject of this repo	ions and limiting rt is
ddie Mac Form 2055 Marc		UAD Version 9/2			••	Fannie Mae Forn	n 2055 March 2(

#### Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 50312

5031	2
F004	~

	Subject's value is below the range of the predominant value for the market the market area. The predominant value has no impact on the subject's r		considered t	o be an under improver	ment for
	Cost approach not recognized in the market as a basis for pricing and is d				
	insurance purposes. The Cost Approach was not considered necessary a	at this time to develop credi	ble results re	egarding the estimate o	of market
	value.				
	The subject property is located in an area of primarily owner-occupied sing Approach was not considered necessary at this time to develop credible re				e Income
	Mast weight was given to the Salas Comparison Approach to value due to	a aignificant amount of air	niler esttles		le merket
	Most weight was given to the Sales Comparison Approach to value due to area.	a significant amount of sin	nilar settles	sales within the subject	rs market
Ş					
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS					
MIMC					
С Г					
INAI					
DITIC					
ADD					
		(not required by Fannie Mae)			
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	(not required by Fannie Mae) ns.			
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estim	ns. nating site value) Site		been derived from the A	
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment	ns. nating site value) Site			
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estim	ns. nating site value) Site			
CH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area.	ns. mating site value) Site it ratios. Land value excee	eds 30% of t		
OACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area.	nating site value) Site nating site value) Site nt ratios. Land value excee	eds 30% of t	he opinion of value due	e to
PPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area.	nating site value) Site nt ratios. Land value excee	eds 30% of t	he opinion of value due ==\$ =\$ =\$	e to
I APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area.	Nating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         nt ratios.       Land value exceet         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       DWELLING	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	he opinion of value due ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ =\$	e to
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area.	A constraints of the second se	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$	he opinion of value due ====================================	e to
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	he opinion of value due ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ =\$	e to
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area.	A constraints of the second se	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	he opinion of value due ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ External =\$(	e to
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area.	Site       Site         nating site value)       Site         nt ratios.       Land value exceet         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       DWELLING         Garage/Carport       Total Estimate of Cost-New         Less       Physical         Depreciation       Depreciated Cost of Improvements	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	he opinion of value due ====================================	e to
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area.	A constraints of the second se	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	he opinion of value due ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ External =\$(	e to
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Site       Site         nating site value)       Site         nt ratios.       Land value exceet         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       DWELLING         Garage/Carport       Total Estimate of Cost-New         Less       Physical         Depreciation       Depreciated Cost of Improvements	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	he opinion of value due ====================================	e to
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin         Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer         positive location within the San Diego market area.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR         REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data         Quality rating from cost service       Effective date of cost data         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       40 Years	Site       Site         nating site value)       Site         it ratios.       Land value exceet         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       Development         DWELLING       Depreciation         Depreciation       Depreciated Cost of Improvements         "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier	Image: Stress of the stress	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
INCOME COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU	IS.       Site         nating site value)       Site         it ratios.       Land value exceed         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       DUBLING         DWELLING       DUBLING         Garage/Carport       Total Estimate of Cost-New         Less       Physical         Depreciation       Depreciated Cost of Improvements         "As-is" Value of Site Improvements         INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF         E (not required by Fannie Mae)	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A	Imating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         Int ratios.       Land value exceed         OPINION OF SITE VALUE	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin         Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer         positive location within the San Diego market area.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR         REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data         Quality rating from cost service       Effective date of cost data         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       40 Years         INCOME APPROACH TO VALU         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       0       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       N/A	IS.       Site         nating site value)       Site         it ratios.       Land value exceed         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       DUBLING         DWELLING       DUBLING         Garage/Carport       Total Estimate of Cost-New         Less       Physical         Depreciation       Depreciated Cost of Improvements         "As-is" Value of Site Improvements         INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF         E (not required by Fannie Mae)	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional B BOACH 0	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estii Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a	Is.       Site         nating site value)       Site         it ratios.       Land value exceet         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       Descent         DWELLING       DWELLING         Garage/Carport       Total Estimate of Cost-New         Less       Physical         Depreciation       Depreciated Cost of Improvements         "As-is" Value of Site Improvements         INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF         E (not required by Fannie Mae)         0       = \$         FOR PUDs (if applicable)         No       Unit type(s)	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional  BOACH O O d Attach	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estii Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	Image       Site         Ins.       Site         mating site value)       Site         Ins.       Site	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional  BOACH O O d Attach	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin         Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer         positive location within the San Diego market area.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR         REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data         Quality rating from cost service       Effective date of cost data         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       40 Years         INCOME APPROACH TO VALU         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       0       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       N/A         PROJECT INFORMATION       Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a       Legal Name of Project	Image       Site         nating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         it ratios.       Land value exceet         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       Descent         DWELLING       DWELLING         Garage/Carport       Total Estimate of Cost-New         Less       Physical         Depreciation       Depreciated Cost of Improvements         INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF         E (not required by Fannie Mae)         0       = \$         FOR PUDs (if applicable)         No       Unit type(s)         Detache         Total number of units sold	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional  BOACH O O d Attach	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin         Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer         positive location within the San Diego market area.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR         REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data         Quality rating from cost service       Effective date of cost data         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       40 Years         INCOME APPROACH TO VALU         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       0       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       N/A         PROJECT INFORMATION       Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a       Legal Name of Project	Image       Site         nating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         opinion       Site         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       Description         DWELLING       Depreciation         Depreciated Cost of Improvements       NoICATED VALUE BY COST APPF         E (not required by Fannie Mae)       O         O       = \$         FOR PUDs (if applicable)       No         No       Unit type(s)       Detache         Ind the subject property is an attache       Total number of units sold         Data source(s)       Detache	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional  BOACH O O d Attach	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin         Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer         positive location within the San Diego market area.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR         REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data         Quality rating from cost service       Effective date of cost data         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       40 Years         INCOME APPROACH TO VALU         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       0       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       N/A         PROJECT INFORMATION       Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a       Legal Name of Project	Image       Site         nating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         it ratios.       Land value exceet         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       Descent         DWELLING       DWELLING         Garage/Carport       Total Estimate of Cost-New         Less       Physical         Depreciation       Depreciated Cost of Improvements         INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF         E (not required by Fannie Mae)         0       = \$         FOR PUDs (if applicable)         No       Unit type(s)         Detache         Total number of units sold	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional  BOACH O O d Attach	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin         Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer         positive location within the San Diego market area.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR         REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data         Quality rating from cost service       Effective date of cost data         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       40 Years         INCOME APPROACH TO VALU         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       0       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       N/A         PROJECT INFORMATION       Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a       Legal Name of Project	Image       Site         nating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         opinion       Site         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       Description         DWELLING       Depreciation         Depreciated Cost of Improvements       NoICATED VALUE BY COST APPF         E (not required by Fannie Mae)       O         O       = \$         FOR PUDs (if applicable)       No         No       Unit type(s)       Detache         Ind the subject property is an attache       Total number of units sold         Data source(s)       Detache	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional S ROACH O O d Attach ed dwelling unit.	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin         Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer         positive location within the San Diego market area.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR         REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data         Quality rating from cost service       Effective date of cost data         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       40 Years         INCOME APPROACH TO VALU         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       0       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       N/A         PROJECT INFORMATION       Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a       Legal Name of Project	Imating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         International construction       Site         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       Image: Construction         DWELLING       Image: Construction         Garage/Carport       Image: Construction         Total Estimate of Cost-New       Image: Cost of Improvements         Image: Cost of Improvements       Improvements         "As-is" Value of Site Improvements       Improvements         INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF       E (not required by Fannie Mae)         O       = \$         FOR PUDs (if applicable)       Detache         No       Unit type(s)       Detache         Ind the subject property is an attache       Total number of units sold         Data source(s)       No       If Yes, date of conversion	eds 30% of t Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional S ROACH O O d Attach ed dwelling unit.	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin         Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer         positive location within the San Diego market area.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR         REPRODUCTION OR       REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data       0         Quality rating from cost service       Effective date of cost data         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)       40 Years         INCOME APPROACH TO VALU       Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       40 Years         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       0       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       N/A         PROJECT INFORMATION       Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a       Legal Name of Project         Total number of units rented       Total number of units for sale       Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?       Yes         Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units?       Yes       No       No	Imating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         Int ratios.       Land value exceed         OPINION OF SITE VALUE	eds 30% of t         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Functional         3         ROACH         0         d         Attach         ed dwelling unit.         ietion.	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin         Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer         positive location within the San Diego market area.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR         REPRODUCTION OR       REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data       Quality rating from cost service         Effective date of cost data       Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       40 Years         INCOME APPROACH TO VALU       Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       N/A         PROJECT INFORMATION       Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a       Legal Name of Project         Total number of units rented       Total number of units for sale         Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?       Yes         Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units?       Yes       No         Data Source(s)       Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete?<	Imating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         International construction       Site         OPINION OF SITE VALUE       Image: Construction         DWELLING       Image: Construction         Garage/Carport       Image: Construction         Total Estimate of Cost-New       Image: Cost of Improvements         Image: Cost of Improvements       Improvements         "As-is" Value of Site Improvements       Improvements         INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF       E (not required by Fannie Mae)         O       = \$         FOR PUDs (if applicable)       Detache         No       Unit type(s)       Detache         Ind the subject property is an attache       Total number of units sold         Data source(s)       No       If Yes, date of conversion	eds 30% of t         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Functional         3         ROACH         0         d         Attach         ed dwelling unit.         ietion.	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin         Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer         positive location within the San Diego market area.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR         REPRODUCTION OR       REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data       0         Quality rating from cost service       Effective date of cost data         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)       40 Years         INCOME APPROACH TO VALU       Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       40 Years         Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$       0       X Gross Rent Multiplier         Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       N/A         PROJECT INFORMATION       Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a       Legal Name of Project         Total number of units rented       Total number of units for sale       Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?       Yes         Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units?       Yes       No       No	Imating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         Int ratios.       Land value exceed         OPINION OF SITE VALUE	eds 30% of t         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Functional         3         ROACH         0         d         Attach         ed dwelling unit.         ietion.	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation         Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin         Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer         positive location within the San Diego market area.         ESTIMATED       REPRODUCTION OR         REPLACEMENT COST NEW         Source of cost data         Quality rating from cost service       Effective date of cost data         Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)         Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)       40 Years         Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)       N/A         PROJECT INFORMATION       Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?       Yes         Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a       Legal Name of Project         Total number of units       Total number of units       Yes         No bata Source(s)       Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete?       Yes       No	Imating site value)       Site         nating site value)       Site         Int ratios.       Land value exceed         OPINION OF SITE VALUE	eds 30% of t         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Sq.Ft. @ \$         Functional         3         ROACH         0         d         Attach         ed dwelling unit.         ietion.	he opinion of value due	e to 350,000 ) ) 0

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.

2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.

4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.

2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.

3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.

8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.

9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.

10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.

11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.

12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.

13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.

14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.

15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.

16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.

17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).

19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.

23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.

24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.

4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER ON MARCHAR Stanlow	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Aubrey Stanley	Name
Company Name Clario Appraisal Network	Company Name
Company Address 300 E 2nd St Ste 1405	Company Address
Reno, NV 89501-1508	
Telephone Number <u>619-736-1407</u>	Telephone Number
Email Address aubrey.stanley@clarioappraisal.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 06/27/2022	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 06/24/2022	State Certification #
State Certification #3006117	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 01/23/2023	SUBJECT PROPERTY
	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
4854 Circle Dr	Date of Inspection
San Diego, CA 92116	
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$   1,000,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	<ul> <li>Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street</li> </ul>
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

		Ext	erior–Only Ins	spection Resid	lential A	pprai	sal Report	File #	50312 50312	
	FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPAR	ABLE SALE # 4	CON	/IPARABL	E SALE # 5		COMPARABL	_E SALE # 6
	Address 4854 Circle Dr		3903 Meade A	ve						
	San Diego, CA 92	2116	San Diego, CA	92116						
	Proximity to Subject		0.57 miles S							
	Sale Price	\$		\$ 1,160,000	)		\$			\$
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 648.5 st	.ft. \$ 835.73 sq	.ft.	\$	sq.ft.		\$	sq.ft.	
	Data Source(s)		MLS #220011	555;DOM 6						
	Verification Source(s)		Doc#253558/A	pn#447-202-01-00						
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIP	TION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DES	SCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment
	Sales or Financing		ArmLth							
	Concessions		Conv;2583							
	Date of Sale/Time		s06/22;c05/22	+3,000	)					
т	Location	N;Res;	N;Res;							
AC	Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple							
RO	Site	5,601 sf	3,485 sf	(	)					
АРР	View	N;Res;	N;Res;							
N	Design (Style)	DT1;Ranch	DT1;Ranch							
<b>č</b>	Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4							
PAF	Actual Age	93	91	(						
SALES COMPARISON APPROACH	Condition Above Grade	C4 Total Bdrms. Bat	C3 hs Total Bdrms. Bat	-100,000		Dath		Total	Rdrma Datha	
ы С	Above Grade Room Count					. Baths		Total	Bdrms. Baths	
Ë	Gross Living Area	6 3 1 1,542 so				sq.ft.			sq.ft.	
SA	Basement & Finished			.ft. +12,000		ડપ.ાા.			sy.it.	
	Rooms Below Grade	0sf	0sf							
	Functional Utility	Average	Average							
	Heating/Cooling	Average Radiant/None	FWA/CAC	-10,000						
	Energy Efficient Items	Radiant/None None	None	-10,000						
	Garage/Carport	2gd2dw	2gd2dw							
	Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch,Patio	Porch,Deck	(						
	Pool Features	None	None		/					
	ADU/Studio/Guest Ste	None	None							
	List Price	N/A	\$999,000	(	)					
	Net Adjustment (Total)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				\$		+	\$
	Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 9.1		Net Adj.	%		Net Adj	. %	
	of Comparables		Gross Adj. 11.6	% \$ 1,055,000	Gross Adj.	%	\$	Gross /	Adj. %	\$
	Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the p	rior sale or transfer hist	ory of the subject propert	y and compara	ble sales	(report additional prior	sales on	page 3).	
	ITEM		SUBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	ALE # 4	CC	OMPARABLE SALE # {	5	COMPAR	ABLE SALE # 6
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	06/16/202								
۲Y	Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	\$1,000,00								
10	Data Source(s)		921/Realist	Realist						
HIS	Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	06/24/202		06/24/2022			<b>6 1 6 1</b>			
<b>SALE HISTORY</b>	indicated above.	story of the subject	property and comparable	le sales Co	mp #4 nas	not trar	nsferred for the ye	ear prio	or to the dat	e of sale
SA	indicated above.									
F	Analysis/Comments									
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
ITS										
Ē										
MMC										
<b>ANALYSIS / COMMENTS</b>										
SIS										
ΓX										
ANA										
-										

# **Subject Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC					
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr					
City	San Diego	County San Diego	State	CA	Zip Code 9211	6
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc					



S	ubject Front
4854 Circle Dr	
Sales Price	
Gross Living Area	1,542
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	5,601 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	93

Subject Front





Subject Street

# **Subject Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC					
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr					
City	San Diego	County San Diego	State	CA	Zip Code	92116
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc					



Sı	ıbject Street
4854 Circle Dr	
Sales Price	
Gross Living Area	1,542
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	5,601 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	93



Subject Side MLS Photo



Subject Rear MLS Photo

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr			
City	San Diego	County	San Diego	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			





	Living Area
4854 Circle Dr	
Sales Price	
Gross Living Area	1,542
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	5,601 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	93
	MLS Photo







Kitchen MLS Photo

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr						
City	San Diego	County	San Diego	State	CA	Zip Code	92116
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						



	RILLIICII
4854 Circle Dr	
Sales Price	
Gross Living Area	1,542
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	5,601 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	93
	MLS Photo



Room

MLS Photo

Kitchen

Kitchen MLS Photo

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr						
City	San Diego	County	San Diego	State	CA	Zip Code	92116
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						



	DEULUUII
4854 Circle Dr	
Sales Price	
Gross Living Area	1,542
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	5,601 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	93
	MLS Photo

## Bedroom

ales Price	
Fross Living Area	1,542
otal Rooms	6
otal Bedrooms	3
otal Bathrooms	1.1
ocation	N;Res;
′iew	N;Res;
lite	5,601 sf
luality	Q4
ge	93
	MLS Ph



Bathroom MLS Photo



Bedroom MLS Photo

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr			
City	San Diego	County San Diego	State CA	Zip Code 92116
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



	Bathroom
4854 Circle Dr	
Sales Price	
Gross Living Area	1,542
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	5,601 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	93







Room MLS Photo



Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr						
City	San Diego	County	San Diego	State	CA	Zip Code	92116
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						



	Garage
4854 Circle Dr	
Sales Price	
Gross Living Area	1,542
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	5,601 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	93



Rear MLS Photo

## **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr				
City	San Diego	County	San Diego	State	CA
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				







# **Comparable 1**

Zip Code 92116

4837 Mansfield St			
Prox. to Subject	0.42 miles W		
Sale Price	1,159,000		
Gross Living Area	1,244		
Total Rooms	6		
Total Bedrooms	3		
Total Bathrooms	2.0		
Location	N;Res;		
View	N;Res;		
Site	6,120 sf		
Quality	Q4		
Age	58		

# **Comparable 2**

4401 Van Dyke /	Ave
Prox. to Subject	0.73 miles SE
Sale Price	879,000
Gross Living Area	840
Total Rooms	5
Total Bedrooms	2
Total Bathrooms	1.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	2,827 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	93

# Comparable 3

6 3 2.0

N;Res; N;Res; 6,458 sf Q4 93

0.93 miles SW 1,350,000 1,592

4565 Iowa St
Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

## **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr
City	San Diego
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc

County San Diego

State CA Zip Code 92116



## **Comparable 4**

3903 Meade Av	е
Prox. to Subject	0.57 miles S
Sale Price	1,160,000
Gross Living Area	1,388
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	3,485 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	91

## **Comparable 5**


Prox. to Subject Sale Price Gross Living Area Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Location View Site Quality Age

## **Comparable 6**

Prox. to Subject Sale Price Gross Living Area Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms Location View Site Quality Age


## **Location Map**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr							
City	San Diego	County	San Diego	State	CA	Zip Code	92116	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



# **Location Map**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr				
City	San Diego	County San Diego	State CA	Zip Code 92116	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				



					-1		Monday,	June 27, 2022
					J some Core	le Or		
						X		
						31		
					V /	2/7		
					1 Krs			
					Yal X	icie Dr		
					30,406	6 2022 Courtouse Remeves Bystem, inc		
					I A Deter	a 2022 Microbel Consortion & 2021 Tom Ton atMap mits and a consortion manager of con-	L.	
OCATION Property Address		4854 Circle Dr		~	PROPERTY SUMMARY Property Type	Residential		
Subdivision		San Diego, CA 92 Bonnie Brae	2116-2242		Land Use Improvement Type	Single Family Single Family		
Carrier Route		C004			Square Feet	1542	realdential	
County Aap Code		San Diego Count 1269G3	y, CA		#of Buildings	1		
GENERAL PARC	CEL INFORMA				CURRENT OWNER	Redwood Hold	tinas LLC	
APN/Tax ID		440-460-25-00			Mailing Address	4854 Circle Dr		
Nt. APN		Par Diarr			Owner Occupied	San Diego, CA Yes	92116-2242	
City Fax Area		San Diego 08001			Owner Right Vesting			
2010 Census Trct/		19/2			SCHOOL ZONE INFORM			
Assessor Roll Yea	ar	2021			Normal Heights Elementar Elementary: K to 5	y School 0.3 mi Distance		
					Wilson Middle School	0.8 mi		
					Middle: 6 to 8	Distance		
					Hoover High School High: 9 to 12	0.9 mi Distance		
SALES HISTOR' Settlement Date		6/17/2022						
	Date Recorde	ed Amount	Buyer/Owner	5	Seller	Instrument	No. Parcels	Book/Page
	Date Recorde	ed Amount	Buyer/Owner	'S	Seller	Instrument	No. Parcels	Or
6/13/2022	6/16/2022	ed Amount \$1,000,000	Buyer/Owner			Instrument A And Blount Grant Deed	No. Parcels	Book/Page Or Document# 2022- 0252921
			Redwood Hol		Singleton Kimberly Family Trust	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti		Or Documenta 2022- 0252921 1999-
9/24/1999	6/16/2022 11/3/1999		Redwood Hol	dings LLC	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust	A And Blount Grant Deed		Or Document 2022- 0252921
9/24/1999 FAX ASSESSME Fax Assessment	6/16/2022 11/3/1999	\$1,000,000	Redwood Hol Blount Edwar	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%)	ransfer & 2019	Or Documents 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,0	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%)	ransier & 2019 \$22,960	Or Document/ 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improve	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,i \$30,i	Redwood Hol Blount Edwar	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$591.00 (2.0%)	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592	Or Document/ 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improve Total Assessment	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54,	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%)	ransier & 2019 \$22,960	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improve Total Assessment Exempt Reason & Improved	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54,	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$591.00 (2.0%)	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592	Or Document/ 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 FAX ASSESSME Fax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improvi rotal Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved FAXES	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,4 \$30, \$54, Hom 56%	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Tri Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$591.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%)	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document/ 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improve Total Assessment Scale Assessment (Improved TAXES Tax Year	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment tessessed Land tessessed Improve fotal Assessment Exempt Reason 6 Improved TAXES Tax Year 1021	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,4 \$30, \$54, Hom 56%	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Tri Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$591.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%)	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Issessed Land Improved Total Assessment Issessed Improved Total Assessment Issempt Reason 6 Improved TAXES Tax Year 1021 1020 1039	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,4 \$30, \$54, Hom 56%	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$605.98 \$594.04	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 AX ASSESSME iax Assessment Issessed Land Issessed Improved iotal Assessment iscempt Reason 6 Improved TAXES iax Year 1021 1020 1018	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,4 \$30, \$54, Hom 56%	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tao \$613.90 \$6594.04 \$555.36	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment tessessed Land tessessed Improved Total Assessment Exempt Reason 6 Improved TAXES Tax Year 1021 1020 1019 1018 1017	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,4 \$30, \$54, Hom 56%	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tao \$613.90 \$605.98 \$594.04 \$555.36 \$540.50	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved fotal Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Tax Year 2021 2020 2019 2018 2017 2016	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,4 \$30, \$54, Hom 56%	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tao \$613.90 \$6594.04 \$555.36	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 FAX ASSESSME fax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved fotal Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved FAXES fax Year 2021 2019 2018 2017 2018 2017 2016 2015 2014	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,4 \$30, \$54, Hom 56%	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$605.98 \$594.04 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$520.24	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document/ 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 FAX ASSESSME fax Assessed Land Assessed Land Assessed Improved fotal Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES fax Year 2021 2020 2019 2019 2018 2017 2016 2015 2014 2013	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,4 \$30, \$54, Hom 56%	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Tr Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$591.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$605.98 \$594.04 \$555.36 \$594.04 \$555.36 \$520.24	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document/ 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 FAX ASSESSME Fax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved fotal Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Fax Year 2021 2020 2019 2019 2018 2017 2016 2015 2016 2015 2014 2013 MORTGAGE HIS	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23, \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 leowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%)	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$591.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tao \$613.90 \$605.98 \$594.04 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document# 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
6/13/2022 9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessed Land Assessed Improve Total Assessed Improve Total	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,4 \$30, \$54, Hom 56%	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 eowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edward	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$605.98 \$594.04 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$520.24	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document# 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessed Land Assessed Land Assessed Improve Total Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES TAXES Tax Year 2021	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT t storry STORY Lo	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 eowners Exemption	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Tr Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Taa \$613.90 \$605.98 \$544.04 \$555.36 \$544.05 \$5528.86 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document# 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved Total Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Tax Year 2021 2020 2019 2019 2018 2017 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2014 2013 MORTGAGE HIS Date Recorded 07/16/2004 FORECLOSURE	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t t STORY Lo \$11 E HISTORY	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23, \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 eowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edward	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Tr Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Taa \$613.90 \$605.98 \$544.04 \$555.36 \$544.05 \$5528.86 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document# 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved Total Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Tax Year 2021 2020 2019 2018 2017 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2014 2015 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$10 E HISTORY ere found for this	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 eowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edward	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Tr Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Taa \$613.90 \$605.98 \$544.04 \$555.36 \$544.05 \$5528.86 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document# 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved fotal Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Tax Year 2021 2020 2019 2018 2017 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2017 2017 2016 2017 2017 2016 2017 2	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$10 E HISTORY ere found for this	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 eowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edward	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Tr Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Taa \$613.90 \$605.98 \$544.04 \$555.36 \$544.05 \$5528.86 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document/ 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment tessessed Land tessessed Improved Tax East tempt Reason 6 Improved TAXES Tax Year 1021 1020 1019 1021 1020 1019 1018 1017 1016 1015 1016 1015 1016 1015 1016 1017 1016 1015 1016 1017 1017 10	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$11 E HISTORY Re found for this ARACTERISTIC Single	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 eowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edward Blount Claudi	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Tr Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Taa \$613.90 \$605.98 \$544.04 \$555.36 \$544.05 \$5528.86 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Issessed Land Issessed Improved Tax Year Total Assessment Exempt Reason 6 Improved TAXES Tax Year 1021 1020 1019 1020 1018 1017 1016 1015 1014 1015 1014 1015 1014 1015 1014 1015 1016 1015 1014 1015 1014 1015 1016 1015 1014 1014 1015 1015 101	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$11 E HISTORY ere found for this ARACTERISTIC Single It 1929	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23, \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes an Amount 00,000 parcel. CS: BUILDING	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edwar Blount Edward Blount Claudi Condit Storie	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$591.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tao \$613.90 \$605.98 \$594.04 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$540.50 \$528.86 \$550.22 \$510.68 Book/Page or 2004-0663828	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document/ 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved fotal Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Tax Year 2021 2020 2019 2018 2017 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2017 2017 2016 2017 2017 2016 2017 2	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$11 E HISTORY Re found for this ARACTERISTIC Single	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23, \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes an Amount 00,000 parcel. CS: BUILDING	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 eowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edward Blount Claudi	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$655.36 \$540.50 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$552.86 \$522.24 \$511.70 \$510.68 Book/Page or 2004-0663828	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 FAX ASSESSME fax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved fotal Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved FAXES fax Year 2021 2020 2019 2021 2020 2019 2021 2020 2019 2016 2017 2014 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2014 2014 2014 2017 2018 2017 2014 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2014 2017 2014 2017 2018 2017 2014 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2014 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2014 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$11 E HISTORY ere found for this ARACTERISTIC Single It 1929 3 1,542 Feet (Living Spac	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes Family Residential	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edwar Blount Edward Blount Claudi Condit Storie	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$605.98 \$584.04 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68 Book/Page or 2004-0663828	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document/ 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved Total Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Tax Year 1021 1020 1019 1020 1	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$11 E HISTORY ere found for this ARACTERISTIC Single It 1929 3 1,542 Feet (Living Spac	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes Family Residential	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edwar Blount Edward Blount Claudi Condit Storie	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419,00 \$53,602.00 \$53,602.00 tes Lender Washington Mutual	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$605.98 \$584.04 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68 Book/Page or 2004-0663828	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved Total Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Tax Year 2021 2	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$11 E HISTORY ere found for this ARACTERISTIC Single It 1929 3 1,542 Feet (Living Spac	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes Family Residential	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edwar Blount Edward Blount Claudi Condit Storie	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00 tes Lender Washington Mutual	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$605.98 \$584.04 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68 Book/Page or 2004-0663828	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessed Land Assessed Land Assessed Improve Total Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Tax Year 2021 2020 2019 2014 2015 2014 2014 2014 2015 2014 2017 2014 2017 2014 2017 2014 2017 2014 2017 2014 2017 2014 2017 2014 2017 2014 2017 2014 2017 2014 2015 2014 2017 2014 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$11 E HISTORY ere found for this ARACTERISTIC Single It 1929 3 1,542 Feet (Living Spac	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes Family Residential	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edwar Blount Edward Blount Claudi Condit Storie	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00 tes Lender Washington Mutual	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$605.98 \$584.04 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68 Book/Page or 2004-0663828	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Fax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved Total Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Tax Year 2021 2020 2019 2018 2021 2020 2019 2018 2021 2020 2019 2018 2021 2020 2019 2018 2021 2020 2019 2018 2021 2015 2014 2013 MORTGAGE HIS Date Recorded 2017 2016 2014 2013 2014 2013 2014 2015 2014 2014 2014 2015 2014 2014 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2015 2015 2016 2017 20	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$11 E HISTORY ere found for this ARACTERISTIC Single It 1929 3 1,542 Feet (Living Spac	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes Family Residential	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 reowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edwar Blount Edward Blount Claudi Condit Storie	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax County Tax County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419,00 \$53,602.00 \$53,602.00 (es Lender Washington Mutual 1 F 1 H Building Square Feet (O ng Deck Ilwork	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$605.98 \$584.04 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68 Book/Page or 2004-0663828	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document/ 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Tax Assessed Land Assessed Land Assessed Improved Total Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Tax Year 2021	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$11 E HISTORY ere found for this ARACTERISTIC Single It 1929 3 1,542 Feet (Living Spac	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes Family Residential	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 eowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edwar Blount Edward Blount Claudi Condit Storie	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax County Tax d G a M tion s Roof Frami Roof Cover Cabinet Mi Floor Finisl Interior Fini Interior Fini	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00 tes Lender Washington Mutual	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$605.98 \$584.04 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68 Book/Page or 2004-0663828	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document# 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807
9/24/1999 TAX ASSESSME Fax Assessment Assessed Land Assessed Improved Total Assessment Exempt Reason % Improved TAXES Tax Year 2021 2020 2019 2018 2021 2020 2019 2018 2021 2020 2019 2018 2021 2020 2019 2018 2021 2020 2019 2018 2021 2015 2014 2013 MORTGAGE HIS Date Recorded 2017 2016 2014 2013 2014 2013 2014 2015 2014 2014 2014 2015 2014 2014 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2015 2015 2016 2017 20	6/16/2022 11/3/1999 ENT rements t STORY Lo \$11 E HISTORY ere found for this ARACTERISTIC Single It 1929 3 1,542 Feet (Living Spac	\$1,000,000 2021 \$23,1 \$30, \$54, Hom 56% City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes City Taxes Family Residential	Redwood Hol Blount Edward 661.00 495.00 156.00 eowners Exemption Borrower Blount Edwar Blount Edward Blount Claudi Condit Storie	dings LLC d G & Blount Claudi Change (%) \$242.00 (1.0%) \$312.00 (1.0%) \$554.00 (1.0%) County Tax County Tax County Tax	Singleton Kimberly Family Trust a M Blount Edward G & 2020 \$23,419.00 \$30,183.00 \$53,602.00 tes Lender Washington Mutual	A And Blount Grant Deed Claudia M Intrafamily Ti Dissolution Change (%) \$459.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) \$1,050.00 (2.0%) Total Tax \$613.90 \$605.98 \$584.04 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$555.36 \$540.50 \$5528.86 \$520.24 \$511.70 \$510.68 Book/Page or 2004-0663828	ransfer & 2019 \$22,960 \$29,592 \$52,552	Or Document# 2022- 0252921 1999- 0733807

COPYRIGHT © 2022 COURTHOUSE RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED Information Deemed Reliable But Not Guaranteed.

## Property Report for 4854 CIRCLE DR, cont.

Structural Fra	ructural Framing Ba			Bathroom Tile						
Fireplace					Plumbing Fixtures					
- OTHER										
Occupancy					Building Data Source					
PROPERTY	CHARACTE	RISTICS: EXTRA	FEATURES							
Feature		Size or Descript	lion			Year Built		Con	dition	
Garage		2 CAR								
PROPERTY	CHARACTE	RISTICS: LOT								
Land Use	Contraction of Source		Single Family	Residential	Lot Di	mensions				
Block/Lot			/63		Lot So	uare Feet			5.601	
Latitude/Long	gitude		32.765203°/-1	117.110969°	Acrea				0.13	
PROPERTY	CHARACTE	RISTICS: UTILITI	ES/AREA							
Gas Source					Road Type	e				
Electric Sour	ce				Topograp	hy				
Water Source	6				District Tr	rend				
Sewer Source	Ð				School Di	strict			San Diego Unfd	Ri -
Zoning Code			R-1:Single Fan	n-Res						
Owner Type										
LEGAL DES	CRIPTION									
Subdivision			Bonnie Brae		Plat Book	/Page				
Block/Lot			/63		Tax Area				08001	
Tract Number	r.		001244							
Description			Tr 1244 Lot 63	3						
FEMA FLOC	D ZONES									
Zone Code	Flood	I Risk BFE		Description				FIRM Panel ID	(	FIRM Panel Eff. Date
×	Minim	nal		Area of minima year flood level	flood hazard, usually depicter	d on FIRMs as abo	ve the 500-	060295-060730	C1638H	05/16/2012
LISTING AR	CHIVE									
MLS #	Status	Status Change Date	List Date	List Price	Closing Date	<b>Closing Price</b>	Listing Agent	Listing Broker	Buyer Agent	Buyer Broker
220011135	Sold	06/17/2022	05/09/2022	\$1,200,000	06/16/2022	\$1,000,000	Gregory D Martin	Exp Realty	Alex Dolginov	Dolan Realty Company

COPYRIGHT © 2022 COURTHOUSE RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. Information Deemed Reliable But Not Guaranteed.

## UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

#### Condition Ratings and Definitions

#### C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

## C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

#### СЗ

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

#### C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

## C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

#### C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

## Quality Ratings and Definitions

## Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

## Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

## UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

#### Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

#### Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

#### Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

## Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

#### Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

## Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

#### Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

#### Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

#### Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

#### Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

#### Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

## Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
e	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
		Area, Site
sqm Unk	Square Meters Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	
		Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WU	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear

UAD Version 9/2011 (Updated 4/2012)

## Supplemental Addendum

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr							
City	San Diego	County	San Diego	State	CA	Zip Code	92116	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							

#### FIRREA Certification Statement:

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.) and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs this certification.

#### Comments:

The intended user of the report is the lender (Wedgewood Inc). The client and lender may rely upon this appraisal for internal use, including but not limited to, rendering a decision relative to servicing a mortgage. No other use is intended.

Unless stated in this report, the existence of hazardous material, which may or may not be present in the property, has not been observed by the appraiser. The appraiser has no knowledge of the existence of such materials on or in the property. The appraiser, however, is not qualified to detect such substances. The presence of potentially hazardous material may affect the value of the property. The value estimate is predicated on the assumption that there is no such material on or in the property. No responsibility is assumed for any conditions or the expertise and engineering knowledge required to discover hazardous materials. The client is urged to retain an expert in this field, if desired.

The appraiser has completed the report in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practices (USPAP). It should be noted that while the appraiser has made every attempt to thoroughly inspect the subject property, appraiser is not qualified as a licensed contractor, plumber, electrician, surveyor, roofer or engineer. The scope of appraiser's inspection was thorough for the purpose of noting size, layout, amenities, depreciation, etc. as would be expected and necessary in the process of data collection per USPAP Standard Rule 1-1 and advisory opinion #2. If anyone relying on this report has reason to believe an inspection of a specific nature is warranted, then by all means the property qualified professional should be retained.

The appraiser has completed the report in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practices (USPAP). Square footage calculations are approximations based on the local tax records for all comparables, with some adjustment made based on the appraiser's knowledge, measurement, or estimate based on exterior view of the comparable. It is assumed that this data is accurate; however, if it turns out to be different than that reported in this appraisal, the final opinion of value could be altered.

No employee, director, officer or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal management company, or partner in behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted to influence the development, reporting, result or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or any other manner. I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client as identified on the first page of the report), borrower or designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report any unauthorized contacts either personally by phone or electronically to vendor management.

A State Law, effective as of 7/1/2011, requires that an owner of a dwelling unit intended for human occupancy shall install a carbon monoxide device, approved and listed by the State Fire Marshal pursuant to Section 13263, in each existing dwelling unit having a fossil fuel burning heater or appliance, fireplace, or an attached garage.

The installation of smoke and Carbon monoxide detectors could not be verified at this time.

The installation of a water heater with double straps could not be verified at this time.

#### • Exterior-Only: Neighborhood - Description

The subject's neighborhood is in the Normal Heights community of San Diego, California. The neighborhood consists of condominiums, single family dwellings, apartment buildings and commercial property. The subject property has access to employment, retail shopping, schools, police/fire, recreational facilities, public transportation and other support services within a 1-2 mile radius. The nearby downtown area has average employment stability and overall average appeal to the marketplace. No significant negative factors were noted which could potential impact value and/or marketability of the subject property at this time.

The subject's street is located near Route #15 which is a major highway with moderate to heavy traffic flow throughout the day. The subject does not have a view of the highway from the property and no significant traffic noise was audible at the time of the driveby inspection. No negative impact on value or marketability was noted at this time due to the subject's location.

#### Exterior-Only : Neighborhood - Market Conditions

Within the subject's market area, the market value for single family properties has increased over the recent 24 month period. Utilizing data from the local MLS system, a comparison of the most recent 12 month period was analyzed against data for the period between 06/2020 thru 06/2021 and revealed an increase in market values over this time frame. Per MLS data, the average sales price over the recent 12 month period for single family properties within the subject's market area as defined on page one was reported as \$1,224,307 (226 sales). This average indicates an increase in market values when compared to the average of \$1,006,866 (266 sales) as reported between 06/2020 thru 06/2021 for similar single family properties within the subject's market area.

Based on MLS data, there are 9 active listings, 8 pending sales and 104 sold properties over the previous 12 month period for similar single family properties within the subject's market area priced between \$850,000 to \$1,350,000. This indicates 9.3 sales per month with a .96 monthly supply. There is a shortage of supply for similar properties within the subject's market area and price range at this time. The average days on market were reported as 19 over the previous 12 month period.

## • Exterior-Only: Unit Description - Condition of the Property

The appraisal report was completed as an exterior only inspection at the request of the lender. Details regarding the interior of the property were obtained from a previous MLS listing #220011135 and public records. A C4 condition rating was assigned based on the exterior inspection, the MLS listing and photos. Based on this information, the property appears to be in average/fair condition for the surrounding market area. Based on the exterior inspection and the recent MLS listing, an extraordinary assumption is employed to presume that the property is in average/fair condition for the surrounding market area. The use of an extraordinary assumption in the report may have an impact on the assignment results if there is a significant difference in the interior condition and overall quality of the improvements.

#### • Exterior-Only : Sales Comparison - Summary of Sales Comparison Approach

Comparable sales or comps are selected by the appraiser after market research of the relevant geographic market area. The appraiser has identified sales of properties with the most similar characteristics relative to the subject property from the recent market data available and utilized the most appropriate as "comps" in this report. Dollar adjustments are applied to the comparable sale to account for the estimated market impact of their respective relevant differences as compared to the subject. Positive dollar adjustments reflect a comp's inferiority and a negative adjustment reflects a comp's superiority as compared to the subject. Where a difference is not considered relevant or where there is insufficient market data to indicate a premium or discount typically paid for a specific feature, no dollar adjustment is applied. In the instance where no adjustment was utilized, the appraiser has considered the possibility of an adjustment but

## **Supplemental Addendum**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address	4854 Circle Dr				
City	San Diego	County San Diego	State CA	Zip Code 92116	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				

deemed it inappropriate in that particular case. The adjusted sales price is then reconciled by the appraiser to arrive at the appraiser's opinion of value for the subject property via the Sales Comparison Approach.

The comments contained within this appraisal report on the condition of the property are not based on the "Standards of Condition of the Property: Practice" as defined by the American Society of Home Inspectors (ASHI) or the California Real Estate Inspection Association (CREIA) and therefore are not to be considered a credible home inspection or home inspection report about the verified overall actual condition of the subject property. For the purpose of this report, unless obvious visible issues exist that can be photographically documented, it is assumed that there are no structural defects hidden by floor or wall coverings or any other hidden or unapparent conditions of the property; that all mechanical equipment and appliances are in working condition; and that all electrical components and the roofing are in average condition.

The comparables selected were all located within the subject's market area and bracketed the subject's dominant features of lot size, gross living area, bedroom/bathroom count, age/condition and location. These comps were believed to be the best available properties within the market area to accurately estimate the market value of the subject.

All comps have settled within 6 months of the inspection date of the subject property. Based on MLS data, properties within the subject's market area have experienced an increase in market value over the recent 24 month period. Therefore, a 3% time adjustment was utilized for comps #1 thru #4 based on MLS data and the attached 1004 MC form. Adjustments were made from contract date to the effective date of the appraisal report.

Lot adjustments were not utilized at this time as an appropriate adjustment could not be exacted from the market for differences in overall lot size and utility. Through Qualitative Analysis, the impact on value for differences in overall lot size and utility were considered during the final reconciliation of value and applied to the estimate of value indicated by the appraiser.

In the market analysis, adjustments for age and condition are considered as one adjustment. A typical purchaser perceives the overall condition of a property to be relative to its effective age. Of importance is what has been updated, replaced or renovated as opposed to considering the actual age of the home. The basis for any dollar adjustment would be the difference between the effective age of the subject and the effective age of the comparable, as it relates to total economic life. Comparables #1 and #2 were given a C4 condition rating based on the definition indicated in the attached addendum. These comparables bracketed the subject's overall condition and effective age requiring no significant adjustment at this time. Differences in upgrades or a lack of upgrades were considered during the final reconciliation of value and applied accordingly to the subject's estimate of value.

A condition adjustment was utilized for comps #3 and #4 due to superior condition and effective age as noted within the MLS listing. The condition adjustment was made per Matched Pairs Analysis at this time.

A \$75/sf adjustment was utilized for gross living area differences greater than 100 square feet. This adjustment was based on approximately 5-15% of the average Sales Price/Gross Living Area for the settled sales utilized in this report. The gross living area adjustment was made based on Matched Pairs Analysis of comps #1 thru #4 at this time. This adjustment was rounded to the nearest 1,000 before being inserted into the sales comparison grid.

Due to differences in size, materials, and buyer preferences, exterior amenities were considered and given a minimal adjustment to account for the potential impact perceived by buyers within the market. All exterior items were given a minimal adjustment due to a lack of data verifying size and materials.

Adjustments for differences in bathroom count, heating/cooling systems, parking, pool/spa features, exterior amenities and ADU's were made per Matched Pairs Analysis of comps #1 thru #4 at this time. All features are considered relevant to potential buyers within the subject's market area, however, location, condition and gross living area are the key factors driving price within the subject's overall market area at this time.

The subject and comparables sales utilized in the report may have some personal property items which conveyed during the sale of the property. The personal property items are considered to be insignificant and have no impact on the final sale price of the subject or comparables utilized in the report. No adjustment could be extracted from the market for any personal property item which may have conveyed with the sale of the comparables or subject property, therefore, no adjustment was utilized at this time.

The subject is assumed to be in general compliance with local zoning regulations. Permits for improvements, which may have taken place since the time of construction, have not been presented to the appraiser. It is assumed that all required zoning and building use regulations for the subject property have been complied with or could be complied with by the owner in this report.

Most weight was given to comps #1 thru #3 during the final reconciliation of value. Comps #1 thru #3 are settled sales from the subject's market area which bracket most of the subject's dominant features which include location, lot size, age/condition, gross living area and bedroom/bathroom count.

The price range indicated on page two of the report as well as on the attached 1004 MC form was selected after the estimate of value had been determined. The price range was selected in order to identify market trends for similar properties within the subject's market area which would appeal to potential buyers. A price range was not utilized during the process of selecting similar comparables to estimate the market value of the subject property.

Photos regarding the interior of the property were obtained from MLS listing #220011135.

#### 1004: Site - Highest and Best Use

The highest and best use of the subject property is currently being utilized as a single family residence. The rationale for current highest and best use is supported by the subject property being located in an established residential neighborhood surrounded by similar style properties with the same use and zoning.

The definition of highest and best use is as follows: A real estate appraisal term meaning the legally and physically possible use that at the time of the appraisal, is most likely to produce the greatest net return to the land or buildings over a given period.

#### Appraisal Requirements:


The appraiser is signing this report using the corporate address of the appraisal company. The appraiser is not based in the corporate office and is based in San Diego, California. The appraiser is located roughly 8 miles from the subject property and has 3 years appraising in the market with 22 years of appraisal experience.

The appraiser is an hourly employee of Clario Appraisal Network and received no appraisal fee for the assignment.


Appraisal AMC# - California #1256 - Clear Capital, Inc.

Market	Conditions Add	lendum to the <i>l</i>	Appraisal Repor	t	File No	50312						
The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/c	client with a clear and accur	ate understanding of the m	arket trends and conditions p									
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraisal reports with an effective date on or after April 1, 2009.         Property Address       4854 Circle Dr         City San Diego						State CA ZIP Code 92116						
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC		ony San Dieg	0	00		ZIF OOU	<u>© 9211</u>	0				
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information re-	•					-	-					
housing trends and overall market conditions as reporte							tent					
	it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to provide data for the shaded areas below: if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data											
	explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an average instead of the median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an											
average. Sales and listings must be properties that com	•		•			•						
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, foreclosures, etc.												
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months		Inorogoing	Overall T		Deel	lining			
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	55 9.17	18 6.00	<u>31</u> 10.33		-	X Stabl			lining lining			
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	3	6	9		Declining	Stabl			<u> </u>			
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	0.3	1.0	0.9		Declining	Stabl	e		easing			
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months			Overall T			Parta a			
Median Comparable Sale Price Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	\$1,056,500 14	\$1,129,500 10	\$1,075,000 7		Increasing Declining	Stabl	L	Incre	lining			
	\$1,075,000	\$984,000	\$999,950		Increasing	Stabl		X Decl				
Median Comparable List Price Median Comparable Listings Days on Market Median Sale Price as % of List Price Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance	73	7	16	X	Declining	Stabl		-	easing			
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	101%	108%	109%	X	Increasing	Stabl	1	=	lining			
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance					Declining	X Stabl			easing			
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pa fees, options, etc.). An analysis was perfo				-		-		oro				
reported to have seller concessions. This				036	<u>sales, a lo</u>		1.070 W	CIC				
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pa fees, options, etc.). An analysis was perfo reported to have seller concessions. This Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market												
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market	t? 🗌 Yes 🗙 No	o If yes explain (inclus	ling the trends in listings and	calac	of foreclosed	Inronartias	2)					
An analysis was performed on 104 compe								-0				
The analysis was performed on Tot compe				0.01			0011					
Cite data sources for above information	nation reported in the	SanDiegoMI S sve	tem (using an effectiv	e d a	te of 06/2/	1/2022)	was uti	i hazili	to			
			tem (using an effectiv these comments are					ilized 1	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu	um. Any percent chai	nge results noted in	these comments are	base	ed on simp	le regre	ssion.	ilized 1	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your co	um. Any percent chain onclusions in the Neighborh	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais	these comments are al report form. If you used ar	base 1y add	ed on simp	le regre	ssion.	ilized 1	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	um. Any percent chai onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor	base 1y add t for y	ed on simp litional inform your conclusio	le regreation, such	ssion. 1 as	ilized 1	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou	base ny add t for y p ha	ed on simp litional inform rour conclusio d a media	le regre ation, such ons. n sale p	ssion. 1 as rice of		to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, t	base ny add t for y p ha there	ed on simp litional inform your conclusion d a media e is a 1.0 r	le regre ation, such ons. n sale p nonth su	ssion. 1 as rice of 1pply. 1	- This	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, t	base ny add t for y p ha there	ed on simp litional inform your conclusion d a media e is a 1.0 r	le regre ation, such ons. n sale p nonth su	ssion. 1 as rice of 1pply. 1	- This	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, t	base ny add t for y p ha there	ed on simp litional inform your conclusion d a media e is a 1.0 r	le regre ation, such ons. n sale p nonth su	ssion. 1 as rice of 1pply. 1	- This	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 competition \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, t	base ny add t for y p ha there	ed on simp litional inform your conclusion d a media e is a 1.0 r	le regre ation, such ons. n sale p nonth su	ssion. 1 as rice of 1pply. 1	- This	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 competition \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, t	base ny add t for y p ha there	ed on simp litional inform your conclusion d a media e is a 1.0 r	le regre ation, such ons. n sale p nonth su	ssion. 1 as rice of 1pply. 1	- This	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per month onth. These sales had	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, t 11. This analysis show	base ny add t for y p ha there ws a	ed on simp litional inform your conclusion d a media e is a 1.0 r	le regre ation, such ons. n sale p nonth su	ssion. 1 as rice of 1pply. 1	- This	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wm listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per month onth. These sales had project , complete the follo	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, 1 11. This analysis show Project M	base ny add t for y p ha there ws a	ed on simp litional inform your conclusion d a media e is a 1.0 r	ile regre ation, such ons. n sale p nonth su f -2.8% p	ssion. 1 as rice of upply. 1 per mo	- This	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per month onth. These sales had	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, t 11. This analysis show	base ny add t for y p ha there ws a	ed on simp litional inform rour conclusio d a media is a 1.0 r change o	le regre ation, such ons. n sale p nonth su f -2.8% p Overall T	ssion. as rice of upply. 1 per mo	This nth.				
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wm listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per month onth. These sales had project , complete the follo	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, 1 11. This analysis show Project M	base ny add t for y p ha there ws a	ed on simp litional inform your conclusion d a media e is a 1.0 r	ile regre ation, such ons. n sale p nonth su f -2.8% p	rice of apply. 1 per mo	This nth.	to			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wm listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per month onth. These sales had project , complete the follo	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, 1 11. This analysis show Project M	base ny add t for y p ha there ws a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining	le regre ation, such ons. n sale p nonth su f -2.8% ) f	rice of as rice of apply. 1 per mo	This nth.	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont onth. These sales had project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont onth. These sales had project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, 1 11. This analysis show Project M	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont onth. These sales had project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont onth. These sales had project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont onth. These sales had project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont onth. These sales had project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	um. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per mont onth. These sales had project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	um. Any percent chan         onclusions in the Neighborh         wm listings, to formulate yo         eting sales over the p         e of +0.2% per month         onth. These sales had         project , complete the follow         Prior 7–12 Months         i?       Yes         Yes       No	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 competing \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per model If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	um. Any percent chan         onclusions in the Neighborh         wm listings, to formulate yo         eting sales over the p         e of +0.2% per month         onth. These sales had         project , complete the follow         Prior 7–12 Months         i?       Yes         Yes       No	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	um. Any percent chan         onclusions in the Neighborh         wm listings, to formulate yo         eting sales over the p         e of +0.2% per month         onth. These sales had         project , complete the follow         Prior 7–12 Months         i?       Yes         Yes       No	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	um. Any percent chan         onclusions in the Neighborh         wm listings, to formulate yo         eting sales over the p         e of +0.2% per month         onth. These sales had         project , complete the follow         Prior 7–12 Months         i?       Yes         Yes       No	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	um. Any percent chan         onclusions in the Neighborh         wm listings, to formulate yo         eting sales over the p         e of +0.2% per month         onth. These sales had         project , complete the follow         Prior 7–12 Months         i?       Yes         Yes       No	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 compe \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per mo If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	um. Any percent chan         onclusions in the Neighborh         wm listings, to formulate yo         eting sales over the p         e of +0.2% per month         onth. These sales had         project , complete the follow         Prior 7–12 Months         i?       Yes         Yes       No	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 comper \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per model analysis shows a change of -6.1% per model Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	um. Any percent chan         onclusions in the Neighborh         wm listings, to formulate yo         eting sales over the p         e of +0.2% per month         onth. These sales had         project , complete the follow         Prior 7–12 Months         i?       Yes         Yes       No	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months Description of the second t.	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 comper- \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per model If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature American Mana	um. Any percent chan         onclusions in the Neighborh         wm listings, to formulate yo         eting sales over the p         e of +0.2% per month         onth. These sales had         project , complete the follow         Prior 7–12 Months         i?       Yes         Yes       No	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months Internet of the number of If yes, indicate the number t.	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 comper- \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per model If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature American Mana	um. Any percent chan         onclusions in the Neighborh         wm listings, to formulate yo         eting sales over the p         e of +0.2% per month         onth. These sales had         project , complete the follow         Prior 7–12 Months         i?       Yes         Yes       No	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of wing: Prior 4–6 Months O If yes, indicate the nu t. Signature Supervisory /	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, f 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 comper- \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per model If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature American Mana	um. Any percent chan         onclusions in the Neighborh         win listings, to formulate yo         eting sales over the p         e of +0.2% per month         onth. These sales had         project , complete the follow         Prior 7–12 Months         Image: Second sec	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu t.	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, 1 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex appraiser Name me	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. as rice of upply. ] per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 comper \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per model If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Aubrey Stanley Company Name Clario Appraisal Nework	um. Any percent chan         onclusions in the Neighborh         win listings, to formulate yo         eting sales over the p         e of +0.2% per month         onth. These sales had         project , complete the follow         Prior 7–12 Months         Image: Second sec	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months OIF yes, indicate the nu oIF yes, indicate the nu configure Signature Supervisory A Company Na company Ad	these comments are al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, 1 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex appraiser Name me	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	le regre         ation, such         ons.         n sale p         nonth su         f -2.8% ;         Goverall T         Stabl         Stabl         Stabl	ssion. n as rice of upply. 1 per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your or an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra An analysis was performed on 104 comper- \$1,077,500. This analysis shows a change analysis shows a change of -6.1% per model If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature American Mana	Im. Any percent chan onclusions in the Neighborh with listings, to formulate yo eting sales over the p e of +0.2% per month onth. These sales had project, complete the folloo Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo past 12 months. The h. Based on all sales d a median DOM of Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months OIF yes, indicate the nu oIF yes, indicate the nu configure Signature Supervisory A Company Na company Ad	these comments are al report form. If you used ar than explanation and suppor sales within this grou s in this same group, 1 11. This analysis show Project N Current – 3 Months Umber of REO listings and ex Umber of REO	base y add t for y p ha there ws a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ed on simp itional inform rour conclusion d a media a is a 1.0 r change o hange o lncreasing Declining Declining	Ile regre ation, such ons. n sale p nonth su f -2.8% ) Overall T Stabl Stabl Stabl Stabl Stabl Stabl stabl stabl Stabl	ssion. n as rice of upply. 1 per mo frend e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [ e [	This nth. Decl Decl Incre	lining easing			

## **Market Conditions Charts - Page 1**



Sales DOM Form PIC3W - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE



Short Sale Analysis Form PIC3W - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

# Market Conditions Charts - Page 2

**E&O** Policy

ACORD <sup>®</sup> CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE							DATE (MM/DD/YYYY)					
C	IIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A ERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMAT ELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INS	MATTER	OF INFORMATION ONLY R NEGATIVELY AMEND,	AND CONFERS	NO RIGHTS TER THE CO	UPON THE CERTIFICA VERAGE AFFORDED	TE HOI BY THE	<b>POLICIES</b>				
R	EPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, A	ND THE C	CERTIFICATE HOLDER.				0.000					
lf	PORTANT: If the certificate holder SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject is certificate does not confer rights to	to the te	erms and conditions of th	e policy, certain	policies may							
194403	DUCER	o the cer	tincate noider in neu or st	CONTACT NAME: Fiona C								
Assurance, a Marsh & McLennan Agency LLC company												
Suite 100 E-Mail ADDRESS: fchen@assuranceagency.com												
Schaumburg IL 60173 INSURER(S) AFFORDING COVERAGE NAIC#												
	2018		CLEAHOL-02	INSURER A : AXA II		31127						
INSU			INSURER B :									
	arCapital.com, Inc. arCapital Holdings, Inc.		INSURER C :									
300	E 2nd Street		INSURER D :									
0.000	te 1405 no NV 89501			INSURER E :								
		-		INSURER F :								
	/ERAGES CER		E NUMBER: 667417962			REVISION NUMBER:						
IN CE	DICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY RI ERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY CLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH	EQUIREME PERTAIN,	ENT, TERM OR CONDITION THE INSURANCE AFFORDE	OF ANY CONTRAC	T OR OTHER I	DOCUMENT WITH RESPE D HEREIN IS SUBJECT T	CT TO	WHICH THIS				
INSR LTR	TYPE OF INSURANCE	ADDL SUB	R	POLICY EFF		LIM	TS					
LIN	COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY	INSU WVL	, reconnection	(mint/DD/TTT		EACH OCCURRENCE	s					
	CLAIMS-MADE OCCUR					DAMAGE TO RENTED PREMISES (Ea occurrence)	\$					
						MED EXP (Any one person)	\$					
						PERSONAL & ADV INJURY	\$					
	GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER:					GENERAL AGGREGATE	\$					
	POLICY PRO- JECT LOC					PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG	\$					
	OTHER:		r				70 J.2-					
	AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY					COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT (Ea accident)						
						BODILY INJURY (Per person)						
	OWNED SCHEDULED AUTOS ONLY HIRED NON-OWNED					BODILY INJURY (Per accident PROPERTY DAMAGE	61 _ CO					
	AUTOS ONLY AUTOS ONLY					(Per accident)	\$					
			-	-			\$					
						EACH OCCURRENCE	\$					
	DED RETENTION \$					AGGREGATE	\$ \$					
	WORKERS COMPENSATION					PER OTH- STATUTE ER	φ					
	AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY Y / N ANYPROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE	65340-00				EL. EACH ACCIDENT	s					
	OFFICER/MEMBEREXCLUDED? (Mandatory in NH)	N/A				E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOYE	or the					
	If yes, describe under DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below					E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT	12					
A	Professional Liability		MPP9044163	10/18/2021	10/18/2022	Claim/Aggregate		000,000				
RE:	RIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHIC PROOF OF INSURANCE agreed that the following is an Addition	10 R 500		200 07200000000 ecc		golgi						
CEF	TIFICATE HOLDER			CANCELLATIO	N							
	Clario Appraisal Network,	Inc.		THE EXPIRATI	ON DATE TH	ESCRIBED POLICIES BE C EREOF, NOTICE WILL Y PROVISIONS.						
	PROOF OF INSURANCE		Libre Taljak									
ACC	DRD 25 (2016/03)	The A	CORD name and logo ar			ORD CORPORATION.	All rig	hts reserved.				

