Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 52337 34061848

Г	The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	ort is to provide the lender/client with an	accurate, and adequately supported, or	pinion of the market value	of the subject property.
	Property Address 1584 N Marengo Ave		City Pasadena		Zip Code 91103
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC	Owner of Public Rec		County Los A	<u> </u>
		N TRACT S 75 FT OF LOT 10	Jean N Williams	oddity LOS P	rigeles
		I TRACT 5 /5 FT OF LOT TO	Tay Vaar 2022	R.E. Taxes \$ 9	200
	Assessor's Parcel # 5837-026-012		Tax Year 2022		
CT	Neighborhood Name North Central Pass		Map Reference N/A	Census Tract 4	
SUBJECT	Occupant Owner Tenant Vac		S\$ 0	JD HOA\$O	per year per month
Ä	Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)	(1 ")		
0,	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction		r (describe) Servicing		
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		5 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 10		
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale of				
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and	d date(s). DOM 24;CRMLS/Re	alist. Subject is currently listed @	\$895,000 since 12/19	/2022,
	CRMLS#SR22257389.				
	I did did not analyze the contract for	sale for the subject purchase transaction. Exp	lain the results of the analysis of the contrac	t for sale or why the analysis	was not
	performed.				
ST					
Ž	Contract Price \$ Date of Con	itract Is the property selle	er the owner of public record?	No Data Source(s)	
Ę	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, s	ale concessions, gift or downpayment assista	nce, etc.) to be paid by any party on behalf	of the borrower?	Yes No
CONTRACT	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe		, , , , , ,		
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood are not appraisal factors			
	Neighborhood Characteristics		nit Housing Trends	One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
				-	
		Rural Property Values Increas			
Q	Built-Up ★ Over 75% ☐ 25-75% ☐	Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortag		\$ (000) (yrs)	2-4 Unit 5 %
BORHOOD	Growth Rapid Stable	Slow Marketing Time Vunder 3		650 Low 30	Multi-Family 5 %
Ŧ	_	tuated south of Woodbury Rd, wes	t of El Molino Ave, north of	1,300 High 135	Commercial 5 %
	Mountain Ave, and east of Foothill Fi	rwy (210).		850 Pred. 80	Other %
ᅙ	Neighborhood Description See attached	d addenda.			
NEIGH					
	Market Conditions (including support for the above	ve conclusions) See attached a	ddenda.		
	Dimensions 75 x 66	Area 4942 sf	Shape Rectangul	ar View N;	;Res;
	Specific Zoning Classification R6		Single Family Residential		
			oning 🔲 Illegal (describe)		
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as	s improved (or as proposed per plans and spe	cifications) the present use?	Yes 🗌 No If No, des	cribe
	Utilities Public Other (describe)		,	ovements - Type	Public Private
Ш	Electricity 🔀 🗌	Water 🔀 🗌	Street Asp		lacktriangle
SIT	Gas 🔀 🗆	Sanitary Sewer 🔀	Alley Nor		
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes	No FEMA Flood Zone X	FEMA Map # 06037C1375F	FEMA Map	Date 09/26/2008
	Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical		No If No, describe		
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external				If Yes, describe
	External obsolescence noted for sub	ject fronting to school with some a	<u>dverse effect due to noise nuisan</u>	ce during school hours	S
	Course (a) Head for Dhusian Observation (C.	Concept.	C Acceptant and Too Seconds	Drior Incording	Droporty Ourser
ĺ	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pr	roperty Appraisal Files ML			Property Owner
	Other (describe) Online Mapping General Description	General Description		Realist/Title	Car Storage
I	•	•	5, 5	Amenities	
	Units One One with Accessory Unit	Concrete Slab Crawl Space	FWA HWBB Firep		
	# of Stories 1	Full Basement Finished		dstove(s) # 0 🔀 Drive	
	Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Partial Basement Finished		/Deck Slab Driveway	_
	Existing Proposed Under Const.	Exterior Walls Stucco		None Gara	
	Design (Style) Traditional	Roof Surface CompShingle		None Carpo	
	Year Built 1965	Gutters & Downspouts None		♥ Wood X Attac	
	Effective Age (Yrs) 28	Window Type Aluminum		None Built-	in
	Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven		crowave 🔀 Washer/Dryer 🔀 Other		
TS	Finished area above grade contains:	6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms		Square Feet of Gross Liv	ring Area Above Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Additional features (special energy efficient items	t, etc.) There were no special e	energy efficient items noted during	our inspection.	
Ē					
Ó	Describe the condition of the property and data s			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	or inspection and
ఠ	previous MLS listing, subject's featur			Concrete slab patio; A	ttached 2-Car
	Garage. The subject property may be	e considered to be in average cond	dition per the exterior inspection.		
ĺ					
ĺ	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies	dvarea conditions that affect the livebility and	adages or structural integrity of the average) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Z No
ĺ	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a lf Yes, describe.	uvorse containons mat affect the ilvability, SOU	nunces, or structural integrity of the property	? Yes >	N NO
I	II 103, UG301IJG.				
I					
I					
	Does the property generally conform to the neigh	borhood (functional utility, style, condition. us	e, construction, etc.)?	Yes No If No, describ	le.
	, , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. ,		
	_				

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 52337 File # 34061848

52337

There are 44 comparable FEATURE		ulleled for Sale III i	the subject neighborho	ood ranging in price	from \$ 700,000	to \$ 999	. 000,
FEATURE					rice from \$ 680,00		,280,000
	SUBJECT	COMPARAB			LE SALE # 2	COMPARABI	
Address 1584 N Marengo		1900 Belmont Av		1726 El Sereno	Ave	1675 N El Molino	
Pasadena, CA 91		Pasadena, CA 9		Pasadena, CA 9		Pasadena, CA 9	
Proximity to Subject	100	0.68 miles NW	1100	0.36 miles NW	1100	0.50 miles E	1104
Sale Price	\$	0.00 1111103 1444	\$ 842,500		\$ 825,000		\$ 725,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 630.61 sq.ft.	042,300	\$ 750.00 sq.ft.		\$ 696.45 sq.ft.	Ψ 723,000
Data Source(s)	ψ 54.1ι.	CRMLS#DW230	10657:DOM 20	CRMLS#SR222		CRMLS#P1-1116	SO-DOM O
Verification Source(s)		Doc#192019	10037,DOW 29	Doc#62051	11291,DOW 40	Doc#981223	DO,DOINI U
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	DESCRIPTION		T(-) # Aujustilielit		T(-) \$ Aujustilient		T(-) \$ Aujustinent
Concessions		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth	
		Conv;0		Conv;0		Conv;0	
Date of Sale/Time		s03/23;c03/23		s01/23;c11/22	10.000	s10/22;c09/22	
Location	A;School;	N;Res;	-10,000		-10,000	A;BsyRd;	0
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	
Site	4942 sf	6876 sf	-3,900	10994 sf	-12,100	4474 sf	0
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Design (Style)	DT1;Traditional	DT1;Traditional		DT1;Traditional		DT1;Traditional	
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4	
Actual Age	58	95	0	45	0	99	0
Condition	C4	C4		C4		C4	
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	6 3 2.0	6 3 2.0		6 3 2.0		5 2 1.0	+5,000
Gross Living Area	1,258 sq.ft.	1,336 sq.ft.	0	1,100 sq.ft.	+6,300	1,041 sq.ft.	+8,700
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0sf		0sf	·
Rooms Below Grade							
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Average	
Heating/Cooling	FAU/None	FAU/None		FAU/None		FAU/None	
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None		None	
Garage/Carport			0				13 000
Porch/Patio/Deck	2ga2dw	2gd2dw	U	2gd2dw	U	1gd1dw	+3,000
	Patio	Patio		Patio		Patio	
Fireplace	1 FP	1 FP		None	+3,000		
Pool/Spa	None	None		None		None	
Net Adicates and (Tatal)					Φ		Φ
Net Adjustment (Total)		<u> </u>	\$ -13,900		\$ -12,800		\$ 16,700
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 1.6 %		Net Adj. 1.6 %		Net Adj. 2.3 %	_
of Comparables I 🔀 did 🔲 did not research t		Gross Adj. 1.6 %	\$ 828,600 rty and comparable sale		\$ 812,200	Gross Adj. 2.3 %	\$ 741,700
Data Source(s) Realist/CR My research ☐ did ☑ did r Data Source(s) Realist/CR	MLS not reveal any prior sale MLS	s or transfers of the co	mparable sales for the y	year prior to the date o	ffective date of this appr	sale.	
Report the results of the research a	nd analysis of the prior	sale or transfer history	of the subject property	and comparable sales	(report additional prior	sales on page 3).	
ITEM	Sl	IBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	ALE #1	COMPARABLE SALE #2	2 COMPA	RABLE SALE #3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Data Source(s)	RealQuest/F	tealist/MLS	RealQuest/Realis	t/MLS Real	Quest/Realist/MLS	RealQuest	Realist/MLS
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	03/31/2023		03/31/2023	03/31	/2023	03/31/2023	
	story of the subject pro	perty and comparable s	ales Sub	ject has not beer	sold within last 3	6 months.	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his							
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his							
Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi				,,	1 30ld Willim last 0		
Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi				,	T 30id Within last o		
Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi					1 3014 WITHIN 1431 O		
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	oroach See at	tached addenda.			T SOID WITHIN TASK O		
	oroach See at	tached addenda.			T SOID WITHIN TASK O		
	oroach See at	tached addenda.			T SOID WITHIN TASK O		
Summary of Sales Comparison Ap					T SOID WITHIN TASK O		
Summary of Sales Comparison Ap	on Approach \$8;	25,000	Post Annyoach (if days			roach (if dayslaned) \$	
Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis	on Approach \$ 8; arison Approach \$	25,000 825,000	Cost Approach (if dev	eloped) \$ 828,7	60 Income App	roach (if developed) \$	
Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis The Sales Comparison Ar	on Approach \$ 8; arison Approach \$ alysis is consider	25,000 825,000 ed to be most reli	iable indicator of v	eloped) \$ 828,70	60 Income App	, Income Approac	h was not
Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis The Sales Comparison Ar considered. THIS APPRA	on Approach \$ 8; arison Approach \$ alysis is consider ISAL REPORT IS	25,000 825,000 ed to be most reli	iable indicator of v	eloped) \$ 828,70	60 Income App	, Income Approac	h was not
Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison The Sales Comparison Ar considered. THIS APPRA INTENDED FOR ANY OT This appraisal is made as is a significant of the sales comparison.	on Approach \$ 83 arison Approach \$ allysis is consider ISAL REPORT IS HER USE. ", subject to following repairs or a	25,000 825,000 ed to be most relication per plans on the bas	able indicator of NR USE IN A MOR	eloped) \$ 828,70 value. Due to lace TGAGE FINANC In the basis of a hy ondition that the rep	60 Income App k of sufficient data E TRANSACTION pothetical condition thatairs or alterations have	, Income Approace ONLY. THIS REF	PORT IS NOT

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

52337 File # 34061848

Intended Use:						
The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate			ortgage	finance		
transaction, unless indicated differently within the client requirement section	on below or in the Loan Purpose section	n above.				
Intended User:	uld have a pood to roly on the information	tion contained in	the energ	oiool		
The Client listed and any others that may be identified by the client that could have a need to rely on the information contained in the appraisal report.						
тороги						
The appraiser has NOT appraised the subject within the prior 3 years. In a	accordance with USPAP. I have perfor	med no services	as an ai	ppraiser		
or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of						
this assignment.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
COOT ADDROAGUTO VALUE						
	(not required by Fannie Mae)					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.	750/ -54-4-1		41		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	ns. mating site value) Site value exce	eeds 75% of total				
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns. mating site value) Site value exce					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	ns. mating site value) Site value exce					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area.	ns. mating site value) Site value exce			ales.		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	ns. mating site value) Site value excetion method, due to the lack of available	e and buildable la	and for sa			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extrace ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	ns. mating site value) Site value excetion method, due to the lack of available OPINION OF SITE VALUE		=\$ ==\$	700,000		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift	mating site value) Site value excession method, due to the lack of available OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,258 Sq.Ft. @\$	e and buildable la	=\$ ==\$	700,000		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area.	ons. mating site value) Site value excelling method, due to the lack of available OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,258 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$	e and buildable la	=\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 226,440		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Patio,FP Garage/Carport DISTER VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Patio,FP Garage/Carport 200 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New	180.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$	700,000 226,440 10,000		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction surplements on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Conclusions as to construction quality and materials were based on	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Patio,FP Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Pating site value) Site value excellence Site value excellence Site value excellence Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 200 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	180.00	=\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extrace area. The land value is determined by the extrace area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 03/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Conclusions as to construction quality and materials were based on observations made during the inspection of the property. Cost estimates	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Patio, FP Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Mating site value) Site value excellence Site value excellence Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Patio, FP Garage/Carport 1020 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 112,680	180.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extract typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extract ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 03/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Conclusions as to construction quality and materials were based on observations made during the inspection of the property. Cost estimates are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Patio, FP Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 112,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	180.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extrace area. The land value is determined by the extrace area area. The land value is determined by the extrace area area. The land value is determined by the extrace area area area. The land value is determined by the extrace area of cost data area. The land value is determined by the extrace area area area area area area area. The land value is determined by the extrace area of cost data area area area. The land value is determined by the extrace area area of cost data. The land value is determined by the extrace area area. The land value is determined by the	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Patio, FP Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Mating site value) Site value excellence Site value excellence Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Patio, FP Garage/Carport 1020 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 112,680	180.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data of cost d	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Patio,FP Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 112,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	180.00	= \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data of cost d	DEPRECIATION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Patio, FP Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 112,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	180.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value of cost data in the immediate area calculations of cost data in the immediate area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Conclusions as to construction quality and materials were based on observations made during the inspection of the property. Cost estimates are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 32 Years	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 1,2,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae)	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extract support of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extract support of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extract support of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction function of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Patio, FP Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 112,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extract support of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extract support of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extract support of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction function of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 1,2,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae)	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extract support of cost data area. The land value is determined by the extract support of cost data. The land value is determined by the extract support of cost data. The land value is determined by the extract support of cost data. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data of cost data. The land value is determined by the extraction of the property. Cost estimates are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 32 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Due to	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,258 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Patio,FP Garage/Carport Less Physical Depreciation 112,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ lack of sufficient data, Income approace	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction forms of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the property. Cost estimates are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 32 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Due to	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,258 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Patio,FP Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 112,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ lack of sufficient data, Income approace	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction for the property in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the property. Cost estimates are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 32 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Due to PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,258 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Patio,FP Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 112,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ lack of sufficient data, Income approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost and the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data of	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,258 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Patio,FP Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 112,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ lack of sufficient data, Income approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost and the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data of	INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH [Indicated Cost of Sufficient data, Income approach of the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.]	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data Marshall & Swift Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 03/2023	ns. mating site value) Site value exception method, due to the lack of available OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,258 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Patio,FP Garage/Carport 200 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 112,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ lack of sufficient data, Income approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive support of cost of the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive support of cost data. The land value is determined by the extractive support of cost data. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data. The land value is determined by the extraction of the property. Cost estimates are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 32 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Due to PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ lack of sufficient data, Income approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s)	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive land in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive land in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive land in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive land in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction for cost data land land land land land land land lan	ns. mating site value) Site value exception method, due to the lack of available OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,258 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Patio,FP Garage/Carport 200 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 112,680 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ lack of sufficient data, Income approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extrace typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extrace typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extrace typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extrace the following in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction for the property and materials were based on observations made during the inspection of the property. Cost estimates are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 32 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Total required by Fannie Mae) = \$ lack of sufficient data, Income approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extrace typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extrace typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extrace typical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extrace the following in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction for the property and materials were based on observations made during the inspection of the property. Cost estimates are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 32 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ lack of sufficient data, Income approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attach and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s)	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive land in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive land in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extractive land in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction for cost data area. The land value is determined by the extraction for solicities are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 32 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Due to PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Total required by Fannie Mae) = \$ lack of sufficient data, Income approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area acculations, depreciation, etc.) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 03/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Conclusions as to construction quality and materials were based on observations made during the inspection of the property. Cost estimates are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 32 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Due to the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Total required by Fannie Mae) = \$ lack of sufficient data, Income approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the property and materials were based on observations as to construction quality and materials were based on observations made during the inspection of the property. Cost estimates are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 32 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Due to the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Ins. Ins. Ins. Insting site value) Site value exception method, due to the lack of available	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estitypical in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of cost data in the immediate area. The land value is determined by the extraction of the property cost data in the immediate area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Conclusions as to construction quality and materials were based on observations made during the inspection of the property. Cost estimates are obtained from local contractors and Marshall & Swift. Effective age was based on the condition of the property at the time of inspection. The land value is determined by the extraction method, due to lack of land sales. The building sketch is intended to assist the reader, and is not an engineer draft effort. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 32 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Due to the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Ins. Ins. Ins. Insting site value) Site value exception method, due to the lack of available	180.00	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	700,000 226,440 10,000 5,000 241,440 122,680) 118,760 10,000 828,760		

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

52337 File # 34061848

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Albino Kim	Name
Company Name Velox Valuations LLC	Company Name
Company Address 55 Monument Cir Floor 7	Company Address
Indianopolis, IN 46204	
Telephone Number (317) 482-7700	Telephone Number
Email Address al.kim@veloxval.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 03/31/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 03/31/2023	State Certification #
State Certification # AR042494	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	·
Expiration Date of Certification or License 05/08/2023	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDD500 05 DD0D5D7/ ADDD 405D	☐ Did not inspect exterior of subject property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
1584 N Marengo Ave	Data of Increation
Pasadena, CA 91103	Date of hispection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 825,000	COMPARADIFICALIFO
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 34061848

52337

COMPARABLE SALE # 4 COMPARABLE SALE # COMPARABLE SALE # 6 **FEATURE** Address 1584 N Marengo Ave 1318 N Marengo Ave 959 Worcester Ave 1964 Navarro Ave Pasadena, CA 91103 Pasadena, CA 91103 Pasadena, CA 91104 Pasadena, CA 91103 Proximity to Subject 0.32 miles S 0.75 miles S 0.62 miles NW Sale Price \$ 880,000 900,000 979,000 Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area sa.ft. \$ 758.62 sq.ft. 583.28 sq.ft. 971.23 sq.ft. Data Source(s) CRMLS#CV22122488;DOM 13 CRMLS#PW23004022;DOM 7 CRMLS#PW22234394;DOM 14 Verification Source(s) Pending Sale Pending Sale Doc#722993 VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment Sales or Financing ArmLth Listing Listing Concessions Conv;0 List (5%);0 -45,000 List (5%);0 -49,000 s07/22;c06/22 Date of Sale/Time c01/23 c03/23 Location A:School: -10,000 N;Res; -10,000 N;Res; -10,000 N:Res: Leasehold/Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Site 4942 sf +3,200 7087 sf -4,300 10000 sf -10,100 3318 sf View N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; Design (Style) DT1;Traditional DT1;Traditional DT1;Traditional DT1;Traditional Quality of Construction Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Actual Age 58 111 0 112 0 100 Condition C4 -50,000 C4 -100,000 C3 C2 Above Grade Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Room Count 3 2.0 +5,000 3 2.0 3 2.0 2 1,008 sq.ft. Gross Living Area 1,258 sq.ft. 1,160 sq.ft. 1,543 sq.ft. -11,400 +10,000 0 Basement & Finished 0sf 0sf 0sf 0sf Rooms Below Grade Functional Utility Average Average Average Average Heating/Cooling FAU/None FAU/Central -5,000 FAU/None FAU/None Energy Efficient Items None None None None Garage/Carport 2ga2dw 2dw +6,000 1gd1dw +3,000 2dw +6,000 Porch/Patio/Deck Patio Patio Patio Patio <u>Fire</u>place 1 FP None +3,000 1 FP 1 FP Pool/Spa None None None None Net Adjustment (Total) **X** -X -47,800 X \$ -67,700 \$ -153,100 5.4 % Adjusted Sale Price Net Adi. Net Adi 7.5 % Net Adi. 15.6 % 18.9 % |\$ of Comparables Gross Adj 9.3 % \$ 832.200 Gross Adj. 8.2 % \$ 832,300 Gross Adj 825.900 Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITFM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE # 4 COMPARABLE SALE # 5 COMPARABLE SALE # 6 Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) RealQuest/Realist/MLS RealQuest/Realist/MLS RealQuest/Realist/MLS RealQuest/Realist/MLS Effective Date of Data Source(s) 03/31/2023 03/31/2023 03/31/2023 03/31/2023 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales Analysis/Comments Comparable listing #5 and #6 are currently in process of escrow as Pending Sale. Both listing comparables required listing ratio adjustment according to asking price and/or time exposed on market. Both listings are Standard Sale, all information were verified thru public records and/or MLS listing private remarks. Both listings required location adjustment for subject fronting to school. Listing #6 required condition adjustment for exhibiting superior condition per MLS description. Listing #5 was offered for sale @ \$900,000 since 1/9/2023 and an offer was accepted within 7 days on market; Listing #6 was offered for sale @ \$979,000 since 3/14/2023 and an offer was accepted within 14 days on market

Supplemental Addendum

File No	. 34061848
---------	------------

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	1584 N Marengo Ave			
City	Pasadena	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91103
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			

Exterior-Only: Neighborhood - Description

The subject is located in conforming neighborhood consisting of average to good quality, detached single family dwellings and condominiums. Shopping, schools, and parks are in close proximity to the subject. Employment centers are located a typical distance away. Public transportation and major freeway access are available and in close proximity. There are no apparent adverse locational factors affecting the subject's appeal, marketability, or value.

• Exterior-Only : Neighborhood - Market Conditions

Home sellers are increasingly cutting their asking prices as buyers, constrained by higher mortgage rates and overall inflation, have become less willing to jump into the housing market at any cost. The growing number of price cuts, a trend showing up in data from Southern California and across the nation, is one of the strongest signs yet that the previously red-hot market, fueled by low mortgage rates and all-cash bidding wars, is cooling. The price reductions don't mean overall values are dropping. Industry experts, for now, do not see a plunge coming in the housing market, catapulted to record-high prices in the first two years of the pandemic as many people sought out more space and had new savings to spend. Values could come down modestly, some experts said, if the Federal Reserve's actions to tame inflation send mortgage interest rates significantly higher - or tip the economy into recession. For buyers, the market already feels significantly different from the frenzied competition of several months ago. Monthly mortgage payments for a same-priced home are now hundreds of dollars more than what they were at the beginning of the year, when rates were in the 3% range.

The share of homes listed for sale that took recent price cuts has more than doubled since last year. During the four weeks that ended June 2022, 16.2% of listings in L.A. County had at least one price cut, up from 7.5% during the same period last year, Redfin data show. In Orange, Riverside and San Bernardino counties the share of price drops rose to more than 20% of listings, up from about 7% a year earlier.

tionwide, there haven't been this many price cuts since 2019. Homes for sale in Los Angeles and Orange Counties haven't seen this number of price reductions since late 2018 - the last time mortgage rates shot up. In the Inland Empire, price reductions are at an all-time high in a dataset that started in 2015. Despite the slowdown, agents say that there are still many eager buyers and that the number of homes for sale remains well below pre-pandemic levels, with bidding wars still breaking out for the best properties.

Marketing time appears to be 3 months with balance in supply and demand. Property values appears to be stable and in process of revitalization where properties listed at or below market value have shown multiple offers trend results. At present time, there is no adverse conditions which would dramatically affect the above stated trends.

• Exterior-Only : Subject - Overall Condition of the Property

ANSI Statement

Statement of Finished Square Footage

"Finished square footage calculations for this house were made based on estimated dimensions only and may include unfinished areas, openings in floors not associated with stairs, or openings in floors exceeding the area of associated stairs."

Highest and Best Use Comment:

The subject's site is considered to be physically available for development. Use of the subject's site is restricted by the zoning district. The subject is located in a residential area. Highest and Best Use of the site is considered to be single family, detached, residential use. This use is permitted under the zoning ordinance and is considered the only use that is economically feasible for the size and location of the site. As of the effective date, the subject was improved, single family, detached, residential use, which is the maximally productive use of the site and was the highest and best use of the land as improved. As of the effective date of the appraisal, the subject property did not suffer any known easements. No easements are recorded

As of the effective date of the appraisal, the subject property did not suffer any known easements. No easements are recorded on the deed, which is attached to this report. The most probable buyer for the subject property was, as of the effective date of the appraisal, considered to be an owner occupier assisted with market typical mortgage financing.

• Exterior-Only : Sales Comparison Analysis - Summary of Sales Comparison Approach

The comparables utilized were best available at time of the inspection. All comparables except #3 required location adjustment for subject fronting to school. Comparable #4 required condition adjustment for exhibiting superior condition per MLS description. No bedroom adjustments warranted as bedroom count is adjusted within GLA. Adjustment factors of \$5000 per Full Bath, \$5000 per FAU/Central, \$3000 per Garage, \$3000 per Fireplace were derived from immediate marketplace and/or paired match analysis. Living area adjustment of \$40/sf and lot size adjustment of \$2/sf are extracted from subject's market place, although no adjustment was necessary if living size difference is less than 100 Sqft and lot size difference is less than 1000 Sqft. All comparables were considered in arriving at the final estimated market value. Appraisal was based on estimated exposure time of 3 months. All comparables were not REO or Short sales, all information was verified thru public sources and/or MLS listings.

There were no special concessions noted on selected closed and listing comparables, all information were verified thru MLS listing remarks and descriptions.

The comparables photos are original and taken at time of inspection.

The subject and its comparables are located within same immediate area as described in location boundaries.

The subject final reconciled value is estimated at \$825,000 based on comparison analysis. There were sufficient number of similar comparables sold within last 6 months whereas appraiser has reviewed all possible comparables in the comparison analysis and has selected the most compatible to subject which required minimal adjustments compared to discarded comparables. All comparables were selected from broad comparables research whereas such comparables required minimal adjustments due to similar features as subject's. The appraiser assumes the selected comparables best represent subject's final reconciled value.

Most weight was given to Comparable #1 due to recent close of date of sale, #1 & #2 due to similar room count, #3 due to equivalent external obsolescence.

The report was developed in adherence to the lenders Appraiser Independence Requirements as stated in Fannie Mae Appraisal guidelines, https://www.fanniemae.com/content/fact_sheet/appraiser-independence-requirements.pdf The report was prepared in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA.

A reasonable exposure time for the subject property developed independently from the stated marketing time is 3 months.

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report


52337

File No. 34061848

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/c							
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appra	isal reports with an effective			Ctoto		7ID Code Od	100
Property Address 1584 N Marengo Ave		City Pasaden	a	State C	CA	ZIP Code 91	103
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC	ruirod on this form as the t	agic for hig/har construction	on and must provide access	for these	nonalusis	no rogardina	
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information rec							
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported							
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as i							
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will							
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required infor	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·	-		-	
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp				sed by a pro	ospective	buyer of the	
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anoma	lies in the data, such as sea	asonal markets, new cons	truction, foreclosures, etc.				
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months			Overall Trend	
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	26	7	11	Incr	reasing	X Stable	Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	4.33	2.33	3.67	Incr	reasing	X Stable	Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	3	4	4	Dec Dec	lining	X Stable	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	0.7	1.7	1.1	Dec	lining	X Stable	Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months		•	Overall Trend	•
Median Comparable Sale Price	994,000	820,000	846.250	Incr	reasing	X Stable	Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	15	12	48	Dec		X Stable	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	1,000,000	989,500	874,500	Incr	reasing	Stable	■ Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	90	81	64	▼ Dec		Stable	Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	99.4	82.9	96.7			X Stable	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p		X No	1 00.7			X Stable	Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas			n 3% to 5% increasing use o				moreucing
fees, options, etc.). The data used in the g							ncactions
However, this is not a mandatory reporting						Sions, but n	ave not
been reported. It is beyond the scope of the	ils assignment to cor	nirm each sale used	a in the Market Condi	tions Rep	рогт.		
Are fercelegure color (DEO color) a feet out the second) \\\-\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	If you combine the st	ding the trende in links are a	l noloc eff	rooles	nronadi\	
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market			ding the trends in listings and		reciosea	properties).	
Research of public records and MLS listing	gs show minimal fore	eclosure activity in t	he subject's immediat	e area.			
Cite data sources for above information. RealC	MI O I						
Ticals	luest, MLS data, DQ	news.com and/or lo	cal news.				
Treate	Quest, MLS data, DQ	news.com and/or lo	ocal news.				
Ticalia	quest, MLS data, DQ	news.com and/or lo	cal news.				
				ny additiona	al informa	tion, such as	
Summarize the above information as support for your co	onclusions in the Neighborh	ood section of the apprais	al report form. If you used ar				
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo	al report form. If you used ar th an explanation and suppor	rt for your c	conclusion	18.	narket for
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav The market research analysis indicates the	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. Su	al report form. If you used and the an explanation and supporupporting factors also	rt for your o indicate	conclusion that da	ns. nys on the m	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray The market research analysis indicates the the previous sales and listings have also be	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppon upporting factors also ving strong support fo	rt for your o indicate or the est	conclusion that da timated	ns. Tys on the m within 3 mc	nths
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates the the previous sales and listings have also b marketing time. All conclusions were base	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppon upporting factors also ving strong support fo	rt for your o indicate or the est	conclusion that da timated	ns. Tys on the m within 3 mc	nths
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray The market research analysis indicates the the previous sales and listings have also be	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppon upporting factors also ving strong support fo	rt for your o indicate or the est	conclusion that da timated	ns. Tys on the m within 3 mc	nths
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates the the previous sales and listings have also b marketing time. All conclusions were base	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppon upporting factors also ving strong support fo	rt for your o indicate or the est	conclusion that da timated	ns. Tys on the m within 3 mc	nths
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates the the previous sales and listings have also b marketing time. All conclusions were base	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppon upporting factors also ving strong support fo	rt for your o indicate or the est	conclusion that da timated	ns. Tys on the m within 3 mc	nths
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates the the previous sales and listings have also b marketing time. All conclusions were base	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppon upporting factors also ving strong support fo	rt for your o indicate or the est	conclusion that da timated	ns. Tys on the m within 3 mc	nths
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates the the previous sales and listings have also b marketing time. All conclusions were base	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and suppon upporting factors also ving strong support fo	rt for your o indicate or the est	conclusion that da timated	ns. Tys on the m within 3 mc	nths
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates the the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable d on information obta	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neig	al report form. If you used at th an explanation and suppor upporting factors also ving strong support fo ghborhood boundaries	rt for your o	conclusion that da timated	ns. Tys on the m within 3 mc	nths
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable d on information obta	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at th an explanation and suppor upporting factors also ving strong support fo ghborhood boundaries	rt for your o	conclusion that da timated	ns. nys on the m within 3 mo ng a more a	nths
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable d on information obta	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neig	al report form. If you used at th an explanation and suppor upporting factors also ving strong support fo ghborhood boundaries	rt for your o	conclusion that da timated ore givi	ns. Nys on the m within 3 mo ng a more a Overall Trend	onths occurate
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable d on information obta	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at th an explanation and suppor upporting factors also ving strong support fo ghborhood boundaries	rt for your or indicate or the est s, therefore	conclusion that da timated ore givi	overall Trend	onths accurate Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable d on information obta	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at th an explanation and suppor upporting factors also ving strong support fo ghborhood boundaries	rt for your coindicate or the est s, therefore	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable	onths accurate Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you at values have been been relatively stable d on information obta	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at th an explanation and suppor upporting factors also ving strong support fo ghborhood boundaries	rt for your coindicate or the est s, therefore the standard s, therefore the standard shade the standard sha	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	project, complete the follow	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	project, complete the follow	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at th an explanation and suppor upporting factors also ving strong support fo ghborhood boundaries	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	project, complete the follow	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	project, complete the follow	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	project, complete the follow	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	project, complete the follow	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	project, complete the follow	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	project, complete the follow	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	project, complete the follow	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project'	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neighborhood ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neighborhood ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neighborhood ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neighborhood ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neighborhood ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neighborhood ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neighborhood ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neighborhood ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ood section of the apprais ar conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neig ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighbor.	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries Project N Current – 3 Months	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ood section of the apprais ar conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neig ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighbor. t.	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries. Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Albino Kim	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood it. Signature Supervisory	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries. Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Albino Kim Company Name Velox Valuations LLC	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighbor. Signature Supervisory Company Na	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries. Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and exemple and exemp	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the abov	project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project 7, Indianopolis, IN 44	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood Signature Supervisory Company Na 6204 Company Ad	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries. Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and ex Appraiser Name me dress	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Albino Kim Company Name Velox Valuations LLC	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood Signature Supervisory Company Na 6204 Company Ad	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries. Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and exemple and exemp	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. The market research analysis indicates that the previous sales and listings have also be marketing time. All conclusions were base conclusion. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the above trends and address the impact of the summarize the abov	project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project 7, Indianopolis, IN 44	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo in a stable state. So (exposure time), gi ained within the neigh ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the neighborhood Signature Supervisory Company Na 6204 Company Ad	al report form. If you used at the an explanation and supporting factors also ving strong support for ghborhood boundaries. Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name Immediates A	vame: Incre	conclusion that datimated ore givi	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing


Aerial Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC					
Property Address	1584 N Marengo Ave					
City	Pasadena	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code	91103	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc					




Location Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	1584 N Marengo Ave			
City	Pasadena	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91103
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Plat Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	1584 N Marengo Ave			
City	Pasadena	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91103
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	1584 N Marengo Ave			
City	Pasadena	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91103
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Subject Front

1584 N Marengo Ave

1,258 6 3 2.0 A;School; N;Res; 4942 sf Q4 58



Subject Street

Photograph Addendum

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	1584 N Marengo Ave			
City	Pasadena	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91103
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



FRONT ANGLED VIEW



FRONT VIEW



ALTERNATE STREET VIEW

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	1584 N Marengo Ave		
City	Pasadena	County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code	91103
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		



Comparable 1

1900 Belmont Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.68 miles NW Sales Price 842,500 Borrower/Client 1,336 Lender 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 6876 sf Quality Q4 95 Age



Comparable 2

1726 El Sereno Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.36 miles NW 825,000 Sales Price Gross Living Area 1,100 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 10994 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 45



Comparable 3

1675 N El Molino Ave

0.50 miles E Prox. to Subject Sales Price 725,000 Gross Living Area 1,041 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 2 **Total Bathrooms** 1.0 Location A;BsyRd; View N;Res; Site 4474 sf Quality Q4 Age 99

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	1584 N Marengo Ave		
City	Pasadena	County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code	91103
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		



Comparable 4

1318 N Marengo Ave

0.32 miles S Prox. to Subject Sales Price 880,000 Borrower/Client 1,160 Lender 5 Total Bedrooms 2 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 3318 sf Quality Q4 Age 111



Comparable 5

959 Worcester Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.75 miles S Sales Price 900,000 Gross Living Area 1,543 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 7087 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 112



Comparable 6

1964 Navarro Ave

0.62 miles NW Prox. to Subject Sales Price 979,000 Gross Living Area 1,008 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 10000 sf Quality Q4 Age 100

52337 File No. 34061848

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C/

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

00

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and ungrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
Α	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk AdjPwr	Adjacent to Park	Location
ArmLth	Adjacent to Power Lines Arms Length Sale	Location Sale or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
В	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
С	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
Comm	Commercial Influence Conventional	Location Sale or Financing Concessions
СОПУ	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway	Garage/Carport
e Fatata	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions Garage/Carport
g ga	Garage Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-in Garage	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
MR Mtn	Mid-rise Mountain View	Design (Style) View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
0	Other	Design (Style)
ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res RH	Residential USDA - Rural Housing	Location & View Sale or Financing Concessions
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
Woods	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Woods Wtr	Woods View	View View
WtrFr	Water View Water Frontage	Location
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
i		



Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE

Albino S. Kim

has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title:

"Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser"

This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law.

BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:

AR 042494

Effective Date:
Date Expires:

May 9, 2021 May 8, 2023

Loretta Dillon, Deputy Bureau Chief, BREA

3058375

THIS COCIMENT CONTAINS A TRIJE WATERMARK - HOLD UP TO LIGHT TO SEE CHAIN LIN

E&O Insurance



PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY POLICY DECLARATIONS (CLAIMS-MADE AND REPORTED FORM)

Carrier: Admiral Insurance Company

Policy No.: <u>EO000056476-02</u> Renewal/Rewrite of: <u>EO000056476-01</u>

Named Insured and Mailing Address

VELOX VALUATIONS LLC 10 INNISBROOKE TRL. GREENWOOD, IN 46142

NO FLAT CANCELLATIONS

In consideration of the payment of premium, in reliance upon the statements herein or attached hereto, and subject to all of the terms of this policy, the Company agrees with the **Named Insured** as follows:

Item I: Named Insured's Business:

Real Estate Appraisal Services

Item II: Limits of Liability:

\$1,000,000 Each Claim

\$3,000,000 Aggregate

Item III: Deductible: \$5,000 Per Claim (including claim expenses)

Item IV: Retroactive Date:

04/01/2020 Applies to limits of \$1,000,000 each occurrence and \$1,000,000 aggregate

05/01/2020 Applies to limits of \$1,000,000 each occurrence and \$3,000,000 aggregate

Item V: Premium: \$10,418.00 Not Subject to Audit

Item VI: Forms attached at inception:

See Schedule of Forms AI 00 18 03 98

This policy is not binding unless countersigned by Admiral Insurance Company or its authorized representative.

Countersigned On: 03/10/2023

At: Mount Laurel, NJ

\$10,418.00 Premium

250.00 Policy Fee

266.70 Surplus Lines Tax

\$10,934.70 Total

By: Authorized Representative

The Insurance Company in which this coverage is placed is authorized, but not licensed, to transact business in Indiana. This policy is not protected by the Indiana Insurance Guaranty Association in the event of insolvency of the Company. This policy and the premium thereon has been properly declared as a Surplus Lines Risk to the Indiana Department of Insurance and the surplus lines tax paid accordingly.

Arlington/Roe & Co., Inc.

DE23180820 Page 1 of 1

Property Profile - Page 1


OWNER INFORMATION			
Owner Name	Williams Jean N	Tax Billing Zip	91103
Owner Name 2		Tax Billing Zip+4	1814
Mail Owner Name	Jean N Williams	Owner Vesting	
Tax Billing Address	1584 N Marengo Ave	Owner Occupied	Yes
Tax Billing City & State	Pasadena, CA	No Mail Flag	
LOCATION INFORMATION			
Zip Code	91103	Location Influence	
Carrier Route	C019	TGNO	
Zoning	PSR6	Census Tract	4615.02
Tract Number		Topography	Rolling/Hilly
School District	Pasadena	Township Range Sect	
Comm College District Code	Pasadena	Neighborhood Code	
TAX INFORMATION			
APN	5837-026-012	Tax Appraisal Area	
Alternate APN	VVV. VLUTIZ	Lot	10
Exemption(s)	Homeowner	Block	and the second
% Improved	74%	Water Tax Dist	Southern California
Tax Area	7500	Fire Dept Tax Dist	OVALISTI VAIIOTIIA
Legal Description	JOSEPH W GILMAN TRACT S T OF LOT 10		
	1 OF LOT 10		
ASSESSMENT & TAX	Margedy av	ngraeni	nerwise.
Assessment Year	2022	2021	2020
Assessed Value - Total	\$51,684	\$50,671	\$50,152
Assessed Value - Land	\$13,364	\$13,102	\$12,968
Assessed Value - Improved	\$38,320	\$37,569	\$37,184
YOY Assessed Change (\$)	\$1,013	\$519	
YOY Assessed Change (%)	2%	1.03%	
Exempt Building Value			
Exempt Land Value			
Exempt Total Value			
Exompt Total Value			
Tax Year	Total Tax	Change (\$)	Change (%)
2020	\$861		
2021	\$884	\$23	2.63%
2022	\$920	\$37	4.15%
Special Assessment		Tax Amount	
Stormdrainuse62		\$32.17	
Sgvmosquito&Vcd80		\$14.76	
Safe Clean Water83		\$64.90	
Cntysandist1656		\$160.00	
Flood Control 62		\$21.49	
Rposd Measure A 83		\$21.38	
Pasadenalibrary62		\$43.92	
Mwdstandby#986		\$11.72	
Frauma/Emerg Srv86		\$62.90	
Total Of Special Assessments		\$433.24	
CHARACTERISTICS		(g to tes	
County Land Use	Single Family Resid	Cooling Type	
Universal Land Use	SFR	Patio Type	BORRES SE
Lot Frontage	75	Garage Type	Parking Avail
Lot Depth	66	Garage Sq Ft	
Lot Acres	0.1135	Parking Type	On Site
Lot Area	4,942	Parking Spaces	2
Lot Shape	(V-18)	Roof Type	-Viene in the man in the
		Deaf Material	Gravel & Rock
Style Building Sq Ft	Conventional 1,258	Roof Material Roof Frame	Graver & HOCK

Page 1/3

Property Profile - Page 2

Basement Sq Feet			Construction Type	
Date of Cot			Interior Wall	Drywall
Stories	1		Exterior	Stucco
Total Units	1		Floor Cover	
Total Rooms	6		Flooring Material	Concrete
Bedrooms	3		Foundation	Slab
Total Baths	2		Pool	
MLS Total Baths	2		Year Built	1965
Full Baths	2		Effective Year Built	1965
Half Baths			Other Impvs	Fence
Dining Rooms	1		Equipment	
Family Rooms			Porch	
Other Rooms	Dining Room		Patio/Deck 1 Area	
Fireplaces	1		Patio/Deck 2 Area	
Condo Amenities			Porch 1 Area	
Condition			Porch Type	
Quality			Building Type	Type Unknown
Water			Bldg Class	
Sewer	Type Unknown		Building Comments	
Heat Type	Central		# of Buildings	
Heat Fuel Type				
SELL SCORE				
Rating	Moderate		Value As Of	2023-03-26 04:32:16
Sell Score	576			
LISTING INFORMATION				
MLS Listing Number	SR22257389		Pending Date	
MLS Status	Pending		Closing Date	
MLS Area	646 - PASADENA (N	E)	MLS Sale Price	
MLS Status Change Date	01/13/2023		MLS Listing Agent	F210092507-Rodney Gallman
	\$895,000		MLS Listing Broker	CITIHOME
MLS Current List Price	\$030,000		•	
MLS Current List Price MLS Original List Price	\$895,000		MLS Source	CN
MLS Original List Price			Market Colonial Colon	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing #			Market Colonial Colon	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status			Market Colonial Colon	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date			Market Colonial Colon	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date			Market Colonial Colon	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price			Market Colonial Colon	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status			Market Colonial Colon	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date			Market Colonial Colon	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price	\$895,000		Market Colonial Colon	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation Date	\$895,000	CN	MLS Source	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation Dat MLS Source	\$895,000	CN	MLS Source	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation Dat MLS Source	\$895,000	CN	MLS Source	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation Dat MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES	\$895,000	CN	MLS Source	CN
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation Dat MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date	\$895,000	CN	MLS Source Sale Type Deed Type	
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation Dat MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price	\$895,000	CN	MLS Source Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name	CN Williams Jean N
MLS Original List Price ALS Listing # ALS Status ALS Listing Date ALS Listing Price ALS Orig Listing Price ALS Close Date ALS Listing Close Price ALS Listing Cancellation Dat ALS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet	\$895,000	CN	Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2	
MLS Original List Price ALS Listing # ALS Status ALS Listing Date ALS Listing Price ALS Orig Listing Price ALS Close Date ALS Listing Close Price ALS Listing Cancellation Dat ALS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price	\$895,000	CN	MLS Source Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name	
MLS Original List Price ALS Listing # ALS Status ALS Listing Date ALS Listing Price ALS Close Date ALS Close Date ALS Listing Close Price ALS Listing Cancellation Dat ALS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number	\$895,000	CN	Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2	Williams Jean N
MLS Original List Price ALS Listing # ALS Status ALS Listing Date ALS Listing Price ALS Orig Listing Price ALS Close Date ALS Listing Close Price ALS Listing Cancellation Dat ALS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number	\$895,000 SHISTORY	05/24/2010	Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller	
MLS Original List Price ALS Listing # ALS Listing Date ALS Listing Date ALS Orig Listing Price ALS Orig Listing Price ALS Close Date ALS Listing Close Price ALS Listing Cancellation Data ALS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date	\$895,000		Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller	Williams Jean N
MLS Original List Price ALS Listing # ALS Listing Date ALS Listing Date ALS Orig Listing Price ALS Orig Listing Price ALS Close Date ALS Listing Close Price ALS Listing Cancellation Data ALS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date	\$895,000 SHISTORY	05/24/2010	Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller	Williams Jean N
MLS Original List Price ALS Listing # ALS Listing Date ALS Listing Date ALS Orig Listing Price ALS Orig Listing Price ALS Close Date ALS Listing Close Price ALS Listing Cancellation Data ALS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date	\$895,000 SHISTORY	05/24/2010	Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller	Williams Jean N
MLS Original List Price ALS Listing # ALS Listing Date ALS Listing Date ALS Clisting Price ALS Orig Listing Price ALS Close Date ALS Listing Close Price ALS Listing Cancellation Date ALS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Document Number Recording Date Sale Price	\$895,000 SHISTORY 12/09/2021 11/10/2021	05/24/2010 05/14/2010	Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 05/24/2010 05/14/2010	Williams Jean N 02/20/1958 Williams Chester R & Willia
MLS Original List Price ALS Listing # ALS Listing Date ALS Listing Price ALS Orig Listing Price ALS Close Date ALS Listing Close Price ALS Listing Cancellation Date ALS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Price Hominal Sayer Name	\$895,000 66 12/09/2021 11/10/2021 Y Williams Family Trust	05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y Williams Family Tr	Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y ust Williams Jean N	Williams Jean N 02/20/1958
MLS Original List Price ALS Listing # ALS Status ALS Listing Date ALS Crig Listing Price ALS Close Date ALS Listing Close Price ALS Listing Cancellation Data ALS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Sale Price Sale Price Sale Name Seller Name	\$895,000 SHISTORY 12/09/2021 11/10/2021 Y Williams Family Trust Williams Jean N	05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y Williams Family Tr	Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y ust Williams Jean N Williams Chester R	Williams Jean N 02/20/1958 Williams Chester R & Willia
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Crig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation Date MLS Listing Cancellation Date MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Price Sale Price Sale Price Sale Price Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Price Sale Price Sale Name Seller Name Sceller Name	\$895,000 BE SHISTORY 12/09/2021 11/10/2021 Y Williams Family Trust Williams Jean N 1837523	05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y Williams Family Tr Williams Jean N 705781	MLS Source Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y ust Williams Jean N Williams Chester R 705780	Williams Jean N 02/20/1958 Williams Chester R & Williams Jean N
MLS Original List Price ALS Listing # ALS Listing Date ALS Listing Price ALS Close Date ALS Close Date ALS Listing Close Price ALS Listing Cancellation Dat ALS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Price Sale Date Sal	\$895,000 SHISTORY 12/09/2021 11/10/2021 Y Williams Family Trust Williams Jean N	05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y Williams Family Tr	Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y ust Williams Jean N Williams Chester R	Williams Jean N 02/20/1958 Williams Chester R & Willia
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Crig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Close Price MLS Listing Cancellation Date MLS Listing Cancellation Date MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Price Sale Price Sale Price Sale Price Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Price Sale Price Sale Name Seller Name Sceller Name	\$895,000 BE SHISTORY 12/09/2021 11/10/2021 Y Williams Family Trust Williams Jean N 1837523	05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y Williams Family Tr Williams Jean N 705781	MLS Source Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y ust Williams Jean N Williams Chester R 705780	Williams Jean N 02/20/1958 Williams Chester R & Williams Jean N
MLS Original List Price MLS Listing # MLS Status MLS Listing Date MLS Crig Listing Price MLS Orig Listing Price MLS Close Date MLS Listing Cancellation Date MLS Listing Cancellation Date MLS Source LAST MARKET SALE & SALES Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Multi/Split Sale Document Number Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Sale Price Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Price Nominal Buyer Name Seller Name Document Number Document Type	\$895,000 BE SHISTORY 12/09/2021 11/10/2021 Y Williams Family Trust Williams Jean N 1837523	05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y Williams Family Tr Williams Jean N 705781	MLS Source Sale Type Deed Type Owner Name Owner Name 2 Seller 05/24/2010 05/14/2010 Y ust Williams Jean N Williams Chester R 705780	Williams Jean N 02/20/1958 Williams Chester R & Williams Jean N

Property Profile - Page 3



Generated on: 03/30/23 Page 3/3

Property Details Courtesy of Albino Kim, Albino S. Kim, Appraisal, California Regional MLS
The data within this report is complied by CoreLogic from public and private sources. The data is dee
independently verified by the recipient of this report with the applicable county or municipality.