Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	The purpose of this summary appraisal report is	to provide the lender/client with					•	alue o	f the subject prope	ertv.
	Property Address 9430 Megan Ave	to provide the fortact/offent with	City	ato, ana	Chatsworth		State CA			
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC	Owner of Public Reco			Schuman Jose		County		Los Angeles	5
	Legal Description *TR=31955 LOT 8									
	Assessor's Parcel # 2727-016-015				Tax Year		2022 R.E	Тахе	s\$ 2,662	
ECT	Neighborhood Name Chatsworth		N.	lap Refe		6/C4		sus Tra		37
<u> </u>		ant Special Assessments \$		•	PUD	HOA				er month
B	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (des			1 1 00	11071	Ψ		por your p	OI IIIOIIIII
SU	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transaction		(describe) Loan Servic	ina				
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc						100, Redondo E	Reach	CA 00278	
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale						•		Yes X No	
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), a		iii liie lwei	ve monu	is prior to the eller	clive date	e or triis appraisare		Tes A NO	
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), a	illu date(s). INO CRIVILO#								
	I did not enclose the contract to			Funda	41		f thtut f		annih a tha an ali sais	
	·	or sale for the subject purchase	transaction	n. Expia	in the results of the	e anaiysi	s of the contract for	sale o	or wny the analysis	was not
S	performed.									
≸										
CONTRACT	Contract Price \$ Date of Con				er of public record		Yes No Data			—,. .
Ó	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges,		payment a	issistanc	e, etc.) to be paid	by any p	arty on behalf of the	borro	wer?Yes [No
ပ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describ	be the items to be paid.								
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the									
	Neighborhood Characteristics		ne-Unit H	_			One-Unit House	sing	Present Land Us	
	Location Urban X Suburban Rura		Increasir			Declining		AGE	One-Unit	85 %
Ö		der 25% Demand/Supply	Shortage) X	In Balance C	OverSupply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	00 %
RHOOD	Growth Rapid X Stable Slov	w Marketing Time X	Under 3 n	nths	3-6 mths	Over6mths	777 Low	4	Multi-Family	5 %
企	Neighborhood Boundaries Devonshire St is	s to the north. Nordhoff S	St is to th	e south	n. Topanga Ca	nyon	1,399 High	83	Commercial	5 %
BO	Blvd is to the east. Valley Cirlce Blvd i						950 Pred.	45	Other Vac/Prk	5 %
동	Neighborhood Description Subject neighborho	ood is within reasonable distan	ice from ed	ducation	al, retail, and empl	oyment	districts and all cons	umer	support facilities i	ncluding
H	public transportation, and freeways are nearb									
Z	adequacy of public utilities, including police									
	Market Conditions (including support for the abo					v stable	at the time of in	nspec	ction. There wa	as
	fluctuation of median prices. However,					,				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,								
	Dimensions See Site Map for Area	Calculation Area	7837	' sf	Shape	Rectar	ngular View		N;Res;	
					ential Suburbar					
		nconforming (Grandfathered Us		o Zoning			oqit iiiii iot			
	Is the highest and best use of subject property a						X Ves No	If No. (describe. The h	inhest
	and best use meets legal permissibility.							ii ivo, t	describe. The fi	gricst
	Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public 0		•		•	provementsType		Public P	rivate
쁜	Electricity X	Water X	rinei (des	Cilbej		Pvd	provementsrype		X	Ivate
SIT	Gas X	Sanitary Sewer X			Alley	None				
		X No FEMA Flood Zone X		FI	EMA Map # 060			Man D	ate 09/26/200	8
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typi		Voc 🗌		o, describe.	07012	OOI I LIVII/ (iviap D	00/20/200	
	Are there any adverse site conditions or externa					nd usas	etc.)? X Yes	No	If Yes, describe.	
	There were no apparent adverse easer	•								naior
	busy road which does have an adverse				CONGRESIONS NO	icu. Tic	wever, the subj	coi di	DCS DACK TO A I	пајог
	busy road willor does have an adverse	zancot on value and man	Kotability	•						
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of P	Property Appraisal Files	X MLS	Χ Δee	essment and Tax	Records	Prior Inspection	n	Property Owner	
	X Other (describe)	Realist			ce(s) for Gross Liv				Records	
		General Description			g / Cooling		menities	Tux	Car Storage	
								\vdash		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Concrete Slab Crawl Spa		FWA	HWBB	$\overline{}$	eplace(s) # 1	-	None Driveway # of Ca	re 2
		Full Basement Finished		Radiant			odstove(s) # 0		Driveway # of Ca	
		Partial Basement		Other	NOTIC		io/Deck Patio	$\overline{}$	way Surface Cor	
				FAU	Air Canditioning	\vdash	ch Cov	$\overline{}$	Garage # of Ca	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	of Surface Cmpstn	X X		Air Conditioning	$\overline{}$	None	=	Carport # of Ca	
		itters & Downspouts Alun		Individu		$\overline{}$	ce Concrete	╬		etached
		ndow Type Metal		Other		$\overline{}$	er None	Ш	Built-in	
ENTS	Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X				Washer/Dryer		r (describe)			
Ż	Finished area above grade contains: 8		drooms	3.1	Bath(s) 3	,078	Square Feet of 0	iross i	Living Area Above	Grade
M	Additional features (special energy efficient item	is, etc.) None								
چ	-	() () ()								
ROVI	Describe the condition of the property and data s	source(s) (including apparent r	needed rep	bairs, det	erioration, renovat	tions, ren	nodeling, etc.). C4	;See	comments -	
ட	SUBJECT CONDITION									
Ξ										
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a			-					s X No	
	If Yes, describe There are no apparent ph									
	Please note that the appraiser is not a									vey,
	analyze, or comment on physical items						ient has concerr	ns reg	garding any	
	mechanical or structural physical proble					$\overline{}$				
	Does the property generally conform to the neigh					? Ye	es X No If No, de	escribe	The subject	
	condition size, age, and design/style co	onforms the homes in the	immedi	ate ma	ket area.]

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No.

53253 Case No. 34106318

1,019,000 1,019,000 There are comparable properties currently offered for sale in the subject neighborhood ranging in price from \$ to\$ There are comparable sales in the subject neighborhood within the past twelve months ranging in sale price from \$ 832,000 1,399,000 to\$ **FFATURE** COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE #3 **SUBJECT** Address 9430 Megan Ave 22015 Liggett St 22036 Gledhill St 9742 Farralone Ave Chatsworth, CA 91311 Chatsworth, CA 91311 Chatsworth, CA 91311 Chatsworth, CA 91311 0.10 miles S 0.06 miles W 0.46 miles NW Proximity to Subject Sale Price 990,000 \$ 1,340,000 1,399,000 0.00 405.74 sq. ft. 515.98 409.30 sq. ft. Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area \$ sq. ft. \$ sq. ft. CRMLS#SR22145005;DOM 9 CRMLS#22170681;DOM 13 CRMLS#SR22070014;DOM 48 Data Source(s) DOC#22-0805286, RealQuest Verification Source(s) DOC#22-0766549, RealQuest No Doc Selected VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment Sale or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth Conv;9000 -9,000 Cash:0 Conv;69950 -70,000 Concessions Date of Sale/Time s08/22;c07/22 s08/22;c07/22 0 s08/22;c05/22 -49,500 A;BacksBusyRd; -67.000 A;NearBusyRd; N;Res; A;ArterialRd; Location Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Leasehold/Fee Simple Site 7837 sf 8,311 sf 7,800 sf 0 16,248 sf -126,000 N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; View Design (Style) DT2;Traditional DT2;Traditional DT2;Traditional DT2;English -67,000 Q4 Q4 Q3 Q4 **Quality of Construction** Actual Age 44 44 44 48 -134,000 Condition C4 C4 C2 C4 Total Bdrms. O Total Bdrms. Total Bdrms. Above Grade Total Bdrms. Baths Baths Baths Baths +5,000 Room Count 4 3.1 9 5 3.0 +5.000 8 4 3.1 3 3.0 2,597 Gross Living Area 3,078 2.440 +111,500 sq. ft. +84.000 3,418 -59,500 sa. fl sa. ft sa. ft Basement & Finished 0sf 0sf 0sf 0sf Rooms Below Grade **Functional Utility** Average Average Average Average FAU/CAC Heating/Cooling FAU/CAC FAU/CAC FAU/CAC **Energy Efficient Items** None None None None -10,000 Garage/Carport 2ga2dw 3ga3dw 2ga2dw 2ga2dw Porch/Patio/Deck Patio/Porch Patio/Porch Patio/Porch Patio/Porch Fireplaces 1 Fireplace 1 Fireplace 1 Fireplace 1 Fireplace Pool/Spa None None None None X +] + X -+ X 48.000 -184,000 -250,500 Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price Net Adj: 5% Net Adj: -14% Net Adj: -18% Gross Adj: 19% Gross Adj: 19% of Comparables 1,038,000 Gross Adj: 26% 1.156.000 1,148,500 I X did did not research the sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales. If not, explain My research did X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property for the three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal. Data source(s) CRMLS, RealQuest.com My research X did did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale Data source(s) CRMLS, RealQuest.com Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE #3 Date of Prior Sale/Transfer 03/11/2022 Price of Prior Sale/Transfer \$0 RealQuest.com RealQuest.com RealQuest.com RealQuest.com Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) 04/17/2023 04/17/2023 04/17/2023 04/17/2023 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales The prior transfer of comp two was not a market driven transaction. The estimated value is based on the most recent similar sales, support of value marketability, Summary of Sales Comparison Approach and indication of value based on the principles of substituion. The opinion of value is greater than predominate due to superior overall condition, quality of construction, and gross living area. The subject is not over improved and there are no adverse affects on value or marketability. 1,040,000 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 1,040,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$ Income Approach (if developed) \$ Value is based on principles of substitution & opportunity costs as they apply to the market data approach Due to the lack of relevant lot sales in the subject's area and the age of the subject improvements, the cost approach has been deemed unreliable and, therefore, unnecessary to be included in this report. The majority of the home within the subject's neighborhood are owner occupied, not income producing, and therefore, the income approach is unnecessary. subject to completion per plans and specifications on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been This appraisal is made X "as is," subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair: Based on a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and appraiser's certification, my (our) opinion of the market value, as defined, of the real property that is the subject of this report is 1,040,000 , as of 04/17/2023 , which is the date of inspection and the effective date of this appraisal

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in	•			
Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2	
U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in	effect at the time the appra	iser signs the		
appraisal certification.				
This report was proposed in accordance with the requirements of the	nnraical Danart antion of l	UCDAD Standa	arda Dula 2 2/	٥\
This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the	ppraisai Report option or i	USPAP Standa	ilus Rule 2-2(a	a).
Fannie Mae Definition: Market value is the most probable price which	a property should bring in a	a competitive a	and open mark	et under all
conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting pru				
undue stimulus.	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,
AMC Registration # for ClearCapital.com, Inc: California #1256				
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Ma	ae.)		
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca		ae.)		
	culations.	•	alue for the subj	ect property was
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca	culations. ods for estimating site value)	Opinion of site va		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metr	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted f	Opinion of site va	ments and then c	compared to derive
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were of at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability.	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g	Opinion of site va	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cast Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metroderived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cast a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Opinion of site va fromsite improver greater than 30% i	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cast Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cast a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g	Opinion of site va from site improvel preater than 30% i	ments and then c is typical for the a	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and can Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were can at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Opinion of site va fromsite improver greater than 30% i	ments and then c is typical for the a	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and can Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were can at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078	Opinion of site va from site improver greater than 30% i Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and can Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were can at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport	Opinion of site va from site improvel preater than 30% i	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and can Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were can at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new	Opinion of site va from site improver greater than 30% i Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and can Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were can at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical	Opinion of site va from site improver greater than 30% i Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and can Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were can at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation	Opinion of site va from site improver greater than 30% i Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and can Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were can at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical	Opinion of site va from site improver greater than 30% i Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and can Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were can at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver	Opinion of site va from site improver greater than 30% i Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver	Opinion of site varifrom site improver irreater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver	Opinion of site varifrom site improver irreater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were on at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver Indicated Value By Cost Appre	Opinion of site varifrom site improver irreater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were on at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver Indicated Value By Cost Appre	Opinion of site value from site improver in the improver in th	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver Indicated Value By Cost Appr Indicated Value By Cost Appr (not required by Fannie M	Opinion of site value from site improver in the improver in th	ments and then o	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver s Indicated Value By Cost Appr (not required by Fannie M =\$ In	Opinion of site variform site improver irreater than 30% if sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments oach lae.) dicated Value by I	ments and then one is typical for the and is	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver s Indicated Value By Cost Appr (not required by Fannie M =\$ In	Opinion of site value from site improver in the improver in the site improver in the site	ments and then one is typical for the and is	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver s Indicated Value By Cost Appr (not required by Fannie M =\$ In	Opinion of site value from site improver in the improver in the site improver in the site	ments and then one is typical for the and is	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were can at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver s Indicated Value By Cost Appr c (not required by Fannie M =\$ In FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Det of the HOA and the subject prop	Opinion of site value from site improver in the improver in the site improver in the site	ments and then one is typical for the and is	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver s Indicated Value By Cost Appr (not required by Fannie M =\$ In	Opinion of site value from site improver in the improver in the site improver in the site	ments and then one is typical for the and is	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver s Indicated Value By Cost Appre (not required by Fannie M =\$ In FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Det of the HOA and the subject prop	Opinion of site variform site improver irreater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments coach lae.) dicated Value by I	ments and then one is typical for the and is	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver s Indicated Value By Cost Appre (not required by Fannie M =\$ In FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Det of the HOA and the subject prop	Opinion of site variform site improver irreater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments coach lae.) dicated Value by I	ments and then one is typical for the and is	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver s Indicated Value By Cost Appre (not required by Fannie M =\$ In FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Det of the HOA and the subject prop	Opinion of site various from site improver irreater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments oach lae.) dicated Value by I ached Attalerty is an attached ersion.	ments and then one is typical for the and is	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver so Indicated Value By Cost Appretion (not required by Fannie Metallication) FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detention of the HOA and the subject proponumber of units sold source so No If Yes, date of converse in the source of the HOA and the sold source of the sold source of the HOA and the sold source of the sold source of the HOA and the sold source of the sold sold source of the sold sold sold sold sold sold sold sold	Opinion of site various from site improver irreater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments oach lae.) dicated Value by I ached Attalerty is an attached ersion.	ments and then one is typical for the and is	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were coat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio government of land	Opinion of site value from site improver in preater than 30% in sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach lae.) dicated Value by I sq. Attacerty is an attached erry is an attached erry is an attached erricular accompletion.	External External ncome Approach ached d dwelling unit.	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were cat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) onsidered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio g OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,078 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver "As-is" Value of Site Improver so Indicated Value By Cost Appretion (not required by Fannie Metallication) FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detention of the HOA and the subject proponumber of units sold source so No If Yes, date of converse in the source of the HOA and the sold source of the sold source of the HOA and the sold source of the sold source of the HOA and the sold source of the sold sold source of the sold sold sold sold sold sold sold sold	Opinion of site value from site improver in preater than 30% in sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach lae.) dicated Value by I sq. Attacerty is an attached erry is an attached erry is an attached erricular accompletion.	External External ncome Approach ached d dwelling unit.	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were coat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio government of land	Opinion of site value from site improver in preater than 30% in sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach lae.) dicated Value by I sq. Attacerty is an attached erry is an attached erry is an attached erricular accompletion.	External External ncome Approach ached d dwelling unit.	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were coat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fivalue. The land to value ratio government of land	Opinion of site value from site improver in preater than 30% in sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional ments ments roach lae.) dicated Value by I sq. Attacerty is an attached erry is an attached erry is an attached erricular accompletion.	External External ncome Approach ached d dwelling unit.	compared to derive area. No affects on =\$ 675,000 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$

Prodigy Appraisal Services EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6

File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 9430 Megan Ave

City Chatsworth County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 91311

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

	FEATURE		SUBJEC	`T		COMPA	RABLE	SALE# 4	СОМ	PARABLE	SALE#	: 5		OMPAR	ARIES	AIF# 6	
		Megai		71			100 Ac		9455 Megan Ave			COMPARABLE SALE # 6					
	Chatswo			11				CA 91311		Chatsworth, CA 91311							
	Proximity to Subject	, 0	, , , , , ,).33 mil			0.08 mile							
	Sale Price	\$					\$	1,240,000		\$		019,000			\$		
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area		0.00	sq. ft.	\$ 538.19		19 s	q. ft.	\$ 392.38 sq. ft.					q. ft.			
	Data Source(s)		Knight#;DC					9214;DOM 51		CRMLS#SR23043128;DOM		:DOM 24	Ť				
	Verification Source(s)		oc Se					6, RealQuest		RealQ		,					
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DE	DESCRIPTION			ESCRIP		+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCR	IPTION	+(-)\$	+(-) \$ Adjustment		ESCRIP1	ΓΙΟΝ	+(-) \$ Adjust	tment
	Sale or Financing				ArmLt		1		ting		.,				.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	Concessions				Cash;	;0			;0								
	Date of Sale/Time				s1:	2/22;c1	11/22	0	c04	/23		0					
	Location	A;Ba	acksBu	syRd;		N;Res	s;	-62,000	A;Backs	BusyRd;							
	Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fe	ee Sim	ple	F	ee Sim	nple		Fee S	Simple							
	Site		7837 s			8,477	sf	0		98 sf		-50,500					
	View		N;Res	s;		N;Res	s;		N;F								
	Design (Style)	DT:	2;Tradit	ional	D	T2;Fre	nch	0		Ranch		0					
	Quality of Construction		Q4			Q3		-62,000		4	1						
	Actual Age		44			57		0		4	1						
	Condition	ļ	C4			C3		-62,000		3	1	-51,000					
	Above Grade		Bdrms.	Baths		Bdrms.	Baths		Total Bdrr		1			Bdrms.	Baths		
	Room Count	8	4	3.1	8	4	3.0	+5,000			+	+5,000					
	Gross Living Area	3	,078	sq. ft.	2	2,304	sq. ft.	+135,500	· ·			+84,000			sq. ft		
	Basement & Finished		0sf			0sf			0	sf							
	Rooms Below Grade		A			Λ.					+						
S	Functional Utility		Averag			Avera				rage	+						
ANALYSIS	Heating/Cooling	F	AU/C			FAU/C				CAC	+						
Ę	Energy Efficient Items		None 2ga2d			None 2ga2d				ne 2dw							
Ž	Garage/Carport Porch/Patio/Deck		<u>∠ga∠u</u> atio/Po		В	_zgazu Patio/Po				zuw Porch	+						
	Fireplaces		Firepla			Firepla				place	+						
Ö	Pool/Spa	<u> </u>	None		-	Pool		-25,000		ne							
8	1 оогора		INOITO	•		1 001	l	-23,000	INC	110							
COMPARISON	Net Adjustment (Total)					+ X	l <u>-</u>	\$ -70,500	+ 7	7 -	\$ -	-12,500		+ .		\$	
Σ	Adjusted Sale Price				Net A	Adj: -6%		ψ . σ,σσσ	Net Adj: -		Ť		Net A	Adj: 0%	1		
	of Comparables					s Adj :		\$ 1,169,500			\$ 1,			s Adj: (\$	
ES																	
			Report the results of the research and analysis of		the prior sale or transfer history of the subj												
₹	Report the results of the re	esearch	and an	alysis of	the pri	or sale o	or transte	r nistory of the sub	ject property	una comp	arabie s						
SAL	ITEM		and an	_	the price			r nistory of the sub COMPARABLE SA				LE SALE#	5	COM	/IPARAB	LE SALE#	6
SA	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	er	and an	_									5	COM	1PARAB	LE SALE#	6
SAI	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe	er	and an	SUI	BJECT			COMPARABLE SA	LE# 4	COMF	PARABL	LE SALE#	5	COM	1PARAB	LE SALE#	6
SAL	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	er er		SUI	BJECT uest.o	com		COMPARABLE SA RealQuest.	LE# 4	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	1PARAB	LE SALE#	6
SA	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		SUI RealQ 04/1	BJECT uest.o	com		RealQuest. 04/17/202	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE#	5	COM	(PARAB	LE SALE#	6
SA	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	er er urce(s)		SUI RealQ 04/1	BJECT uest.o	com		RealQuest. 04/17/202	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	(PARAB	LE SALE#	6
SA	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		SUI RealQ 04/1	BJECT uest.o	com		RealQuest. 04/17/202	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	(PARAB	LE SALE#	6
SAI	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		SUI RealQ 04/1	BJECT uest.o	com		RealQuest.	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	IPARAB	LE SALE#	6
SAI	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		SUI RealQ 04/1	BJECT uest.o	com		RealQuest.	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	1PARAB	LE SALE#	6
SAI	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		SUI RealQ 04/1	BJECT uest.o	com		RealQuest.	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB	LE SALE#	6
SAL	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		SUI RealQ 04/1	BJECT uest.o	com		RealQuest.	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB	LE SALE#	6
SAL	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		SUI RealQ 04/1	BJECT uest.o	com		RealQuest.	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB	LE SALE#	6
SAL	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		SUI RealQ 04/1	BJECT uest.o	com		RealQuest.	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB	LE SALE#	6
SAL	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		SUI RealQ 04/1	BJECT uest.o	com		RealQuest.	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB.	LE SALE#	6
SAL	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		SUI RealQ 04/1	BJECT uest.o	com		RealQuest.	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB.	LE SALE#	6
SAI	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	RealQ 04/1 f the sub	euest.c 7/202 ject pro	com 23 operty ar	nd compa	RealQuest.	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB.	LE SALE#	6
SAL	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	RealQ 04/1 f the sub	euest.c 7/202 ject pro	com 23 operty ar	nd compa	RealQuest.	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB.	LE SALE#	6
SA	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	RealQ 04/1 f the sub	euest.c 7/202 ject pro	com 23 operty ar	nd compa	RealQuest. 04/17/202	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB.	LE SALE#	6
SAI	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	RealQ 04/1 f the sub	euest.c 7/202 ject pro	com 23 operty ar	nd compa	RealQuest. 04/17/202	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB.	LE SALE#	6
SAI	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	RealQ 04/1 f the sub	euest.c 7/202 ject pro	com 23 operty ar	nd compa	RealQuest. 04/17/202	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB.	LE SALE#	6
NS SAL	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	RealQ 04/1 f the sub	euest.c 7/202 ject pro	com 23 operty ar	nd compa	RealQuest. 04/17/202	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB.	LE SALE#	6
NS SAL	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	RealQ 04/1 f the sub	euest.c 7/202 ject pro	com 23 operty ar	nd compa	RealQuest. 04/17/202	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	1PARAB	LE SALE#	6
NS SAL	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	RealQ 04/1 f the sub	euest.c 7/202 ject pro	com 23 operty ar	nd compa	RealQuest. 04/17/202	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB.	LE SALE#	6
NS SAL	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	RealQ 04/1 f the sub	euest.c 7/202 ject pro	com 23 operty ar	nd compa	RealQuest. 04/17/202	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB.	LE SALE#	6
SAI SAI	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	RealQ 04/1 f the sub	euest.c 7/202 ject pro	com 23 operty ar	nd compa	RealQuest. 04/17/202	com 23	COMF	PARABL RealQu	LE SALE #	5	COM	MPARAB.	LE SALE#	6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 53253 Case No. 3410631

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Page

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Page 6 of

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 3410631

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	info ?	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Val 1	Signature
Name	/ Antonio Anderson	Name
Company Name	Prodigy Appraisal Services	Company Name
Company Address	P. O. Box 4609	Company Address
-	West Hills, CA 91308	
Telephone Number	8186188081	Telephone Number
Email Address	antonio@prodigyappraisal.com	Email Address
Date of Signature ar	nd Report04/18/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Ap	praisal <u>04/17/2023</u>	State Certification #
State Certification #	AR035678	or State License #
or State License #		State
or Other (describe)	State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State	CA	
Expiration Date of C	Sertification or License11/23/2024	
		SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PRO	PERTY APPRAISED	
	9430 Megan Ave	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
	Chatsworth, CA 91311	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
		Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE	E OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,040,000	
LENDER/CLIENT		
Name	ClearCapital	COMPARABLE SALES
	Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address	2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
-	Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address		Date of Inspection

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

SUBJECT CONDITION

Property Address 9430 Megan Ave				
City Chatsworth	State	CA	Zip Code	91311
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100.	Redondo Beach, CA 9	00278

Per exterior inspection, age, location, the subject appears to be in overall average condition with an average quality of construction. The appraiser makes the extraordinary assumption that the interior of the subject is also in average condition with no need for any repairs or deferred maintenance issues not seen from the exterior inspection. The right to modify this report is reserved if the above noted information is found to be inaccurate which could affect value and the outcome of this assignment.

There's no apparent damage to the subject or neighborhood from any recent heavy rains, flooding, mud-slides or any other natural disasters.

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 9430 Megan Ave

City Chatsworth County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 91311

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Appraisal Report option of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).

This report was completed in full compliance with the appraiser independence regulations.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

PURPOSE AND INTENDED USERS:

The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for an asset valuation, loan servicing, subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, reporting requirements of the appraisal report form, and Definition of Market Value. No additional Intended Users are identified by the appraiser. The report will not be used for mortgage lending purposes.

COMPETENCY PROVISION:

The appraiser has the appropriate knowledge and experience to complete this assignment competently. Appraiser qualifications are maintained in Prodigy Appraisal Services files and can be provided upon request.

SELF CONTAINMENT:

This appraisal report is intended to be a report containing the information necessary to enable the reader to understand the appraiser's opinion. Any third party studies referred to, such as pest, hazardous materials, or structural reports have been verified by the appraiser to the extent of the assumptions and conclusions used.

PERSONAL PROPERTY:

Any personal property involved in the transaction has been excluded from the valuation of the real property. Should a transaction, which includes personal property of sufficient value to affect the market value of the real property, be evident, a separate assessment of the personal property, fixtures, or intangible items will be identified and included in the report as a separate valuation.

DIGITAL SIGNATURE:

This appraisal is digitally signed. This digital signature requires a security password known only by the appraiser. No changes can be made to any portion of this appraisal report once it has been digitally signed. The digital signature used in this report is an accurate representation of the appraiser's signature.

LIMITING CONDITIONS:

The appraiser is not a licensed building contractor or a professional building inspector. I am not qualified to survey or analyze physical items that are not readily visible. If any of the parties in this transaction have questions or concerns regarding any mechanical or structural physical problems, conditions, infestation, contamination, or other issues regarding the subject property, an expert in that field or specialty should be consulted.

Prodigy Appraisal Services PLAT MAP

File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Lender/Client

Wedgewood Inc

 Property Address
 9430 Megan Ave

 City
 Chatsworth
 County
 Los Angeles
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 91311

type=mapviewerNew&mapviewerantialias=&page=Map_Viewer&sheetno=1&recno=0&timer=1681849779844 9430 MEGAN AVE, CHATSWORTH, CA 91311-5737 PLUMMER ST. 12 19 ARIE GLEDHILL ST. 3 (0) (2) (II)

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 9430 Megan Ave						
City Chatsworth	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91311
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	,	Address 2015 Ma	nhattan Beach B	Blvd Suite 100. Re	dondo Beach, CA	90278

GRID ADJUSTMENTS:

Market Grid adjustments are deemed to be self-explanatory and adjustments to the comparable sales reflect the appraiser's best estimate of market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparables. Paired sales analysis is conducted to a limited degree based on the market data in the area and may not only be limited to the information included in the sales comparison grid. This approach is deemed most reliable and reflective of the typical buyer reaction to or lack thereof, certain amenities, room count, condition, quality of construction, location, view, etc...

MARKET CONDITIONS: None warranted due to the stable trend in the neighborhood based on the 1004MC, CRMLS CMA data, neighborhood value trend charts, and market area analysis over the last 12 months. SEE EXHIBIT AND CHARTS BELOW. The list to sale price ratios is 100%.

LOCATION: Adjustments applied at 2.5% increments to reflect market reaction. The adjustment is the best estimated market reaction and the paired sales analysis was conducted to a limited degree of comps included in the report and historical data.

SITE: Based on a review of Los Angeles County Tax Assessor plat map, the subject has a site area of 7837 Sqft. The Google Aerial maps and 3D measuring tools were utilized to estimate the overall usable area for the subject and comparables. Even though the comparable lots differ in size from the subject, they still can only be used to build one home, so their larger or smaller site areas are worth only a marginal difference, not the full overall value of land. That being noted adjustments warranted were applied at \$15 per sqft for differences greater than 1000 sqft and rounded to \$500.

VIEW: None warranted.

DESIGN/STYLE: None warranted.

GLA: Adjustments made at \$175.00 per square foot of difference for differences greater than 100 square feet and then rounded to the nearest \$500.

ROOM COUNT: Bedroom count was absorbed in the GLA adjustments. Bathroom adjustments were made at \$5,000 per half bath and \$10K per full bathroom differences.

QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION AND CONDITION: Adjustments made at 2.5% increments based on level of difference and the paired sales analysis of comparables one and two. Comp two was completed renovated with all new superior quality interior/exterior finishes in the last few years. Comp five was updated throughout in the last several years with newer interior surface finishes.

AGE: None warranted. Age was considered in the quality and condition ratings.

GUEST HOUSE: None warranted.

AUTO STORAGE: None warranted.

It should be noted that the subject's market area reflects a broad range of sale prices and values for properties with similar characteristics within the subject's immediate neighborhood. This was evident to some degree by the comparables considered in this report. For this reason, the range of non-adjusted and adjusted sale prices are greater than the preferred 20% and 10% ratios. That being noted, the net and/or gross ratios for some comps may exceed a 15% net and 25% which are the preferred guild lines. This was unavoidable due to the wide spread in sale prices for similar homes in the market area.

SUMMARY OF SALES COMPARISON APPROACH:

A diligent effort was made to find comparables sales that were similar to the subject in age, style, lot size, quality of construction, close proximity, and condition. The initial search criteria were 12 months prior to inspection, 20% GLA difference, and 1 mile radius. Due to the lack of recent similar sales, the search had ot be extended to a 25% GLA variance and 1.5 mile radius. Based on the above noted search criteria the comparables included in the report bracket the major characteristics of the subject and considered the best indication of value.

Comp one was given dominate weight due to close proximity, similar lot size, condition, and least amount in gross adjustments. Comps two, three, and four were given secondary and supportive weight. Comp two was included due to close proximity and similar GLA. Comp three has a much larger lot size but had to be considered to bracket the upper end of the subject gross living area. Comp four was added for additional support due to the sale date in the last six months. Comp five is the only active similar sale available within expanded search criteria with was extended to 1.5 miles. Therefore, the lender/client request to include two active/pending sales could not be meet. The adjusted price of comp five is less than the estimated value of the subject. However, as reflected in the 1004MC, the list to sale price ratios are 105% to 111%.


Prodigy Appraisal Services AERIAL MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 9430 Megan Ave

CityChatsworthCountyLos AngelesStateCAZip Code91311Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278




Prodigy Appraisal Services LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC


Property Address	9430 Megan Ave						
City Chatsworth		County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91311
Lender/Client Wed	gewood Inc		Address	2015 Manhattan Bea	ach Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Beach,	CA 90278



Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318


The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lende			-	nds an	a contantiono p	ovai		ubjec	
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all ap	•								
Property Address 9430 Megai	ı Ave	City	Chatsworth	Sta	te CA		ZIP Code		91311
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC									
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information	•			-					
housing trends and overall market conditions as repo	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•						
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis a		•							
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources	•								
in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required									
average. Sales and listings must be properties that co						ed by	a prospec	tive b	uyer of the
subject property. The appraiser must explain any ano				orecio		1	T		
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7-12 Months		Current - 3 Months				I Trend	П	l particion
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	6	2	1	\vdash	Increasing		Stable	┢	Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	·	0.67	0.33		Increasing	$\overline{}$	Stable		Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	1	1 1	3		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)		1.5			Declining	امددا	Stable	X	Increasing
Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months 832,000		Increasing		I Trend	П	Daalinina
Median Comparable Sales Price	1,064,500 11	1,050,000 78	10	+	Declining	X	Stable Stable	┢	Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market Median Comparable List Price	1,239,000	995,000	1,019,000		Increasing	=	Stable		Increasing
•	51	39	33	\vdash	Declining	X	Stable	┢	Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market Median Sale Price as % of List Price	101.74	105.00	111.68			X	Stable		Increasing
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.) paid financial assistar	•	Yes X	No TTT.00	\vdash	Increasing Declining	X	Stable	┢	Declining Increasing
Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the pas				orogoi				ll nooto	increasing
	t 12 months (e.g. sellel	CONTINUUMONS INCIES	1860 110111 3% to 3%, 111	Creasii	ng use or buy	JOWII	is, closing t	0515	
condo fees, options, etc.)	during the post 10) months and 1 s	f those sales cent	oinad	collor conc	000	iono whic	h io	110/ of the
CRMLS indicates there were 9 closed sales									
total transactions in this market area. Prior N									
concessions; 0% of sales for this period. 0-3			% of sales for this	perio	a. The con	cess	sions rang	jea i	between
\$4,000 and \$69,950. The median concession			in the district	la ta Ra			l l		
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the mar			ain (including the trend						
CRMLS indicates there were 9 closed sales									
11% of the total transactions in this market a									
Sales; 1 foreclosures or short sales; 50% of	sales for this perio	od. 0-3: 1 Sales;	U foreclosures or s	snort	sales; 0% c	ı sa	ies for th	is pe	rioa.
07.11. () ()									
Cite data sources for above information.		I'' A I I I	4/47/0000						
Cite data sources for above information. CRMLS was the data source used to complete	ete the Market Cor	nditions Addendu	ım. 4/17/2023						
CRMLS was the data source used to comple				iorm If	very read on	oddi	itional infan	matia	
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your	conclusions in the Nei	ghborhood section of	of the appraisal report f						
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu	ghborhood section o	of the appraisal report f as, provide both an exp	lanatio	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions	S
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from all	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formune exported MLS ma	ghborhood section o	of the appraisal report f as, provide both an exp	lanatio	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions	S
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formune exported MLS ma	ghborhood section o	of the appraisal report f as, provide both an exp	lanatio	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions	S
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from all	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formune exported MLS ma	ghborhood section o	of the appraisal report f as, provide both an exp	lanatio	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions	S
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from all	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formune exported MLS ma	ghborhood section o	of the appraisal report f as, provide both an exp	lanatio	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions	S
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from all	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formune exported MLS ma	ghborhood section o	of the appraisal report f as, provide both an exp	lanatio	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions	S
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from all	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formune exported MLS ma	ghborhood section o	of the appraisal report f as, provide both an exp	lanatio	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions	S
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from all	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formune exported MLS ma	ghborhood section o	of the appraisal report f as, provide both an exp	lanatio	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions	S
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS mandale.	ghborhood section o ulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report f is, provide both an exp tails regarding the	lanatio	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions	S
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS mand/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report f is, provide both an exp tails regarding the Project Name:	lanatio	on and suppor	t for y	your conclu cess can	sions	S
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS mandale.	ghborhood section o ulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report f is, provide both an exp tails regarding the	lanatio	on and suppor ilations and	t for y	your conclu cess can	sions	5. found
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from a online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS mand/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report f is, provide both an exp tails regarding the Project Name:	lanatio	on and suppor	t for y	your conclu cess can	sions	S
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from at online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS mand/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report f is, provide both an exp tails regarding the Project Name:	lanatio	on and supportal attions and or	t for y	your conclucess can	sions	5. found
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS mand/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report f is, provide both an exp tails regarding the Project Name:	lanatio	on and supportal attions and or	t for y	your conclucess can I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions	found Declining
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS manc/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supporting and	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS manc/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report f is, provide both an exp tails regarding the Project Name:	calcu	on and supporting and	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS manc/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS manc/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS manc/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS manc/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formun exported MLS manc/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formula n exported MLS mand/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formula n exported MLS mand/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formula n exported MLS mand/calc.shtml.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of alate your conclusion arket search. Desertion of a following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, independent of the prior of the pri	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from at online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro of foreclosed properties.	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of alate your conclusion arket search. Desertion of a following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, independent of the prior of the pri	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from at online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro of foreclosed properties.	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of alate your conclusion arket search. Desertion of a following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, independent of the prior of the pri	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from at online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro of foreclosed properties.	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of alate your conclusion arket search. Desertion of a following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, independent of the prior of the pri	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from at online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro of foreclosed properties.	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of alate your conclusion arket search. Desertion of a following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, independent of the prior of the pri	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from at online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro of foreclosed properties.	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of alate your conclusion arket search. Desertion of a following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, independent of the prior of the pri	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supportal ations and one of the control of t	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from at online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro of foreclosed properties.	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of alate your conclusion arket search. Desertion of a following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, independent of the prior of the pri	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supporting and	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from at online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of plate your conclusion arket search. Desertion of the plate of	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supporting and	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CRMLS was the data source used to comple Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from at online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of plate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, independent of project.	of the appraisal report first, provide both an expensive tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	calcu	on and supporting and	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from at online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and	ghborhood section of plate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, independent of project.	Project Name: Current - 3 Months icate the number of Ri	calcu	on and supporting and	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from at online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months pject? Yes on the subject unit and	ghborhood section of plate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, industrial project.	Project Name: Current - 3 Months icate the number of Ri	calcu	on and supporting and	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months pject? Yes on the subject unit and sal Services	ghborhood section of plate your conclusion arket search. Despectively service of the project. Signature Supervisor	Project Name: Current - 3 Months icate the number of Ri	calcu	on and supporting and	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Antonio Ar Company Name Prodigy Appraise	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months pject? Yes on the subject unit and sal Services st Hills, CA 91308 State C	ghborhood section of plate your conclusion arket search. De se following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind diproject. Signature Supervisor Company Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months icate the number of Ri	calcu	on and supporting and	veral	over conclusives can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be 1	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing gs and sales

MARKET RESEARCH & ANALYSIS



ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales


BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Sales







ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Listings


BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Listings






ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales and Listings





32



ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales Price

BELOW: Neighborhood - Median Sales Price






ABOVE: Competing Market - Median List Price


BELOW: Neighborhood - Median List Price





ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and List Price








ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and Listings DOM







ABOVE: Competing Market - Average Sale and List Price Per SqFt





Prodigy Appraisal Services SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318


Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address9430 Megan AveCityChatsworthCountyLos AngelesStateCAZip Code91311

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 9430 Megan Ave Chatsworth, CA 91311



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

Prodigy Appraisal Services SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

 Property Address
 9430 Megan Ave

 City
 Chatsworth
 County
 Los Angeles
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 91311

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Subject Address



Alternate Street View

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

 Property Address
 9430 Megan Ave

 City
 Chatsworth

 County
 Los Angeles

 State
 CA

 Zip Code
 91311

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 22015 Liggett St Chatsworth, CA 91311



COMPARABLE SALE # 2 22036 Gledhill St Chatsworth, CA 91311



COMPARABLE SALE # 9742 Farralone Ave Chatsworth, CA 91311

3

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address9430 Megan AveCityChatsworthCountyLos AngelesStateCAZip Code91311

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 22100 Acorn St Chatsworth, CA 91311



COMPARABLE SALE # 9455 Megan Ave Chatsworth, CA 91311

COMPARABLE SALE # 6

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD)

File No. 53253 **Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions** Case No. 34106318

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 53253 Case No. 34106318

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No.

Case No.

53253

34106318

Abbreviation Full Name May Appear in These Fields Α Adverse Location & View Acres Area, Site ac AdjPrk Adjacent to Park Location AdjPwr Adjacent to Power Lines Location ArmLth Sales or Financing Concessions Arms Length Sale ΑT Attached Structure Design (Style) В Beneficial Location & View Bathroom(s) Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade ba br Bedroom Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade BsyRd **Busy Road** Location Contracted Date Date of Sale/Time Cash Cash Sale or Financing Concessions Commercial Influence Comm Location Conventional Conv Sale or Financing Concessions Garage/Carport Carport ср CrtOrd Court Ordered Sale Sale or Financing Concessions CtvSkv City View Skyline View View CtyStr City Street View View Covered Garage/Carport DOM Days On Market **Data Sources** DT **Detached Structure** Design (Style) dw Driveway Garage/Carport **Expiration Date** Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Estate Estate Sale **FHA** Federal Housing Administration Sale or Financing Concessions Garage/Carport Garage g Attached Garage Garage/Carport ga gbi Built-In Garages Garage/Carport qd **Detached Garage** Garage/Carport **GlfCse** Golf Course Location Golf Course View Glfvw View Design (Style) GR Garden HR High Rise Design (Style) Interior Only Stairs Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade in Ind Industrial Location & View Listing Listing Sales or Financing Concessions Location Lndfl Landfill Limited Sight LtdSaht View MR Mid Rise Design (Style) Mtn View Mountain View Neutral Ν Location & View NonArm Non-Arms Length Sale Sale or Financing Concessions Other Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade 0 Other Design (Style) Garage/Carport Open op Prk Park View View View Pstrl Pastoral View PubTrn **Public Transportation** Location PwrLn Power Lines View Relo Relocation Sale Sale or Financing Concessions **REO REO Sale** Sale or Financing Concessions Location & View Res Residential RHUSDA - Rural Housing Sale or Financing Concessions Recreational (Rec) Room Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade rr RT Row or Townhouse Design (Style) Settlement Date Date of Sale/Time SD Semi-detached Structure Design (Style) Short Short Sale Sale or Financing Concessions sf Square Feet Area, Site, Basement Area, Site sqm Square Meters Unk Unknown Date of Sale/Time Veterans Administration VA Sale or Financing Concessions w Withdrawn Date Date of Sale/Time Walk Out Basement Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wo Woods Woods View View Wtr Water View View WtrFr Water Frontage Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wu Walk Up Basement

Prodigy Appraisal Services

APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM Case No. 34106318

File No. 53253

Borrower/Client Redwood Ho	oldings LLC			
Address 9430 Megan Ave	0	t. Las Ameralas	04-4- 04	Unit No
City Chatsworth Lender/Client Wedgewood II	Coun	ty Los Angeles	_ State <u>CA</u>	Zip Code <u>91311</u>
Lender/Ollent Wedgewood II	10			
	raisal Compliance Addendum is included to e	ensure this appraisal report meets a	ill USPAP 2014 re	equirements.
APPRAISAL AND REPORT				
This Appraisal Report is one of the	•			andarda Dala 0.0(a)
X Appraisal Report Restricted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the This report was prepared in accordance with the		•	, ,
Restricted Appraisal Report	intended user of this report is limited to the iden			. ,
	at the opinions and conclusions set forth in the re		-	
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT				
I certify that, to the best of my kno	wledge and belief: iined in this report are true and correct.			
	ions, and conclusions are limited only by the repo	rted assumptions and are my personal	impartial and unhi	ased professional analyses
opinions, and conclusions.	ons, and condusions are innited only by the repo	rted assumptions and are my personal,	impartial, and unbit	asea professional analyses,
•	I have no present or prospective interest in the pro-	operty that is the subject of this report a	nd no personal inte	erest with respect to parties involved
	I have performed no services, as an appraiser or i		-	
period immediately precedin	g acceptance of this assignment.			
	o the property that is the subject of this report or the	•	t.	
	nment was not contingent upon developing or rep	- ·		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	eting this assignment is not contingent upon the d			
of the client, the amount of the this appraisal.	he value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated re-	suit, or the occurrence of a subsequent	event directly relate	ed to the intended use of
7.7	conclusions were developed and this report has b	een prepared, in conformity with the Ur	iform Standards of	Professional Appraisal Practice that
were in effect at the time this	The state of the s			The second of th
	I have made a personal inspection of the property	that is the subject of this report.		
	no one provided significant real property appraisa	. ,, ,	is certification (if th	ere are exceptions, the name of each
	nt real property appraisal assistance is stated else	• /		
PRIOR SERVICES	ed in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA as amer	nded, and any implementing regulations	i.	
	ed services, as an appraiser or in another other ca	nacity, regarding the property that is the	subject of the reno	ort within the three-vear period
immediately preceding acce		pacity, regarding the property that is the	, subject of the repe	nt within the three year period
	ices, as an appraiser or in another capacity, regar	ding the property that is the subject of t	his report within the	three-year period immediately
preceding acceptance of this	s assignment. Those services are described in the		·	· · ·
PROPERTY INSPECTION				
	sonal inspection of the property that is the subject			
APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE	a personal inspection of the property that is the si	ubject of this report.		
	- rovided significant real property appraisal assistar	nce to the person signing this certification	on. If anyone did pro	ovide significant assistance, they
	summary of the extent of the assistance provided	·		
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				
	requiring disclosure and/or any state mandated re	auiromonte:		
Additional Ool Al Telated issues i	equiling disclosure and/or any state mandated re	quirements.		
MARKETING TIME AND E	XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT P	PROPERTY		
	e for the subject property is 1-90 Days day(n the annraisal assi	anment
	for the subject property is 1-90 Days day(y trio appraioti door	grintoni.
·	, , , , ,	·		
APPRAISER		SUPERVISORY APPRAIS	SER (ONLY IF F	REQUIRED)
	'			
Visite	\neq			
Signature Signature		Signature		
Name Antonio Andersor	า	Mana		
Date of Signature 04/18/202		Date of Signature		
State Certification # AR035678		State Certification #		
		or State License #		
State <u>CA</u>	- Linear 44/00/0004	State		
Expiration Date of Certification or	License 11/23/2024	Expiration Date of Certification of		
Effective Date of Appraisal 04/1	17/2023	Supervisory Appraiser Inspection Did Not Exterior	of Subject Propert Only from street	ry: Interior and Exterior
Endouve Date of Applaisal 04/	,	DIG 1400 [] EXCEIDIT	J.11, 110111 311661 [Intonor and Exterior

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 9430 Megan Ave

City Chatsworth County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 91311

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 9430 Megan Ave

City Chatsworth County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 91311 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



DECLARATIONS

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS **ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY**

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP4113647-22 Renewal of: RAP4113647-21

Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc. Program Administrator:

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Antonio D. Anderson Item 1. Named Insured:

Item 2. Address: P.O. Box 4609

> West Hills, CA 91308 City, State, Zip Code:

11/29/2022 11/29/2023 Item 3. Policy Period: From

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)
(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the **Named Insured** as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ 1,000,000 Damages Limit of Liability - Each Claim

1,000,000 B. \$ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Each Claim

1,000,000 C. \$ Damages Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

1,000,000 D. \$ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$__**500** Each Claim

B. \$ 1,000 Aggregate

895.00 Item 6. Premium: \$

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 11/29/2005

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (08/12)

D42402 (05/13) D42408 (05/13) D42412 (03/17) D42413 (06/17)

D42414 (08/19)

Kessy a magnioni Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1