Huibin Lan

**Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report** 

File No.	34149360
Case No.	53370

	The purpose of this summary appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the market va	alue of the subject property.
	Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct City San Jose State CA	-
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC Owner of Public Record TOMISTA RENATO M AND ANITA C (TRUSTEE)County	Santa Clara
	Legal Description TRACT 4633 NORTHWOOD PARK BOOK 254 PAGE 19 LOT 1313	
<del>ا</del>		Taxes \$ 8,307
SUBJECT	Neighborhood Name San Jose Map Reference 48-D5 Cens Occupant X Owner Tenant Vacant Special Assessments \$ 0 PUD HOA \$ 0	sus Tract 5044.11
画	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple Leasehold Other (describe)	per year     per month
S	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction Refinance Transaction X Other (describe) Servicing(Market Value)	
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc  Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo B	each, CA 90278
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale or has it been offered for sale in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal?	Yes X No
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), and date(s). ML#	
	I did did not analyze the contract for sale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain the results of the analysis of the contract for sale for the subject purchase transaction.	sale or why the analysis was not
당	_performed.	
Ž	Outsid Disch	2
툳	Contract Price \$ Date of Contract Is the property seller the owner of public record?   Yes   No Data Solution Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sale concessions, gift or downpayment assistance, etc.) to be paid by any party on behalf of the	
CONTRACT	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe the items to be paid.	bollower?TesINO
	11 Too, Toport the total defial amount and decembe the terms to be paid.	
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the neighborhood are not appraisal factors.	
	Neighborhood Characteristics One-Unit Housing Trends One-Unit Housing	
		AGE One-Unit 95 %
RHOOD		(yrs) 2-4 Unit 2 %
呈	Growth Rapid X Stable Slow Marketing Time X Under 3 mths 3-6 mths Over6mths 990 Low	1 Multi-Family 2 %
OR	•	71 Commercial 1 %
GHBO		52 Other %
EIG	Neighborhood Description The subject property is located in a well established relative new neighborhood in the City of San Jose; The maintained and is close to schools, parks, shopping centers and other community services. The property fits into the general quality	
뿔	The subject's neighborhood is located within 5 -10 miles from employment centers with easy access to Hwy85	and condition in the area.
	Market Conditions (including support for the above conclusions) The neighborhood trend is STABLE overall for the most recent 6 month	ns BUT decline for the last 12
	months if comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months with moderate sales rates. Current interest rate is ab	
	conventional loan and the requirement for the loan is more strict. there are some seller concessions.	
	Dimensions 60.5 X 100.44 Area 6077 sf Shape Rectangular View	N;Res;
	Specific Zoning Classification R18 Zoning Description Planned Unit Development (PUD)	
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use) No Zoning Illegal (describe)	
	, . , , ,	f No, describe. See
	Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Public Other (describe) Off-site ImprovementsType	Public Private
쁜	Utilities         Public         Other (describe)         Off-site ImprovementsType           Electricity         X         X         Street         Asphalt	X X
SIT	Gas X Sanitary Sewer X Alley None	
		Map Date 05/18/2009
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typical for the market area? X Yes No If No, describe.	1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No If Yes, describe.
	The subject is NOT located in a special flood hazardous area. No any adverse external factor noticed(Please see the attached satellite map: The subject in a Cul-de-Sac at the same time, thus the NET result is NEUTRAL).	s Near the Busy Road and in
	a Cui-de-Sac at the same time, thus the NET Testit is NEOTRAL).	
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property Appraisal Files X MLS X Assessment and Tax Records Prior Inspectio	n X Property Owner
		RealQuest
	General Description General Description Heating / Cooling Amenities	Car Storage
	Units X One OnewithAccessoryUnit Concrete Slab X Crawl Space X FWA HWBB X Fireplace(s) # 1	None
	# of Stories 1 Full Basement Finished Radiant Woodstove(s) # 0	X Driveway # of Cars 2
	Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Partial Basement Finished Other Patio/Deck Concre	Driveway Surface Concrete
	X   Existing   Proposed   UnderConst   Exterior Walls   Stucco/Good   Fuel Gas   X   Porch Concrete	X Garage # of Cars 2
	Design (Style)     Ranch     Roof Surface     Woodshake/Good     Central Air Conditioning     Pool     None       Year Built     1970     Gutters & Downspouts     Gal.Alum/Gd     Individual     X     Fence Wood	Carport # of Cars 0  X Attached Detached
	Effective Age (Yrs)  40  Window Type  Sliding/Good  X  Other None  Other None	Built-in
10	Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Dishwasher X Disposal X Microwave X Washer/Dryer Other (describe)	, Duilt-iii
Ë		Gross Living Area Above Grade
OVEMENTS	Additional features (special energy efficient items, etc.) Dual pane windows.	
NE NE		
SO.	Describe the condition of the property and data source(s) (including apparent needed repairs, deterioration, renovations, remodeling, etc.). C3;	
IMPR	condition The data source is from the appraiser outside inspection and the PUBLIC DATA (Realquest,MLS Listing an VERIFIED by the owner . No physical, functional or external inadequacies were noted at the time of inspection. The	,
	for the subject is about 40 years.	Remaining Economic Life
	Tor the Subject is about 40 yours.	
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions that affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property?	Yes X No
	If Yes, describe	
	Does the property generally conform to the neighborhood (functional utility, style, condition, use, construction, etc.)? X Yes No If No, de	scribe

SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

34149360 File No. Case No. 53370

**Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report** 

	nparable properties							-			to\$	2,000	
	parable sales in th							-	'	90,00			2,300,000 .
FEATURE Address 3668	SUBJEC <sup>-</sup> Sydney Ct	l		<u>PARABLE</u> 94 Isado				ARABLE :	ina Drive			ABLE SA	OCourt
	se, CA 95132			i Jose, C					CA 95132				A 95132
Proximity to Subject	se, CA 93132			0.78 mil			Jai	0.96 mi				.20 mil	
Sale Price	\$			\$		1,630,000		\$	1,580,500			\$	1,703,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area		sq. ft.	\$ 947.		g. f		\$ 967		sq. ft.	\$	887.9		q. ft.
Data Source(s)				ЛL819184	-		ML# I		372;DOM 12		ML# M		083;DOM 6
Verification Source(s)			Realqu	est Doc	# 2	25445792	Realq	uest Doc	# 25459073	R	ealque	st Doc	# 25361144
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTIO	N	DESCRI	PTION	+(	-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRI	PTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sale or Financing			Arml				Arm	Lth			ArmLt		
Concessions			Conv				Con				Conv;		
Date of Sale/Time			s03/23;d			0	00 1/20,		C	s0	8/22;c0		-61,500
Location	N;Res;		N;Re				N;R			_	N;Res;		
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple 6077 sf	€	Fee Si 7023			-19,000	Fee S 631		0		ee Sim 6755 :	•	-13,500
Site View	N;Res;		N;Re			-19,000	N;R				N;Res		-13,500
Design (Style)	DT1;Ranch	า	DT1;R				DT1;F			Г	T1;Ra		
Quality of Construction	Q4	<u>.                                      </u>	Q4				Q				Q4	11011	
Actual Age	53		52			0			C		53		
Condition	C3		C3				С				C3		
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. B	aths	Total Bdrms	s. Baths		+3,000	Total Bdrm	s. Baths		Total	Bdrms.	Baths	
Room Count	7 4 2	2.0	6 3	2.0			7 4	2.0		7	4	3.0	-8,000
Gross Living Area		sq. ft		sq. ft.		+34,000			+63,500	1	,918	sq. ft.	-33,500
Basement & Finished	0sf		0s	f			0:	sf			0sf		
Rooms Below Grade	_						_						
Functional Utility	Average		Avera	_		2 2 2 2	Aver				Avera		
Heating/Cooling	FWA/None		FWA/C			-3,000			-3,000		NA/Ce		-3,000
Energy Efficient Items	Dual Pane Win	dow	Dual Pane				Dual Pane			Duai	Pane V		
Garage/Carport Porch/Patio/Deck	2ga2dw Porch/Concre	oto	2ga2 Porch/Co				2ga2 Porch/C			Por	2ga2d ch/Cor		
Fireplaces	1 Fireplace		1 Firep				No		+3,000		Firepla		
Pool	None		Nor				No		10,000	<u> </u>	None		
Listing Price \$	None		16300			0			C		16958		C
Net Adjustment (Total)			X +	7-	\$	15,000	X +	7-	\$ 63,500		+ X -		\$ -119,500
Adjusted Sale Price			Net Adj: 19	%			Net Adj: 4	%		Net A	dj: -7%	, 0	
of Comparables			Gross Adj	: 4%	\$	1,645,000	Gross Adj	: 4%	\$ 1,644,000	Gross	s Adj: 7	7%	\$ 1,583,500
		1	for history of t					. 16	nlain				
I X did did not re	search the sale or	trans	sier mstory or t	tne subjec	t pro	operty and com	<u>parable sales</u>	s. If not, ex	piairi				
I   X   did     did not re	search the sale or	trans	siei nistory or t	tne subjec	t pro	operty and com	parable sales	s. If not, ex	piairi				
My research did X	did not reveal an								r to the effective da	te of thi	s apprai	sal.	
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu	did not reveal an	y pric	or sales or tran	nsfers of th	ne s	ubject property	for the three	years prio	r to the effective da				
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did	did not reveal an est, MLS.	y pric	or sales or tran	nsfers of th	ne s	ubject property	for the three	years prio					
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see	y pric y pric sale	or sales or tran or sales or tran s grid comp	nsfers of the nsfers of the	ne s	ubject property omparable sale	for the three	years prio	r to the effective da	e comp	arable s	ale.	nage 3)
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see	y pric y pric sale sis of	or sales or tran or sales or tran s grid comp the prior sale	nsfers of the nsfers of the	ne s	ubject property omparable sale	for the three s for the year	years prior to the	r to the effective da e date of sale of the arable sales (report	e comp	arable s	ale. sales on	
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analy	y pric y pric sale sis of	or sales or tran or sales or tran s grid comp	nsfers of the nsfers of the	ne s	ubject property omparable sale	for the three s for the year	years prior to the	r to the effective da	e comp	arable s	ale. sales on	page 3). BLE SALE #3
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the resul	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and anally	y pric y pric sale sis of	or sales or tran or sales or tran s grid comp the prior sale	nsfers of the nsfers of the	ne s	ubject property omparable sale	for the three s for the year	years prior to the	r to the effective da e date of sale of the arable sales (report	e comp	arable s	ale. sales on	
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and anally	y prices sales sis of SU	or sales or tran or sales or tran s grid comp the prior sale	nsfers of the nsfers of the	ne s	ubject property omparable sale	for the three s for the year ect property SALE # 1	years prior to the	r to the effective da e date of sale of the arable sales (report	e comp	arable s	ale. sales on	
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.	y pricesalesis of SU	or sales or transor sales or trans grid comp the prior sale BJECT	nsfers of the	ne s	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202	for the three s for the year ect property ALE # 1	years prior to the and compa	r to the effective da e date of sale of the grable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023	additio	arable s nal prior CC	sales on MPARAI Rea	BLE SALE #3 Iquest 1/2023
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source Analysis of prior sale or tr	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser. er urce(s) ansfer history of th	y price y price y price sale SU  Rea 02/0 e sub	or sales or trans grid comp the prior sale BJECT	nsfers of the p4 or transfe	ne s r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	for the three s for the year ect property ALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat	years prior to the and compa	r to the effective da e date of sale of the arable sales (report IPARABLE SALE #	additio	arable s nal prior CC	sales on MPARAI Rea	BLE SALE #3 Iquest 1/2023
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser. er urce(s) ansfer history of th	y price y price y price sale SU  Rea 02/0 e sub	or sales or trans grid comp the prior sale BJECT	nsfers of the p4 or transfe	ne s r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	for the three s for the year ect property ALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat	years prior to the and compa	r to the effective da e date of sale of the grable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023	additio	arable s nal prior CC	sales on MPARAI Rea	BLE SALE #3 Iquest 1/2023
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source Analysis of prior sale or tr	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser. er urce(s) ansfer history of th	y price y price y price sale SU  Rea 02/0 e sub	or sales or trans grid comp the prior sale BJECT	nsfers of the p4 or transfe	ne s r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	for the three s for the year ect property ALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat	years prior to the and compa	r to the effective da e date of sale of the grable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023	additio	arable s nal prior CC	sales on MPARAI Rea	BLE SALE #3 Iquest 1/2023
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source Analysis of prior sale or tr	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser. er urce(s) ansfer history of th	y price y price y price sale SU  Rea 02/0 e sub	or sales or trans grid comp the prior sale BJECT	nsfers of the p4 or transfe	ne s r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	for the three s for the year ect property ALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat	years prior to the and compa	r to the effective da e date of sale of the grable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023	additio	arable s nal prior CC	sales on MPARAI Rea	BLE SALE #3 Iquest 1/2023
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source Analysis of prior sale or tr	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser. er urce(s) ansfer history of th	y price y price y price sale SU  Rea 02/0 e sub	or sales or trans grid comp the prior sale BJECT	nsfers of the p4 or transfe	ne s r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	for the three s for the year ect property ALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat	years prior to the and compa	r to the effective da e date of sale of the grable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023	additio	arable s nal prior CC	sales on MPARAI Rea	BLE SALE #3 Iquest 1/2023
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  urce(s) ansfer history of the of the compar	y price y price sale SU Rea 02/0 e sub	or sales or transor sales or trans grid composite prior sale BJECT  alquest 01/2023 bject property alse (except composite prior sale)	nsfers of the part	ne s r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal n last 12 mor	for the three s for the year ect property SALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat nths.	years prior to the and compa COM	r to the effective da e date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale o	additio	arable sinal prior CC	sales on MPARAI Rea 02/0 within	Iquest 1/2023 last 36
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transments. no prior sale	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser er er urce(s) ansfer history of the of the compar	y price y price sale ssis of SU  Rea 02/0 e suble sable	or sales or transor sales or trans grid composite prior sale BJECT  alquest 01/2023 bject property alles (except composite property alles)	nsfers of the part	ne s r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal n last 12 mor	for the three s for the year ect property SALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat nths.	years prior to the and compa COM	r to the effective da e date of sale of the grable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023	additio	arable sinal prior CC	sales on MPARAI Rea 02/0 within	Iquest 1/2023 last 36
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arrow ansfer history of the e of the compare arison Approach from subject's	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e sub able  Al	or sales or trans grid comp the prior sale BJECT alquest 01/2023 bject property ass(except company)	or transfe and compa	ne s r his Cr	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Seal n last 12 mon	for the three s for the year ect property CALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat nths.	years prior to the and compared COM	r to the effective da e date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale o	additio	arable sonal prior  CC  subject	sales on MPARAI  Rea 02/0  within	Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ality,
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transments. no prior sale Summary of Sales Compacondition and appeal	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arrice(s) ansfer history of the compare er e	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e sub cable  Al mark	or sales or transor sales or trans grid compound the prior sale BJECT  alquest 01/2023 elect property all es(except compound to the prior sale black)  I Compound the prior sale black area. e: \$20/SF(F	nsfers of the part	ne s ne c r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Seal n last 12 mon	for the three s for the year ect property SALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat nths.	years prior to the and compared COM compared	r to the effective da le date of sale of the	addition 2 2 f the sage, a	arable sonal prior CC subject and sim	sales on MPARAI  Rea 02/02  within	Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ality,
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transments. no prior sale Summary of Sales Compactondition and appeal Adjustments are made	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arrice(s) ansfer history of the comparation of the comparation of the comparation of the east follows: 1) ference more the est, MLS see estimated and analyse estimated analyse esti	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e sub able  Al mark	or sales or transor sales or trans grid composite prior sale BJECT  alquest 01/2023 elect property also es (except composite property also except composite property also except composite property also es (except composite property also except property also except composite property also except property also ex	nsfers of the part	ne s r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Seal n last 12 mon ales within las difference lan	for the three s for the year ect property SALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat nths. st 12 montl	years prior to the and compared COM compared	r to the effective da e date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of milar design and me subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor	additions additionally additions additionally additionall	arable sonal prior CC subject and sim 2). Gros	sales on MPARAI  Rea 02/0 within  milar qualess living	Iquest 1/2023 last 36  ality, g area: ear(For age
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale  Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$340/SF(For GLA diff difference more than the contract date diffe	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arison Approach from subject's e as follows: 1) ference more that are the erence more than are the erence more tha	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e subbabbe able able sis of SU  All mark	or sales or trans grid comps the prior sale BJECT  alquest 01/2023 bject property ass(except constant) I Comps are ket area. e: \$20/SF(F10 sqft); 3). blace: \$3,00 months and	e closed For lot signed by the part of the	ne s r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean n last 12 mon  alles within last difference late \$3000/Bedro e;7) Car stora	for the three s for the year ect property sALE # 1  st 23 rch the dat nths.  st 12 montl rger than 1 nom; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo	years prior to the and compa COM	r to the effective da  le date of sale of the  larable sales (report  IPARABLE SALE #  Realquest  02/01/2023  no prior sale of  lilar design and  le subject's lot s  \$8000/Bathroor  in the time adju  6 months accord	addition is 2  If the second age, a size); 2  m; 5). stmenording	arable son all prior CC subject subject Age: \$ t uses to 100	Rea 02/0 within silar quass living 1000/Y -0.4% I	Iquest 1/2023 last 36  ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata ,
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale  Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$340/SF(For GLA diff difference more than the contract date diffe 9).Location:\$30000/p	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arrice(s) ansfer history of the e of the comparation of the comparation of the comparation of the east follows: 1) ference more that er benefit/Adve	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e sub able able   All mark in an 6	or sales or trans grid comps the prior sale BJECT  alquest 01/2023 bject property ass(except constant) I Comps are ket area. e: \$20/SF(F10 sqft); 3). blace: \$3,00 months and	e closed For lot signed by the part of the	ne s r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean n last 12 mon  alles within last difference late \$3000/Bedro e;7) Car stora	for the three s for the year ect property sALE # 1  st 23 rch the dat nths.  st 12 montl rger than 1 nom; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo	years prior to the and compa COM	r to the effective da  le date of sale of the  larable sales (report  IPARABLE SALE #  Realquest  02/01/2023  no prior sale of  lilar design and  le subject's lot s  \$8000/Bathroor  in the time adju  6 months accord	addition is 2  If the second age, a size); 2  m; 5). stmenording	arable son all prior CC subject subject Age: \$ t uses to 100	Rea 02/0 within silar quass living 1000/Y -0.4% I	Iquest 1/2023 last 36  ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata ,
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or transments. no prior sale Summary of Sales Compacendition and appeal Adjustments are made \$340/SF(For GLA diffed) difference more than the contract date diffed 9).Location:\$30000/p neighborhood and is	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser er e	y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e sub trable sable  Al mark i. Sit han fire pan 6 rse lea.	or sales or trans grid comports sales or trans grid comports sale by the prior sale	e closed For lot si: Bedroor 00/Firepl nd NO ti	ne s r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean n last 12 mon  alles within last difference late \$3000/Bedro e;7) Car stora	for the three s for the year ect property sALE # 1  st 23 rch the dat nths.  st 12 montl rger than 1 nom; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo	years prior to the and compa COM	r to the effective da  le date of sale of the  larable sales (report  IPARABLE SALE #  Realquest  02/01/2023  no prior sale of  lilar design and  le subject's lot s  \$8000/Bathroor  in the time adju  6 months accord	addition is 2  If the second age, a size); 2  m; 5). stmenording	arable son all prior CC subject subject Age: \$ t uses to 100	Rea 02/0 within silar quass living 1000/Y -0.4% I	Iquest 1/2023 last 36  ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata ,
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source of Prior Sale or transfer to the prior sale or tr	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyse of the comparation of the end	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e sub rable  All mark in an 6 erse I rea. sach \$	or sales or trans grid comports ale sor trans grid comports ale BJECT  alquest 01/2023 bject property ale secept comports are second sorted area.  E: \$20/SF(F10 sqft); 3). blace: \$3,000 months and Location; T	e closed For lot si: Bedroor DO/Firepl nd NO ti he abov	arabithii sa	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Seal n last 12 mon alles within last difference lat \$3000/Bedro e;7) Car stora adjustment adjustment al	for the three s for the year ect property cALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat nths. st 12 montl rger than 1 iom; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo re obtained	years prio r prior to the and compa COM and compa compa compa abase, aba	r to the effective da e date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of milar design and me subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroom The time adju 6 months accorded analysis of the	additions age, a size); 2 m; 5). stmen ording ne cor	arable sonal prior CC subject and sim 2). Gross Age: \$ t uses to 100 mparab	sales on MPARAI  Rea 02/0 within  milar qua ss living 1000/Y -0.4% I 4MC D les in the	Iquest 1/2023 last 36  ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata ,
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transference of Prior Sales Compacted of Sales Com	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyse of the esearch analyse of the esearch analyse of the esearch and analyse of the esearch analyse of the esearc	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e sub able mark in an 6 erse I rea. ach \$ ch \$ ch \$	or sales or transor sales or trans grid composite prior sale BJECT  alquest 01/2023 bject property assex (except composite property	e closed For lot si. Bedroor 00/Firepl nd NO ti he abov	arabithii sa	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Seal n last 12 mon ales within last difference lant \$3000/Bedro e;7) Car stora e adjustment adjustment and	for the three s for the year ect property SALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat nths. st 12 montl rger than 1 om; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo re obtained	years prior to the and compared to the and com	r to the effective da e date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of milar design and me subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor 0. The time adju 6 months accorded analysis of the	additions age, a size); 2 m; 5). stmen ording ne cor	arable sonal prior CC subject and sim 2). Gros Age: \$ t uses to 100 mparab	sales on MPARAI  Rea 02/0 within  milar qua ss living 1000/Y -0.4% I 4MC D les in tl	Iquest 1/2023 last 36  ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata , he subject's
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale  Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$340/SF(For GLA diff difference more than the contract date diffe 9).Location:\$30000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arison Approach from subject's e as follows: 1 ference more that er benefit/Advetypical to the arcomparison Approach comparison Approach e market comparison e market comparison Approach e market comparison Approach e market comparison e	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e sub able able sis of 6 rese I ea. ach \$ ch \$ son a	or sales or transer sales sales or transer sales sales or transer sales sales or transer sa	e closed or lot si: Bedroor 00/Firepl nd NO ti he abov	r his Constitution of the	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean n last 12 mon alles within last difference lant \$3000/Bedro e;7) Car stora e adjustment adjustment and t Approach (if des	for the three s for the year ect property sALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat nths. st 12 montl rger than 1 om; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo re obtained	years prior to the and compared COM	r to the effective da  le date of sale of the  arable sales (report  APARABLE SALE #  Realquest  02/01/2023  no prior sale of  lilar design and  le subject's lot s  \$8000/Bathroor  arable adju  6 months accorded analysis of the  799 Income Apubject's neighbou	additions age, a size); 2 m; 5). stmenording he corporach rhood.	arable sonal prior CC subject and sim 2). Grost Age: \$ to 100 nparab	sales on MPARAI  Rea 02/0 within  milar qua ss living 1000/Y -0.4% I 4MC D les in the	Iquest 1/2023 last 36  ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata , he subject's
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$340/SF(For GLA diff difference more than the contract date diffe 9).Location:\$30000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arison Approach from subject's e as follows: 1) ference more that are benefit/Advetypical to the arcomparison Approach emarket comparison applicable: As the	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e subbable able sis of SU  All mark of Sit of Subbable sis of Subbabl	or sales or trans grid composition in the prior sale BJECT  alquest D1/2023 Diject property ass(except composition in the prior sale BJECT  I Composition in the prior sale BJECT  I Composition in the prior sale is (except composition in the p	e closed For lot si Bedroor DO/Firepl nd NO ti he abov ,000 ,000 ich consic	r his Constitution of the	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean n last 12 mon alles within last difference lant \$3000/Bedro e;7) Car stora adjustment adjustment and t Approach (if de	for the three s for the year ect property sALE # 1  st 23 rch the dat nths.  st 12 month rger than 1 som; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo re obtained eveloped) \$ ar propertie almost all th	years prior to the and compared COM	r to the effective da  le date of sale of the  larable sales (report  IPARABLE SALE #  Realquest  02/01/2023  no prior sale of  lilar design and  le subject's lot se  \$8000/Bathroor  in the subject's neighbou	addition 2  age, a size); 2  m; 5). stmenording the correspondent corres	arable sonal prior CC subject and sim 2). Grost Age: \$ to 100 nparab	sales on MPARAI  Rea 02/0 within  milar qua ss living 1000/Y -0.4% I 4MC D les in the	Iquest 1/2023 last 36  ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata , he subject's
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale  Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$340/SF(For GLA diff difference more than the contract date diffe 9).Location:\$30000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The de	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arison Approach from subject's e as follows: 1) ference more that er benefit/Advetypical to the ar Comparison Approach emarket compariapplicable: As the igital signatures of the est, MLS are the end of th	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e sub able able sis of 6 rese I fea. sach \$ son a e sub on the sale sale sale sub on the sale sale sale sale sale sale sale sal	or sales or trans grid composition sales or trans grid composition sale by the prior sales or transport sa	e closed For lot si: Bedroor 00/Firepl nd NO ti he abov 0,000 0,000 ich consid	r his Co	ubject property omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean n last 12 mon sless within last difference lant \$3000/Bedroot e;7) Car stora e adjustment adjustment adjustment adjustment adjustment and otected. They	for the three s for the year ect property sALE # 1  st 23 rch the dat nths.  st 12 montl rger than 1 rom; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo re obtained eveloped) \$ ar propertie almost all tr are true and	years prior to the and compared COM	r to the effective da  e date of sale of the  arable sales (report  IPARABLE SALE #  Realquest  02/01/2023  no prior sale of  illar design and  illar design	addition 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	arable sonal prior CC subject and sim 2). Gross Age: \$ to 100 nparab (if devel	Rea 02/0 within  milar qua ss living 1000/Y -0.4% I 4MC D les in the oped) \$ pproach re purch	ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata , he subject's is supportive.
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale  Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$340/SF(For GLA diff difference more than the contract date diffe 9).Location:\$30000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The d This appraisal is made	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arison Approach from subject's e as follows: 1) ference more that er benefit/Advetypical to the arcomparison Approach emarket comparison applicable: As the igital signatures of the comparison.	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e sub sable sable sale sale sale sale sale sale sale sa	or sales or trans grid comports ale BJECT  alquest 01/2023 bject property as es(except comports and es) (except comports and except comp	e closed For lot si: Bedroor 00/Firepl nd NO ti The above 0,000 0,000 ich considers of the password on per pla	r his constant in the constant	mbject property  omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Seal n last 12 mon  alles within last difference lant \$3000/Bedro e;7) Car stora e adjustment and dijustment and t Approach (if de s sales of similar resident and otected. They and specification	for the three s for the year ect property SALE # 1  st 23 rch the dat nths.  st 12 montl rger than 1 om; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo re obtained eveloped) \$ ar propertie almost all tr are true and ns on the bas	years prior to the and compared to the content of t	r to the effective da  e date of sale of the  arable sales (report  IPARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of  arable sales (report  IPARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of  arable sales (report  IPARABLE SALE #  IPARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of  arable sales (report  IPARABLE SALE #  IPARABLE S	addition 2 2 2 4 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	arable sonal prior CC subject and sim 2). Gross Age: \$ t uses to 100 nparab (if devel Cost approved)	Rea 02/0 within milar quass living 1000/Y -0.4% las in the proach re purch	ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata , the subject's is supportive. hased for
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale  Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$340/SF(For GLA diff difference more than the contract date diffe 9).Location:\$30000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The d This appraisal is made	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arison Approach from subject's e as follows: 1) ference more that er benefit/Advetypical to the arcomparison Approach market comparison Approach emarket comparison applicable: As the igital signatures of the following reparation.	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e subtrable sable  Al mark  Sire pan 6 ree lea.  ach \$ son a e subtrable subjection this subjection or subjection o	or sales or trans grid compositive prior sales or trans grid compositive prior sale BJECT  alquest property as escent compositive property as escent compositive property as escent compositive property as escent compositive property as escent	e closed For lot si: Bedroor 00/Firepl nd NO ti The abov 0,000 0,000 ich consic as a primpassword on per pla the basis	r his Co	mbject property  momparable sale  story of the subj  OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Seal n last 12 mon  alles within last difference lant \$3000/Bedro e;7) Car stora e adjustment and digustment and otected. They and specification hypothetical co	for the three s for the year ect property SALE # 1  st 23 rch the dat nths.  st 12 montl rger than 1 om; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo re obtained eveloped) \$ ar propertie almost all tr are true and ns on the bas ndition that the	years prior to the and compared to the and com	r to the effective da e date of sale of the arable sales (report APARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of a subject's lot session and the subject's lot session and the subject's lot session and the subject's neighbout in th	addition 22  f the same age, a size); 2 m; 5). stmen ording ne corresponds to the corresponding to the correspondi	arable sonal prior CCC subject and sim 2). Grost Age: \$ t uses to 100 mparab (if devel Cost ap rhood a	sales on MPARAI  Rea 02/02  within   milar quantities so living 1000/Y -0.4%   4MC D	Iquest 1/2023 Iast 36  ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata , he subject's  is supportive. hased for have been subjecttothe
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyse ariser larger.  arison Approach from subject's e as follows: 1) ference more that are benefit/Advetypical to the are comparison Approach ariser larger.  Comparison Approach from subject's e as follows: 1) ference more that are benefit/Advetypical to the are comparison Approach ariser larger la	y price y price sale sis of SU  Rea 02/0 e sub able able sis of 10 cm sit on 10 cm subject irs or xtrao /c/clie	or sales or trans grid composition sales or trans grid composition sale BJECT  alquest 01/2023 oject property assignment sales (except composition sales) sales (exce	e closed or transfe and compa omp4) wi e closed for lot si Bedroor 00/Firepl nd NO ti he abov ,000 ,000 ich consic as a prim password on per pla the basis aption that s assigns	r his control sarah ithi	mbject property  omparable sale  story of the subjomparable S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean n last 12 mon  alles within last difference lant \$3000/Bedro e;7) Car stora e adjustment adjustment and otected. They and specification hypothetical co condition or dei or use in mor	for the three s for the year ect property SALE # 1 st 23 rch the dat nths. st 12 montl rger than 1 om; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo re obtained ar propertie almost all the are true and ns on the bas indition that the ficiency does tgage trans	years prio r prior to the and compa COM and compa COM and compa COM and compa COM and compa and	r to the effective da e date of sale of the arable sales (report APARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale o  illar design and he subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor begin and seed analysis of the  799 Income Apubject's neighbout in the subject's neighbout in	addition is 2  age, a size); 2  f the size is 2  friction in the size is 3  age, a size); 2  age, a size); 2	arable sonal prior CCC subject and sim 2). Gross Age: \$ to 100 mparab Cost aprhood a e improve completed This Ap	sales on MPARAI  Rea 02/0 within   milar quantities living 1000/Y -0.4% I  4MC D les in the properties open the purch sements help open to populate a populate populate a popula	Iquest 1/2023 Iast 36  ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata , he subject's is supportive. hased for ave been subjecttothe Report is
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$340/SF(For GLA diff difference more than the contract date diffe 9).Location:\$30000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales Indicated Value by: Sales Indicated Value by: Sales Income approach is not owner occupancy The d This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspect intended use for the i Based on a visual inspect	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arison Approach from subject's e as follows: 1) ference more that are benefit/Advetypical to the arcomparison Approach emarket comparison Approach emarket comparison applicable: As the igital signatures of the following repairs on the following repairs on the entended lender ction of the exter	y price y price sale sis of SU Rea 02/0 e sub able able sis of 6 son a e sut on this subjection are y checked.	or sales or trans grid composition from the prior sale BJECT  alquest D1/2023 Diject property assigned property assigned from the prior sale BJECT  I Composition from the prior sale sistemation from the prior sale by the prior sale from the prior	e closed For lot si Bedroor DO/Firepl nd NO ti he abov  ,000 ,000 ich consic as a prim password on per pla the basis pition that s assigns	r his Control of a	mbject property  omparable sale  story of the subjomparable Somparable Sompar	for the three s for the year ect property sALE # 1  st 23 rch the dat nths.  st 12 month rger than 1 som; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo re obtained eveloped) \$ ar propertie almost all tr are true and ns on the bas indition that the ficiency does tgage trans t the street,	years prio  r prior to the and compa  COM  and compa  COM  and compa  COM  and compa  Com  and compa  cabase,  and series  and series  d by pair  1,531, s within series  d exactly series of a hyper repairs  and requires	r to the effective da  le date of sale of the  arable sales (report  APARABLE SALE #  Realquest  02/01/2023  no prior sale of  lilar design and  le subject's lot se  \$8000/Bathroor  The time adju  6 months accorded analysis of the  range as original content of the subject's neighbout  in the subject's neighbout  in the subject's neighbout  in the subject's neighbout  or alteration or repair  cope of work, stat	addition is 2 age, a size); 2 m; 5). stmen ording ne corresponds that the peen coin: ***	arable sonal prior CCC subject  and sim  2). Gross Age: \$ to 100 nparab  c (if devel Cost aprhood a e improve completed This Ap	sales on MPARA  Rea 02/0 within  milar qua ss living 1000/Y -0.4%   4MC D les in the poproach re purch ements h l, or praisal	ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata, he subject's is supportive. hased for ave been subjecttothe Report is
My research did X Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale  Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$340/SF(For GLA diff difference more than the contract date diffe 9).Location:\$30000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The d This appraisal is made 2 completed, subject to following required inspect intended use for the in Based on a visual inspect conditions, and apprais	did not reveal an est, MLS. did not reveal an est, MLS see esearch and analyser.  arison Approach from subject's e as follows: 1) ference more that are benefit/Advetypical to the arcomparison Approach emarket comparison Approach emarket comparison applicable: As the igital signatures of the following repairs on the following repairs on the entended lender ction of the exter	y price y price sale sis of SU Sis o	or sales or trans grid composition from the prior sale BJECT  alquest D1/2023 Diject property assigned property assigned from the prior sale BJECT  I Composition from the prior sale sistemation from the prior sale by the prior sale from the prior	e closed For lot si Bedroor DO/Firepl nd NO ti he abov  ,000 ,000 ich consic as a prim password on per pla the basis pition that s assigns	r his Control of a	mbject property  omparable sale story of the subj OMPARABLE S  Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean n last 12 mon  alles within last difference late \$3000/Bedro e;7) Car stora adjustment and otected. They and specification hypothetical co condition or dei or use in mor ty from at lease t value, as define	for the three s for the year ect property sALE # 1  st 23 rch the dat nths.  st 12 month rger than 1 som; 4). Ba age: \$10,0 for the mo re obtained ar propertie almost all tr are true and ns on the bas indition that the ficiency does the day of the r	years prio r prior to the and compa COM and compa COM cabase, abase, aba	r to the effective da  le date of sale of the  arable sales (report  APARABLE SALE #  Realquest  02/01/2023  no prior sale of  lilar design and  le subject's lot se  \$8000/Bathroor  The time adju  6 months accorded analysis of the  range as original content of the subject's neighbout  in the subject's neighbout  in the subject's neighbout  in the subject's neighbout  or alteration or repair  cope of work, stat	addition (2)  age, a (2)  f the service (2)  f the service (3)  age, a (4)  ag	arable sonal prior CCC subject and sim 2). Gros Age: \$ to 100 anparab contained and and and and and and and and and an	sales on MPARA  Rea 02/0 within  milar qua  ss living 1000/Y -0.4%   4MC D les in the propertions praisal  mptions ort is	ality, g area: ear(For age Monthly for ata, he subject's is supportive. hased for ave been subjecttothe Report is

**Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report** 

_		Sidelitiai Appiaisai Nepolt
	Comparable selection:All the comps are arm length transactions.	
		2000 saft or above. The Maximum Posidential Density - Quality per
	R1=Single family Residence: the minimum lot size for single family is 5	
	acres.But for much newer single family the lot size will be smaller acco	rding to the denisty allowed(Alameda county zoning ordiance:
	http://library.municode.com/HTML/16425/level2/TIT17ZO CH17.08DI.h	ntml#TIT17ZO CH17.08DI 17.08.060BUSI)
	This appraisal was ordered in compliance with Appraisal Independence	
		and Mortgage Letter 2009-20.
	No any personal property is included in this transaction.	
	Note that the GLA , floor plan of the comp2 is not correct in the Realqu	lest, thus I use the number in the attached MLS Listing.
	The condition of the interior of the improvements are from PUBLIC DA	TA (Realguest MLS Listing and Zillow com) and VERIFIED by the
	·	trick to an quoto, miles in the many and it is a miles at a miles
	property owner.	
	Though the comp3 and comp7 is beyond the usual guidline of the sol	d time, as they are subject's immediate neighbor and similar to the
	subject in all the features, thus they are still the good comparables.	
	In order to support the final market value of the subject by the active/p	ending comps. I have to extend the guideline of the distance to use
		origing compo, mayo to exteria the galacimic of the aletanee to acc
	comp5 in the competing neighborhood.	
40	The age ,lot size ,GLA,location adjustments were obtained by the pair	analysis of the comparables in the subject's neighborhood. Note that
2	the age difference is within 35 years and the lot size difference within	10% of the subject's lot size is seen as brackted as no adjusment
Z	are needed in this case.	
뿔		
Ē	Attached the listing of comp2 to show the updated GLA.	
ō	All the comps are in the same or competing neighborhood ( As the hou	using price are mainly impacted by the school's rating, all the
ပ	comparables and the subject have the same or similar school ratings)	
7		
Ž	are addressed in the nearest sold comp3 and comp4(35% for comp	o4 and comp3 respectively, 10% each for the remained sold comp).
0		
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	Note that the subject's final market value is higher than that of the pre	edominant value of the neighborhood, this is because the subject
9	has a larger GLA .No any marketability issue noticed due to this(i.e. th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	le DOM of Housing value higher than the predominant value is similar
	to the housing value lower than the predominant value).	
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae.)
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal	culations.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methor	culations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal	culations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction.	culations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for
_	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established built	culations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for
CH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstithe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site
ЭАСН	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 950,000
кодсн	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstithe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site
PROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 950,000  Dwelling 1,820 Sq. Ft. @\$ 340.00 =\$ 618,800
APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absorbed the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established built over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 950,000
T APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absorbed the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established built over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$950,000  Dwelling 1,820 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 340.00 = \$618,800  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @ \$ = \$0
ST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 950,000  Dwelling 1,820 Sq. Ft. @\$ 340.00 =\$ 618,800  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Garage/Carport 418 Sq. Ft. @\$ 90.00 =\$ 37,620
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absorbed the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established built over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$950,000  Dwelling 1,820 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 340.00 = \$618,800  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @ \$ = \$0
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed	Culations.  Ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 950,000  Dwelling 1,820 Sq. Ft. @\$ 340.00 =\$ 618,800  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Garage/Carport 418 Sq. Ft. @\$ 90.00 =\$ 37,620  Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 656,420
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to	Culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established built over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established built over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 950,000  Dwelling 1,820 Sq. Ft. @\$ 340.00 =\$ 618,800  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ 90.00 =\$ 37,620  Garage/Carport 418 Sq. Ft. @\$ 90.00 =\$ 37,620  Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 656,420  Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5  Depreciation 328,210 0 16,411 =\$ (344,621)  Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 311,799  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 270,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONON X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 950,000  Dwelling 1,820 Sq. Ft. @\$ 340.00 =\$ 618,800  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ 90.00 =\$ 37,620  Garage/Carport 418 Sq. Ft. @\$ 90.00 =\$ 37,620  Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 656,420  Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5  Depreciation 328,210 0 16,411 =\$ (344,621)  Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 311,799  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 270,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONON X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier  Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier  Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier  Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods the provided of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods to reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstitute area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project  Total number of phases Total number of units Total	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods treference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstithe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control collegal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methos treference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstithe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control clegal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods treference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstithe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control collegal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methos treference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstithe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methos treference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstithe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methos treference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstithe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methos treference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abst the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier  Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.  Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes N	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methos treference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstithe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established build over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methos treference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abst the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier  Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.  Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes N	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methos treference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abst the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier  Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.  Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes N	culations.  ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical for Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site  OPINION OF SITE VALUE

# Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lende			-	nds a	nd conditions p	reva	lent in the s	ubject	
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all ap			-						
Property Address 3668 Sydne	ey Ct	City	San Jose	S	tate CA		ZIP Code		95132
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC									
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information	•								
housing trends and overall market conditions as repo	•	• •	•						
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis a		• •						-	
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources	-								
in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required		-			-		-	-	
average. Sales and listings must be properties that co						ed by	a prospect	ive buye	er of the
subject property. The appraiser must explain any ano				forec					
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months			<u>/eral</u>	l Trend		
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	94	19	15		Increasing		Stable		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	15.67	6.33	5.00		Increasing		Stable		Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	6	0	19		Declining		Stable		Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	0.38	0.00	3.80		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months				l Trend	<u> </u>	
Median Comparable Sales Price	1,500,000.00	1,401,000.00	1,430,000.00		Increasing	Х	Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	13	8	11		Declining		Stable		Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	1,612,500.00	N/A	1,488,000.00		Increasing		Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	246	N/A	9	X	_		Stable		Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	100.00	101.00	100.00		Increasing	X	Stable		Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc,) paid financial assistar		Yes X	No		Declining	X	Stable		ncreasing
Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the pas	t 12 months (e.g. selle	r contributions increa	ased from 3% to 5%, in	crea	sing use of buy	dowr	ns, closing c	osts	
condo fees, options, etc.)									
The concession were not seen as often as b	efore,the supply a	and demand is in	balance, and the	buye	rs are oftern	COI	mpete for	the go	od deal
in the current market, this is especilly true fo	r the recent 6 mor	nths, the multiple	offers are compe	ing t	or the house	s ir	the neigl	nborho	od and
the broad bay area.			·						
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the mark	ket? Yes X	No If yes, expl	ain (including the trend	ls in I	stings and sale	s of	foreclosed p	propertie	es).
No, as there is only few distressed properti									
comps within last 12 months are distressed							•	,	<b>.</b>
Cite data sources for above information									
Cite data sources for above information.  MLS Database: Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a	and Realguest(Co	relogic:www.real	guest.com)						
Cite data sources for above information.  MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a	and Realquest(Co	relogic:www.real	quest.com)						
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a				form	lf vou used an	add	itional inform	mation	such as
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your	conclusions in the Nei	ighborhood section o	of the appraisal report						such as
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form	ighborhood section o	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp	olana	ion and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions.	
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunion is STABLE o	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion verall for the mo	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp ost recent 6 month	olanai s BU	ion and suppor T decline fo	t for the	your conclu e last 12 n	sions. nonths	if
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh comparing to the most recent 6 months to the	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is STABLE on the previous 7-12 m	ighborhood section outlate your conclusion verall for the mononths ( Compan	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium p	olanat s BU rice o	ion and suppor T decline fo of most rece	t for the nt 3	your conclu e last 12 n months d	sions. nonths lata to	if the
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is STABLE on the previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra	ighborhood section outlined to the modern of the modern on the modern of	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp ost recent 6 month ring the medium p 50-1)/12*100=-0.4	olanat s BU rice o	ion and suppor T decline fo of most rece	t for the nt 3	your conclu e last 12 n months d	sions. nonths lata to	if the
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh comparing to the most recent 6 months to the	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is STABLE on the previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra	ighborhood section outlined to the modern of the modern on the modern of	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp ost recent 6 month ring the medium p 50-1)/12*100=-0.4	olanat s BU rice o	ion and suppor T decline fo of most rece	t for the nt 3	your conclu e last 12 n months d	sions. nonths lata to	if the
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the summary of the support of t	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE on the previous 7-12 m time adjustment rather most recent 6 m	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion verall for the mo- nonths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold com	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables	olana s BU rice o	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra	t for the nt 3 act c	your conclu e last 12 n months d	sions. nonths lata to	if the
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE on the previous 7-12 m time adjustment rather most recent 6 m	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion verall for the mo- nonths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold com	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables	olana s BU rice o	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra	t for the nt 3 act c	your conclu e last 12 n months d	sions. nonths lata to	if the
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the summary of the support of t	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE on the previous 7-12 m time adjustment rather most recent 6 m	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion verall for the mo- nonths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold com	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables	olana s BU rice o	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra	t for the nt 3 act c	your conclu e last 12 n months d	sions. nonths lata to	if the
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparate	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formation of is STABLE of ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ration most recent 6 m oles in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion verall for the mo- nonths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold com- s 4-6 months,thu	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables is I entered 'N/A' in	olana s BU rice o	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra	t for the nt 3 act c	your conclu e last 12 n months d	sions. nonths lata to	if the
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to tr previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for t  As there is no any active/pending comparat  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE on ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment rathe most recent 6 in oles in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion verall for the mo- nonths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold com- s 4-6 months,thu	of the appraisal reporting, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables  Is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	olana s BU rice o	ion and suppor T decline fo of most rece or the contra above table	t for the nt 3 act c	your conclu e last 12 n months d late differ	sions. nonths lata to	if the
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to th previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for t  As there is no any active/pending comparat  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formation of is STABLE of ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ration most recent 6 m oles in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion verall for the mo- nonths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold com- s 4-6 months,thu	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables is I entered 'N/A' in	olana s BU rice o	ion and suppor T decline fo of most rece or the contra above table	t for the nt 3 act c	your concluing last 12 mmonths distributed different	sions. nonths lata to ence n	if the nore
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to th previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for t  As there is no any active/pending comparate  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE on ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment rathe most recent 6 in oles in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion verall for the mo- nonths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold com- s 4-6 months,thu	of the appraisal reporting, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables  Is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	olana s BU rice o	ion and suppor T decline fo of most rece or the contra above table	t for the nt 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths distance different	sions. nonths lata to ence n	if the
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to th previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for t  As there is no any active/pending comparat  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE on ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment rathe most recent 6 in oles in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion verall for the mo- nonths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold com- s 4-6 months,thu	of the appraisal reporting, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables  Is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	olana s BU rice o	ion and suppor T decline fo of most rece or the contra above table	t for the nt 3 act c	your concluing last 12 mmonths distributed different	sions. nonths lata to ence n	if the nore
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to th previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for t  As there is no any active/pending comparate  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE on ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment rathe most recent 6 in oles in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion verall for the mo- nonths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold com- s 4-6 months,thu	of the appraisal reporting, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables  Is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	olana s BU rice o	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table	t for the nt 3 act c	your conclu e last 12 n months d late difference  I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions. nonths lata to ence n	the nore
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE on ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment rathe most recent 6 in oles in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion verall for the mo- nonths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold com- s 4-6 months,thu	of the appraisal reporting, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables  Is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	olana s BU rice o	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing	t for the nt 3 act c	your conclu e last 12 n months d late difference  I Trend Stable Stable	sions. nonths lata to ence n	the nore  Declining Declining
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m ples in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months,thue of following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal reporting, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables  Is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m ples in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months,thue of following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated as the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m ples in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months,thue of following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated as the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m ples in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months,thue of following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated as the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m ples in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months,thue of following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated as the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m ples in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months,thue of following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated as the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m ples in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months,thue of following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated as the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m ples in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months,thue of following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated as the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m ples in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months,thue of following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 n oles in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject?  Yes	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbork comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated as the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 n oles in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject?  Yes	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium po 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 n oles in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject?  Yes	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, ind	of the appraisal reportins, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 sparables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 n oles in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject?  Yes	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, ind	of the appraisal reportins, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 sparables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 n oles in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject?  Yes	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, ind	of the appraisal reportins, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 sparables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 n oles in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject?  Yes	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, ind	of the appraisal reportins, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 sparables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 n oles in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject?  Yes	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, ind	of the appraisal reportins, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 sparables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 n oles in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject?  Yes	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion verall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months, thus a following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, industrial disproject.	of the appraisal reportins, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 sparables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 n oles in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject?  Yes	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be (143/1 months sold comparate will be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, ind	of the appraisal reportins, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 sparables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a  Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m prior 7-12 Months  piect? Yes  on the subject unit and	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the mononths ( Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months, thut be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, independent of the months in the project.  Signature	of the appraisal reportins, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months  licate the number of R	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net) a  Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact  Signature  Appraiser Name  Huibin M	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form mood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m prior 7-12 Months  piect? Yes  on the subject unit and	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the monoths (Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months, thut be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, independent of the months	of the appraisal reportins, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 10-1)/12*100=-0.4  aparables  Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months  licate the number of R	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact  Signature  Appraiser Name  Huibin M Company Name  Bluebay App	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form mood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m prior 7-12 Months  on the subject unit and name of the subject unit and Lan raisal Inc.	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the monoths (Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months, thut be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, independent of the months	of the appraisal reportins, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables  Is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:  Current - 3 Months  Current - 6 R	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.  Signature  Appraiser Name  Appraiser Nam	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m ples in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  on the subject unit and nother subject unit and Lan raisal Inc. 2, Fremont, CA 94	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the monoths (Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months, thus be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, independent of the months of the month	of the appraisal reportins, provide both an expost recent 6 monthing the medium post 50-1)/12*100=-0.4 parables  Is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:  Current - 3 Months  Current - 6 R	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbort comparing to the most recent 6 months to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly than 6 months and NO time adjustment for the As there is no any active/pending comparated.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact  Signature  Appraiser Name  Huibin M Company Name  Bluebay App	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is STABLE o ne previous 7-12 m time adjustment ra the most recent 6 m prior 7-12 Months  on the subject unit and nother subject unit and Lan raisal Inc. 2, Fremont, CA 94 State C	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the monoths (Comparate will be (143/1 months sold comes 4-6 months, thus be following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, independent of the months of the month	of the appraisal report  as, provide both an expost recent 6 month ring the medium post parables  Is I entered 'N/A' in  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months  Current - 6 R  Name  Nam	blana's BU	ion and suppor IT decline fo of most rece or the contra above table  O Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	r then t 3 act c	your concluse last 12 mmonths dilate differential last 12 mmonths dilate differential last last last last last last last la	sions. nonths lata to ence n	Declining Declining Increasing

MARKET RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

# SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

# Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6**

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95132

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

	T -									_			=	
FEATURE	•	UBJECT		COMPAR				ARABLE S		C	OMPAR/			
	68 Sydney					ey Drive			Del Rey				y Avenue	
San Jo	se, CA	95132				CA 95132	Sai		CA 95132				A 95132	
Proximity to Subject				0.1	4 mile	es SW		1.70 mil	es S		0.	.65 mil	es S	
Sale Price	\$				\$	1,485,000		\$	1,679,000				1,505,000	
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ (	0.00 sq.	ft. \$	822.26	6 s	sq. ft.	\$ 866	5.36 s	q. ft.			7 s	sq. ft.	
Data Source(s)				ML# ML	.81916	248;DOM 2	MLSListing	gs# ML819	911088;DOM 170	MLS	Listings#	# ML819	922650;DOM 13	
Verification Source(s)				Realques	st Doc	# 25434185	Real	quest an	d Bayeast	Realquest ar		est an	d Bayeast	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DES	SCRIPTION		DESCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustment		-	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIPTI	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	
Sale or Financing				ArmLth		1	List		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Listing		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Concessions				Conv;0			Con				Conv;			
Date of Sale/Time				02/23;c0		0			0		c04/23		0	
Location		N;Res;		N;Res;			N;R				;Res;Bsy		+30,000	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fo	e Simple		Fee Sim			Fee S				ee Sim		100,000	
Site		6077 sf		6671 s		-12,000			0		5900 s			
View		N;Res;			1	-12,000			0		N;Res			
		1;Ranch	+	N;Res;			N;R DT2;Co		0	ь		•		
Design (Style)	וט		_	DT1;Ran	ICH				- 0	וט	2;Conte	emp	<u> </u>	
Quality of Construction		Q4		Q4			Q		+		Q4		_	
Actual Age		53		53			5		0		54			
Condition	<del> </del>	C3	+	C3	D "		C		2.000	<del></del>	C3	D "		
Above Grade		3drms. Bath		al Bdrms.	Baths		Total Bdrm		-3,000		Bdrms.	Baths		
Room Count	7	4 2.0			2.0	_	8 5	3.0	-8,000		4	2.1	-4,000	
Gross Living Area	1,	320 sq.	tt.	1,806	sq. ft.	+5,000			-40,000	1	,786	sq. ft.	+11,500	
Basement & Finished		0sf		0sf			05	SŤ			0sf			
Rooms Below Grade						-			-					
Functional Utility		verage	_	Averag			Aver				Average			
Heating/Cooling		VA/None		FWA/No			FWA/				NA/Cer		-3,000	
Energy Efficient Items		Pane Window	v Du	al Pane W			Dual Pane				Pane W			
Garage/Carport		2ga2dw		2gbi2d\		0					2gbi2d		0	
Porch/Patio/Deck		h/Concrete	: P	orch/Con			Porch/C				ch/Con			
Fireplaces	1	Fireplace		1 Firepla			1 Fire			1	Firepla			
Pool		None		1 Pool		-20,000					None			
Listing Price \$		None		149800		0	<u>No</u>				None			
Net Adjustment (Total)				+ X		\$ -27,000	+ X		\$ -51,000	X			\$ 34,500	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price				Adj: -2%	)		Net Adj: -3	3%		Net A	dj: 2%			
Net Adjustment (Total)					)			3%	\$ -51,000 \$ 1,628,000	Net A		%	\$ 34,500 \$ 1,539,500	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables			Gro	Adj: -2% oss Adj : 2	2%	\$ 1,458,000	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj	3%	\$ 1,628,000	Net A	dj: 2%	%		
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of	research	and analysis	Groot of the p	Adj: -2% oss Adj : 2 orior sale or	2% transfe	\$ 1,458,000 er history of the sub	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property	3% : 3% and compa	\$ 1,628,000 arable sales	Net A Gross	adj: 2% s Adj: 3º		\$ 1,539,500	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the r		and analysis	Gro	Adj: -2% oss Adj : 2 orior sale or	2% transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property LE# 4	3% : 3% and compa	\$ 1,628,000	Net A	adj: 2% s Adj: 3º			
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	er	and analysis	Groot of the p	Adj: -2% oss Adj : 2 orior sale or	2% transfe	\$ 1,458,000 er history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property LE # 4	3% : 3% and compa	\$ 1,628,000 arable sales	Net A Gross	adj: 2% s Adj: 3º		\$ 1,539,500	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	er	and analysis S	Groof the p	: Adj: -2% oss Adj : 2 orior sale or	2% transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property LE # 4	3% : 3% and compa	\$ 1,628,000 arable sales ARABLE SALE #	Net A Gross	adj: 2% s Adj: 3º	PARABL	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	er fer	and analysis S	of the publications of the	Adj: -2% oss Adj : 2 orior sale or CT	2% transfe	\$ 1,458,000 er history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property LE # 4 -2 0 9727	3% : 3% and compa	\$ 1,628,000 arable sales ARABLE SALE #	Net A Gross	adj: 2% s Adj: 3º	PARABL Real	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the I ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transf Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data So	er fer urce(s)	and analysis S R 02	Groot the public UBJECo	Adj: -2% oss Adj : 2 orior sale or CT est	2% transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,000 DOC# 2538 02/01/202	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property ILE # 4 12 0 9727	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023	Net A Gross	odj: 2% s Adj: 3' COMI	PARABL Real 02/0	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transf Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr	er fer urce(s)	and analysis S R 02 story of the s	of the public designs	est  O23  property and	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale o	Net A Gross	comb	PARABL Real 02/02	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or transments. no prior sale	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the	and analysis S R 02 story of the s comparab	of the public of	est  23  23  25  27  27  27  28  27  28  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale o	Net A Gross	comb	PARABL Real 02/02	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transf Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the	and analysis S R 02 story of the s comparab	of the public of	est  23  23  25  27  27  27  28  27  28  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale o	Net A Gross	comb	PARABL Real 02/02	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or transments. no prior sale	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the	and analysis S R 02 story of the s comparab	of the public of	est  23  23  25  27  27  27  28  27  28  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale o	Net A Gross	comb	PARABL Real 02/02	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or transments. no prior sale	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the	and analysis S R 02 story of the s comparab	of the public of	est  23  23  25  27  27  27  28  27  28  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale o	Net A Gross	comb	PARABL Real 02/02	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or transments. no prior sale	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the	and analysis S R 02 story of the s comparab	of the public of	est  23  23  25  27  27  27  28  27  28  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale o	Net A Gross	comb	PARABL Real 02/02	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or transments. no prior sale	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the	and analysis S R 02 story of the s comparab	of the public of	est  23  23  25  27  27  27  28  27  28  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale o	Net A Gross	comb	PARABL Real 02/02	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or transments. no prior sale	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the	and analysis S R 02 story of the s comparab	of the public of	est  23  23  25  27  27  27  28  27  28  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale o	Net A Gross	comb	PARABL Real 02/02	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the	and analysis S R 02 story of the s comparab	of the public of	est  23  23  25  27  27  27  28  27  28  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale o	Net A Gross	comb	PARABL Real 02/02	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the	and analysis S R 02 story of the s comparab	of the public of	est  23  23  25  27  27  27  28  27  28  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale o	Net A Gross	comb	PARABL Real 02/02	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfered Price of Prior Sale or transaction(Not listed Prior Sale/Transfered Prior Sale/Tra	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the	and analysis  R 02 story of the s comparab open MLS	of the public of	est O23 Property and Cept com D)	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,000 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ithin last 12 mod	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat nths.The p	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale of the comp	5 f the solutions	comi comi comi	Real 02/0² within N armle	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ength	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale transaction(Not listed	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the d in the	and analysis  R 02 story of the s comparab open MLS	ealque //01/20 ubject ples(ex listing	est O23 property and accept comply	transfe	\$ 1,458,000 or history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00 DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat nths.The p	and compa COMP	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale of the comp	5 f the solutions	comi comi comi	Real 02/0² within N armle	\$ 1,539,500 E SALE # 6 Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ength	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale (Transference) Effective Date of Data Social Analysis of prior sale or transaction (Not listed transaction (Not listed Summary of Sales Compared Condition and Appel	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the d in the arison Apeal from	and analysis  R 02 story of the s comparab open MLS	ealque //01/20 ubject ples(ex listing	est O23  property and accept comply are accept comply are accept area.	transfe	\$ 1,458,000  In history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00  DOC# 2538 02/01/202  arable sales Sea ithin last 12 mod	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property LE# 4 12 0 19727 23 17 18 18 18 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	and compa COMP abase, revious s	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale of the comparate of the comparate sales	5 f the so4 was	comi comi comi subject s a NON	Real 02/0² within N armle	\$ 1,539,500  E SALE # 6  Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ength  illar quality,	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfere Price of Prior Sale/Transfere Price of Prior Sale/Transfere Price of Prior Sale (Transfere) Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Social Analysis of prior sale or transaction (Not listed transaction (Not listed Summary of Sales Compared Adjustments are medical Sales Compared Adjust	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the d in the arison Apeal from ade as	and analysis  R 02 story of the s comparab open MLS	ealque //01/20 ubject ples(ex listing	est D23 property and accept comply mps are content area. e: \$20/S	transfer d comparing 4) w	\$ 1,458,000  In history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00  DOC# 2538 02/01/202  grable sales Sea ithin last 12 mod  d sales within  lot size difference	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE # 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat nths.The p	and compa COMP abase, revious s	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023  no prior sale of the companies of the companies of the subsection of the s	5 f the so4 was	comi comi comi subject s a NON	Real 02/02 within N armle nd sime); 2).	\$ 1,539,500  E SALE # 6  Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ength  iilar quality,  Gross living	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfered Price of Prior Sale or transless of Prior Sales Comparable Prior Sales	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the d in the arison Apeal from ade as GLA d	and analysis  R 02 story of the s comparab open MLS  proach A n subject's follows: 'ifference	ealque //01/20 ubject ples (ex listing)  II Consermark  ). Sitemore	est D23 property and comply are comply are comply are comply and comply are c	transfer d company d compa	\$ 1,458,000  In history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,00  DOC# 2538 02/01/202 grable sales Sea ithin last 12 mode of the sub Company of	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property LE # 4 12 0 9727 23 rch the dat nths.The p	and compa COMP abase, revious s	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the companies of the companies similar design 0% of the sub 4). Bathroom:	f the so4 was	comi comi comi subject s a NON	Real 02/02 within N armle e); 2).	\$ 1,539,500  E SALE # 6  Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ength  iilar quality,  Gross living 5). Age:	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr months. no prior sale transaction(Not listed  Summary of Sales Compacendition and apper Adjustments are m area: \$340/SF(For \$1000/Year(For ag	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the d in the arison Ageal from ade as GLA d le differ	and analysis  R 02 story of the s comparab open MLS  proach A n subject's follows: 1 ifference rence more	ealque //01/20 ubject ples (ex listing)  II Consimaria	est D23 property and comply are comply are comply are are a. e: \$20/S than 10 n 35 years	transfer d company d compa	\$ 1,458,000  In history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,000  DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ithin last 12 mode of the sub control of	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property LE # 4 12 0 9727 23 rch the dat nths.The p last 12 mo	and compa COMP abase, revious s onths of er than 1 droom; eplace;7	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the comparion sale of the comparion sale of the comparion similar design 0% of the sub 4). Bathroom: 7) Car storage	f the so4 was \$800: \$10,	comi comi comi subject s a NON age, ar lot size	Real 02/0′ within N armle e); 2). Iroom; ar.8). T	\$ 1,539,500  E SALE # 6  Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ength  iilar quality,  Gross living 5). Age: he time	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfered Price of Prior Sale of Data Society Analysis of prior sale or transaction(Not listed International Prior Sales Compared	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the d in the arison Ap eal from ade as GLA d le diffe	and analysis  R 02 story of the s comparab open MLS  proach A n subject's follows: fifference rence more	ealque //01/20 ubject ples(ex listing)  Il Cons mark ). Site more e that the constant is the constant in the c	est D23 property and comply and c	transfer d company with the company with	\$ 1,458,000  In history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,000  DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ithin last 12 mode of the sub control of	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj ject property LE # 4 12 0 9727 23 rch the dat nths.The p last 12 mo ence large \$3000/Be 53,000/Fir than 6 m	and compa COMP COMP abase, revious so onths of er than 1 droom; eplace;7	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the companies of the companies of the sub 4). Bathroom:  7) Car storage and NO time a	f the solution and a spect's \$800 cm \$10, djustr	comi comi comi comi comi comi comi comi	Real 02/0′ within N armle e); 2). Iroom; ar.8). Tor the r	\$ 1,539,500  E SALE # 6  Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ength  iilar quality,  Gross living 5). Age: he time most recent 6	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfere Price Prior Sale or transaction(Not listed Instance Prior Sale Prior Sal	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the d in the d in the dal from ade as GLA de differ 1.4% M to 1004	and analysis  R 02 story of the s comparab open MLS  proach A n subject's follows: follows: forence more onthly for MC Data	ealque //01/20 ubject ples(ex listing)  Il Cons mark ). Sitemore that the cons more of the cons mark	est O23 property and accept comply mps are over than 10 n 35 year ontract docation:	closed F(For sqft); are dispanded to the sqft of the s	\$ 1,458,000  In history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,000  DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ithin last 12 mode of the sub control of	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE# 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat nths.The p  last 12 mo ence large \$3000/Be \$3,000/Fir than 6 m	and compa COMP abase, revious s revious s er than 1 droom; eplace;7 nonths a	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the companies of the companies of the sub 4). Bathroom:  7) Car storage and NO time a	f the solution and a spect's \$800 cm \$10, djustr	comi comi comi comi comi comi comi comi	Real 02/0′ within N armle e); 2). Iroom; ar.8). Tor the r	\$ 1,539,500  E SALE # 6  Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ength  iilar quality,  Gross living 5). Age: he time most recent 6	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfered Price of Prior Sale of Data Society Analysis of prior sale or transaction(Not listed International Prior Sales Compared	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the d in the d in the dal from ade as GLA de differ 1.4% M to 1004	and analysis  R 02 story of the s comparab open MLS  proach A n subject's follows: follows: forence more onthly for MC Data	ealque //01/20 ubject ples(ex listing)  Il Cons mark ). Sitemore that the cons more of the cons mark	est O23 property and accept comply mps are over than 10 n 35 year ontract docation:	closed F(For sqft); are dispanded to the sqft of the s	\$ 1,458,000  In history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,000  DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ithin last 12 mode of the sub control of	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE# 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat nths.The p  last 12 mo ence large \$3000/Be \$3,000/Fir than 6 m	and compa COMP abase, revious s revious s er than 1 droom; eplace;7 nonths a	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the companies of the companies of the sub 4). Bathroom:  7) Car storage and NO time a	f the solution and a spect's \$800 cm \$10, djustr	comi comi comi comi comi comi comi comi	Real 02/0′ within N armle e); 2). Iroom; ar.8). Tor the r	\$ 1,539,500  E SALE # 6  Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ength  iilar quality,  Gross living 5). Age: he time most recent 6	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfere Price Prior Sale or transaction(Not listed Instance Prior Sale Prior Sal	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the d in the d in the dal from ade as GLA de differ 1.4% M to 1004	and analysis  R 02 story of the s comparab open MLS  proach A n subject's follows: follows: forence more onthly for MC Data	ealque //01/20 ubject ples(ex listing)  Il Cons mark ). Sitemore that the cons more of the cons mark	est O23 property and accept comply mps are over than 10 n 35 year ontract docation:	closed F(For sqft); are dispanded to the sqft of the s	\$ 1,458,000  In history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,000  DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ithin last 12 mode of the sub control of	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE# 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat nths.The p  last 12 mo ence large \$3000/Be \$3,000/Fir than 6 m	and compa COMP abase, revious s revious s er than 1 droom; eplace;7 nonths a	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the companies of the companies of the sub 4). Bathroom:  7) Car storage and NO time a	f the solution and a spect's \$800 cm \$10, djustr	comi comi comi comi comi comi comi comi	Real 02/0′ within N armle e); 2). Iroom; ar.8). Tor the r	\$ 1,539,500  E SALE # 6  Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ength  iilar quality,  Gross living 5). Age: he time most recent 6	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale or transaction (Not listed transaction (Not listed transaction)  Summary of Sales Compaction of Sales Compaction and appear Adjustments are marea: \$340/SF(For \$1000/Year(For agadjustment uses -0 months according to the sale of the sale	er fer urce(s) ransfer hi e of the d in the d in the dal from ade as GLA de differ 1.4% M to 1004	and analysis  R 02 story of the s comparab open MLS  proach A n subject's follows: follows: forence more onthly for MC Data	ealque //01/20 ubject ples(ex listing)  Il Cons mark ). Sitemore that the cons more of the cons mark	est O23 property and accept comply mps are over than 10 n 35 year ontract docation:	closed F(For sqft); are dispanded to the sqft of the s	\$ 1,458,000  In history of the sub COMPARABLE SA 10/21/202 \$910,000  DOC# 2538 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ithin last 12 mode of the sub control of	Net Adj: -3 Gross Adj  ject property LE# 4 22 0 9727 23 rch the dat nths.The p  last 12 mo ence large \$3000/Be \$3,000/Fir than 6 m	and compa COMP abase, revious s revious s er than 1 droom; eplace;7 nonths a	\$ 1,628,000  arable sales  ARABLE SALE #  Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the companies of the companies of the sub 4). Bathroom:  7) Car storage and NO time a	f the solution and a spect's \$800 cm \$10, djustr	comi comi comi comi comi comi comi comi	Real 02/0′ within N armle e); 2). Iroom; ar.8). Tor the r	\$ 1,539,500  E SALE # 6  Iquest 1/2023 last 36 ength  iilar quality,  Gross living 5). Age: he time most recent 6	

# SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

# Bluebay Appraisal Inc. EXTRA COMPARABLES 7-8-9

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95132

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

FEATURE	S	UBJEC	CT	COMPA	COMPARABLE SALE # 7				COMPARABLE SALE # 8				COMPAF	RABLE S	ALE#	9
	Sydne	y Ct		2015	Lockwo	ood	Drive									
San Jos	•	•	2	San	Jose, C	A 9	5132									
Proximity to Subject	, -				0.39 mil											
Sale Price	\$				\$		1,630,000			\$				\$		
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area		0.00	sq. ft.	\$ 951.5		q. ft.	<del></del>	\$	:		sq. ft.	\$	<u> </u>		q. ft.	
Data Source(s)		<del></del>	0q. 1t.				;DOM 9	Ť	,		уч. на	Ψ			9.10	<u> </u>
Verification Source(s)							5323586	+								
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DEC	SCRIPT	LION	DESCRIF		_	) \$ Adjustmer	nt	DESCRIF	OTION	+(-) \$ Adjustmen	+ DI	ESCRIP <sup>*</sup>	TION	1()¢ A	djustment
Sale or Financing	DEC	OCKIF	ION	ArmL		+(-	j φ Aujustinei	111	DESCRIP	TION	+(-) \$ Aujustinen	ון טו	_SCRIF	TION	Ψ(-) Φ Αι	<u>ajustinent</u>
Concessions				Conv				+								
					•		-71,72									
Date of Sale/Time		VI-D		s06/22;c			-/ 1,/2	:0								
Location		N;Res	•	N;Re				+								
Leasehold/Fee Simple		e Sim	•	Fee Sin	_			_								
Site		5077 9		6137				0								
View		N;Res	•	N;Re				_								
Design (Style)	DT	1;Raı	nch	DT2;Con	temp			0								
Quality of Construction		Q4		Q4				_								
Actual Age		53		54				0								
Condition		C3		C3												
Above Grade	Total E	3drms.	Baths	Total Bdrms	Baths			<u> T</u>	Total Bdrms	s. Baths		Total	Bdrms.	Baths		
Room Count	7	4	2.0	7 4	3.0		-8,00	0								
Gross Living Area	1,8	320	sq. ft.	1,713	sq. ft.		+36,38	80		sq. ft.				sq. ft		
Basement & Finished		0sf		0sf						•				•		
Rooms Below Grade																
Functional Utility	Δ	verag	16	Avera	ae			T								
Heating/Cooling		VA/No		FWA/Ce			-3,00	0								
Energy Efficient Items			Vindow	Dual Pane			0,00									
Garage/Carport		ga2d		2gbi2d				0								
Porch/Patio/Deck			vv icrete	Porch/Co				4								
								+							<del> </del>	
Fireplaces		Firepla		1 Firepl				+								
Pool		None		None				_								
Listing Price \$		None	!	16300	_			0		7		<del> </del>				
Net Adjustment (Total)				+ X		\$	-46,340		+	<u> </u>	\$		+	-	\$	
Adjusted Sale Price				Net Adj: -3°					let Adj: 09				4dj: 0%			
of Comparables				Gross Adj:	7%	\$	1,583,660	G	Pross Adj:	0%	\$	Gros	s Adj: (	0%	\$	
Report the results of the re	esearch:	and an	alysis of	the prior sale of	or transfe	r his	tory of the su	ıbjed	ct property a	and compa	arable sales					
ITEM			SUI	BJECT	- (	COM	<u> IPARABLE S</u>	ALE	E# 7	COMP	PARABLE SALE #	8	CON	<b>IPARAB</b>	LE SALE	# 9
Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	er															
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe	er															
Data Source(s)			Rea	alquest			Realque	est								
Effective Date of Data Sou	urce(s)		02/0	1/2023			02/01/20	023	3							
Analysis of prior sale or tra	ansfer hi	story of	f the sub	iect property a	nd compa	arabl	e sales									
,				,,	•											
Summary of Sales Compa		•		Comps are o	closed s	ale	s within las	st 1:	2 months	of simil	ar design and a	age, a	<u>nd simi</u>	lar qua	ity, con	dition
and appeal from subj	ect's m	arket	area.													
Adjustments are mad	le as fo	llows:	1). Sit	e: \$20/SF(F	or lot si	ze c	difference l	arg	er than 1	0% of th	e subject's lot	size);	2). Gro	ss livin	g area:	
\$340/SF(For GLA diff	ference	more	than 1	10 sqft); 3). I	Bedroor	n: \$	3000/Bedi	rooi	m; 4). Ba	throom:	\$8000/Bathroo	m; 5).	Age: \$	1000/Y	ear(For	age
difference more than	35 yea	rs); 6	). Fire p	olace: \$3,00	0/Firepl	ace	;7) Car sto	rag	ge: \$10,00	00/car.8)	).The time adju	ıstmer	nt uses	-0.4%	Monthly	for
the contract date diffe																_
9).Location:\$30000/p																ect's
neighborhood and is				-,						, <sub>1</sub>	,					
	, <sub> </sub>															

# Bluebay Appraisal Inc. SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM


File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	3668 Sydney Ct						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95132
Lender/Client We	edgewood Inc	,	Address	2015 Manhattan B	each Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Beach, C	A 90278



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 3668 Sydney Ct San Jose, CA 95132



# REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

## **Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report**

File No. 3414936

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

**SCOPE OF WORK:** The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

**INTENDED USE:** The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

**INTENDED USER:** The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

**DEFINITION MARKET VALUE:** The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

**STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS:** The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

## **Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report**

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

## APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 53370

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

## SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:


- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Huibin M. Lan	Name
Company Name Bluebay Appraisal Inc.	Company Name
Company Address 41041 Trimboli Way #1492	Company Address
Fremont, CA 94538	
Telephone Number 510-673-6733	Telephone Number
Email Address appraiserlan@yahoo.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 05/01/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/01/2023	State Certification #
State Certification # AR030132	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State <u>CA</u>	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/18/2025	
	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	
3668 Sydney Ct	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
San Jose, CA 95132	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,530,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	
Name <u>Clear Capital</u>	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

## Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM**

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370


Property Address	3668 Sydney Ct						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95132
Lender/Client Wed	lgewood Inc	,	Address	2015 Manhattan Be	ach Blvd Suite 100	, Redondo Beach,	CA 90278



# Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **PLAT MAP**

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Property Address	3668 Sydney Ct						
City San Jose	Co	ounty	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95132
Lender/Client Wed	lgewood Inc	·	Address	2015 Manhattan B	each Blvd Suit	e 100, Redondo Be	ach, CA 90278



Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct
City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95132


Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 3194 Isadora Drive San Jose, CA 95132



COMPARABLE SALE # 2 1693 Messina Drive San Jose, CA 95132



COMPARABLE SALE # 3546 Minto Court
San Jose, CA 95132

Property Address	3668 Sydney Ct						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95132
Lender/Client Wed	dgewood Inc		Address	2015 Manhattan Be	ach Blvd Suite	e 100, Redondo Be	ach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 3579 Rowley Drive San Jose, CA 95132



COMPARABLE SALE # 2780 Camino Del Rey San Jose, CA 95132



COMPARABLE SALE # 3237 Cropley Avenue San Jose, CA 95132

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

 Property Address
 3668 Sydney Ct

 City
 San Jose
 County
 Santa Clara
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 95132

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 2015 Lockwood Drive San Jose, CA 95132 7


**COMPARABLE SALE #** 8

COMPARABLE SALE #

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct
City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95132

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Insurance

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95132
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



## **DECLARATIONS**

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

## THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP3367375-22 Renewal of: RAP3367375-21

Program Administrator: Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc.

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Item 1. Named Insured: Huibin Lan

Item 2. Address: 41526 Carmen St
City, State, Zip Code: Fremont, CA 94539

Item 3. Policy Period: From \_\_\_\_\_\_09/08/2022 \_\_\_\_\_\_ To \_\_\_\_\_09/08/2023

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)

(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ \_\_\_\_ 500,000 \_\_\_\_ Damages Limit of Liability – Each Claim

B. \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Each Claim

C. \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_ Damages Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

D. \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$ 500 Each Claim

B. \$ **1,000** Aggregate

Item 6. **Premium**: \$ 835.00

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 09/08/2006

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (08/12)

D42402 (05/13) D42408 (05/13) D42412 (03/17) D42413 (06/17)

D42414 (08/19)

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95132 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278


## □ 1693 Messina Drive, San Jose, California 95132

View Comparable Properties

### Listing



Report Listing



SWEIGEF MLS #: ML81919372 Beds:

Baths (F/P): 2 (2/0) Primary SqFt 1,633 SqFt (Other) 6,315 SqFt (Realist\*) Apprx Lot: Apprx Acr: Age/Yr Blt: 0.145 Acres 52/1971 (Realist\*) Parcel#: 586-22-050

DOM: LA: LA Ph: (510) 493-1955 Jayanta Samanta Walk Score:

26

1 / 37

A 👰 TX 👱 👔

#### SYMBIUM ADU options

1693 Messina Drive, San Jose 95132

County: Area: Santa Clara 5 - Berryessa Class: Res. Single Family / Detached Land Use:

Comm: 2.5% L.Type/Service: Exclusive Agency, Full Service

Special Info: Not Applicable

Ownership: Fin Terms: Public:

Bedroom:

Status: Sold Orig Price: List Price: \$1,598,000 **\$1,598,000** Sale Price: \$1,580,004 \$/Primary SqFt: \$/Total SqFt

Zoning: R1-8

Sale: 03/08/2023 COE: 04/06/2023 Expires: 06/30/2023

LOE: Incorp: City Limit: Possession:

Possession:

Charming and recently installed hardwood flooring throughout, new tile entrance, fully remodeled eat-in kitchen includes: Brand new solid wood Cabinet with soft close, granite countertop, new Island solid wood cabinet/drawers, updated electrical outlets and switches, new windows whole house, recessed lighting throughout the home/39 Pcs all are LED, 21" screen Samsung Display, a Marine grade ceiling speaker, new Blue Tooth audio Amp (2023) w/remote control in primary bath Shower, concrete walkway all around house - kids can ride a bicycle, Court extra room - Glass Wall/patio door, Water/Rain drain tunnels all around house - located to the edge of concrete walkway, installed an access pipe outside for Toilet drain, and much more! Great location with easy access to major freeways.

BUYERS TO VERIFY ALL THE INFORMATION. Information provided by seller, MLS, Internet, and others, but not limited to square footage, lot size, boundaries, permit status & Zoning HAS NOT BEEN VERIFIED BY AGENT. \*\*\* C.A.R Purchase Agreement preferred, include pre-approval letter, proof of funds, and signed cover sheet of disclosures, along with the offer. OFFERS VIA Disclosure IO ONLY. Living area 250 Sq ft added to reflect true real Sq ft 1633.

Showing & Location

Private:

Showing & Location Showing Information Occupied By: Show Contact: Owner Owner Show type: Occupant Ph:

Occupant Nm: Phone: Instructions: Call Listing Agent, See Remarks

Map Knights Bridge Rd Directions:

Prop Faces:

# offers: Buyer Finance: Conventional Loan

Accessibility: Shower over Tub - 1, Stall Shower, Tub in Bathroom: Primary Bedroom, Updated Bath

Communication: Construct Type: Central AC

Cooling: Dining Rm: Dining Area, Eat in Kitchen Energy Sav: Ext. Amenities: Back Yard, Fenced Family Room: Kitchen/Family Room Combo Fenced Back, Wood

Fence: Fireplace: Flooring: Hardwood, Tile Jung Jinhwa Call Agent

Add Instruct:

Features

**School** 

Elem: Middle:

Horse:

Berryessa Union Elementary Berryessa Union Elementary / East Side Union High

High: Building #: Closing Details Sold Remarks: Concession: LOE:

Interior: Kitchen: Cooktop - Gas, Countertop - Granite, Dishwasher, Island,

Laundry: Lot Desc: Other Rooms: Pool YN: Pool / Spa: No Prop Condition: Roof:

Security: Soil Condition: Stories:

Dates Original: List: 02/24/2023 02/24/2023

Off Mrkt:

Gt.Code:

29

Oven Range - Gas Washer/Dryer

Shinale

Aerial Map


File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95132

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct

CA 95132 City San Jose County Santa Clara State Zip Code Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



## **ENHANCED REPORT 2.0**

#### Subject Property:



Site Address 3668 SYDNEY CT SAN JOSE, CA 95132-1358





Amy Zhang (510) 552-1058

## **Document Contents**



- Profile Cover Sheet Property Overview Property History Page Property Comparables (Detailed) Property Comparables (Summary)

## **Provided By**

Richard Chen 3340 Walnut Ave 116 Fremont, CA 94538 Richard.chen@ctt.com

## PROPERTY OVERVIEW

3668 SYDNEY CT, SAN JOSE, CA 95132-1358

#### **Owner and Geographic Information**



TOMISTA RENATO M AND ANITA C (TRUSTEE)

Site Address

3668 SYDNEY CT, SAN JOSE, CA 95132-1358

APN: 092-33-024

Housing Tract Number: 4633

Legal Description: Lot Code:

Tract Number:

Legal Brief Description: LOT:1313 CITY:SAN JOSE SUBD:NORTHWOOD PARK TR#:4633 TR 4633 LOT 1313

City / Muni / Twp:

## Secondary Owner:

Mail Address:

3668 SYDNEY CT, SAN JOSE, CA 95132-1358

1313

Page / Grid:

NORTHWOOD PARK

1313

SAN JOSE

## **Property Details**

Bedrooms: Bathrooms: 2 ☐ Total Rooms:

War Built: Garage:

Fireplace:

算 Pool:

Garage 2

Square Feet: 1,820 Lot Size: 6,000 SF

Number of Units: Use Code: Single Family Residential

## Zoning: Sale Information



Transfer Date:
Transfer Value: \$0.00 Cost/Sq Feet:

Seller:

TOMISTA, ANITA; TOMISTA, RENATO

21461982

### Assessment and Taxes



Assessed Value: Land Value:

Market Value:

\$529,863.00 \$199.862.00 Improvement Value: \$330,001.00 Market Improvement Value

Percent Improve Tax Amount: Tax Status: Market Land Value: 62.28% \$8,306,92 Current

ner Exemption: Tax Rate Area:

Tax Account ID:

2022

17-010

3668 SYDNEY CT, SAN JOSE, CA 95132-1358

Non-Arms Length Transfer

TOMISTA, ANITA; TOMISTA, RENATO

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA 95132 Zip Code Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



			521 - 21			
Prior Transfer - 12/16/2011						
Recording Date:	12/16/2011	Document#:	21461982			
Price:	\$0.00	Document Type:	Intra-family Transfer or Dissolution			

Type of Sale:

**Buyer Vesting:** 

First TD: Lender Name:

PROPERTY HISTORY

TOMISTA, RENATO M; TOMISTA, ANITA C **Buyer Name:** Seller Name: TOMISTA, ANITA; TOMISTA, RENATO Legal Description:

NORTHWOOD PARK

4633 Tract Number: Unit:

Map Ref: MAP254 PG18&19 City / Muni / Twp: SAN JOSE

Release Record - 11/07/2011 11/07/2011

Recording Date: Price: Document Type: Substitution of Trustee and Full Reconveyance Type of Financing: TD Due Date:

Lender Name:

Lender Type: Borrowers Name: TOMISTA, ANITA C; TOMISTA, RENATO M

Mortgage Record - 11/04/2011

11/04/2011 21402563 Recording Date: Document#: Loan Amount: \$384,000,00 Loan Type: Conventional Type of Financing:

TD Due Date:

CLEARVISION FUNDING Lender Type: Borrowers Name:

JT Vesting:

Legal Description: Lot Number: 1313

Subdivision: NORTHWOOD PARK Tract Number: 4633

> Unit: MAP254 PG18&19 Map Ref: City / Muni / Twp: SAN JOSE

Prior Transfer - 11/04/2011

Recording Date: 11/04/2011 Document#: 21402562 Price: \$0.00 Document Type: Intra-family Transfer or Dissolution First TD: Type of Sale:

First TD Doc: 21402563

Lender Name:

Buyer Name: TOMISTA, ANITA: TOMISTA, RENATO Seller Name: TOMISTA, RENATO M; TOMISTA, ANITA C

Legal Description:

Subdivision: NORTHWOOD PARK Tract Number: 4633

> Unit: 11 Map Ref: MAP254 PG18&19 City / Muni / Twp:

Release Record - 06/13/2007

Recording Date: 06/13/2007 19468685 Document Type: Release of Mortgage Price:

**Buyer Vesting:** 

Bluebay Appraisal Inc.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

## Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

## **Condition Ratings and Definitions**

C:1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

**Note:** Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

**Note:** The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

**Note:** The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

**Note:** The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

**Note:** Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

**Note:** Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Bluebay Appraisal Inc.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

## **Quality Ratings and Definitions**

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

## Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

## **Not Updated**

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

### Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

### Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

## **Explanation of Bathroom Count**

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

### Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No.

Case No.

34149360

53370

Abbreviation **Full Name** May Appear in These Fields Α Adverse Location & View Acres Area, Site ac AdjPrk Adjacent to Park Location AdjPwr Adjacent to Power Lines Location <u>Ar</u>mLth Sales or Financing Concessions Arms Length Sale ΑT Attached Structure Design (Style) В Beneficial Location & View Bathroom(s) Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade ba br Bedroom Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade BsyRd **Busy Road** Location Contracted Date Date of Sale/Time Cash Cash Sale or Financing Concessions Commercial Influence Comm Location Conventional Conv Sale or Financing Concessions Garage/Carport Carport ср CrtOrd Court Ordered Sale Sale or Financing Concessions CtvSkv City View Skyline View View CtyStr City Street View View Garage/Carport Covered DOM Days On Market **Data Sources** DT **Detached Structure** Design (Style) dw Driveway Garage/Carport **Expiration Date** Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Estate Estate Sale **FHA** Federal Housing Administration Sale or Financing Concessions Garage Garage/Carport g Attached Garage Garage/Carport ga gbi Built-In Garages Garage/Carport qd **Detached Garage** Garage/Carport **GlfCse** Golf Course Location Golf Course View Glfvw View Design (Style) GR Garden HR High Rise Design (Style) Interior Only Stairs Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade in Ind Industrial Location & View Listing Listing Sales or Financing Concessions Location Lndfl Landfill Limited Sight LtdSaht View MR Mid Rise Design (Style) Mtn Mountain View View Neutral Ν Location & View NonArm Non-Arms Length Sale Sale or Financing Concessions Other Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade 0 Other Design (Style) Garage/Carport Open op Prk Park View View View Pstrl Pastoral View PubTrn **Public Transportation** Location PwrLn Power Lines View Relo Relocation Sale Sale or Financing Concessions **REO REO Sale** Sale or Financing Concessions Location & View Res Residential RHUSDA - Rural Housing Sale or Financing Concessions Recreational (Rec) Room Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade rr RT Row or Townhouse Design (Style) Settlement Date Date of Sale/Time SD Semi-detached Structure Design (Style) Short Short Sale Sale or Financing Concessions Area, Site, Basement sf Square Feet Area, Site sqm Square Meters Unk Unknown Date of Sale/Time Veterans Administration VA Sale or Financing Concessions w Withdrawn Date Date of Sale/Time Walk Out Basement Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wo Woods Woods View View Wtr Water View View WtrFr Water Frontage Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wu Walk Up Basement

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

20.10110.						
Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct						
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95132
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address 2015 M	lanhattan Beach	n Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Bea	ch, CA 90278

The appraiser's competency for completing work order assignments within the subject's market area:

The appraiser is very familiar with the market area of the subject, approximate about 300 of appraisals completed in this market, there is about 10 miles that the appraiser traveled to the subject. The appraisaler has about 10 years of field work experience and has access to the MLS data of the subject's neighborhood. The appraiser reside in the neighbor county of Alameda county.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF THE SUBJECT: The subject has typical lot size, GLA, style ,construction quality and similar condition as a single family house( physically possible) and conform to the neighborhood with almost all the similar single family houses(The reasonable,probable and Legal use of the lot as the the single family home zoning of the neighborhood----Legally allowable), the single family house demand is still high in all the bay area and the subject's neighborhood(financially feasible and Maximu Productive), thus its current use is in its highest and best use.

The Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak has had a significant impact on local, national and global economies. Financial markets worldwide are experiencing unprecedented volatility. In some areas of the United States, there are current Shelter-in-Place orders and other restrictions on daily activities. These events are likely to impact real estate values in the short term but, as of the date of this appraisal, there is not enough data to substantiate that position. I have researched all available local sources and, as of this date, I have not found any data suggesting that significant changes in local real estate market have occurred. The market data presented in this appraisal report is considered the most recent and relevant available and the resulting analysis best reflects market conditions as of the effective date of appraisal.

No employee, director, officer, or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal management company, or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted toinfluence the development, reporting, result, or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or in any other manner.

I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client as identified on the first page of thereport), borrower, or designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report anyunauthorized contacts either personally by phone or electronically to the Clear Capital.

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Property Address 3	3668 Sydney Ct						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95132
Londor/Client Wee	daewood Inc	•	Address 2015 Ma	nhattan Reac	h Blyd Suita 100	Redondo Bear	ch CΔ 90278

Street Address (Full)	Sale Price Sq F	: Total	
3371 Burgundy DR	1450000	1502	
1179 San Moritz DR	1850000	2150	
15370 Skyview TER	1850000	1810	
3250 Clovewood LN	1302000	1772	
2815 Mabury RD	1200000	2084	
2965 Heidi DR	1400000	1517	
583 N White RD	1372000	1698	
1693 Messina DR	1580004	1633	
1926 Wayne CIR	1518888	1854	
1458 Lucena CT	1430000	1478	
764 Glen Mead CT	1470000	2124	
3194 Isadora DR	1630000	1720	
1404 N Capitol AVE	1210000	1938	
461 Toyon AVE	1048880	1539	
2210 Hikido DR	1370000	1530	
3375 Mira Vista CIR	1710000	1645	
1453 Lucena CT	1492500	1938	
3344 Whitman WAY	1340000	1502	
3579 Rowley DR	1485000	1806	
3388 Suncrest AVE	1710000	2008	
1234 Matterhorn DR	1415000	1517	
3603 Sydney DR	1401000	1786	
3400 Suncrest AVE	1649000	2008	
3397 Burgundy DR	1351000	2008	
2448 Old Ridge CT	1288000	1630	
3223 Desertwood LN	1405000	1868	
3118 Capewood Lane	1355000	1772	
2924 Postwood DR	1130000	1510	
1125 Caballo CT	1458000	2150	
330 Cane Palm CT	1120000	1566	
1433 Proud DR	1600000	1682	
395 Boulder DR	1245000	1661	
3254 Farthing WAY	1215000	1695	
3254 Tulipwood LN	1285000	1772	
327 Foxridge WAY	1780000	2044	
3094 Halgrim Ct. CT	1700000	2090	
3459 Lisbon DR	1550007	1786	
1952 Camargo DR	1400000	1918	
740 Pelleas LN	1415000	1971	
2948 Camargo CT	1450000	1496	
2888 Ione DR	1588000	1560	
3527 Rowley DR	1650000	1577	
1534 Sierraville AVE	1908888	1907	
1442 Mardan DR	1680000	1836	
2862 Hostetter	1680000	2139	
3171 Ruskin DR	1650000	1926	
1406 Almanor CT	1300000	2002	
2680 Grandview Dr	1580000	2124	
2190 Bristolwood LN	1150000	1585	
2828 Monte Cresta Way	1110000	1938	
1917 Morrill AVE	1349888	1577	
3504 Sydney DR	1225000	1786	

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Property Address	3668 Sydney Ct						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95132
Lender/Client W	Vedgewood Inc	•	Address 2015 M	anhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100,	Redondo Beach	, CA 90278

Lender/Client vvedgewood Inc		Address 2015 Mannattan Beach Bivd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278
1171 Somerset DR	1260000	1502
3078 Crater Ln	1580000	1494
1326 Cabrillo Ave	1525000	1602
4160 Pepper Tree LN	1400000	2020
848 Kyle ST	1470000	1841
1513 Turriff WAY	1400000	1577
1401 Morrill AVE	1350000	1502
3117 Mabury RD	1450000	1841
3649 Rowley DR	1940000	1790
2894 Ronco DR	1570000	1513
2708 Babe Ruth DR	1550000	2082
1441 Tourney DR	1628000	1785
1491 Leaftree CT	1552500	1797
3401 Mira Vista CIR	1250000	1645
3635 Madrid DR	1350000	1569
1091 Ruge DR	1380000	1580
3616 Rowley DR	1270000	1577
1987 Limewood DR	1485000	1585
3464 Morgan PL	1595000	1928
2840 Encinitas CT	1258888	1480
1613 Peachwood DR	1300000	1769
2774 Glen Firth DR	1375000	1903
2915 Capewood LN	990000	1519
2925 Mabury RD	1350000	2124
1049 Vista Oak	1460000	2082
15132 Barbee CT	1165000	1485
2708 Lucena Dr	1355000	1938
1217 Pembroke DR	1560000	1831
2210 Hikido Dr	1160000	1530
2845 Ronco Dr	1917000	2150
3546 Minto CT	1702800	1918
11375 Chula Vista AVE	1075000	1877
1082 N Capitol AVE 3288 Landess Ave	1126000	1608 1601
3264 Parkhaven DR	1150000 1380000	1577
3688 El Grande DR	1999999	2127
3640 Tunis AVE	1700000	1598
1240 Pembroke DR	1460000	1684
662 Kirk Glen DR	1350000	1749
3127 Kermath DR	1250000	1480
1749 Westhaven DR	1400000	1598
2925 Glen Heather DR	1500000	2124
3237 Modred DR	1080000	1683
1419 Piedmont RD	1650000	1880
1956 Marcross DR	1548000	1528
2678 Plaza Banderas	1500000	1492
2860 Encinitas CT	1280000	1900
1485 Piedmont RD	1375000	1574
1456 Shaffer DR	1337000	1614
3018 Little Rock DR	1300000	1740
2015 Lockwood DR	1630000	1713
1756 Camargo DR	1650000	1657
2846 Baton Rouge CT	1330000	1863
2845 Ronco DR	1550000	2150

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

20.10110.						
Property Address 3668 Sydney Ct						
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95132
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address 2015 M	lanhattan Beach	n Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Bea	ch, CA 90278

3252 Landess AVE	1500000	1610	
1572 Timber Creek DR	1360000	1631	
4178 Holly DR	1300000	1545	
3204 Orange ST	1610000	1971	
3367 Mira Vista CIR	1700000	1840	
3094 Balmoral DR	2068000	1974	
818 Fountain Palm CT	1525000	1797	
3440 Grossmont DR	1800000	1502	
3527 Rowley DR	1500000	1577	
1561 Rue Avati	1650000	1511	
2988 Heidi Ct	1580000	1577	
1184 Adams CT	1975000	2090	
3539 Behler DR	1695500	1598	
2089 Old Piedmont RD	1865000	1480	
3150 Shriver DR	2300004	2150	
1995 Majestic WAY	1875000	1577	
3623 Bloomsbury WAY	1900000	1577	
3030 Crater LN	1700000	1530	
2693 Orangestone WAY	1685000	1480	
1533 Japaul LN	1700000	1869	
1203 San Moritz DR	2205000	2002	
1705 RINGWOOD AVE	1897500	2112	

Bluebay Appraisal Inc.

# APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Parrawar/Client Podwood Ho	Idingo I I C		Case	110. 33370
Borrower/Client Redwood Ho	idings LLC			Linit Nin
Address 3668 Sydney Ct	Carret	Santa Clara	Ctata CA	Unit No
City San Jose		Santa Clara	_ State <u>CA</u>	_ Zip Code <u>95132</u>
Lender/Client Wedgewood In	<u>IC</u>			
This Appr	rainal Camplianae Addandum is included to ana	ure this appraisal report mosts of	LUCDAD 2014 rac	au iromonto
This Appr APPRAISAL AND REPORT	raisal Compliance Addendum is included to ensi	ure this appraisal report meets a	TUSPAP 2014 red	quirements.
This Appraisal Report is one of the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
X Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the req			` ,
Restricted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the rec			
	intended user of this report is limited to the identified		•	• •
	at the opinions and conclusions set forth in the repor	t may not be understood properly wit	nout the additional in	nformation in the appraiser's workfile.
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT	IONS			
I certify that, to the best of my known	wledge and belief:			
The statements of fact conta	ined in this report are true and correct.			
The reported analyses, opini	ions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported	assumptions and are my personal,	mpartial, and unbias	sed professional analyses,
opinions, and conclusions.				•
•	I have no present or prospective interest in the proper	rtv that is the subject of this report a	nd no personal intere	est with respect to parties involved
	I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in ar		•	·
	g acceptance of this assignment.	,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	the property that is the subject of this report or the p	parties involved with this assignment		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Inment was not contingent upon developing or reporti			
	eting this assignment is not contingent upon the devel		ned value or directio	on in value that favors the cause
	ne value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
this appraisal.	ie value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result,	, or the occurrence of a subsequent	iveni unechy related	1 to the intended use of
	conclusions were developed and this report has been	propagad in conformity with the Uni	form Standards of D	Professional Approisal Practice that
		r prepared, in comorning with the on	IOIIII Standards of F	Tolessional Appraisal Fractice that
were in effect at the time this		at in the publicat of this report		
	I have made a personal inspection of the property tha	-		
	no one provided significant real property appraisal as	, .	s certification (if the	re are exceptions, the name of each
	nt real property appraisal assistance is stated elsewh	. ,		
PRIOR SERVICES	ed in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA as amended	a, and any implementing regulations		
		it and a second constitution of the second control of the second constitution of the second control of the sec	andia at at the man	to ithin the thorough a significant
	d services, as an appraiser or in another other capac	ity, regarding the property that is the	subject of the report	t within the three-year period
immediately preceding accep				
	ices, as an appraiser or in another capacity, regarding		is report within the t	three-year period immediately
	s assignment. Those services are described in the co	mments below.		
PROPERTY INSPECTION				
	sonal inspection of the property that is the subject of t			
	a personal inspection of the property that is the subje	ect of this report.		
APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE				
The state of the s	rovided significant real property appraisal assistance		n. If anyone did prov	vide significant assistance, they
are hereby identified along with a	summary of the extent of the assistance provided in t	he report.		
none				
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				
Additional USPAP related issues r	requiring disclosure and/or any state mandated requir	rements: External only inspec	tion. I did not de	o any services for the subject
within the last 3 years.				
MARKETING TIME AND EX	XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT PRO	PERTY		
X A reasonable marketing time	e for the subject property is 20-40 day(s) ut	tilizing market conditions pertinent to	the appraisal assign	nment.
	for the subject property is $\frac{20-40}{}$ day(s).	and the first of the first to	and appraisal accigi	Timone.
7 Troubblid oxpodulo allio				
APPRAISER		SUPERVISORY APPRAIS	ER (ONLY IF RI	EQUIRED)
-			, (-	,
P				
201	N			
Cianatura / /		Ciamata:		
Signature Huibin M. Lan		N I		
Name Huibin M. Lan	20			
Date of Signature 05/01/202		Date of Signature		
State Certification # AR030132	2	State Certification #		
State <u>CA</u>		_ State		
Expiration Date of Certification or	License 02/18/2025	<ul> <li>Expiration Date of Certification o</li> </ul>		
		Supervisory Appraiser Inspection		:
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/0	)1/2023	_ Did Not Exterior C	Only from street	Interior and Exterior