

#### **APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY**

# **LOCATED AT:**

17154 Lanark St Tract 16595: Lot 61: Van Nuys, CA 91406

# FOR:

Wedgewood Inc. 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100 Redondo Beach, CA 90278

# AS OF:

08/02/2023

# BY:

Robert Bronley

Robert Bronley The Appraisal Shoppe 20929 Ventura Blvd. Ste 47-310 Woodland Hills, CA. 91364

Wedgewood Inc. 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100 Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Re: Property: 17154 Lanark St

Van Nuys, CA 91406 Redwood Holdings LL

Borrower: Redwood Ho File No.: 53553

In accordance with your request, we have appraised the above referenced property. The report of that appraisal is attached.

The purpose of this appraisal is to estimate the market value of the property described in this appraisal report, as improved, in unencumbered fee simple title of ownership.

This report is based on a physical analysis of the site and improvements, a locational analysis of the neighborhood and city, and an economic analysis of the market for properties such as the subject. The appraisal was developed and the report was prepared in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

The value conclusions reported are as of the effective date stated in the body of the report and contingent upon the certification and limiting conditions attached.

It has been a pleasure to assist you. Please do not hesitate to contact me or any of my staff if we can be of additional service to you.

Runly

Robert Bronley
CERT. GEN. APPRAISER

AG004659

# **SUMMARY OF SALIENT FEATURES**

	Subject Address	17154 Lanark St
	Legal Description	Tract 16595: Lot 61:
NOI	City	Van Nuys
SUBJECT INFORMATION	County	Los Angeles
ECT INF	State	CA
SUBJ	Zip Code	91406
	Census Tract	1311.00
	Map Reference	531 C2
SICE	Sale Price	\$
SALES PRICE	Date of Sale	
S		
CLIENT	Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL
	Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.
	Size (Square Feet)	1,519
		\$
IF IMPROVEMENTS	Location	N;Res;
PROVE	Age	71
N OF IM	Condition	C3
DESCRIPTION 0	Total Rooms	5
DESC	Bedrooms	2
	Baths	2.0
	54410	2.0
ISER	Appraiser	Robert Bronley
APPRAISER	Date of Appraised Value	08/02/2023
VALUE	Final Estimate of Value	\$ 790,000

53553 File No. 34460635

# USPAP ADDENDUM

Borrower Redwood Holdings LL	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Property Address 17154 Lanark St City Van Nuys County	Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 91406
City Van Nuys County Lender Wedgewood Inc.	Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 91406
This report was prepared under the following USPAP reporting option	
	rdance with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).
	rdance with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b).
Tills Teport was prepared in acco	Idalice Willi OSPAP Stalidards Nule 2-2(b).
Reasonable Exposure Time	
My opinion of a reasonable exposure time for the subject property at the mark	et value stated in this report is:
	ped independently from the stated marketing time is 30 days.
Additional Certifications	
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:	
▼ I have NOT performed services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity,	regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the
three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.	
I HAVE performed services, as an appraiser or in another capacity, regard	ling the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year
period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment. Those serv	ices are described in the comments below.
- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.	
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.	assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased
	y that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties
involved.	
<ul> <li>I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or the property of the subject of this report or the property that is the subject of this report or the property of the property that is the subject of this report or the property that is the subject of this report or the property that is the subject of this report or the property that is the subject of this report or the property that is the subject of this report or the property that is the subject of this report or the property that is the subject of this report or the property that is the subject of this report or the property that is the subject of this report or the property that is the subject of this report or the property that is the subject of this report or the property that is the property that it is the p</li></ul>	- I
	opment or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of
the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the	occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
	prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that
were in effect at the time this report was prepared.  - Unless otherwise indicated, I have made a personal inspection of the property that	is the subject of this report.
	stance to the person(s) signing this certification (if there are exceptions, the name of each
individual providing significant real property appraisal assistance is stated elsewhere	n this report).
Additional Comments	
Additional Comments	
The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/clien additional intended users. The intended use is to evaluate the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	appraisal, reporting requirements of this appraisal report form,
and definition of market value.No additional intended users	are identified by the appraiser.
The was no personal property appraised 3/11/2020 COVID-19 and market conditions Analysis Expectations	
An important part of any appraisal assignment is analysis of mar	
	tent, if any, market conditions are affected. Related, complicating
1	ortgage interest rates. Market analysis includes observing market
reactions. This analysis becomes more complicated when market  The corona virus outbreak currently has had no effect on market	values in the Van Nuys Area of Los Angeles County currently. The
impact has not been around long enough to gather data on possi	
during the city lock down, and current lockdown.	was officially declared a mandania by the Mandalle W. C
1 -	was officially declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization by, this event may have on the national economy, the local economy or
the market in which the subject property is located. The reader is	
1	e appraiser makes no representation as to the effect on the subject
property of this event, or any event, subsequent to the effective d	ate or the appraisal.
APPRAISER:	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER: (only if required)
V. VV	OUI ERVIOURT AFFRAIGEN. (UIIIY II TEYUNEU)
Signature:	Signature:
Name: Robert Bronley	Name:
Date Signed: 08/02/2023	Date Signed:
State Certification #:	State Certification #:
or State License #: AG004659 State: CA	or State License #: State:
Expiration Date of Certification or License: 09/26/2024	Expiration Date of Certification or License:
Effective Date of Appraisal: 08/02/2023	Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of Subject Property:
	☐ Did Not ☐ Exterior-only from Street ☐ Interior and Exterior

53553 File # 34460635

	The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	ort is to provide the lender/client with an	accurate, and adequately sup	oported, opinion of the ma	ket value of the s	ubject property.
	Property Address 17154 Lanark St		City Van Nuys	State	CA Zip Code	91406
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LL	Owner of Public Reco			y Los Angeles	000
			Laura J Cobb	Oduli	y Los Angeles	
	Legal Description Tract 16595: Lot 61:					
	Assessor's Parcel # 2204-006-011		Tax Year 2023	R.E. 1	Taxes \$ 7,300	
L	Neighborhood Name Van Nuys		Map Reference 531 C		us Tract 1311.00	
ပ	Occupant Owner Topent Vice	Checial Assessments				nor month
SUBJEC.	Occupant Owner Tenant Vac		\$ 255	PUD HOA\$ 0	per year	per month
믤	Property Rights Appraised	Leasehold Other (describe)				
S	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transaction X Other	(describe) Market Value			
			Manhattan Beach Blvd	Suita 100 Padanda Pa	200h CA 00279	)
	Trougenous inc.					
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale		ntns prior to the effective date of	tnis appraisai?	Yes 🔀	NO NO
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), an	d date(s). Data sources utilized	were real quest, County	public records, multip	le listing service	, interviews
	with real estate persons, owners, an	id tenants				
		sale for the subject purchase transaction. Expl	ain the results of the analysis of	the contract for sale or why th	e analysis was not	
		Sale for the Subject parenase transaction. Expr	an the results of the analysis of	the contract for said or wify th	io analysis was not	
	performed.					
5	1					
CONTRACT	Contract Price \$ Date of Cor	ntract Is the property selle	r the owner of public record?	Yes No Data So	ource(s)	
É	le there any financial assistance (lean charges of		<u> </u>			Yes No
5	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, s		ice, etc.) to be paid by any party	on behalf of the borrower?	L	162 NO
ၓ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describ	e the items to be paid.				
	Note: December and the second state of the	and although and any make a manage at the stand				
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood are not appraisal factors.				
	Neighborhood Characteristics	One-U	nit Housing Trends	One-Unit Ho	ousing Prese	nt Land Use %
	Location Urban Suburban	Rural Property Values Increasi	ng Stable 🔀 De	eclining PRICE	AGE One-Unit	
			<u> </u>	_ •		
۵	Built-Up 🔀 Over 75% 🗌 25-75% 🦳	Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortage	e 🔀 In Balance 🗌 Ov	ver Supply \$ (000)	(yrs) 2-4 Unit	%
BORHOOD	Growth Rapid X Stable	Slow Marketing Time Under 3	mths X 3-6 mths Ov	ver 6 mths 775 Low	20 Multi-Fan	nily %
悜		nood is bordered by. Roscoe Blvd., North, \			100 Commerc	cial %
꽁				*		
M	East, and White Oak Avenue to the West in	the Van Nuys area of Los Angeles within	Los Angeles County.	810 Pred.	71 Other	%
NEIGH	Neighborhood Description The immediate	e market area is made up of one and two s	tory average quality single-fa	mily residences. The Single	e-family residences	are of varying
Ε	styles, sizes, ages, views, and similarities in	n market appeal The neighborhood posses	ses adequate residential sun	port linkages ( transportati	on and freeways) w	/ith
Z						
	employment centers and typical amenities(s		and recreation) are within m	iles of subject property. Loc	cated in a fire nazar	d area.
	Market Conditions (including support for the abo	VE CONCIUSIONS) Values appear to be d	eclining There is a low amount a li	istings no oversupply. Marketin	g times 30-90 days. Th	e recent trends
	do support a declining of the market. Support com	es from the local MLS board, interviews with real	estate agents, and articles. Interes	st rates currently are low. The ir	terest rates have begu	ın to increase.
	The first increase occurred in May 2022' and rates			•		
					Viow N.D.	
	Dimensions 53x113.25	Area 6002 sf		ectangular	View N;Res;	
	Specific Zoning Classification LAR1	Zoning Description	Single Family Residence	ce		
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Nor	nconforming (Grandfathered Use) No Zo				
	Is the highest and best use of subject property a			X Yes	If No, describe T	'ho highost
						he highest
	and best use is the subject's propert	<u>.y's current use. This based on the c</u>	<u>current zoning, building c</u>	codes, and market dem	nands.	
	Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public Other	(describe) 0	off-site Improvements - Type	Publi	ic Private
ш	Electricity 🔀 🗌	Water 🔀 🗌	S	treet Paved Asphalt	X	
SITE	Gas 💢	Sanitary Sewer		lley None		
0,						
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes	No FEMA Flood Zone X	FEMA Map # 06037C	1285F	EMA Map Date OS	0/26/2008
	Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	ıl for the market area? X Yes	No If No, describe			
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external	factors (easements, encroachments, environm	ental conditions, land uses, etc.)	? Yes	No If Yes, des	scribe
	,					
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of P	roperty Appraisal Files 🔀 MLS	S 🔀 Assessment and Tax Re	cords Prior Inspectio	n Property Ov	vner
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of P	roperty Appraisal Files 🔀 MLS				vner
	Other (describe)		Data Source for Gross Living	Area Assessor's off	ice	
	Other (describe)  General Description	General Description	Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling	Area Assessor's off Amenities	ice Car Sto	
	Other (describe)	General Description	Data Source for Gross Living	Area Assessor's off	ice	
	☐ Other (describe)  General Description  Units ☑ One ☐ One with Accessory Unit	General Description	Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling	Area Assessor's off Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1	ice Car Ste	orage
	☐ Other (describe)  General Description  Units ☑ One ☐ One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant	Area	Car Sto None Driveway #	orage f of Cars 2
	Other (describe)  General Description  Units ✓ One ☐ One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type ✓ Det. ☐ Att. ☐ S-Det./End Unit	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open	Car Ste None None Driveway # Driveway Surface	orage for Cars 2 Concrete
	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One  One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det.  Att.  S-Det./End Unit  Existing  Proposed  Under Const.	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco	Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas	Area Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front	Car Sto None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage #	orage for of Cars 2 Concrete for Cars 2
	Other (describe)  General Description  Units ✓ One ☐ One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type ✓ Det. ☐ Att. ☐ S-Det./End Unit	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open	Car Sto None Driveway # Driveway Surface  Garage #	orage for Cars 2 Concrete
	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One  One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories  1  Type  Det.  Att.  S-Det./End Unit  Existing  Proposed  Under Const.  Design (Style)  Traditional	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing.	Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas	Area Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None	Car Sto  None  Driveway #  Driveway Surface  Garage #  Carport #	orage  for Cars 2  Concrete for Cars 2  for Cars 2  for Cars 0
	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One  One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det.  Att.  S-Det./End Unit  Existing  Proposed  Under Const.  Design (Style)  Traditional  Year Built 1952	General Description Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum	Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual	Area	Car Ste  None  Driveway #  Driveway Surface  Garage #  Carport #  Attached	orage for of Cars 2 Concrete for Cars 2
	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide	Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None	Car Sto  None  Driveway #  Driveway Surface  Garage #  Carport #	orage  for Cars 2  Concrete for Cars 2  for Cars 2  for Cars 0
	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One  One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det.  Att.  S-Det./End Unit  Existing  Proposed  Under Const.  Design (Style)  Traditional  Year Built 1952	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Trowave Washer/Dryer	Area	Car Ste  None  Driveway #  Driveway Surface  Garage #  Carport #  Attached	orage  for Cars 2  Concrete for Cars 2  for Cars 2  for Cars 0
S	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator  Range/Oven	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mice	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Trowave Washer/Dryer	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other (describe)	Car Ste  None  Driveway #  Driveway Surface  Garage #  Carport #  Attached  Built-in	concrete for Cars 2 Concrete for Cars 2 For Cars 2 For Cars 0 Detached
NTS	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator  Range/Oven	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Trowave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None	Car Ste  None  Driveway #  Driveway Surface  Garage #  Carport #  Attached  Built-in	concrete for Cars 2 Concrete for Cars 2 For Cars 2 For Cars 0 Detached
MENTS	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator  Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Trowave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other (describe)	Car Ste  None  Driveway #  Driveway Surface  Garage #  Carport #  Attached  Built-in	concrete for Cars 2 Concrete for Cars 2 For Cars 2 For Cars 0 Detached
	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator  Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Window Type Aluminum slide Some Disposal Mico Some Roof Surface Rooms Some Aluminum Slide Some Rooms Some Rooms Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Growave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of	Car Ste  None  Driveway #  Driveway Surface  Garage #  Carport #  Attached  Built-in	concrete for Cars 2 Concrete for Cars 2 For Cars 2 For Cars 0 Detached
	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator  Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Window Type Aluminum slide Some Disposal Mico Some Roof Surface Rooms Some Aluminum Slide Some Rooms Some Rooms Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Growave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:	Area Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of	Car Ste  None  Driveway #  Driveway Surface  Garage #  Carport #  Attached  Built-in	concrete con
	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator  Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Mindow Type Disposal Mindow Special energy-efficies  No Special energy-efficies	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Growave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:	Area Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the state	Car Sto None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A	for Cars 2 Concrete for Cars 2 for Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One  One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det.  Att.  S-Det./End Unit  Existing  Proposed  Under Const.  Design (Style)  Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances  Refrigerator  Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items)  Describe the condition of the property and data s  considered average in the opinion of the	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms s, etc.) No Special energy-effici	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Towave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the structurally sound. The	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  me quality of const e subjects overall	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms s, etc.) No Special energy-effici	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Towave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the structurally sound. The	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  me quality of const e subjects overall	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	Other (describe)  General Description  Units  One  One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type  Det.  Att.  S-Det./End Unit  Existing  Proposed  Under Const.  Design (Style)  Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances  Refrigerator  Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items)  Describe the condition of the property and data s  considered average in the opinion of the	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms s, etc.) No Special energy-effici	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Towave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the structurally sound. The	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  me quality of const e subjects overall	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms s, etc.) No Special energy-effici	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Towave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the structurally sound. The	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  me quality of const e subjects overall	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms s, etc.) No Special energy-effici	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Towave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the structurally sound. The	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  me quality of const e subjects overall	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items  Describe the condition of the property and data s  considered average in the opinion of the  average due to no interior inspection. Th  considered in this report.	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms s, etc.) No Special energy-effici	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Growave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:  eterioration, renovations, remode  a adequately maintained and  g due to no interior inspection	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the structurally sound. The sion. Any repairs/maintena	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  se quality of const e subjects overall ance required were	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items  Describe the condition of the property and data s  considered average in the opinion of the  average due to no interior inspection. The  considered in this report.  Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms s, etc.) No Special energy-effici	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Growave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:  eterioration, renovations, remode  a adequately maintained and  g due to no interior inspection	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the structurally sound. The sion. Any repairs/maintena	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  me quality of const e subjects overall	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items  Describe the condition of the property and data s  considered average in the opinion of the  average due to no interior inspection. Th  considered in this report.	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms s, etc.) No Special energy-effici	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Growave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:  eterioration, renovations, remode  a adequately maintained and  g due to no interior inspection	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the structurally sound. The sion. Any repairs/maintena	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  se quality of const e subjects overall ance required were	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items  Describe the condition of the property and data s  considered average in the opinion of the  average due to no interior inspection. The  considered in this report.  Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms s, etc.) No Special energy-effici	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Growave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:  eterioration, renovations, remode  a adequately maintained and  g due to no interior inspection	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the structurally sound. The sion. Any repairs/maintena	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  se quality of const e subjects overall ance required were	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items  Describe the condition of the property and data s  considered average in the opinion of the  average due to no interior inspection. The  considered in this report.  Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms s, etc.) No Special energy-effici	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Growave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:  eterioration, renovations, remode  a adequately maintained and  g due to no interior inspection	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the structurally sound. The sion. Any repairs/maintena	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  se quality of const e subjects overall ance required were	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items  Describe the condition of the property and data s  considered average in the opinion of the  average due to no interior inspection. The  considered in this report.  Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Dishwasher Disposal Mic 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms s, etc.) No Special energy-effici	Data Source for Gross Living  Heating/Cooling  FWA HWBB  Radiant  Other  Fuel Gas  Central Air Conditioning  Individual  Other  Growave Washer/Dryer  2.0 Bath(s)  ent items noted:  eterioration, renovations, remode  a adequately maintained and  g due to no interior inspection	Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of the structurally sound. The sion. Any repairs/maintena	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  se quality of const e subjects overall ance required were	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items  Describe the condition of the property and data seconsidered average in the opinion of the average due to no interior inspection. The considered in this report.  Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a lif Yes, describe.	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Source Shooms 2 Bedrooms Source(s) (including apparent needed repairs, descriptions) Appraiser. The subject property appear in esubject property was given a C3 rating adverse conditions that affect the livability, sour	Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Frowave Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) ent items noted: eterioration, renovations, remode adequately maintained and g due to no interior inspection	Area Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of Square F	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  re quality of const e subjects overall of ance required were  Yes No	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items  Describe the condition of the property and data s  considered average in the opinion of the  average due to no interior inspection. The  considered in this report.  Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Source Shooms 2 Bedrooms Source(s) (including apparent needed repairs, descriptions) Appraiser. The subject property appear in esubject property was given a C3 rating adverse conditions that affect the livability, sour	Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Frowave Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) ent items noted: eterioration, renovations, remode adequately maintained and g due to no interior inspection	Area Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of Square F	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  se quality of const e subjects overall ance required were	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is
	General Description  Units One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 1  Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit  Existing Proposed Under Const.  Design (Style) Traditional  Year Built 1952  Effective Age (Yrs) 20  Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven  Finished area above grade contains:  Additional features (special energy efficient items  Describe the condition of the property and data seconsidered average in the opinion of the average due to no interior inspection. The considered in this report.  Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a lif Yes, describe.	General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Stucco Roof Surface Comp. Shing. Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum Window Type Aluminum slide Source Shooms 2 Bedrooms Source(s) (including apparent needed repairs, descriptions) Appraiser. The subject property appear in esubject property was given a C3 rating adverse conditions that affect the livability, sour	Data Source for Gross Living Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Frowave Washer/Dryer 2.0 Bath(s) ent items noted: eterioration, renovations, remode adequately maintained and g due to no interior inspection	Area Assessor's off  Amenities  Fireplace(s) # 1  Woodstove(s) # 0  Patio/Deck Open  Porch Front  Pool None  Fence Block Wall  Other None  Other (describe)  1,519 Square Feet of Square F	Car Sto None None Driveway # Driveway Surface Garage # Carport # Attached Built-in  f Gross Living Area A  re quality of const e subjects overall of ance required were  Yes No	concrete conf Cars 2 Concrete conf Cars 2 conf Cars 0 Detached  bove Grade  ruction is condition is

There are 4 comparable	nronerties currently	offered for sale in	the subject neighborho	ond ranging in price	e from \$ 795,000		to \$ 870	0.000
					price from \$ 775,00			000,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT		LE SALE # 1		ABLE SALE # 2	10	COMPARABI	
-	SUBJECT							LE SALE # 3
Address 17154 Lanark St		16921 Lanark S		17043 Lorne S		1	Wish Ave	
Van Nuys, CA 91	406	Lake Balboa, CA	A 91406	Van Nuys, CA	91406	Lake	Balboa, CA	91406
Proximity to Subject		0.31 miles E		0.16 miles E		0.43 r	miles S	
Sale Price	\$		\$ 810,000		\$ 793,000			\$ 850,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 566.43 sq.ft.		\$ 586.54 sq.			525.02 sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)	·	CRMLS#SR230	•	CRMLS#22225				76081;DOM 8
Verification Source(s)		Doc#23-150497	10-101 ,DOW 20	Doc#23-01296			22-0934768	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
	DESCRIPTION		+ (-) ψ Aujustiniciit		+ (-) Ψ Aujustinont	_		T(-) \(\psi\) Aujustinont
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmL		
Concessions		Conv;5000		Cash;0		Conv		-7,500
Date of Sale/Time		s03/23;c02/23	-6,000	s03/23;c01/23	-7,000	s09/2	2;c08/22	-13,000
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res	s;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee S	Simple	
Site	6002 sf	6293 sf	0	6115 sf	0	7965		-4,000
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res		.,000
Design (Style)	DT1;Traditional	DT1;Traditional		DT1;Traditiona	.1	_	raditional	
- , - ,				· ·	II .	-	Taullionai	
Quality of Construction	Q3	Q3		Q3		Q3		_
Actual Age	71	71		71		73		0
Condition	C3	C3		C3		C2		-25,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Bath	ns	Total	Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	5 2 2.0	5 3 2.0	-2,500	5 3 2.0	-2,500	6	3 2.0	-2,500
Gross Living Area	1,519 sq.ft.	1,430 sq.ft.		· · · · · ·	ft. +8,000		1,619 sq.ft.	-5,000
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0sf	0,000	0sf	.,0.0	3,000
Rooms Below Grade	031	031		031		031		
Functional Utility	A	A		A		A		
	Average	Average		Average		Avera	-	
Heating/Cooling	FAU/CAC	FAU/CAC		FAU/CAC		FAU/		
Energy Efficient Items	None	Solar Power	-10,000	None			Power	-10,000
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	2ga2dw		2gd3dw	0	2gd2d	dw	0
Porch/Patio/Deck	Open Patio / Porch	Open Patio / Porch		Cvd Patio / Porch	0	Open F	Patio / Porch	
Amenities	None	None		None		None		
Fireplace	1 Fireplace	1 Fireplace		None	+2,000	1 Fire	enlace	
Blt-Ins	Blt-Ins	Blt-Ins		Blt-Ins	2,000	Blt-In:	•	
Net Adjustment (Total)	DICTION		\$ -23.500		\$ 500			\$ -67.000
INGLAUJUSLITIGIIL (TOLAI)			-,					
, ,							7 A 0/	
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 2.9 %		Net Adj. 0.1		Net Adj		
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables		Gross Adj. 2.9 %	\$ 786,500	Gross Adj. 2.5	%    \$ 793,500			
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables	he sale or transfer histo	Gross Adj. 2.9 %		Gross Adj. 2.5				
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables	he sale or transfer histo	Gross Adj. 2.9 %	\$ 786,500	Gross Adj. 2.5				
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables	he sale or transfer histo	Gross Adj. 2.9 %	\$ 786,500	Gross Adj. 2.5				
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I 🔀 did 🗌 did not research t		Gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject prope	\$ 786,500 erty and comparable sale	Gross Adj. 2.5 es. If not, explain		Gross A		
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did  did  did  did  did  did  d	not reveal any prior sale	Gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject so the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers or transfers of the subject properties or transfers or trans	\$ 786,500  orty and comparable sale  ubject property for the th	Gross Adj. 2.5 es. If not, explain ree years prior to the	%   \$ 793,500	Gross A		
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s) Data utilize	not reveal any prior sale in this report came	Gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the subject prom the public reference.	\$ 786,500  erty and comparable sale  ubject property for the the ecords, realquest, a	Gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain ree years prior to the	% \$ 793,500	Gross A		
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did research	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale	Gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the subject promption of the subject properties or transfers of the contractions of the contractions of the contractions of the subject properties or transfers of the contractions of t	\$ 786,500  erty and comparable sale  ubject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the years.	Gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain aree years prior to the and mls are prior to the date	%   \$ 793,500	Gross A		
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did  did  did  did  did  did  d	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came	Gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the public response from the public r	\$ 786,500  erty and comparable sale  ubject property for the the ecords, realquest, a emparable sales for the y ecords, realquest, a	Gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain aree years prior to the and mIs are prior to the date and mIs	% \$ 793,500 effective date of this app of sale of the comparable	raisal.	Adj. 7.9 %	
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research did  did not research did  did not research	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the control of the public responsible or transfers of the control of the public responsible or transfer history.	sty and comparable sales  abject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property	es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior	raisal.	Adj. 7.9 %	\$ 783,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research did  did not research did  did not research	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the public response from the public r	\$ 786,500  erty and comparable sale  ubject property for the the ecords, realquest, a emparable sales for the y ecords, realquest, a	es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal	% \$ 793,500 effective date of this app of sale of the comparable	raisal.	Adj. 7.9 %	
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research did  did not research did  did not research	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the control of the public responsible or transfers of the control of the public responsible or transfer history.	sty and comparable sales  abject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property	es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior	raisal.	Adj. 7.9 %	\$ 783,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research did  did not research did  did not research	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the control of the public responsible or transfers of the control of the public responsible or transfer history.	sty and comparable sales  abject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property	es. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior	raisal.	Adj. 7.9 %	\$ 783,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize Report the results of the research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior	Gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the control of the public responsible or transfers of the control of the public responsible or transfer history.	sty and comparable sales  abject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property	gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain aree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior	raisal.	Adj. 7.9 %	\$ 783,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  ory of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject promother public response or transfers of the content of the public response or transfer history of the public response or transfer history of the public response or transfer history of the public response of th	\$ 786,500  Prity and comparable sales  Abject property for the the ecords, realquest, as proparable sales for the secords, realquest, as y of the subject property  COMPARABLE SA	gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app  of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior  COMPARABLE SALE #3	raisal.	Adj. 7.9 % page 3). COMPA	\$ 783,000
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research a  TEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SU  CoreLogic 08/02/2023	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  ory of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject promother public response or transfers of the contract of the public response or transfer history of the public property of the public propert	\$ 786,500  erty and comparable sale  ubject property for the the ecords, realquest, a proparable sales for the y ecords, realquest, a y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic 08/02/2023	gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/6	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3	raisal.  e sale.  sales on	page 3).  COMPA  CoreLogic 08/02/2023	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject pro	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  ory of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable.	\$ 786,500  erty and comparable sale  ubject property for the the ecords, realquest, a proparable sales for the y ecords, realquest, a y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  sales A se	gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0 earch of past re	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indice	raisal. e sale. sales on 2	page 3). COMPA  CoreLogic 08/02/2023	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject pro involving the subj	gross Adj. 2.9 %  by of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable iject property. A series of the subject property.	sty and comparable sales  subject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  sales  A selearch of past reco	gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0 earch of past re	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indice	raisal. e sale. sales on 2	page 3). COMPA  CoreLogic 08/02/2023	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject pro involving the subj	gross Adj. 2.9 %  by of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable iject property. A series of the subject property.	sty and comparable sales  subject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  sales  A selearch of past reco	gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0 earch of past re	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indice	raisal. e sale. sales on 2	page 3). COMPA  CoreLogic 08/02/2023	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject pro involving the subj	gross Adj. 2.9 %  by of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable iject property. A series of the subject property.	sty and comparable sales  subject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  sales  A selearch of past reco	gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0 earch of past re	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indice	raisal. e sale. sales on 2	page 3). COMPA  CoreLogic 08/02/2023	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject pro involving the subj	gross Adj. 2.9 %  by of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable iject property. A series of the subject property.	sty and comparable sales  subject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  sales  A selearch of past reco	gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0 earch of past re	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indice	raisal. e sale. sales on 2	page 3). COMPA  CoreLogic 08/02/2023	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the compa	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior St.  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject prop involving the subject prop arable sales utilize	gross Adj. 2.9 %  ory of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property and comparable iject property. A s	sty and comparable sales  subject property for the the ecords, realquest, as omparable sales for the yecords, realquest, as y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  sales  A see earch of past reco	gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0 earch of past re	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indice	raisal. e sale. sales on 2	page 3). COMPA  CoreLogic 08/02/2023	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SU  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject pro involving the subject pro irrable sales utilize	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  ory of the subject property of the subject property and comparable piect property. A see in this appraisal	\$ 786,500  erty and comparable sale  ubject property for the the ecords, realquest, a proparable sales for the y ecords, realquest, a y of the subject property  COMPARABLE SA  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  Sales A se earch of past reco report.	gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0 earch of past re rds (did not) inc	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indice	raisal. e sale. sales on 2 cate ar	page 3). COMPA  CoreLogic 08/02/2023	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the compa	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior SL  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject pro involving th	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  ory of the subject property of the subject property and comparable perty and comparable in this appraisal	\$ 786,500  Prity and comparable sales  Ubject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the secords, realquest, a comparable sales for the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  Sales A secord of past records for past records.	gross Adj. 2.5 as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0 earch of past re rds (did not) inc	% \$ 793,500  eleffective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  election code (did not) indicate any recorded	raisal. e sale. sales on 2 cate ar title tra	page 3). COMPA  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 by recorded ansfer within	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  My research  did  did not research to the rese	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior SL  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject pro involving th	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  ory of the subject property of the subject property and comparable perty and comparable in this appraisal	\$ 786,500  Prity and comparable sales  Applied property for the the ecords, realquest, as omparable sales for the yecords, realquest, as y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  sales A sales A sale earch of past records report.	Gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc	% \$ 793,500  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded	raisal.  e sale.  sales on 2  cate ar title tra	page 3). COMPA  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 by recorded ansfer within	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  Report the results of the research the research to the r	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SU  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject prop involving the	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  by of the subject property of the subject property and comparable perty and comparable in this appraisal of the appraiser comparables are to the following characteristic and location within the Van Newson	\$ 786,500  Prity and comparable sales  Applied property for the the ecords, realquest, as omparable sales for the yecords, realquest, as y of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  Sales A seried past records as a search of past records as yellowed the property.  1,2, and 3 were given the greates as such as quality of construction, tuys/Lake Balboa communities of	Gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc	% \$ 793,500  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #;  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded	raisal.  e sale.  sales on  2  cate ar title tra  style property.  style property.	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales We ties, square footage rays/Balboa communit	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  Report the results of the research to th	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior  SU  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject proj involving the subject project projec	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable size or transfer history and comparable size or the following characteristic stand location within the Van Natruction, effective age, lot size or transfer size or transfer size or transfer history and comparable size or transfer size or transfer history and comparable size or tran	\$ 786,500  Prity and comparable sales  A supparable sales for the year of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  Sales  A supparable sales for the year of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  Sales  A supparable sales  A s	Gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc	% \$ 793,500  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #:  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type is 5.6 and 7 were four more sales fron are footage ranges, overall interior in	raisal.  e sale.  sales on  2  cate ar  title tra  style property.  style property  n the Van Nu  utility due to	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales Within total room counts, visited in the counts of the coun	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  My research  did  did not research to the rese	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior  SU  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject prop involving the subjec	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  by of the subject property of the subject property and comparable spect property and comparable spect property. A specific property. A specific property. A specific property and comparable spect property. A specific property and comparable specific property. A specific property and comparable specific property and comparable specific property and comparable specific property. A specific property and comparable specific property and co	\$ 786,500  Prity and comparable sales  Ibject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a yof the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  Sales A see earch of past records past records and a year given the greates is such as quality of construction, tuys/Lake Balboa communities of tot utility, similar one story traditions were selected in support of the	Gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es effective age, lot size/fot utili Los AngelesComparables 4, nal type style properties, sque e final estimate of market valide.	% \$ 793,500  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #:  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type is 5,6 and 7 were four more sales from tare footage ranges, overall interior in use for the subject property. Compare	raisal.  Sales on 2  Cate arritile training the Van Neutliity due to ables 8 and 9	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales Within the common counts, values of the counts, values of the counts, values of the counts of the	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  Report the results of the research to th	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior  SU  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject prop involving the subjec	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  by of the subject property of the subject property and comparable spect property and comparable spect property. A specific property. A specific property. A specific property and comparable spect property. A specific property and comparable specific property. A specific property and comparable specific property and comparable specific property and comparable specific property. A specific property and comparable specific property and co	\$ 786,500  Prity and comparable sales  Ibject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a yof the subject property  COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic  08/02/2023  Sales A see earch of past records past records and a year given the greates is such as quality of construction, tuys/Lake Balboa communities of tot utility, similar one story traditions were selected in support of the	Gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es effective age, lot size/fot utili Los AngelesComparables 4, nal type style properties, sque e final estimate of market valide.	% \$ 793,500  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #:  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type is 5,6 and 7 were four more sales from tare footage ranges, overall interior in use for the subject property. Compare	raisal.  Sales on 2  Cate arritile training the Van Neutliity due to ables 8 and 9	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales Within the common counts, values of the counts, values of the counts, values of the counts of the	\$ 783,000  RABLE SALE #3  title transfer
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  My research  did  did not research to the rese	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior  SU  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject prop involving the subjec	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  by of the subject property of the subject property and comparable spect property and comparable spect property. A specific property. A specific property. A specific property. A specific property and comparable spect property. A specific property and comparables are in this appraisal property and continuous property and comparables are to the following characteristic parea. These four additional sale ples that were considered good distribution, effective age, lot size of parea. These four additional sale ples that were considered good distributions and property and the similarities are considered good distributions.	\$ 786,500  Prity and comparable sales and comparable sales are some sales for the year sales are sales for the year sales are sales as a sales A	gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls  year prior to the date and mls  and comparable sal  ALE #1  Cor  08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es  effective age, lot size/lot utili  Los AngelesComparables 4, anal type style properties, sque e final estimate of market valiowing attributes such as qua  ye/slate Balboa communities of	% \$ 793,500  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #:  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type : 5,6 and 7 were four more sales from are footage ranges, overall interior or ue for the subject property. Compara- litty of construction, effective age, lof of Los Angeles. These two recent ac-	raisal.  Sales on 2  Cate arritle tra  cot property.  style propert in the Van Nututility due to ables 8 and 1 t size/lot utility tity listings.	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales We ties, square footage rays/Balboa communit total room counts, ve 9 were two recent act ty, similar one story to were chosen in supply	RABLE SALE #3  stitle transfer n the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total ies of Los Angeles that were arrying interior amenities/utility, an titve listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, ort of the final estimate of market
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  My research  did  did not research to the research to th	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SU  CoreLogic  08/02/2023 story of the subject proj involving the subject proj involving the subject proj involving the subject project projec	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  by of the subject property of the subject property and comparable spect property and comparable spect property. A specific property. A specific property. A specific property. A specific property and comparable spect property. A specific property and comparables are in this appraisal property and continuous property and comparables are to the following characteristic parea. These four additional sale ples that were considered good distribution, effective age, lot size of parea. These four additional sale ples that were considered good distributions and property and the similarities are considered good distributions.	\$ 786,500  Prity and comparable sales and comparable sales are some sales for the year sales are sales for the year sales are sales as a sales A	gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls  year prior to the date and mls  and comparable sal  ALE #1  Cor  08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es  effective age, lot size/lot utili  Los AngelesComparables 4, anal type style properties, sque e final estimate of market valiowing attributes such as qua  ye/slate Balboa communities of	% \$ 793,500  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #:  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type : 5,6 and 7 were four more sales from are footage ranges, overall interior to the for the subject property. Compara- lity of construction, effective age, lot	raisal.  Sales on 2  Cate arritle tra  cot property.  style propert in the Van Nututility due to ables 8 and 1 t size/lot utility tity listings.	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales We ties, square footage rays/Balboa communit total room counts, ve 9 were two recent act ty, similar one story to were chosen in supply	RABLE SALE #3  stitle transfer n the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total ies of Los Angeles that were arrying interior amenities/utility, an titve listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, ort of the final estimate of market
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  My research  did  did not research to the rese	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior  SU  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject prop involving the subjec	Gross Adj. 2.9 %  by of the subject property of the subject property and comparable spect property and comparable spect property. A specific property. A specific property. A specific property. A specific property and comparable spect property. A specific property and comparables are in this appraisal property and continuous property and comparables are to the following characteristic parea. These four additional sale ples that were considered good distribution, effective age, lot size of parea. These four additional sale ples that were considered good distributions and property and the similarities are considered good distributions.	\$ 786,500  Prity and comparable sales and comparable sales are some sales for the year sales are sales for the year sales are sales as a sales A	gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls  year prior to the date and mls  and comparable sal  ALE #1  Cor  08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es  effective age, lot size/lot utili  Los AngelesComparables 4, anal type style properties, sque e final estimate of market valiowing attributes such as qua  ye/slate Balboa communities of	% \$ 793,500  e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #:  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type : 5,6 and 7 were four more sales from are footage ranges, overall interior or ue for the subject property. Compara- litty of construction, effective age, lof of Los Angeles. These two recent ac-	raisal.  Sales on 2  Cate arritle tra  cot property.  style propert in the Van Nututility due to ables 8 and 1 t size/lot utility tity listings.	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales We ties, square footage rays/Balboa communit total room counts, ve 9 were two recent act ty, similar one story to were chosen in supply	RABLE SALE #3  stitle transfer n the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total ies of Los Angeles that were arrying interior amenities/utility, an titve listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, ort of the final estimate of market
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  My research  did  did not research to the research to th	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SU  CoreLogic  08/02/2023 story of the subject project involving the subject project p	gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject proper sort ransfers of the subject proper sort ransfers of the subject proper sort ransfers of the contract of the public resort ransfer history and comparable spect property. A set in this appraisal subject property and comparables are to the following characteristic as and location within the Van Nestruction, effective age, lot size/granea. These four additional sale gales that were considered good market appeal to the similarities of Clear Capital.com 90,000	\$ 786,500  Prity and comparable sales and comparable sales are some sales for the year sales are sales for the year sales are sales as a sales A	Gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es effective age, lot size/lot utili Los AngelesComparables 4, nal type style properties, sque final estimate of market valuowing attributes such as qua ys/late Balboa communities of 56 See attac	% \$ 793,500  eleffective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type of the subject property. Compare interpretation of the subject property. Compare interpretative of the subject property. Compare inty of construction, effective age, lot of Los Angeles. These two recent ac hed supplementa	raisal.  sales on  title tra  ct property.  style property  t size/lot utility  tt size/lot utility  adde	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales We ties, square footage rays/Balboa communit total room counts, ve 9 were two recent act ty, similar one story to were chosen in supply	RABLE SALE #3  stitle transfer In the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total ies of Los Angeles that were arying interior amenities/utility, an tive listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, ort of the final estimate of market et:
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research telegraphy of the resear	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject pro involving the s	gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject proper sets or transfers of the subject proper sets or transfers of the content of the public respective of th	sty and comparable sales and comparable sales and comparable sales are supparable sales for the supparable sales and supparable sales A supparable sales A supparable sales A supparable such as quality of construction, supsalake Balboa communities of the supparable sales and location within the Van Number and Inc.: California #12  Cost Approach (if development)	gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls  year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal  ALE #1  Cor  08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final est effective age, lot size/lot utili  Los AngelesComparables 4, nal type style properties, sque e final estimate of market vali cowing attributes such as qua ys/slate Balboa communities of 56 See attace	% \$ 793,500  eleffective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type of the subject property. Compare lity of construction, effective age, lot of Los Angeles. These two recent ac hed supplementa	raisal.  Sales on  Cate ar  title tra  title tra  to style property.  Style property of the Van Nu  utility due to ables 8 and 9  t size/lot utility stive listings.  I adde	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 Dy recorded ansfer within  These three sales We lies, square footage ra uys/Balboa communit total room counts, ve 9 were two recent act lity, similar one story to were chosen in supp	RABLE SALE #3  Stitle transfer In the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total dies of Los Angeles that were arying interior amenities/utility, an tive listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, ort of the final estimate of market et:
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  My research  did  did not research the research to the source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the compared the research to the research to the research to the subject of the subject of the 45 freeway in the late B square footage ranges, overall interior utility, variable for the subject property.  Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: S	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject project involving the similarities of features such as quality of consent within the immediate marketing status and the similarities of the similarities	gross Adj. 2.9 % by of the subject property of the subject property and comparable are the public results or transfer history and comparable are the public results or transfer history and comparable are the public results or transfer history and comparable are the public results of the public results and property. As are in this appraisal are the following characteristic as and location within the Van Nestruction, effective age, lot size/grarea. These four additional sale peles that were considered good of market appeal to the similarities of Clear Capital.com 90,000 790,000 mployed in this results are property.	sty and comparable sales and comparable sales are supparable sales for the supparable sales and sales for the supparable	Gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal  ALE #1  Cor 08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es effective age, lot size/lot utili Los AngelesComparables 4, nal type style properties, sque efinal estimate of market vali owing attributes such as qua ys/late Balboa communities of 56 See attace  eloped) \$ 810, approach was i	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type is 5,6 and 7 were four more sales from tare footage ranges, overall interior in use for the subject property. Compara lifty of construction, effective age, lof of Los Angeles. These two recent acc hed supplementa  000 Income App not utilized due to a	Gross A  raisal.  Sales on  Cate ar  title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  raisal.  Cate and title tra  raisal.  Cate and title tra  raisal.	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 Dy recorded ansfer within  These three sales Welles, square footage rays/Balboa communit total room counts, va 9 were two recent act ty, similar one story to were chosen in supplementary if developed) \$ for rental income	RABLE SALE #3  Stitle transfer In the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total ies of Los Angeles that were arrying interior amenities/utility, an tive listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, out of the final estimate of market et:  Some properties.
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research telegraphy of the resear	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject project involving the similarities of features such as quality of consent within the immediate marketing status and the similarities of the similarities	gross Adj. 2.9 % by of the subject property of the subject property and comparable are the public results or transfer history and comparable are the public results or transfer history and comparable are the public results or transfer history and comparable are the public results of the public results and property. As are in this appraisal are the following characteristic as and location within the Van Nestruction, effective age, lot size/grarea. These four additional sale peles that were considered good of market appeal to the similarities of Clear Capital.com 90,000 790,000 mployed in this results are property.	sty and comparable sales and comparable sales are supparable sales for the supparable sales and sales for the supparable	Gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal  ALE #1  Cor 08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es effective age, lot size/lot utili Los AngelesComparables 4, nal type style properties, sque efinal estimate of market vali owing attributes such as qua ys/late Balboa communities of 56 See attace  eloped) \$ 810, approach was i	% \$ 793,500  effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3  eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type is 5,6 and 7 were four more sales from tare footage ranges, overall interior in use for the subject property. Compara lifty of construction, effective age, lof of Los Angeles. These two recent acc hed supplementa  000 Income App not utilized due to a	Gross A  raisal.  Sales on  Cate ar  title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  raisal.  Cate and title tra  raisal.  Cate and title tra  raisal.	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 Dy recorded ansfer within  These three sales Welles, square footage rays/Balboa communit total room counts, va 9 were two recent act ty, similar one story to were chosen in supplementary if developed) \$ for rental income	RABLE SALE #3  Stitle transfer In the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total ies of Los Angeles that were arrying interior amenities/utility, an tive listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, out of the final estimate of market et:  Some properties.
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the comparate involving the comparate involving the comparate involving the comparate involving the search and continuation of the subject property.  Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparate Indicated Value Indicated Value by: Sales Comparate Indicated Value Indicated Valu	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject project involving the similarities of features such as quality of consequence in within the immediate marketing stational value of the similarities of features such as quality of consequence in the similarities of the project involving interior amenities/utility, and AMC Registration on Approach \$ 75 arison Approach were else given the greater	gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject proper sets or transfers of the subject proper sets or transfers of the subject proper sets or transfers of the content of the public resident of the public resident of transfer history. BEJECT perty and comparable sets or transfer history. BEJECT perty and comparable sets in this appraisal set in this appraisal sets of the following characteristic sets and location within the Van Neutrotion, effective age, lot size/graea. These four additional sale peles that were considered good market appeal to the similarities of the content of the similarities of the content of the public resident of the similarities of the content of the public resident of the similarities of the content of the similarities of the content	sty and comparable sales of the subject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the subject property of the subject property comparable sales for the subject property comparable sales for the subject property comparable sales as a subject property comparable sales as a subject property comparable sales as a subject property comparable sales as such as quality of construction, subject and a such as quality of construction, subject as such as quality of construction, subject as such as quality of construction, subject as and location within the Van Nursian Inc. California #12  Cost Approach (if development of the incomparable sales it reflects to the sales and location within the Van Nursian Inc. California #12  Cost Approach (if development in the sales it reflects to the sales and location within the van Nursian Inc. California #12	Gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0 earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es effective age, lot size/lot utili Los AngelesComparables 4, nal type style properties, squ e final estimate of market vali owing attributes such as qua ye/late Balboa communities of 56 See attace  eloped) \$ 810, approach was in the actions of the	## 1993,500  ## effective date of this app  ## of sale of the comparable  ## es (report additional prior  ## COMPARABLE SALE ##  ## eLogic  ## or comparable  ## or the subject property. Comparable  ## of the subject property. Comparable	raisal.  sales on  cate ar title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  adde  broach (i  lack o  seller i	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales Within  Thes	RABLE SALE #3  Stitle transfer In the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total ies of Los Angeles that were anying interior amenities/utility, an tive listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, out of the final estimate of market et:  Some properties. estate market.
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the comparate involving the comparate involving the comparate involving the comparate involving the search and continuation market appeal due to the similarities and location market area West of the 45 freeway in the late B square footage ranges, overall interior utility, variable for the subject property.  Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis Indicated Value Indicat	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject project involving the similarities of features such as quality of consense within the immediate marketing statutes such as quality of consense within the immediate marketing statutes such as quality of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the project involving the similarities of the project involving the subject to the project in the	gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject proper sets or transfers of the subject proper sets or transfers of the subject proper sets or transfers of the content of the public residence of transfer history and comparable sets or transfer history and comparable sets or transfer history and comparable sets in this appraisal sets of the following characteristic sets and location within the Van Neutrotion, effective age, lot size of the similarities of the similarities of the considered good market appeal to the similarities of the Clear Capital.com 190,000 mployed in this regregation of the completion per plans or completion per plans	sty and comparable sales of the year of the subject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the year of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 Sales A so earch of past records and 3 were given the greates as such as quality of construction, unys/Lake Balboa communities of lot utility, similar one story traditions were selected in support of the dimatch paired data due to the follows and location within the Van Nurth Inc: California #12  Cost Approach (if developer of the income eccause it reflects to sand specifications of the sales and specifications of the	Gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0 earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es effective age, lot size/lot utili Los AngelesComparables 4, nal type style properties, squ e final estimate of market vali owing attributes such as qua ye/late Balboa communities of See attac eloped) \$ 810, approach was in the actions of the	effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE # eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type ty to the subject property. Compara lifty of construction, effective age, lof of Los Angeles. These two recent ac hed supplementa  000 Income App not utilized due to a le typical buyer and	raisal.  sales on  cate ar title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  raisal.  at the ii	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales Within  Thes	RABLE SALE #3  Stitle transfer In the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total ies of Los Angeles that were anying interior amenities/utility, an tive listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, out of the final estimate of market et:  Some properties. estate market.  have been
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Tem  did  did  did not research to the the research to	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject project involving the similarities of features such as quality of consense within the immediate marketing statutes such as quality of consense within the immediate marketing statutes such as quality of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense with the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of con	gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject proper sets or transfers of the subject proper sets or transfers of the subject proper sets or transfers of the content of the public resident of the public resident of transfer history and comparable sets or transfer history and comparable sets in this appraisal set of the following characteristic sets and location within the Van Neutrotion, effective age, lot sizely area. These four additional sale sets that were considered good market appeal to the similarities of the content of the similarities of the content of the public resident of the similarities of the content of the c	sty and comparable sales of the year of the subject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the year of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 Sales A see earch of past records and 3 were given the greates as such as quality of construction, unys/Lake Balboa communities of lot utility, similar one story traditions were selected in support of the dimatch paired data due to the follows and location within the Van Number of the control of the dimatch paired data due to the follows and location within the Van Number of Inc.: California #12  Cost Approach (if developerations of a hypothetical costs of a hypothetical costs of a hypothetical costs of a hypothetical costs of a hypothetical costs.	gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es effective age, lot size/lot utili Los AngelesComparables 4, nal type style properties, squ e final estimate of market vali owing attributes such as qua ye/late Balboa communities of See attac  eloped) \$ 810, approach was in the actions of the ondition that the re-	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded dicate any recorded estimate of market value for the subject y, similar one story traditional type is 5.6 and 7 were four more sales from lare footage ranges, overall interior use for the subject property. Comparatify of construction, effective age, lot of Los Angeles. These two recent ached supplementa  000 Income App not utilized due to a get typical buyer and	raisal.  sales on  cate ar title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  raisal.  at the ii	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales Within  Thes	RABLE SALE #3  Stitle transfer In the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total ies of Los Angeles that were anying interior amenities/utility, an tive listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, out of the final estimate of market et:  Some properties. estate market.  have been
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  My research  did  did not research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the comparate involving the comparate involving the comparate involving the comparate involving the search and continuation market appeal due to the similarities and location market area West of the 45 freeway in the late B square footage ranges, overall interior utility, variable for the subject property.  Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis Indicated Value Indicat	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject project involving the similarities of features such as quality of consense within the immediate marketing statutes such as quality of consense within the immediate marketing statutes such as quality of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense with the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of consense within the immediate marketing statutes and the similarities of con	gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject proper sets or transfers of the subject proper sets or transfers of the subject proper sets or transfers of the content of the public resident of the public resident of transfer history and comparable sets or transfer history and comparable sets in this appraisal set of the following characteristic sets and location within the Van Neutrotion, effective age, lot sizely area. These four additional sale sets that were considered good market appeal to the similarities of the content of the similarities of the content of the public resident of the similarities of the content of the c	sty and comparable sales of the year of the subject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the year of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 Sales A see earch of past records and 3 were given the greates as such as quality of construction, unys/Lake Balboa communities of lot utility, similar one story traditions were selected in support of the dimatch paired data due to the follows and location within the Van Number of the control of the dimatch paired data due to the follows and location within the Van Number of Inc.: California #12  Cost Approach (if developerations of a hypothetical costs of a hypothetical costs of a hypothetical costs of a hypothetical costs of a hypothetical costs.	gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mIs year prior to the date and mIs and comparable sal ALE #1  Cor 08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es effective age, lot size/lot utili Los AngelesComparables 4, nal type style properties, squ e final estimate of market vali owing attributes such as qua ye/late Balboa communities of See attac  eloped) \$ 810, approach was in the actions of the ondition that the re-	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded dicate any recorded estimate of market value for the subject y, similar one story traditional type is 5.6 and 7 were four more sales from lare footage ranges, overall interior use for the subject property. Comparatify of construction, effective age, lot of Los Angeles. These two recent ached supplementa  000 Income App not utilized due to a get typical buyer and	raisal.  sales on  cate ar title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  raisal.  at the ii	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales Within  Thes	RABLE SALE #3  Stitle transfer In the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total ies of Los Angeles that were anying interior amenities/utility, an tive listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, out of the final estimate of market et:  Some properties. estate market.  have been
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Tem  did  did not research t  Data Source(s)  Data utilize  Report the results of the research the research to the subject property.  Summary of Sales Comparison Ap  Nuys/balboa Lake area of Los Angeles were cor room counts, varying interior amenities/utility, and considered good paired data due to the following market area West of the 45 freeway in the late B square footage ranges, overall interior utility, variable for the subject property.  Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis Indicated,  subject to the following required inspection bas	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject property involving the subject property in the property in the property involving interior amenities/utility, and AMC Registration on Approach \$ 75 arison Approach \$ 75 arison Approach were end in given the greater steel on the extraordina seed on the extraordina seed on the extraordina seed on the extraordina seed in the straordina seed in the seed and analysis of the prior seed in the subject to following repairs or a seed on the extraordina seed in the extraordina seed in the straordina seed in the extraordina seed in the straordina seed in the	gross Adj. 2.9 % ory of the subject proper sets or transfers of the subject proper sets or transfers of the content of the public respective of transfer history and comparable sets or transfer history and comparable sets or transfer history and comparable sets in this appraisal sets of the content of the appraiser comparables are to the following characteristic sets and location within the Van Neutrotion, effective age, lot sizely area. These four additional sale sets that were considered good of market appeal to the similarities of Clear Capital.com 190,000 mployed in this regression of the basiny assumption that the completion per plansalterations on the basiny assumption that the content of the subject of the subj	sty and comparable sales of the year of the subject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the year of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 Sales A see earch of past recorreport.  1.2, and 3 were given the greates as such as quality of construction, tuys/Lake Balboa communities of lot utility, similar one story traditiones were selected in support of the dimatch paired data due to the follows and location within the Van Number of the dimatch paired data due to the follows and location within the Van Number of the dimatch paired data due to the follows and location within the Van Number of the dimatch paired data due to the follows and location within the Van Number of the dimatch paired data due to the follows and location within the Van Number of the dimatch paired data due to the follows and specifications of the condition of deficie the condition or deficie	Gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls  year prior to the date and mls  and comparable sal  ALE #1  Cor  08/0  earch of past re  rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final es  effective age, lot size/lot utili  Los AngelesComparables 4, anal type style properties, sque  final estimate of market valicowing attributes such as qual  ys/late Balboa communities of  See attac  eloped) \$ 810, approach was in  the actions of the  nother basis of a londition that the re  noty does not require	effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type is 5.6 and 7 were four more sales fron are footage ranges, overall interior in ue for the subject property. Compare lifty of construction, effective age, lot of Los Angeles. These two recent ac hed supplementa  000 Income App not utilized due to a e typical buyer and nypothetical condition the apairs or alterations hav re alteration or repair:	raisal.  Sales on 2  Cate ar title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  title tra  lack property.  style property utility due to ables 8 and st tsize/lot utility due	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023 by recorded ansfer within  These three sales We ties, square footage re uys/Balboa communit total room counts, ve 9 were two recent act ity, similar one story to were chosen in supp	RABLE SALE #3  Stitle transfer In the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total ies of Los Angeles that were arying interior amenities/utility, an tive listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, out of the final estimate of market et:  Some properties. estate market. have been subject to the
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  I  did  did not research t  My research  did  did not research t  Tem  did  did  did not research to the the research to	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SL CoreLogic 08/02/2023 story of the subject project involving the similarities of features such as quality of consense within the immediate marketing reduced to the similaritie of features such as quality of consense within the immediate marketing reduced to the involving interior amenities/utility, and AMC Registration on Approach \$\frac{AMC}{2} \text{ agistration} \text{ approach \$\frac{AMC}{2} \text{ agistration} \text{ approach \$\frac{AMC}{2} \text{ agistration} \text{ agistration}  approach were ending the given the greater such as the project to following repairs or a set on the extraordina of the exterior are.	gross Adj. 2.9 % bry of the subject proper sets or transfers of the subject proper sets or transfers of the content of the public restriction of the public restriction of the appraiser comparable sets in this appraisal set of the following characteristic sets and location within the Van Nestruction, effective age, lot size and a reaction of the appraiser comparables are to the following characteristic sets and location within the Van Nestruction, effective age, lot size and a reaction of the appraiser comparables are an effective age, lot size and properly and the similarities of the considered good market appeal to the similarities of the consideration because of the subject of the subj	sty and comparable sales and comparable sales and comparable sales for the secords, realquest, a comparable sales for the secords and second comparable sales and second comparable sales and second comparable second comparable second comparable sales and second comparable sales and specifications of the condition or deficie corporerty from at lease corporerty	gross Adj. 2.5  as. If not, explain  ree years prior to the and mls  year prior to the date and mls and comparable sal  ALE #1  Cor  08/0  earch of past re rds (did not) inc  at consideration in the final est effective age, lot size/lot utili  Los AngelesComparables 4, nal type style properties, sque e final estimate of market vali cowing attributes such as qua ys/slate Balboa communities of See attac  eloped) \$ 810, approach was in the actions of the in the basis of a londition that the re incy does not requi-  st the street, defire	e effective date of this app of sale of the comparable es (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 eLogic 02/2023 cords (did not) indic dicate any recorded  stimate of market value for the subje ty, similar one story traditional type of the subject property. Compara lity of construction, effective age, lof of Los Angeles. These two recent ac hed supplementa  000 Income App not utilized due to a le typical buyer and hypothetical condition the pairs or alterations have tre alteration or repair:	raisal.  sales on  cate ar title tra  tatement  tatement	page 3).  COMPAI  CoreLogic 08/02/2023  These three sales We the sales within the sales were two recent act the sales were two recent act the sales were chosen in suppresended and sales were chosen in suppresended if developed) \$  If rental incoming the real emprovements of the real emprovements of the sales were completed, or the sales were completed.	RABLE SALE #3  Stitle transfer In the past three  est of the 405 freeway in the Van anges, interior utility, due to total dies of Los Angeles that were arying interior amenities/utility, an titve listings from the immediate raditional type style properties, ort of the final estimate of market et:  Some properties. estate market. have been subject to the  ions and limiting

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 2 of 6

53553 File # 34460635

I"I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other ca within the three year period immediately preceding acceptance of			
		is the subject of this re	port
	ırııs assignment."		
A reasonable exposure time for the subject property developed in	dependently from the stated marke	eting time is 30 days.	
The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client. Unle intended users. The intended use is to evaluate the property that is subject to stated scope of work, purpose of the appraisal, reportin	s the subject of this appraisal for a	mortgage finance tran	saction,
market value.No additional intended users are identified by the ap		port form, and definition	11 01
Appraisal Fee \$220			
Some data utilized in this report was older than six months fr		ort. It was necessary	to use
this older data due to a lack of match paired data in the imme	diate market area at this time.		
The square footage was rounded off to the nearest thousand.			
Special assessment taxes are voter approved. Special assess special assessments paid for flood control service open space			
trauma and emergency services. The special assessment tax			port
have similar type special assessments. The special assessment assessment tax statement	ent tax is mailed with the real est	tate real property	
ANSI (American National Standards Institute) Measur	ing Standard for magazing	coloulating and	
reporting GLA and non-GLA areas of subject propert appraisal report.			in this
ANSI Declaration: Subject property is a one-story det			
finish square feet - grade finish 1519 square feet. In a Garage.	daition the property include	S a 400 SI Allacile	u z Gai
COST ADDDOACH TO VALUE			
COST AFFROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.		
	ns. mating site value)	good land sales the abstraction	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was	ns.  mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improseutilized. The extracted site value range arour	ovements, and then subtracts t nd it was comparable sale two	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour.  Any new construction would require tearing described in the construction would require tearing described in the construction.	overments, and then subtracts to ad it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.	this
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour.  Any new construction would require tearing described in the construction would require tearing described in the construction.	ovements, and then subtracts t nd it was comparable sale two	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparable estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour.  Any new construction would require tearing double of the properties of t	overments, and then subtracts to add it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.   195.00 = \$	this 514,277
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improserutilized. The extracted site value range arour and properties of the improserution would require tearing of the properties of the propertie	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$ = \$ = \$	514,277 296,205
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparable estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improseutilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing dopinion of SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$	overments, and then subtracts to add it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.   195.00 = \$	this 514,277
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two down existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$	514,277 296,205 30,000 326,205
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated.	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  130,482	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two down existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$ = \$ 75.00 = \$ External = \$(	514,277 296,205 30,000 326,205
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two down existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$	514,277 296,205 30,000 326,205
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized.	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	Description	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparable estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements	Description	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	Description	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723 100,000 810,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  O Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	Description   Percent	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723 100,000 810,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTION OR  REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data  Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook  Quality rating from cost service  Average  Effective date of cost data  08/2023  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  50 Years    INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE   Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)   PROJECT INFORMATION   Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Yes	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse sutilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  IFOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached  Attack	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$ = \$ 75.00 = \$ External = \$ ( = \$ = \$ ] = \$    Indicated Value by Incomediate with the subtracts of the su	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723 100,000 810,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value of the land, comparable two was statistically stated in the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated.  The abstraction approach was utilized.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  50 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse sutilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  IFOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached  Attack	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$ = \$ 75.00 = \$ External = \$ ( = \$ = \$ ] = \$    Indicated Value by Incomediate with the subtracts of the su	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723 100,000 810,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTION OR  REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data  Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook  Quality rating from cost service  Average  Effective date of cost data  08/2023  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  50 Years    INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE   Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)   PROJECT INFORMATION   Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Yes	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse sutilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  IFOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached  Attack	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$ = \$ 75.00 = \$ External = \$ ( = \$ = \$ ] = \$    Indicated Value by Incomediate with the subtracts of the su	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723 100,000 810,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook  Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  50 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented  Total number of units for sale	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse sutilized. The extracted site value range arour any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  IFOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attact and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit Total number of units sold  Data source(s)	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$ = \$ 75.00 = \$ External = \$ ( = \$ = \$ ] = \$    Indicated Value by Incomediate with the subtracts of the su	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723 100,000 810,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated.  The abstraction approach was utilized.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  50 Years    INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse sutilized. The extracted site value range arour any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional  Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  I FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attack and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$ = \$ 75.00 = \$ External = \$ ( = \$ = \$ ] = \$    Indicated Value by Incomediate with the subtracts of the su	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723 100,000 810,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated.  The abstraction approach was utilized.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse sutilized. The extracted site value range arour any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  IFOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attact and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit Total number of units sold  Data source(s)	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$ = \$ 75.00 = \$ External = \$ ( = \$ = \$ ] = \$    Indicated Value by Incomediate with the subtracts of the su	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723 100,000 810,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 08/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  O Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical  Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  I FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached  Attaction Attaction Attaction and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$ = \$ 75.00 = \$ External = \$ ( = \$ = \$ ] = \$    Indicated Value by Incomediate with the subtracts of the su	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723 100,000 810,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estivate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable two was \$515,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTION OR  REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data  Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook  Quality rating from cost service  Average  Effective date of cost data  08/2023  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated.  The abstraction approach was utilized.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  50  Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$	mating site value)  Due to a lack of good properties, estimates the value of the improse utilized. The extracted site value range arour. Any new construction would require tearing of OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,519 Sq.Ft. @ \$  O Sq.Ft. @ \$  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical  Depreciation  130,482  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  I FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached  Attaction Attaction Attaction and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion	overments, and then subtracts to did it was comparable sale two lown existing buildings.  = \$ 195.00 = \$ = \$ 75.00 = \$ External = \$ ( = \$ = \$ ] = \$    Indicated Value by Incomediate with the subtracts of the su	30,000 326,205 130,482) 195,723 100,000 810,000

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

53553 File # 34460635

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER // D	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Robert Bronley	Name
Company Name The Appraisal Shoppe	Company Name
Company Address 22607 Collins St	Company Address
Woodland Hills, CA 91367	
Telephone Number (818) 715-0051	Telephone Number
Email Address appraisalshoppe1@aol.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 08/02/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal <u>08/02/2023</u>	State Certification #
State Certification #	or State License #
or State License # AG004659	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License <u>09/26/2024</u>	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
17154 Lanark St	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
	Date of Inspection
Van Nuys, CA 91406 APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 790,000	·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COMPARABLE SALES
LENDER/CLIENT	OOMI / III/IDEE O/LEO
Name Clear Capital	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc.	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach , CA 90278	•
Email Address AMC Registration Clear Capital.com Inc: California #1256	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 53553 File # 34460635

FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 4	COMPA	ARABL	E SALE # 5		COMPARABL	E SALE # 6
Address 17154 Lanark St		7308 Genesta A	ve	6742 Jellico	Ave		1724	5 Kittridge S	it .
Van Nuys, CA 91	406	Lake Balboa, CA	A 91406	Lake Balboa	a, CA	91406	Lake	Balboa, CA	91406
Proximity to Subject		1.03 miles S		1.76 miles S	SW			niles S	
Sale Price	\$		\$ 855,000			\$ 780,000			\$ 785,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 585.22 sq.ft.		\$ 463.46	sa.ft.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		527.55 sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)		CRMLS#SR2306				9472;DOM 100			6301;DOM 5
Verification Source(s)		Doc#23-0328290		Doc#23-009				23-0320384	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTIO		+(-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	DECOTIN TION	ArmLth	i ( ) ψ / idjdotinont	ArmLth		i ( ) Ψ / (α)ασαποπε	ArmL		i ( ) φ riajaotinont
Concessions			F 000						
Date of Sale/Time		Conv;5800	-5,800	Conv;0	22	0.000	Conv		
	ND	s05/23;c04/23		s02/23;c01/2				3;c04/23	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		A;BsyRd;Blks	School	+25,000			
Loaschold/Too oimpic	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple				Simple	
Site	6002 sf	6463 sf	0	5904 sf		0	8100		-4,000
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Re	s;	
Design (Style)	DT1;Traditional	DT1;Traditional		DT1;Traditio	onal		DT1;	Fraditional	
Quality of Construction	Q3	Q3		Q3			Q3		
Actual Age	71	72	0	73		0	75		0
Condition	C3	C2	-25,000	C3			C3		
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. I	Baths		Total	Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	5 2 2.0	5 3 2.0	-2,500	6 3	2.0	-2,500	6	4 2.0	-5,000
Gross Living Area	1,519 sq.ft.	1,461 sq.ft.		· · · · · ·		-8,000		1,488 sq.ft.	0,000
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0sf	- 7	3,000	0sf	.,	0
Rooms Below Grade	031	VSI		031			031		
	A	A		A. (a. : - : : :			Δ		
Functional Utility	Average	Average	-	Average			Avera	.,	
Heating/Cooling	FAU/CAC	FAU/CAC		FAU/CAC			FAU/		+10,000
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None			None		
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	2ga2dw		2ga2dw			1gd1	cp2dw	+10,000
Porch/Patio/Deck	Open Patio / Porch	Open Patio / Porch		Open Patio / Po	orch		Open F	Patio / Porch	
Amenities	None	None		None			None		
Fireplace	1 Fireplace	1 Fireplace		1 Fireplace			1 Fire	place	
Blt-Ins	Blt-Ins	Blt-Ins		Blt-Ins			Blt-In	•	
Net Adjustment (Total)	2.15		\$ -33,300		٦ - 1	\$ 6,500			\$ 11,000
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 3.9 %			0.8 %	- 0,000	Net Adi		+ 11,000
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 3.9 %			5.6 %	\$ 786,500			\$ 796,000
Report the results of the research a	l and analysis of the prio								Ψ 790,000
ITEM		JBJECT	COMPARABLE SA			OMPARABLE SALE # !			ABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	31	JBJEGT	GUIVIFANABLE SA	LC # 4	00	JIVIFANADLE SALE # ;	0	UUIVIFAN	ADLE SALE # 0
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer									
Data Source(s)	CoreLogic		CoreLogic	_	CoreL			CoreLogic	
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	08/02/2023		08/02/2023			/2023		08/02/2023	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	story of the subject pro	perty and comparable s	sales A s	earch of past	t reco	rds (did not) indic	ate ar	ny recorded	title transfer
within the last three years	involving the sub	ject property. A se	earch of past reco	rds (did not) i	indica	ate any recorded	title tra	ansfer withi	n the past three
years involving the compa	rable sales utilize	in this appraisal	report.						
Analysis/Comments									
,,									
1									
5									

FEATURE	$\overline{}$	SUBJEC	T		COME	PARAB	BLE SALE # 7	7			PARABI	E SALE # 8			COM		E SALE #	a
Address 17154 Lanark St		OODOLO	' '	150/	7 Hart				1712		ark St			7320	Wish		L OTTLL //	9
Van Nuys, CA 91					Vuys,							91406					91406	
Proximity to Subject	400				miles :		1400			miles		31400		0.97			31400	
Sale Price	\$			2.17	miles .	SE	\$	800,000		miles		\$ 850,			nnes	<u> </u>	\$	797,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$		sq.ft.	¢ ,	689.66	e caft		800,000		594.4		Ψ 650,	000		327.56	e caft	Ψ	191,000
Data Source(s)	Ψ		oq.ii.									1000.DOM 1	,				E220.DC	M 20
Verification Source(s)							2124;DOM	<b> </b>				24889;DOM 12					:5228;DC	IVI ZU
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS		ESCRIPT	ION		23-37 SCRIPTI		+(-) \$ Ad	livotmont		oc Se SCRIPTI	lected	+ (-) \$ Adjustm	ont		oc Se SCRIPT	lected		livetment
	U	ESUNIFI	IUN			UN	+(-) \$ AU	justinent			IUN	+(-) \$ Aujustiii	UIIL			IUN	+(-) \$ A	ijustinent
Sales or Financing				ArmL					Listin	_				Listin	_			4- 000
Concessions				Conv	•				Conv	•		-18,	000	Conv				-17,000
Date of Sale/Time					23;c06	/23			Activ					Activ				
Location	N;R			N;Re					N;Re					N;Re				
Leasehold/Fee Simple		Simple	9		Simple	)				Simple	9				Simple	)		
Site	600			8249	sf			-4,500	6017	sf			0	5999	sf			0
View	N;R	es;		N;Re	s;				N;Re	s;				N;Re	s;			
Design (Style)	DT1	;Traditio	onal	DT1;	Traditio	nal			DT1;	Traditio	onal			DT1;	Traditio	nal		
Quality of Construction	Q3			Q3					Q3					Q3				
Actual Age	71			97				0	71					73				0
Condition	СЗ			СЗ					C2			-25,	000					
Above Grade	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		Bdrms.	Baths				Bdrms.	Baths	- ,			Bdrms.	Baths		
Room Count	5	2	2.0	5	2	2.0			5	3	2.0	-2	500		3	2.0		-2,500
Gross Living Area			9 sq.ft.		1,160			+18,000			) sq.ft.	- <u>-</u> 2,	0			) sq.ft.		+12,000
Basement & Finished	0sf	1,01	J JUNIL	0sf	1,100	, oq.il	1	. 10,000	0sf	1,430	, oq.IL.		U	0sf	1,210	, ၁५.11.		· 12,000
Rooms Below Grade	UST			UST					UST					UST				
							1		_									
Functional Utility		rage		Avera			-		Avera					Avera				
Heating/Cooling	FAL	J/CAC		FAU/	CAC				FAU/					FAU/				
Energy Efficient Items	Non	е		None	)				Solar	Powe	er	-10,	000	None				
Garage/Carport	2ga	2dw		1gd1	dw			+10,000	2ga2	dw				2gd2	dw			0
Porch/Patio/Deck	Oper	Patio /	Porch	Cvd Pa	atio / Po	rch		0	Open I	Patio / F	Porch			Open F	Patio / F	Porch		
Amenities	Non			None					None					None				
Fireplace		replace	2		eplace					eplace	2				place			
Blt-Ins	Blt-I			Blt-In	-	·			Blt-In					Blt-In				
Net Adjustment (Total)	DIC-I	110		X		٦.	\$	23,500	_		<b>X</b> -	\$ -55,	500			<b>X</b> -	\$	-7,500
Adjusted Sale Price				Net Ad		2.9 %		23,500	Net Ad		6.5 %	Ψ -55,	500	Net Ad		0.9 %	Ψ	-7,500
				1								e					φ	700 500
of Comparables				Gross		4.1 %	) D	823,500	10000		6.5 %			Gross /		4.0 %	Þ	789,500
Danasi tha saasika af tha saasasah .		-1!4	Ala a .a			برمام: ما										١		
Report the results of the research a	and an	alysis of			transfer	histor	y of the subje									-		
ITEM	and an	alysis of		r sale or JBJECT	transfer	histor	y of the subje	ct property ARABLE SA				(report additional p OMPARABLE SALE				-	ABLE SALE	# 9
ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	and an	alysis of			transfer	r histor	y of the subje									-		# 9
ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	and an	alysis of			transfer	histor	y of the subje									-		# 9
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)		alysis of	Sl		transfer	histor	y of the subje	ARABLE SA		,		OMPARABLE SALE			C	-		# 9
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		CoreL 08/02/	Sl ogic 2023	JBJECT			y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202	ARABLE SA		,	CC	OMPARABLE SALE			Core	OMPAR	ABLE SALE	# 9
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)		CoreL 08/02/	Sl ogic 2023	JBJECT			y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202	ARABLE SA	LE # 7		CoreL 08/02	OMPARABLE SALE	E# {	3	Core 08/02	OMPAR Logic 2/2023	ABLE SALE	
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT	d compa	arable	y of the subjection COMPA  CoreLogica 08/02/202 sales	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02	OMPARABLE SALE  Logic /2023  ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02	OMPARABLE SALE Logic /2023 ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE Logic /2023 ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE Logic /2023 ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE Logic /2023 ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE Logic /2023 ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE Logic /2023 ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE Logic /2023 ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE Logic /2023 ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE Logic /2023 ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer
ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi within the last three years years involving the compa	istory o	CoreL 08/02/ of the sul	ogic 2023 Dject pro	JBJECT perty an	d compa	arable /. A s	y of the subje COMPA CoreLogic 08/02/202 sales search of p	ARABLE SA	LE# 7	of pas	CoreL 08/02 st reco	OMPARABLE SALE Logic /2023 ords (did not) i	# {	ate ar	Core 08/02 ny rec	Logic 2/2023 orded	ABLE SALE	sfer

File No.	34460635
----------	----------

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL			
Property Address	17154 Lanark St			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91406
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			

A thorough search for comparable sales was made in this neighborhood. These comparable sales have significantly different (dates of sale, sizes, ages, conditions, and styles), in the appraiser's opinion, the comparable sales selected are the best indicators of the subject property's market value. Studies using match pairs serve as a basis for making the required adjustments

The validity of the sales comparison approach depends on the existence of recent sales of property which are comparable in location, size, age, condition, utility, construction and overall market appeal and compared with the subject property. The sale comparison approach has broad applicability and is persuasive when sufficient data is available. It usually provides the primary indication of value in sales of properties not purchased for their income producing characteristics. Every effort has been made to strictly here to the lenders guidelines and those of HUD. However due to the nature of the market it is not always possible to find comparable sales within a data pool for a particular area, that meet all of these guidelines. Therefore, it might have been necessary to use older sales, sales of competing homes in the area located further away than typically desired. In these instances, it is the appraiser's opinion that the comparable sales chosen represent the best data available and are the most reliable indicators of current market value.

There are no extraordinary assumptions are hypothetical conditions to this appraisal report. An extraordinary assumption presume as fact otherwise uncertain information about physical, legal, our economic characteristics of the subject property or about conditions external to the property, such as market conditions or trends, or the integrity of the data used in an analysis. A hypothetical condition that which is contrary to known facts about physical, legal, or economic characteristics of the subject property are about conditions external to the property, such as market conditions or trends

#### Comments on market data / Adjustment comments

The adjustments that were warranted, were derived from match paired data from within this report, preparation of the work file, other jobs performed in the immediate market area over the past 36 interviews selling and listing agents from the immediate and surroundings market areas. There were no adjustments that exceeded the lenders guidelines of 10%. Not all adjustments in the sales comparison approach, and be directly extracted are supported by the available market data with a high degree of accuracy. Some adjustments have an element of subjectivity and professional judgment. The appraiser has applied based on prior observations of the reactions of typical/knowledgeable buyers and sellers in the marketplace. This method is a standard and well accepted practice within the appraisal industry. All interested parties are encouraged to have an understanding of basic valuation practices when appraising complex properties are when there is an extreme absent of like elements of comparison are in instances where the market data is consistent which to draw better supported adjustments and overall value.

#### Comments on market data utilized in this report:

D-1-V

16921 Lanark St - This is a one story traditional type style single-family residence with solar power per MLS photo/MLS comments that is located in the in the Lake Balboa area of Van Nuys area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles which were considered comparable neighborhoods due to the similarities in homes and services such as shopping facilities, transportation facilities, recreational facilities, school district, and social services. Buyers with comparable purchasing powers would considered the Van Nuys and Lake Balboa when considering purchasing a single-family residence in the Van Nuys area of Los Angeles West of the 405 freeway and is considered good match paired data due to the following characteristics such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style property, square footage range, overall interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the Van Nuys community of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

17043 Lorne St - This is a one story average quality traditional type style single-family residence located West of the 405 freeway and was considered good match paired data due to the following features such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style property, square footage range, interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility,

Signature	row	Monte	Signature	
Name Robert Bro	onley		Name	
Date Signed 08/0	2/2023		Date Signed	
State Certification #		State	State Certification #	State
Or State License #	AG004659	State CA	Or State License #	State

		Cappionionitai Addonadiii	11101	10. 34400033	
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL				
Property Address	17154 Lanark St				
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				

File No. 34460635

and market appeal to the similarities and location within the immediate market area for digestion sale required at this time.

7740 Wish Ave - This is a one story average quality traditional type style single-family residence situated West of the 45 freeway in the which were considered comparable neighborhoods. Van Nuys area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles which were considered comparable neighborhoods due to the similarities and homes and services such as shopping facilities, transportation facilities, recreational facilities, school district, and social services. Buyers with comparable purchasing powers would considered the Van Nuys and Lake Balboa when considering purchasing a single-family residence, with own solar power that was recently upgraded with new plumbing/new wiring/new Windows/and other items mention on the MLS sheet where the adjustment was made based on buyers reactions to a recently upgraded items where the adjustment was derived from paired data within this report and other data that was gathered during the preparation of the work file. This sale from the immediate market area was considered good match paired data due to the following attributes quality of construction, effective age, lot utility, similar one story traditional type style property, square footage range, overall interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the Van Nuys community West of the 405 freeway with few other adjustments being required at this time.

**7308 Genesta Ave -** This is a one story average quality traditional type style single-family residence located West of the 405 freeway in the Lake Balboa area of Van Nuys area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles Which were considered comparable neighborhoods due to the similarities and homes and services such as shopping facilities, transportation facilities, recreational facilities, school district, and social services. Buyers with comparable purchasing powers were considered the Van Nuys and Lake Balboa areas when considering purchasing a single-family residence. There is no support currently with listings are sales to support a location adjustment at this time, which was completely upgraded remodeled a few years ago and is and maintaining good condition per MLS comment/MLS photos where a condition adjustment was applied that was based on buyers reactions to a upgraded/remodeled property in the immediate market area where the adjustment was derived from paired data within this report and other data that was gathered during the preparation of the work file, and was considered good match paired data due to the following features such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style property, square footage range, interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal to the similarities In location the immediate market area with few other adjustments being required at this time.

6742 Jellico Ave - This is a one story traditional type style single-family residence with solar power per MLS photo/MLS comments that fronts to a traffic street and backs a school, is located in the in the Lake Balboa area of Van Nuys area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles which were considered comparable neighborhoods due to the similarities in homes and services such as shopping facilities, transportation facilities, recreational facilities, school district, and social services. Buyers with comparable purchasing powers would considered the Van Nuys and Lake Balboa when considering purchasing a single-family residence. Van Nuys / Lake Balboa area of Los Angeles West of the 405 freeway is considered good match paired data due to the following characteristics such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style property, square footage range, overall interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the Van Nuys community of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

Signature	row	Monly	Signature	
Name Robert Bro	onley		Name	
Date Signed 08/0	2/2023		Date Signed	
State Certification #		State	State Certification #	State
Or State License #	AG004659	State CA	Or State License #	State

File	No.	344	60	635
------	-----	-----	----	-----

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL						
Property Address	17154 Lanark St						
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						

17245 Kittridge St -This is a one story traditional type style single-family residence with solar power per MLS photo/MLS comments that is located in the in the Lake Balboa area of Van Nuys area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles which were considered comparable neighborhoods due to the similarities and homes and services such as shopping facilities, transportation facilities, recreational facilities, school district, and social services. Buyers with comparable purchasing powers would considered the Van Nuys and Lake Balboa when considering purchasing a single-family residence in the Van Nuys area of Los Angeles West of the 405 freeway and is considered good match paired data due to the following features such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style property, square footage range, overall interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the Van Nuys community of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

15947 Hartland St - This is a one story traditional type style single-family residence with solar power per MLS photo/MLS comment in the Van Nuys area of Los Angeles West of the 405 freeway and is considered good match paired data due to the following attributes such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style property, square footage range, overall interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the Van Nuys community of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

17123 Lanark St - This current active listing is a one story average quality traditional type style single-family residence located West of the 405 freeway in the Lake Balboa area of Van Nuys area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles which were considered comparable neighborhoods due to the similarities and homes and services such as shopping facilities, transportation facilities, recreational facilities, school district, and social services. Buyers with comparable purchasing powers were considered in the Van Nuys and Lake Balboa areas when considering purchasing a single-family residence. There is no support currently with listings are sales to support a location adjustment at this time, which was completely upgraded remodeled a few years ago and maintaining good condition per MLS comment/MLS photos where a condition adjustment was applied that was based on buyers reactions to a upgraded/remodeled property in the immediate market area where the adjustment was derived from paired data within this report and other data that was gathered during the preparation of the work file, and was considered good match paired data due to the following features such as quality of construction. effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style property, square footage range, interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal to the similarities In location the immediate market area with few other adjustments being required at this time.

7329 Wish Ave - This current active listing is a one story traditional type style single-family residence with solar power per MLS photo/MLS comments that is located in the in the Lake Balboa area of Van Nuys area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles which were considered comparable neighborhoods due to the similarities and homes and services such as shopping facilities, transportation facilities, recreational facilities, school district, and social services. Buyers with comparable purchasing powers would considered the Van Nuys and Lake Balboa areas when considering purchasing a single-family residence. Van Nuys area of Los Angeles West of the 405 freeway and is considered good match paired data due to the following characteristics such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style property, square footage range, overall interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the Van Nuys community of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

Summary the sales comparison approach:

In the opinion of the appraiser comparables 1,2, and 3 were given the greatest consideration in the final estimate of market value for the subject property. These three sales West of the 405 freeway in the Van Nuys/balboa Lake area of Los Angeles were

Signature	row	Monly	Signature	
Name Robert Bi	ronley		Name	
Date Signed 08/	/02/2023		Date Signed	
State Certification #		State	State Certification #	State
Or State License #	AG004659	State CA	Or State License #	State

File No.	34460635
----------	----------

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL			
Property Address	17154 Lanark St			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91406
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			

consider good match paired data due to the following characteristics such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style properties, square footage ranges, interior utility, due to total room counts, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal to the similarities and location within the Van Nuys/Lake Balboa communities of Los AngelesComparables 4,5,6 and 7 were four more sales from the Van Nuys/Balboa communities of Los Angeles that were considered good paired data due to the following features such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style properties, square footage ranges, overall interior utility due to total room counts, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the immediate marketing area. These four additional sales were selected in support of the final estimate of market value for the subject property. Comparables 8 and 9 were two recent active listings from the immediate market area West of the 45 freeway in the late Balboa/Van Nuys area of Los Angeles that were considered good match paired data due to the following attributes such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style properties, square footage ranges, overall interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal to the similarities and location within the Van Nuys/late Balboa communities of Los Angeles. These two recent active listings were chosen in support of the final estimate of market value for the subject property.

The two listings that were supplied were priced was slightly higher than the final estimate of market value. When these two recent listings from the immediate market area are adjusted properly they fall in the range of the final estimate of market value for the subject property.

Sometimes there are discrepancies between the appraiser's inspection and the public records. Public records in some instances does not have the correct information recorded for the subjects property, interior room count, bathroom count, on-site amenities, and other interior, amenities. Public records cannot be relied upon in all circumstances when there is a discrepancy between the public records and the MLS records the appraiser will use the data that appears most accurate and reliable. There were no discrepancies found between the public records/MLS in this report.

Some data (Comparables 4,5,6 and 7) utilized in this report were further away (more than a mile) than typically desired. It was necessary to use this data due to a lack of good match paired data within the immediate market area that paired with the subject in terms of quality of construction, style, effective age, lot size, and square footage range. The typical buyer would consider these other areas in Van Nuys North of Ventura Boulevard when purchasing a residence due to the following characteristics in quality of construction, level type lot, square footage range, interior utility, age range, and similar amenities such as having a pool. These areas share the same school system, transportation facilities, shopping facilities, recreational facilities, and social services.

Some data exceeds the lenders guideline with data being 20% larger and smaller in square footage when compared with the subject property. Comparable 7 was 20% smaller in square footage. It was necessary to use this data had due to a lack of match paired data similar to the subject property, quality of construction, square footage, overall utility, and varying amenities.

The attached market condition sheet shows market declining at a rate of approximately 1/8% per month. Time adjustments were applied to sales that were closed over 90 days ago from the effective date of this report. The adjustment dates to the contract date of sale.

Special assessment taxes are voter approved. Special assessment taxes can be extended by voters approval. Subject special assessments paid for flood control service open space program, storms sewers, public parks, County parks, trauma and emergency services. The special assessment taxes are paid yearly. The sales/listings utilized in this report have similar type special assessments. The special assessment tax is mailed with the real estate real property assessment tax statement.

A few of the sales istings utilized as appraisal report had a different style. It is not always possible to find identical type sales in alder neighboring ods that homes have been altered over the many years. It was

Signature	10000	Monda	Signature	
Name Robe	ert Bronley		Name	
Date Signed	08/02/2023		Date Signed	
State Certificat	ion #	State	State Certification #	State
Or State Licens	se # AG004659	State CA	Or State License #	State

		Cappionionitai Addonadiii	11101	10. 34400033	
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL				
Property Address	17154 Lanark St				
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				

File No. 34460635

necessary to use this data as recent match paired data in terms of square footage, quality of construction, and similar type condition. The typical buyer in this market is generally looking for location. The style and if the property is one story versus two stories is a matter of taste of the buyer, which has been verified by selling agents over the past years.

The lot size comes form the attached plat map. The attached legal sheet is not correct.

There were other sales and listings found during the preparation of the work file. These sales and listings were not utilized at this time due to varying issues regarding quality of construction, superior type views, superior lot size and utility, off-site amenities such as guesthouse and,locational issues. This data is part of the work file.

Adjustments that have been made up based on match paired analysis of comparables utilized within this report are based on past market analysis of sales within the subjects market area.

The square footage adjustment made in this report is based on a match paired analysis of the comparables utilized in this report, and historical experience of the area. Square footage adjustment was determined to be \$50 per square foot.

There were no adjustments made for patios or other secondary amenities are energy-efficient items as there was no good match paired data to indicate value for secondary amenities.

There were adjustments made for bedroom or for bath room counts as there were match paired data to indicate a value for bedroom or bath counts at this time in the marketplace.

There were no adjustments made for actual age as there is no conclusive data that suggest that differences in effective age warranted adjustments.

Lot size adjustments were made as paired data indicated adjustments were warranted at \$2.00 per square foot.

Primary amenities, such as pools, spa, guest units, tennis courts and views do hold value for homes in this area. There were adjustments made based on paired data in the area.

Paired data did indicate that adjustments were warranted for homes that had varied garage sizes.

Condition adjustments were made at some of the homes utilized in the report were of lesser or superior condition. Paired data indicated adjustments were warranted for homes that were in need of repair or in superior condition.

All adjustments that have been made are in the opinion of the appraiser to be good accurate adjustments and are based on a match paired data analysis.

Based upon physical conditions of the subject property and its effective age, the estimated remaining economic life is 50 years. Depreciation is calculated by the effective age divided by the economic life equals the remaining economic life. The Marshall and Swift cost book table for remaining economic life was utilized in this report.

The income approach was admitted and not the applicable due to the lack of sufficient compatible rental data and sales of rental properties required to establish a gross rent multiplier, this approach is not consider relevant in the appraisal single-family residential properties typically purchased for owner occupancy, as it does not accurately reflect the motivations or valuation progress applied by the typical purchaser of market

Signature	Robert	Kinla
Name Robert Bro	onley	
Date Signed 08/0	2/2023	
State Certification #		State
Or State License #	AG004659	State CA

Signature	
Name	
Date Signed	
State Certification #	State
Or State License #	State

**Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report** 

53553

File No. 34460635

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl				novaloni		,			
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraid Property Address 17154 Lanark St	isal reports with an effective			State	C A	7ID	Code 914	106	
Property Address 17154 Lanark St  Borrower Redwood Holdings LL		City Van Nuy	'S	Jiait	CA	ZII	00ut 914	100	
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information req	uired on this form as the b	asis for his/her conclusion	ns, and must provide support	for those	conclusio	ns, re	garding		
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported	•								
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as in	ndicated below. If any requi	red data is unavailable or	is considered unreliable, the a	appraiser	must prov	ride an	ı		
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will									
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required infor									
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp				ed by a p	rospective	buyer	r of the		
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months	1		Ονοι	rall Trend		
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	13	7	12	☐ In	creasing		Stable		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	2.17	2.33	4.00	=	creasing		Stable	H	Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	4	2	4	==	eclining		Stable	П	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	1.8	0.9	1.0	☐ De	eclining		Stable		Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months			Over	rall Trend		
Median Comparable Sale Price	860,000	850,000	825,000	In	creasing		Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	29	23	7		eclining	1	Stable	Н	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	869,000	835,000	842,500		creasing eclining		Stable Stable	=	Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market  Median Sale Price as % of List Price	40 98.92	63	29	= .	creasing		Stable	H	Increasing Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p		101.25 No	103.02		eclining		Stable	H	Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas			n 3% to 5%, increasing use o						morodonig
fees, options, etc.). The data used in the g	• •							nsac	tions.
However, this is not a mandatory reporting									
reported. It is beyond the scope of this ass									
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?			ding the trends in listings and						
The data used in the grid above does not i									
transactions. However, this is not a manda				sed sa	les that	were	not rep	orte	d. It is
beyond the scope of this assignment to co	minni each sale used	a in the Market Con	ишонѕ кероп.						
Cite data sources for above information. The C	ARETS MLS was th	e data source used	to complete the Marke	et Con	ditions A	Addei	ndum.		
Summarize the above information as support for your co							such as		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	vn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide bo	oth an explanation and suppor	t for you	r conclusio	ns.			
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior yea	vn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There	ur conclusions, provide bo	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosure	t for you es is n	r conclusio	ns.		on va	lues.
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	vn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There	ur conclusions, provide bo	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosure	t for you es is n	r conclusio	ns.		on va	llues.
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior yea	vn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There	ur conclusions, provide bo	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosure	t for you es is n	r conclusio	ns.		on va	lues.
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior yea	vn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There	ur conclusions, provide bo	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosure	t for you es is n	r conclusio	ns.		on va	lues.
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior yea	vn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There	ur conclusions, provide bo	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosure	t for you es is n	r conclusio	ns.		on va	llues.
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior yea	vn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There	ur conclusions, provide bo	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosure	t for you es is n	r conclusio	ns.		on va	lues.
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior yea	vn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There	ur conclusions, provide bo	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosure	t for you es is n	r conclusio	ns.		on va	llues.
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Supp	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There' ply and demand are	ur conclusions, provide bo ve been good mark in balance with ma	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur rketing time currently l	t for you es is no ow.	r conclusio	ns.		on va	lues.
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative prior to the s	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There' ply and demand are	ur conclusions, provide but ve been good mark in balance with ma	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur rketing time currently leading to the current of the curr	t for you es is no ow.	r conclusio	ons. In infl	luence o	on va	lues.
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There' ply and demand are	ur conclusions, provide bo ve been good mark in balance with ma	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur rketing time currently l	t for your	r conclusion	ons. In infl	luence o	on va	
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Supplied the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There' ply and demand are	ur conclusions, provide but ve been good mark in balance with ma	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur rketing time currently leading to the current of the curr	t for your es is no ow.	r conclusion of had a	Over	luence o	on va	Declining
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There' ply and demand are	ur conclusions, provide but ve been good mark in balance with ma	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur rketing time currently leading to the current of the curr	t for your es is no ow.	creasing	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable		Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There' ply and demand are	ur conclusions, provide but ve been good mark in balance with ma	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur rketing time currently leading to the current of the curr	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Supplied the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide but ve been good mark in balance with main	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Supplied in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the sales in the sales in the project of the sales in the project of the sales in the sal	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide but ve been good mark in balance with main	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur rketing time currently leading to the current of the curr	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Supplied the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide but ve been good mark in balance with main	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Supplied in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the project of the sales (REO sales) and the project of the	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide but ve been good mark in balance with main	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Supplied in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the project of the sales (REO sales) and the project of the	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide but ve been good mark in balance with main	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Supplied in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the project of the sales (REO sales) and the project of the	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide but ve been good mark in balance with main	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Supplied in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the project of the sales (REO sales) and the project of the	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide but ve been good mark in balance with main	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Supplied in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the project of the sales (REO sales) and the project of the	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There only and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	oth an explanation and supported activity. Foreclosur, rketing time currently leading to the current of the cur	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There only and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the n	Project N Current – 3 Months  umber of REO listings and ex	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature  Appraiser Name Robert Bronley	wn listings, to formulate you ar in the area. There only and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ving:  Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisory	Project N Current – 3 Months  umber of REO listings and ex	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature  Appraiser Name Robert Bronley  Company Name Robert Bronley  The Appraisal Shoppe	wn listings, to formulate you are in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  The subject unit and project the subject	ving: Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisory Company No	Project N Current – 3 Months  Appraiser Name ame	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature  Appraiser Name Robert Bronley  Company Name The Appraisal Shoppe  Company Address 22607 Collins St , Wood	wn listings, to formulate you are in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  The subject unit and project the subject unit and project and Hills, CA 9136	ving: Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisory Company No	Project N Current – 3 Months  Appraiser Name ame ddress	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable and sales		Declining Declining Increasing
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw Values have been stable over the prior year There is good current market activity. Support of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Robert Bronley Company Name Robert Bronley The Appraisal Shoppe	we listings, to formulate you are in the area. There ply and demand are project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  The subject unit and project the subject unit and project the subject unit and project State CA	ving: Prior 4–6 Months  Signature Supervisory Company No	Project N Current – 3 Months  Appraiser Name ame ddress e/Certification #	t for your es is no ow.	creasing creasing eclining	Over S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing

#### **Legal Sheet - Page 1**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL							
Property Address	17154 Lanark St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	Stat	e CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.							

#### 17154 Lanark St, Van Nuys, CA 91406-1041, Los Angeles County APN: 2204-006-011 CLIP: 8383111042 MLS Beds MLS Full Baths Half Baths MLS Sale Price MLS Sale Date N/A \$552,600 04/04/2017 MLS Sq Ft Lot Sq Ft MLS Yr Built Type 6,003 1,519 SFR Tax Billing Zip Cobb Laura J Owner Name 91406 Laura J Cobb Tax Billing Zip+4 Mail Owner Name 1041 Tax Billing Address 17154 Lanark St Owner Occupied Yes Tax Billing City & State Van Nuys, CA LOCATION INFORMATION Zip Code 91406 School District Los Angeles Carrier Route C018 Comm College District Code Los Angeles City Zoning LAR1 Census Tract 1311.00 16595 Rolling/Hilly Tract Number Topography TAX INFORMATION APN 2204-006-011 Lot % Improved 26% Water Tax Dist Southern California 16 Legal Description TRACT # 16595 LOT 61 ASSESSMENT & TAX Assessment Year 2022 2021 2020 Assessed Value - Total \$604.344 \$592,495 \$586,421 Assessed Value - Land \$446,533 \$437,778 \$433,290 Assessed Value - Improved \$157,811 \$154,717 \$153,131 YOY Assessed Change (\$) \$11,849 \$6,074 YOY Assessed Change (%) 1.04% Tax Year Total Tax Change (\$) Change (%) 2020 \$7,279 2021 \$7,207 -\$71 -0.98% 2022 \$7.300 \$92 1.28% Special Assessment Tax Amount Laco Vectr Cntrl80 \$14.97 Safe Clean Water83 \$75.02 La Stormwater 21 \$20.76 Flood Control 62 \$26.04 Rposd Measure A 83 \$25.82 Lacity Park Dist21 \$17.21 Trauma/Emerg Srv86 \$75.95 Total Of Special Assessments \$255.77 CHARACTERISTICS County Land Use Heat Type Single Family Resid Central Cooling Type Lot Frontage 53 Garage Type Parking Avail Lot Depth 113 Parking Type On Site Parking Spaces Roof Material Lot Acres 0.1378 Lot Area 6,003 Composition Shingle Style Roof Shape Gable Building Sq Ft 1,519 Interior Wall Plaster Stories Exterior Stucco Total Units Floor Cover Hardwood Total Rooms Raised Foundation Tax: 2 MLS: 3 Year Built 1952 Total Baths Effective Year Built 1971 Property Details Courtesy of Robert Bronley, Robert Bronley, California Regional MLS The data within this report is compiled by CoreLogic from public and private sources. The data is independently verified by the recipient of this report with the applicable county or municipality. Generated on: 08/01/23

# Legal Sheet - Page 2

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL							
Property Address	17154 Lanark St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							

MLS Total Baths		2	STATE OF THE PARTY	Other Impvs		Fence, Ad	
Full Baths		Tax: 2 ML		Building Type		Type Unki	nown
Sewer		Type Unk	nown	# of Buildings		1	
SELL SCORE							
Rating		High		Value As Of		2023-07-3	0 04:32:08
Sell Score		649					
ESTIMATED VALUE							
RealAVM™		\$839,600		Confidence Score	i II	88	
RealAVM™ Range		\$771,900	- \$907,200	Forecast Standard	d Deviation	8	
Value As Of		07/17/202	23				
) RealAVM™ is a CoreLogic® derive	d value and should	d not be used in	lieu of an appraisal.				
) The Confidence Score is a measur	e of the extent to w	which sales data,	property information, and comparable sa	ales support the property valuation ana	lysis process. The confiden	ce score range is	50 - 100. Clear and
mparable sales,	a drive nigher cont	idence scores wi	hile lower confidence scores indicate div	ersity in data, lower quality and quantil	ry or data, and/or limited sin	namy of the sub	sect property to
The FSD denotes confidence in an timate will fall within, based on the	AVM estimate and consistency of the	uses a consister information avail	nt scale and meaning to generate a stand liable to the AVM at the time of estimation	dardized confidence metric. The FSD is n. The FSD can be used to create confid	a statistic that measures th dence that the true value ha	e likely range or i s a statistical deg	dispersion an AVM pree of certainty.
LISTING INFORMATION							
MLS Listing Number		21700226	6 <u>5</u>	Closing Date		04/04/2017	7
MLS Status		Closed		MLS Sale Price		\$552,600	
MLS Area		LKBL - L	AKE BALBOA	MLS Listing Agen	t	Vcr-C1590	086646-May Huang
MLS Status Change Da	te	04/04/201	7	MLS Listing Broke	er	EWING &	ASSOC. SOTHEBY'S
MLS Current List Price		\$560,000		MLS Source		VCR	
MLS Original List Price		\$560,000					
MLS Listing #	214003658	8	F1815150	90012455	Fr2050968		Fr1969983
MLS Status	Closed		Closed	Closed	Closed		Closed
MLS Listing Date	01/28/2014	4	07/23/2009	07/23/2009	08/26/2006		02/24/2005
MLS Listing Date  MLS Listing Price	\$2,250		\$2,250	\$2,250	\$539,500		\$479,950
MLS Orig Listing Price	\$2,390		\$2,250	\$2,250	\$539,500		\$499,950
MLS Close Date	02/18/2014	4	08/14/2009	08/14/2009	03/30/2007		04/07/2005
MLS Listing Close Price	\$2,250		\$2,150	\$2,150	\$520,000		\$475,000
MLS Source	VCR		: Antend#1				
LAST MARKET SALE & SA	LES HISTORY		844	is the state of the state of		aller Kawin	
Recording Date		04/04/201		Sale Type		Full	
Sale Date Sale Price			0/2017 MLS: 04/04/2017	Deed Type Owner Name		Grant Dee	
Price Per Square Feet		\$553,000 \$364.06		Seller		Lu Chih-Y	
Document Number		369666					588
Recording Date	04/04/2017		04/03/2007	05/13/2005	05/13/2005		09/25/2000
Sale Date	03/30/2011	7	02/23/2007	04/11/2005	05/06/2005		08/03/2000
Sale Price	\$553,000		\$520,000	\$485,000			
Nominal	A 200 (40 (40 (40 (40 (40 (40 (40 (40 (40 (		The second secon	The second of the second	Υ	1	Υ
Buyer Name	Cobb Lau		Lu Chih-Yuan	Lenz Stephanie	Lenz Stephan		Sanders Ruth
Seller Name	Lu Chih-Y	uan	Lenz Stephanie	Sanders Ruth	Lenz Thomas		Sanders Edward V;Rut h
Document Number	369666		785563	1131288	1131287		1504419
Document Type	Grant Dee	d	Grant Deed	Grant Deed	Interspousal I	Deed Tran	Quit Claim Deed
					= 20.57//		
December Date			05/02/4000		DOMONOCO		
Recording Date			05/08/1998		09/13/1990		
Sale Date Sale Price			04/01/1998 \$151,500		07/1990		
Saje Price Nominal			\$151,500		\$200,000		
Buyer Name			Sanders Edward V & I	Buth	Carrillo Darry	I & Accurre	ation
Seller Name			Carrillo Darryl V & As		Harris Jerry N	and the same of th	
Document Number			779301		1574629	nsar satt	
Document Type			Grant Deed		Grant Deed		
MORTGAGE HISTORY				04/00/0007	DE HOLDONE		OF HOLDOOF
MORTGAGE HISTORY  Mortgage Date  Mortgage Amount	04/04/2013 \$225,000	7	01/29/2008 \$413,900	04/03/2007 \$416,000	05/13/2005 \$388,000		05/13/2005 \$97,000


## **Legal Sheet - Page 3**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL							
Property Address	17154 Lanark St							
City	Van Nuys	Count	ty Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							




#### **Plat Map**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL				
Property Address	17154 Lanark St				
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State C	A Zip Code	91406
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.				




#### **Location Map**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL			
Property Address	17154 Lanark St			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91406
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			




#### Flood Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL							
Property Address	17154 Lanark St							
City	Van Nuys	Count	y Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.							



## **Aerial Map**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL				
Property Address	17154 Lanark St				
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				



# **Subject Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL				
Property Address	17154 Lanark St				
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				



# **Subject Front**

17154 Lanark St

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 1,519 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 6002 sf Site Quality Q3 Age 71

# **Subject Rear**



# **Subject Street**

# Photograph Addendum

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL			
Property Address	17154 Lanark St			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91406
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			





Street looking East

**Subject address** 

#### **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL				
Property Address	17154 Lanark St				
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				



## **Comparable 1**

16921 Lanark St

0.31 miles E Prox. to Subject Sale Price 810,000 Gross Living Area 1,430 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 6293 sf Quality Q3 71 Age



#### Comparable 2

17043 Lorne St

Prox. to Subject 0.16 miles E 793,000 Sale Price Gross Living Area 1,352 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 6115 sf Site Quality Q3 Age 71



# Comparable 3

7740 Wish Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.43 miles S Sale Price 850,000 Gross Living Area 1,619 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7965 sf Quality Q3 Age 73

## **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL				
Property Address	17154 Lanark St				
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				



## Comparable 4

7308 Genesta Ave

1.03 miles S Prox. to Subject Sale Price 855,000 Gross Living Area 1,461 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 6463 sf Quality Q3 72 Age



#### Comparable 5

6742 Jellico Ave

Prox. to Subject 1.76 miles SW
Sale Price 780,000
Gross Living Area 1,683
Total Rooms 6
Total Bedrooms 3
Total Bathrooms 2.0

Location A;BsyRd;Blks School

 View
 N;Res;

 Site
 5904 sf

 Quality
 Q3

 Age
 73



# Comparable 6

17245 Kittridge St

1.89 miles S Prox. to Subject Sale Price 785,000 Gross Living Area 1,488 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 4 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 8100 sf Quality Q3 Age 75

## **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL		-	
Property Address	17154 Lanark St			
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91406
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



## Comparable 7

15947 Hartland St

2.17 miles SE Prox. to Subject Sale Price 800,000 Gross Living Area 1,160 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 2 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 8249 sf Quality Q3 97 Age



#### Comparable 8

17123 Lanark St

Prox. to Subject 0.07 miles NE Sale Price 850,000 Gross Living Area 1,430 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 6017 sf Site Quality Q3 Age 71



# Comparable 9

7329 Wish Ave

0.97 miles S Prox. to Subject Sale Price 797,000 Gross Living Area 1,270 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 5999 sf Quality Q3 Age 73

# **MLS Photograph Addendum**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL					
Property Address	17154 Lanark St					
City	Van Nuys	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.					





16921 Lanark St

7740 Wish Ave





7308 Genesta Ave

**6742 Jellico Ave** 





17245 Kittridge St

17123 Lanark St

53553 File No. 34460635

#### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

#### Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.\*

\*Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

 $C_5$ 

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

#### **Quality Ratings and Definitions**

Q-

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

#### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

#### Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

#### Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

#### Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

#### Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

#### Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

#### **Explanation of Bathroom Count**

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

#### Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

## Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text


Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
e	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions  Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	
Glfvw	Golf Course View	Location View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
RE0	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

# Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear				
OD KIT.	OUTDOOR KITCHEN	PORCH / PATIO / DECK				
ODFP	OUTDOOR FIREPLACE	PORCH / PATIO / DECK				
ODLA	OUTDOOR LIVING AREA	PORCH / PATIO / DECK				
WF	WATERFALL	PORCH / PATIO / DECK				
GNBELT	GREENBELT VIEW	VIEW				
PRI. SETTING	PRIVATE SETTING	LOCATION				
SPTCT	SPORTS COURT	PORCH / PATIO / DECK				
OP	OPEN PATIO	PORCH / PATIO / DECK				

#### 2024' CA License

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL							
Property Address	17154 Lanark St							
City	Van Nuys	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



#### E and 0 2023

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LL							
Property Address	17154 Lanark St							
City	Van Nuys	Count	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91406	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



#### **DECLARATIONS**

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS **ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY** 

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

#### THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP4113770-22 Renewal of: RAP4113770-21

Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc. Program Administrator:

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Item 1. Named Insured: Robert Bronley

Item 2. Address: 4037 Phelan Rd. Suite A169

> Phelan, CA 92371 City, State, Zip Code:

12/10/2022 12/10/2023 Item 3. Policy Period: From

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)

(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

500,000 Damages Limit of Liability - Each Claim A. \$ 500,000 B. \$ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Each Claim

Aggregate

1,000,000 C. \$ Damages Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

1,000,000 D. S Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$\_<u>500</u> Each Claim 1,000

Item 6. Premium: \$ 835.00

B. \$

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 12/10/1991

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (08/12) D42402 (05/13) D42408 (05/13) D42412 (03/17) D42413 (06/17)

D42414 (08/19)

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1