USPAP ADDENDUM

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	8572 Onalaska Ave			
City	San Diego	County San Dieg	o State CA	A Zip Code 92123
ender	Wedgewood Inc			
This report	t was prepared under the fo	lowing USPAP reporting option:		
		This report was prepared in accordance with	LISPAP Standards Bule 2, 2(a)	
Restric	ted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with	USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b).	
Deserve	- F Time -			
	e Exposure Time	or the subject property at the market value sta	tad in this report is: 0.45	
	of a reasonable exposure line		ted in this report is: <u>0-45 d</u>	
Additional	Certifications			
	, to the best of my knowledge a	nd belief:		
I have №	NOT performed services, as an	appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding th	e property that is the subject of this rer	port within the
		ng acceptance of this assignment.	······································	
		aiser or in another capacity, regarding the pro		ithin the three-year
		nce of this assignment. Those services are des	cribed in the comments below.	
	ents of fact contained in this repo		and limiting any distance and any providence	al imposite and unknowed
	analyses, opinions, and conclusio	sions are limited only by the reported assumptions	and limiting conditions and are my person	iai, imparuai, and unbiased
		t or prospective interest in the property that is the s	subject of this report and no personal inter	rest with respect to the parties
involved.		or prospective interest in the property that is the		
	ias with respect to the property th	at is the subject of this report or the parties involve	ed with this assignment.	
		contingent upon developing or reporting predeterm	-	
- My comper	nsation for completing this assign	nent is not contingent upon the development or re	porting of a predetermined value or direction	on in value that favors the cause of
		attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence		
	-	e developed, and this report has been prepared, in	conformity with the Uniform Standards of I	Professional Appraisal Practice that
	t at the time this report was prepa			
		ersonal inspection of the property that is the subjection of the property constrained assistance to the	•	a are eventions, the name of each
	· · ·	significant real property appraisal assistance to the praisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this report		e are exceptions, the name of each
inuiviuuai pro	wining significant real property ap		1.	
Additional	Comments			
APPRAISE	R: ///	SU	PERVISORY APPRAISER: (only	if required)
	Ohnoul 2	Janley		
Signature:	41.2	Ciar	ature:	
Name: Aub	rev Stanley	Nam		
	06/13/2023		Signed:	
			Cartification #1	
or State License	e #:	or S	tate License #:	
State: CA		State	e:	
	of Certification or License: 01/		ration Date of Certification or License:	
Effective Date o	f Appraisal: 06/12/2023	Supr	ervisory Appraiser Inspection of Subject Prop	,
			Did Not Exterior-only from Street	Interior and Exterior

ABS Appraisals Services

				537	'99
_		Exterior-Only Inspection		al Report File # 537	/99
	The purpose of this summary appraisal repu Property Address 8572 Onalaska Ave	ort is to provide the lender/client with an	City San Diego	State CA	Zip Code 92123
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC	Owner of Public Rec	8		-
	Legal Description Tr 3106 Lot 148				
	Assessor's Parcel # 428-200-01-00		Tax Year 2022	R.E. Taxes S	
ECT	Neighborhood Name Rancho Cabrillo Occupant 🗙 Owner 🗌 Tenant 🗌 Vac	cant Special Assessments	Map Reference 41740	PUD HOA \$ 0	t 0092.01
SUBJECT	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)	,		
เร	Assignment Type 🗌 Purchase Transaction		r (describe) Servicing		
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		5 Manhattan Beach Blvd, S		
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), an		onuns prior to the effective date of thi	is appraisar?	Yes 🗙 No
	······································				
		sale for the subject purchase transaction. Exp	lain the results of the analysis of the	e contract for sale or why the analy	/sis was not
_	performed.				
CONTRACT	Contract Price \$ Date of Con	ntract Is the property selle	er the owner of public record?	Yes No Data Source(s)
NTF	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		Yes No
ပ္ပ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describ	e the items to be paid.			
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood are not appraisal factors.			
	Neighborhood Characteristics		nit Housing Trends	One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
	Location 🗌 Urban 🔀 Suburban 🗌] Rural Property Values 🗌 Increas		•	One-Unit 70 %
D	Built-Up 🗙 Over 75% 📃 25-75% 🗌	Under 25% Demand/Supply 🗙 Shortage		Supply \$ (000) (yrs)	2-4 Unit 5 %
BORHOOD	Growth Rapid Stable	Slow Marketing Time 🗙 Under 3		6 mths 615 Low 10	
ORH	Neighborhood Boundaries The subject' Friars Road to the south, Route #15	s neighborhood boundaries include		1,740 High 7: 960 Pred. 62	
ЭНВ	,			900 1100. 02	
NEIGH					
	Madat Canditions (including august for the sha				
	Market Conditions (including support for the abo	ve conclusions) See Addendum	1		
	Dimensions 57X110X58X110	Area 6,000 sf	Shape Irreg		N;Res;
	Specific Zoning Classification R-1		Single Family Residentia	l	
	Zoning Compliance 🔀 Legal 🗌 Legal Nor Is the highest and best use of subject property a		oning Illegal (describe)	Yes 🗌 No If No,	describe
	Utilities Public Other (describe)			site Improvements - Type	Public Private
SITE	Electricity 🗙 🗌 Gas 🗙 🗌	Water 🔀 🗌 Sanitary Sewer 🗙 🗌	Stree	et Asphalt	
0,	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area	\mathbf{X} No FEMA Flood Zone X	FEMA Map # 06073C16	-	Map Date 05/16/2012
	Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica	I for the market area? 🛛 🗙 Yes 🗌	No If No, describe		·
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external		·		lo If Yes, describe
	At the time of inspection, the apprais drainage & utility easements, no adv		adverse easements, encroa	achments or other advers	e conditions. Standard
	drainage & utility easements, no adv				
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of P	roperty 🗌 Appraisal Files 🗌 ML			Property Owner
	Other (describe) General Description	General Description	Data Source for Gross Living A Heating/Cooling	rea Tax Records Amenities	Car Storage
	Units X One One with Accessory Unit	Concrete Slab X Crawl Space	FWA HWBB		lone
	# of Stories 1	Full Basement Finished	Radiant		riveway # of Cars 2
	Type 🔀 Det. 🗌 Att. 🗌 S-Det./End Unit	Partial Basement Finished	🗙 Other 🛛 Wall	Patio/Deck None Drive	way Surface Concrete
	Existing Proposed Under Const.	Exterior Walls Stuc/Sdng/Avg			arage # of Cars 2
	Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1958	Roof Surface Shg/Avg Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum/Avg	Central Air Conditioning		arport # of Cars O ttached Detached
	Effective Age (Yrs) 20	Window Type Sliding/Avg	X Other None		uilt-in
	Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven		crowave Washer/Dryer	Other (describe)	
ИS	Finished area above grade contains:	6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms	2.0 Bath(s)	1,134 Square Feet of Gross	s Living Area Above Grade
NΕ	Additional features (special energy efficient items	s, etc.) Solar Panels			
IMPROVEMENTS	Describe the condition of the property and data s	source(s) (including apparent needed repairs, o	deterioration, renovations, remodeling	g, etc.). C3;See Ac	ldendum
ЛРR					
Ë					
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a	dverse conditions that affect the livability, sou	ndness, or structural integrity of the	property? Yes	X No
	If Yes, describe.				
	Does the property generally conform to the neigh	borhood (functional utility, style, condition, us	e, construction, etc.)?	🗙 Yes 🗌 No 🛛 If No, des	scribe.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior–Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 53799 File # 53799

There are3comparable properties currently offered for sale in the subject neighborhood ranging in price from \$ 749,999to \$ 947,999There are74comparable sales in the subject neighborhood within the past twelve months ranging in sale price from \$ 710,000to \$ 950,000FEATURESUBJECTCOMPARABLE SALE # 1COMPARABLE SALE # 2COMPARABLE SALE # 2Address8572 Onalaska Ave San Diego, CA 921238855 Laddie Ln San Diego, CA 921233233 Mobley St San Diego, CA 921238840 Lassie Ln San Diego, CA 92123Proximity to Subject0.50 miles NE0.54 miles E0.48 miles NESale Price\$ 828,000\$ 810,000\$Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area727.51 sq.ft.784.09 sq.ft.\$ 782.61 sq.ft.\$ 746.53 sq.ft.Data Source(s)MLS#230001325;DOM 5MLS #OC23038085;DOM 5MLS #220024721;DOM 1Verification Source(s)Doc#37984/Apn#428-053-06-00Doc#93143/Apn#428-124-15-00Doc#39316/Apn#428-112VALUE ADJUSTMENTSDESCRIPTION+(-) \$ AdjustmentDESCRIPTION+(-) \$ AdjustmentDESCRIPTIONSales or Financing ConcessionsArmLth Conv;4000ArmLth Conv;0ArmLth Conv;25800ArmLth Conv;25800	860,000 08 -15-00
FEATURESUBJECTCOMPARABLE SALE # 1COMPARABLE SALE # 2COMPARABLE SALE # 2Address8572 Onalaska Ave San Diego, CA 921238855 Laddie Ln San Diego, CA 921233233 Mobley St San Diego, CA 921238840 Lassie Ln San Diego, CA 92123Proximity to Subject0.50 miles NE0.54 miles E0.48 miles NESale Price\$ 828,000\$ 810,000\$ 8 10,000Sale Price(Gross Liv. Area727.51 sq.ft.784.09 sq.ft.\$ 782.61 sq.ft.\$ 746.53 sq.ft.Data Source(s)MLS#230001325;DOM 5MLS #0C23038085;DOM 5MLS #220024721;DOM 1 	860,000 08 -15-00
Address 8572 Onalaska Ave 8855 Laddie Ln 3233 Mobley St 8840 Lassie Ln San Diego, CA 92123 Proximity to Subject 0.50 miles NE 0.54 miles E 0.48 miles NE Sale Price \$ 828,000 \$ 810,000 \$ Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area 727.51 sq.ft. 784.09 sq.ft. \$ 782.61 sq.ft. \$ 746.53 sq.ft. Data Source(s) MLS#230001325;DOM 5 MLS #0C23038085;DOM 5 MLS #220024721;DOM 1 Verification Source(s) Doc#337984/Apn#428-053-06-00 Doc#93143/Apn#428-124-15-00 Doc#39316/Apn#428-112 VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment Sales or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth	860,000 08 -15-00
Address 8572 Onalaska Ave 8855 Laddie Ln 3233 Mobley St 8840 Lassie Ln San Diego, CA 92123 Proximity to Subject 0.50 miles NE 0.54 miles E 0.48 miles NE Sale Price \$ 828,000 \$ 810,000 \$ Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area 727.51 sq.ft. 784.09 sq.ft. \$ 782.61 sq.ft. \$ 746.53 sq.ft. Data Source(s) MLS#230001325;DOM 5 MLS #0C23038085;DOM 5 MLS #220024721;DOM 1 Verification Source(s) Doc#37984/Apn#428-053-06-00 Doc#93143/Apn#428-124-15-00 Doc#39316/Apn#428-112 VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION Hc.) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION Sales or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth	860,000 08 -15-00
San Diego, CA 92123	08 -15-00
Proximity to Subject 0.50 miles NE 0.54 miles E 0.48 miles NE Sale Price \$ 828,000 \$810,000 \$ Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area 727.51 sq.ft. 784.09 sq.ft. \$782.61 sq.ft. \$746.53 sq.ft. \$ Data Source(s) MLS#230001325;DOM 5 MLS #OC23038085;DOM 5 MLS #220024721;DOM 1 Verification Source(s) Doc#37984/Apn#428-053-06-00 Doc#93143/Apn#428-124-15-00 Doc#39316/Apn#428-112 VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION +(-) \$Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$Adjustment Sales or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth	08 -15-00
Sale Price \$ 828,000 \$ 810,000 \$ Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area \$ 727.51 sq.ft. \$ 784.09 sq.ft. \$ 782.61 sq.ft. \$ 746.53 sq.ft. \$ Data Source(s) MLS#230001325;DOM 5 MLS #0C23038085;DOM 5 MLS #220024721;DOM 1 Verification Source(s) Doc#37984/Apn#428-053-06-00 Doc#93143/Apn#428-124-15-00 Doc#39316/Apn#428-112 VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment Sales or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth	08 -15-00
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area 727.51 sq.ft. 784.09 sq.ft. 782.61 sq.ft. 746.53 sq.ft. Data Source(s) MLS#230001325;DOM 5 MLS #OC23038085;DOM 5 MLS #220024721;DOM 1 Verification Source(s) Doc#37984/Apn#428-053-06-00 Doc#93143/Apn#428-124-15-00 Doc#39316/Apn#428-112 VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment Sales or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth	08 -15-00
Data Source(s) MLS#230001325;DOM 5 MLS #OC23038085;DOM 5 MLS #220024721;DOM 1 Verification Source(s) Doc#37984/Apn#428-053-06-00 Doc#93143/Apn#428-124-15-00 Doc#39316/Apn#428-112 VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment Sales or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth	-15-00
Data Source(s) MLS#230001325;DOM 5 MLS #OC23038085;DOM 5 MLS #220024721;DOM 1 Verification Source(s) Doc#37984/Apn#428-053-06-00 Doc#93143/Apn#428-124-15-00 Doc#39316/Apn#428-112 VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment Sales or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth	-15-00
Verification Source(s) Doc#37984/Apn#428-053-06-00 Doc#93143/Apn#428-124-15-00 Doc#39316/Apn#428-112 VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPT	-15-00
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment Sales or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth	
Sales or Financing ArmLth ArmLth	
	ajustment
Date of Sale/Time s02/23;c01/23 s04/23;c03/23 s02/23;c01/23	
Location N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; N;Res;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple	
Site 6,000 sf 5,100 sf 6,000 sf 5,700 sf	0
View N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; N;Res;	
Design (Style) DT1;Ranch DT1;Ranch DT1;Ranch DT1;Ranch DT1;Ranch	
Quality of Construction Q4 Q4 Q4	
Actual Age 65 65 65	
Condition C3 C3 C3 C3	
Above Grade Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count 6 3 2.0 6 3 2.0 7 4 2.0	0
Gross Living Area 1,134 sq.ft. 1,056 sq.ft. 0 1,035 sq.ft. 0 1,152 sq.ft.	0
Basement & Finished Osf Osf Osf	0
Rooms Below Grade	
Functional Utility Average Average Average	
Heating/Cooling Wall/None Wall/None Wall/None Wall/Wall Energy Efficient Items Solar-Unkn Solar-Leased 0 None 0 None Garage/Carport 2ga2dw 2ga2dw 2ga2dw 2ga2dw 2ga2dw 2ga2dw Porch/Patio/Deck Porch Patio 0 Patio 0 Porch,Patio Final List Price N/A \$788,000 0 \$789,000 \$874,000 Image: Carport image: Carpor	-10,000
Selar-Unkn Solar-Leased 0 None 0 None	0
Garage/Carport 2ga2dw 2ga2dw 2ga2dw 2ga2dw 2ga2dw	
Porch/Patio/Deck Porch Patio 0 Patio 0 Patio 0 Porch.Patio	0.000
Porch/Patio/Deck Porch Patio 0 Patio 0 Porch,Patio	-2,000
G Final List Price N/A \$788,000 0 \$789,000 0 \$874,000	0
Q Net Adjustment (Total) □ + □ - \$ 0 □ + □ - \$ 0 □ + X - \$	-12,000
Adjusted Sale Price Net Adj. 0.0 % Net Adj. 0.0 % Net Adj. 1.4 %	12,000
of Comparables Gross Adj. 0.0 % \$ 828,000 Gross Adj. 0.0 % \$ 810,000 Gross Adj. 1.4 %	040.000
of Comparables Gross Adj. 0.0 % \$ 828,000 Gross Adj. 0.0 % \$ 810,000 Gross Adj. 1.4 % \$	848,000
of I 🔀 did 🗌 did not research the sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales. If not, explain	
My research 🔲 did 🗙 did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property for the three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal.	
Data Source(s) Tax Records	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research Idid	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idit interveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idi	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idit interveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records	E #3
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idi	E #3
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idi idi not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Image: Comparable sale sale sale sale sale sale sale sa	E #3
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idit in treveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	E #3
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research	E #3
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research did did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research did did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research did did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research did did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idid idid not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idid idid not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idid idid not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idid idid not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idid idid not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research did did mot reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Image: Comparable sales Tax Records Tax Records Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Image: Comparable sales Tax Records Tax Records Data Source(s) Tax Records Tax Records Tax Records Tax Records Effective Data Source(s) O6/10/2023 O6/10/2023 O6/10/2023 O6/10/2023 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales The subject property has not transferred within the previous 36 m period. Comps #1 thru #3 have not transferred for the year prior to the date of sale listed above. Summary of Sales Comparison Approach See Addendum Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 825,000 825,000 825,000 825,000	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idid idid not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research did did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT Date of Prior Sale/Transfer COMPARABLE SALE #1 Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Image: Comparable sales Data Source(s) Tax Records Tax Records Tax Records Effective Data Source(s) 06/10/2023 Obj(10/2023) 06/10/2023 Analysis of prior sale or transfer red for the year prior to the date of sale listed above. Summary of Sales Comparison Approach See Addendum Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 825,000 Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 825,000	ionth
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research [] (iii X] (ii do treveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SAL Data of Prior Sale/Transfer	ionth
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idi MX did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SAL Data of Prior Sale/Transfer	ionth
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idid Xid did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SAL Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	ionth
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idi MX did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SAL Data of Prior Sale/Transfer	ionth
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idi MX did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SAL Data of Prior Sale/Transfer	ionth
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idi MX did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SAL Data of Prior Sale/Transfer	ionth
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research idi MX did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SAL Data of Prior Sale/Transfer	ionth
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research Indix X Indix Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfers of the comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Indicated Value #2 Data Source(s) Tax Records Tax Records Tax Records Tax Records Tax Records Tele of Prior Sale/Transfer Indicated Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Tax Records Telefore Data Source(s) Indicated Prior Sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales Deriod Ord Source(s) O6/10/2023 O6/10/2023 O6/10/2023 Of Prior Sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales The subject property has not transferred within the previous 36 m period. Comps #1 thru #3 have not transferred for the year prior to the date of sale listed above. Summary of Sales Comparison Approach \$ 825,000 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 825,000 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 825,000 Indi	ionth
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research Idi X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Data Source(s) Tax Records Tax Records Tax Records Tax Records Effective Data Source(s) Op/10/2023 Op/10/2023 Op/10/2023 Op/10/2023 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales The subject property has not transferred within the previous 36 m period. Comps #1 thru #3 have not transferred for the year prior to the date of sale listed above. Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 825,000 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 825,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$ 0 Income Approach, This approach is generally cons In determining the market value of the subject, all emphasis is placed on the Sales Comparison Approach, S 825,000 0 Income Approach, This approach is generally cons The saparial is made Tax is ',	ionth
Data Source(s) Tax Records My research Idi X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data Source(s) Tax Records Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Imax Records Tax Records Tax Records Tax Records Effective Date of the Sale/Transfer Imax Records Tax Records Tax Records Tax Records Effective Date of that Source(s) O6/10/2023 O6/10/2023 O6/10/2023 O6/10/2023 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales The subject property has not transferred within the previous 36 m period. Comps #1 thru #3 have not transferred for the year prior to the date of sale listed above. Imax Records Summary of Sales Comparison Approach \$ 825,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$ Income Approach (if developed) \$ 0 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ 825,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$ 0 Income Approach is gener	ionth

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 53799

	••			
Subject's value is below the range of the predominant value for the marke	et area. The subject is not	considered to	o be an under improveme	nt for
the market area. The predominant value has no impact on the subject's r	narketability.			
Cost approach not recognized in the market as a basis for pricing and is o	eemed unreliable for units	more than 1	vear-old. It is not intende	d for
insurance purposes. The Cost Approach was not considered necessary a				
			egarding the estimate of h	Idinel
value.				
The subject property is located in an area of primarily owner-occupied sin	gle family residences. Alth	ough income	<u>e data was available, the li</u>	ncome
Approach was not considered necessary at this time to develop credible r	esults regarding the estima	te of market	value. Most weight was o	aiven to
the Sales Comparison Approach to value due to a significant amount of s	<u> </u>			,
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)			
	· · · ·			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio	ns.		agen derived from the Abs	traction
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	ns. mating site value) Sit		been derived from the Abs	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee	eds 30% of th		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee	eds 30% of th		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee	eds 30% of th		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m	eds 30% of th narket area.		a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmen positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedin ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE	eds 30% of th narket area.	e opinion of value due to	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m	eds 30% of th narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE	eds 30% of th narket area.	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the n OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING	eds 30% of th narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE	eds 30% of th narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport	eds 30% of th narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	= \$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ =\$ =\$	a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New	eds 30% of th narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	= \$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the n OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical	eds 30% of th narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	e opinion of value due to ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ =\$ External	a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation	eds 30% of th narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	= opinion of value due to ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ External =\$(a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements	eds 30% of th narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e opinion of value due to ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ =\$ External =\$(=\$	a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation	eds 30% of th narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	= opinion of value due to ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ External =\$(a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements	eds 30% of th narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e opinion of value due to ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ =\$ External =\$(=\$	a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	eds 30% of the narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	= opinion of value due to = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	a 300,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedin ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI	eds 30% of the narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e opinion of value due to ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ =\$ External =\$(=\$	a
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	eds 30% of the narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	= opinion of value due to = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	a 300,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedin ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI	eds 30% of the narket area. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	= opinion of value due to = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae)	eds 30% of the narket area.	= opinion of value due to = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier	ns. mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excee g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae)	eds 30% of the narket area.	= opinion of value due to = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A	ns. Sit mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceed g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$	eds 30% of the narket area.	= opinion of value due to = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ External = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Variant of the comproach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A N/A	ns. mating site value) Sit mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value excees g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable)	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedint ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years O X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	ns. Sit mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceed g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s)	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceeding ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Variant of the comproach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A N/A	ns. Sit mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceed g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s)	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedin ESTIMATED	ns. Sit mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceed g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s)	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedin ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Ouality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ O X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	ns. Sit mating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceet g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements O = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attach	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedir ESTIMATED	ns. mating site value) Sit nating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceet g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ POR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attach Total number of units sold	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessment positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedir ESTIMATED	ns. mating site value) Sit nating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceet g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detacher nd the subject property is an attach Total number of units sold Data source(s)	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedir ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units Total number of units Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	ns. mating site value) Sit nating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceet g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ POR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attach Total number of units sold	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedir ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units Total number of units Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	ns. mating site value) Sit nating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceet g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attach Total number of units sold Data source(s)	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedir ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A N/A PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units Total number of units Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) Sit nating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceed g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Deta source(s) No No If Yes, date of conversion	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedir ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) Sit nating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceet g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attach Total number of units sold Data source(s)	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedir ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) Sit nating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceed g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Deta source(s) No No If Yes, date of conversion	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedir ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) 1 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL 1 Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners' Association funds Yes Vas the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing build	ns. mating site value) Sit nating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceed g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached nd the subject property is an attach Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of comp	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedir ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project cortain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Does the project contain any multi-dwe	ns. mating site value) Sit nating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceed g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Deta source(s) No No If Yes, date of conversion	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedir ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) 1 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL 1 Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners' Association funds Yes Vas the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing build	ns. mating site value) Sit nating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceed g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached nd the subject property is an attach Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of comp	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Method utilizing data from previous land sales or public record assessmer positive location within the San Diego market area. Land values exceedir ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) 1 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL 1 Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) N/A PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners' Association funds Yes Vas the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing build	ns. mating site value) Sit nating site value) Sit nt ratios. Land value exceed g 30% are typical for the m OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPI E (not required by Fannie Mae) 0 = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached nd the subject property is an attach Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of comp	eds 30% of the narket area.	e opinion of value due to =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ External =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	a 300,000)) 0

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.

2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.

4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.

2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.

3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.

8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.

9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.

10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.

11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.

12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.

13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.

14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.

15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.

16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.

17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).

19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.

23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.

24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.

4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER Augure & Stanlow	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Aubrey Stanley	Name
Company Name ABS Appraisals Services	Company Name
Company Address 425 W Beech St Unit 103	Company Address
San Diego, CA 92101-2966	
Telephone Number 619-736-1407	Telephone Number
Email Address abstan1301@yahoo.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 06/13/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 06/12/2023	State Certification #
State Certification # 3006117	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 01/23/2025	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
8572 Onalaska Ave	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
San Diego, CA 92123	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 825,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	 Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

	Exter	ior-Only Insp	pection Resid	ential Ap	prai	sal Report	5 File # 5	3799 3799	
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 4	COM	PARABL	E SALE # 5		COMPARABL	E SALE # 6
Address 8572 Onalaska A	ve	2973 Kobe Dr	· ·	8621 Neva	Ave				•
San Diego, CA 9		San Diego, CA 9	92123	San Diego,		2123			
Proximity to Subject		0.36 miles E	2120	0.04 miles		2120			
Sale Price	\$		\$ 885,000			\$ 790,000			\$
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 727.51 sq.ft.	\$ 717.18 sq.ft.	,	\$ 790.00			\$	sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)	• 121.01 oquu	MLS#NDP2301				205;DOM 49	÷	oqnu	
Verification Source(s)		#77987/Apn#42				1#428-210-09-00			
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT		+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESC	RIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	BEGONII HOIV	ArmLth		ArmLth			DLOO		r () ¢ Adjuotmont
Concessions		Conv;0		VA;0					
Date of Sale/Time		s03/23;c03/23		s10/22;c09	122				
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;	122				
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple	`				
Site	6,000 sf	7,000 sf	0	6,000 sf	,				
View	N;Res;	N;Res;	0	N;Res;					
Design (Style)	DT1;Ranch	DT1;Ranch		DT1;Ranch	,				
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4	•				
Actual Age	65	63		65					
Condition	C3	C3	0	C3					
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms.	Baths		Total Bo	irms. Baths	
Room Count	6 3 2.0	6 3 2.0		6 3	1.0	+10,000			
Gross Living Area	1,134 sq.ft.	1,234 sq.ft.	-9,000) sq.ft.	+10,000		sq.ft.	
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf	-3,000	0sf	, 54.16	12,000		54.16	
Rooms Below Grade	031	031		031					
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average					
Heating/Cooling	Wall/None	FWA/Wall	_10 000	FWA/CAC		-10,000			
Energy Efficient Items	Solar-Unkn	None		None		-10,000			
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	2ga2dw		1ga1dw		+10,000			
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch	Patio	0	Porch,Patio)	-2,000			
Final List Price	N/A	\$775,000		\$779,000		0			
Net Adjustment (Total)		- + 🛛 -	\$ -19,000			\$ 20,000			\$
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 2.1 %		Net Adj.	2.5 %		Net Adj.	%	
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 2.1 %			5.6 %				\$
Report the results of the research									
ITEM	Sl	JBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	LE#4	CC	OMPARABLE SALE # 5	5	COMPAR	ABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer									
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer			-						
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	Tax Records		Tax Records			lecords			
Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	06/10/2023		<u>06/10/2023</u>		06/10	ve not transferred	for the		to the data of
sale indicated above.				nps #4 anu	#J 11a			year prior	
Sale mulcaled above.									
Analysis/Comments									

Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	8572 Onalaska Ave			
City	San Diego	County San Diego	State CA	Zip Code 92123
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Subject Front

8572 Onalaska	Ave
Sales Price	
Gross Living Area	1,134
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	6,000 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	65

Subject Side





Subject Street

Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	8572 Onalaska Ave						
City	San Diego	County San Diego	State	CA	Zip Code	92123	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						



Subject Front

8572 Onalaska	Ave
Sales Price	
Gross Living Area	1,134
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	6,000 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	65

Subject Side



Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	8572 Onalaska Ave			
City	San Diego	County San Diego	State CA	Zip Code 92123
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Comparable	1
-------------------	---

8855 Laddie Ln Prox. to Subject	0.50 miles NE
Sale Price	828,000
Gross Living Area	1,056
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	5,100 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	65



Comparable 2

3233 Mobley St	
Prox. to Subject	0.54 miles E
Sale Price	810,000
Gross Living Area	1,035
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	6,000 sf
Quality	Q4
Aae	65



Location

View Site Quality

Age

0.48 miles NE 860,000 1,152 7 4 2.0 N;Res; N;Res; 5,700 sf Q4 65

Comparable 3



Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC
Property Address	8572 Onalaska Ave
City	San Diego
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc

County San Diego

State CA Zip Code 92123



Ca	mp	ara	ble	4

2973 Kobe Dr	
Prox. to Subject	0.36 miles E
Sale Price	885,000
Gross Living Area	1,234
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	7,000 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	63



Comparable 5


8621 Neva Ave	
Prox. to Subject	0.04 miles NE
Sale Price	790,000
Gross Living Area	1,000
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	1.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	6,000 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	65

Comparable 6

Prox. to Subject Sale Price Gross Living Area Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms Location View Site Quality Age


Location Map


Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	8572 Onalaska Ave						
City	San Diego	County San Diego	State	CA	Zip Code	92123	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						



Location Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address	8572 Onalaska Ave				
City	San Diego	County San Diego	State CA	Zip Code 92123	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				





COPYRIGHT © 2023 COURTHOUSE RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. Information Deemed Reliable But Not Guaranteed.

Property Report for 8572 ONALASKA AVE, cont.

Floor System				Air Conditioning		
Exterior Wall				Heat Type		
Structural Framin	ng			Bathroom Tile		
Fireplace				Plumbing Fixtures		
- OTHER						
Occupancy				Building Data Source		
PROPERTY CH	ARACTERISTICS:	EXTRA FEATUR	RES			
Feature	Size or	Description		Year Built	Condition	
Garage	2 CAR					
PROPERTY CH	ARACTERISTICS:	LOT				
Land Use		Single F	amily Residential	Lot Dimensions		
Block/Lot		/148		Lot Square Feet	6,000	
Latitude/Longitue	le	32.7991	26°/-117.143889°	Acreage	0.14	
PROPERTY CH	ARACTERISTICS:	UTILITIES/ARE	A			
Gas Source				Road Type		
Electric Source				Topography		
Water Source				District Trend		
Sewer Source				School District	San Diego I	Unfd
Zoning Code		R-1:Singl	e Fam-Res			
Owner Type						
LEGAL DESCR	PTION					
Subdivision		Rancho	Cabrillo Unit 2	Plat Book/Page		
Block/Lot		/148		Tax Area	08001	
Tract Number		003106				
Description		Tr 3106	Lot 148			
FEMA FLOOD 2	ONES					
Zone Code	Rood Risk	BFE	Description		FIRM Panel ID	FIRM Panel Eff. Date
x	Minimal		Area of minima year flood level	I flood hazard, usually depicted on FIRMs as above the 500	060295-06073C1617G	05/16/2012
LISTING ARCH	IVE					
No Listings found	for this parcel.					

tings found for this pare

COPYRIGHT © 2023 COURTHOUSE RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. Information Deemed Reliable But Not Guaranteed.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

СЗ

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
e	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
		Area, Site
sqm Unk	Square Meters Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	
		Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WU	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear

UAD Version 9/2011 (Updated 4/2012)

Supplemental Addendum

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC					
Property Address	8572 Onalaska Ave					
City	San Diego	County San Diego State	CA	Zip Code	92123	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc					

FIRREA Certification Statement:

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.) and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs this certification.

Comments:

The intended user of the report is the lender (Wedgewood Inc). The client and lender may rely upon this appraisal for internal use, including but not limited to, rendering a decision relative to servicing a mortgage. No other use is intended.

Unless stated in this report, the existence of hazardous material, which may or may not be present in the property, has not been observed by the appraiser. The appraiser has no knowledge of the existence of such materials on or in the property. The appraiser, however, is not qualified to detect such substances. The presence of potentially hazardous material may affect the value of the property. The value estimate is predicated on the assumption that there is no such material on or in the property. No responsibility is assumed for any conditions or the expertise and engineering knowledge required to discover hazardous materials. The client is urged to retain an expert in this field, if desired.

The appraiser has completed the report in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practices (USPAP). It should be noted that while the appraiser has made every attempt to thoroughly inspect the subject property, appraiser is not qualified as a licensed contractor, plumber, electrician, surveyor, roofer or engineer. The scope of appraiser's inspection was thorough for the purpose of noting size, layout, amenities, depreciation, etc. as would be expected and necessary in the process of data collection per USPAP Standard Rule 1-1 and advisory opinion #2. If anyone relying on this report has reason to believe an inspection of a specific nature is warranted, then by all means the property qualified professional should be retained.

The appraiser has completed the report in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practices (USPAP). Square footage calculations are approximations based on the local tax records for all comparables, with some adjustment made based on the appraiser's knowledge, measurement, or estimate based on exterior view of the comparable. It is assumed that this data is accurate; however, if it turns out to be different than that reported in this appraisal, the final opinion of value could be altered.

No employee, director, officer or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal management company, or partner in behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted to influence the development, reporting, result or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or any other manner. I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client as identified on the first page of the report), borrower or designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report any unauthorized contacts either personally by phone or electronically to vendor management.

A State Law, effective as of 7/1/2011, requires that an owner of a dwelling unit intended for human occupancy shall install a carbon monoxide device, approved and listed by the State Fire Marshal pursuant to Section 13263, in each existing dwelling unit having a fossil fuel burning heater or appliance, fireplace, or an attached garage.

The installation of smoke and Carbon monoxide detectors could not be verified at this time.

The installation of a water heater with double straps could not be verified at this time.

• Exterior-Only: Neighborhood - Description

The subject's neighborhood is in the Serra Mesa area within the community of Rancho Cabrillo. The neighborhood consists of condominiums, single family dwellings, apartment buildings and commercial property. The subject property has access to employment, retail shopping, schools, police/fire, recreational facilities, public transportation and other support services within a 1-2 mile radius. The nearby downtown area has average employment stability and overall average appeal to the marketplace. No significant negative factors were noted which could potential impact value and/or marketability of the subject property at this time.

• Exterior-Only : Neighborhood - Market Conditions

Within the subject's market area, the market value for single family properties has remained mostly stable over the recent 24 month period. The previous 0 to 6 month trend indicates a fluctuation in value within the subject's price range. The fluctuation in value is due to rising interest rates which have had an impact on the overall housing market over the most recent 6 month period. The MLS data over the recent 24 month period indicates stable market values with a gradual increase and was given more weight at this time.

Utilizing data from the local MLS system, a comparison of the most recent 12 month period was analyzed against data for the period between 06/2021 thru 06/2022 and revealed mostly stable market values with a gradual increase over this time frame. Per MLS data, the average sales price over the recent 12 month period for single family properties within the subject's market area as defined on page one was reported as \$960,662 (138 sales). This average indicates stable market values when compared to the average of \$910,972 (215 sales) as reported between 06/2021 thru 06/2022 for similar single family properties within the subject's market area.

Based on MLS data, there are 3 active listings, 5 pending sales and 74 sold properties over the previous 12 month period for similar single family properties within the subject's market area priced between \$710,000 to \$950,000. This indicates 6.6 sales per month with a .5 monthly supply. There is a shortage of supply for similar properties within the subject's market area and price range at this time. The average days on market were reported as 26 over the previous 12 month period.

• Exterior-Only: Unit Description - Condition of the Property

The appraisal report was completed as an exterior only inspection at the request of the lender. Details regarding the interior of the property were obtained from public records. The property has not been listed on the local MLS system in recent years. A C3 condition rating was assigned based on the exterior inspection at this time. Based on the exterior inspection and public records information, an extraordinary assumption is employed to presume that the property is in a C3 condition as described by the attached UAD addendum. The use of an extraordinary assumption in the report may have an impact on the assignment results if there is a significant difference in the interior condition and overall quality of the improvements.

• Exterior-Only : Sales Comparison - Summary of Sales Comparison Approach

Comparable sales or comps are selected by the appraiser after market research of the relevant geographic market area. The appraiser has identified sales of properties with the most similar characteristics relative to the subject property from the recent market data available and utilized the most appropriate as "comps" in this report. Dollar adjustments are applied to the comparable sale to account for the estimated market impact of their respective relevant differences as compared to the subject. Positive dollar adjustments reflect a comp's inferiority and a negative adjustment reflects a comp's superiority as compared to the subject. Where a difference is not considered relevant or where there is insufficient market data to indicate a premium or discount typically paid for a specific feature, no dollar adjustment is applied. In the instance where no adjustment was utilized, the appraiser has considered the possibility of an adjustment but

Supplemental Addendum

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC					
Property Address	8572 Onalaska Ave					
City	San Diego	County San Diego	State CA	A Zip Code	92123	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc					

deemed it inappropriate in that particular case. The adjusted sales price is then reconciled by the appraiser to arrive at the appraiser's opinion of value for the subject property via the Sales Comparison Approach.

The comments contained within this appraisal report on the condition of the property are not based on the "Standards of Condition of the Property: Practice" as defined by the American Society of Home Inspectors (ASHI) or the California Real Estate Inspection Association (CREIA) and therefore are not to be considered a credible home inspection or home inspection report about the verified overall actual condition of the subject property. For the purpose of this report, unless obvious visible issues exist that can be photographically documented, it is assumed that there are no structural defects hidden by floor or wall coverings or any other hidden or unapparent conditions of the property; that all mechanical equipment and appliances are in working condition; and that all electrical components and the roofing are in average condition.

The comparables selected were all located within the subject's market area and bracketed the subject's dominant features of lot size, gross living area, bedroom/bathroom count, age/condition and location. These comps were believed to be the best available properties within the market area to accurately estimate the market value of the subject.

All comps have settled within 8 months of the inspection date of the subject property. Based on MLS data, market values have remained stable over the recent 24 month period. No adjustment was utilized at this time due to a fluctuation in values over the most recent 6 month period within the subject's price range as indicated by the attached 1004 MC form.

Lot adjustments were not utilized at this time as an appropriate adjustment could not be exacted from the market for differences in overall lot size and utility. Through Qualitative Analysis, the impact on value for differences in overall lot size and utility were considered during the final reconciliation of value and applied to the estimate of value indicated by the appraiser.

In the market analysis, adjustments for age and condition are considered as one adjustment. A typical purchaser perceives the overall condition of a property to be relative to its effective age. Of importance is what has been updated, replaced or renovated as opposed to considering the actual age of the home. The basis for any dollar adjustment would be the difference between the effective age of the subject and the effective age of the comparable, as it relates to total economic life. The comparables selected were all given a C3 condition rating based on the definition indicated in the attached addendum. The comparables selected bracketed the subject's overall condition and effective age requiring no significant adjustment at this time. Differences in upgrades or a lack of upgrades were considered during the final reconciliation of value and applied accordingly to the subject's estimate of value.

A \$90/sf adjustment was utilized for gross living area differences greater than 100 square feet. This adjustment was based on approximately 10-15% of the average Sales Price/Gross Living Area for the settled sales utilized in this report. The gross living area adjustment was made based on Matched Pairs Analysis of comps #1 thru #5 at this time. This adjustment was rounded to the nearest 1,000 before being inserted into the sales comparison grid.

Due to differences in size, materials, and buyer preferences, exterior amenities were considered and given a minimal adjustment to account for the potential impact perceived by buyers within the market. All exterior items were given a minimal adjustment due to a lack of data verifying size and materials.

Adjustments for differences in bathroom count, heating/cooling systems, parking and exterior amenities were made per Matched Pairs Analysis of comps #1 thru #5 at this time. All features are considered relevant to potential buyers within the subject's market area, however, location, condition, bedroom/bathroom count and gross living area are the key factors driving price within the subject's overall market area at this time.

The subject was noted with solar panels on the roof during the drive-by inspection. Ownership of the panels could not be confirmed due to the required scope of work indicated in the engagement letter. Therefore, no adjustment was utilized on the Sales Comparision grid at this time. Public utility connection could not be confirmed at this time.

The subject and comparables sales utilized in the report may have some personal property items which conveyed during the sale of the property. The personal property items are considered to be insignificant and have no impact on the final sale price of the subject or comparables utilized in the report. No adjustment could be extracted from the market for any personal property item which may have conveyed with the sale of the comparables or subject property, therefore, no adjustment was utilized at this time.

The subject is assumed to be in general compliance with local zoning regulations. Permits for improvements, which may have taken place since the time of construction, have not been presented to the appraiser. It is assumed that all required zoning and building use regulations for the subject property have been complied with or could be complied with by the owner in this report.

Most weight was given to comps #1 and #2 during the final reconciliation of value. Comps #1 and #2 are recently settled sales from within the subject's market area which bracket most of the subject's dominant features including age/condition, design/style, lot size, bedroom/bathroom count and location. Secondary weight was given to comps #3 and #4 which were utilized to support features including gross living area, location, lot size and bedroom/bathroom count. All comps were utilized to support the required adjustments made via Matched Pairs Analysis and to support the estimated market value of the subject property.

The price range indicated on page two of the report as well as on the attached 1004 MC form was selected after the estimate of value had been determined. The price range was selected in order to identify market trends for similar properties within the subject's market area which would appeal to potential buyers. A price range was not utilized during the process of selecting similar comparables to estimate the market value of the subject property.

Highest and best use:

The subject's site size, shape, and land-to-building ratio is adequate for the current improvements. The current structure on the subject site is financially feasible. The current structure on the subject site provides maximum return to the land. Based upon this assessment, it is the opinion of the appraiser that the subject is developed to its highest and best use. The highest and best use of the subject is projected based upon location, physical characteristics, past and proposed uses, and applicable zoning. The highest and best use of the subject "as if vacant" is for residential construction. It is the most applicable use, the previous or proposed use, and the most profitable use of the site. Surplus land, if any, may be held as investment or agriculture as an interim utility. The highest and best use of the subject "as introved" is its current use. The improvements have remaining economic life; are the probable future use; are the current and previous use and are legally permissible by zoning or probable future zoning; appear to be financially feasible; and are the maximally productive use available to the subject.


The definition of highest and best use is as follows: A real estate appraisal term meaning the legally and physically possible use that at the time of the appraisal, is most likely to produce the greatest net return to the land or buildings over a given period.

State Requirements:

AMC Registration # for ClearCapital, Inc - California 1256

in warket (Conditions Add	lendum t	to the A	Appraisal Report	File No.	53799 53799			
The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/c neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appra			-	•	revalent in the sul	oject			
Property Address 8572 Onalaska Ave	•		San Dieg		State CA	ZIP Code 921	123		
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC		a a ta a la ta a la ta a							
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information rea housing trends and overall market conditions as reported									
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as i	-		•						
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources wil									
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required infor	•			•	•	•			
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp					d by a prospectiv	e buyer of the			
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anoma Inventory Analysis	lies in the data, such as sea Prior 7–12 Months	asonal markets, Prior 4–6 N		Current – 3 Months	r	Overall Trend			
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	36	17		21	Increasing	Stable	Declining		
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	6.00	5.67		7.00	Increasing	Stable	Declining		
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	8	2		3	X Declining	Stable	Increasing		
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	1.33	0.35	5	0.43	X Declining	Stable	Increasing		
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 M		Current – 3 Months	<u> </u>	Overall Trend			
Median Comparable Sale Price Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	\$866,000	\$895,0		\$885,000	Increasing Declining	Stable Stable			
2 Median Comparable List Price	15 \$899,450	12 \$790,5		<u> </u>		Stable	Increasing Declining		
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	75	<u> </u>	500	000,000 6	X Declining	Stable	Increasing		
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	99.84%	99.39	9%	100.54%	Increasing	X Stable	Declining		
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p					Declining	X Stable	Increasing		
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas				-	-	-			
fees, options, etc.). Seller concessions typ					No significa	nt increase in	seller		
concessions has been noted within the su	pject's market area o	over the pre	vious 12	month period.					
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market	? 🗌 Yes 🗙 No	o If yes, exp	plain (includ	ing the trends in listings and	sales of foreclose	d properties).			
The California Regional MLS (Paragon) M	LS reported no fored	closures or s	short sale	es between 06/12/202	2 and 06/12/2	2023.			
Cite data sources for above information. The N	larket Conditions Ad	denda was	complete	ed with data from Calif	ornia Region	al MLS (Para	gon) MLS		
with an effective date of 06/12/2023.		The Marter Contaction of Mademaa was completed with data from California Hegiona was defined as							
	aslusions in the Naishbard	and anotion of	4h a	l venevitée vene lé venu venevitee	, additional inform	ation and as			
Summarize the above information as support for your co	•		••						
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	wn listings, to formulate yo	ur conclusions,	provide bot	h an explanation and support	for your conclusi	ons.			
	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co	ur conclusions, onditions Ad	provide bot Idendum,	h an explanation and support is based on propertie	for your conclusi s that are co	ons. mparable	l in the		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co very limited and not	ur conclusions, anditions Ad	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of th	for your conclusi s that are con ne market tre	ons. mparable nds observed			
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co very limited and not od analysis trends an 00. There is more in	ur conclusions, onditions Ad t sufficient to re based on formation to	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it	for your conclusi s that are con ne market tre ties within the is based on t	ons. mparable nds observed subject's ma ne typical buy	arket area /er wanting		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co very limited and not od analysis trends an 00. There is more in encompasses a varie	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to sty of homes	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar	for your conclusi s that are con- ne market tre ties within the is based on t influences, a	ons. nparable nds observed subject's ma ne typical buy Il of which pla	arket area ver wanting ay a part in		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e the understanding market trends. The pre-	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co overy limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varie evious 0 to 6 month to	ur conclusions, onditions Ad t sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluc	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper enclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within	for your conclusi s that are con ne market tre ties within the is based on the influences, a the subject's	ons. mparable nds observed subject's ma ne typical buy Il of which pla price range.	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co od analysis trends and 00. There is more in encompasses a varie evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have ha	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide o single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct ot on the o	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper enclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within poverall housing marke	for your conclusi s that are con ne market tre ties within the is based on th influences, a the subject's t over the mo	ons. mparable nds observed subject's ma ne typical buy Il of which pla price range. st recent 6 m	arket area ver wanting ay a part in The onth		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time.	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co ord analysis trends and od analysis trends and 00. There is more in encompasses a varie evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have ha o 24 month period in	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac idicates stal	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide o single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct ot on the o	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper enclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke withy increasing marke	for your conclusi s that are con ne market tre ties within the is based on the influences, a the subject's t over the mo t values and	ons. mparable nds observed subject's ma ne typical buy Il of which pla price range. st recent 6 m	arket area ver wanting ay a part in The onth		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co od analysis trends an 00. There is more in encompasses a varie evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have ha o 24 month period in project, complete the folloo	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac idicates stat	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide o single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct ot on the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper enclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke	for your conclusi s that are con ne market tre ties within the is based on the influences, a the subject's t over the mo t values and	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy ll of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mo	arket area ver wanting ay a part in The onth		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co ord analysis trends and od analysis trends and 00. There is more in encompasses a varie evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have ha o 24 month period in	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac idicates stal	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide o single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct ot on the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper enclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke withy increasing marke	for your conclusi s that are con- ne market tre- ties within the is based on ti- influences, a the subject's t over the mo- t values and	ons. mparable nds observed subject's ma ne typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mo Overall Trend	arket area ver wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co od analysis trends an 00. There is more in encompasses a varie evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have ha o 24 month period in project, complete the folloo	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac idicates stat	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide o single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct ot on the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper enclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke	for your conclusi s that are con- ne market tre- ties within the is based on ti- influences, a the subject's t over the mo- t values and -	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mo Overall Trend Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co od analysis trends an 00. There is more in encompasses a varie evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have ha o 24 month period in project, complete the folloo	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac idicates stat	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide o single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct ot on the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper enclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke	for your conclusi s that are con- ne market tre- ties within the is based on ti- influences, a the subject's t over the mo- t values and	ons. mparable nds observed subject's ma ne typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mo Overall Trend	arket area ver wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which et the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	wn listings, to formulate you 1004 MC, Market Co od analysis trends an 00. There is more in encompasses a varie evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have ha o 24 month period in project, complete the folloo	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac idicates stat	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide o single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct ot on the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper enclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke	for your conclusions that are con- the market tree ties within the is based on the influences, at the subject's t over the modified t values and the me:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mo Overall Trend Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which ex- the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Co very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have have to 24 month period in project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, conditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper enclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which est the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Co very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have have to 24 month period in project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, conditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which ex- the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Co very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have have to 24 month period in project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, conditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Co very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have have to 24 month period in project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, conditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Co very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have have to 24 month period in project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, conditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which es the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Co very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have have to 24 month period in project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, conditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have has o 24 month period in project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which est the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have has o 24 month period in project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which e the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have has o 24 month period in project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which est the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have has o 24 month period in project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which est the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have has o 24 month period in project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which est the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have has o 24 month period in project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which est the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have has o 24 month period in project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide n single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluct to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which est the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 th at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have has o 24 month period in project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide a single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluc to n the o ble to slip	h an explanation and support is based on properties entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which est the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have has o 24 month period in project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N	provide both Idendum, o fully ide a single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluci at on the o ble to slip Months dicate the nu	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which ex- the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Aubrey Stanley	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month to rates which have has o 24 month period in project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N D D If yes, ind t.	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide a single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluci to n the o ble to slip Months dicate the nu dicate the nu ignature upervisory A	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which ex- the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Aubrey Stanley Company Name ABS Appraisals Services	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month the rates which have has the subject complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to ty of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N D D If yes, ind t. Si Si Si	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide a single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluci to n the o ble to slip Months dicate the nu	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within poverall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months mber of REO listings and exp mber of REO listings and exp project Na current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which est the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 tr at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Aubrey Stanley	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month the rates which have has the subject complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, onditions Ad sufficient to re based on formation to try of homes rend indicat ad an impac dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N Prior 4–6 N D D If yes, ind Si Si Si Si C 2101-29 C	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide a single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluci to n the o ble to slip Months dicate the nu dicate the nu dicate the nu dicate the nu dicate the nu dicate the nu dicate t	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within poverall housing marke htty increasing marke Project Na Current – 3 Months mber of REO listings and exp mber of REO listings and exp project Na current – 3 Months	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable nds observed subject's man typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mod Overall Trend Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		
an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra The information provided on the attached to the subject, however, this information is neighborhood. Therefore, the neighborho and price range of \$710,00,000 to \$950,00 to live in this specific market area, which ex- the understanding market trends. The pre- fluctuation in value is due to rising interest period. The MLS data over the recent 12 t at this time. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Aubrey Stanley Company Name ABS Appraisals Services Company Address 425 W Beech St Unit 10	wn listings, to formulate yo 1004 MC, Market Cc very limited and not od analysis trends an 20. There is more in encompasses a varied evious 0 to 6 month the rates which have has the subject complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months Pri	ur conclusions, onditions Add sufficient to re based on formation to try of homes rend indicat ad an impace dicates stal wing: Prior 4–6 N Prior 4–6 N D D If yes, ind Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si Si	provide bot Idendum, o fully ide a single fa o draw co s, comme tes a fluci to n the o ble to slip Months dicate the nu dicate the nu dicate the nu dicate the nu dicate the nu dicate the nu dicate t	h an explanation and support is based on propertie entify some, or all, of the amily detached proper inclusions from and it ercial uses and similar tuation in value within overall housing marke project Na Current – 3 Months mber of REO listings and exp moder of REO listings and exp spraiser Name ne irress //certification #	for your conclusions that are concerned market tree ties within the is based on the subject's tover the most to values and the subject's is tover the most total ame:	ons. mparable mds observed subject's ma the typical buy II of which pla price range. st recent 6 m was given mc Overall Trend Stable	arket area /er wanting ay a part in The onth ore weight Declining Declining Increasing Increasing		

Analytics Addendum





For each month from 06-13-2022 to 06-12-2023 this chart shows the median price per square foot for both sales and listings in the subject market.



This graph represents list prices versus living area in the subject market from 03-11-2022 to 06-07-2023 and shows a likely value for a property of 1,134 sf to be between \$783,610 and \$913,108.



Analytics Addendum

This graph represents sales prices versus living area in the subject market from 06-20-2022 to 06-05-2023 and shows a likely value for a property of 1,134 sf to be between \$794,345 and \$917,741.



The listing inventory chart displays the number of properties actively for sale each day in the subject market from 06-12-2022 to 06-12-2023.

E&O Policy



DECLARATIONS

for REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

S Great American Assurance Company

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP	3667485-22	Renewal of:	RAP3667485-21		
Program Administrator:	Herbert H. Landy Insu 100 River Ridge Drive	rance Agency Inc. , Suite 301 Norwood, MA 0	2062		
Item 1. Named Insured: A	ıbrey B Stanley				
Item 2. Address:	425 W Beech St 103				
City, State, Zip Code:	San Diego, CA 92101				
	11/21/2022 To <i>onth, Day, Year)</i> (Month, D. 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the	11/21/2023 ay, Year) address of the Named Insure	d as stated in Item 2.)		
Item 4. Limits of Liability:					
A. \$ 1,000,000	Damages Limit of Liability – E	ach Claim			
B. § 1,000,000	Claim Expenses Limit of Liabi	lity – Each Claim			
C. § 1,000,000	Damages Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate				
D. § 1,000,000	Claim Expenses Limit of Liabi	lity – Policy Aggregate			
Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive o	f Claim Expenses):				

- A. S 500 Each Claim
- 1,000 B. \$ Aggregate
- 850.00 Item 6. Premium: \$
- Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 11/21/2008
- Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached: D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (08/12) D42402 (05/13) D42408 (05/13) D42412 (03/17) D42413 (06/17) D42414 (08/19)

Betay a magnuoni

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15)

Page 1 of 1

Appraisal License



Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE

Aubrey B. Stanley

has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title:

"Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser"

This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law.

BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:

3006117

Effective Date: Date Expires: January 24, 2023 January 23, 2025 Angela Jemmott, Bureau Chief, BREA

3069136