Huibin Lan

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No.	34802698
Case No.	54129

	The purpose of this summary appraisal report is to provide the lend	•					alue of	the subject prop	ertv.
	Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way		City	San Jose	rtou, opini	State CA			
		Public Record		dwood Holding	as LLC	County		Santa Clara	
	Legal Description LOT:37 CITY:SAN JOSE TR#:5517 TR				,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Assessor's Parcel # 670-11-066			Tax Year	2	022 R.E.	Taxes	\$ 10,901	
ECT	Neighborhood Name San Jose		Map Refer		48-D5		sus Tra		04
<u> </u>	Occupant X Owner Tenant Vacant Special Assess	sments \$	0	PUD	HOA\$	0	100 110		er month
B	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple Leasehold	Other (describe)		1 1 0 0	ΠΟΛΥΨ			por year p	CI IIIOIILII
SU		ransaction X C) Servicing(M	arket \/a	lue)			
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		•	•		00, Redondo B	each	CA 90278	
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale or has it been offer							Yes No	
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), and date(s). DOI								
	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-							
	08/30/2023;Original Price \$925,000;Original Date 08/3								
	I did did not analyze the contract for sale for the subject of the subjec	ect purchase trans	saction. Explai	n the results of the	e anaiysis	of the contract for s	sale of	r wny the analysis	s was not
5	performed.								
Ş	D. 10.1	1 11 1			ıo 🗀 v			()	
CONTRACT	Contract Price \$ Date of Contract			er of public record		es No Data S			
Ó	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sale concessions,		ent assistance	e, etc.) to be paid	by any par	ty on behalf of the	borrov	ver?Yes	No
ပ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe the items to be	paid.							
			_						
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the neighborhood a								
	Neighborhood Characteristics		nit Housing 1			One-Unit Hous	ing	Present Land Us	
		/ Values X Incr			Declining		\GE_	One-Unit	95 %
Ö	Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75% Under 25% Demand	I/Supply Sho	ortage X	In Balance C	OverSupply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	2 %
RHOOD	Growth Rapid X Stable Slow Marketin	ng Time X Und	er 3 mths	3-6 mths	Over6mths	700 Low	1	Multi-Family	2 %
企	Neighborhood Boundaries The north boundary is the HTully R	Rd The East bou	ndary is the S	S White Rd.; The	south	5,999 High	66	Commercial	1 %
BO	boundary is the Yerba Buena Rd and the West boundary is tl	he Hwy101				1,125 Pred.	51	Other	%
F	Neighborhood Description The subject property is located in a	a well establishe	d relative nev	v neighborhood	in the City	of San Jose; The	e neig	hborhood is we	II
H	maintained and is close to schools, parks, shopping centers								
Z	The subject's neighborhood is located within 5 -10 miles from								
	Market Conditions (including support for the above conclusions)					st 12 months wi	ith mo	derate sales ra	tes.
	y sappana a sa	<u>J</u>		<u> </u>					
	Dimensions 60 X 100	Area 6	6000 sf	Shape	Rectang	jular View		N;Res;	
	Specific Zoning Classification R1			Family Reside		your view		11,1100,	
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Nonconforming (Grand		No Zoning						
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as improved (or as					X Yes No I	f No. d	lescribe. See	
	Comment	proposed per pia	ns and specim	balloris) the prese	iit use :	7 163 1NO 11	i ivo, u	lescribe. Occ	
	Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public Other	(describe)	Of	f_cita lmnı	ovementsType		Public P	rivate
쁜	Electricity X Water	X Cite	(describe)		Asphal			X	IIVate
SIT	Gas X Sanitary Sewer			Allev	None				
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X No FEMA Floor			MA Map # 060		/Н EEMAN	Man D	ate 05/18/200	
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typical for the market			o, describe.	010 020	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	viap D	<u> </u>	
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external factors (easemer			,	nd usas at	c.)? X Yes	No I	f Yes, describe.	
	The subject is NOT located in a special flood hazardous area. The su								achad
	satellite map), so are some other comparables with similar adverse fac	-							
	sales grid.no any marketability issue noticed(i.e. The marketability sign						viii be a	applied accordingly	y iii tiile
				essment and Tax		Prior Inspectio	n X	Property Owne	<u></u>
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property Approximately X Other (describe)			e(s) for Gross Liv		j i noi mapectio		<u> Property Owne</u> Quest	'
						nenities	iteai		
	General Description General Description	_		y / Cooling				Car Storage	9
	Units X One One with Accessory Unit Concrete Slab X		X FWA	HWBB	X Firepl		=	None	
	# of Stories 1 Full Basement	Finished	Radiant			Istove(s) # 0		Driveway # of Ca	
	Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Partial Basement		Other			Deck Concre		way Surface Col	
	X Existing Proposed UnderConst Exterior Walls Wood			A:- O- 1'''		Concrete		Garage # of Ca	
	3 (14)	Tile/Good		Air Conditioning		None		Carport # of Ca	
	Year Built 1976 Gutters & Downspout				X Fence		$\overline{}$		Detached
		liding/Good	X Other 1		_	None		Built-in	
ENTS	Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Dishwasher X					describe)			
Ż	Finished area above grade contains: 6 Rooms	3 Bedroom	ns 2.0	Bath(s) 1	,563	Square Feet of G	ross L	_iving Area Above	Grade
EME	Additional features (special energy efficient items, etc.) Dual pa	ane windows.							
 									
ROVI	Describe the condition of the property and data source(s) (including								
ட	average condition The data source is from the appra							-	om)
≥	and VERIFIED by the owner . No physical, functional	or external ina	adequacies	were noted at	the time	of inspection.	The F	Remaining	
	Economic Life for the subject is about 40 years.								
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions	s that affect the liv	ability, soundr	ness, or structural	integrity of	f the property?	」Yes	X No	
	If Yes, describe								
	Does the property generally conform to the neighborhood (function	nal utility, style, co	ndition, use, o	onstruction, etc.)?	? X Yes	No If No, de	scribe		
]

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

There are 26 con	nparable properties cui	rently offered for sale in	the subject neighbo	orhood ranging	g in price fr	om \$ 799,0	000 to \$	5,999	9,000 .
There are 189 con	nparable sales in the s	ubject neighborhood with	nin the past twelve r	nonths ranging	g in sale p	rice from \$ 7	00,000	to \$ 1	,810,000 .
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE			ARABLE S		COMPA	RABLE SA	NF#3
	Aldrich Way	2049 Rigole				ad Court			uena Drive
	•								
	se, CA 95121	San Jose, 0			ı Jose, C				A 95121
Proximity to Subject		0.56 mi	les N	(0.15 mile	s SE	0	.20 mile	s NW
Sale Price	\$	\$	1,150,000		\$	1,029,000		\$	1,070,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.00 sq. f	. \$ 773.89	sq. ft.	\$ 843.	44 s	q. ft.	\$ 888.	70 s	q. ft.
	φ σ.σσ σφ. ι	ML# ML81939		-		311;DOM 5	-		23;DOM 15
Data Source(s)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Verification Source(s)		Realquest Doo	# 25538126 	Realqu	uest Doc	[‡] 25521744	Realque	est Doc#	<i>‡</i> 25554780
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIF	PTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIP	TION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sale or Financing		ArmLth	1	Arml		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ArmL		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv			Conv	•	
Date of Sale/Time		s10/23;c09/23	0	s08/23;c	c08/23	0	s09/23;c	08/23	0
Location	A·Res·BsvRd/Worship	A;Res;BsyRd/Comm	n.] 0	A;Res;BsyR	Rd/Comm.	0	N;Re	s:	-40,000
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	-	Fee Si		-	Fee Sir	•	10,000
·			2122						
Site	6000 sf	4792 sf	+24,000			0	00		+16,500
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Re	es;		N;Re	s;	
Design (Style)	DT1;Ranch	DT2;Contemp	0	DT1;Ra	anch		DT1;Ra	anch	
	Q4	Q4	<u> </u>	Q4			Q4		
Quality of Construction						_		•	
Actual Age	47	59	0	45	5	0	60		C
Condition	C4	C3	-40,000	C4	4		C3		-40,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths	-6,000	Total Bdrms	s. Baths		Total Bdrms	Baths	,
Room Count	6 3 2.0	7 4 3.0	-8,000		2.0		6 3	2.0	
Gross Living Area	1,563 sq.	t. 1,486 sq. ft	. +30,000	1,220	sq. ft.	+134,000	1,204	sq. ft.	+140,000
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0s			0sf		
					-				
Rooms Below Grade				_			_		
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Avera	age		Avera	ige	
Heating/Cooling	FWA/None	FWA/Central	-3,000	FWA/C	entral	-3,000	FWA/Ce	entral	-3,000
Energy Efficient Items	Dual Pane Window		<u> </u>	Dual Pane			Dual Pane		-,,,,,
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	1gbi1dw	+10,000				2ga2d		
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Concrete	Porch/Concrete		Porch/Co	oncrete		Porch/Co	ncrete	
Fireplaces	1 Fireplace	None	+3,000	1 Firep	olace		Non	e	+3,000
Pool	None	None	3,555	Non			Non		
						_			_
Listing Price \$	None	989,000	0		000	0		00	0
Net Adjustment (Total)		X + -	\$ 10,000	X +	-	\$ 131,000	X +	-	\$ 76,500
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj: 1%		Net Adj: 13	3%	,	Net Adj: 7%	<u>′</u>	,
Adjusted Gale i fice		Gross Adj : 11%							\$ 1.146.500
- f O -		Gross Adl: 11%	* I IOU UUU		1.5%				3 1 140 DUU
of Comparables							Oloss Auj.	23%	ψ 1,110,000
		sfer history of the subject					Oloss Auj.	23%	Ψ 1,110,000
I X did did not re	esearch the sale or tran	sfer history of the subjec	t property and com	parable sales.	. If not, exp	olain			Ψ 1,110,000
	esearch the sale or tran		t property and com	parable sales.	. If not, exp	olain			ψ 1,110,000
I X did did not re My research X did	search the sale or trar	sfer history of the subjec	t property and com	parable sales.	. If not, exp	olain			Ψ 1,110,000
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu	did not reveal any prest, MLS.	sfer history of the subjection	ct property and com	parable sales.	. If not, exp	olain to the effective dat	te of this appra	nisal.	ψ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did	did not reveal any prest, MLS.	sfer history of the subjection sales or transfers of the sales or transfers or transfers of the sales or transfers or the sales or the	ct property and com	parable sales.	. If not, exp	olain to the effective dat	te of this appra	nisal.	ψ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu RealQu RealQu RealQu RealQu RealQu RealQu RealQu	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS.	sfer history of the subjection sales or transfers of the sales or transfers or transfers of the sales or transfers or tran	et property and com the subject property the comparable sale	parable sales. for the three y s for the year	. If not, exp	to the effective date of sale of the	te of this appra	sale.	
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu RealQu RealQu RealQu RealQu RealQu RealQu RealQu	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS.	sfer history of the subjection sales or transfers of the sales or transfers or transfers of the sales or transfers or tran	et property and com the subject property the comparable sale	parable sales. for the three y s for the year	. If not, exp	to the effective date of sale of the	te of this appra	sale.	
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the significant comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers.	ne subject property ne comparable sale	parable sales. for the three y s for the year ect property a	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report	te of this appra e comparable s additional prio	sale. r sales on	page 3).
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the prior sales or transfers	et property and com the subject property the comparable sale	parable sales. for the three y s for the year ect property a	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the	te of this appra e comparable s additional prio	sale. r sales on	
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfer	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of Stee 11.	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the JBJECT	ne subject property ne comparable sale	parable sales. for the three y s for the year ect property a	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report	te of this appra e comparable s additional prio	sale. r sales on	page 3).
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of Stee 11.	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the prior sales or transfers	ne subject property ne comparable sale	parable sales. for the three y s for the year ect property a	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report	te of this appra e comparable s additional prio	sale. r sales on	page 3).
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of SI arr 11.	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the JBJECT 14/2023 199,000	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subj	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	te of this appra e comparable s additional prio	sale. r sales on OMPARAI	page 3). BLE SALE # 3
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis or 111. er \$8 MLS#N	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the JBJECT 14/2023 199,000 01L81941778	ne subject property ne comparable sale er history of the subj COMPARABLE S	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	te of this appra e comparable s additional prio	sale. r sales on OMPARAI	page 3). BLE SALE #3
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the search analysis of the search and analysis of the search analysis of the search analysis of the search and analysis of the search ana	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the prior sales or transfers or tr	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	te of this appra	sale. r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source (s) Analysis of prior sale or tr	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the surce(s) did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the surce(s)	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the prior sales or transfers or tr	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	te of this appra	sale. r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the surce(s) did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the surce(s)	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the prior sales or transfers or tr	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	te of this appra	sale. r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfe) comp4) within last 12	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see sall esearch and analysis of the succession of the succ	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the JBJECT 14/2023 199,000 ML81941778 199,000 ML81941779 199,000 ML81941	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subj COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1	years prior prior to the COM	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale	te of this appra	sale. r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the search	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the prior sale of the previous sale of the property and compare previous sale of the prior sales or transfers	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject MPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is bec	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1	years prior prior to the COM and compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short	te of this appra e comparable s additional prio 2 Co of the comp	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the search analysi	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the JBJECT 14/2023 of the prior sale or transfers of the prior sale of the previous sale of the previous sale of the prior sales or transfers or	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject MPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is bec	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1	years prior prior to the COM and compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short	te of this appra e comparable s additional prio 2 Co of the comp	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the search analysi	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the JBJECT 14/2023 of the prior sale or transfers of the prior sale of the previous sale of the previous sale of the prior sales or transfers or	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject MPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is bec	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1	years prior prior to the COM and compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short	te of this appra e comparable s additional prio 2 Co of the comp	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transfer	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the search and analysis of the search analysis of the search and analysis of the search and analysis of the search and analysis of the search analysis of the	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the JBJECT 14/2023 of 14/2023 o	the subject property the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea the subject is bece EFAULT) of the	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D	years prior prior to the and compa COM abase, revious s pate: 5/2	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale ale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0	te of this appra	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/02 arables(page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the search and analysis of the search analysis of the search and analysis of the search and analysis of the search and analysis of the search analysis of the	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the JBJECT 14/2023 of 14/2023 o	the subject property the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea the subject is bece EFAULT) of the	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D	years prior prior to the and compa COM abase, revious s pate: 5/2	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale ale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0	te of this appra	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/02 arables(page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two nor Date: 10/18/2021Price Summary of Sales Compa	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see salesearch and sees and the see search and sees and the sees	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the prior sale of the previous sale of the previous sale of the prior sale	the subject property the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea the subject is bece EFAULT) of the	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D	years prior prior to the and compa COM abase, revious s pate: 5/2	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale ale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0	te of this appra	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/02 arables(page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two nor Date: 10/18/2021Price Summary of Sales Compacondition and appeal	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the search and analysis of the search analysis of the search and analysis of the search anal	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid company of the previous sale of the cition (NOTICE OF Diese prev	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject MPARABLE S Realquee 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is bece EFAULT) of the	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 set 23 rch the data ause the property a subject : D	years prior prior to the and comparadomy abase, revious solute: 5/2:	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0	te of this appra	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/01 arables(page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two nor Date: 10/18/2021Price Summary of Sales Compace condition and appeal Adjustments are made	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see and the see an	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the grid comp4 of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the grid	the subject property the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 trable sales Sea the subject is bect of the subject is bect is bect of the subject is bect of the s	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the property subject : Dest 3 months	years prior prior to the and compa COM abase, revious s ate: 5/2:	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot set alle was a short all alle was a short alle was a short alle was a short all all all all all all all all all al	additional prior t sale. ; DOC# 254 age, and sim	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/02 arables(478391.	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two nor Date: 10/18/2021Prior Summary of Sales Compacondition and appeal Adjustments are made \$390/SF(For GLA diff	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the see search and the see shigher than the armlength transace: \$0;DOC#251362 arison Approach Arison Approach Arison Approach App	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid company of the previous sale of the previous sale of the ction (NOTICE OF Diggs and Company of the grid company of the	the subject property the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property Realques 02/01/202 the subject is bect of the subject of t	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat	years prior prior to the and compare COM abase, revious solute: 5/2: s of simil	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se \$8000/Bathroor	additional prio comparable s	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/01 arables(478391. milar qual pss living \$700/Ye	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two nor Date: 10/18/2021Price Summary of Sales Compace condition and appeal Adjustments are made	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the see search and the see shigher than the armlength transace: \$0;DOC#251362 arison Approach Arison Approach Arison Approach App	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid company of the previous sale of the previous sale of the ction (NOTICE OF Diggs and Company of the grid company of the	the subject property the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property Realques 02/01/202 the subject is bect of the subject of t	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat	years prior prior to the and compare COM abase, revious solute: 5/2: s of simil	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se \$8000/Bathroor	additional prio comparable s	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/01 arables(478391. milar qual pss living \$700/Ye	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two nor Date: 10/18/2021Price Summary of Sales Compace condition and appeal Adjustments are made \$390/SF(For GLA diff difference more than	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the see search and analysis of the see search and analysis of the see search and the see search and the see search and the search analysis of the search and analysis of the search analysis of the search and analysis of the search analysis of the search analysis of the search and analysis of the search analysis o	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the JBJECT (14/2023 or 14/2023	the subject property the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property Realques 02/01/202 the subject is becter better becter becter becter better becter becter becter better becter becter becter becter becter becter better becter becter better becter better becter better becter better better better better becter better bett	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the property and subject : D set 3 months reger than 10 pom; 4). Bat age: \$10,00	years prior prior to the and compa COM abase, revious s pate: 5/2: s of simil 0% of the throom: \$ 00/car.8).	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor. The time adjustice is alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0	additional prior to additional prior to additional prior to additional prior to a comparable so a comparable s	Real 02/02 arables (178391.	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tree comp4) within last 12 The final market value ANOTHER Two nore Date: 10/18/2021Price Summary of Sales Compacondition and appeal Adjustments are made \$390/SF(For GLA difference more than contract date difference	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the search the se	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp5 of the previous sale of the cition (NOTICE OF Diese previo	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is bec EFAULT) of the d sales within last size difference la m: \$6000/Bedro lace;7) Car stora as all the comps	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 s sold within	years prior prior to the and comparate compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale ale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a sale subject's lot sale subject's lot sale sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0	additional prior 2 Comparable se comparable se additional prior 2 Comparable se additional prior 2 Comparable se age, and simple se age, and simpl	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/02 arables(478391. milar qual 025 living 700/Yes 0.6% MData,	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two nor Date: 10/18/2021Prior Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$390/SF(For GLA diff difference more than contract date differen 9).Location:\$20000/p	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the see shigher than the armlength transactics of the see shigher than t	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp5 of the previous sale of the cition (NOTICE OF Diese previo	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is bec EFAULT) of the d sales within last size difference la m: \$6000/Bedro lace;7) Car stora as all the comps	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 s sold within	years prior prior to the and comparate compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale ale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a sale subject's lot sale subject's lot sale sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0	additional prior 2 Comparable se comparable se additional prior 2 Comparable se additional prior 2 Comparable se age, and simple se age, and simpl	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/02 arables(478391. milar qual 025 living 700/Yes 0.6% MData,	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tree comp4) within last 12 The final market value ANOTHER Two nore Date: 10/18/2021Price Summary of Sales Compacondition and appeal Adjustments are made \$390/SF(For GLA difference more than contract date difference	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the see shigher than the armlength transactics of the see shigher than t	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp5 of the previous sale of the cition (NOTICE OF Diese previo	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is bec EFAULT) of the d sales within last size difference la m: \$6000/Bedro lace;7) Car stora as all the comps	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 s sold within	years prior prior to the and comparate compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale ale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a sale subject's lot sale subject's lot sale sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0	additional prior 2 Comparable se comparable se additional prior 2 Comparable se additional prior 2 Comparable se age, and simple se age, and simpl	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/02 arables(478391. milar qual 025 living 700/Yes 0.6% MData,	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two nor Date: 10/18/2021Prio Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$390/SF(For GLA diff difference more than contract date differen 9).Location:\$20000/p	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the search and	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 If the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 If the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 Id 14/2023 Id 14/2023 Id 14/2023 Id 14/2023 Id 14/2023 Id 14/2023 Id 15/2023 Id 16/2023 Id 16/2	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is bec EFAULT) of the d sales within last size difference la m: \$6000/Bedro lace;7) Car stora as all the comps	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 s sold within	years prior prior to the and comparate compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale ale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a sale subject's lot sale subject's lot sale sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0	additional prior 2 Comparable se comparable se additional prior 2 Comparable se additional prior 2 Comparable se age, and simple se age, and simpl	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/02 arables(478391. milar qual 025 living 700/Yes 0.6% MData,	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two nor Date: 10/18/2021Pric Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$390/SF(For GLA diff difference more than contract date differen 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by Sales	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the see shigher than the armlength transacter. So;DOC#251362 arison Approach from subject's male as follows: 1). See search than 3 mer benefit/Adverse typical to the area. Comparison Approach	ior sales or transfers of the subjection sales or transfers of the grid comp4 If the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 If the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 Id 14/2023 Id 14/2023 Id 14/2023 Id 14/2023 Id 14/2023 Id 14/2023 Id 15/2023 Id 16/2023 Id 16/2	the subject property the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property Realques 02/01/202 the sales Sea the subject is bect of the subject is bect is bect is bect of the subject is	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the property subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 s sold within obtained by	years prior prior to the and comparate compara	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a sesubject's lot ses 8800/Bathroor. The time adjusts) according analysis of the	additional prior additional prior 2 Co of the comp t sale. ; DOC# 254 age, and sim size); 2). Gro m; 5). Age: \$ stment uses to 1004MC comparable	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0′ arables(278391. nilar qual pss living 700/Yes 0.6% Modern the	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfe to the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Data Source(s)	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the see shigher than the name armlength transace: \$0;DOC#251362 arison Approach from subject's male as follows: 1). See search and the see shigher than 3 mer benefit/Adversee typical to the area. Comparison Approach comparison Approach see search and proach see search and analysis of the search and the s	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp5 of the grid comp5 of the previous sale of the ction(NOTICE OF Die 284 of the grid comp5 of the gri	the subject property the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property Realques 02/01/202 the sales Seate subject is becter and the sales within last ize difference late; 7) Car stora and the comparable sales all the comparable sales and the comparable sales sales within last sales with	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the presubject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Batage: \$10,00 second within obtained by eveloped) \$	years prior prior to the and compare COM abase, revious solute: 5/2: s of simil 0% of the throom: \$00/car.8). n 3 month y paired	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se \$8000/Bathroor. The time adjuins) according analysis of the	additional prior 2 Comparable secomparable s	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/02 arables(278391. nilar qual pss living 700/Yes 0.6% No Data , es in the eloped) \$	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfe to the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Data Source(s) Effecti	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the see is higher than the armlength transace:\$0;DOC#251362 arison Approach from subject's male as follows: 1). See see follows: 1). See more than 3 mer benefit/Adversee typical to the area. Comparison Approach See market comparison	ior sales or transfers of the grid comp4 If the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 If the prior sale or transfers of the JBJECT I14/2023 I399,000 IL81941778 I01/2023 Ibject property and compact previous sale of the ction(NOTICE OF Diese previous sale of the ction(NOTICE OF Diese \$20/SF(For lot single 20 sqft); 3). Bedroo place: \$3,000/Fireponths(NOT applied a Factor; The above \$ 1,155,000 1,155,000 approach which considers.	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea the subject is becter subject is becter sales within lastice difference late: \$6000/Bedrotalace; 7) Car storals all the comparable sales adjustment are Cost Approach (if diders sales of similates)	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the property ause the property and subject: Dest 3 months age: \$10,00 sold within obtained by seveloped) \$ lar properties	years prior prior to the and compared COM abase, revious solute: 5/2: s of simil 0% of the throom: \$ 00/car.8). n 3 month y paired 1,158,5 s within su	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se \$8000/Bathroor. The time adjusts) according analysis of the second sale sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0	additional prior 2 Comparable secomparable s	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0° arables (1.78391. nilar quant oss living \$700/Yes 0.6% No Data, es in the eloped) \$ approach	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfe to the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Data Source(s)	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the see is higher than the armlength transace:\$0;DOC#251362 arison Approach from subject's male as follows: 1). See see follows: 1). See more than 3 mer benefit/Adversee typical to the area. Comparison Approach See market comparison	ior sales or transfers of the grid comp4 If the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 If the prior sale or transfers of the JBJECT I14/2023 I399,000 IL81941778 I01/2023 Ibject property and compact previous sale of the ction(NOTICE OF Diese previous sale of the ction(NOTICE OF Diese \$20/SF(For lot single 20 sqft); 3). Bedroo place: \$3,000/Fireponths(NOT applied a Factor; The above \$ 1,155,000 1,155,000 approach which considers.	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea the subject is becter subject is becter sales within lastice difference late: \$6000/Bedrotalace; 7) Car storals all the comparable sales adjustment are Cost Approach (if diders sales of similates)	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the property ause the property and subject: Dest 3 months age: \$10,00 sold within obtained by seveloped) \$ lar properties	years prior prior to the and compared COM abase, revious solute: 5/2: s of simil 0% of the throom: \$ 00/car.8). n 3 month y paired 1,158,5 s within su	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se \$8000/Bathroor. The time adjusts) according analysis of the second sale sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0	additional prior 2 Comparable secomparable s	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0° arables (1.78391. nilar quant oss living \$700/Yes 0.6% No Data, es in the eloped) \$ approach	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfe to the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Data	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the see is higher than the analysis of the see is higher than the analysis of the see see search and analysis of the see see search and analysis of the search than the search th	ior sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the previous sale of the cition (NOTICE OF Diese previous sale of th	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is bece EFAULT) of the disales within lastize difference la m: \$6000/Bedro lace;7) Car stora as all the comps adjustment are Cost Approach (if deders sales of similinary resident and	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the property and subject: Dest 3 months age: \$10,00 s sold within obtained by seveloped) \$ lar properties almost all the	years prior prior to the and compare COM abase, revious solate: 5/2: s of simil 0% of the throom: \$ 00/car.8). n 3 month y paired 1,158,5 s within sue homes i	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale ale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se \$8000/Bathroor. The time adjusts of the subject's neighbount the subject's neighbount the subject's neighbount the subject's neighbount the subject's neighbournes.	additional prior 2 Comparable se comparable se additional prior 2 Comparable se age, and simple se age, and	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0° arables (1.78391. nilar quant oss living \$700/Yes 0.6% No Data, es in the eloped) \$ approach	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Data Source(s)	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the see is higher than the armlength transative:\$0;DOC#251362 arison Approach from subject's male as follows: 1). See see search and a more than 3 mer benefit/Adverse typical to the area. Comparison Approach of the area of the see search and proach of the search analysis of the search analysis of the search and the search analysis of the search analysis of the search analysis of the search and the search analysis of the search and the searc	ior sales or transfers of the subject of sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp5 of the previous sale of the p	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is bece EFAULT) of the d sales within last size difference la m: \$6000/Bedro lace;7) Car stora as all the comps adjustment are Cost Approach (if deders sales of similary resident and d protected. They	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 s sold within obtained by eveloped) \$ lar properties almost all the are true and	years prior prior to the and compa COM abase, revious s Pate: 5/2: s of simil 0% of the throom: \$ 00/car.8). 1,158,5 s within sue homes in exactly servious se	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale ale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se \$8000/Bathroor. The time adjusts) according analysis of the subject's neighboun the subject's neighbourn the subject's n	additional priority of the comparable stale. ; DOC# 254 age, and simulates; DOC# 254 age, and simulates; DOC# 254 comparable stale. pproach (if deverable stale) pproach (if deverable stale) pproach (oct a stale)	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/01 arables(178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391.	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Data Source(s) Effe	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the see shipper than the transport of the see search and the see search and analysis of the search transport of the sea	ior sales or transfers of the subject of sales or transfers of the signid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the signid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the previous sale of the previous sa	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is beceptable sales Sea e subject is beceptable sales Sea e subject is beceptable sales within last dize difference lates are \$6000/Bedrot lace; 7) Car storates all the comparable sales of similarity resident and diprotected. They are sand specification	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 s sold within obtained by seveloped) \$ lar properties almost all the are true and ns on the basi	years prior prior to the and comparate compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale ale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se \$8000/Bathroor. The time adjuins) according analysis of the subject's neighbount the subject's neighbount the subject's neighbount the subject's neighbour are as original obthetical condition	additional priority of the comparable stale. ; DOC# 254 age, and similar stale. ; DOC# 254 age, and similar stale. ; DOC# 254 comparable stale. pproach (if deverable stale)	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0 arables(478391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391.	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for ave been
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two nor Date: 10/18/2021Price Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are made \$390/SF(For GLA diff difference more than contract date difference 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The delay	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the see shipper than the transport of the see search and the see search and analysis of the search transport of the sea	ior sales or transfers of the subject of sales or transfers of the signid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the signid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the previous sale of the previous sa	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is beceptable sales Sea e subject is beceptable sales Sea e subject is beceptable sales within last dize difference lates are \$6000/Bedrot lace; 7) Car storates all the comparable sales of similarity resident and diprotected. They are sand specification	for the three y s for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 s sold within obtained by seveloped) \$ lar properties almost all the are true and ns on the basi	years prior prior to the and comparate compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale ale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se \$8000/Bathroor. The time adjuins) according analysis of the subject's neighbount the subject's neighbount the subject's neighbount the subject's neighbour are as original obthetical condition	additional priority of the comparable stale. ; DOC# 254 age, and similar stale. ; DOC# 254 age, and similar stale. ; DOC# 254 comparable stale. pproach (if deverable stale)	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0 arables(478391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391. 178391.	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Data Source(s) Effe	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the search and the se	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp5 of the grid c	the subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property The comparable sale or history of the subject property Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is beceefaulth of the disales within lastize difference la m: \$6000/Bedro lace;7) Car stora as all the comparable sales dijustment are Cost Approach (if deders sales of similinary resident and diprotected. They and and specification of a hypothetical co	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the property and subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 s sold within obtained by seveloped) \$ lar properties almost all the are true and ins on the basion of the basion of the true and ins on the basion of the true and ins on the basion of the true and true are are also and true are are also and true and true are are are also and true and true are are are are are are are are are ar	years prior prior to the and comparate compara	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale and sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale and sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale and sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale and sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale and sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale was a s	additional prior 2 CO of the comp t sale. ; DOC# 254 age, and sim size); 2). Gro m; 5). Age: \$ stment uses to 1004MC comparable proach (if deverable) comparable comp	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0′ arables(278391. nilar qua 285 living 3700/Ye 3 0.6% M Data , es in the eloped) \$ approach are purch	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for ave been subjecttothe
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tre comp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two norn Date: 10/18/2021Prior Summary of Sales Compace condition and appeal Adjustments are made \$390/SF(For GLA diff difference more than contract date difference 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The definition of the completed, subject to following required inspect	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and the see search and the see search and the see search and analysis of the search and see search and analysis of the search and the search and the search and the search and the search analysis of the search and the	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid companies of the previous sale of the ction (NOTICE OF Die 284 of the grid companies (NOTICE OF Die 284 of the grid companies (NOT applied and Factor; The above \$1,155,000 of 1,155,000 of 1,155,000 of 1,155,000 of the grid companies report are passwors of the grid companies of the grid completion per plater alterations on the basis ordinary assumption that	the subject property the comparable sale of history of the subject property The comparable sale of history of the subject property Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea the subject is bect of the subject is bect of the sales within last ize difference late; and the comparable sales within last ize difference late; and the comparable sales of similarly resident and difference in the condition of details and specification of a hypothetical countries.	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the pr subject : D st 3 months rger than 10 pom; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 s sold within obtained by seveloped) \$ lar properties almost all the are true and no on the basi andition that the ficiency does	years prior prior to the and comparate compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale and sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale and sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale and sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale and sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale and sale was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a session sale was a s	additional prior 2 CO of the comp t sale. ; DOC# 254 age, and sim size); 2). Gro m; 5). Age: \$ stment uses to 1004MC comparable proach (if deverable) comparable comp	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0′ arables(278391. nilar qua 285 living 3700/Ye 3 0.6% M Data , es in the eloped) \$ approach are purch	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for ave been subjecttothe
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfe The final market valu ANOTHER Two nornotate: 10/18/2021Priors Summary of Sales Compacendition and appeal Adjustments are made \$390/SF(For GLA diff difference more than contract date difference 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sales Indicated	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the search and anal	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp5 of the previous sale of the cition (NOTICE OF Divided and prior the grid comp5 of the	the subject property the comparable sales or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is beceptable sales Sea e subject is beceptable sales within lastice difference lates and the composition of the sales within lastice difference lates all the composition of a hypothetical control of a hypothetical contr	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the presubject: Dest 3 months reger than 10 pom; 4). Batage: \$10,00 second within obtained by seveloped) \$ lar properties almost all the are true and ans on the basindition that the ficiency does togage trans	years prior prior to the and comparate compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se 88000/Bathroor. The time adjusted in the subject's neighbout	additional prior 2 Comparable secomparable s	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/0° arables(78391. nilar qua pss living 700/Yes 0.6% N Data , es in the eloped) \$ approach are purch vements h d, or ppraisal	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for ave been subjecttothe Report is
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or trecomp4) within last 12 The final market valu ANOTHER Two nore Date: 10/18/2021Price Summary of Sales Compace condition and appeal Adjustments are made \$390/SF(For GLA difference more than contract date difference 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales Indic	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the succession of the succes	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp5 of the previous sale of the cition (NOTICE OF Die grid comp5 or the grid comp5 of the	rhe subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea the subject is beceen because sales within last size difference last m: \$6000/Bedro lace;7) Car stora as all the composadjustment are Cost Approach (if deders sales of similarly resident and deprotected. They are and specification of a hypothetical cost the condition or desperty from at least perty from at least	for the three yes for the year feet property a SALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the presubject: Dest 3 months from; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 seld within obtained by seveloped) \$ far properties almost all the are true and ins on the basin officiency does true to the street, of the street is street.	years prior prior to the and comparate compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se 88000/Bathroor. The time adjusts of the subject's neighbouth the subject's neighbouth the subject's neighbouth as original of the subject's neighbouth at the sub	additional prior 2 Comparable secomparable s	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/02 arables(page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for ave been subjecttothe Report is
My research X did Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Dat	did not reveal any prest, MLS. did not reveal any prest, MLS see salesearch and analysis of the see search and analysis of the see see search and analysis of the see see search and analysis of the search	ior sales or transfers of the subject or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp4 of the prior sale or transfers of the grid comp5 of the previous sale of the cition (NOTICE OF Die grid comp5 or the grid comp5 of the	rhe subject property the comparable sale or history of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea e subject is bec EFAULT) of the d sales within las size difference la m: \$6000/Bedro lace;7) Car stora as all the comps adjustment are Cost Approach (if de ders sales of similinary resident and d protected. They ans and specification of a hypothetical co the condition or de sperty from at leas rket value, as defi	for the three yes for the year sect property a sALE # 1 st 23 rch the data ause the property and subject: Dest 3 months arger than 10 from; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 from; 4). Bat age: \$10,00 from; 4) for a sold within obtained by the same true and and the same true and and the same true and the sold the same true and the	years prior prior to the and comparate compar	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale alle was a short 2/2023Price:\$0 ar design and a se subject's lot se 88000/Bathroor. The time adjusts of the subject's neighbouth the subject's neighbouth the subject's neighbouth as original of the subject's neighbouth at the sub	additional prior additi	r sales on OMPARAI Real 02/02 arables(478391. Anilar qual 025 living 700/Yes 0.6% Mara 1, es in the 1 living	page 3). BLE SALE # 3 quest 1/2023 Except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for ave been subjecttothe Report is

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	Comparable selection:All the comps are arm length transactions.		
	R1=Single family Residence: the minimum lot size for single family is 5	5000 sqft or above, The Maximum Residential Density	= 9 units per
	acres.But for much newer single family the lot size will be smaller acco		rdiance:
	http://library.municode.com/HTML/16425/level2/TIT17ZO_CH17.08DI.I		
	This appraisal was ordered in compliance with Appraisal Independence	e "AIR" and Mortgage Letter 2009-28.	
	No any personal property is included in this transaction. Though the GLA difference of the comp2,comp3,comp4 was beyond	the usual guideline as they subject's immediate neigh	hbor and/or
	similar schools rating area and similar to the subject in all the features,		ribor and/or
	Similar Schools faming area and Similar to the Subject in all the leatures.	, trius it is still a good comparable	
	The condition adjustment for comp4,comp3 and comp1 are because T	hese Comparables have better upgraded kitchen(new	er granite
	counter top and newer cabinet),Bathrooms(newer Granite/corian count	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
တ	has less upgraded kitchen(older laminate/tile counter top,older cabinet		
Z	laminate/tile/carpet flooring). The good condition houses usually with hi	gher sales price, the condition adjustment was obtained	ed by the
≝	pairing analysis of the comparables(comp2 vs comp1).		
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	Due to the difference of GLA,condition ,style and location, the GLA a	disutment and/or the net adjustment of comp2.comp3.	comp4 and the
Ö	pre-adjusted comparable price range is beyond the usual guideline.		30p : aa aa
¥			
<u>ō</u>	The age ,lot size ,GLA,location adjustments were obtained by the pair	analysis of the comparables in the subject's neighborh	nood. Note that
늠	the age difference is within 35 years and the lot size difference within	10% of the subject's lot size is seen as brackted as no	adjusment
PDI	are needed in this case.		
	All the garage are in the garage are constructive residuh and send (As the base	rainan muian ann maaimhr inan antard brotha an bardha nation	all the a
	All the comps are in the same or competing neighborhood (As the hound comparables and the subject have the same or similar school ratings)		
	are addressed in the two nearest and same style sold comp3 and co		
	remained sold comp).	mpz (1070 for compz and compo recipectively, 1070 c	
	1,		
	Note that the subject's final market value is higher than the predomina	ant value of the neighborhood . This is because the sub	bject has a
	larger GLA, a larger lot size and in an increasing market. No any ma		using value
	higher than the predominant value is similar to the housing value lower	r than the predominant value).	
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE	•	
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal	lculations.	
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth	lculations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Ma	
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs	lculations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Ma traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio	is typical for
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui	lculations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Ma traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio	is typical for
АСН	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs	lculations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Ma traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio	is typical for
кодсн	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.	lculations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Ma traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high	o is typical for h ratio of site
PPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION R X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current	loulations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Ma traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high OPINION OF SITE VALUE	h ratio of site =\$ 600,000
T APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Opinion Opinio	s is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0
OST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost	Overland Coulations. Ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio included sites. No any marketability issue due to this high OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ 390.00 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00	s is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed	Over the stimate of t	s is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to	Over the stimate of t	e is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational	Coulations. Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to	Coulations. Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high	e is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life	Coulations. Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio Idable sites. No any marketability issue due to this higher	sis typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value By Cost Approach	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	Over the stimation of the stimates based on Materiaction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio of the stimates it is included by the stimates of the stimates based on Materiaction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio of the stimates of the stimate of the stimates of the stimate of the stima	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value By Cost Approach	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	Over the stimation of the stimates based on Materiaction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio of the stimates it is included by the stimates of the stimates based on Materiaction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio of the stimates of the stimate of the stimates of the stimate of the stima	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ 390.00 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 Depreciation 324,785 0 16,239 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ 390.00 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 Depreciation 324,785 0 16,239 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
INCOME COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONL	Coulations. Code for estimating site value Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project	Idulations. Indicated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae.) FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Description method. The higher Land to improvement ratio in traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio in traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio in traction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio in traction method in the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total	Idulations. Ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ 390.00 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 Depreciation 324,785 0 16,239 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data	Iculations. Ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ 390.00 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 Depreciation 324,785 0 16,239 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	Iculations. Ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ 390.00 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 Depreciation 324,785 0 16,239 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	Iculations. Ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ 390.00 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 Depreciation 324,785 0 16,239 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	Idulations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this higher Land to improvement sites. No land the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ 390.00 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 Depreciation 324,785 0 16,239 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Inumber of units sold source No If Yes, date of conversion.	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	Idulations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this higher Land to improvement sites. No land the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ 390.00 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 Depreciation 324,785 0 16,239 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Inumber of units sold source No If Yes, date of conversion.	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	Idulations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this higher Land to improvement ratio ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this higher Land to improvement sites. No land the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ 390.00 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 Depreciation 324,785 0 16,239 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Inumber of units sold source No If Yes, date of conversion.	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's not in the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUD	Iculations. ods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Matraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratic ildable sites. No any marketability issue due to this high OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,563 Sq. Ft. @\$ 390.00 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 Depreciation 324,785 0 16,239 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach E (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Inumber of units sold source S No If Yes, date of conversion.	o is typical for h ratio of site =\$ 600,000 =\$ 609,570 =\$ 0 =\$ 40,000 =\$ 649,570 =\$ (341,024) =\$ 308,546 =\$ 250,000 =\$ 1,158,546

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 34802698

Case No. 54129

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender			-	nds a	nd conditions p	reva	lent in the s	ubjec	i .
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all app	oraisal reports with an	effective date on or	after April 1, 2009.						
Property Address 1662 Aldrich	Way	City	San Jose	St	ate CA		ZIP Code		95121
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC		•							
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information	required on this form	as the basis for his/	har canalusians and m	uot nr	ovido gunnort:	or th	ooo oonalu	niono	rogarding
* *	•								
housing trends and overall market conditions as repor									
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis a	is indicated below. If a	any required data is	unavailable or is consi	dered	unreliable, the	аррі	raiser must	provid	de an
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources v	will be able to provide	data for the shaded	areas below: if it is ava	ailable	. however, the	appr	aiser must	includ	e that data
in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required	•								
		-					-		-
average. Sales and listings must be properties that co						ea by	a prospec	live bi	lyer of the
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anor	malies in the data, suc	h as seasonal mark	ets, new construction,	forecl	osures, etc.				
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months		0	veral	l Trend		
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	100	51	38		Increasing		Stable	X	Declining
. , ,				\vdash	1	\vdash		_	
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	16.67	17.00	12.67		Increasing		Stable	X	Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	1	2	26		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	0.06	0.12	2.05		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months			voral	l Trend		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
						Verai			Da allada a
Median Comparable Sales Price	1,102,550.00	1,100,000.00	1,262,500.00	X			Stable	 	Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	8	10	9		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	5,999,000.00	1,149,500.00	1,056,444.00		Increasing		Stable	x	Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	167	101	14	X			Stable		Increasing
, ,									
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	101.00	104.00	106.00	X	7		Stable	Щ	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc,) paid financial assistan	ce prevalent?	Yes X	No		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the past	12 months (e.g. selle	r contributions increa	ased from 3% to 5%, in	ncreas	ing use of buy	dowr	s. closina d	costs	
condo fees, options, etc.)	(5.9. 55/10)		5,0 .5 0 /0, 11		J 222 C. Day		,		
	oforo the '	nod dana ' ' '	halamaa ! !!	h	ro a fi		mn = 4 - 1	41	**************************************
The concession were not seen as often as b									
in the current market, this is especilly true fo	r the recent 6 mor	nths, the multiple	offers are compe	ting f	or the house	es in	the neig	<u>hbor</u>	nood and
the broad bay area.									
and producting aroun									
	. 🗀	7							
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the mark	ket? Yes X	No If yes, expl	ain (including the trend	ds in li	stings and sale	s of	foreclosed	prope	rties).
No, as there is only few distressed properti	es in the subject's	neighborhood(i	none of 189 sold o	omp:	and none	of 2	9 active/	bend	ing
comps within last 12 months are distressed s									
comps within last 12 months are distressed to	saics), the phoes	WIII INOT DE ATIE	oicu.						
Cita data aguraga far abaya information									
Cite data sources for above information.									
Cite data sources for above information. MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a	and Realquest(Co	relogic:www.real	quest.com)						
	and Realquest(Co	relogic:www.real	quest.com)						
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a		·		form	f vou used an	, add	itional infor	matio	n such as
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your	conclusions in the Ne	ighborhood section of	of the appraisal report						n, such as
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form	ighborhood section outlier	of the appraisal report	olanat	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions	
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu nood is increasing	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months	olanat ((on and support Comparing t	t for he n	your conclu nedium p	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu nood is increasing	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months	olanat ((on and support Comparing t	t for he n	your conclu nedium p	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months	olanat ((on and support Comparing t	t for he n	your conclu nedium p	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months	olanat ((on and support Comparing t	t for he n	your conclu nedium p	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months	olanat ((on and support Comparing t	t for he n	your conclu nedium p	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months	olanat ((on and support Comparing t	t for he n	your conclu nedium p	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months	olanat ((on and support Comparing t	t for he n	your conclu nedium p	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months	olanat ((on and support Comparing t	t for he n	your conclu nedium p	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months	olanat ((on and support Comparing t	t for he n	your conclu nedium p	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months	olanat ((on and support Comparing t	t for he n	your conclu nedium p	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing nonths data and the	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the monthly time a	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months	olanat ((on and support Comparing t	t for he n	your conclu nedium p	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s.	ighborhood section outline goverall for the section overall for the section overall for the section overall for the section of	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil	olanat ((on and suppoi Comparing t 118125/110	t for he n	your conclu nedium p -1)/12*10	sions rice o	of most
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing nonths data and the	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the monthly time a	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil	olanat ((on and suppoi Comparing t 118125/110	t for he n	your conclunedium p -1)/12*10	sions rice o	of most 6% or the
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s.	ighborhood section outline goverall for the section overall for the section overall for the section overall for the section of	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil	olanat ((on and suppoi Comparing t 118125/110	t for he n	your conclunedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable	sions rice o	of most 6% or the
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s.	ighborhood section outline goverall for the section overall for the section overall for the section overall for the section of	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil	olanat ((on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the c	t for he n	your conclunedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable	sions rice o	of most 6% or the Declining Declining
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s.	ighborhood section outline goverall for the section overall for the section overall for the section overall for the section of	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil	olanat ((on and suppoi Comparing t 118125/110	t for he n	your conclunedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable	sions rice o	of most 6% or the
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s.	ighborhood section outline goverall for the section overall for the section overall for the section overall for the section of	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil	olanat ((on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the c	t for he n	your conclunedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions rice o	Declining Declining Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and the s.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and the s.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and the s.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and the s.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and the s.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and the s.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and the s.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and the s.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and the s.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and the s.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and the s.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the free monthly time at the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc.	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and witho Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the free monthly time at the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc.	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the free monthly time at the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc.	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the free monthly time at the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc.	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the free monthly time at the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc.	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the free monthly time at the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc.	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the free monthly time at the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc.	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the free monthly time at the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc.	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the free monthly time at the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc.	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) as Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months contract date difference more than 3 months. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same same same sales.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the section overall for	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the free monthly time at the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc.	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) as Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months contract date difference more than 3 months. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same same same sales.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to form nood is increasing nonths data and th s. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the section overall for	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex the last 12 months adjustment rate wil Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) as Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months contract date difference more than 3 months. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same same same sales.	conclusions in the Neidrawn listings, to formation of is increasing tonths data and the control of the project, complete the prior 7-12 Months on the subject unit and	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the section overall for	Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of R	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same state of the	conclusions in the Neidrawn listings, to formunood is increasing nonths data and the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months giect? Yes on the subject unit and	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time and emonthly time and emonthly time and emonths. Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incoming the project. Signature Supervisor	Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of R	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the same summar	conclusions in the Neidrawn listings, to formunood is increasing nonths data and the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months iject? Yes on the subject unit and an an arisal Inc.	ghborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the emonthly time a efollowing: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incompany If yes a supervisor company If yes a supervis	Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of R Name Name	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proj of foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same	conclusions in the Neidrawn listings, to formunood is increasing nonths data and the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months piect? Yes on the subject unit and an arisal Inc. 2, Fremont, CA 94	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the section overall fo	Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of R Name Name Address	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice of 0=0.	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing s and sales
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 m contract date difference more than 3 months If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the above trends and address the impact of the same summarize the same summar	conclusions in the Neidrawn listings, to formunood is increasing nonths data and the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months get Project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months iget? Yes on the subject unit and araisal Inc. 2, Fremont, CA 94 State C	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the section overall fo	Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of R Name Name Naddress nse/Certification #	olanat (()	On and suppoint on and suppoint on and suppoint of the comparing to the comparing to the comparing of the co	t for the notation that the notation to the notation that the notation the notation that the notation that the notation that the notation	your conclumedium p -1)/12*10 I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions rice (000)	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing s and sales

MARKET RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM


File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 16	62 Aldrich Way						
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95121	
Lender/Client Wedg	ewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan E	Beach Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Beach, 0	CA 90278	



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 1662 Aldrich Way San Jose, CA 95121



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6**

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way City Zip Code County Santa Clara State CA 95121 San Jose Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

FEATURE	5	SUBJEC	CT	COMPARABLE SALE # 4 COMPARABLE SALE # 5		SALE# 5	COMPARABLE SALE # 6				6							
Address 1662	Aldrich	Way			1542 N	/leado	w C	Glen Court										
San Jos	se, CA	9512	1		San	Jose,	C/	A 95121										
Proximity to Subject					C).98 m	iles	s SE										
Sale Price	\$					9		1,405,000			\$					\$		
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area		0.00	sq. ft.	\$	725.	_		. ft.	\$			q. ft.	\$				q. ft.	
Data Source(s)	T		<u> </u>					43;DOM 7	1				Ť				4	
Verification Source(s)								25528882										
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DE	SCRIP1	TION		ESCRIF		-	+(-) \$ Adjustmen	t D	ESCRIP	TION	+(-) \$ Adjustmen	+	DES	CRIPT	LIUN	+(-) \$ 4	Adjustment
Sale or Financing	DE	OOM	101		ArmL		\top	· () ϕ / (a)astinon		LOOKII	11011	/ / w / tajasanch		DLO	OI (III I	11011	Ι () ψ /	ajustinont
Concessions					Conv		\top											
Date of Sale/Time				eſ)9/23;c	•	+	(1									
Location	A-Doo-E	PayDd/M	/orshipPl		N;Re		+	-40,000	1									
Leasehold/Fee Simple		e Sim			Fee Sir		+	-40,000	1									
Site		6000 s	•	<u>'</u>	5982		+	(\downarrow									
View		N;Res			N;Re		+		1									
Design (Style)		τι,ιχος Γ1;Raι	-		T2;Cor		+	(\downarrow									
	U	Q4	ICH	ט	12,001 Q4		+		1									
Quality of Construction		47			49		+	(\downarrow									
Actual Age							+		1									
Condition	Tet.	C4	D-#	T-1 1	C3		+	-40,000 -12,000		I D.J.	D-#	+	-	-1 -	اساسا	D-#	-	
Above Grade		Bdrms.	Baths	Total					_	Barms	. Baths		1 01	al B	drms.	Baths		
Room Count	6	3	2.0	8	5	3.0	-	-8,000				1	-				-	
Gross Living Area	1,	563	sq. ft.		1,936	sq.	tt.	-145,500	<u> </u>		sq. ft.					sq. ft.		
Basement & Finished		0sf			0sf	•												
Rooms Below Grade		_					4						_					
Functional Utility		Averaç			Avera	-	4											
Heating/Cooling		NA/No			FWA/N		4											
Energy Efficient Items			Vindow	Dua	l Pane		N											
Garage/Carport		2ga2d			2gbi2		_	()									
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porc	:h/Con	crete	Po	rch/Co	ncrete	;											
Fireplaces	1	Firepla	ace		1 Firep	lace	_											
Pool		None	;		Non	е												
Listing Price \$		None	;		1,3500	000		()									
Net Adjustment (Total)					+ X	-	_ (\$ -245,500		+	-	\$		+		-	\$	
Adjusted Sale Price				Net	Adj: -1	7%			Net	Adj: 0%	, 0		Ne	t Adj	j: 0%			
of Comparables				Gros	ss Adj :	17%	,	\$ 1,159,500	Gros	ss Adj:	0%	\$	Gro	oss /	Adj: (0%	\$	
Report the results of the r	esearch	and an	alysis of	the pr	ior sale	or trans	fer	history of the sub	ject p	roperty a	nd compa	arable sales						
ITEM			SUI	BJEC	Γ		С	OMPARABLE SA	ALE#	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#	5		CON	1PARABI	LE SALE	# 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	er		11/1	4/20	23			03/24/20										
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe	er		\$89	9,00	0			\$1,105,0	00									
Data Source(s)		N	1LS#M					DOC# 2545										
Effective Date of Data Sou	urce(s)		02/0	1/20	23			02/01/20	23									
Analysis of prior sale or tra		istory of				nd com	par			he data	base,	no prior sale	of tl	ne c	ompa	arables	(Excep	t
comp4) within last 12							•										`	
1 /								•										
Summary of Sales Compa	ricon A	onroach	ΔΙΙ	Com	ne are	close	 	sales within	lact '	3 mon	the of o	similar design	and	l an	וב בו	nd eim	ilar au	ality
condition and appe							J u	Sales Willin	iasi .	3 111011	1115 01 3	sirillar design	and	ı ay	ic, ai	ilu Siiii	iiai qu	anty,
Adjustments are ma							sr I	at aiza diffar	0000	lorgor	thon 1	00/ of the out	ioo	t'o le	ot oi-	70): 2)	Cross	living
area: \$390/SF(For																		
\$700/Year(For age																		
adjustment uses 0.																		
months) according												i ne above ad	just	mei	nt ar	e obtai	ned by	paired
analysis of the com	parab	ies in	the su	ıbjec	t's nei	gnbor	no	od and is typ	oical	to the	area.							

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Page

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 54129

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:


- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Huibin Lan	Name
Company Name Bluebay Appraisal Inc.	Company Name
Company Address 41041 Trimboli Way #1492	Company Address
Fremont, CA 94538	
Telephone Number 5106736733	Telephone Number
Email Address appraiserlan@yahoo.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 11/15/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 11/15/2023	State Certification #
State Certification # AR030132	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/18/2025	
	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	
1662 Aldrich Way	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
San Jose, CA 95121	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,155,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	
Name Clear Capital	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM**

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Property Address	1662 Aldrich Way					
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95121
Lender/Client Wed	lgewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Beac	h Blvd Suite 100,	Redondo Beach,	CA 90278



Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **PLAT MAP**


File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95121

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278




File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95121

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 2049 Rigoletto Drive San Jose, CA 95122

1



COMPARABLE SALE # 2 2881 Armstead Court San Jose, CA 95121



COMPARABLE SALE # 3 1648 Tierra Buena Drive San Jose, CA 95121

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **COMPARABLES 4-5-6**

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc

Property Address	1662 Aldrich Way					
City San Jose	Count	y Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95121



COMPARABLE SALE # 1542 Meadow Glen Court San Jose, CA 95121

COMPARABLE SALE # 5

COMPARABLE SALE # 6


File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95121

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Insurance File No.

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way

City San JoseCountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95121Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



DECLARATIONS

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP3367375-23 Renewal of: RAP3367375-22

Program Administrator: Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc.

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Item 1. Named Insured: Huibin Lan

Item 2. Address: 41526 Carmen St
City, State, Zip Code: Fremont, CA 94539

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)
(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the **Named Insured** as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ ____ 500,000 ____ Damages Limit of Liability – Each Claim

B. \$ _____ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Each Claim

C. \$ ______ Damages Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

D. \$ 1,000,000 Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$ 500 Each Claim

B. \$ **1,000** Aggregate

Item 6. **Premium:** \$ 835.00

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 09/08/2006

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (07/21)

 $D42402\ (05/13)\ \ D42408\ (05/13)\ \ D42412\ (03/17)\ \ D42413\ (06/17)$

D42414 (08/19)

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1

Aerial Map File No.


File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95121

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95121


Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

11/15/23, 11:07 AM

□ 1662 Aldrich Way, San Jose, California 95121

View Comparable Properties

Listing



Report Listing



ML81940290 MLS #:

Baths (F/P): 2 (2/0) Primary SqFt Apprx Lot: 1,563 SqFt (Realist*) 6,009 SqFt (Realist*) Apprx Acr: 0.138 Acres Age/Yr Blt: Parcel#: 670-11-066 DOM:

LA: Jamie Sweene LA Ph: (408) 781-5775 Kim Adams

Walk Score:

Recent: 11/15/2023 : Changed to Sold :

SYMBIUM ADU options

1662 Aldrich Way, San Jose 95121

County: Area: Santa Clara 3 - Evergreen

Res. Single Family / Detached Class:

SFR 2.5% Land Use:

Comm: L.Type/Service:

Exclusive Right to Sell, Special Info: Short Sale / Subject to Lender

Ownership:

1/10

Fin Terms:

Public: Private:

Status: Sold Orig Price: List Price: \$925,000 \$925,000 Sale Price: \$899,000 \$/Primary SqFt: \$575.18 \$/Total SqFt

R1-8 Zoning:

Dates Original: List: 08/30/2023 Sale: 08/30/2023 11/14/2023 COE:

Expires: 12/07/2023 Off Mrkt: LOE:

76

Incorp: City Limit: Possession:

Gt.Code:

Single family home located in San Jose. Spacious open floor plan with lots of light. Indoor laundry.

This property is a fixer-upper and needing to be touched from top to bottom. The current roof is well beyond its useful life and has leaked into the property. Please review the pictures attached for additional condition information: https://app.disclosures.io/link/1662-Aldrich-Way-6yfnx0tb This is a short sale and all terms and conditions subject to

owner's lien holders' approval.

Showing & Location

Showing Information
Occupied By: Call Agent
Show Contact: Owner: Castanedo Julio R Show type:

Occupant Ph: Add Instruct: Occupant Nm: Phone:

Instructions: Appointment Only, Call Listing Agent, Other

<u>Map</u> X Street: King Road Directions: Prop Faces:

School / Evergreen Elementary Elem: / Evergreen Elementary / East Side Union High Middle: High: Building #:

Closing Details Sold Remarks: All Cash No Loans Buyer Finance: Concession:

Accessibility: Bathroom: Bedroom: Construct Type: Cooling: Dining Rm: Eat in Kitchen

Energy Sav: Ext. Amenities: Family Room: No Family Room Fence: Living Room Fireplace:

Flooring: Foundation: Concrete Slab Heating: Central Forced Air - Gas Garage/Parking Garage:

Other

Carport: Open Parking: Attached Garage Features:

LOE: Features Horse: No Interior:

Kitchen: Laundry: Lot Desc: Other Rooms: Pool YN: No Pool / Spa: Prop Condition: Composition Roof:

Security: Soil Condition: Stories: Style: View:

Structure(s) O.S. Desc: O.S. Size:

https://search.mlslistings.com/Matrix/Results.aspx?c=AAEAAAD*****AQAAAAAAAAAAAQAQAAAEQAAAAGAgAAAAQAMjlyBgMAAAACMjUGBAAAAA...

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C:1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Abbreviation	Full Name	May Appear in These Fields
4	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
\djPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
\djPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sales or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
3	Beneficial	Location & View
oa	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
or	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
;	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
p	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
V	Covered	Garage/Carport
OOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
	Detached Structure	
OT		Design (Style)
W	Driveway	Garage/Carport
	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
state	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
·HA	Federal Housing Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
	Garage	Garage/Carport
<u> </u>	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport Garage/Carport
a 		
bi	Built-In Garages	Garage/Carport
ıd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
SIfCse	Golf Course	Location
Slfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
IR	High Rise	Design (Style)
1	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
nd	Industrial	Location & View
isting	Listing	Sales or Financing Concessions
_ndfl	Landfill	Location
.tdSght	Limited Sight	View
//R	Mid Rise	Design (Style)
<u>/Itn</u>	Mountain View	View
J	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
)	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
)	Other	Design (Style)
р	Open	Garage/Carport
rk	Park View	View
etrl	Pastoral View	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
	Relocation Sale	
Relo		Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
ſ	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
ND.	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
f	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
qm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Jnk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
/ A	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
V	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
VO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
Voods	Woods View	View
Vtr	Water View	View
	Water Frontage	Location
Vtr⊢r	DAY II LL D	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
	Walk Up Basement	
WtrFr wu	Walk Up Basement	

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

20::0::0:						
Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way						
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95121
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address 2015 M	lanhattan Beach	n Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Bea	ch, CA 90278

The appraiser's competency for completing work order assignments within the subject's market area:

The appraiser is very familiar with the market area of the subject, approximate about 300 of appraisals completed in this market, there is about 10 miles that the appraiser traveled to the subject. The appraisaler has about 10 years of field work experience and has access to the MLS data of the subject's neighborhood. The appraiser reside in the neighbor county of Alameda county.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF THE SUBJECT: The subject has typical lot size, GLA, style ,construction quality and similar condition as a single family house(physically possible) and conform to the neighborhood with almost all the similar single family houses(The reasonable,probable and Legal use of the lot as the the single family home zoning of the neighborhood----Legally allowable), the single family house demand is still high in all the bay area and the subject's neighborhood(financially feasible and Maximu Productive), thus its current use is in its highest and best use.

The Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak has had a significant impact on local, national and global economies. Financial markets worldwide are experiencing unprecedented volatility. In some areas of the United States, there are current Shelter-in-Place orders and other restrictions on daily activities. These events are likely to impact real estate values in the short term but, as of the date of this appraisal, there is not enough data to substantiate that position. I have researched all available local sources and, as of this date, I have not found any data suggesting that significant changes in local real estate market have occurred. The market data presented in this appraisal report is considered the most recent and relevant available and the resulting analysis best reflects market conditions as of the effective date of appraisal.

No employee, director, officer, or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal management company, or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted toinfluence the development, reporting, result, or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or in any other manner.

I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client as identified on the first page of thereport), borrower, or designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report anyunauthorized contacts either personally by phone or electronically to the Clear Capital.

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

 Property Address
 1662 Aldrich Way

 City
 San Jose
 County
 Santa Clara
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 95121

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Street Address (Full)	Sale Price S	q Ft Total		
2474 Elkins WAY	1110000	1219		
1464 Palmwood DR	1100000	1674		
1295 Medley CT	1020000	1799		
1648 Tierra Buena DR	1070000	1204		
2099 Naida AVE	890000	1575		
1637 Jessica WAY	855000	1097		
3133 Stevens CT	1810000	1672		
2920 Erica CT	1320000	1703		
1878 Martello DR	975000	1418		
3087 Muirdrum Place	1525000	1948		
1367 Kasson Ct	1385000	1887		
2851 Riedel RD	1780000	1604		
1785 Hopkins DR	1010000	1119		
3148 Peanut Brittle DR	1308000	1259		
1822 Home Gate Drive	975000	1376		
3440 Hillsborough WAY	1220000	1120		
1125 Woodminster DR	1190000	1913		
3084 Balgray CT	1360000	1538		
3318 Lantern WAY	1080000	1742		
1817 Loch Ness WAY	1055000	1326		
1187 Brandybuck WAY	1435000	1654		
2834 Burdick WAY	1265000	1374		
2442 Renfield WAY	1275000	1476		
2649 Bon Bon DR	1190000	1853		
1682 June AVE	988888	1121		
2049 Rigoletto DR	1150000	1486		
3148 Markwood CT	1763000	1931		
3261 Curling CT	1260000	1326		
1662 Aldrich WAY	899000	1563		
2704 Millbrae WAY	1350000	1340		
1782 Eaglehurst DR	1366800	1380		
3211 Napa CT	1349999	1554		
2943 Erica CT	1380000	1472		
3887 Regaby Place CT	1350000	1355		
3034 Masonwood ST	1620000	1802		
3193 Bourgeois WAY	1152000	1176		
3827 Forestwood DR	1450000	1439		
1542 Meadow Glen CT	1400000	1936		
2051 Laddie WAY	1330000	1697		
2766 Vineyard Park PL	1230000	1528		
3138 Pomeroy AVE	1072500	1120		
2358 Bikini AVE	1060000	1151		
2980 Fenwick WAY	1225000	1538		
1797 Tampa WAY	920000	1121		
2731 Cunningham AVE	1175000	1353		
579 Baltic WAY	700000	1230		
1192 Oakview RD	1150000	1196		
2881 Armstead Ct	1029000	1220		
3869 Kauai DR	890000	1200		
959 Cheswick DR	1200000	1542		
3042 Rossmore WAY 3816 Yerba Buena AVE	1357000 1398000	1855 1649		

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

bollower Reawood Holdings LLC						
Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way						
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95121
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	,	Address 2015 M	anhattan Beacl	h Blyd Suite 10	0 Redondo Bea	ch CA 90278

, one management and a second a	7 1001		,
2963 Samuel DR	1300000	2015	
3876 maui dr	880000	1680	
3876 Maui Dr.	880000	1680	
1790 Home Gate DR	920000	1376	
3339 Brigadoon WAY	1220000	1859	
1133 Idlewood DR	1068000	1232	
1387 Woodman CT	1300000	1472	
3971 Ambler CT	1200000	1220	
1632 Dixie DR	945000	1232	
2650 Taffy DR	1100000	1616	
2956 Winwood WAY	1590000	1726	
1381 Crailford CT	1360000	1402	
1890 Orlando DR	939000	1283	
1642 Trieste CT	1050000	1307	
3342 Woodside LN	1545000	1787	
2275 Orlando DR	970000	1140	
2829 Sand Point DR	1417000	1554	
1477 Colt Way	940000	1355	
1939 Kilchoan WAY	975000	1879	
977 Cheswick DR	875000	1377	
2806 El Vista WAY	1375000	1531	
560 SINGLETON RD	975000		
		1569	
1367 Kasson Ct	890000	1887	
2421 Mclaughlin AVE	1160000	1579	
2217 S King RD	820000	1352	
3017 Damico DR	1425000	1303	
3022 Abelia CT	740000	1886	
3109 Brandywine DR	1335000	1886	
1929 Orlando DR	980000	1283	
2811 Centerwood CT	1735200	1802	
2738 Cramer CIR	1385000	1627	
699 Swallow DR	1300000	1830	
1555 Dina CT	1120000	1220	
839 Winifred DR	1250000	2025	
2010 Ridgemont DR	950000	1582	
1414 Norvella ST	856000	1141	
1387 Woodman CT	935000	1472	
2917 Roberta CT	1255000	1472	
1080 Loupe AVE	1303000	1584	
3256 Flintview CT	1375000	1120	
3770 Polton Place WAY	1230000	1355	
555 Conti CT	980000	1180	
2537 Arctic AVE	875000	1132	
1776 Tustin Dr	1200000	1324	
1895 Wesley CT	1125000	1287	
1826 Home Gate DR	1005000	1376	
1665 Tierra Buena DR	1195000	1461	
2665 Casco CT	1070000	1594	
2386 Blanding AVE	1430000	1217	
2807 Stemple CT	1155000	1097	
2968 Tuers RD	1285000	2000	
	1335000	1157	
2791 Millhraa \M/AV	エンシンハハハ	1131	
2791 Millbrae WAY 443 Jackie DR	842000	1241	

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Property Address	1662 Aldrich Way						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95121
Lender/Client V	Vedaewood Inc		Address 2015 M	anhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 10	0. Redondo Bea	ch. CA 90278

Trolletti vvedgewood inc	/ Nati coo	2010 Mannattan Beach Bivd Guite 100, Nedondo Beach, OA
3848 Polton Place WAY	1280000	1771
1354 S King Rd	1159000	2030
3108 Mclaughlin AVE	1200000	1985
2671 Brahms AVE	918000	1120
2630 Toy LN	1265000	1290
	1250000	1894
3353 Denton Way 3298 Hebron CT	1235000	1296
1250 Carnelian DR		
	850000	1104
2573 Sugarplum DR	1325000	1554
3241 Talathy WAY	1765000	1990
3245 Brownhill CT	1701000	1757
1935 Kinross WAY	1300000	1898
2110 Tehama AVE	1010000	1231
1699 Nickel Ave	800000	1265
1476 Midfield AVE	940000	1200
3073 Balgray Ct	1060000	1538
4012 Mclaughlin AVE	1362000	1917
3032 Everdale DR	1250000	1374
2857 Westbranch DR	1010000	1186
1617 Honeysuckle Dr	800000	1283
1542 Meadow Glen CT	1105000	1936
2674 Tilton CT	1130000	1232
2366 S King RD	900000	1263
2295 Palmira WAY	1100100	1310
1154 Angmar CT	1320000	1722
1210 Zachary CT	1155000	1683
3151 Peanut Brittle DR	1310000	1259
2362 Renfield Way	1026000	1457
1443 Kilchoan CT	900000	1417
3770 Polton Place	945000	1355
2579 Flory DR	1110000	1542
965 Cheswick DR	1170000	1594
513 Southside DR	1032000	1241
3125 Brandywine DR	1350000	1757
2798 Sand Point CT	1165000	1472
2918 Croft DR	1300000	1310
1375 Sylvia DR	1202000	1703
2656 Gumdrop DR	1200000	1514
469 Pocatello DR	885000	1204
2555 Arctic AVE	780000	1132
2072 Orlando DR	928000	1436
3018 Moonstar CT	1125000	1582
1343 Alvernaz DR	1324600	1799
2680 Ophelia AVE	887500	1120
2687 Bon Bon DR	1300000	1853
1276 Valdosta RD	1030000	1563
2612 Orinda DR	1305000	1248
1694 Florida AVE	745000	1121
2012 Edgebank DR	1100000	1429
1009 Malott DR	1005000	1418
2297 Tampa WAY	952000	1393
3771 Timberline DR	1390000	1647
3017 Stevens LN	1420000	1463
3125 Lone Bluff WAY	918999	1128

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

20::0::0:						
Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way						
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95121
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address 2015 M	lanhattan Beach	n Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Bea	ch, CA 90278

3455 Hillsborough WAY	1230000	1662	
1885 Oakton CT	933000	1170	
1238 Thornmill WAY	1100000	2000	
1604 Dixie Dr	1065000	1730	
1364 Shoreview CT	1035000	1152	
1526 Higate DR	910000	1289	
2930 SUNWOOD DR	900000	1742	
3842 Glengarry DR	1340000	1563	
3125 Brandywine DR	1044000	1757	
2902 Castleton DR	900000	1232	
3152 E Napa DR	1100000	1554	
3184 Durant AVE	999888	1241	
2953 Plumstead WAY	1280000	1808	
569 Independence DR	990000	1392	
445 Stroud PL	900000	1176	
2215 Pettigrew DR	1075000	1450	
1165 Theoden CT	1260000	1847	
1023 Summerfield DR	960000	1444	
3892 Hurstglen WAY	1110000	1560	
1885 Ocala AVE	915000	1410	
1605 Tierra Buena DR	1050000	1550	
3578 Verdi DR	730000	1241	
3245 Janelle DR	1137000	1327	
2094 Mendota WAY	895000	1232	
2781 McLaughlin AVE	910000	1288	
1445 Dundee CT	935000	1417	
3027 Bradshaw DR	1320000	1463	
2980 Tuers RD	1388000	2000	
4039 Kelvington Court	1225000	1861	

Bluebay Appraisal Inc.

COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM File No. 34802698

	APPRAISA	L CON	IPLIANCE	ADDENDUM	Case N	lo. 54129	9
Borrower/Client Redwood Ho	oldings LLC						
Address 1662 Aldrich Way		0 1	0	01.1		Unit No.	05404
City San Jose Lender/Client Wedgewood I	nc	County	Santa Clara	State _	<u>CA</u> 2	Zip Code	95121
Lender/Client vvedgewood i	iic						
	raisal Compliance Addendum is incl	luded to ens	sure this appraisal r	eport meets all USPA	P 2014 requi	irements.	
APPRAISAL AND REPOR							
This Appraisal Report is one of the	5 5.						
Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance						
Restricted Appraisal Report			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		` '
	intended user of this report is limited to at the opinions and conclusions set for						
	at the opinions and conclusions set for	uriir ule repo	it may not be underst	ood property without the	additionaliiio	IIIIalioII III	lile appraisers worklile.
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT	IONS						
I certify that, to the best of my kno	wledge and belief:						
The statements of fact conta	ained in this report are true and correct.						
	ions, and conclusions are limited only by	y the reported	d assumptions and ar	e my personal, impartial,	, and unbiased	d professio	nal analyses,
opinions, and conclusions.							
	I have no present or prospective interes					-	•
	I have performed no services, as an app	praiser or in a	iny other capacity, reg	garding the property that	is the subject	of this repo	ort within the three-year
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ng acceptance of this assignment. The property that is the subject of this	roport or the	parties involved with	this assignment			
	gnment was not contingent upon develo		•	-			
	eting this assignment is not contingent u				or direction i	in value tha	at favors the cause
	he value opinion, the attainment of a stip			•			
this appraisal.	To value opinion, the attainment of a out	palatoa rooan	., 01 110 00001101100 0	ra oubooquom orom um	Jony Tolatou to	, 110 1110110	100 000 01
	conclusions were developed and this re	port has beer	n prepared, in conforr	mity with the Uniform Sta	ndards of Pro	fessional A	Appraisal Practice that
were in effect at the time this				,			
· Unless otherwise indicated,	I have made a personal inspection of th	e property the	at is the subject of thi	s report.			
	no one provided significant real propert			on(s) signing this certification	ation (if there	are except	tions, the name of each
	nt real property appraisal assistance is						
This report has been prepare PRIOR SERVICES	ed in accordance with Title XI of FIRRE.	A as amende	d, and any implemen	iting regulations.			
	ed services, as an appraiser or in anothe	or other conc	oity regarding the are	porty that is the subject of	of the report u	ithin the th	roo year paried
immediately preceding acce		er otner capac	sity, regarding the pro	pperty that is the subject of	or the report w	nunin ine in	ree-year period
	rices, as an appraiser or in another capa	acity regardin	on the property that is	the subject of this report	within the thre	ee-vear ne	riod immediately
	s assignment. Those services are descr			and dabjoot of time report	widini dio dii	so your por	nod immodiatory
PROPERTY INSPECTION							
· I X HAVE made a per	sonal inspection of the property that is t	he subject of	this report.				
· I have NOT made	a personal inspection of the property th	at is the subj	ect of this report.				
APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE							
•	rovided significant real property apprais			g this certification. If anyo	one did provide	e significar	nt assistance, they
	summary of the extent of the assistance	e provided in	the report.				
none							
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS							
	requiring disclosure and/or any state ma	andated requi	irements: Externs	al only inspection	did not do :	anv serv	ices for the subject
within the last 3 years.	requiring disolosure and/or arry state me	-		ar orny mopodion.	dia not do t	arry corv	loco for the eadject
	XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUE						
	e for the subject property is 20-40		utilizing market condit	ions pertinent to the app	raisal assignm	ient.	
X A reasonable exposure time	for the subject property is 20-40	day(s).					
APPRAISER			SUPERVISO	RY APPRAISER (OI	VI V IE REC)UIRED)	
AITRAIOER			OUI ERVICOI	IN ALLINAIDEN (OI	NET II IXEC	gon(LD)	
	4						
	0						
10/	- Parameter and the second						
Signature			Signature				
Name Huibin Lan	L		Name				
Date of Signature 11/15/202	23		Date of Signature	e			
State Certification # AR03013	2			n #			
or State License #			_ or State License				
State <u>CA</u>			State				
Expiration Date of Certification of	License <u>02/18/2025</u>			of Certification or License			
Effective Data at A	15/2022			aiser Inspection of Subje		lat- '	ad Fated -
Effective Date of Appraisal 11/	10/2023		Did Not	Exterior Only from	street	interior ar	nd Exterior

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way

CA City San Jose County Santa Clara State Zip Code 95121 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



ENHANCED REPORT 2.0

Subject Property:



Site Address 1662 ALDRICH WAY SAN JOSE, CA 95121-1604







Document Contents



- Profile Cover Sheet Property Overview Property History Page Property Comparables (Detailed) Property Comparables (Summary)

Provided By

Richard Chen 3340 Walnut Ave 116 Fremont, CA 94538 Richard.chen@ctt.com

PROPERTY OVERVIEW

1662 ALDRICH WAY, SAN JOSE, CA 95121-1604

Page / Grid:

Owner and Geographic Information



Primary Owner: CASTANEDO JULIO R

Site Address: 1662 ALDRICH WAY, SAN JOSE, CA 95121-1604

670-11-066

Housing Tract Number:

Legal Description:

Lot Code: Tract Number:

Legal Brief Description:

City / Muni / Twp:

37 5517

LOT:37 CITY:SAN JOSE TR#:5517 TR 5517 LOT 37

Secondary Owner:

Mail Address:

SAN JOSE

War Built:

雏 Pool:

Property Details

Bedrooms: 3 2 Total Rooms:

Garage: Fireplace:

1976

19362498

Square Feet: Lot Size: **Number of Units:**

Use Code:

6,000 SF

1,563

Single Family Residential

Sale Information

Zoning:



Transfer Date: Transfer Value: Cost/Sq Feet:

03/29/2007 \$0.00

R1-8

Seller:

CASTANEDO, JULIO ROMERO; POWERS, CHARLES MATTHEW

1662 ALDRICH WAY, SAN JOSE, CA 95121-1604

Assessment and Taxes

Market Value:



Assessed Value: Market Improvement Value: \$730,169.00 \$288,046.00 \$442,123.00 Percent Improvement: Tax Status: Market Land Value:

60.55% \$10,900.70 Current

Homeowner Exemption: Tax Rate Area: Tax Account ID: Tax Year:

17-170 2023

File No. 34802698 Case No. 54129

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 1662 Aldrich Way

City San JoseCountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95121Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

PROPERTY HISTO	DRY		1662 ALDRICH WAY, SAN JOSE, CA 95121-1604
Foreclosure Record - 05	/22/2023		
Recording Date:	05/22/2023	Document#:	25478391
Document Type:	Notice of Sale		
Lender Type:		Borrowers Name:	
Vesting:			
Legal Description:			
Foreclosure Record - 10	/18/2021		
Recording Date:	10/18/2021	Document#:	25136284
Document Type:	Notice of Default		
Lender Type:		Borrowers Name:	
Vesting:			
Legal Description:			
Assignment Record - 04	/14/2014		
Recording Date:	04/14/2014	Document#:	22566974
Price:		Document Type:	Correction deed
TD Due Date:		Type of Financing:	
Lender Name:			
Lender Type:		Borrowers Name:	JULIO R CASTANEDO, A SINGLE MAN, AND CHARLES MATHEW POWERS, A SINGLE MAN, ALL AS JOINT TENANT
Vesting:			
Legal Description:			
Assignment Record - 10	/08/2013		
Recording Date:	10/08/2013	Document#:	22409259
Price:		Document Type:	Assignment of Mortgage
TD Due Date:		Type of Financing:	
Lender Name:			
Lender Type:		Borrowers Name:	JULIO R CASTANEDO, A SINGLE MAN, AND CHARLES MATHEW POWERS, A SINGLE MAN, ALL AS JOINT TENANT
Vesting:			
Legal Description:			
Assignment Record - 01	/11/2013		
Recording Date:	01/11/2013	Document#:	22046822
Price:		Document Type:	Assignment of Mortgage
TD Due Date:		Type of Financing:	
Lender Name:			
Lender Type:		Borrowers Name:	JULIO R CASTANEDO, A SINGLE MAN, AND CHARLES MATHEW POWERS, A SINGLE MAN, ALL AS JOINT TENANT
Vesting:			
Legal Description:			