File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Tiu	ibin Lan	xterior-	Only Inspectior	ı Re	sidential App	raisai	Report	e No.		
	The purpose of this summary appraisal repo							alue of	the subject prop	erty.
	Property Address 2738 Goble Ln Apt 5			City	San Jo		State CA			
	Borrower Redwood Holdings L		Owner of Public Record		BYRON TI	NOTHY	County		Santa Clara	a
	Legal Description TR#:10307 TR 1030	7 UNIT 177	7							
H	Assessor's Parcel # 456-39-018				Tax Y				<u>s\$ 9,271</u>	00
Щ	Neighborhood Name Astoria	Vacant Shaa	ial Assessments \$	<u> </u>	1ap Reference		<u>-D5 Cer</u> A\$ 277	isus Tra	act 5031	
SUBJECT	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simpl						Αφ 211			
S	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction		finance Transaction X		(describe) Servicing	g(Market	Value)			
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc				Manhattan Beach			Beach	, CA 90278	
	Is the subject property currently offered for			e twel	ve months prior to the	effective d	late of this appraisal?	\ \	Yes X No	
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), and date(s	s). ML#							
	I did did not analyze the contra	act for sale for	the subject purchase tra	sactio	n Explain the results	of the anal	vsis of the contract for	sale o	r why the analysi	s was not
_	performed.			1300110				3416 0	i wity the analysis	5 Was not
ONTRACT										
L R		Contract			r the owner of public re		Yes No Data			
N 0	Is there any financial assistance (loan charge	-		ment a	assistance, etc.) to be p	baid by any	y party on behalf of the	e borrov	wer? Yes	No
Ö	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and de	scribe the ite	ms to be paid.							
	Note: Race and the racial composition of	f the neighbo	orhood are not appraisa	l facto	ors.					
	Neighborhood Characteristics		One-	Unit H	lousing Trends		One-Unit Hou	sing	Present Land Us	e %
۵		Rural	Property Values X Inc			Declini	Ÿ	AGE	One-Unit	95 %
		Under 25% Slow	Demand/Supply Sh Marketing Time X Un	iortage		OverSu		(yrs) 1	2-4 Unit	2 % 2 %
BORHO	Growth Rapid X Stable Neighborhood Boundaries The north bou					Over6m	ths 490 Low 1,377 High	<u>1</u> 54	Multi-Family Commercial	<u>2 %</u> 1 %
O BO	boundary is the Hwy85and the West bou			indung		no oouur	838 Pred.	34	Other	%
НIJ	Neighborhood Description The subject pr	-		ed rela	ative new neighborho	ood in the	City of San Jose; T	ne neig	, hborhood is we	
Ň	maintained and is close to schools, park					-	to the general quality	and c	condition in the a	area.
_	The subject's neighborhood is located w						- Is at 10 man with a m			
	Market Conditions (including support for the	above conci	usions) The heighborn	000 เก	end is increasing ove				derate sales ra	les.
	Dimensions 60.5 X 10	0.44	Area	6077	'sf Shape	Rec	tangular View	1	N;Res;	
	Specific Zoning Classification	R18	Zoning Desci	intion		alanmar				
					Planned Unit Dev		II (PUD)			
			ing (Grandfathered Use)	N	lo Zoning 📃 Illegal (describe)		If NIa .	lasariha Soo	
	Is the highest and best use of subject prope			N	lo Zoning 📃 Illegal (describe)		lf No, c	lescribe. See	
	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment			N Nans an	lo Zoning Illegal (nd specifications) the p	describe) resent use	? X Yes No			rivate
iTE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X		ed (or as proposed per pl Public Othe X	N Nans an	lo Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe)	describe) resent use	Presson No			rivate
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X	erty as improv Water	ed (or as proposed per pl Public Othe X ary Sewer X	N Nans an	lo Zoning Illegal (ad specifications) the p cribe) St Al	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley No	? X Yes No ImprovementsType bhalt ne	9	Public P	
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes	erty as improv Water Sanita s X No F	ed (or as proposed per pl Public Othe X ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D	N ans an r (des	lo Zoning Illegal (nd specifications) the p cribe) St Al FEMA Map #	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley No	? X Yes No ImprovementsType bhalt ne	9	Public P	
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements	erty as improv Water Sanita es X No F s typical for th	ed (or as proposed per pl Public Othe X ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D the market area? X Yes	ns ans ans ans ans ans ans ans ans ans a	lo Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St Al FEMA Map # No If No, describe.	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0	? X Yes No ImprovementsType bhalt ne 0086H FEMA	Map D	Public P X ate 05/18/200	
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes	Water Sanita s X No F s typical for th ternal factors (Public Othe X ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D he market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme	N ans an r (des r (des	lo Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St Al FEMA Map # No If No, describe. nvironmental conditions	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use	? X Yes No ImprovementsType ohalt ne 2086H FEMA is, etc.)? Yes X	Map D	Public P X ate 05/18/200	9
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or external	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D e market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external	N ans an r (des r (des	lo Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St Al FEMA Map # No If No, describe. nvironmental conditions	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use	? X Yes No ImprovementsType ohalt ne 2086H FEMA is, etc.)? Yes X	Map D	Public P X ate 05/18/200	9
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yest Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or exter The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE	Water Sanita s X No F s typical for th ternal factors (nazardous area T result is NEU	Public Othe X any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a . No any adverse external JTRAL).	N ans an r (des r (des nts, er factor	to Zoning Illegal (ad specifications) the p cribe) St Al FEMA Map # No If No, describe. nvironmental conditions noticed(Please see the	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use attached s	? X Yes No ImprovementsType bhalt ne 2086H FEMA is, etc.)? Yes xatellite map:The subject	Map D	Public P X ate 05/18/200	9 nd
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yest Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or exter The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	Water Sanita s X No F s typical for th ternal factors (hazardous area T result is NEU s of Property	Public Othe X Try Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D the market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a . No any adverse external JTRAL).	N ans ar r (des r (des nts, er factor MLS	lo Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St Al FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Nor 06085C0 s, land use attached s	? X Yes No ImprovementsType bhalt ne D086H FEMA is, etc.)? Yes X atellite map:The subjection ds Prior Inspection	Map D No t is Neat	Public P X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. r the Busy Road a Property Owne	9 nd
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yest Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or exter The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	Water Sanita s X No F s typical for th ternal factors (hazardous area T result is NEU s of Property	Public Othe X any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a . No any adverse external JTRAL).	r (des r (des nts, er factor MLS	to Zoning Illegal (ad specifications) the p cribe) St Al FEMA Map # No If No, describe. nvironmental conditions noticed(Please see the	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Nor 06085C0 s, land use attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar	? X Yes No ImprovementsType bhalt ne D086H FEMA is, etc.)? Yes X atellite map:The subjection ds Prior Inspection	Map D No t is Neat	Public P X ate 05/18/200	9 nd r
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or ext The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit	Water Sanita San	ed (or as proposed per pl Public Othe X ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection a Description e Slab X Crawl Space	r (des r (des nts, er factor MLS	to Zoning Illegal (ad specifications) the p cribe) St Al FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use attached s attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar	? X Yes No ImprovementsType No bhalt No ne No D086H FEMA vs, etc.)? Yes xatellite map:The subject ds Prior Inspecti rea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0	Map D No I t is Near on X Rea	Public P X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. r the Busy Road a Property Owne IQuest Car Storage None	9 nd r
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith AccessoryUnit # of Stories 3	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X X ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D e market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished	Nans ar r (des r (des nts, er factor MLS	to Zoning Illegal (ad specifications) the p cribe) St Al FEMA Map # No If No, describe. nvironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C(s, land use attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar	? X Yes No ImprovementsType No bhalt No ne No D086H FEMA vs, etc.)? Yes xatellite map:The subjective ds Prior Inspective Prior Inspective Price Jace(s) # O Voodstove(s) # 0	Map D No I t is Near on X Rea	Public P X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. If Yes, describe. The Busy Road a Property Owne Quest Car Storage None Driveway # of Ca	9 nd r
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Driver General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X X any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished	N Nans ar r (des nts, er factor MLS D	to Zoning Illegal (ad specifications) the p cribe) St Al FEMA Map # No If No, describe. noticed(Please see the X Assessment and ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Not 06085C0 s, land use attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar s Living Ar b V	? X Yes No ImprovementsType No bhalt No ne No D086H FEMA is, etc.)? Yes xatellite map:The subjection ds Prior Inspection ea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0 Voodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concre 0	Map D No I tis Near on X Rea	Public P X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. If Ye	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yest Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or exter The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst.	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X X any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D e market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Is Stucco/Good	Nans ar r (des s mts, er factor MLS D X	lo Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St All FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other I Gas	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Nor 06085C0 s, land use attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar F F V V	? X Yes No ImprovementsType No bhalt No ne D086H FEMA 0086H FEMA is, etc.)? Yes X atellite map:The subjective No ds Prior Inspective Gamenities Fireplace(s) # O Voodstove(s) # O Patio/Deck Concre Porch Concrete Porch	Map D No t is Near on X Real X Drive	Public P X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. If Ye	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Driver General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X X any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished	Nans ar r (des nts, er factor MLS D X X Fue	In Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St All FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other J Gas	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley No 06085C0 s, land use attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar F S Living Ar F S Living F S Living F	? X Yes No ImprovementsType No bhalt No ne No D086H FEMA is, etc.)? Yes xatellite map:The subjection ds Prior Inspection ea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0 Voodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concre 0	Map D No I t is Near Real Drive	Public P X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. r the Busy Road a Property Owne IQuest Car Storage None Driveway # of Ca way Surface Co Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2
SIT	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X X ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D ie market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection X a Description Sasement Finished Sasement Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Stucco/Good e Woodshake/Good pownspouts Gal.Alum/Gr	NLS D MLS D X Fue A	In Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St All FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other I Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use attached si attached si Tax Recor s Living Ar s Living Ar f N S Living Ar F S Living Ar S Living Ar	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dohalt Dohalt ne D086H FEMA D086H FEMA is, etc.)? Yes X atellite map:The subject X ds Prior Inspecti rea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0 Voodstove(s) # 0 Pool None Pool None Fence Wood Dther None	Map D No I is Near On X Rear X Drive	Public P X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. r the Busy Road a Property Owne IQuest Car Storage None Driveway # of Ca way Surface Co Garage # of Ca Carport # of Ca	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 2 ars 0
SIT	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith AccessoryUnit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Over	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X Ty Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description te Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Stucco/Good te Woodshake/Good ownspouts Gal.Alum/Good vasher X Disposal X	N Nans ar r (des r (des s) nts, er factor MLS D X X Fue i X d	In Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St All FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and indiced ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other I Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None wave X Washer/Dry	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use attached s s, land use s, land use s	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dehalt Dehalt Dehalt Does FEMA Dehalt Dehalt Dehalt D086H FEMA FEMA Dehalt Dehalt	Map D No I is Near	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. X If Yes, describe. X <td>9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached</td>	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached
SIT	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Driver General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains:	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X X Iny Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D Ite market area? X EMA Flood Zone D Ite market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection X al Description E Stable X Crawl Space Sement Finished Basement Finished Is Stucco/Good e Woodshake/Good pwnspouts Gal.Alum/Grood washer X Disposal X Disposal X	N Nans ar r (des r (des s) nts, er factor MLS D X X Fue i X d	In Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St All FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other I Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use attached si attached si Tax Recor s Living Ar s Living Ar f N S Living Ar F S Living Ar S Living Ar	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dehalt Dehalt Dehalt Does FEMA Dehalt Dehalt Dehalt D086H FEMA FEMA Dehalt Dehalt	Map D No I is Near	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. If Yes, describe. r the Busy Road a If Yes, describe. Property Owne Iquest Car Storage If Car Storage None Inveway # of Car Storage Driveway # of Car Storage # of Car Storage Garage # of Car Storage Attached I	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached
EMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith AccessoryUnit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Over	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X Ty Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description te Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Stucco/Good te Woodshake/Good ownspouts Gal.Alum/Good vasher X Disposal X	N Nans ar r (des r (des s) nts, er factor MLS D X X Fue i X d	In Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St All FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and indiced ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other I Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None wave X Washer/Dry	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use attached s s, land use s, land use s	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dehalt Dehalt Dehalt Does FEMA Dehalt Dehalt Dehalt D086H FEMA FEMA Dehalt Dehalt	Map D No I is Near	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. X If Yes, describe. X <td>9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached</td>	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached
EMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Driver General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains:	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X Try Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Alum/G te Sliding/Good vasher X Disposal X ms 2 Bedroo Dual pane windows.	NLS D MLS D X Fue I X Micro ms	lo Zoning Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St All FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other I Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None wave X Washer/Dry 2.1 Bath(s)	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Not 06085C0 s, land use attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar S Living Ar F X F Ng F X F (X F (X F (2 C) (2 C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dohalt No Dohalt FEMA D086H FEMA Ss, etc.)? Yes X Yes atellite map:The subject ds Prior Inspecti rea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0 Voodstove(s) # 0 Porch Concrete Pool Pool None Fence Wood Dther None her (describe) Square Feet of Square Feet of	Map D No I t is Near on X Real Drive X Drive X Gross I	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. X In the Busy Road a X	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
EMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith AccessoryUnit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient Describe the condition of the property and d condition The data source is from t	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X TX TX TY Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Sala Alum/G te Sliding/Good vasher X Disposal X ms 2 Bedroo Dual pane windows. (including apparent need er outside inspection	Nans ar r (des r (des nts, er factor MLS D X X Fue K X A Micro ms	Initial Control Illegal (Initial Specifications) the p cribe) St Initial Specifications) the p Steps All FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Initial Specifications No If No, describe. Initial Specifications No If No, describe. Initial Specifications Indiced(Please see the X Assessment and 1 ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other I Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None wave X Washer/Dry 2.1 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, ren the PUBLIC DATA	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use attached s attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar S Living Ar F S Living Ar F X F Q Q F X F Q Q S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dohalt No Dohalt FEMA D086H FEMA D086H FEMA D086H FEMA Doss, etc.)? Yes Attellite map: The subject Xatellite map: The subject ds Prior Inspecti rea Amenities Amenities O Voodstove(s) # O Pool None Prior Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Dther None her (describe) Square Feet of Square Feet of remodeling, etc.). C3 Jest,MLS Listing a D	Map D is Near on X Rea Drive X Drive X Gross L	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. X r the Busy Road a Property Owne IQuest Car Storage None Driveway # of Carage Way Surface Coord Garage # of Carage Attached I Ewilt-in Living Area Above subject is in a low.com) and	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade good
EMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith AccessoryUnit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ow Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient Describe the condition of the property and d condition The data source is from t VERIFIED by the owner . No physica	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X TX TX TY Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Sala Alum/G te Sliding/Good vasher X Disposal X ms 2 Bedroo Dual pane windows. (including apparent need er outside inspection	Nans ar r (des r (des nts, er factor MLS D X X Fue K X A Micro ms	Initial Control Illegal (Initial Specifications) the p cribe) St Initial Specifications) the p Steps All FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Initial Specifications No If No, describe. Initial Specifications No If No, describe. Initial Specifications Indiced(Please see the X Assessment and 1 ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other I Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None wave X Washer/Dry 2.1 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, ren the PUBLIC DATA	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use attached s attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar S Living Ar F S Living Ar F X F Q Q F X F Q Q S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dohalt No Dohalt FEMA D086H FEMA D086H FEMA D086H FEMA Doss, etc.)? Yes Attellite map: The subject Xatellite map: The subject ds Prior Inspecti rea Amenities Amenities O Voodstove(s) # O Pool None Prior Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Dther None her (describe) Square Feet of Square Feet of remodeling, etc.). C3 Jest,MLS Listing a D	Map D is Near on X Rea Drive X Drive X Gross L	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. X r the Busy Road a Property Owne IQuest Car Storage None Driveway # of Carage Way Surface Coord Garage # of Carage Attached I Ewilt-in Living Area Above subject is in a low.com) and	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade good
EMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith AccessoryUnit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient Describe the condition of the property and d condition The data source is from t	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X TX TX TY Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Sala Alum/G te Sliding/Good vasher X Disposal X ms 2 Bedroo Dual pane windows. (including apparent need er outside inspection	Nans ar r (des r (des nts, er factor MLS D X X Fue K X A Micro ms	Initial Control Illegal (Initial Specifications) the p cribe) St Initial Specifications) the p Steps All FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Initial Specifications No If No, describe. Initial Specifications No If No, describe. Initial Specifications Indiced(Please see the X Assessment and 1 ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other I Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None wave X Washer/Dry 2.1 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, ren the PUBLIC DATA	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use attached s attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar S Living Ar F S Living Ar F X F Q Q F X F Q Q S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dohalt No Dohalt FEMA D086H FEMA D086H FEMA D086H FEMA Doss, etc.)? Yes Attellite map: The subject Xatellite map: The subject ds Prior Inspecti rea Amenities Amenities O Voodstove(s) # O Pool None Prior Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Dther None her (describe) Square Feet of Square Feet of remodeling, etc.). C3 Jest,MLS Listing a D	Map D is Near on X Rea Drive X Drive X Gross L	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. X r the Busy Road a Property Owne IQuest Car Storage None Driveway # of Carage Way Surface Coord Garage # of Carage Attached I Ewilt-in Living Area Above subject is in a low.com) and	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade good
EMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith AccessoryUnit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ow Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient Describe the condition of the property and d condition The data source is from t VERIFIED by the owner . No physica	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X TX TY Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Basement X Disposal X ms 2 Bedroo Dual pane windows. 0 (including apparent need	Nans ar r (des r (des nts, er factor MLS D X X Fue K X A Micro ms	Initial Control Illegal (Initial Specifications) the p cribe) St Initial Specifications) the p Steps All FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Initial Specifications No If No, describe. Initial Specifications No If No, describe. Initial Specifications Indiced(Please see the X Assessment and 1 ata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other I Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None wave X Washer/Dry 2.1 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, ren the PUBLIC DATA	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C0 s, land use attached s attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar S Living Ar F S Living Ar F X F Q Q F X F Q Q S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dohalt No Dohalt FEMA D086H FEMA D086H FEMA D086H FEMA Doss, etc.)? Yes Attellite map: The subject Xatellite map: The subject ds Prior Inspecti rea Amenities Amenities O Voodstove(s) # O Pool None Prior Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Dther None her (describe) Square Feet of Square Feet of remodeling, etc.). C3 Jest,MLS Listing a D	Map D is Near on X Rea Drive X Drive X Gross L	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. X r the Busy Road a Property Owne IQuest Car Storage None Driveway # of Carage Way Surface Coord Garage # of Carage Attached I Ewilt-in Living Area Above subject is in a low.com) and	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade good
EMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yee Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith AccessoryUnit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ow Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient Describe the condition of the property and d condition The data source is from t VERIFIED by the owner . No physica	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X Ty Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Is Stucco/Good e Woodshake/Good vasher X Disposal X ms 2 Bedroo Dual pane windows. (including apparent neece er outside inspection hal or external inade	Nans ar r (des factor MLS D MLS D X Fue t X d Micro ms led rep and quaci	Initial Control Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St Initial Control All FEMA Map # No No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and Tata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other I Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None wave X Washer/Dry 2.1 Bath(s) Dairs, deterioration, ren the PUBLIC DATA ies were noted at	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Not 06085C0 s, land use attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar I I X F X F X F X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q Q Q Q	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dehalt No Dobalt FEMA D086H FEMA Se, etc.)? Yes X Yes Atellite map:The subject ds Prior Inspecti ea Amenities Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0 Voodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concre Pool None Fence Wood Other Other None her (describe) Square Feet of remodeling, etc.). C3 iest,MLS Listing a of inspection. The	Map D is Neal on X Real Drive X Drive X Gross I Gross I S;The s nd Zill s Rem	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. X r the Busy Road a Property Owne IQuest Car Storage None Driveway # of Carage Way Surface Coord Garage # of Carage Attached I Ewilt-in Living Area Above subject is in a low.com) and	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade good
EMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yest Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or exter The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient Describe the condition of the property and d condition The data source is from t VERIFIED by the owner . No physica for the subject is about 40 years.	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X Ty Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Is Stucco/Good e Woodshake/Good vasher X Disposal X ms 2 Bedroo Dual pane windows. (including apparent neece er outside inspection hal or external inade	Nans ar r (des factor MLS D MLS D X Fue t X d Micro ms led rep and quaci	Initial Control Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St Initial Control All FEMA Map # No No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and Tata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA FWA HWBB Radiant Other Other Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None wave X Washer/Dry 2.1 Bath(s) Data Dotairs, deterioration, ren the PUBLIC DATA ies were noted at	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Not 06085C0 s, land use attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar I I X F X F X F X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q Q Q Q	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dehalt No Dobalt FEMA D086H FEMA Se, etc.)? Yes X Yes Atellite map:The subject ds Prior Inspecti ea Amenities Amenities Fireplace(s) # 0 Voodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concre Pool None Fence Wood Other Other None her (describe) Square Feet of remodeling, etc.). C3 iest,MLS Listing a of inspection. The	Map D is Neal on X Real Drive X Drive X Gross I Gross I S;The s nd Zill s Rem	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. If Yes, describe. IQuest If Yes, describe. Car Storage # of Carous and an ining Econor	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade good
SIT	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient Describe the condition of the property and d condition The data source is from t VERIFIED by the owner . No physic for the subject is about 40 years. Are there any apparent physical deficiencies	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X Ty Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Is Stucco/Good e Woodshake/Good vasher X Disposal X ms 2 Bedroo Dual pane windows. (including apparent neece er outside inspection hal or external inade	Nans ar r (des r (des factor MLS D MLS D X K K Micro ms led rep and quaci	Initial Control Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St Initial Control All FEMA Map # No No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and Tata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA FWA HWBB Radiant Other Other Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None wave X Washer/Dry 2.1 Bath(s) Data Dotairs, deterioration, ren the PUBLIC DATA ies were noted at	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Not 06085C0 s, land use attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar I I X F X F X F X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q Q Q Q	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dehalt No Dobalt FEMA D086H FEMA Se, etc.)? Yes X atellite map:The subject Yes X ds Prior Inspecti Prior Point None Prior Point Concrete Pool Pool None Prior Fence Wood Dither None Fence Wood Dither None fence Wood Square Feet of Square Feet of remodeling, etc.). C3 Prior Square Feet of	Map D is Neal on X Real Drive X Drive X Gross I Gross I S;The s nd Zill s Rem	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. If Yes, describe. IQuest If Yes, describe. Car Storage # of Carous and an ining Econor	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade good
EMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient Describe the condition of the property and d condition The data source is from t VERIFIED by the owner . No physic for the subject is about 40 years. Are there any apparent physical deficiencies	Water Sanita San	Public Othe X Ty Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D te market area? X Yes (easements, encroachme a. No any adverse external JTRAL). Appraisal Files X or Inspection al Description e Slab X Crawl Space sement Finished Basement Finished Basement Finished Is Stucco/Good e Woodshake/Good vasher X Disposal X ms 2 Bedroo Dual pane windows. (including apparent neece er outside inspection hal or external inade	Nans ar r (des r (des factor MLS D MLS D X K K Micro ms led rep and quaci	Initial Control Illegal (Ind specifications) the p cribe) St Initial Control All FEMA Map # No No If No, describe. Invironmental conditions noticed(Please see the X Assessment and Tata Source(s) for Gross Heating / Cooling FWA FWA HWBB Radiant Other Other Gas Central Air Conditioni Individual Other None wave X Washer/Dry 2.1 Bath(s) Data Dotairs, deterioration, ren the PUBLIC DATA ies were noted at	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Not 06085C0 s, land use attached s Tax Recor s Living Ar I I X F X F X F X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q X F Q Q Q Q	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dehalt No Dobalt FEMA D086H FEMA Se, etc.)? Yes X atellite map:The subject Yes X ds Prior Inspecti Prior Point None Prior Point Concrete Pool Pool None Prior Fence Wood Dither None Fence Wood Dither None fence Wood Square Feet of Square Feet of remodeling, etc.). C3 Prior Square Feet of	Map D is Neal on X Real Drive X Drive X Gross I Gross I S;The s nd Zill s Rem	Public P X X ate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. If Yes, describe. IQuest If Yes, describe. Car Storage # of Carous and an ining Econor	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade good
EMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements Are there any adverse site conditions or extr The subject is NOT located in a special flood h face to the park at the same time, thus the NE Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit # of Stories 3 Type Det. X Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 2018 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ove Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient Describe the condition of the property and d condition The data source is from t VERIFIED by the owner . No physic for the subject is about 40 years. Are there any apparent physical deficiencies	Water Sanita s X No F s typical for th ternal factors in azardous area T result is NEU of Property by Exterio Genera Concret Full Bas Partial E Exterior Wal Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Typ en X Dishw 5 Roo items, etc.) data source(s) the appraise cal, function	Public Othe X X any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone D remarket area? X EMA Flood Zone D remarket area? X Appraisal Files X Appraisal Files X or Inspection X al Description X e Slab X Crawl Space Sement Finished Basement Basement Finished Basement Finished Is Stucco/Good e Woodshake/Good ownspouts Gal.Alum/Gr washer X Disposal X ms 2 Bedroo Dual pane windows. 0 (including apparent need er outside inspection nal or external inade conditions that affect the l	Nans ar r (des r (des nts, er factor MLS D MICTO ms I A Micro ms I A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Image: State of the period	describe) resent use Off-site reet Asp ley Noi 06085C(s, land use attached s s, land use s,	? X Yes No ImprovementsType Dehalt No Dohalt No No Dohalt No No Dobalt FEMA Secondary Secondary Dobalt FEMA Secondary Dobalt FEMA Secondary Dobalt FEMA Secondary Secondary Prior Inspective Secondary ds Prior Inspective Prior Inspective ds Prior Inspective O Amenities Secondary O Prodostove(s) # O O Porto/Deck Concre Porth Concrete Pool Porth Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Dther None None her (describe) Square Feet of Square Feet of Secondary Secondary remodeling, etc.). C3 ity of the property?	Map D is Neal is Neal on X Real Car Car Car Car Car Car Car Car	Public P X	9 nd r ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade good

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report									
			, v	rhood ranging in price f			9,000 .		
				nonths ranging in sale p			,377,000 .		
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE		COMPARABLE S		COMPARABLE SA			
Address 2738 G	oble Ln Apt 5	2722 Forin	io Lane 1	2769 Laver	nder Ter	4636 Adobe F	River Court		
San Jo	se, CA 95111	San Jose,	CA 95111	San Jose, C	A 95111	San Jose, C	A 95136		
Proximity to Subject		0.07 mil	es SW	0.37 mil	es E	2.05 miles S			
Sale Price	\$	\$	1,100,000	\$	1,125,000	\$ 928,000			
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.00 sq. ft	\$ 757.58	sq. ft.	\$ 721.15 s	q. ft.	\$ 840.58 s	q. ft.		
Data Source(s)		ML# ML81916		ML# BE41026		ML# ML819279			
Verification Source(s)		Realquest Doo		Realquest Doc		Realquest Doc			
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+(-) \$ Adjustment		
Sale or Financing	BEGGIAI HOIT	ArmLth ArmLth				ArmLth			
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0		Conv;0			
Date of Sale/Time		s03/23;c01/23	+66,000		0		0		
Location	N;Res;	B;Res;AdjPark	-20,000			A;Res;Hwy	+20,000		
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	-20,000	Fee Simple		Fee Simple	120,000		
Site	435 sf	435 sf		2408 sf	-39,500		-35,000		
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;	-39,300	N;Res;	-33,000		
			10.000		0		10.000		
Design (Style)	AT3;Contemp	SD3;Contemp	-10,000		0		-10,000		
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4			
Actual Age	5	5		13	0		+18,000		
Condition	C3	C3		C3		C3			
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths			-10,000	Total Bdrms. Baths	-10,000		
Room Count	5 2 2.1	6 3 3.1	-10,000			6 3 2.0	+5,000		
Gross Living Area	1,303 sq. f		t52,000		-90,000	1,104 sq. ft.	+69,500		
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0sf		0sf			
Rooms Below Grade									
Functional Utility	Average	InLawUnit	-80,000	Average		Average			
Heating/Cooling	FWA/Central	FWA/Central		FWA/Central		FWA/Central			
Energy Efficient Items	Dual Pane Window	Dual Pane Window	/	Dual Pane Window		Dual Pane Window			
Garage/Carport	2gbi2dw	2gbi2dw		2gbi2dw		2gbi2dw			
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Concrete	Porch/Concrete		Porch/Concrete		Porch/Concrete			
Fireplaces	None	None		None		None			
Pool	None	None		None		None			
Listing Price \$	None	1,029,000	0		0		0		
Net Adjustment (Total)		+ X -	\$ -116,000	+ X -	\$ -139,500	X + -	\$ 57,500		
		Net Adj: -11%		Net Adj: -12%		Net Adj: 6%	φ 07,000		
Adjusted Sale Price		Gross Adj : 23%					\$ 985,500		
of Comparables	access the cole or tran					GIUSS Auj. 1070	ə 905,500		
	search the sale of trans		ct property and com	parable sales. If not, exp	Jiain				
My research X did	did not reveal any pri	or sales or transfers of t	the subject property	for the three years prior	to the effective dat	e of this appraisal			
Data source(s) RealQu			the subject property			e or this appraisal.			
·		r color or transform of t	the comparable cale	s for the year prior to the	data of cala of the	a amparabla cala			
Data source(s) RealQu			ine comparable sale						
\/	· ·	V	an bistom , of the subi		ushla salas (ususut		2)		
Report the results of the r									
ITEM		BJECT	COMPARABLE S	SALE # 1 COM	PARABLE SALE #	2 COMPARA	BLE SALE # 3		
Date of Prior Sale/Transfe		21/2023							
Price of Prior Sale/Transf		\$0							
Data Source(s)		25490653	Realques		Realquest		lquest		
Effective Date of Data So	urce(s) 02/	1/2023	02/01/202	23	02/01/2023	02/0	1/2023		
Analysis of prior sale or tr				rch the database,	no prior sale	of the comparables	within last 12		
months. The previous	s sale of the subjec	t at 06/21/2023 are	Notice of Sale.						
ANOTHER Notics of	Dfault at Date 03/2	1/2023; Price:\$0;D	OC#25451264						
The comp1 and com	p6 has an inlawunit	(a bedroom with a	bathroom and ki	tchen) , applied adj	ustment accord	ingly(Pairing analys	is of comp1		
vs comp2).									
Summary of Sales Compa	arison ApproachA	I Comps are closed	d sales within las	st 6 months of simila	ar design and a	ge, and similar qual	lity,		
condition and appeal		ket area.							
Adjustments are mad	le as follows: 1). Si	e: \$20/SF(For lot s	ize difference la	rger than 500 sqft o	r 10% of the su	bject's lot size); 2).	Gross living		
area: \$350/SF(For G									
\$400/Year(For age d									
1.% Monthly for the contract date difference more than 3 months according to 1004MC Data, 9).Location:\$20000/per benefit/Adverse Factor; The above adjustment are obtained by paired analysis of the comparables in the subject's neighborhood and is typical to the area.									
Indicated Value by Sales	Comparison Approach	935,000							
Indicated Value by: Sales (935,000	Cost Approach (if de	eveloped) \$ 933,7	24 Income Ap	proach (if developed) \$			
Most emphasis is on the		approach which consi				• • • • • •	is supportive.		
Income approach is not									
owner occupancy The c									
This appraisal is made				ns on the basis of a hyper			ave heen		
	,		-	ndition that the repairs of			subjecttothe		
following required inspect	• ·		• •				,		
intended use for the					ono of work at t	mont of accumulture	and limiting		
Based on a visual inspe	cuon or the exterior a	eas of the subject pro				-	and infitting		
conditions and answer's	or's contification	our) opinion of the	what value as defi	nod of the weel	ty that is the sure!	aat af thia rement in			
conditions, and apprais \$ 935,000 , a		our) opinion of the ma 7/24/2023		ned, of the real proper e date of inspection an		-			

935,000 Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

, as of

RECONCILIATION

\$

UAD Version 9/2011 Produced by ClickFORMS Software 800-622-8727

, which is the date of inspection and the effective date of this appraisal.

07/24/2023

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

_		sidential Appraisa	Report							
	Comparable selection:All the comps are arm length transactions.									
	R1=Single family Residence: the minimum lot size for single family is 5	000 sqft or above, The M	aximum Reside	ential Densit	y = 9 u	inits per				
	acres.But for much newer single family the lot size will be smaller acco	ording to the denisty allow	ed(Alameda co	unty zonina	ordian	ce [.]				
	http://library.municode.com/HTML/16425/level2/TIT17ZO_CH17.08DI.html#TIT17ZO_CH17.08DI_17.08.060BUSI)									
	This appraisal was ordered in compliance with Appraisal Independence "AIR" and Mortgage Letter 2009-28.									
	No any personal property is included in this transaction.									
	No any Litigation against the subject or subject's project at the time of	inspection.								
	The PUD amenity of the subject are Greenbelt, Playground, Park and	-	cell and scaning	ne lle bre (r	inac	boor				
		common area maintenan	cc(Lanuscapin)	g) and an an	Sinag	joou				
	condition.									
	All the comparables are in the same or competing PUD within similar a	amenity, no any marketab	ilitv difference b	between the	subiec	:t's				
	community(i.e. the DOM are similar in different PUDs) and the compa									
	continuinty(i.e. the DOM are similar in unterent PODS) and the compa	rables's competing comm	unilies and are	in a good c	JIIIIII	n				
	The condition of the interior of the improvements are from PUBLIC DA	TA (Realquest MLS Listin	ng and Zillow.co	om) and VF	RIFIF) by the				
						5 by the				
6	property owner.									
Ĕ	In order to have two most recent three months sold comparables, I have	e to extend the guideline	of the GLA diff	erence to us	e com	p3 and				
Z	comp3 in the competing neighborhood.	5								
₩										
F	The age ,lot size ,GLA,location adjustments were obtained by the pair	analysis of the comparab	les in the subje	<u>ct's neighbo</u>	rhood.	Note that				
ō	the age difference is within 35 years and the lot size difference within	10% of the subject's lot si	ze is seen as b	rackted as r	no adiu	isment				
Ŭ			20 10 00011 do 5		io aaja					
_	are needed in this case.									
4										
5	All the comps are in the same or competing neighborhood (As the hou	ising price are mainly imp	acted by the so	hool's rating	n all th	ie i				
Ĕ										
	comparables and the subject have the same or similar school ratings									
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	and location. Most emphasis are addressed in the nearest and same	community sold comp1 a	and comp4(45%	6 for comp	1 and c	comp4 I				
4	respectively, 5% each for the remained sold comp).	ž – L	· · ·			·				
	Note that the subject's final market value is higher than that of the pre	dominant value of the ne	iahborhood th	is is becaus	e the s	ubiect				
	has a larger GLA and a very new age .No any marketability issue noti	ced due to this(i.e. the DC	DIVI of housing v	alue higher	than th	ne				
	predominant value is similar to the housing value lower than the predo	minant value).								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l l								
		(not required by Econic M								
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE		ae.)							
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal		ae.)							
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca	culations.		based on M	larshal	L& swift				
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth	culations. ods for estimating site value)	, Cost estimates							
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high	Cost estimates er Land to impi	rovement rat	tio is ty	pical for				
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high	Cost estimates er Land to impi	rovement rat	tio is ty	pical for				
T	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established built	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high	Cost estimates er Land to impi	rovement rat	tio is ty	pical for				
CH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark	Cost estimates er Land to impi	rovement rat	tio is ty gh ratio	vpical for o of site				
ACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established built	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high	Cost estimates er Land to impi	rovement rat	tio is ty	pical for				
ROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue c	rovement rat	tio is ty gh ratio	vpical for o of site 600,000				
PROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue c Sq. Ft. @ \$	rovement rat lue to this hi	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$	vpical for o of site 600,000 456,050				
PROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue c	rovement rat lue to this hi	tio is ty gh ratio =\$	vpical for o of site 600,000				
. APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue c Sq. Ft. @ \$	rovement rat lue to this hi	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$	vpical for o of site 600,000 456,050				
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue c Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	rovement ratilities to this his and a statement of the st	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$	vpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0				
ST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue c Sq. Ft. @ \$	rovement rat lue to this hi	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000				
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	350.00 90.00	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$	vpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0				
ST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400	Cost estimates er Land to impi etability issue c Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	rovement ratilities to this his and a statement of the st	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000				
ST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue c Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	ovement rational statement ratio	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050				
ST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue c Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0	350.00 90.00	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326)				
ST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue c Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0	ovement rational statement ratio	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724				
ST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue c Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments	ovement rational statement ratio	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326)				
ST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue c Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments	ovement rational statement ratio	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724				
ST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments	ovement rational statement ratio	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach	ovement rational statement ratio	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724				
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach	ovement rational statement ratio	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high ldable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie M	Cost estimates er Land to impi etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments ments roach //ae.)	rovement rational sector secto	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high ldable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie M	Cost estimates er Land to impr etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach	rovement rational sector secto	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
ST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high ldable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie M	Cost estimates er Land to impi etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments ments roach //ae.)	rovement rational sector secto	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high ldable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie M	Cost estimates er Land to impi etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments ments roach //ae.)	rovement rational sector secto	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie M =\$ Ir	Cost estimates er Land to impi etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments ments roach //ae.)	rovement rational sector secto	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie M =\$ Ir	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach //ae.) ndicated Value by I	rovement rational for the second seco	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach fae.) ndicated Value by I	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach fae.) ndicated Value by I	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach fae.) ndicated Value by I	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION R X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Astoria	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high ldable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie M =\$ In FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Mo Depreciated propertion	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach fae.) ndicated Value by I	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Astoria Total number of phases Total number of units Total	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Cost required by Fannie N =\$ In FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) De of the HOA and the subject proposition number of units sold	Cost estimates er Land to impretability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Astoria Total number of phases Total number of units Total	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high ldable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie M =\$ In FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Mo Depreciated propertion	Cost estimates er Land to impretability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Astoria Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X< No	Cost estimates er Land to impretability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached 540-5050	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Astoria Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie M =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) De De of the HOA and the subject propose number of units sold source Astoria HOA (408) X No If Yes, date of conv	Cost estimates er Land to impretability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion.	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Astoria Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie M =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) De De of the HOA and the subject propose number of units sold source Astoria HOA (408) X No If Yes, date of conv	Cost estimates er Land to impretability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion.	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Lega	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) De of the HOA and the subject prop number of units sold source Astoria HOA (408) source Astoria HOA (408) 540-505	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach fae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUI Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Astoria Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes X No Data source. As	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie M =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) De De of the HOA and the subject propose number of units sold source Astoria HOA (408) X No If Yes, date of conv	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach fae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Lega	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) De of the HOA and the subject prop number of units sold source Astoria HOA (408) source Astoria HOA (408) 540-505	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach fae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Lega	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) De of the HOA and the subject prop number of units sold source Astoria HOA (408) source Astoria HOA (408) 540-505	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach fae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes <td>culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Identity (if applicable) X No X No Int type(s) De Int type (s)<</td> <td>Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Attra perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0 completion.</td> <td>rovement rational second secon</td> <td>tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$</td> <td>rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000</td>	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Identity (if applicable) X No X No Int type(s) De Int type (s)<	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Attra perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0 completion.	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Lega	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) De of the HOA and the subject prop number of units sold source Astoria HOA (408) source Astoria HOA (408) 540-505	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Attra perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0 completion.	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes <td>culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Identity (if applicable) X No X No Int type(s) De Int type (s)<</td> <td>Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Attra perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0 completion.</td> <td>rovement rational second secon</td> <td>tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$</td> <td>rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000</td>	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Identity (if applicable) X No X No Int type(s) De Int type (s)<	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Attra perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0 completion.	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established bui over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes <td>culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Identity (if applicable) X No X No Int type(s) De Int type (s)<</td> <td>Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Attra perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0 completion.</td> <td>rovement rational second secon</td> <td>tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$</td> <td>rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000</td>	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Identity (if applicable) X No X No Int type(s) De Int type (s)<	Cost estimates er Land to impl etability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 5 0 Functional 0 5 0 ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Attra perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0 completion.	rovement rational second secon	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326 233,724 100,000				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Physical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) Years Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes <t< td=""><td>culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) Def De of the HOA and the subject prop number of units sold source Astoria HOA (408) s X No If Yes, date of conv storia HOA (408) 540-505 Io If No, describe the status of X No If Yes, describe the ref</td><td>Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach Aae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0 completion.</td><td>rovement rat lue to this hi 350.00 90.00 External 5 12,301 ncome Approa</td><td>tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ ch</td><td>rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326) 233,724 100,000 933,724 0 933,724</td></t<>	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation 246,025 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) Def De of the HOA and the subject prop number of units sold source Astoria HOA (408) s X No If Yes, date of conv storia HOA (408) 540-505 Io If No, describe the status of X No If Yes, describe the ref	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach Aae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0 completion.	rovement rat lue to this hi 350.00 90.00 External 5 12,301 ncome Approa	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ ch	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326) 233,724 100,000 933,724 0 933,724				
INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buil over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Physical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) Years Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes <t< td=""><td>culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Identity (if applicable) X No X No Int type(s) De Int type (s)<</td><td>Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach Aae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0 completion.</td><td>rovement rat lue to this hi 350.00 90.00 External 5 12,301 ncome Approa</td><td>tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ ch</td><td>rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326) 233,724 100,000 933,724 0 933,724</td></t<>	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,303 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Cont required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value By Cost App Identity (if applicable) X No X No Int type(s) De Int type (s)<	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional 0 S 0 Functional 0 S 0 ments ments roach Aae.) ndicated Value by I tached X Atta perty is an attached 540-5050 ersion. 0 completion.	rovement rat lue to this hi 350.00 90.00 External 5 12,301 ncome Approa	tio is ty gh ratio =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$ ch	rpical for o of site 600,000 456,050 0 36,000 492,050 258,326) 233,724 100,000 933,724 0 933,724				

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Bluebay	Appra	isal Inc.
---------	-------	-----------

34149360 File No.

Market Co	onditions Addendu	m to the A	Appraisal R	eport	Case No. 53370	

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with a clear and accurate understanding of the market trends and conditions prevalent in the subject								
neighborhood. This	is a required addendum for all appraisal reports with an effort	ffective date	on or after April 1, 2009.					
Property Address	2738 Goble Ln Apt 5	City	San Jose	State	CA	ZIP Code	95111	

Property Ac	dress	2738
Borrower	Redwood H	oldings LLC

Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding housing trends and overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include that data in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required information as an average instead of the median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, foreclosures, etc.

Inventory Analysis	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months	Overall Trend					
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	91	50	24		Increasing		Stable	X	Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	15.17	16.67	8.00		Increasing		Stable	X	Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	1	1	26		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	0.07	0.06	3.25		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months	Overall Trend					
Median Comparable Sales Price	835,000.00	869,000.00	939,000.00	X	Increasing		Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	21	7	7		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	799,999.00	808,888.00	859,450.00	X	Increasing		Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	196	43	39	X	Declining		Stable		Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	100.00	100.00	103.00		Increasing	X	Stable		Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.) paid financial assistan	ce prevalent?	Yes X	No		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing

Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the past 12 months (e.g. seller contributions increased from 3% to 5%, increasing use of buydowns, closing costs condo fees, options, etc.)

The concession were not seen as often as before, the supply and demand is in balance, and the buyers are oftern compete for the good deal in the current market, this is especilly true for the recent 6 months, the multiple offers are competing for the houses in the neighborhood and the broad bay area.

Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market? Yes X No If yes, explain (including the trends in listings and sales of foreclosed properties). No, as there is only few distressed properties in the subject's neighborhood(none of 165 sold comps and none of 28 active/pending comps within last 12 months are distressed sales), the prices will NOT be affected.

Cite data sources for above information.

MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) and Realquest(Corelogic:www.realquest.com)

Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. If you used any additional information, such as an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. Overall the market in the subject's neighborhood is increasing overall for the last 12 months (Comparing the medium price of most recent 3 months data to the previous 7-12 months data and the monthly time adjustment rate will be (939/935-1)/12*100=1% for the contract date difference more than 3 months

As there is no any active/pending comparables in the previous 4-6 months, thus I entered 'N/A' in the above table.

	If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ	e project, complete the	e following:	Project Name:							
	Subject Project Data	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months		0	veral	II Trend			
	Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)					Increasing		Stable		Declining	
	Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)					Increasing		Stable		Declining	
	Total # of Active Comparable Listings					Declining		Stable		Increasing	
	Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)					Declining		Stable		Increasing	
0	Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro	ject? 🗌 Yes 🗌	No If yes, ind	icate the number of RI	EO list	ings and expla	ain th	ne trends in l	isting	s and sales	
ר	of foreclosed properties.										
3											
ł											
5											
Ś											
Ś											
ڌ											
	Summarize the above trends and address the impact	on the subject unit and	d project.								
¥	Signature		Signature								
P L	Appraiser Name Huibin M	iser Name Huibin M. Lan			Supervisor Name						
¥.	Company Name Bluebay Appl	raisal Inc.	Company N	Company Name							
AP	Company Address 41041 Trimboli Way #149										
	State License/Certification # AR030132	State C	A State Licen	se/Certification #					Sta	te	
	Email Address appraiserlan@	yahoo.com	Email Addr	ess							
red	die Mac Form 71 March 2009 UAD Versio	on 9/2011 Produce	ed by ClickFORM	IS Software 800-6	22-87	27 Fannie M	ae F	orm 1004M0	С Ма	rch 2009	

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 2738 Goble Ln Apt 5									
City	San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95111		
Lender/Client		Wedgewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Be	ach Blvd Suite	100, Redondo Beac	h, CA 90278		

FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE	SALF# 4	COMPARABLE S	AIF# 5	COMPARABLE S	AIF# 6	
	oble Ln Apt 5	141 Esfaha		2733 Sorano		2736 Cannar		
	se, CA 95111	San Jose, C		San Jose, C		San Jose, C		
Proximity to Subject	,	0.15 mile		0.18 mile		0.19 mile		
Sale Price	\$	\$	865,000	\$	899,999	\$	1,098,000	
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.00 sq. ft.	\$ 623.65 s	q. ft.	\$ 690.71 s	q. ft.	\$ 756.20 s	q. ft.	
Data Source(s)		ML# ML81914		ML# ML819333		ML# ML81935		
Verification Source(s)		Realquest Doc	Realquest Doc# 25432895 Realquest Doc# 25445792		Realquest Doc	# 25445792		
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	
Sale or Financing		ArmLth		Listing		Listing		
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0		Conv;0		
Date of Sale/Time		s03/23;c01/23	+52,000		0	,	0	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;		
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple		
Site	435 sf	1137 sf	-14,000			838 sf	0	
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;		
Design (Style)	AT3;Contemp	AT3;Contemp		AT3;Contemp		SD3;Contemp	-10,000	
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4		
Actual Age	5	15	0	•		5		
Condition	C3	C3		C3		C3		
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	-10,000	
Room Count	5 2 2.1	5 2 2.1		5 2 2.1		6 3 3.1	-10,000	
Gross Living Area	1,303 sq. ft		-29,500	1,303 sq. ft.		1,452 sq. ft.	-52,000	
Basement & Finished	0sf	Osf		0sf		0sf		
Rooms Below Grade								
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		InLawunit	-80,000	
Heating/Cooling	FWA/Central	FWA/Central		FWA/Central		FWA/Central		
Energy Efficient Items	Dual Pane Window	Dual Pane Window		Dual Pane Window		Dual Pane Window		
Garage/Carport	2gbi2dw	2gbi2dw		2gbi2dw		2gbi2dw		
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Concrete	Porch/Concrete		Porch/Concrete		Porch/Concrete		
Fireplaces	None	None		None		None		
Pool	None	None		None		None		
Listing Price \$	None	820,000	0			None		
Net Adjustment (Total)		X + -	\$ 8,500	+ -	\$0	+ X -	\$ -162,000	
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj: 1%		Net Adj: 0%	1	Net Adj: -15%		
of Comparables		Gross Adj : 11%	\$ 873,500	Gross Adj: 0%	\$ 899,999	Gross Adj: 15%	\$ 936,000	
Report the results of the r	esearch and analysis of	the prior sale or transfe	r history of the sub	ject property and compa	rable sales			

Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales									
ITEM	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE SALE # 4	COMPARABLE SALE # 5	COMPARABLE SALE # 6					
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	06/21/2023								
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	\$0								
Data Source(s)	DOC# 25490653 Realquest			Realquest					
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	02/01/2023	02/01/2023	02/01/2023	02/01/2023					
Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	istory of the subject property and co	omparable sales Search the dat	abase, no prior sale of the	comparables within last 12					
months.									

Summary of Sales Comparison Approach All Comps are closed sales within last 6 months of similar design and age, and similar quality, condition and appeal from subject's market area.

Adjustments are made as follows: 1). Site: \$20/SF(For lot size difference larger than 500 sqft or 10% of the subject's lot size); 2). Gross living area: \$350/SF(For GLA difference more than 10 sqft); 3). Bedroom: \$10,000/Bedroom; 4). Bathroom: \$10,000/Bathroom; 5). Age: \$400/Year(For age difference more than 40 years); 6). Fire place: \$10,000/Fireplace; 7) Car storage: \$10,000/car.8). The time adjustment uses 1.% Monthly for the contract date difference more than 3 months according to 1004MC Data , 9).Location: \$20000/per benefit/Adverse Factor; The above adjustment are obtained by paired analysis of the comparables in the subject's neighborhood and is typical to the area.

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings LL	С					
Property Address 2738 Goble Ln	Apt 5					
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95111
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address	2015 Manhattan E	Beach Blvd Suite 1	00, Redondo Beach, 0	CA 90278



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 2738 Goble Ln Apt 5 San Jose, CA 95111

REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

Case No. 53370

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.

2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.

4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.

2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.

3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.

8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.

9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.

10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.

11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.

12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.

13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.

14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.

15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.

16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.

17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).

19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.

23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.

24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.

4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.


5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

A. C.	
APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature MM	Signature
Name Huibin M. Lan	Name
Company Name Bluebay Appraisal Inc.	Company Name
Company Address 41041 Trimboli Way #1492	Company Address
Fremont, CA 94538	
Telephone Number <u>510-673-6733</u>	Telephone Number
Email Addressappraiserlan@yahoo.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 07/25/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 07/24/2023	State Certification #
State Certification # AR030132	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State <u>CA</u>	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/18/2025	
	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	
2738 Goble Ln Apt 5	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
San Jose, CA 95111	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$935,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	
Name Clear Capital	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection
Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005	Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Produced by ClickFORMS Software 800-622-8727 Page 9 of 28


File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdin	gs LLC					
Property Address 2738 Go	ble Ln Apt 5					
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95111
Lender/Client Wedgewood I	nc	Address	2015 Manhattan Bea	ch Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Beac	h, CA 90278



Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **PLAT MAP**

Borrower Redwood Holdings L	LLC					
Property Address 2738 Goble	Ln Apt 5					
_{City} San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95111
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address	2015 Manhattan I	Beach Blvd Suite	e 100, Redondo Be	each, CA 90278



Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **COMPARABLES 1-2-3**

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings I	LC					
Property Address 2738 Goble L	.n Apt 5					
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95111
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address	2015 Manhattan E	Beach Blvd Suite	e 100, Redondo Be	each, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE #12722 Forino Lane 1San Jose, CA 95111



COMPARABLE SALE #22769 Lavender TerSan Jose, CA 95111



COMPARABLE SALE #34636 Adobe River CourtSan Jose, CA 95136

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. COMPARABLES 4-5-6

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

				•		
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC	;					
Property Address 2738 Goble Ln	Apt 5					
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95111
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address	2015 Manhattan I	Beach Blvd Suite	100, Redondo Be	each, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE #4141 Esfahan DriveSan Jose, CA 95111



COMPARABLE SALE # 5 2733 Sorano Court 2 San Jose, CA 95111



COMPARABLE SALE #62736 Cannara Lane #1San Jose, CA 95111

License


				_				
3067248	Toretta Dillon, Deputy Bureau Chief, BREA	Effective Date: February 19, 2023 Date Expires: February 18, 2025	BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: AR 030132	This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law.	"Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser"	has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title:	Huibin M. Lan	Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE

Insurance

	ood Holdings LLC							
Property Address City San Jose	2738 Goble Ln Apt 5	County	Santa	Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95111
Lender/Client V	Vedgewood Inc			2015 Manhattan				
[1
	GREATAMER	\geq			DECLAR	ATIO	NS	
	GREATAMER	ICAN			for			
	INSURANC			RE ERRORS & O	AL ESTATE MISSIONS IN			Y
	301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinn	ati, OH 45202						-
	THIS IS BOTH	A CLAIMS MAD	E AND RE	PORTED INS	URANCE PO	DLICY	ζ.	
	THIS POLICY APPL	IES TO THOSE CLAI	MS THAT AR	RE FIRST MADE	AGAINST TH	IE INSI	URED	
		ED IN WRITING TO						
	Insurance is afforded by th	e company indicated be	low: (A capital	stock corporation)			
	🗵 Great American Assu	rance Company						
	Note: The Insurance Com	pany selected above sha	ll herein be refe	erred to as the Cor	npany.			
	Policy Number:	RAP3367375-22		Re	newal of: RA	P33673	75-21	
	Program Administ	rator: Herbert H	I. Landy Insura	ance Agency Inc.				
	riogram rammisa			Suite 301 Norwo		:		
		ThePhile Tare						
	Item 1. Named Insured:	Huibin Lan	-					
	Item 2. Address: City, State, Zip Co	de: Fremont, CA 9						
			0	9/08/2023				
	Item 3. Policy Period: Fr	(Month, Day, Year)	(Month, Da	y, Year)				
		tes at 12:01 a.m. Standar	rd 1 ime at the a	address of the Nan	ned Insured as	stated in	Item 2.)	
	Item 4. Limits of Liabilit							
	A. \$ 500,000	Damages Limit of						
	B. \$ 500,000	Claim Expenses						
	C. \$ 1,000,000		- 50					
	D. \$ 1,000,000	Claim Expenses	Limit of Liabili	ty – Policy Aggre	gate			
	Item 5. Deductible (Inclus	sive of Claim Expenses	s):					
	A. \$	Each Claim						
	B. \$1,000	Aggregate						
	Item 6. Premium: \$	335.00						
	Item 7. Retroactive Date	(if applicable): 09/0	8/2006					
	Item 8. Forms, Notices an	d Endorsements attac	hed:					
		D42300 CA (10/13) 1 D42408 (05/13) D424			C	21 - 33 ° 4 - 6		
	D42402 (03/13) D42414 (08/19)	D42408 (05/15) D424	12 (03/17) D	42413 (00/17)		a.m.	J	
					Authoriz	zed Repro	esentative	
	D42101 (03/15)						Page 1 of 1	

Aerial Map

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address 2738 Goble Ln Apt 5						
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95111
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address 2015 Manhattan Be	ach Blvd S	uite 100	, Redondo Beacł	n, CA 90278
¥						



n Jose Client	Wedgewood Inc		County	Santa Cla Address 20		State CA Zip Code Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach,
6	arra		· · · ·			
	CHIC (AGO T	ITLE			
	<u></u>					
PR	OPERTY HISTORY					3668 SYDNEY CT, SAN JOSE, CA 95132-1
Prio	r Transfer - 12/16/2011					
Rec	ording Date:	12/16/2011		Docum	nent#:	21461982
Pric		\$0.00			nent Type:	Intra-family Transfer or Dissolution
First	t TD:			Туре с	of Sale:	Non-Arms Length Transfer
Len	der Name:					
	er Name:	TOMISTA, RENATO M		Buyer	Vesting:	LV
	er Name:	TOMISTA, ANITA; TOM				
Lega	al Description:	Lot Number: Subdivision:	1313			
		Tract Number:	NORTHWOOD PARK 4633			
		Unit:	11			
		Map Ref:	MAP254 PG18&19			
		City / Muni / Twp:	SAN JOSE			
Rele	ase Record - 11/07/2011					
18		- Alexandra de				
	ording Date:	11/07/2011		Docum		21405374
Pric					nent Type:	Substitution of Trustee and Full Reconveyance
	Due Date: der Name:			Type c	of Financing:	
	der Type:			Borroy	wers Name:	TOMISTA, ANITA C; TOMISTA, RENATO M
Vest						
	al Description:					
Mort	tgage Record - 11/04/2011					
-						
	ording Date: n Amount:	11/04/2011 \$384,000.00		Docum Loan 1		21402563 Conventional
	Due Date:	\$304,000.00			of Financing:	Conventional
	der Name:	CLEARVISION FUNDI	NG			
Len	der Type:			Borrow	wers Name:	TOMISTA, ANITA; TOMISTA, RENATO
Vest	ing:	TL				
Lega	al Description:	Lot Number:	1313			
		Subdivision:	NORTHWOOD PARK			
		Tract Number:	4633			
		Unit: Map Ref:	11 MAP254 PG18&19			
		City / Muni / Twp:	SAN JOSE			
		ony many mp.	0/110002			
Prio	r Transfer - 11/04/2011					
Rec	ording Date:	11/04/2011		Docum	nent#:	21402562
Pric	e:	\$0.00		Docum	nent Type:	Intra-family Transfer or Dissolution
	t TD:			Туре с	of Sale:	Non-Arms Length Transfer
	t TD Doc:	21402563				
	der Name:			-		-
	er Name:	TOMISTA, ANITA; TOM		Buyer	Vesting:	JT
	er Name: al Description:	TOMISTA, RENATO M	1313			
Log	n beschpiton.	Subdivision:	NORTHWOOD PARK			
		Tract Number:	4633			
		Unit:	11			
		Map Ref:	MAP254 PG18&19			
		City / Muni / Twp:	SAN JOSE			
	ase Record - 06/13/2007					
	ase Record - 06/15/2007				6.00°	
	ording Date:	06/13/2007		Docum		19468685
Pric	e:			Docum	nent Type:	Release of Mortgage

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

Abbreviation	Full Name	May Appear in These Fields
A ac	Adverse Acres	Location & View
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sales or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
B	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
c	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
		View
CtyStr	City Street View	
	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
TC	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
w	Driveway	Garage/Carport
9	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
-HA	Federal Housing Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
	Garage	Garage/Carport
	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
ja		
gbi	Built-In Garages	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
n	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
nd	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sales or Financing Concessions
Lndfl	Landfill	
_tdSght	Limited Sight	View
MR	Mid Rise	Design (Style)
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
C	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
C	Other	Design (Style)
ор ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
r	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
י אד	Row or Townhouse	
		Design (Style)
<u>}</u>	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
51	Square Meters	Area, Site
		Date of Sale/Time
sqm	Unknown	
sqm Jnk		Sale or Financing Concessions
sqm Jnk /A	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time
sqm Jnk /A v	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
sqm Jnk /A v vo	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement	Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
sqm Jnk VA VA No Noods	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View	Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View
sqm Jnk VA VA No Noods Wtr	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View	Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad View View
sqm Unk VA w wo Woods Wtr	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View	Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad View
si sqm Unk VA Wo Woods Wtr WtrFr Wu	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View	Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View
sqm Unk VA W Woods Wtr WtrFr	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View Water Frontage	Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View Location
sqm Jnk /A v vo Noods Wtr VtrFr	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View Water Frontage	Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View Location
sqm Jnk /A v vo Noods Wtr VtrFr	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View Water Frontage	Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View Location
sqm Jnk /A v v vo Voods Vtr VtrFr	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement Woods View Water View Water Frontage	Date of Sale/Time Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View View Location

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 273	8 Goble Ln Apt 5					
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95111
Lender/Client Wedge	wood Inc	Address 2015 M	anhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100), Redondo Bea	ch, CA 90278

The appraiser's competency for completing work order assignments within the subject's market area:

The appraiser is very familiar with the market area of the subject, approximate about 300 of appraisals completed in this market, there is about 10 miles that the appraiser traveled to the subject. The appraisaler has about 10 years of field work experience and has access to the MLS data of the subject's neighborhood. The appraiser reside in the neighbor county of Alameda county.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF THE SUBJECT: The subject has typical lot size, GLA, style ,construction quality and similar condition as a single family house(physically possible) and conform to the neighborhood with almost all the similar single family houses(The reasonable,probable and Legal use of the lot as the the single family home zoning of the neighborhood-----Legally allowable), the single family house demand is still high in all the bay area and the subject's neighborhood(financially feasible and Maximu Productive), thus its current use is in its highest and best use.

The Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak has had a significant impact on local, national and global economies. Financial markets worldwide are experiencing unprecedented volatility. In some areas of the United States, there are current Shelter-in-Place orders and other restrictions on daily activities. These events are likely to impact real estate values in the short term but, as of the date of this appraisal, there is not enough data to substantiate that position. I have researched all available local sources and, as of this date, I have not found any data suggesting that significant changes in local real estate market have occurred. The market data presented in this appraisal report is considered the most recent and relevant available and the resulting analysis best reflects market conditions as of the effective date of appraisal.

No employee, director, officer, or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal management company, or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted toinfluence the development, reporting, result, or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or in any other manner.

I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client as identified on the first page of thereport), borrower, or designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report anyunauthorized contacts either personally by phone or electronically to the Clear Capital.

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redw	ood Holdings LLC	
Property Address	2738 Goble Ln Apt 5	
City San Jose	Со	unty
Lender/Client V	Vedgewood Inc	

Toperty Address 2							
ity San Jose	(County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95111
ender/Client We	dgewood Inc		Address 2015 Manha	ttan Beach Blvd	Suite 100, Re	edondo Beach,	CA 90278

Street Address (Full)	Sale Price	Sq Ft Total	
358 Kylemore CT	1050000	1376	
5403 Sanchez DR	700000	1072	
433 Carpentier Way	860000	1442	
984 Summerplace DR	645000	1124	
1176 Bird Ave	980000	1244	
4636 Adobe River CT	928000	1104	
576 King George AVE	896000		
3444 Brushcreek WAY	874888		
5476 Sean CIR 6	777000		
3455 Senter RD	645000		
1029 Cumberland PL	1195000		
1430 Crucero DR B	620000		
5446 Colony Field DR	878000		
2769 Lavender Ter	1125000		
2988 Grassina ST 319	950000		
350 Llano De Los Robles AVE 4	1066585		
350 llano AVE 1	1007270		
3031 Lina ST 5	999000		
3034 Empoli ST 5	980000		
350 Llano De Los Robles AVE 7	961517		
350 Llano De Los Robles AVE 3	900000		
86 Frontier Trail Dr	870000		
566 Almaden Walk LOOP	998000		
255 Llano De Los Robles AVE 4	1150000		
314 Llano De Los Robles AVE 4	922517		
236 Siderno Ct	860000		
3167 Kenland DR	729000		
4044 Truckee CT	855000		
1013 Bellhurst AVE	600000		
1375 Lick AVE 422	715000		
3188 Groth Court	750000		
3227 Amidy Garden WAY	910000		
5221 Macaw WAY	830000		
406 Velasco DR	785000		
1562 Alma TER	727500		
382 Llano De Los Robles AVE 9	1346753		
382 Llano De Los Robles AVE 8	1295000		
355 Powerscourt WAY	970000		
4727 Durango River CT	868000		
569 Giuffrida AVE A	790000		
523 Cityscape PL	960000		
393 Kincora CT	880000		
3034 Empoli ST 10	1138834		
3034 Empoli ST 1	1084725		
3034 Empoli ST 3	990711		
799 Apple TER	738000		
2661 Senter Creek CT	630000		
1051 Villa Maria CT	975000		
1060 S 3rd ST 337	679000		
1535 Desdemona CT	700000		
382 Llano De Los Robles AVE 3	968000		
4708 Canyon River CT	870000		

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	2738 Goble Ln Apt 5	
City San Josa		<u></u>

San Jose		ta Clara State CA Zip Code 95111
er/Client Wedgewood Inc	Ad	dress 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90
295 Tradewinds DR 102	775000	1466
1126 Delmas AVE	1170000	1376
1688 Cedarcreek DR	818000	1360
762 Batista DR	1050000	1487
1305 Palm ST	690000	1118
3031 Lina #3 ST 3	928000	1100
1522 Pine Glen CT	1160000	1260
259 Sumba CT	765000	1246
1137 Brace AVE 2	1190000	1356
2102 Galveston AVE C	700000	1165
525 Guiti Garden CT	870000	1142
2224 Almaden RD A	865000	1163
387 Kincora CT	890000	1168
639 Balfour dr	630000	1075
3034 Empoli ST 8	1134500	1241
3034 Empoli ST 9	1079000	1200
363 LEWIS RD	660000	1198
2985 Jayhawkers PL 9	1070000	1213
3103 Kenland DR	705000	1094
544 King George AVE	870000	1057
341 Ballymore CIR	892000	1168
5524 Sean CIR 52	620000	1060
2722 Forino LN 1	1100000	1452
1093 Indian Summer CT	561093	1056
611 Adeline AVE	870000	1265
5015 Grey Feather CIR	710000	1137
141 Esfahan DR	865000	1387
60 Cherry Crest LN	880000	1351
, 1111 Prevost CT	875000	1174
1543 Desdemona CT	600000	1176
1461 Carrington CIR	1210000	1362
5222 Jacana CT	775000	1052
85 Frontier Trail DR 85	850000	1452
3386 Skyward PL	905000	1443
, 593 Hornbeam WAY	640000	1249
2070 Almaden RD	845000	1327
20 Deer Run CIR	860000	1452
623 Marble Arch AVE	838000	1104
208 Litchi Grove CT	770000	1439
566 Elk Ridge WAY	665000	1067
4549 Cimarron River CT	827775	1441
2835 Lavender TER	860000	1405
489 Velasco DR	650000	1439
951 S 12th ST 109	639000	1130
2988 Grassina ST 421	850000	1255
1375 Lick AVE 229	685000	1148
3031 Lina ST 4	1090000	1245
951 S 12Th St 305	620000	1206
991 Goodacre LN	940000	1431
3211 Groth CT	733000	1392
125 Patterson ST 303	577000	1045
408 Colony Cove DR	765000	1294
5540 Don Ricardo Ct	660000	1156
	00000	1100

File No. 34149360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 2738 Goble Ln Apt 5

Case No. 53370

San Jose County r/Client Wedgewood Inc	Santa Addre	ess 2015 Manh	attan Beach E	CA Blvd Suite 10	Zip Code 0, Redondo Bea	9511 ach, CA 9
130 Baroni AVE 30	860000	1482				
436 Colony Knoll DR	775000	1260				
302 Cedargate LN	705000	1152				
1099 Prevost CT	875000	1174				
2061 Delbarr CT	842000	1290				
511 Dix WAY	830000	1426				
549 Giuffrida AVE C	723000	1420				
532 Almaden Walk Loop	867000	1282				
3636 Sawtooth Ct.	610000	1157				
475 Marble Arch AVE	845000	1104				
952 S 11th ST 329	655100	1241				
465 Carpentier WAY	722000	1392				
5474 Sean CIR 4	630000	1060				
566 Altino BLVD	870000	1250				
3124 Heather Ridge Dr	810000	1250				
954 Summerplace DR	612000	1124				
295 Tradewinds DR 101	765000	1449				
1454 Alma LOOP	670000	1071				
4878 Indian River DR	730000	1452				
4663 Pinto River CT	730000	1492				
438 Branham Ln	970000	1560				
327 Kenbrook CIR	625000	1094				
5426 Colony Green DR	825000	1260				
382 Llano De Los Robles #10 AVE 10	1377366	1200				
382 Llano De Los Robles #10 AVE 10 382 Llano De Los Robles Ave #7 AVE	1170449	1100				
130 Baroni AVE 48	860000	1431				
125 Patterson St 334	670000	1218				
3150 Regency AVE	875000	1057				
3166 Regency AVE	875000	1057				
371 Casselino DR	860000	1104				
2725 Lone Bluff WAY	600000	1075				
5204 Jacana CT	875000	1142				
2628 Villa Cortona WAY	875000	142				
2988 Grassina ST 321	873000 827500	1255				
5433 Colony Green DR	827500	1255				
5376 Pistachio Grove CT						
	600000 875000	1156 1265				
329 Casselino DR 326 Adeline AVE	875000 775000	1265 1250				
5410 Colony Green DR	795000	1250				
5328 Borneo CIR		1294				
467 White Chapel AVE	715000 898000	1122				
130 Baroni AVE 29	898000 810000	1370				
2503 Olivegate Lane	650000	1080				
1173 Cherryview LN	995000 868000	1494 1166				
217 Banana Grove LN	868000	1166				
549 King George AVE	850000	1057				
3606 Buckeye DR	490000	1249				
33 Cherry Crest LN	740000	1228				
2648 Senter Creek CT	736000	1146				
210 Kenbrook CIR	635000	1164				
951 S 12th ST 216	558000	1047				
253 Sumba CT	700000	1122				
3347 Shadow Park PL	625000	1143				

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95111
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address 2015 N	lanhattan Beach	n Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Beach	n, CA 90278

527 Elk Ridge WAY	748000	1067
3438 BRUSHCREEK	820000	1360
909 Bellhurst AVE	592313	1162
3289 Heather Ridge Ct	748000	1099
3215 Groth Court	695000	1322

APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

Address 2738 Goble Ln Apt 5			Unit No.	
City San Jose	County Santa Clara	State CA	Zip Code 95111	
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc				
City San Jose	County Santa Clara	State CA		-

This Appraisal Compliance Addendum is included to en APPRAISAL AND REPORT IDENTIFICATION	sure this appraisal report meets all USPAP 2014 requirements.
Restricted Appraisal Report This report was prepared in accordance with the reintended user of this report is limited to the identifi	equirements of the Appraisal Report option of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a). equirements of the Restricted Appraisal Report option of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b). The ed client. This is a Restricted Appraisal Report and the rationale for how the appraiser arrived ort may not be understood properly without the additional information in the appraiser's workfile.
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICATIONS	
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:	
opinions, and conclusions. Unless otherwise indicated, I have no present or prospective interest in the prop	ed assumptions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, erty that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to parties involved any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year
period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment. I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or the	
	ting predetermined results. elopment or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause It, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of
this appraisal.	n prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that
Unless otherwise indicated, I have made a personal inspection of the property the Unless otherwise indicated, no one provided significant real property appraisal a individual providing significant real property appraisal assistance is stated elsew	assistance to the person(s) signing this certification (if there are exceptions, the name of each here in this report).
This report has been prepared in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA as amende PRIOR SERVICES	ed, and any implementing regulations.
 X I have NOT performed services, as an appraiser or in another other capa immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment. 	city, regarding the property that is the subject of the report within the three-year period ng the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately
PROPERTY INSPECTION I X HAVE made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of	
I have NOT made a personal inspection of the property that is the sub	
APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE Unless otherwise noted, no one provided significant real property appraisal assistance are hereby identified along with a summary of the extent of the assistance provided in	e to the person signing this certification. If anyone did provide significant assistance, they the report.
none	
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Additional USPAP related issues requiring disclosure and/or any state mandated requiring within the last 3 years.	irements: External only inspection. I did not do any services for the subject
MARKETING TIME AND EXPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT PR	
X A reasonable marketing time for the subject property is 20-40 day(s) X A reasonable exposure time for the subject property is 20-40 day(s)	utilizing market conditions pertinent to the appraisal assignment.
APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
$\sim 0 Q$	
Signature	Signature
Name Huibin M. Lan Date of Signature 07/25/2023	Name Date of Signature
State Certification # AR030132	State Certification #
or State License #	or State License # State
Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/18/2025	State Expiration Date of Certification or License
Effective Date of Appraisal 07/24/2023	Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of Subject Property: Did Not Exterior Only from street
USPAP Compliance Addendum 2014	Page 26 of 28

Profile from title report page

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

 San Jose 	2738 Goble Ln Apt	County		Santa	Clara	Sta	ate CA	Zip Code	951
der/Client W	edgewood Inc			Address	2015	Manhattan Beach Bl	vd Suite 10	00, Redondo Be	ach, CA 9
			ø						
	CHICAG	OTITLE							
	0								
ENHAN	ICED REPORT 2.0)							
	M 2026 - 110							120	
Subje	ct Property:						(Prep.	ared For:
	Site Address			Mail Addre		TE	Ē	<u>ē</u>	Amy Zhang
7 *	2738 GOBLE LN 5 SAN JOSE, CA 95111-228	11		2738 GOBI SAN JOSE				amylanzhang	0) 552-1058 @yahoo.com
							· ·	~	
Docu	nent Contents							Pro	vided By
									-
	Profile Cover Sheet Property Overview							3340 Wa	chard Chen Inut Ave 116
	 Property History Page Property Comparables 	(Detailed)							nt, CA 94538 nen@ctt.com
-	Property Comparables Neighborhood	(Summary)							
۰ <u>ــــ</u>	-								
						2720 001			444 0004
2	ERTY OVERVIEW					2738 GOI	BLE LN 3, 5	AN JOSE, CA 95	111-2281
Owne	r and Geographic Inform	nation							
\bigcirc	Primary Owner: BYRON TIMOTHY				Secon	dary Owner:			
	Site Address:				Mail A	ddress:			
	2738 GOBLE LN 5, SAN JOSE	E, CA 95111-2281			2738 G	GOBLE LN UNIT 5, SAN JOSE	, CA 95111-2281	ſ	
	APN:	456-39-018			Lot Nu	imber:	Page	/ Grid:	
	Housing Tract Number: Legal Description:	10307 Tract Number:		10307					
	Legal Description:	Legal Brief Description:		TR#:10307 TR 10307 UNI	Т 177				
		_0341 _1101 _0001 piterin							
Prope	rty Details		0.000						
	Bedrooms: 2		888	Year Built: 201			ire Feet:	1,303	
	Bathrooms: 2.5				age 1	Lot S		435 SF	
] Total Rooms: 5 2 Zoning: A-PD			Fireplace: Pool:			ber of Units: Code:	0 Condominium Unit (F	Residential
	nformation		5532			536			
				0.11					
ſ	Transfer Date: 01/31/2 Transfer Value: \$611,00				YLOR MC 572084	RRISON OF CALIFORNIA LL	υ,		
	Cost/Sq Feet:								
Asses	sment and Taxes								
	Assessed Value:	\$668,029.00		Percent Improvement:	50.00)% Li	eowner Exemp	tion	
) M	Assessed value:	\$668,029.00 \$334,015.00		Tax Amount:	\$9,27		eowner Exemp Rate Area:	tion: 17-030	
```	Improvement Value:	\$334,014.00		Tax Status:			Account ID:		
	Market Improvement Value:			Market Land Value:		Tax	/ear:	2022	
	Market Value:								

Page 27 of 28

Profile from title report page

File No. 34149360 Case No. 53370

er/Clien	t Wedgewood Inc	2	A	ddress 2015 Manhattan	Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA
	$\frown$				
	CHIC	AGO TI	ГLЕ		
		100 11			
	PROPERTY HISTORY				2738 GOBLE LN 5, SAN JOSE, CA 95111-228
2	Foreclosure Record - 06/21/2	023			
	Recording Date:	06/21/2023		Document#:	25490653
	Document Type:	Notice of Sale		Documenta.	2040000
	Lender Type:			Borrowers Name:	
	Vesting:				
	Legal Description:				
	Foreclosure Record - 03/21/2	023			
	Recording Date:	03/21/2023		Document#:	25451264
	Document Type:	Notice of Default			
	Lender Type:			Borrowers Name:	
	Vesting:				
-	Legal Description:				
	Assignment Record - 08/02/2019				
	Recording Date:	08/02/2019		Document#:	24245726
	Price:			Document Type:	Assignment of Mortgage
	TD Due Date:			Type of Financing:	
	Lender Name:			Domesium Neme	
	Lender Type: Vesting:			Borrowers Name:	TIMOTHY BYRON, AN UNMARRIED MAN
	Legal Description:				
	Mortgage Record - 01/31/2017				
					(10.11) (10.11)
	Recording Date: Loan Amount:	01/31/2017 \$599,766.00		Document#: Loan Type:	<u>23572085</u> FHA
	TD Due Date:	\$333,700.00		Type of Financing:	
	Lender Name:	TAYLOR MORRISON HOM	IE FUNDING LLC		
	Lender Type:			Borrowers Name:	BYRON, TIMOTHY
	Vesting:		2		
	Legal Description:	Lot Number: Subdivision:	2 INDIGO AT MONTECITO VIS	λTΔ.	
		Tract Number:	10307		
		Unit:	177		
		Map Ref:	MAP886 PG1-6		
		Legal Brief Description:		MONTECITO VISTA; BLDG: 604	
		City / Muni / Twp:	SAN JOSE		
	Prior Transfer - 01/31/2017				
	Recording Date:	01/31/2017		Document#:	23572084
	Price:	\$611,000.00		Document Type:	Grant Deed
	First TD:			Type of Sale:	Sales Price Rounded from Tax
	First TD Doc:	23572085			
	Lender Name:				
	Buyer Name: Seller Name:	BYRON, TIMOTHY TAYLOR MORRISON OF (		Buyer Vesting:	
	Legal Description:	Lot Number:	2		
	Logal Doortpilon	Subdivision:	- INDIGO AT MONTECITO VIS	STA	
		Tract Number:	10307		
		Unit:	177		
		Map Ref:	MAP886 PG1-6		
		Legal Brief Description:		MONTECITO VISTA; BLDG: 604	
		City / Muni / Twp:	SAN JOSE		