APPRAISAL REPORT OF



67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST SAN JOSE, CA 95112

PREPARED FOR

Clear Capital Wedgewood Inc 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100 Redondo Beach, CA 90278

AS OF

09/02/2023

PREPARED BY

GK Home Appraisals LLC 5273 Prospect Rd. #418 San Jose, CA 95129

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	The purpose of this summary appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the market value of the subject property. Property Address 67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST City SAN JOSE State CA Zip Code 95112
	Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC Owner of Public Record Chiv Chau Ching & Chan Lin County Santa Clara
	Legal Description BLOCK 18 LOT 18 Tax Year 2022 R.E. Taxes \$ 0
ECT	Neighborhood Name Downtown Map Reference exterior Census Tract 5002.00
SUBJECT	Occupant Owner X Tenant Vacant Special Assessments 0 PUD HOA \$ 0 per year per month Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple Leasehold Other (describe)
S	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction Refinance Transaction X Other (describe) Servicing
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Is the subject property currently offered for sale or has it been offered for sale in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal? Yes X No
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), and date(s). ReiIMLS
	I did did not analyze the contract for sale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain the results of the analysis of the contract for sale or why the analysis was not
CT	_performed.
TRA	Contract Price \$ Date of Contract
CONTRACT	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sale concessions, gift or downpayment assistance, etc.) to be paid by any party on behalf of the borrower? Yes No If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe the items to be paid.
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the neighborhood are not appraisal factors.
	Neighborhood CharacteristicsOne-Unit Housing TrendsOne-Unit HousingPresent Land Use %LocationUrbanX SuburbanRuralProperty ValuesIncreasingX StableDecliningPRICEAGEOne-Unit95 %
ОО	Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75% Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortage X In Balance OverSupply \$ (000) (yrs) 2-4 Unit 2 %
오	Growth Rapid X Stable Slow Marketing Time X Under 3 mths 3-6 mths Over6mths 800 Low 1 Multi-Family 2 %
SOR	Neighborhood Boundaries The neighborhood boundaries are: Freeway 880 (North-West), E Taylor St 1,700 High 120 Commercial 1 % (South), N 4th St (East).
EIGHBORHOOD	Neighborhood Description The subjects primary neighborhood consists of mainly one and two story residences of average quality, design, and appeal. Amenities such as schools,
Ē	parks, shopping centers are located nearby. The area is well developed and has shown a gradual increase in population, businesses, and effective demand for residential housing. The design, appeal, and quality of the residences are considered average, and have good marketability. The subject property is convenient to main traffic corridors.
	Market Conditions (including support for the above conclusions) The subject's market area is active with smaller and larger residences being listed, and
	sold within the past year. Within today's market, residences similar to the subject have been experiencing marketing periods typically shorter than 90 days. Due to the current level of interest rates and market demand there is no need for buy downs or sales concessions.
	Dimensions See Site Map for Area Calculation Area 8474 sf Shape Rectangular View N;Res;
	Specific Zoning Classification R2 Zoning Description Multi Fmaily Residential
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use) No Zoning Illegal (describe) Is the highest and best use of subject property as improved (or as proposed per plans and specifications) the present use? X Yes No If No, describe.
SITE	Electricity X Water X Street Asphalt X
တ	Gas X Sanitary Sewer X Alley None Alley None FEMA Map Date 05/18/2009
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typical for the market area? X Yes No If No, describe.
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external factors (easements, encroachments, environmental conditions, land uses, etc.)? Yes X No If Yes, describe.
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property Appraisal Files X MLS X Assessment and Tax Records Prior Inspection Property Owner
	X Other (describe) NDC Data Data Source(s) for Gross Living Area NdcData
	Units X One OnewithAccessoryUnit X Concrete Slab Crawl Space X FWA HWBB Fireplace(s) # 0 None
	# of Stories 1 Full Basement Finished Radiant Woodstove(s) # 0 X Driveway # of Cars 2
	Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Partial Basement Finished Other X Patio/Deck Yes Driveway Surface Asphalt X Existing Proposed UnderConst Exterior Walls Wd/Stucco/Gd Fuel Gas Porch None X Garage # of Cars 4
	Design (Style) Fourplex Roof Surface Tile Roof/Gd Central Air Conditioning Pool None Carport # of Cars 0
	Year Built 1950 Gutters & Downspouts Screen/Gd Individual X Fence YES X Attached Detached
(0	Effective Age (Yrs) 35 Window Type Dbl. Paned/Gd X Other None Other None Built-in Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Dishwasher X Disposal X Microwave X Washer/Dryer Other (describe)
Ë	Finished area above grade contains: 12 Rooms 4 Bedrooms 4.0 Bath(s) 2,818 Square Feet of Gross Living Area Above Grade
EME	Additional features (special energy efficient items, etc.) The subject property has good energy efficient items.
IMPROVEMENTS	Describe the condition of the property and data source(s) (including apparent needed repairs, deterioration, renovations, remodeling, etc.). C3;The subject property has
MP	good maintenance and is in good condition. The finish, details and mechanical amenities are typical for the area and are rated good.
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions that affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property? Yes X No If Yes, describe
	Does the property generally conform to the neighborhood (functional utility, style, condition, use, construction, etc.)? X Yes No If No, describe

SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

There are 6 con	nparable properties cur	ently offered for sale in	the subject neighbo	orhood ranging	j in price f	rom \$ 1,200,	000 to \$ 1,47	5,000 .		
There are 28 con	parable sales in the su	bject neighborhood with	in the past twelve r	nonths ranging	g in sale p	rice from \$ 1,2	250,000 to\$	1,600,000 .		
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE	SALE#1	COMPA	ARABLE S	SALE # 2	COMPARABLE S	ALE#3		
Address 67 69 73 7	5 E HEDDING ST	1081 New	hall Str		73 S 7th	n Str	45 S 22ı	nd Str		
SAN JO	SE, CA 95112	SAN JOSE,	CA 95126	SAN	JOSE,	CA 95112	SAN JOSE,	CA 95116		
Proximity to Subject		1.56 mi	es W	1	1.53 mile	es SE	1.93 mi	les E		
Sale Price	\$	\$	1,425,000		\$	1,400,000	\$ 1,555,000			
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.00 sq. ft	\$ 593.75	sq. ft.	\$ 574.	.71 s	q. ft.	\$ 498.08 sq. ft.			
Data Source(s)	·	ReilMLS#ML819		ReilMLS#	ML8193	3440;DOM 58	ReilMLS#ML818	89860;DOM 7		
Verification Source(s)		APN: 230-16-097	Doc:#25465810	APN: 467	7-24-025	Doc:#uknwn	APN: 467-31-046	Doc:#25336906		
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment			+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment		
Sale or Financing		ArmLth	1	ArmL			ArmLth	T , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv			Conv;0			
Date of Sale/Time		s04/23;c03/23		s08/23;c	-		s07/22;c06/22	0		
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Re			N;Res;			
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Si			Fee Simple			
Site	8474 sf	6072 sf	+12,000			0	7800 sf	0		
View	N;Res;	N;Res;	112,000	N;Re			N;Res;			
Design (Style)	DT1;Fourplex	DT2;Fourplex	1			0	DT2;Fourplex	0		
	Q4	Q4		Q4		0	Q4	"		
Quality of Construction	73	71				136 000				
Actual Age						+26,000		0		
Condition	C3	C3		C3		00.000	C3			
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms			Total Bdrms. Baths			
Room Count	12 4 4.0	12 4 4.0		12 7	2.0	+20,000				
Gross Living Area	2,818 sq. f		+42,000		sq. ft.	+38,000		30,000		
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0st	f		0sf			
Rooms Below Grade										
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Avera	age		Average			
Heating/Cooling	FWA/None	FWA/None		FWA/N	lone		FWA/None			
Energy Efficient Items	Dbl.Paned	Dbl.Paned		Dbl.Pa	aned		Dbl.Paned			
Garage/Carport	4ga2dw	4cp2dw	C	2dv	V	0	4ga2dw			
Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio	Patio		Pati	io		Patio			
Net Adjustment (Total)		X + -	\$ 54,000	X +	1_	\$ 54,000	+ X -	\$ -30,000		
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj: 4%	ψ 01,000	Net Adj: 49	6	ψ 01,000	Net Adj: -2%	Ψ		
of Comparables		Gross Adj : 4%	\$ 1.479.000			\$ 1,454,000		\$ 1,525,000		
	accord the calc or trans	sfer history of the subject						φ 1,323,000		
	search the sale or trans	sier history of the subjec	st property and com	parable sales.	. II not, ex	piairi ReiliviLS/	NDC Data			
My research did X	did not rougal any pri	or sales or transfers of t	a aubicat property	for the three v	ooro prior	to the offertive dat	to of this approisal			
		or sales or transfers or tr	ie subject property	ioi ille illiee y	ears prior	to the effective da	le ui tilis appiaisai.			
Data source(s) ReiIMLS										
	•	or sales or transfers of the	ne comparable sale	s for the year	prior to the	e date of sale of the	e comparable sale.			
Data source(s) ReiIMLS			12.1 (0.12				Tee I : I	٥)		
Report the results of the r										
ITEM		BJECT	COMPARABLE S	SALE # 1	COM	IPARABLE SALE#	EZ COMPARA	BLE SALE #3		
Date of Prior Sale/Transfe										
Price of Prior Sale/Transf										
Data Source(s)		S/NDC Data	ReilMLS/ND0		Rei	IMLS/NDC Data		/NDC Data		
Effective Date of Data So		03/2023	09/03/202			09/03/2023		3/2023		
Analysis of prior sale or tr	ansfer history of the sub	eject property and comp	arable sales Per	NDC Data	and MLS	S, the subject p	roperty is currently	not under		
contract, nor has it be	een listed or sold w	ithin the past 36 mc	nths.							
Summary of Sales Compa	arison Approach All	of the sales compar	ables are locate	ed within the	e same r	narket area and	d exhibit a quality in	materials		
and workmanship equal to the subject property.										
Indicated Value by Sales	Comparison Approach	1.475.000								
Indicated Value by Sales			Coot Annyosob (if d	2 (bonolovo	1 463 (648 Income Ar	preach (if dayslaned) \$			
Indicated Value by: Sales (Cost Approach (if d	eveloped) \$	1,463,6	648 Income Ap	proach (if developed) \$			
			Cost Approach (if d	eveloped) \$	1,463,6	648 Income Ap	proach (if developed) \$			
Indicated Value by: Sales (Cost Approach (if d	eveloped) \$	1,463,0	648 Income Ap	proach (if developed) \$			
Indicated Value by: Sales (N/A	Comparison Approach \$	1,475,000								
Indicated Value by: Sales (N/A This appraisal is made	Comparison Approach \$ X "as is," subject	1,475,000	ins and specificatio	ns on the basi	s of a hyp	othetical condition	that the improvements	1		
Indicated Value by: Sales ON/A This appraisal is made Completed, subject to	Comparison Approach \$ X "as is," subject the following repairs of	1,475,000 ect to completion per pla alterations on the basis	ins and specificatio	ns on the basi	s of a hyp e repairs c	othetical condition or alterations have b	that the improvements peen completed, or	subject to the		
Indicated Value by: Sales (N/A This appraisal is made	Comparison Approach \$ X "as is," subject the following repairs of	1,475,000 ect to completion per pla alterations on the basis	ins and specificatio	ns on the basi	s of a hyp e repairs c	othetical condition or alterations have b	that the improvements peen completed, or	subject to the		
Indicated Value by: Sales (N/A This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspect chosen best reflect subject value.	Comparison Approach \$ X "as is," subject the following repairs or ion based on the extract e. There are no conditions or	1,475,000 ect to completion per plate alterations on the basis rdinary assumption that ther than the standard limiting	ins and specificatio of a hypothetical co the condition or de g conditions. GRM analy	ns on the basi ondition that the ficiency does ysis (Income App	s of a hyp e repairs o not require	othetical condition or alterations have t e alteration or repa onsidered due to predo	that the improvements peen completed, or ir: Comparable sales verific minant owner occupancy.	subject to the ed closed. Comparables		
Indicated Value by: Sales (N/A) This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspect chosen best reflect subject value Based on a visual inspect.	X "as is," subjute the following repairs of the following repairs of the extraction based on the extraction of the exterior a	1,475,000 ect to completion per plate alterations on the basis ardinary assumption that ther than the standard limiting reas of the subject pro	ins and specificatio of a hypothetical co the condition or de g conditions. GRM analy perty from at leas	ns on the basi ondition that the ficiency does of the street, d	s of a hyp e repairs o not require roach) not co	othetical condition or alterations have to alteration or repains on sidered due to predictore of work, state	that the improvements peen completed, or Comparable sales verification in the companion of assumptions	subject to the ed closed. Comparables		
Indicated Value by: Sales (N/A This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspect chosen best reflect subject value Based on a visual inspect conditions, and apprais	X "as is," subject the following repairs or ion based on the extracte. There are no conditions oction of the exterior a er's certification, my (1,475,000 ect to completion per plate alterations on the basis ardinary assumption that ther than the standard limiting reas of the subject pro	ins and specificatio of a hypothetical co the condition or de conditions. GRM analy perty from at leas rket value, as defi	ns on the basi ondition that the ficiency does of ysis (Income Apport the street, doned, of the re	s of a hyp e repairs o not require roach) not or defined so al proper	othetical condition or alterations have to alteration or reparance on sidered due to predect ope of work, state ty that is the subj	that the improvements peen completed, or Comparable sales verification in the companion of assumptions	subject to the		

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

SITE EVALUATION:									
The value of the land is derived through calculated and analysis of loc	al land cales and values within the subjects market area and by the								
The value of the land is derived through calculated and analysis of local land sales and values within the subjects market area and by the use of the abstraction method.									
The land to improvement ratio of the subject property is typical for properties within this area.									
COMMENTS ON THE COST APPROACH ANALYSIS:									
The cost approach calculations were obtained from the Marshall and S									
contractors, observed typical costs, and the appraisers experience and	I knowledge of the subjects market area.								
The subject's Adjusted value is on the middle of the comparable sales prices.									
	•								
Sales price to Listing price ratio adjustments is 100% therefore, no Sa	es price to Listing price adjustment is required.								
In addition to the reconciliation of the three approaches that describes	exactly how the value figure was derived, most weight given to								
Comps 1, 2 and 3 because they share similar characteristics, similar s									
Followed by remaining comparable sales that provide additional suppo									
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae.)								
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties.	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 750,000								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @\$ 400.00 =\$ 1,127,200								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Culations. Ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 400.00 = \$ 1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @ \$ = \$								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area.	Culations. Ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE = 750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @\$ 400.00 =\$ 1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Garage/Carport 500 Sq. Ft. @\$ 80.00 =\$ 40,000								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook,	Culations. Ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @\$ 400.00 =\$ 1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Garage/Carport 500 Sq. Ft. @\$ 80.00 =\$ 40,000 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1,167,200								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived	Culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook,	Culations. Ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @\$ 400.00 =\$ 1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Garage/Carport 500 Sq. Ft. @\$ 80.00 =\$ 40,000 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1,167,200								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land	Culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @\$ 400.00 =\$ 1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Garage/Carport 500 Sq. Ft. @\$ 80.00 =\$ 40,000 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1,167,200 Less Physical 41 Functional 0 External 0 Depreciation 478,552 0 0 =\$ (478,552								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values.	Culations. Ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 400.00 =\$ 1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Garage/Carport 500 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00 =\$ 40,000 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1,167,200 Less Physical 41 Functional 0 External 0 Depreciation 478,552 0 0 =\$ (478,552 Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 688,648 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 25,000								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 400.00 =\$ 1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Garage/Carport 500 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00 =\$ 40,000 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1,167,200 Less Physical 41 Functional 0 External 0 Depreciation 478,552 0 0 =\$ (478,552 Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 688,648 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 25,000								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	Culations. Ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 400.00 =\$ 1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Garage/Carport 500 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00 =\$ 40,000 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1,167,200 Less Physical 41 Functional 0 External 0 Depreciation 478,552 0 0 =\$ (478,552 Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 688,648 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 25,000 Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$ 1,463,648 E (not required by Fannie Mae.)								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 400.00 =\$ 1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Garage/Carport 500 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 80.00 =\$ 40,000 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1,167,200 Less Physical 41 Functional 0 External 0 Depreciation 478,552 0 0 =\$ (478,552 Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 688,648 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 25,000								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @\$400.00 =\$1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Garage/Carport 500 Sq. Ft. @\$80.00 =\$40,000 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$1,167,200 Less Physical 41 Functional 0 External 0 Depreciation 478,552 0 0 =\$(478,552) Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$688,648 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$25,000 Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$1,463,648 (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds ONLY if the P	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @\$400.00 =\$1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Garage/Carport 500 Sq. Ft. @\$80.00 =\$40,000 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$1,167,200 Less Physical 41 Functional 0 External 0 Depreciation 478,552 0 0 =\$(478,552) Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$688,648 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$25,000 Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$1,463,648 (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$750,000 Dwelling 2,818 Sq. Ft. @\$400.00 =\$1,127,200 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Garage/Carport 500 Sq. Ft. @\$80.00 =\$40,000 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$1,167,200 Less Physical 41 Functional 0 External 0 Depreciation 478,552 0 0 =\$(478,552) Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$688,648 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$25,000 Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$1,463,648 (not required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control to Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE								
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. This knowledge abstraction of site value from sales of improved properties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshal and Swift Cost Handbook, Local Contractors Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 09/02/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The land to improvement ratio is typical for properties in this area. Cost estimates are derived from Marshal and Swift cost handbook, Local Contractors, and observed typical costs. Land value is arrived at by the extraction method and area through analysis of area land values. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control to Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	culations. ods for estimating site value) In estimating the site value, the appraiser is based on prior and/or current analysis of site sales and/or OPINION OF SITE VALUE								

SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

GK Home Appraisals LLC **EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6**

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC

Property Address 67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST

CitySAN JOSECountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95112Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

FEATURE Address 67 69 73 79 SAN JO	5 E HE		G ST	32 H	COMPARABLE SALE # 4 32 Hawthorne Way SAN JOSE, CA 95110				COMPARABLE SALE # 5 1965 Park Ave SAN JOSE, CA 95126			(COMPARABLE SALE # 6 32 S Morrison Ave SAN JOSE, CA 95126			
Proximity to Subject	<u> </u>		_		.75 mile					89 mile				.68 mil		
Sale Price	\$				\$,250,000			\$	1,395,000			\$	1,475,000	
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ (0.00	sq. ft.	\$ 643.0	00 s	q. ft.		\$	600.2	26 s	q. ft.	\$	\$ 526.41 sq. ft.		q. ft.	
Data Source(s)			<u> </u>				7;DOM 29	Reill	/ILS#N		1218;DOM 29	Rei	IMLS#N		1975;DOM 80	
Verification Source(s)				APN: 259-1	9-085 [Doc:#25428970		APN:	274-0	5-005 [Doc:#25505819		APN	N: 261-	32-014	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DES	SCRIPT	ΓΙΟΝ	DESCRIPTION		+(-)) \$ Adjustment	DES	CRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	ESCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustmer	
Sale or Financing	e or Financing			ArmLth			•		ArmLt	:h			Listing			
Concessions				Conv	0;				Conv;	0			Conv;			
Date of Sale/Time				s01/23;c1	12/22			s07	/23;c0	7/23			Active			
Location		N;Res	s;	N;Re:	s;				N;Res	3;			N;Res	s;		
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fe	e Sim	ıple	Fee Sin	nple			Fe	e Sim	ıple		F	ee Sim	ıple		
Site	8	8474 s	sf	6633	sf		+9,000		8448	sf	C		4779 s	sf	+18,00	
View		N;Res	s;	N;Re	s;				N;Res	s;			N;Res	s;		
Design (Style)	DT1	1;Four	plex	DT1;Du	plex		0	D.	Γ1;Trip	olex	C	D.	T2;Four	plex		
Quality of Construction		Q4		Q4					Q4				Q4			
Actual Age		73		73					99		C		118			
Condition		C3		C3					C3				C3			
Above Grade	Total E	3drms.	Baths	Total Bdrms.	Baths			Total	Bdrms.	Baths		Total	Bdrms.	Baths	+20,00	
Room Count	12	4	4.0	8 4	2.0		+20,000	10	4	4.0	C	8	2	4.0		
Gross Living Area	2,8	818	sq. ft.	1,944	sq. ft.		+87,000	2,	324	sq. ft.	+49,000	2	2,802	sq. ft.		
Basement & Finished		0sf		0sf					0sf				0sf			
Rooms Below Grade																
Functional Utility	Α	Averag	ge	Avera	ge			ļ ,	veraç	ge			Averag	ge		
Heating/Cooling	FV	VA/No	one	FWA/N	one			F۱	NA/No	one		F	-WA/No	one		
Energy Efficient Items	Dt	bl.Pan	ied	Dbl.Pai	ned			D	bl.Par	ned		l	Dbl.Pan	ied		
Garage/Carport	4	1ga2d		2ga2d			0	2	2ga2d		C)	3cp2d			
Porch/Patio/Deck		Patio	1	Patio)				Patic)			Patio	1		
					1											
Net Adjustment (Total)				X +	-	\$	116,000	X		-	\$ 49,000	X			\$ 38,000	
Adjusted Sale Price				Net Adj: 9%				Net A	•				Adj: 3%			
of Comparables				Gross Adj :	9%	\$	1,366,000	Gross	Adj: 4	I%	\$ 1,444,000	Gros	s Adj: 3	5%	\$ 1,513,000	
Describition and the of the co			-1	4		a la la d				d						
Report the results of the r	esearcn 	and an	•										COM			
ITEM			50	BJECT		JUIVI	IPARABLE SA	LE#	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#	5	COM	PARABI	E SALE# 6	
Date of Prior Sale/Transfe																
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe	er		DailMI C	S/NDC Data			eilMLS/NDC	Doto		Dail	IMI C/NIDC Det		D.	SIMI C	/NDC Data	
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	rec(c))3/2023			09/03/202						3/2023			
Analysis of prior sale or tra		ctory of			nd compo	rable		<u> </u>			09/03/2023			09/0	3/2023	
Analysis of prior sale of the	ansiei iii	Story or	i ille Sub	ject property at	iu compa	alabi	e sales									
Summary of Sales Compa	arison An	proach	ADJU	ISTMENT AI	NALYSI	IS:										
		1														
Differences in GLA w	ere adi	usted	at a ra	te \$100.00 r	er squ	are	foot where	the diff	erenc	e is gre	ater than 100 s	g, fee	et.			
Differences in site are																
Differences in bedroo									_		•					
Differences in bathro																

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Page

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. EASTHE69

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 55027

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Giga Kartveli //	Name
Company Name GK Home Appraisals LLC	Company Name
Company Address 5273 Prospect Rd. #418	Company Address
San Jose, CA 95129	
Telephone Number 6502720194	Telephone Number
Email Address gigak.appraiser@gmail.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 09/03/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 09/02/2023	State Certification #
State Certification # 3004033	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 11/12/2024	
	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	
67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
SAN JOSE, CA 95112	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,475,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	
Name Clear Capital	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

Page

GK Home Appraisals LLC COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC

Property Address	67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST					
City SAN JOSE	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95112
Lender/Client We	edgewood Inc	Address 2015	5 Manhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100,	Redondo Beach	, CA 90278

COMMENTS ON THE MARKET SEARCH:

An extensive 12 month market search was conducted within the subject's neighborhood, similar competing neighborhoods, and the general market area to find properties that reflect the subject's market criteria and features, i. e., lot size, location, gross living area (GLA), and the subject's functional utility. No property of exact likeness was revealed by the search. Each property revealed by the search was given appropriate consideration for it's relevance in the valuation process. To the best of the appraiser's knowledge, the comparables presented and utilized in this report, represent the most relevant data appropriate for analysis and valuation.

All sales comparables used within this report were from similar neighborhoods that exhibit the same quality of construction and amenities, unless otherwise noted in the sales comparison and adjustment analysis. The sales comparables were considered the best properties available at the time this report was being prepared, and they reflect and re-enforce the opinion of value stated in this report. The comparable sales were confirmed closed per information data sources including: MLS, NDC Data, county records, local agents and title companies.

COMMENTS ON ADJUSTMENT ANALYSIS:

When determined necessary, adjustments were made for significant items of variation between the subject and comparables. The specific dollar values attached to these adjustments reflect the market reaction to those items of variation. Values for these adjustments were derived through matched pair analysis or abstraction. When matched pair analysis and abstraction were not possible or practical, the appraiser's knowledge and experience of the market area were utilized in determining the appropriate adjustments for these differences in amenities. All of these tools are typically utilized in the formulation of an opinion of value for most properties. The adjustments made for the items of variation reflect the market reaction to these differences and were at rates equal to the amenity's contributory value, which is not necessarily equal to retail value, within today's market environment. All adjustments were made when the difference in amenities significantly affected the market analysis and value determination.

COMMENTS ON HIGHEST AND BEST USE

The subject property is developed as a single family detached residence which is its optimum physically possible, legally permitted, financially feasible, and maximally productive use.

FINAL RECONCILIATION OF VALUE:

The primary weight within this report is given to the sales comparison approach as it best reflects the current values and trends in the subjects general market area. The cost approach is, generally, less reliable and is primarily utilized in this report for the abstraction and determination of the land to value ratio. The final estimate of value for the subject property as of the date of this report, which is the inspection date, is referenced previously. This estimate is based on the adjusted range of the comparable sales used within this report. The estimated market value within this report is not effected by any personal property. Personal property is includes such items as furnishings, artwork, antiques, machinery, and equipment. No items of personal property, fixtures or intangible items were included in the valuation of the subject property.

COMMENT ON ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES AND DIGITAL PHOTOS

All reports that are sent electronically, by PDF, or EDI are signed by an electronic signature which is a copy of my original signature, scanned into the software program and applied to the report after the password is inserted into the signature program. This process protects the digital signature and prohibits it use by other people. No one other then my self has access to the program, or the code, which allows its use. The use of a digital signature has been accepted by the Federal Government for appraisal reports, and other transactions.

The preparer assumes (making extraordinary assumption) the condition of the interior of the improvements being valued is the same as the exterior condition of the property unless otherwise noted in the report. USPAP defines "Extraordinary Assumption" as "an assignment-specific assumption as of the effective date regarding uncertain information used in analysis which, if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinion or conclusions."

COMPARABLE PHOTOS

Photos of comparables from the MLS or the internet are used sometimes in order to show the condition of the comparable properties at the time of the sales. Also, there are some circumstances that comparable photos can not be taken by the appraiser at the time of observation. Due to the aforementioned reasons, there may be a for sale sign appearing in the comparable photos. Please note that all comparables used in this report has been observed by the appraiser from the street except for the ones located in gated communities.

GK Home Appraisals LLC COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC

Property Address 67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST

City SAN JOSE County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95112

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Scope of Research

I researched the market within one mile(s) of the subject and found (see 1004 MC) comparable sales and (See 1004 MC) listings.

Summary of Sales Comparison Approach

The subject's Adjusted value is on the middle of the comparable sales prices.

- When determined, adjustments for significant differences in improvements were derived through matched paired analysis or abstraction. When matched paired analysis and abstraction were not possible or practical, the appraiser's knowledge and experience of the market area were utilized in determining the appropriate adjustments for differences. The adjustments made for the differences in amenities were at rates equal to the amenities contributory value within todays market. All adjustments were made when the difference in amenities significantly effected the market analysis and value determination.
- I have not performed any services regarding the subject property within the three years period immediately preceding acceptance of the assignment, as an appraiser or in any other capacity.
- I certify, as the appraiser, that I have completed all aspects of this valuation, including reconciling my opinion of value, free of influence from the client, client's representatives, borrower, or any other party to the transaction.

As per "USPAP Identification Addendum [USPAP 2020-2021] guidelines the conclusion of value in this report is based upon an exposure time of less then 90 days. "THE ESTIMATED LENGTH OF TIME THAT THE PROPERTY INTEREST BEING APPRAISED WOULD HAVE BEEN OFFERED ON THE MARKET PRIOR TO THE HYPOTHETICAL CONSUMMATION OF A SALE AT MARKET VALUE ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL."


- Some of the comps used are from more than one mile away from competing markets but exhibiting similar trends as subject's immediate market. This is very common for the area.
- Due to limited comparables, appraiser was forced to use some of the dated sales, which is very common for the area. no time adjustments deemed necessary as real estate prices have been stable since 2022.

GK Home Appraisals LLC **LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM**

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC

Property Address	67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST					
City SAN JOSE	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95112
Lender/Client Wedg	gewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Bea	ch Blvd Suite 10	00, Redondo Beac	h, CA 90278



GK Home Appraisals LLC LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC

Property Address	67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST					
City SAN JOSE	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95112
Lender/Client Wedg	ewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Bead	h Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Beach,	CA 90278



GK Home Appraisals LLC **PLAT MAP**

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Champery Rental REO LLC

Lender/Client

67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST Property Address City SAN JOSE Santa Clara CA 95112 County State Zip Code Wedgewood Inc

န္ရ ထ 235 (2) LS N. FOURTH P.M. 816-M-19 AVE. 53 CALIFORNIA 8 3 Nº 2 12 MAP 2€ [2] PARK 윉 3 81 100 38 VENDOME 33 COUNTY. 6 30 .00 .TS THIRD 0.8 CLARA 2 3 8 4 싦 FOREST HOME SUBD. 47 91 શ્ર 17527890 82 555/2 THRU 16 FMLY 2 20 52 Cal 8 SB 10 0 PARK 123 ASSESSOR R.O.S. 0 'IS 9 SECOND N VEN DOME 63 00 HEDDING 2 COUNTY 187 87 YOUNGER 1 808 EAST 13 0 5 ui. 8 OFFICE 400 TS 723/16 S

GK Home Appraisals LLC SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC

 Property Address
 67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST

 City
 SAN JOSE
 County
 Santa Clara
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 95112

<u>Lender/Client</u> Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST SAN JOSE, CA 95112



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY




STREET SCENE

Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC

 Property Address
 67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST

 City
 SAN JOSE
 County
 Santa Clara
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 95112

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 1081 Newhall Str SAN JOSE, CA 95126



COMPARABLE SALE # 2 73 S 7th Str SAN JOSE, CA 95112



COMPARABLE SALE # 45 S 22nd Str SAN JOSE, CA 95116

Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC

 Property Address
 67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST

 City
 SAN JOSE
 County
 Santa Clara
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 95112

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE #
32 Hawthorne Way
SAN JOSE, CA 95110



COMPARABLE SALE # 1965 Park Ave SAN JOSE, CA 95126



COMPARABLE SALE #
32 S Morrison Ave
SAN JOSE, CA 95126

File No. Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report Case No. 55027

	The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lende			•					-	
	neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all app							710.0.1		05440
	Property Address 67 69 73 75 E HE	DDING ST	City	SAN JOSE	Sta	te CA		ZIP Code		95112
	Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC									
	Instructions: The appraiser must use the information	•								
	housing trends and overall market conditions as repor									
	it is available and reliable and must provide analysis a		•							
	explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources	·								
	in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required		-					-		-
	average. Sales and listings must be properties that co	•					ed by	a prospect	ive bu	uyer of the
	subject property. The appraiser must explain any ano	malies in the data, suc	ch as seasonal mark	ets, new construction,	foreclo					
	Inventory Analysis	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months		0		Trend		
	Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	14	8	6		Increasing	X	Stable		Declining
	Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	2.33	2.67	2.00		Increasing	X	Stable		Declining
	Total # of Comparable Active Listings	8	5	6		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
	Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	3.43	1.87	3.00		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
	Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months		0	verall	Trend		
	Median Comparable Sales Price	1,450,000	1,400,000	1,450,000		Increasing	X	Stable		Declining
တ	Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	29	32	30		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
EARCH & ANALYSIS	Median Comparable List Price	1,450,000	1,450,000	1,450,000		Increasing	Х	Stable		Declining
₹	Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	31	32	30		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
¥	Median Sale Price as % of List Price	100.00	100.00	100.00		Increasing	Х	Stable		Declining
∞	Seller-(developer, builder, etc.) paid financial assistan		Yes X	No		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
2	Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the past				ocreasi				nsts	moreaemig
A	condo fees, options, etc.)	t 12 monaro (o.g. cono		3000 HOIII 070 to 070, II	10100011	ig doo oi bay	uo	o, olooling o	0010	
RESI	The seller concessions are not typical for thi	is area								
H.	The seller concessions are not typical for the	o arca.								
×										
MARKET										
	Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the mark	ket? Yes X	No If yes, expl	ain (including the trend	ls in lis	tings and sale	es of f	oreclosed r	rone	rties)
	7 to forestood o dates (1 to 5 dates) a factor in the man	100 2	110 11 900, 000	an (molading the trone	20 111 110	ango ana oan	<i>,</i>	0.00.0000	лоро	1.00/.
	Cite data sources for above information.									
	DataQuick, MLS Reil.com, NDC/Data									
	DataQuick, MLS Reil.com, NDC/Data									
	DataQuick, MLS Reil.com, NDC/Data Summarize the above information as support for your	conclusions in the Ne	ighborhood section of	of the appraisal report	form. If	you used an	/ addi	tional inforr	natio	n, such as
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with	drawn listings, to form	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an exp	olanatio	n and suppo	t for y	our conclu		
	Summarize the above information as support for your	drawn listings, to form	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an exp	olanatio	n and suppo	t for y	our conclu		
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for	drawn listings, to form comparable sales	ulate your conclusion are located with	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm	olanatio	n and suppo	t for y	our conclu		
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with	drawn listings, to form comparable sales	ulate your conclusion are located with	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm	olanatio	n and suppo	t for y	our conclu		
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area should be a support of the summarized that the summarized that is a support of the summarized that the summarized that is a support of the summarized that is a support for your analysis of the summarized that is a support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the summarized that is a support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis was done for the support for your analysis was done for the support for your analysis was analysis.	drawn listings, to form comparable sales	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price.	olanatio nediate	n and suppo e neighborl	t for y	our conclu	sions	
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area should be appraised to the considered "Competitive to the summarized that is a support of the suppor	drawn listings, to form comparable sales	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price.	olanatio nediate	n and suppo e neighborl	t for y	our conclu	sions	
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area should be a support of the summarized that the summarized that is a support of the summarized that the summarized that is a support of the summarized that is a support for your analysis of the summarized that is a support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the summarized that is a support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within the support for your analysis was done for the support for your analysis was done for the support for your analysis was analysis.	drawn listings, to form comparable sales	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price.	olanatio nediate	n and suppo e neighborl	t for y	our conclu	sions	
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area should be appraised to the considered "Competitive to the summarized that is a support of the suppor	drawn listings, to form comparable sales	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price.	olanatio nediate	n and suppo e neighborl	t for y	our conclu	sions	
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area should be appraised to the considered "Competitive to the neighborhood."	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys	olanatio nediate	n and suppo e neighborl	t for y	our conclu	sions	
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area should be appraised to the considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative to the competitive to the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative.	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me se Subject", only the project, complete the	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following:	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys	olanatio nediate	n and suppo e neighborl haracteristi	t for y	our conclus	sions	
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area should be appraised to the condition analysis was done for The appraised considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys	olanatio nediate	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi	t for y	condition	sions	
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area should be appraised to the competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me se Subject", only the project, complete the	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following:	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys	olanatio nediate	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi	t for y	condition a	sions	Declining
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me se Subject", only the project, complete the	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following:	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys	olanatio nediate	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi	t for y	condition a	sions	Declining Declining
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me se Subject", only the project, complete the	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following:	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys	olanatio nediate	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi O Increasing Increasing Declining	t for y	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions	Declining Declining Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusion are located with dian comparable nose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incomparable mose homes that dian comparable mose homes that dian comparabl	ns, provide both an exp in the subject imm sales price. are similar in phys Project Name: Current - 3 Months	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me subject", only the project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months spect? Yes on the subject unit and	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incomparable mose homes that dian comparable mose homes that dian comparabl	ns, provide both an explin the subject immediate sales price. are similar in physical Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of R	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and within The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the prior 7-12 Months on the subject unit and on	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incomparable dians comparable mose homes that	ns, provide both an explain the subject immediates price. are similar in physical Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of R	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and wither The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Giga Kal Company Name GK Home Apple Company Address 5273 Prospect Rd. #418,	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the prior 7-12 Months on the subject unit and on	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incomparable dians are located with dians comparable mose homes that dians are located with dians comparable mose homes that dians are located with dians comparable mose homes that dians are located with di	ns, provide both an explain the subject immediate sales price. are similar in physical Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of Richard the number of Richard the number of Richard the Name	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and wither The market condition analysis was done for The overall market trend within this area shour The appraiser considered "Competitive to the neighborhood. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Giga Kal Company Name GK Home Apprint Appraisant	drawn listings, to form comparable sales own the stable me see Subject", only the prior 7-12 Months on the subject unit and on	ulate your conclusions are located with dian comparable mose homes that e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incomparable dians are located with dians are located with dians comparable mose homes that Signature Supervisor Company Incompany	ns, provide both an explain the subject immediates price. are similar in physical Project Name: Current - 3 Months Current - 3 Months Name Name	planation decision of the control of	n and suppo e neighborh haracteristi C Increasing Increasing Declining	cs, c	condition : Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	and	Declining Declining Increasing Increasings s and sales

EASTHE69

License File No.


File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC

Property Address 67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST

City SAN JOSE County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95112

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE Issue Date: 12/06/2022 Producer: This Certificate is issued as a matter of information only and LIA ADMINISTRATORS & INSURANCE SERVICES confers no rights upon the Certificate Holder. This Certificate P.O. Box 1319 does not amend, extend or alter the coverage afforded by the Santa Barbara, CA 93102-1319 policy below. Insured: 172049 **COMPANY AFFORDING COVERAGE** GK HOME APPRAISALS Giga Karteli **Aspen American Insurance Company** 5339 Prospect Rd #418 San Jose, CA 95129 Authorized Representative This is to certify that the policy of insurance listed below has been issued to the Insured named above for the policy period indicated. Notwithstanding any requirement, term of condition of any contract or other document with respect to which this Certificate may be issued or may pertain, the insurance afforded by the policy described herein is subject to all the terms, exclusions and conditions of such policy. Limits shown may have been reduced by paid claims. DISCLAIMER: This certificate of insurance does not affirmatively or negatively amend, extend, or alter the coverage afforded by the insurance policy.

TYPE OF INSURANCE	POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	EXPIRATION DATE	LIMITS	
Professional Liability	AAI011172-01	12/15/2022		Each Claim General Aggregate	\$ 1,000,000 \$ 2,000,000

Description of Operations/Locations/Special Items:

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE

Certificate Holder: GK HOME APPRAISALS Giga Karteli 5339 Prospect Rd #418 San Jose, CA 95129 Cancellation:

SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C:1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No. EASTHE69
Case No. 55027


A	Adverse	May Appear in These Fields Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
\rmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sales or Financing Concessions
λΤ	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
3	Beneficial	Location & View
oa	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
	` '	
or	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
		-
ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
OOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
wb	Driveway	Garage/Carport
	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
g	Garage	Garage/Carport
ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-In Garages	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
n	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sales or Financing Concessions
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
_tdSght	Limited Sight	View
MR	Mid Rise	Design (Style)
Mtn	Mountain View	View
		Location & View
N	Neutral	
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
)	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
)	Other	Design (Style)
р	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
	Residential	
Res		Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
r	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Jnk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
/A	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
N	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
NO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
Noods	Woods View	View
Wtr	Water View	View
NtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
<u>vu</u>		1
vu		
<u>wu</u>		
vu		
wu		
wu		

Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC

Property Address 67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST

City SAN JOSE County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95112

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



2021

Borrower Champery Rental REO LLC

Property Address 67 69 73 75 E HEDDING ST City SAN JOSE CA County Santa Clara State Zip Code 95112 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

75 E Hedding	Street,	San	Jose,	CA	951	12-4911



Tax Last Listing Photos History Parcel Map Flood Map Foreclosure

Owner Information Data Currency Chan Ty Tax Billing Zip: 95035 Owner Name: Chiv Chau Ching & Chan Lin Owner Name 2: Tax Billing Zip+4: 4502 Tax Billing Address: 889 Canada Dr Owner Occupied: Tax Billing City & State: Milpitas Ca Location Information San Jose Property Carrier Route: C007 School District: Community College District: SAN JOSE Zoning: R2 500200 Census Tract: Market Area: Estimated Value RealAVM™: \$1,518,300 Value As Of: 08/21/2023 RealAVM™ Range High: \$1,706,600 Confidence Score: 73 RealAVM™ Range Low: \$1,330,000 Forecast Standard Deviation: 12

2023

\$4,294

(1) RealAVM™ is a CoreLogic® derived value and should not be used in lieu of an appraisal.

(2) The Confidence Score is a measure of the extent to which sales data, property information, and comparable sales support the property valuation analysis process. The corrange is 60 - 100. Clear and consistent quality and quantity of data drive higher confidence scores while lower confidence scores indicate diversity in data, lower quality and data, and/or limited similarity of the subject property to comparable sales.

(3) The FSD denotes confidence in an AVM estimate and uses a consistent scale and meaning to generate a standardized confidence metric. The FSD is a statistic that measurange or dispersion an AVM estimate will fall within, based on the consistency of the information available to the AVM at the time of estimation. The FSD can be used to creat

that the true value has a statistical degree of certainty.

2022

Actual

Tax Information

235-08-051 Block ID: APN: 18 % Improved: Lot Number: 17108 Tax Area:

Legal Description: **BLOCK 18 LOT 18**

Assessment & Taxes

Assessment Year

ribbeconnent real						
Assessed Value - Total	\$1,038,322	\$1,017,964	\$998,005			
Assessed Value - Land	\$636,393	\$623,915 \$611,682				
Assessed Value - Improved	\$401,929	\$394,049 \$386,32				
YOY Assessed Change (\$)	\$20,358	\$19,959				
YOY Assessed Change (%)	2%	2%				
Tax Year	2022	2021 2020				
Total Tax	\$16,163.96	\$15,688.58 \$15,159.96				
Change (\$)	\$475	\$529				
Change (%)	3%	3%				
Jurisdiction	Tax Amount	Tax Type				
Scco Vector Contro	\$10	Actual				
Sfbra Measure Aa	\$12	Actual				
Sccosa Asmt Dist 1	\$14	Actual				
Mosquito Asmt #2	\$16	Actual				
Scywd Flood Contr	\$20	Actual				
Scvosa Measure T	\$24	Actual				
S.J. Library Assmt.	\$38	Actual				
Sj USD Meas V 2016	\$72	Actual				
Safe Clean Water	\$73	Actual				
Sj Sewer Sani/Storm	\$1,642	Actual				
Sj Current Garbage Services	\$2,373	Actual				

Characteristics

Total Of Special Assessments

Land Use County:	Resid 2-Family-02	Heat Type:	None	
Lot Frontage:	75	Cooling Type:	None	
Lot Depth:	113	Patio Type:	None	
Lot Acres:	0.196	Parking Type:	Covered	
Lot Area:	8.551	Garage Capacity:	0	

APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE

File No. EASTHE69 Case No. 55027

Borrower/Client Champery R					1 L-24 N L	
Address <u>67 69 73 75 E HE</u> City SAN JOSE	ו 2 אוועכ	County	Santa Clara	State	CA Zin Cod	o. e 95112
Lender/Client Wedgewood In	nc	σσαπιγ		ರಚೀರ		<u> </u>
APPRAISAL AND REPOR	T IDENTIFICATION					
This Appraisal Report is one of th						
X Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance	e with the red	quirements of the Appraisa	Report option of	USPAP Standards Ru	le 2-2(a).
Restricted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance	e with the re	quirements of the Restricte	ed Appraisal Repo	rt option of USPAP Sta	andards Rule 2-2(b). The
	intended user of this report is limited to					
	at the opinions and conclusions set forth	n in the repo	rt may not be understood p	roperly without the	additional information	in the appraiser's workfile.
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT	IONS					
certify that, to the best of my kno	-					
	nined in this report are true and correct.					
	ions, and conclusions are limited only by	the reported	d assumptions and are my	personal, impartia	I, and unbiased profes	sional analyses,
opinions, and conclusions.	I have no market as make a still interest	: 41	نالا گو باده نازده و حالا و نام حالا ریاست	:		
	I have no present or prospective interest I have performed no services, as an appr		•			·
	g acceptance of this assignment.	iaisei oi iii a	iny other capacity, regarding	ig the property tha	t is the subject of this i	eport within the three-year
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o the property that is the subject of this re	eport or the	parties involved with this as	ssignment.		
	gnment was not contingent upon develop			-		
· My compensation for comple	eting this assignment is not contingent up	on the deve	lopment or reporting of a p	oredetermined valu	e or direction in value	that favors the cause
	he value opinion, the attainment of a stip	ulated result	, or the occurrence of a su	bsequent event di	rectly related to the int	ended use of
this appraisal.				:::-	and and a f Duckersian	al Ammania al Dunatia a that
were in effect at the time this	conclusions were developed and this rep	ort nas beer	n prepared, in conformity w	ith the Uniform Sta	andards of Profession	al Appraisal Practice that
	I have made a personal inspection of the	nroperty th	at is the subject of this repo	ort		
	no one provided significant real property		•		cation (if there are exc	eptions, the name of each
individual providing significal	nt real property appraisal assistance is s	tated elsewh	nere in this report).			
	ed in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA	as amende	d, and any implementing re	egulations.		
PRIOR SERVICES		••	P 0 1 0 1			. ,
 X I have NOT performe immediately preceding acce 	ed services, as an appraiser or in another	capacity, re	garding the property that is	s the subject of the	report within the three	-year period
	ices, as an appraiser or in another capac	city regardin	g the property that is the si	ubject of this repor	t within the three-vear	period immediately
preceding acceptance of this	s assignment. Those services are describ	oed in the co	mments below.		t main are ande year	portou immodiatory
PROPERTY INSPECTION						
	sonal inspection of the property that is the					
have NOT made APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE	a personal inspection of the property tha	t is the subje	ect of this report.			
	= rovided significant real property appraisa	ıl accietanca	to the person signing this	certification If any	one did provide signifi	cant assistance, they
	summary of the extent of the assistance			certification. If any	one dia provide signin	Sant assistance, they
	,					
ADDITIONAL COMMENTO						
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS			ua ua a ua fa c			
Additional USPAP related issues i	requiring disclosure and/or any state mar	ndated requi	rements:			
MARKETING TIME AND E	XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUB	IECT PRO	DERTY			
	e for the subject property is 90			pertinent to the ann	oraisal assignment	
	for the subject property is 90		milizing market conditions p	ocitinent to the app	raisai assigriment.	
<u> </u>						
APPRAISER			SUPERVISORY A	PPRAISER (O	NLY IF REQUIRE	D)
	1 0					
	2.4					
	N: V 123 /					
	MACHA					
Signature			Signature			
Name Giga Kartveli	У					
	23		Date of Signature			
State Certification # 3004033			_ State Certification # _			
State <u>CA</u> Expiration Data of Cortification or			_ State	tification ==!!	•	
Expiration Date of Certification of	r License 11/12/2024		 Expiration Date of Cer Supervisory Appraiser 			
Effective Date of Appraisal 09/0	02/2023					r and Exterior
Effective Date of Appraisal 09/02/2023 Did Not Exterior Only from street Interior and Exterior						I GIIG EXCHIOI