Huibin Lan

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No.	35134263
Case No.	55239

	The purpose of this summary appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the market value of the subject property.
	Property Address 1889 Aberdeen Ct City San Jose State CA Zip Code 95122 Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC Owner of Public Record CAMPBELL EDWARD E (TRUSTEE) County Santa Clara
	Legal Description TRACT 3670 BOOK 174 PAGE 36 PAGE 37 LOT 64
Ŀ.	Assessor's Parcel # 477-33-077
)EC	Neighborhood Name San Jose Map Reference 48-D5 Census Tract 5031.18 Occupant X Owner Tenant Vacant Special Assessments 0 PUD HOA \$ 0 per year per month
SUBJECT	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple Leasehold Other (describe)
S	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction Refinance Transaction X Other (describe) Servicing(Market Value)
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Is the subject property currently offered for sale or has it been offered for sale in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal? Yes X No
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), and date(s). ML#
	I did did not analyze the contract for sale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain the results of the analysis of the contract for sale or why the analysis was no performed.
ACT	perioritied.
F	Contract Price \$ Date of Contract Is the property seller the owner of public record? Yes No Data Source(s)
CONTRACT	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sale concessions, gift or downpayment assistance, etc.) to be paid by any party on behalf of the borrower? Yes No If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe the items to be paid.
	in res, report the total dollar amount and describe the items to be paid.
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the neighborhood are not appraisal factors. Neighborhood Characteristics One-Unit Housing Trends One-Unit Housing Present Land Use %
	Location Urban X Suburban Rural Property Values X Increasing Stable Declining PRICE AGE One-Unit 95 %
OD	Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75% Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortage X In Balance OverSupply \$ (000) (yrs) 2-4 Unit 2 %
RHOOD	Growth Rapid X Stable Slow Marketing Time X Under 3 mths 3-6 mths Over6mths 525 Low 17 Multi-Family 2 9 Neighborhood Boundaries The north boundary is the Montain Story Rd.; The East boundary is the Hwy101; The 1,675 High 123 Commercial 1 9
BOF	south boundary is the E Capital Expy and the West boundary is the Senter Rd. 991 Pred. 59 Other
GHBO	Neighborhood Description The subject property is located in a well established relative new neighborhood in the City of San Jose; The neighborhood is well
Ä	maintained and is close to schools, parks, shopping centers and other community services. The property fits into the general quality and condition in the area. The subject's neighborhood is located within 5 -10 miles from employment centers with easy access to Hwy101.
	Market Conditions (including support for the above conclusions) The neighborhood trend is increasing overall for the last 12 months BUT almost stale for the most
	recent 6 months with moderate sales rates.
	Dimensions 76.95 X 100 Area 7695 sf Shape Rectangular View N;Res;
	Specific Zoning Classification R1 Zoning Description Single Family Residence
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use) No Zoning Illegal (describe)
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as improved (or as proposed per plans and specifications) the present use? X Yes No If No, describe. See
ш	Utilities Public Other (describe) Public Other (describe) Off-site ImprovementsType Public Private
SIT	Electricity X Water X Street Asphalt X Gas X Sanitary Sewer X Alley None
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X No FEMA Flood Zone D FEMA Map # 060349-0254H FEMA Map Date 05/18/2009
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typical for the market area? X Yes No. If No, describe.
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external factors (easements, encroachments, environmental conditions, land uses, etc.)? Yes X No If Yes, describe. The subject is NOT located in a special flood hazardous area. No any adverse external factor noticed(Please see the attached satellite map).
	The capitot is the filedated in a openial need hazarded and a five any datasets external ratios filedate est the distance maps.
	Course(s) Used for Discript Characteristics of Donaste. Associated Files V MI C V Associated Tou Donaste V Discript Characteristics of Donaste Course
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property Appraisal Files X MLS X Assessment and Tax Records Prior Inspection X Property Owner X Other (describe) Drive by Exterior Inspection Data Source(s) for Gross Living Area RealQuest
	General Description General Description Heating / Cooling Amenities Car Storage
	Units X One OnewithAccessoryUnit Concrete Slab X Crawl Space X FWA HWBB X Fireplace(s) # 1 None
	# of Stories 1 Full Basement Finished Radiant Woodstove(s) # 0 X Driveway # of Cars 2 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Partial Basement Finished Other Patio/Deck Concre Driveway Surface Concrete
	X Existing Proposed UnderConst Exterior Walls Woodsidings/Good Fuel Gas X Porch Concrete X Garage # of Cars 2
	Design (Style) Ranch Roof Surface Tile/Good Central Air Conditioning Pool None Carport # of Cars 0 Year Built 1964 Gutters & Downspouts Gal.Alum/Gd Individual X Fence Wood X Attached Detached
	Year Built1964Gutters & DownspoutsGal.Alum/GdIndividualX Fence WoodX AttachedDetachedEffective Age (Yrs)40Window TypeSliding/GoodX Other NoneOther NoneBuilt-in
က	Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Dishwasher X Disposal X Microwave X Washer/Dryer Other (describe)
Na Na	Finished area above grade contains: 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms 2.0 Bath(s) 1,233 Square Feet of Gross Living Area Above Grade Additional features (special energy efficient items, etc.) Dual pane windows.
OVEMENTS	Additional features (special energy enicient tients, etc.) Buai parte windows.
RO	Describe the condition of the property and data source(s) (including apparent needed repairs, deterioration, renovations, remodeling, etc.). C4; The subject is in an
IMPR(
I =	average condition The data source is from the appraiser outside inspection and the PUBLIC DATA (Realquest,MLS Listing and Zillow.com)
=	
=	average condition The data source is from the appraiser outside inspection and the PUBLIC DATA (Realquest,MLS Listing and Zillow.com) and VERIFIED by the owner. No physical, functional or external inadequacies were noted at the time of inspection. The Remaining
5	average condition The data source is from the appraiser outside inspection and the PUBLIC DATA (Realquest,MLS Listing and Zillow.com) and VERIFIED by the owner. No physical, functional or external inadequacies were noted at the time of inspection. The Remaining
=	average condition The data source is from the appraiser outside inspection and the PUBLIC DATA (Realquest,MLS Listing and Zillow.com) and VERIFIED by the owner. No physical, functional or external inadequacies were noted at the time of inspection. The Remaining Economic Life for the subject is about 40 years.
	average condition The data source is from the appraiser outside inspection and the PUBLIC DATA (Realquest, MLS Listing and Zillow.com) and VERIFIED by the owner. No physical, functional or external inadequacies were noted at the time of inspection. The Remaining Economic Life for the subject is about 40 years. Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions that affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property? Yes X No
	average condition The data source is from the appraiser outside inspection and the PUBLIC DATA (Realquest, MLS Listing and Zillow.com) and VERIFIED by the owner. No physical, functional or external inadequacies were noted at the time of inspection. The Remaining Economic Life for the subject is about 40 years. Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions that affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property? Yes X No

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239 Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 525,000 comparable properties currently offered for sale in the subject neighborhood ranging in price from \$ There are 37 1,288,000 to\$ 693,000 There are comparable sales in the subject neighborhood within the past twelve months ranging in sale price from \$ 1,675,000 to\$ **FFATURE** COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE #3 **SUBJECT** Address 1889 Aberdeen Ct 1097 Glenfinnan Drive 1878 Martello Drive 1164 Creston Lane San Jose, CA 95122 San Jose, CA 95122 San Jose, CA 95122 San Jose, CA 95122 0.22 miles S 0.17 miles SW 0.56 miles NW Proximity to Subject Sale Price 1,070,000 \$ 975,000 950,000 Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area 0.00 864.30 sq. ft. 687.59 825.37 sq. ft. \$ sq. ft. \$ sq. ft. ML# ML81949076;DOM 4 ML# ML81940709;DOM 29 ML# ML81949631;DOM 9 Data Source(s) Realquest Doc# 25594645 Realquest Doc# 25552151 Verification Source(s) Realquest Doc# 25578980 VALUE ADJUSTMENTS **DESCRIPTION** DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment Sale or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth Conv:0 Conv:0 Conv:0 Concessions Date of Sale/Time s12/23;c12/23 s10/23;c09/23 s02/24;c12/23 0 B;Res;Cul-de-Sac +20,000 +40,000 +40,000 A;Res;BsyRd A;Res;BsyRd Location N;Res; Fee Simple Leasehold/Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple 7<u>695 sf</u> +30,500 Site 5663 sf 6003 sf +25,500 5663 sf +30,500 N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; View Design (Style) DT1;Ranch DT1;Ranch DT1;Ranch DT1;Ranch Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 **Quality of Construction** Actual Age 60 59 64 0 45 -31,000 Condition C4 C4 C4 C3 Total Bdrms. Total Bdrms. -8,000 Total Bdrms. Above Grade Total Bdrms. Baths Baths Baths Baths -8,000 Room Count 3 2.0 6 3 2.0 4 2.0 4 2.0 Gross Living Area 1,233 1,238 1,418 -55.500 1,151 +24,500 sa. ft. sa. ft sa. ft Basement & Finished 0sf 0sf 0sf 0sf Rooms Below Grade **Functional Utility** Average Average Average Average -3,000 -3,000 FWA/Central -3,000 FWA/Central Heating/Cooling FWA/None FWA/Central **Energy Efficient Items Dual Pane Window** Solar Panels **Dual Pane Window Dual Pane Window** +20,000 Garage/Carport 2ga2dw 2ga2dw None 2ga2dw Porch/Patio/Deck Porch/Concrete Porch/Concrete Porch/Concrete Porch/Concrete Fireplaces 1 Fireplace 1 Fireplace 1 Fireplace 1 Fireplace Pool None None None None Listing Price \$ None 1000,000 995000 949,888 X + X + 53,000 27,500 19,000 X Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price Net Adj: 3% Net Adj: 2% Net Adj: 6% Gross Adj: 14% 1,003,000 of Comparables Gross Adj: 7% 1,097,500 Gross Adj: 16% 994.000 I X did did not research the sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales. If not, explain My research did X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property for the three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal. Data source(s) RealQuest, MLS. did X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale My research

Data source(s) Treat quest, Twice See Sales grid									
Report the results of the research	and analysis of the prior sale or trar	nsfer history of the subject property a	and comparable sales (report addition	onal prior sales on page 3).					
ITEM	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE SALE # 1	COMPARABLE SALE # 2	COMPARABLE SALE # 3					
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer									
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer									
Data Source(s)	Realquest	Realquest	Realquest	Realquest					
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	02/01/2023	02/01/2023	02/01/2023	02/01/2023					
Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	nistory of the subject property and co	omparable sales Search the dat	abase, no prior sale of the s	subject within last 36					
months and no prior sale of	of the comparables for the last	t 12 months.							
The subject DOes NOT have	ve Solar Panels.								
Summary of Sales Comparison A	opproach All Comps are clos	sed sales within last 8 month	s of similar design and age, a	and similar quality,					
condition and appeal from	subject's market area.								
Adjustments are made as f	ollows: 1). Site: \$15/SF(For lo	ot size difference larger than 1	0% of the subject's lot size);	2). Gross living area:					
\$310/SF(For GLA difference	e more than 20 sqft); 3). Bedr	room: \$8000/Bedroom; 4). Ba	throom: \$8000/Bathroom; 5).	Age: \$700/Year(For age					
difference more than 50 ye	ars); 6). Fire place: \$3,000/Fir	replace;7) Car storage: \$10,00	00/car.8). The monthly time	adjustment used -0.3% for					
the contract date difference	e more than 6 months and NO	time adjustment for the most	recent 6 months sold compa	arables according to					
1004MC Data,9).Location	1004MC Data, 9).Location:\$20000/per benefit/Adverse Factor; 10). Energy:\$20000/Solar Panels;The above adjustment are obtained by								
paired analysis of the comp	parables in the subject's neigh	borhood and is typical to the	area.						
Indicated Value by Sales Compa	rison Approach \$ 1,045,000								
Indicated Value by: Sales Compari	ison Approach \$ 1,045,000	Cost Approach (if developed) \$	1,044,359 Income Approach	h (if developed) \$					
Most emphasis is on the mark	et comparison approach which co	onsiders sales of similar properties	s within subject's neighbourhood	. Cost approach is supportive.					

, as of

This appraisal is made X "as is,"

Income approach is not applicable: As the subject is used as a primary resident and almost all the homes in the subject's neighborhood are purchased for

subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair: **This Appraisal Report is

Based on a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting

conditions, and appraiser's certification, my (our) opinion of the market value, as defined, of the real property that is the subject of this report is

subject to completion per plans and specifications on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been

, which is the date of inspection and the effective date of this appraisal

owner occupancy The digital signatures on this report are password protected. They are true and exactly same as original ones.

intended use for the intended lender/client and/or its assigns for use in mortgage transaction**

02/28/2024

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	Comparable selection:All the comps are arm length transactions.					
	R1=Single family Residence: the minimum lot size for single family is 5	5000 sqft or above, The M	laximum Reside	ential Density	/ = 9 ι	units per
	acres.But for much newer single family the lot size will be smaller acco	ording to the denisty allow	ed(Alameda co	unty zoning	ordian	ice:
	http://library.municode.com/HTML/16425/level2/TIT17ZO_CH17.08DI.	html#TIT17ZO_CH17.08E	DI_17.08.060BL	JSI)		
	This appraisal was ordered in compliance with Appraisal Independenc	e "AIR" and Mortgage Let	ter 2009-28.			
	No any personal property is included in this transaction.					
	In order to bracket the location of he subject and the larger lot szie of t	he subject I have to exte	end the guidelin	e of the solo	time	and/or the
	GLA difference to use comp4 and comp5 in the competing neighb	orhood respectively				
	The condition adjustment for comp2,comp3,comp4,comp5are because					
	counter top and newer cabinet),Bathrooms(newer Granite/corian coun					
	has less upgraded kitchen(older laminate/tile counter top,older cabine					
S	laminate/tile/carpet flooring).The good condition houses usually with hi	gher sales price, the cond	<u>dition adjustmer</u>	<u>nt was obtair</u>	ned by	the the
Ë	pairing analysis of the comparables(comp1 vs comp5).					
믵						
Ē	Due to the difference of GLA, condition , style and location, the pre-ad	usted comparables price	range is beyo	ond the usua	l guide	eline.
ဝ္ပ						
۲	The age ,lot size ,GLA,location adjustments were obtained by the pair			_		
Ž	the age difference is within 35 years and the lot size difference within	10% of the subject's lot si	ze is seen as b	rackted as n	o adju	ısment
$\stackrel{\square}{\vdash}$	are needed in this case.					
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	All the comps are in the same or competing neighborhood (As the ho	ising price are mainly imp	acted by the ac	chool's ratios	اد الد	
A	comparables and the subject have the same or similar school ratings)					
	are addressed in the nearest and similar condition sold comp1 and of					
	remained sold comp).	ompz (00% for compz c	ina compilicop	Convery, 676	Caon	ioi tiic
	Torridinou doru dorrip).					
	Note that the subject's final market value is higher than the predomin	ant value of the neighborh	nood . This is be	ecause the s	ubiect	t has a
	larger GLA, larger lot size and in a Cul-de-Lac location . No any mark					
	than the predominant value is similar to the housing value lower than t		,			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	COST ADDDOACH TO VALUE	(not required by Earnia M	20)			
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lander/client to replicate your cost figures and ca		ae.)			
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca	culations.	•	hased on M	arshal	II & swift
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth	culations. ods for estimating site value)	Cost estimates			
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high	Cost estimates er Land to imp	rovement rat	io is ty	pical for
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high	Cost estimates er Land to imp	rovement rat	io is ty	pical for
АСН	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high	Cost estimates er Land to imp	rovement rat	io is ty	pical for
кодсн	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high.	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark	Cost estimates er Land to imp	rovement rat	io is ty gh rati	pical for of site
PPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absence area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of	rovement rat due to this hi	io is ty gh rati =\$	pical for io of site
. APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of Sq. Ft. @\$	rovement rat due to this hi	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230
ST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abset the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of Sq. Ft. @\$	rovement rat due to this hi	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	310.00	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50	Cost estimates er Land to impretability issue of Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	310.00 120.00 External 5	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of the control of th	310.00	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	ypical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abst the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ O Functional 0 o ments	310.00 120.00 External 5	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$	ypical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871 204,359
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115	Cost estimates er Land to impletability issue of Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ O Functional 0 o ments	310.00 120.00 External 5	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	ypical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted.	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve	Cost estimates er Land to impressive tand to impressive tability issue of the second s	310.00 120.00 External 5	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve	Cost estimates er Land to impressive tand to impressive tability issue of the second s	310.00 120.00 External 5	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$	ypical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871 204,359
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Indicated Value By Cost App Indicated Value By Fannie Indicated Value Indicated Value By Fannie Indicated Value	Cost estimates er Land to impressive tability issue of the second of the	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Indicated Value By Cost App Indicated Value By Fannie Indicated Value Indicated Value By Fannie Indicated Value	Cost estimates er Land to impressive tand to impressive tability issue of the second s	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App Indicated Value By Cost App Indicated Value By Fannie Indicated Value Indicated Value By Fannie Indicated Value	Cost estimates er Land to impressive tability issue of the second of the	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871 204,359 240,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve s Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie Inc.)	Cost estimates er Land to impressive tability issue of the second of the	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871 204,359 240,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abs the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie Institute of Site Improve) FOR PUDs (if applicable)	Cost estimates are Land to impress the Land to	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve s Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ In	Cost estimates are Land to impress the Land to	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756 ncome Approar	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methos treference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve s Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ In	Cost estimates are Land to impress the Land to	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756 ncome Approar	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abst the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve s Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ In	Cost estimates are Land to impress the Land to	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756 ncome Approar	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve s Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie Institute of Cost of Improve) FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Depreciated Cost of Improve of the HOA and the subject programmer of units sold source	Cost estimates are Land to impress the Land to	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756 ncome Approar	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve s Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie Institute of Cost-new) FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Depreciated Cost of Improve of the HOA and the subject programmer of units sold source	Cost estimates are Land to impress the Land to	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756 ncome Approar	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control tegal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ In FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) De of the HOA and the subject proprocess number of units sold source Sourc	Cost estimates are Land to impression. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional O O ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached Attourty is an attached ersion.	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756 ncome Approar	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control tegal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve s Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie Institute of Cost of Improve) FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Depreciated Cost of Improve of the HOA and the subject programmer of units sold source	Cost estimates are Land to impression. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional O O ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached Attourty is an attached ersion.	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756 ncome Approar	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control tegal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ In FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) De of the HOA and the subject proprocess number of units sold source Sourc	Cost estimates are Land to impression. Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ O Functional O O ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached Attourty is an attached ersion.	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756 ncome Approar	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abst the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Inumber of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie In the HOA and the subject proposite of units sold source No If Yes, date of converted to the status of the Indicate of Improve Indicate of Improve Indicate	Cost estimates er Land to impressive Land to impressive Cost estimates of the Land to impressive Cost established and the Land to impressive Cost established and the Land to	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756 ncome Approar	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by absthe area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control tegal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie N =\$ In FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) De of the HOA and the subject proprocess number of units sold source Sourc	Cost estimates er Land to impressive Land to impressive Cost estimates of the Land to impressive Cost established and the Land to impressive Cost established and the Land to	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756 ncome Approar	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871) 204,359 240,000
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abst the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established but over total value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to improvement ratio is typical for the area due to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life method is used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Inumber of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) traction method. The high Idable sites. No any mark OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,233 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 215,115 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie In the HOA and the subject proposite of units sold source No If Yes, date of converted to the status of the Indicate of Improve Indicate of Improve Indicate	Cost estimates er Land to impressive Land to impressive Cost estimates of the Land to impressive Cost established and the Land to impressive Cost established and the Land to	310.00 120.00 External 5 10,756 ncome Approar	io is ty gh rati =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	/pical for io of site 600,000 382,230 0 48,000 430,230 225,871 204,359 240,000

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 35134263

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender				nds a	nd conditions p	revaler	nt in the si	ubject	
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all app		effective date on or	•						
Property Address 1889 Aberde	en Ct	City	San Jose	S	tate CA	Z	IP Code		95122
Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC									
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information	required on this form	as the basis for his/	her conclusions and m	ust pr	ovide support	for thos	se conclus	ions.	regarding
housing trends and overall market conditions as repor	•								
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis a	-		·						
		• •							
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources									
in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required		-					-		•
average. Sales and listings must be properties that co						ed by a	prospect	ive bu	yer of the
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anor	malies in the data, suc	h as seasonal mark	ets, new construction,	forecl	osures, etc.				
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months		0	verall T	rend		
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	88	49	24		Increasing		Stable	X	Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	14.67	16.33	8.00		Increasing		Stable	X	Declining
, ,		10.55	37						
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	0	0.00	-		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	0.00	0.06	4.63		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months		_	verall T	rend		
Median Comparable Sales Price	982,500.00	1,010,000.00	1,019,500.00	X	Increasing		Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	7	9	10		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	N/A	525,000.00	949,999.00	X			Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	N/A	14	21	1	Declining		Stable	Х	Increasing
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			105.00						
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	105.00	101.00		\vdash	Increasing	$\overline{}$	Stable		Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc,) paid financial assistan		Yes X	No		Declining		Stable		Increasing
Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the past	: 12 months (e.g. selle	r contributions increa	ased from 3% to 5%, ir	ncreas	sing use of buy	downs,	, closing c	osts	
condo fees, options, etc.)									
The concession were not seen as often as b	efore,the supply a	and demand is in	balance, and the	buye	rs are oftern	com	pete for	the o	good deal
in the current market, this is especilly true fo									
the broad bay area.	T the recent o men	iaio, aio maiapio	chore are compe	ung i	or the head	30 111 0	no noigi	10011	lood and
ille broad bay area.									
		-1							
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the mark	ket? Yes X	No If yes, expl	ain (including the trend	ds in li	stings and sale	es of for	reclosed p	rope	ties).
No, as there is only few distressed properti	es in the subject's	neighborhood(r	none of 161 sold c	omp	s and none	of 38	active/p	endi	ng
comps within last 12 months are distressed	sales), the prices	will NOT be affect	ted.						
Cite data sources for above information.									
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a	and Realquest(Co	relogic:www.real	quest.com)						
MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a	and Realquest(Co	relogic:www.real	quest.com)						
	•	<u> </u>		form	lf vou used an	v additio	onal inform	mation	n such as
Summarize the above information as support for your	conclusions in the Ne	ighborhood section o	of the appraisal report						n, such as
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without the same of the s	conclusions in the Ne	ighborhood section o	of the appraisal report	olanat	ion and suppo	rt for yo	ur conclu	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing	ighborhood section ou ulate your conclusion overall for the tl	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp ne last 12 months	olanat BUT	ion and suppo relative sta	t for yo	our conclus	sions. st re	cent 6
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of response)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 mor	ighborhood section ou ulate your conclusion overall for the the outline the particular to the particul	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an exp ine last 12 months irevious 7-12 month	olanat BUT ths d	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the	rt for yo ble for month	our conclus the mo nly time	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 mor	ighborhood section ou ulate your conclusion overall for the the outline the particular to the particul	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an exp ine last 12 months irevious 7-12 month	olanat BUT ths d	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the	rt for yo ble for month	our conclus the mo nly time	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of response)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 mor the contract date	ighborhood section outlined in the total data to the particular or the particular data to the particular difference more	of the appraisal report in a provide both an expense last 12 months in evious 7-12 months than 6 months.	olanat BUT ths d s the	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the	rt for yo ble for month	our conclus the mo nly time	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 mor the contract date tment for the mos	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the the other data to the produced difference more t recent 6 month	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable	blanat BUT ths d s the	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the medium pri	t for yo ble for month ce of t	our conclus the mo nly time	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and without Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 mor the contract date tment for the mos	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the the other data to the produced difference more t recent 6 month	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable	blanat BUT ths d s the	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the medium pri	t for yo ble for month ce of t	our conclus the mo nly time	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 mor the contract date tment for the mos	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the the other data to the produced difference more t recent 6 month	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable	blanat BUT ths d s the	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the medium pri	t for yo ble for month ce of t	our conclus the mo nly time	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 mor the contract date tment for the mos	ighborhood section outlined your conclusion overall for the the things of the properties of the proper	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable	blanat BUT ths d s the	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the medium pri	t for yo ble for month ce of t	our conclus the mo nly time	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 mor the contract date tment for the mos les in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the thaths data to the p difference more t recent 6 month 5 7-12 months,the	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months. as a sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in	blanat BUT ths d s the	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the medium pri	t for yo ble for month ce of t	our conclus the mo nly time	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date transfer the most les in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the thaths data to the p difference more t recent 6 month a 7-12 months, the	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months, as a sold comparable is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	blanat BUT ths d s the	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the medium pri	t for you ble for month ce of t	our conclus r the mo nly time the most	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 mor the contract date tment for the mos les in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the thaths data to the p difference more t recent 6 month 5 7-12 months,the	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months. as a sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in	blanat BUT ths d s the	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the medium pri	t for yo ble for month ce of t	our conclus r the mo nly time the most	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date transfer the most les in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the thaths data to the p difference more t recent 6 month a 7-12 months, the	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months, as a sold comparable is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	blanat BUT ths d s the	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the medium pri	t for you ble for month ce of the ce	our conclus r the mo nly time the most	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment ent 6
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date transfer the most les in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the thaths data to the p difference more t recent 6 month a 7-12 months, the	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months, as a sold comparable is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	blanat BUT ths d s the	ion and suppo relative sta ata and the medium pri above table	t for you ble for month ce of the ce.	r the monly time the most	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date transfer the most les in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the thaths data to the p difference more t recent 6 month a 7-12 months, the	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months, as a sold comparable is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	blanat BUT ths d s the	ion and supporelative state and the medium price above table of lncreasing Increasing	t for you ble for month ce of the ce.	r the monly time the most	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjust As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date transfer the most les in the previous	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the thaths data to the p difference more t recent 6 month a 7-12 months, the	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months, as a sold comparable is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	blanat BUT ths d s the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the subject in the project proj	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months, as a sold comparable is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name:	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the subject in the project proj	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the subject in the project proj	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the subject in the project proj	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the subject in the project proj	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the subject in the project proj	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the subject in the project proj	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the subject in the project proj	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the subject in the project proj	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of the subject in the project proj	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form mood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date the the the the previous expressed in the project, complete the prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the part of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form mood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date the the the the previous expressed in the project, complete the prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the part of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form mood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date the the the the previous expressed in the project, complete the prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the part of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form mood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date the the the the previous expressed in the project, complete the prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the part of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form mood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date the the the the previous expressed in the project, complete the prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the part of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form mood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date the the the the previous expressed in the project, complete the prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the part of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous exproject, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the part of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous exproject, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the part of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous exproject, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the thought of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborhmonths (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date tment for the most les in the previous exproject, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the part of the part	of the appraisal report in s, provide both an expine last 12 months revious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable us I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date timent for the most les in the previous exproject, complete the Prior 7-12 Months get? Yes on the subject unit and	ighborhood section of pulate your conclusion overall for the thousand the pulate difference more to recent 6 months and 7-12 months, the pulate of following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incomplete of project.	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months licate the number of R	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months. (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Huibin I	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date timent for the most les in the previous exproject, complete the Prior 7-12 Months The contract date timent for the most less in the previous exproject, complete the Prior 7-12 Months The project complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of pulate your conclusion overall for the thousand the pulate difference more to recent 6 months and 7-12 months, the prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incomplete difference more to recent 6 months and project. Signature Supervisor	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months arevious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months icate the number of R	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Huibin I Company Name Bluebay Appr	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form mood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date timent for the most les in the previous exproject, complete the Prior 7-12 Months The contract date timent for the most less in the previous exproject, complete the Prior 7-12 Months The contract date timent for the most less in the previous expression on the subject unit and the su	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the that the data to the production overall for the production overall for the production overall for the that the data to the production overall for the	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months iicate the number of R	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Huibin L Company Name Bluebay Appr Company Address 41041 Trimboli Way #149	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form mood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date timent for the most les in the previous exproject, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and an arisal Inc. 2, Fremont, CA 94	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the that the data to the production overall for the production overall for the production overall for the that the data to the production overall for the	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months iicate the number of R	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	cent 6 stment ent 6 Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborh months (Comparing the medium price of rate will be (10195/9825-1)/12*100=0.3 %for months is almost stable, thus NO time adjus As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Huibin I Company Name Bluebay Appr	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form mood is increasing most recent 3 more the contract date timent for the most les in the previous exproject, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and an arisal Inc. 2, Fremont, CA 94	ighborhood section of culate your conclusion overall for the that the data to the production overall for the production overall for the production of the pr	of the appraisal report in its, provide both an expine last 12 months in evious 7-12 month than 6 months. as sold comparable is I entered 'N/A' in Project Name: Current - 3 Months iicate the number of R	blanat BUT ths d is the is. In the	relative sta ata and the medium pri above table above table Increasing Increasing Declining	t for you ble for month ce of the second sec	r the months the most	sions. st re adju t reco	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing s and sales

MARKET RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address	1889 Aberdeen Ct						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95122
Lender/Client V	Vedgewood Inc	,	Address	2015 Manhattan E	Beach Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Beach, 0	CA 90278



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 1889 Aberdeen Ct San Jose, CA 95122



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6**

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 1889 Aberdeen Ct

CitySan JoseCountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95122Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

FEATURE	SUBJECT			CO	MPA	ARADLE	SALE#	4	COMI	COMPARABLE SALE # 5			U	OWPAR	RABLE S	ALE#	6	
Address 1889 A	1889 Aberdeen Ct				1642	2 Tries	te Court		2421	Mc	Iclaughlin Avenue							
San Jos	se, CA 9	512	2	S	an .	Jose, C	A 95122	<u> </u>	Sa	n Jo	ose, C	A 95121						
Proximity to Subject						.51 mil					76 mile							
Sale Price	\$					\$	1,050	000		<u> </u>	\$	1,160,000	,			\$		
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area		.00	sq. ft.	¢ 0	03.3		q. ft.	,000	\$ 73	1 61			,	\$			~ 4	
	φ U	00	5y. it.	<u> </u>				1.40		734.64 sq. ft. ML# ML81901587;DOM 295				\$ sq. ft.				
Data Source(s)							33;DON)					
Verification Source(s)							# 25503					<u># 25489936</u>						
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DES	CRIP	TION	DESC	CRIP	TION	+(-) \$ Ad	ustmen	DESCR	<u>IPTI(</u>	ON	+(-) \$ Adjustn	ent	DE	SCRIP	TION	+(-) \$ Adj	justment
Sale or Financing				Aı	mLt	th			Arn	ո <u>Lth</u>	ı							
Concessions				C	onv;	0			Coi	าv;0)							
Date of Sale/Time				s07/2	3:c0	06/23	+	25,000	s06/23	:c06	6/23	+28,0	000					
Location	R·Res·	Cul-o	de-Sac	B;Res;0					A;Res;Bsy				-					
Leasehold/Fee Simple		Sim		Fee					Fee S			100,	,00					
								05 000										
Site		395 s			12 :		+	25,000		00 sf			0					
View		;Res	-		Res					Res;								
Design (Style)	DT.	1;Raı	nch	DT1		nch			DT2;C		emp		0					
Quality of Construction		Q4			Q4				C)4								
Actual Age		60			44			(4	-6			0					
Condition		C4			C3			31,000) (3		-31,0	000					
Above Grade	Total B		Baths	Total Bd		Baths		.,,,,,	Total Bdrr	-	Baths		-	Total	Bdrms	Baths		
					3	2.0			7 4		2.1	-4,0		TOtal	Duillis	Datitio		
Room Count	6	3	2.0	6	_			20.000		_			-					
Gross Living Area	1,2		sq. ft.	1,30		sq. ft.	<u> </u>	22,000			sq. ft.	-104,0	JUU			sq. ft		
Basement & Finished		0sf	١		0sf				0	sf								
Rooms Below Grade																		
Functional Utility	A۱	/eraç	ge	Av	eraç	ge			Ave	rage	e							
Heating/Cooling	FW	A/No	one	FW	A/No	one			FWA/0	Cen	ıtral	-3,0	000					
Energy Efficient Items	Dual Pa	ane V	Vindow	Dual Pa	ne V	Vindow			Dual Pan	e Wi	/indow	,						
Garage/Carport		ga2d			a2d				2ga									
Porch/Patio/Deck			ncrete	Porch														
									Porch/Concrete 1 Fireplace									
Fireplaces		irepla		1 Fi							ice							
Pool		None			lone					None								
Listing Price \$	I	None)		500			(_			0					
J ,						l .	1					l	、 I				1 .	
Net Adjustment (Total)				+	X	-	\$ -3,	000	+	K -		\$ -62,000)		+	-	\$	
Net Adjustment (Total)				Net Adj:			\$ -3,	000	+) Net Adj: -			\$ -62,000			+ _ \dj: 0%		\$	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price				Net Adj:	0%)			Net Adj: -	5%				Net A	Adj: 0%)		
Net Adjustment (Total)					0%)	\$ 1,04			5%		\$ -62,000		Net A)	\$	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables	asearch a	ad an	alveis of	Net Adj Gross A	0% .dj :	10%	\$ 1,04	7,000	Net Adj: - Gross Ad	5% j: 21	1%	\$ 1,098,00		Net A	Adj: 0%)		
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re	esearch a	nd an		Net Adj: Gross A	0% .dj :	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04	7,000 f the sub	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property	5% j: 21	1% d compa	\$ 1,098,00	00	Net A	Adj: 0% s Adj: (0%	\$	4 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re		nd an		Net Adj Gross A	0% .dj :	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04	7,000 f the sub	Net Adj: - Gross Ad	5% j: 21	1% d compa	\$ 1,098,00	00	Net A	Adj: 0% s Adj: (0%		‡ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	r	nd an		Net Adj: Gross A	0% .dj :	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04	7,000 f the sub	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property	5% j: 21	1% d compa	\$ 1,098,00	00	Net A	Adj: 0% s Adj: (0%	\$	‡ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe	r	nd an	SUE	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s	0% .dj :	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 r history o	7,000 f the sub ABLE SA	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE# 4	5% j: 21	1% d compa	\$ 1,098,00 arable sales ARABLE SALE	00	Net A	Adj: 0% s Adj: (0%	\$	‡ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	r	nd an	SUE	Net Adj: Gross A	0% .dj :	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 r history o	7,000 f the sub	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE# 4	5% j: 21	1% d compa	\$ 1,098,00	00	Net A	Adj: 0% s Adj: (0%	\$	‡ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe	r	nd an	SUE	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s	0% .dj :	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 r history o	7,000 f the sub ABLE SA	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4	5% j: 21	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 arable sales ARABLE SALE	00	Net A	Adj: 0% s Adj: (0%	\$	‡ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s)		Rea 02/0	Net Adj. Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 11/2023	0% dj:	10%	\$ 1,04 or history of COMPARA	7,000 f the sub ABLE SA ealque /01/20	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	‡ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s) ansfer his	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper	o% dj: ale o	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 Thistory of COMPARA R 02 Grandle sale	7,000 If the subABLE SA ealque //01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	<i>‡</i> 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s) ansfer his	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper	o% dj: ale o	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 Thistory of COMPARA R 02 Grandle sale	7,000 If the subABLE SA ealque //01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	¢ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s) ansfer his	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper	o% dj: ale o	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 Thistory of COMPARA R 02 Grandle sale	7,000 If the subABLE SA ealque //01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	¢ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s) ansfer his	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper	o% dj: ale o	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 Thistory of COMPARA R 02 Grandle sale	7,000 If the subABLE SA ealque //01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	ŧ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s) ansfer his	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper	o% dj: ale o	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 Thistory of COMPARA R 02 Grandle sale	7,000 If the subABLE SA ealque //01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	ŧ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s) ansfer his	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper	o% dj: ale o	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 Thistory of COMPARA R 02 Grandle sale	7,000 If the subABLE SA ealque //01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	£ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s) ansfer his	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper	o% dj: ale o	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 Thistory of COMPARA R 02 Grandle sale	7,000 If the subABLE SA ealque //01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	£ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s) ansfer his	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper	o% dj: ale o	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 Thistory of COMPARA R 02 Grandle sale	7,000 If the subABLE SA ealque //01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	£ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s) ansfer his	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper	o% dj: ale o	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 Thistory of COMPARA R 02 Grandle sale	7,000 If the subABLE SA ealque //01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	£ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s) ansfer his	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper	o% dj: ale o	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 Thistory of COMPARA R 02 Grandle sale	7,000 If the subABLE SA ealque //01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	£ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	r er urce(s) ansfer his	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper	o% dj: ale o	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 Thistory of COMPARA R 02 Grandle sale	7,000 If the subABLE SA ealque //01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st	5% j: 21 and	1% d compa COMPA	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	#	Net A Gross	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% MPARAB	\$ LE SALE #	¢ 6
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	r urce(s) ansfer his sale of	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub compara	Net Adj. Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 11/2023 ject proper ables for	o%.dj:	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 r history of COMPARA R 02 arable sale months	7,000 If the subsection is the subsection is the subsection in the subsection is the subsection in the subsection is the subsection in the subsection in the subsection is the subsection in th	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st 23 rch the da	5% j: 21	1% d compa COMPA ase, I	\$ 1,098,00 rable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale	# of	Net A Gross	COM ubject	MPARAB within	\$LE SALE #	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	r urce(s) ansfer his sale of	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the sub compara	Net Adj: Gross A the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper ables for	o%.dj: ale o tty ant the	10% or transfe	\$ 1,04 r history of COMPARA R 02 arable sale months	7,000 If the subsection is the subsection is the subsection in the subsection is the subsection in the subsection is the subsection in the subsection in the subsection is the subsection in th	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st 23 rch the da	5% j: 21	1% d compa COMPA ase, I	\$ 1,098,00 wrable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023	# of	Net A Gross	COM ubject	MPARAB within	\$LE SALE #	
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra months and no prior Summary of Sales Compacondition and appe	r urce(s) ansfer his sale of arison App	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the subjectompara	the prior s BJECT alquest 1/2023 ject proper ables for	o% dj: ale o ty an the	or transfer and comparing last 12	\$ 1,04 r history of COMPARA R 02 grable sales months	7,000 f the sub ABLE SA ealque /01/20 s Sea	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st 23 rch the da	5% j: 21 and taba	1% d compa COMPA ase, i	\$ 1,098,00 Irable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale similar desi	# of	Net A Gross 5 the s	COM ubject	MPARAB within	\$ LE SALE #	lity,
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra months and no prior Summary of Sales Compa condition and appe Adjustments are ma	r urce(s) ansfer his sale of arison App al from ade as	tory o	Rea 02/0 of the subjectompara	Net Adj. Gross A the prior s BJECT Alquest 1/2023 ject proper ables for Comps market a Site: \$	o% dj: ale o ty an the	or transfer and comparison last 12	\$ 1,04 In history of COMPARA R 02 Graphic sales months I sales	7,000 f the sub ABLE SA ealque /01/20 s Sea within	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st 23 rch the da	5% j: 21 and taba	1% d compa COMPA ase, I	\$ 1,098,00 Irable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale similar desi 0% of the s	# sof	Net A Gross 5 the s and a	COM wbject	within nd sim	LE SALE #	lity,
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source of Data Source of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sales of Prior Sales Compared of Sales Sales Compared of Sales Sales Compared of Sales Sales Sales Compared of Sales Sales Compared of Sales Sal	r urce(s) ansfer his sale of arison App al from ade as GLA dit	roach subjfollo	Rea 02/0 of the subjectment of the subject of the s	the prior selection of	o% dj: ale o tty an the are area 15/S	or transfer and comparison last 12 closed in SF(For page 1);	\$ 1,04 If history of COMPARA R 02 Arable sales months d sales lot size 3). Bed	7,000 f the sub ABLE SA ealque /01/20 s Sea within differroom:	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st 23 rch the da last 8 mc ence large \$8000/Be	5% j: 21 and and bonth er the	1% d compa COMPA ase, I	\$ 1,098,00 Irable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale similar desi 0% of the s 4). Bathroo	# of subject:	Net A Gross 5 the s and a ject's \$800	COM wbject age, a lot si:	within nd sim ze); 2).	last 36 Gross Gross (5). Age	lity, living
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra months and no prior Summary of Sales Compa condition and appe Adjustments are ma	r urce(s) ansfer his sale of arison App al from ade as GLA dit	roach subjfollo	Rea 02/0 of the subjectment of the subject of the s	the prior selection of	o% dj: ale o tty an the are area 15/S	or transfer and comparison last 12 closed in SF(For page 1);	\$ 1,04 If history of COMPARA R 02 Arable sales months d sales lot size 3). Bed	7,000 f the sub ABLE SA ealque /01/20 s Sea within differroom:	Net Adj: - Gross Ad ject property LE # 4 st 23 rch the da last 8 mc ence large \$8000/Be	5% j: 21 and and bonth er the	1% d compa COMPA ase, I	\$ 1,098,00 Irable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale similar desi 0% of the s 4). Bathroo	# of subject:	Net A Gross 5 the s and a ject's \$800	COM wbject age, a lot si:	within nd sim ze); 2).	last 36 Gross Gross (5). Age	lity, living
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior	rurce(s) ansfer his sale of arison Appal from ade as GLA diddifferer	oroach subj follo	Rea 02/0 if the subjectment of t	the prior selection of	o% dj: ale o are are area 15/S	closed i. SF(For sqft); s); 6). F	\$ 1,04 In history of COMPARA R 02 Grable sales months d sales lot size 3). Bed Tire place	7,000 If the subsequence of the	Net Adj: - Gross Ad iject property LE # 4 st 23 rch the da last 8 mo ence large \$8000/Be 000/Firep	5% j: 21 v and v a	ase, I	\$ 1,098,00 Irable sales Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale similar desi 0% of the s 4). Bathroo ar storage:	# gn a sub m: \$10	Net A Gross 5 the s and a ject's \$800,000	COM COM Lagrange age, a Lagrange lot size 100/Bat 10/car.8	within nd sim ze); 2). hroom; 3). The	last 36 Gross Gross Gross Monthly	lity, living e: y time
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the re ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra months and no prior Summary of Sales Compa condition and appe Adjustments are ma area: \$310/SF(For \$700/Year(For age adjustment used -0	rurce(s) ansfer his sale of arison Appal from ade as GLA didifferer 0.3% fo	oroach sub follo ference n	Rea 02/0 of the sub compara n All diject's n iyes: 1).	the prior separate to	are area 15/5 ale of difficulty and the	closed SF(For sqft); s); 6). Ference	\$ 1,04 In history of COMPARA R 02 Grable sales months lot size 3). Bed Fire place more for the size of the si	7,000 If the subsection of th	Net Adj: - Gross Ad iject property LE # 4 st 23 rch the da last 8 mc ence large \$8000/Be 000/Firep months a	5% j: 21 r and r and er the er the lace	ase, I	\$ 1,098,00 Irable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale similar desi 0% of the s 4). Bathroo ar storage: me adjustn	gn asub	Net A Gross 5 sand a sect's \$800,000 t for the sect's \$800 to the section \$	COM Lage to the state of the s	within md sim ze); 2). hroom; b). The ost reco	ilar qual Gross (5). Age monthly ent 6 mo	lity, living e: y time
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sout Analysis of prior sale or transments and no prior Summary of Sales Comparables Summary of Sales Comparables Adjustments are materia: \$310/SF(For \$700/Year(For age adjustment used -0 sold comparables	rurce(s) ansfer his sale of arison Appala from ade as GLA diddifferer 0.3% fo accord	oroach subjection of the control of	Rea 02/0 of the sub- compara in All ject's n ject's n ws: 1).	the prior separate the prior sep	are area 15/S 1 20 ears diffeata,	closed SF(For sqft); s); 6). Ference, 9).Lc	\$ 1,04 r history of COMPARA R 02 arable sales months lot size 3). Bed ire place e more to ocation:	7,000 If the subsection of th	last 8 moence large \$8000/Be \$000/Firep months a \$0/per ben	ponth er thedrollace	ase, I	\$ 1,098,00 Irable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale similar desi 0% of the s 4). Bathroo ar storage: me adjustn rse Factor;	# subject of the state of the s	Net A Gross 5 the s and a ject's \$800,000 t for the second of the sec	age, a s lot si: 00/Bat the mergy:	md sim ze); 2). hroom; 3). The ost rec \$2000	ilar qual Gross 5). Age monthly ent 6 mc	lity, living e: y time onths
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source of Data Source of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sales Comparables are as \$310/SF(For \$700/Year(For age adjustment used -0 sold comparables Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The above as \$200 for Sales Comparables of Panels; The Panels of Panels	rurce(s) ansfer his sale of arison Appala from ade as GLA diddifferer 0.3% fo accord	oroach subjection of the control of	Rea 02/0 of the sub- compara in All ject's n ject's n ws: 1).	the prior separate the prior sep	are area 15/S 1 20 ears diffeata,	closed SF(For sqft); s); 6). Ference, 9).Lc	\$ 1,04 r history of COMPARA R 02 arable sales months lot size 3). Bed ire place e more to ocation:	7,000 If the subsection of th	last 8 moence large \$8000/Be \$000/Firep months a \$0/per ben	ponth er thedrollace	ase, I	\$ 1,098,00 Irable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale similar desi 0% of the s 4). Bathroo ar storage: me adjustn rse Factor;	# subject of the state of the s	Net A Gross 5 the s and a ject's \$800,000 t for the second of the sec	age, a s lot si: 00/Bat the mergy:	md sim ze); 2). hroom; 3). The ost rec \$2000	ilar qual Gross 5). Age monthly ent 6 mc	lity, living e: y time onths
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sout Analysis of prior sale or transments and no prior Summary of Sales Comparables Summary of Sales Comparables Adjustments are materia: \$310/SF(For \$700/Year(For age adjustment used -0 sold comparables	rurce(s) ansfer his sale of arison Appala from ade as GLA diddifferer 0.3% fo accord	oroach subjection of the control of	Rea 02/0 of the sub- compara in All ject's n ject's n ws: 1).	the prior separate the prior sep	are area 15/S 1 20 ears diffeata,	closed SF(For sqft); s); 6). Ference, 9).Lc	\$ 1,04 r history of COMPARA R 02 arable sales months lot size 3). Bed ire place e more to ocation:	7,000 If the subsection of th	last 8 moence large \$8000/Be \$000/Firep months a \$0/per ben	ponth er thedrollace	ase, I	\$ 1,098,00 Irable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale similar desi 0% of the s 4). Bathroo ar storage: me adjustn rse Factor;	# subject of the state of the s	Net A Gross 5 the s and a ject's \$800,000 t for the second of the sec	age, a s lot si: 00/Bat the mergy:	md sim ze); 2). hroom; 3). The ost rec \$2000	ilar qual Gross 5). Age monthly ent 6 mc	lity, living e: y time onths
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source of Data Source of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sale or transference of Data Source of Dat	rurce(s) ansfer his sale of arison Appala from ade as GLA diddifferer 0.3% fo accord	oroach subjection of the control of	Rea 02/0 of the sub- compara in All ject's n ject's n ws: 1).	the prior separate the prior sep	are area 15/S 1 20 ears diffeata,	closed SF(For sqft); s); 6). Ference, 9).Lc	\$ 1,04 r history of COMPARA R 02 arable sales months lot size 3). Bed ire place e more to ocation:	7,000 If the subsection of th	last 8 moence large \$8000/Be \$000/Firep months a \$0/per ben	ponth er thedrollace	ase, I	\$ 1,098,00 Irable sales ARABLE SALE Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale similar desi 0% of the s 4). Bathroo ar storage: me adjustn rse Factor;	# subject of the state of the s	Net A Gross 5 the s and a ject's \$800,000 t for the second of the sec	age, a s lot si: 00/Bat the mergy:	md sim ze); 2). hroom; 3). The ost rec \$2000	ilar qual Gross 5). Age monthly ent 6 mc	lity, living e: y time onths

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Page

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 55239

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:


- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

Ø.	
APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Huibin Lan	Name
Company Name Bluebay Appraisal Inc.	Company Name
Company Address 41041 Trimboli Way #1492	Company Address
Fremont, CA 94538	
Telephone Number 5106736733	Telephone Number
Email Address appraiserlan@yahoo.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 02/28/2024	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 02/28/2024	State Certification #
State Certification # AR030132	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State # _	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/18/2025	
	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	
1889 Aberdeen Ct	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
San Jose, CA 95122	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,04	
LENDER/CLIENT	
Name Clear Capital	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM**

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Property Address	1889 Aberdeen Ct					
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95122
Landar/Client We	daewood Inc	Addross	2015 Manhattan Boa	ch Blyd Suito 10	n Podondo Boach	CA 00278



Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **PLAT MAP**

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Property Address	1889 Aberdeen Ct					
City San Jose	Count	y Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95122
Lender/Client Wed	dgewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan E	Beach Blvd Suite	100, Redondo Be	each, CA 90278



Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address	1889 Aberdeen Ct					
City San Jose	Co	unty Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95122
Lender/Client We	dgewood Inc	Addre	ss 2015 Manhatta	n Beach Blvd Suite	100, Redondo B	each, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 1097 Glenfinnan Drive San Jose, CA 95122

1




COMPARABLE SALE # 2 1878 Martello Drive San Jose, CA 95122



COMPARABLE SALE # 3 1164 Creston Lane San Jose, CA 95122

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address	1889 Aberdeen Ct					
City San Jose	County	y Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95122





COMPARABLE SALE # 1642 Trieste Court San Jose, CA 95122



COMPARABLE SALE # 2421 Mclaughlin Avenue San Jose, CA 95121


COMPARABLE SALE #

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 1889 Aberdeen Ct

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95122

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Insurance Fi

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 1889 Aberdeen Ct

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95122

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



DECLARATIONS

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP3367375-23 Renewal of: RAP3367375-22

Program Administrator: Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc.

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Item 1. Named Insured: Huibin Lan

Item 2. Address: 41526 Carmen St
City, State, Zip Code: Fremont, CA 94539

Item 3. Policy Period: From 09/08/2023 To 09/08/2024

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)

(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the **Named Insured** as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ 500,000 Damages Limit of Liability – Each Claim

B. \$ ____ 500,000 ____ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Each Claim

C. \$ ____1,000,000 ____ Damages Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

D. \$ 1,000,000 Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$ 500 Each Claim

B. \$ **1,000** Aggregate

Item 6. **Premium**: \$ 835.00

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 09/08/2006

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (07/21)

 $D42402\ (05/13)\ \ D42408\ (05/13)\ \ D42412\ (03/17)\ \ D42413\ (06/17)$

D42414 (08/19)

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1

Aerial Map


File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 1889 Aberdeen Ct

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95122

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

A	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Park Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
ArmLth		
	Arms Length Sale	Sales or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
B	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
c	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway Driveway	Garage/Carport
	•	
<u>e</u>	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
g	Garage	Garage/Carport
ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-In Garages	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sales or Financing Concessions
 Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
	Mid Rise	
MR		Design (Style)
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
0	Other	Design (Style)
ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PubTrn - ·	Public Transportation	Location
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
rt	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
w	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Woods	Woods View	View
Wtr	Water View	View
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
		Since it comb Bolow Orda
		+

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 188	9 Aberdeen Ct					
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95122
Lender/Client Wedge	wood Inc	Address 2015 M	lanhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100). Redondo Bea	ch. CA 90278

The appraiser's competency for completing work order assignments within the subject's market area:

The appraiser is very familiar with the market area of the subject, approximate about 300 of appraisals completed in this market, there is about 10 miles that the appraiser traveled to the subject. The appraisaler has about 10 years of field work experience and has access to the MLS data of the subject's neighborhood. The appraiser reside in the neighbor county of Alameda county.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF THE SUBJECT: The subject has typical lot size, GLA, style ,construction quality and similar condition as a single family house(physically possible) and conform to the neighborhood with almost all the similar single family houses(The reasonable,probable and Legal use of the lot as the the single family home zoning of the neighborhood----Legally allowable), the single family house demand is still high in all the bay area and the subject's neighborhood(financially feasible and Maximu Productive), thus its current use is in its highest and best use.

The Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak has had a significant impact on local, national and global economies. Financial markets worldwide are experiencing unprecedented volatility. In some areas of the United States, there are current Shelter-in-Place orders and other restrictions on daily activities. These events are likely to impact real estate values in the short term but, as of the date of this appraisal, there is not enough data to substantiate that position. I have researched all available local sources and, as of this date, I have not found any data suggesting that significant changes in local real estate market have occurred. The market data presented in this appraisal report is considered the most recent and relevant available and the resulting analysis best reflects market conditions as of the effective date of appraisal.

No employee, director, officer, or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner,independent contractor, appraisal management company, or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted toinfluence the development, reporting, result, or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or in any other manner.

I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client as identified on the first page of thereport), borrower, or designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report anyunauthorized contacts either personally by phone or electronically to the Clear Capital.

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

 Property Address
 1889 Aberdeen Ct

 City
 San Jose
 County
 Santa Clara
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 95122

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Street Address (Full)	ables : Sale Price	Sq Ft Total	
1076 Idlewood Dr	1360000	1444	
2649 Corde Terra CIR	1211888	1650	
928 S 9th ST	1108000	1374	
1662 Leeward DR	1050000	1353	
2262 Thayer CT	1255000	1936	
288 McCreery AVE	990099	1274	
1751 Darwin WAY	828888	1040	
1450 Palmwood DR	840000	960	
209 Mccreery AVE	825000	962	
1390 Dornoch AVE	740000	1061	
1388 Firestone LOOP	1150000	1786	
2698 Kendrick CIR	1250000	1444	
1228 Gainsville AVE	1048000	1283	
914 Tennyson LN	900000	1263	
1447 Orlando DR	740000	960	
965 Glenfinnan WAY	1170000	1538	
1164 Creston LN	950000	1151	
1401 Ford AVE	693000	1193	
2039 Inman WAY	750000	960	
1097 Glenfinnan DR	1070000	1238	
2217 S King RD	975000	1352	
1235 Summer Blossom AVE	1100000	1548	
2425 Amador DR	850000	1353	
785 Lotus ST	1250000	1636	
1269 Lynn AVE	1050000	1595	
97 S 22nd ST	920000	1302	
1816 La Porte AVE	795000	1040	
1746 Lucretia AVE	1155000	1628	
2637 Sherlock Dr	1050000	1481	
1866 Oakton CT	972500	1287	
1217 Edith ST	975000	1711	
1729 S KING RD	790000	1121	
1900 Edgestone CIR	1171000	1698	
2952 Stanhope DR	1060000	1220	
1181 Adrian WAY	950000	1200	
947 Diana AVE	880000	1167	
1036 S 7th ST	735000	1166	
1342 Norvella St	1020000	1317	
1342 Norvella ST	1020000	1317	
3015 Senter RD	1020000	1517	
1711 Tustin DR	1158000	1545	
1030 Drexel WAY	1013000	1452	
1464 Palmwood DR	1100000	1674	
1666 Christopher ST	840000	1306	
1538 Denali WAY	1015000	1139	
1648 Tierra Buena DR	1070000	1204	
1648 Herra Buena DR 2099 Naida AVE			
	890000 1100000	1575 1606	
919 Jeanne AVE	1 100000	1606	

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Property Address 188	39 Aberdeen Ct						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95122
Lender/Client Wedg	ewood Inc		Address 2015 Manl	nattan Beach Blv	/d Suite 100, R	ledondo Beacl	n, CA 90278

ender/client wedgewood inc		Address 2010 Manhattan Beach Bivd Cuite 100, Nedondo Bea	<u> </u>
671 C 14th CT	1475000	1047	
671 S 14th ST	1475000	1947	
2920 Erica CT	1320000	1703	
1878 Martello DR	975000	1418	
1237 Panoche Avenue	1050000	1548	
1367 Kasson Ct	1385000	1887	
1003 Woodholly CT	1375000	1946	
114 S 20th ST	1350000	1510	
2170 Inman WAY	1000000	960	
1785 Hopkins DR	1010000	1119	
2749 Twin Oaks LN	1002000	1120	
1822 Home Gate Drive	975000	1376	
1635 Longview ST	860000	1178	
1125 Woodminster DR	1190000	1913	
1237 Hopkins DR	910000	1141	
227 Bonita Ave	840000	1443	
2755 Woodmoor DR	975000	1283	
2049 Rigoletto DR	1150000	1486	
795 Jasper ST	1040000	1423	
1682 June AVE	988888	1121	
2669 Mozart AVE	960000	1043	
320 S 16th ST	1675000	1660	
2042 Panama AVE	825000	960	
1662 Aldrich WAY	899000	1563	
1806 Loyola DR	950000	1035	
920 S 6th ST	1018000	1050	
1565 Foley AVE	850000	1040	
1126 Woodborough PL	1600000	1831	
2142 Nassau DR	991000	960	
2943 Erica CT	1380000	1472	
1635 Christopher ST	900000	960	
1819 Seaview DR	739000	1040	
610 Orvis Ave	1250000	1385	
2358 Bikini AVE	1060000	1151	
1151 Bal Harbor WAY	886000	960	
1797 Tampa WAY	920000	1121	
2731 Cunningham AVE	1175000	1353	
579 Baltic WAY	700000	1230	
2881 Armstead Ct	1029000	1220	
959 Cheswick DR	1200000	1542	
1621 Foley AVE	725000	1040	
765 S 10th ST	945000	1000	
1194 Winslow DR	1112000	1151	
1236 Pipe Dream CT	991000	1291	
323 S 14th ST	1623000	1860	
1790 Home Gate DR	920000	1376	
1133 Idlewood DR	1068000	1232	
434 S 15th ST	1368000	1469	
1387 Woodman CT	1300000	1472	
196 S Sunset AVE	1000000	1103	
666 S 13th			
	1200000	1410	
1632 Dixie DR	945000	1232	
123 Martha St	895000	976	

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Property Address	1889 Aberdeen Ct						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95122
Lender/Client We	edgewood Inc	,	Address 2015	Manhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Beac	h, CA 90278

Lender/Client vvedgewood inc		Address 2015 Mannattan Beach Bivd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278
1316 Norvella ST	985000	1141
1890 Orlando DR	939000	1283
1642 Trieste CT	1050000	1307
2275 Orlando DR	970000	1140
912 Thackeray LN	930000	1263
139 S 19th ST	1500000	1484
1939 Kilchoan WAY	975000	1879
480 S 21st ST	1600002	1836
977 Cheswick DR	875000	1377
1492 S Capitol AVE	920000	1460
2418 Sleepy Hollow LN	900000	1242
560 S 15th ST	1310000	1530
1911 Lanai AVE	940000	1040
2421 Mclaughlin AVE	1160000	1579
1367 Kasson Ct	890000	1887
2217 S King RD	820000	1352
79 Floyd ST	875000	1168
3109 Brandywine DR	1335000	1886
3022 Abelia CT	740000	1886
1929 Orlando DR	980000	1283
2738 Cramer CIR	1385000	1627
1555 Dina CT	1120000	1220
2076 Lanai AVE	850000	1020
1414 Norvella ST	856000	1141
1387 Woodman CT	935000	1472
2917 Roberta CT	1255000	1472
1080 Loupe AVE	1303000	1584
555 Conti CT	980000	1180
2537 Arctic AVE	875000	1132
1776 Tustin Dr	1200000	1324
1895 Wesley CT	1125000	1287
1665 Tierra Buena DR	1195000	1461
1826 Home Gate DR	1005000	1376
2665 Casco CT	1070000	1594
2807 Stemple CT	1155000	1097
725 Peter Pan AVE	810000	1120
2510 Greenstone CT	965000	1392
2671 Brahms AVE	918000	1120
1250 Carnelian DR	850000	1104
1935 Kinross WAY	1300000	1898
1572 Hermocilla WAY	970000	1786
2110 Tehama AVE	1010000	1231
1458 Pomona AVE	900000	980
1699 Nickel Ave	800000	1265
1476 Midfield AVE	940000	1200
2231 Huran DR	950000	1052
207 Charity CT	950000 850000	1388
2382 Poplar DR	865000	1228
1014 Woodroe CT	1500000	1836
	800000	1283
1617 Honeysuckle Dr 2674 Tilton CT	1130000	1283
2366 S King RD	900000	1232
2000 O King KD	300000	1230

File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

Property Address	1889 Aberdeen Ct						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95122
Lender/Client W	edgewood Inc	,	Address 2015 N	/lanhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100	, Redondo Beach	n, CA 90278

2295 Palmira WAY	1100100	1310
1210 Zachary CT	1155000	1683
1353 Karl ST	1100000	1711
2042 Lyons DR	910000	1144
1443 Kilchoan CT	900000	1417
2579 Flory DR	1110000	1542
965 Cheswick DR	1170000	1594
3125 Brandywine DR	1350000	1757

Bluebay Appraisal Inc.

APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM File No. 35134263 Case No. 55239

	711110110712 00111		Case I	110. 33239
Borrower/Client Catamount P	· ·			
Address 1889 Aberdeen Ct		0 1 0		_ Unit No.
City San Jose		Santa Clara Sta	te <u>CA</u>	Zip Code <u>95122</u>
Lender/Client Wedgewood Ir	ic			
This Appr	raisal Compliance Addendum is included to ensu	ure this appraisal report meets all US	PAP 2014 real	uirements.
APPRAISAL AND REPORT				
This Appraisal Report is one of th	ne following types:			
X Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the requ	uirements of the Appraisal Report option	of USPAP Stand	dards Rule 2-2(a).
Restricted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the req	uirements of the Restricted Appraisal Re	port option of US	SPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b). The
	intended user of this report is limited to the identified	d client. This is a Restricted Appraisal Re	port and the ration	onale for how the appraiser arrived
	at the opinions and conclusions set forth in the report	may not be understood properly without	the additional inf	ormation in the appraiser's workfile.
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT	IONG			
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT				
certify that, to the best of my known				
	ined in this report are true and correct.	assumptions and are my personal impa	rtial and unhica	ad professional analyses
opinions, and conclusions.	ions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported	assumptions and are my personal, impa	rtiai, and unbiase	ad professional analyses,
•	I have no present or prospective interest in the proper	ty that is the subject of this report and no	norganal interes	at with respect to parties involved
	I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in an	• •	•	·
	g acceptance of this assignment.	iy other capacity, regarding the property	.nat is the subject	to this report within the three-year
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o the property that is the subject of this report or the pa	arties involved with this assignment		
	nment was not contingent upon developing or reportir	_		
	eting this assignment is not contingent upon the develo	- -	alue or direction	in value that favors the cause
• •	ne value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
this appraisal.	,	4	, ,	
* *	conclusions were developed and this report has been	prepared, in conformity with the Uniform	Standards of Pr	ofessional Appraisal Practice that
were in effect at the time this		,		
	I have made a personal inspection of the property that	t is the subject of this report.		
Unless otherwise indicated,	no one provided significant real property appraisal as	sistance to the person(s) signing this cer	tification (if there	e are exceptions, the name of each
individual providing significar	nt real property appraisal assistance is stated elsewhe	ere in this report).		
	ed in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA as amended	, and any implementing regulations.		
PRIOR SERVICES				
	d services, as an appraiser or in another other capacit	ty, regarding the property that is the subj	ect of the report	within the three-year period
immediately preceding accep				
	ices, as an appraiser or in another capacity, regarding		port within the th	ree-year period immediately
	s assignment. Those services are described in the con	nments below.		
PROPERTY INSPECTION				
	sonal inspection of the property that is the subject of the			
APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE	a personal inspection of the property that is the subject	ct of this report.		
		to the person signing this cortification. If	anyono did provi	de eignificant assistance, they
	rovided significant real property appraisal assistance t summary of the extent of the assistance provided in th		anyone did provid	de significant assistance, they
one	summary of the extent of the assistance provided in the	іе героп.		
OTIC				
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				
Additional USPAP related issues r	requiring disclosure and/or any state mandated require	ements: External only inspection.	I did not do	any services for the subject
vithin the last 3 years.				
44 DIZETUIO TUIE 4110 EV				
	XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT PRO			
	e for the subject property is 20-40 day(s) ut	ilizing market conditions pertinent to the	appraisal assigni	ment.
A reasonable exposure time	for the subject property is 20-40 day(s).			
APPRAISER		SUPERVISORY APPRAISER	ONLY IE DE	OUIDED)
AFFINAISLIN		SUPERVISORT AFFRAISER	(ONLI II KL	(CONCED)
	0			
00/	1 and the second second			
Signature		Signature		
Name Huibin Lan		Mana a		
Date of Signature 02/28/202	24	Date of Signature		
	2	State Certification #		
01 1 1: "		Ot-t- 1 ! #		
State CA		State		
	License 02/18/2025	Expiration Date of Certification or Lice	ense	
		Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of Su		
Effective Date of Appraisal 02/2	28/2024	Did Not Exterior Only f		Interior and Exterior
		- 		

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 1889 Aberdeen Ct

Santa Clara CA 95122 City San Jose County State Zip Code Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



ENHANCED REPORT 2.0

Subject Property:



Site Address 1889 ABERDEEN CT SAN JOSE, CA 95122-2901







Document Contents



- Profile Cover Sheet Property Overview Property History Page Property Comparables (Detailed) Property Comparables (Summary)

Provided By

Richard Chen 3340 Walnut Ave 116 Fremont, CA 94538 Richard.chen@ctt.com

PROPERTY OVERVIEW

1889 ABERDEEN CT, SAN JOSE, CA 95122-2901

Owner and Geographic Information



Primary Owner: CAMPBELL EDWARD E (TRUSTEE)

Site Address:

1889 ABERDEEN CT, SAN JOSE, CA 95122-2901

Housing Tract Number: 3670

Legal Description: Lot Code:

Tract Number:

Legal Brief Description: LOT:64 CITY:SAN JOSE TR#:3670 TR 3670 LOT 64

Secondary Owner:

Mail Address:

PO BOX 3260, SAN JOSE, CA 95156-3260

Page / Grid:

Property Details

Bedrooms:

War Built: Garage: Fireplace:

渔 Pool:

3670

1964 Garage 2 Square Feet: **Number of Units:**

1,233 0

Use Code: Single Family Residential

Zoning: Sale Information

Total Rooms:



Transfer Date:
Transfer Value: Cost/Sq Feet:

08/06/1981

R1-8

Seller:

N/A 7131697

Assessment and Taxes

Market Value:



Assessed Value: Improvement Value: Market Improvement Value:

\$86,539.00 \$64,813.00 Percent Improvement: Tax Status: Market Land Value:

74.89% Current

wner Exemption: Tax Rate Area:

Tax Account ID: Tax Year:

17-172

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 1889 Aberdeen Ct

City San JoseCountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95122Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



	RY			1889 ABERDEEN CT, SAN JOSE, CA 95122-290
Release Record - 01/24/20	020			
Recording Date:	01/24/2020		Document#:	24387792
Price:			Document Type:	Substitution of Trustee and Full Reconveyance
TD Due Date:			Type of Financing:	
Lender Name:				
Lender Type:			Borrowers Name:	EDWARD E CAMPBELL AS TRUSTEE OF THE EDWARD CAMPBELL REVOCABLE TRUST DATED JUNE 4, 1981
Vesting:				
Legal Description:				
Mortgage Record - 12/16/	2004			
Recording Date:	12/16/2004		Document#:	18151108
Loan Amount:	\$250,000.00		Loan Type:	Stand Alone First
TD Due Date:			Type of Financing:	
Lender Name:	WORLD SAVINGS BANK I	FSB		
Lender Type:			Borrowers Name:	CAMPBELL, EDWARD E; THE EDWARD E CAMPBELL REVOCABLE TRUST
Vesting:	RT			
Legal Description:	Lot Number:	64		
	Tract Number:	3670		
	Map Ref:	0		
Mortgage Record - 02/25/	02/25/2003		Document#:	16842115
Loan Amount:	\$897,500.00		Loan Type:	Unknown Loan Type
TD Due Date:			Type of Financing:	
Lender Name:	THE SUMMIT NATIONAL I	BANK		
Lender Type:			Borrowers Name:	CAMPBELL, EDWARD E; THE EDWARD E CAMPBELL REVOCABLE TRUST
Vesting:	RT			
Legal Description:	Lot Number:	64		
	Tract Number:	3670		
	Map Ref:	0		
	City / Muni / Twp:	SAN JOSE		
Prior Transfer - 08/06/198	1			
Recording Date:	08/06/1981		Document#:	7131697
Recording Date: Loan Amount:	STREET, CONTRACTOR STATE		Loan Type:	7131697
Recording Date:	STREET, CONTRACTOR STATE			7131697
Recording Date: Loan Amount:	STREET, CONTRACTOR STATE		Loan Type:	7131697
Recording Date: Loan Amount: TD Due Date:	STREET, CONTRACTOR STATE		Loan Type:	7131697
Recording Date: Loan Amount: TD Due Date: Lender Name:	STREET, CONTRACTOR STATE		Loan Type: Type of Financing:	7131697
Recording Date: Loan Amount: TD Due Date: Lender Name: Lender Type:	STREET, CONTRACTOR STATE	64	Loan Type: Type of Financing:	7131697
Recording Date: Loan Amount: TD Due Date: Lender Name: Lender Type: Vesting:	08/06/1981	64 3670	Loan Type: Type of Financing:	7131697
Recording Date: Loan Amount: TD Due Date: Lender Name: Lender Type: Vesting:	08/06/1981 Lot Number:		Loan Type: Type of Financing:	7131697