

APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY

LOCATED AT:

10342 Hanky Panky St LOG CABIN RANCH UNIT 1 PLAT BOOK 125 PAGE 48 LOT 67 BLOCK 3 Las Vegas, NV 89131

FOR:

WEDGEWOOD INC 2015 MANHATTAN BEACH BLVD REDONDO BEACH, CA 90278

AS OF:

10/20/2023

BY:

BRYCEN W WILLIAMS R-1 APPRAISALS 1240 E 100 S #6 ST. GEORGE UT. 84790 435-627-0019 435-627-0748 FAX

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

34702399 File # LL.101923E

	The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	rt is to provide t	he lender/client with	an accurate, and adequa	tely supported, opi	inion of the market value	of the subject property.
	Property Address 10342 Hanky Panky St			City Las Vegas		State _{NV}	Zip Code 89131
	Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC		Owner of Public R		LC	County CLAR	<
	Legal Description LOG CABIN RANCH UNIT	1 PLAT BOOK 125	PAGE 48 LOT 67 BLO				
	Assessor's Parcel # 125-04-111-053			Tax Year 2023			5,130
Ċ	Neighborhood Name LOG CABIN RANCH Occupant Owner □ Tenant □ Vaca	ınt	Choolel Access		29820 又 DII	Census Tract (
SUBJECT	Occupant Nowner Tenant Vaca Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple	Leasehold	Special Assessme Other (describe)	nts \$ 0	⊠ PU	D HOA\$ 90	per year 🔀 per month
SU	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance		ther (describe) EXTERIO	D ONLY		
	Lender/Client WEDGEWOOD INC	rioiilialioo				REDONDO BEACH, CA 9027	78
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale o	r has it been offered					Yes 🔀 No
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and			MLS IN PAST 12 MONTHS			
Ī	I did did not analyze the contract for s	sale for the subject	purchase transaction. E	explain the results of the ana	llysis of the contract	for sale or why the analysis	was not
	performed.						
CONTRACT	Contract Price \$ Date of Cont	ract	la the property -	aller the owner of public re-	ord2 \	No. Data Cauras(a)	
TR	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa			eller the owner of public rec stance, etc.) to be paid by a		No Data Source(s)	Yes No
Ś	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe	-		statice, etc.) to be paid by a	ily party on benan o	i tile bollower:	163 NO
•	Troo, report the total denal amount and december	the items to be par	<u>u.</u>				
Ī	Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood are	not appraisal factors	·			
	Neighborhood Characteristics		One	-Unit Housing Trends		One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
				asing X Stable	Declining	PRICE AGE	One-Unit 65 %
٥	Built-Up		and/Supply X Shor		Over Supply	\$ (000) (yrs)	2-4 Unit 5 %
8	Growth Rapid Stable		ceting Time 🔀 Unde		Over 6 mths	465 Low 1	Multi-Family 5 %
SRF			/ENUE, TO THE EAS	T BY VISTA RUN DR, TO	THE SOUTH BY	1,150 High 24	Commercial 5 %
Η̈́	ALTA DR, AND TO THE WEST BY DESERT FO					650 Pred. 19	Other 20 %
NEIGHBORHOOD	Neighborhood Description SEE ATTACHED	ADDENDUM.					
Z							
	Market Conditions (including support for the abov	e conclusions)	SEE ATTACHED	ADDENDUM			
	, 5	,	0227117101125	7.552.150			
	Dimensions SEE ATTACHED PLAT MAP		Area 16117 sf		ape RECTANGULA		Res;
	Specific Zoning Classification R-E		Zoning Descripti	on RURAL ESTATES 2 L			
	Zoning Compliance 🔀 Legal Degal Nonc	- '		Zoning Illegal (descr		1 v	,
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as	improved (or as pr	oposed per plans and s	specifications) the present u	se? 🗶	Yes No If No, des	scribe SEE BELOW
	Utilities Public Other (describe)		Public Ot	her (describe)	Off_cita Imag	ovements - Type	Public Private
ш	Electricity	Water	Public of	inor (ucacifine)	Street ASPH		Public Private
SITE	Gas NONE		ry Sewer 🔀		Alley NON		
			ood Zone X	FEMA Map # 320	003C1734F	FEMA Map	Date 11/16/2011
	Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical			No If No, describe			
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external f						If Yes, describe
	TYPICAL SITE FOR THE AREA. NO ADVERS						
	UPON TO DO SO. BASED ON THE TEST OF	WHAT IS LEGALL	Y PERMISSIBLE, PH	YSICALLY POSSIBLE, FIN	IANCIALLY FEASIE	BLE & MAXIMALLY PRODU	JCTIVE, THE HIGHEST &
	BEST USE IS ITS CURRENT USE AS SFR. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro	nerty \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	opraisal Files 🔀 1	MLS 🔀 Assessment and	Tax Records	Prior Inspection I	Property Owner
	Other (describe) VISUAL INSPECTION	pportyA	σρεαισαί Επισο 🔼 Ι	Data Source for Gro		OUNTY RECORDS	i iopoity Owiloi
	General Description	Genera	l Description	Heating/Cooling		menities	Car Storage
	Units One One with Accessory Unit	Concrete Slab	•	FWA HWBB		ace(s) # 0 None	
	# of Stories 1	Full Basement		Radiant		stove(s) # 0 X Drive	eway # of Cars 3
	Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Partial Baseme	ent Finished	Other		Deck 0/0 Driveway	
	Existing Proposed Under Const.	Exterior Walls	STUCCO/GD	Fuel ELECTR		C.NOOK Gara	
	Design (Style) RAMBLER	Roof Surface	TILE/GD	Central Air Condition		NONE Carp	
	Year Built 2009	Gutters & Downsp Window Type	Outs NONE 2-PANE VINYL/0	Individual Other NONE	➤ Fence		
	Effective Age (Yrs) 11 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven	Dishwasher				FULL LANDS Built- describe)	-111
S	Finished area above grade contains:	8 Rooms	4 Bedroom			Rescribe) 88 Square Feet of Gross Liv	ving Area Ahove Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Additional features (special energy efficient items,		•	EFFICIENT ITEMS FOR T	, -,	O Square 1 out of Globe Life	g
=WE	(1 - 3 - 3)	, 017111			× 111 (L/1).		
8	Describe the condition of the property and data so	ource(s) (including a	apparent needed repairs	s, deterioration, renovations,	remodeling, etc.).	C3;No updates ir	n the prior 15 years;THE
IPR	SUBJECT IS A RAMBLER STYLE HOME BUILT OF AV	ERAGE/Q4 QUALITY	DESIGN & CONSTRUCT	ION. THE SUBJECT APPEAR	S TO BE IN GOOD/C3	CONDITION OVERALL. THE A	APPRAISAL IS USING THE
É	EXTRAORDINARY ASSUMPTION THAT THE INTERIO	R OF THE SUBJECT	IS IN GOOD/C3 CONDIT	ION WITH NO DAMAGE NOTE	D. IF THIS PROVES T	O BE FALSE THE APPRAISER	RESERVES THE RIGHT
	TO AMEND THE ORIGINAL OPINION OF VALUE.						
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ac	lverse conditions th	at affect the livability of	oundness or structural inter	arity of the property?	Yes D	✓ No
	If Yes, describe.	ITOIDO OUIIUI(IUIID (II	at anoot the headility, S	ounanoss, or structural filte	gincy or allo property!		1 10
	NONE NOTED. THE APPRAISER IS NOT A HO	ME INSPECTOR &	CANNOT BE RFI IFD	UPON TO DISCLOSE ANY	Y HIDDEN CONDITI	IONS AND/OR DEFFCTS	
	Does the property generally conform to the neight	oorhood (functional	utility, style, condition,	use, construction, etc.)?	X	Yes No If No, describ	oe.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 1 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 34702399 File # LL.101923E

34702399

There are 10 comparable	e properties currently	offered for sale in	the subject neighborho	ood ranging in price	from \$ 629,900	to \$ 930	.000
					rice from \$ 465,000		1,150,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 1	COMPARAE	BLE SALE # 2		LE SALE # 3
Address 10342 Hanky Panky S	t	8425 Cedar Mill Falls	Ct	8111 Foothill Lodge	Ct	8575 Longmire Ave	
Las Vegas, NV 89131		Las Vegas, NV 89143	3	Las Vegas, NV 8913	1	Las Vegas, NV 89143	}
Proximity to Subject		0.30 miles W		0.34 miles E		0.36 miles W	
Sale Price	\$		\$ 850,000		\$ 745,000		\$ 851,990
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 233.64 sq.ft.	,	\$ 246.93 sq.ft		\$ 283.24 sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)		LVR#2455273;DOM 1	01	GLVAR #2514489;D0		LVR#2417004:DOM 1	
Verification Source(s)		Doc#230331001555	-	COUNTY / REALTO		230915000619/PR	-
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth	
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0		VA;0	
Date of Sale/Time		s03/23;c03/23		s09/23;c08/23		s09/23;c08/23	
Location	B;Gated;	B;Gated;		B;Gated;		B;Gated;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	
Site	16117 sf	21780 sf	-16 989	13939 sf	+6 534	16437 sf	0
View	N;Res;	N;Res;	10,000	N;Res;	5,551	N;Res;	
Design (Style)	DT1;RAMBLER	DT1;RAMBLER		DT1;RAMBLER		DT1;RAMBLER	
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4	
Actual Age	14	13	0	15	0	1	-6,500
Condition	C3	C3		C3		C1	-51,119
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	01,110
Room Count	8 4 3.1	8 4 3.1		7 3 3.0	+2,500		0
Gross Living Area	3,638 sq.ft.	3,638 sq.ft.		3,017 sq.ft			
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0sf	+40,575	0sf	+41,250
Rooms Below Grade	051	051		051		051	
Functional Utility	T) (DIOAL	TVDIO41		T./DIGAL		T) (DIO A)	
Heating/Cooling	TYPICAL	TYPICAL		TYPICAL		TYPICAL	
	FWA/CAC	FWA/CAC		FWA/CAC		FWA/CAC	
Energy Efficient Items	NONE	NONE		NONE		NONE	
Garage/Carport	3ga3dw	3ga3dw		3ga3dw		3ga3dw	
Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio,Porch,Deck	Porch/Patio	0	Porch, CovPatio	0	CvPor/CY/CvPat	0
UPGRADES	GOOD	GOOD		GOOD		GOOD+	-21,300
LANDS/FENCE	FULL/FULL	FULL/FULL		FULL/FULL		FULL/FULL	
ADDITIONAL FEATURES	NONE	POOL/SPA	I .	POOL/SPA	-30,000		Φ
Net Adjustment (Total)		<u> </u>	\$ -46,989		\$ 25,609		\$ -31,669
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 5.5 %		Net Adj. 3.4 %		Net Adj. 3.7 %	
of Comparables I 🔀 did 🔲 did not research t		Gross Adj. 5.5 %	rty and comparable sale	Gross Adj. 11.5 %	5 \$ 770,609	Gross Adj. 14.8 %	\$ 820,321
Data Source(s) My research Data Source(s) GLVAR MLS/F GLVAR MLS/F	RECORDER not reveal any prior sale RECORDER	s or transfers of the co	emparable sales for the	year prior to the date o	effective date of this appr	sale.	
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the prior	sale or transfer history	of the subject property	and comparable sales	(report additional prior		
ITEM	SU	JBJECT	COMPARABLE S.	ALE #1	COMPARABLE SALE #2	2 COMPA	RABLE SALE #3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Data Source(s)	GLVAR/COUNT	Y RECORDS	GLVAR/COUNTY REC	ORDS GLVAI	R/COUNTY RECORDS	GLVAR/COUN	NTY RECORDS
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	10/20/2023		10/20/2023	10/20/	2023	10/20/2023	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	story of the subject prop	perty and comparable s	sales NO 3	36 MONTH TRANSFE	R HISTORY NOTED FO	R THE SUBJECT.	
NO 12 MONTH TRANSFER HISTO	ORY NOTED FOR THE	COMPARABLE SALE	ES 1-3.				
Summary of Sales Comparison Approach A DATA SET OF FOUR CLOSED SALES AND ONE ACTIVE LISTING WERE INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT. ALL THE COMPARABLES WERE TAKEN FROM WITHIN A 1.5 MILE RADIUS OF THE SUBJECT AND WOULD DIRECTLY COMPETE WITH THE SUBJECT FOR THE SAME BUYER RANGE. THE COMPARABLE BRACKET THE MAJOR FEATURES OF THE SUBJECT AND INCLUDE NET POSITIVE AND NET NEGATIVE ADJUSTMENTS. COMP FOUR SHOWED INFERIOR UPGRADES TO KITCHEN, BATHROOMS AND FINISHES AND WARRANTED A FEATURES ADJUSTMENT. COMP THREE IS A NEWER BUILD AND WARRANTED CONDITION AND FEATURES ADJUSTMENTS FOR SHOWING NEWER AND UPGRADED FEATURES. THE MOST WEIGHT IS PLACED ON COMPARABLE ONE AS IT IS THE MOST SIMILAR TO THE SUBJECT OVERALL FEATURING THE LOWEST NET AND GROSS ADJUSTMENTS. THE FINAL OPINION OF VALUE IS WITH IN THE CONTEXT OF THE SALES PRICE AND ADJUSTED PRICES OF THE COMPARABLES.							
Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$800,000							
Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 800,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$ Income Approach (if developed) \$							
FINAL OPINION OF VALUE FOR	THE SUBJECT PROPE	,	ROUGH THE SALES (COMPARISON APPRO	DACH, AS IT BEST REF	FLECT THE ACTIONS	OF ACTIVE HOME
	FINAL OPINION OF VALUE FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY ARRIVED AT THROUGH THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH, AS IT BEST REFLECT THE ACTIONS OF ACTIVE HOME BUYERS/SELLERS IN THE MARKET. THE COST AND INCOME APPROACH WERE NOT DEVELOPED.						<u> </u>
SO LAGOLLLAGIA THE MERINET. THE GOOT AND INCOME AT ENCHOTI MENE NOT DEVELOTED.							
This appraisal is made 🔀 "as i completed, 🗌 subject to the following required inspection bas	following repairs or a	alterations on the bas	is of a hypothetical c	ondition that the rep	pothetical condition that airs or alterations have alteration or repair: ¬	e been completed, or	subject to the
Based on a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and appraiser's certification, my (our) opinion of the market value, as defined, of the real property that is the subject of this report is							

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 2 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 34702399

File # LL.101923E

34702399

FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 4	COMPARABI	LE SALE # 5	COMPARABLE SALE # 6	
Address 10342 Hanky Panky S	t	9049 Sheep Ranch C	t	8326 Kisses Ave			
Las Vegas, NV 89131		Las Vegas, NV 89143	3	Las Vegas, NV 89131			
Proximity to Subject		1.28 miles SW		0.04 miles NE			
Sale Price	\$		\$ 715,000		\$ 843,180	\$	
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 194.24 sq.ft.		\$ 221.42 sq.ft.		\$ sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)		GLVAR #2474049;DC	DM 108	GLVAR #2497758;DC	DM 146		
Verification Source(s)		COUNTY / REALTO	R / APP FILES	COUNTY / REALTOR	R / APP FILES		
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustn	nent
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		Listing			
Concessions		VA;20000	-10.000				
Date of Sale/Time		s07/23;c05/23	.0,000	Active			
Location	B;Gated;	N;Res;	+20,000	B;Gated;			
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	120,000	Fee Simple			
Site	16117 sf	13504 sf	±7 830	18295 sf	-6,534		
View	N;Res;	N;Res;	17,000	N;Res;	-0,554		
Design (Style)	DT1;RAMBLER	DT1;RAMBLER		DT1;RAMBLER			
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4			
Actual Age	14	19	+2,500		0		
Condition	C3	C3	+2,500		U		
	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		C3 Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	
			0.500			Total Bullis Bauls	
Room Count	8 4 3.1	9 4 3.0	+2,500			20.4	
Gross Living Area	3,638 sq.ft.	3,681 sq.ft.	0	.,	-12,750	sq.ft.	
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0sf			
Rooms Below Grade							
Functional Utility	TYPICAL	TYPICAL		TYPICAL			
Heating/Cooling	FWA/CAC	FWA/CAC		FWA/CAC			
Energy Efficient Items	NONE	NONE	1	NONE			
Garage/Carport	3ga3dw	3ga3dw		3ga3dw			
Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio,Porch,Deck	Porch/Patio	0	Porch/Patio	0		
UPGRADES	GOOD	GD/INFERIOR	+35,750	GOOD			
LANDS/FENCE	FULL/FULL	FULL/FULL		FULL/FULL			
ADDITIONAL FEATURES	NONE	NONE		NONE			
Net Adjustment (Total)		X +	\$ 58,589	_ + X -	\$ -19,284		
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 8.2 %		Net Adj. 2.3 %		Net Adj. %	
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 11.0 %		Gross Adj. 2.3 %		Gross Adj. % \$	
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the prior						
ITEM		JBJECT	COMPARABLE SA		OMPARABLE SALE # !		i
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer					·		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Data Source(s)	GLVAR/COUNT	Y RECORDS	GLVAR/COUNTY REC	ORDS GLVAR	R/COUNTY RECORDS		
	10/20/2023		10/20/2023	10/20/2			
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his						DR COMPARABLE SALES 4-5.	
	otory or the oubject pro	porty and comparable t	140	12 WONTH TRANSFE	KINSTOKI NOTEDI C	AT COMI ATABLE SALES 4-3.	
Analysis/Comments IT IS NO	TED THAT THE ENLAR	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	IO A DOVE THE DDED	NAIN IAN IT VAN I I E IN I TI	IE NEIGUEORIAGO	LIGHT FOR THE OUR LEGT SITE ME	
•						HOWEVER, THE SUBJECT FITS WEL	
		NOT OVERBUILT. 1	THERE ARE A NUMBER	R OF COMPETING SA	LES IN THE NEIGHBO	RHOOD INDICATING THE SUBJECT F	ITS
WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE	MARKET.						
1							
<u> </u>							

Adjustment Analysis

File No.	ш	101	033E
I IIC IVO.		1111	97.7

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	10342 Hanky Panky St				
City	Las Vegas	County CLARK	State NV	Zip Code 89131	
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC				

ADJUSTMENT ANALYSIS

MARKET CONDITIONS/TIME The long term trend has been for improving market conditions on a year over year basis. The comparable sales used did not warrant market condition adjustments per the 1004mc.

<u>LOCATION</u> Comparable sales are located in similar suburban/rural locations with similar market characteristics. The suburban/rural communities within the scope of work are generally competitive with each other and are considered to be similar enough that a typical buyer would consider properties in both neighborhoods as being competitive with each other even though there may be some distances between the neighborhoods. Location considerations include predominant values, location to recreational and public facilities and the overall market appeal of the respective areas.

PREDOMINANT VALUE The subject neighborhood is not homogeneous, and contains a very wide variety of properties, all of which sell at multiple price points. The predominate price shown on page one indicates the "mode", a statistical term referring to the most frequently occurring variant in a data set, for the neighborhood. This typically has nothing to do with the subject's relationship within the neighborhood, and should not be considered a benchmark for an over or under improvement. The subject's estimate of market value is within the low to high price range for the area (excluding outlying low and highs for the area), and is considered an appropriate improvement for the neighborhood.

PROPERTY RIGHTS APPRAISED Each of the comparable sales involve the sale of the Fee Simple Estate.

SITE Adjustments in this section of the grid reflect differences in the value of the site due to size and physical features such as terrain and/or off site improvements. Residential lots generally have diminishing returns where marginal lot size is concerned. Additional yard and buffer from adjoining properties contributes to the overall value, but at a lower rate than the primary building pad for the house. Thus, the difference in marginal lot size is adjusted at a lower rate than the overall site value/SF or per/acre. Lot size adjustments are made at \$3/Sf where there is more than a 1,000 square foot difference from the subject site size.

<u>VIEW</u> Adjustments are made based on the direct influence attributed the site view or setting. View adjustments can be subjective, but can have a significant impact on value.

QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION Adjustments for quality take into consideration both the exterior and interior quality of workmanship and materials. As the adjustment is a lump adjustment that considers overall quality differences, exterior views may be somewhat deceptive. While this adjustment can be rather subjective in nature, there is ample market evidence that buyers will pay a premium for quality difference. Smaller differences in finish and features are adjusted in the "Features" section of the grid.

AGE / CONDITION Age and conditions are evaluated separately with age being adjusted based on the effective age of the property as compared to the actual age. Typically the effective age is lower when remodeling or renovation has occurred. Condition is made to reflect the overall maintenance and appeal to the market based on overall condition. Age adjustments are \$500: Condition adjustments are applied 3%.

ROOM COUNT/BATHROOMS Typically the market will pay a premium for additional bathrooms. While there can be variation depending on the quality and extent of the bathroom improvements, typically the difference is between \$2,500 to \$5,000 for a full bathroom. Half bathrooms are adjusted accordingly. Bathroom count adjustments are applied. Bedroom or Total Room count adjustments are only applied when the room count has a material impact on the value of the property. In most cases the living area adjustment adequately reflects differences in room count. Often buyer will prefer fewer rooms that are larger where the total rooms or bedrooms has a minimal impact on value.

GROSS LIVING AREA Size is adjusted on the basis of the square footage difference of the above grade living area. The size adjustment is applied at about 50% to 70% of the estimated replacement cost new of the subject property less all forms of obsolescence. Additionally, after accounting for differences in other physical features, the marginal GLA of the comparable sales will contribute in the range of 30% to 40% of the total price/SF. Above grade area is adjusted at \$75/SF where there is more than 50 sf difference in living area.

GARAGES AND CARPORTS Adjustments for garages and carports take into consideration two factors, the size of the garage and the number of cars the facility can park. Typically a garage will contribute between \$3,500 to \$10,000 per car depending on the size, finish and quality. Carports tend to be between 50% - 60% of the garage cost. Tandem garages are rated as inferior when compared to side by side three car garages.

EXTRA IMPROVEMENTS Adjustments in this section of the grid consider porches, patios, decks and related improvements that are part of the structure, but not considered in the living area adjustments. This adjustment is made on a net basis considering condition, quality and size.

ON-SITE IMPROVEMENTS This section of the grid takes into consideration improvements such as landscaping, sprinkler systems, fences/walls, pools, etc. While this adjustment can be rather subjective in nature, on site improvements can make a significant difference in the overall value of the property.

OPINION OF VALUE The final opinion of value is based on the comparable sales that are considered to be most comparable to the subject. Sales selected are judged to be most similar to the subject in overall design, size and market appeal.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

34702399 File # LL.101923E

FEE DISCLOSURE:				
Fee paid to appraiser: \$220				
AMC Fee: \$UNK				
THIS ADDRAISAL DEDORT IS NOT CONSIDERED A HOME INSPECTION. THE ADDRAISE	P IS NOT A HOME INSPECTOR AND REPEOPMED ONLY A VISUAL INSPECTION OF			
THIS APPRAISAL REPORT IS NOT CONSIDERED A HOME INSPECTION. THE APPRAISER IS NOT A HOME INSPECTOR AND PERFORMED ONLY A VISUAL INSPECTION OF/ FROM THE STREET. I DID NOT VISUALLY INSPECT THE INTERIOR OR ALL AREAS OF THE HOME.				
THE INTENDED USER OF THIS APPRAISAL REPORT IS THE LENDER/CLIENT. THE INTENDED USE IS TO EVALUATE THE PROPERTY THAT IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS				
APPRAISAL FOR A MORTGAGE FINANCE TRANSACTION, SUBJECT TO THE STATED SO				
THIS APPRAISAL REPORT FOR, AND THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE. NO ADDITION OF MARKET VALUE.	DNAL INTENDED USERS ARE IDENTIFIED BY THE APPRAISER.			
AS REQUIRED BY FANNIE MAE, FREDDIE MAC, HUD, VA, AND OTHERS THIS APPRAISA	I DEPORT INCLUDES ATTACHMENTS EVUIDITS MADS AND OTHER ADDENDA			
NECESSARY (AND OFTEN CRITICAL) FOR THE CLIENT AND OR INTENDED USER TO RE				
THE ATTACHMENTS ASSIST IN UNDERSTANDING THE RELEVANT CHARACTERISTICS (
ADDRESSED. THEY INCLUDE DATA AND ANALYSIS DEEMED NECESSARY TO PROVIDE	E THE CLIENT WITH A CREDIBLE VALUE OPINION.			
THE ATTACHED ADDENDA ALSO PROVIDE SPECIFICS AS TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF T	HE 1004MC ADDENDUM ALONG WITH ANY EXCEPTIONS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN			
NECESSARY TO COMPETE A CREDIBLE REPORT.				
IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE CLIENT INTENDED USER OR ANY READER REVIEW T	LIE DEDORT IN ITO ENTIRETY OO AO TO CAIN A FUIL AWARENEGO OF THE OUR ISOT			
IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE CLIENT, INTENDED USER OR ANY READER REVIEW T PROPERTY, IT'S MARKET ENVIRONMENT AND THE BASIS OF THE APPRAISAL PRIOR T				
DECISION.	O USING THE VALUE OF INION IN A BUSINESS, INVESTIMENT ON UNDERWATTING			
220.00.1				
THE ADDRESS REPORTED ON THE APPRAISAL FORM IS ACCORDING TO US POSTAL S	SERVICE RECORDS AS REQUIRED BY UAD FORMAT. THE TITLE COMPANY REPORTS			
THE CITY OR COUNTY ADDRESS AND THE TITLE REPORT MAY NOT MATCH TO THE US	SPS RECORDS, HOWEVER THEY ARE ONE IN THE SAME.			
DAYS ON MARKET:	D FROM THE DAY THE PROPERTY WAS LIGHTED ON THE OPEN MARKET TO THE			
THE DAYS ON MARKET FOR THE SUBJECT AND THE COMPARABLES WAS CALCULATE DATE OF CONTRACT. IF THE CONTRACT WAS MADE AVAILABLE TO THE APPRAISER,				
PARTIES.	THE DATE USED WAS THE DATE THE CONTRACT WAS ACCEPTED BY BOTH			
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimate or other methods for estimate or other methods.	nating site value) THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST	nating site value) THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimate or other methods for estimate or other methods.	nating site value) THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COSTAND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED.	ns. THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION,			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST	ns. mating site value) THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COSTAND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	ns. mating site value) THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COSTAND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COSTAND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COSTAND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COSTAND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, ### ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEP			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COSTAND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COSTAND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ DWELLING Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$ Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH =\$			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ DWELLING Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$ Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH =\$			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COSTAND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes \$\infty\$	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation = \$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$ E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COSTAND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ \$ \$ \$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ \$ \$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ \$ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Punctional External Depreciation \$ Bepreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements \$ Solution of Site Improvements Solution of			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	Indicated Value by Income Approach Total required by Fannie Mae) FOR PUDs (if applicable) No If Yes, date of conversion THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER STAPPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER STAPPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, SQLETCH ON NEWER SETTING ON THE COST APPROACH IS SETTING ON THE COST APPROACH IS SETTING ON THE COST APPROACH INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH INDICATED VALUE			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project crontain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COST AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	Indicated Value by Income Approach Total required by Fannie Mae) FOR PUDs (if applicable) No If Yes, date of conversion THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER STAPPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER STAPPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, SQLETCH ON NEWER SETTING ON THE COST APPROACH IS SETTING ON THE COST APPROACH IS SETTING ON THE COST APPROACH INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH INDICATED VALUE			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COSAND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project coretated by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	IS. mating site value) THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER ST APPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, OPINION OF SITE VALUE Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH =\$ E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach OPINION OF SITE VALUE Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Indicated Value of Site Improvements =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Attached Indicated Value by Income Approach Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir CONSTRUCTION HOMES. THE SUBJECT IS AN OLDER HOME WHICH CAUSES THE COS AND WEAR. FOR THIS REASON THE COST APPROACH WAS NOT DEVELOPED. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Indicated Value by Income Approach Total required by Fannie Mae) FOR PUDs (if applicable) No If Yes, date of conversion THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER STAPPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, THE COST APPROACH IS MOST EFFECTIVE ON NEWER STAPPROACH TO BE LESS EFFECTIVE WITH UNKNOWN UPGRADES, DEPRECIATION, SQLETCH ON NEWER SETTING ON THE COST APPROACH IS SETTING ON THE COST APPROACH IS SETTING ON THE COST APPROACH INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH INDICATED VALUE			

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 3 of 6

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

1.	
APPRAISER BYWN W.L.	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name BRYCEN W WILLIAMS	Name
Company Name R1 APPRAISALS	Company Name
Company Address 1240 E 100 S, STE 6	Company Address
ST. GEORGE, UT 84790	
Telephone Number (435) 313-6979	Telephone Number
Email Address brycen.williams3@gmail.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 10/20/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 10/20/2023	State Certification #
State Certification # A0208646-CR	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State NV	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 08/31/2024	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
10342 Hanky Panky St	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Las Vegas, NV 89131	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 800,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name CLEAR CAPITAL	☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name WEDGEWOOD INC	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 MANHATTAN BEACH BLVD , SUITE 100, REDONDO	Date of Inspection
BEACH, CA 90278	Date of inspection
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

loweor	0.1 1.0	F . 004011.0	File Nr) 404000F
orrower roperty Address	Catamount Proper 10342 Hanky Pan	ky St	I IIG IVO	D. LL.101923E
City .ender/Client	Las Vegas	County CLARK	State NV	Zip Code 89131
	WEDGEWOOD IN			
APPRAIS	AL AND I	REPORT IDENTIFICATION		
This Report	is <u>one</u> of the fo	lowing types:		
Appraisal	Report (A v	vritten report prepared under Standards Rule $2-2(a)$, pursuant to the Scop	pe of Work, as disclosed	elsewhere in this report.)
□ Restricte	d (A v	ritten report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(b) , pursuant to the Sco	one of Work, as disclose	d elsewhere in this report.
Appraisa		icted to the stated intended use only by the specified client and any other na		a clocking in the report,
Commen	ts on Sta	ndards Rule 2-3		
-	ne best of my know	-		
		in this report are true and correct. and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions a	and are my personal, impar	tial, and unbiased professional
' ' '	s, and conclusion		I no paragnal interact with	respect to the parties involved
		no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property	•	•
l -		stance of this assignment. property that is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this assignment.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nt was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.		
		this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermine on, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event direct		1
- My analyses, o	pinions, and concl	usions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Unifo	=	
	he time this report se indicated. I have	was prepared. made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.		
- Unless otherwis	se indicated, no on	e provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing this c	certification (if there are exc	eptions, the name of each
individual providii	ng significant real _l	property appraisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this report).		
Resenta	ble Expos	ure Time (USPAP defines Exposure Time as the estimated length of	of time that the property i	ntoraat hoing
		red on the market prior to the hypothetical consummation of a sale at market val		
My Opinion of	Reasonable E	xposure Time for the subject property at the market value stated in this	report is:	90 days on market.
Commen	ts on An	oraisal and Report Identification		
		issues requiring disclosure and any state mandated requireme	ents: The	
I HAVE NOT COM	IPLETED ANY PR	OR SERVICES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IN THE PREVIOUS 36 MONTHS.		
APPRAISER:	10	SUPERVISORY or C	O-APPRAISER (if a	pplicable):
		um Will.		
Signature:	/	Signature:		
Name: BRYCEN	I W WILLIAMS	Nome:		
State Certification	#: A0208646-C	State Certification #:		
or State License #	<i>t</i> :	or State License #:		
	Expiration Date of Cand Report: 10/2		Date of Certification or Licen	se:
Effective Date of A		2023		
Inspection of Subj Date of Inspection	 .	Interior and Exterior Exterior-Only Inspection of Subject: 0/20/2023 Date of Inspection (if application of application in the content of application of application in the content of app	None Interior and able):	d Exterior Exterior-Only

Supplemental Addendum

File I	No.	П	101	923E

				22.10.0202
Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC			
Property Address	10342 Hanky Panky St			
City	Las Vegas	County CLARK	State NV	Zip Code 89131
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			

URAR: Neighborhood - Description

THE SUBJECT IS LOCATED IN THE LOG CABIN RANCH SUBDIVISION OF THE GREATER LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN REGION. THE IMMEDIATE AREA IS COMPRISED OF SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES OF SIMILAR QUALITY. THE SUBJECT IS LOCATED WITHIN 1+/-MILES OF SHOPPING, SCHOOLS, EMPLOYMENT, RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, AND OTHER AMENITIES. OTHER LAND USE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD SECTION IS VACANT & UNDEVELOPED LAND.

MARKET CONDITIONS:
THE MARKET HAS SHOWN STEADY INCREASES OVER THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS. HOWEVER THE MARKET HAS STABILIZED FOR MOST OF THIS YEAR AND HAS BEEN STABLE FOR SEVERAL MONTHS ONLY SHOWING MARKET DECREASES IN CERTAIN AREAS. INTEREST RATES ARE INCREASING AND SUPPLY IS NOW BEGINNING TO FALL MORE IN LINE WITH DEMAND SHOWING MORE STABILITY IN THE MARKET. THE TRENDS FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD SECTION WAS TAKEN FROM A COMPILATION OF THE TOTAL SALES AND LISTINGS IN THE DEFINED NEIGHBORHOOD. THE 1004MC WAS ALSO CONSIDERED IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD SECTION ALTHOUGH THE 1004MC ONLY REPRESENTS HOMES THAT ARE CONSIDERED COMPARABLE TO THE SUBJECT. THE 1004MC IS RESTRICTED TO ONLY COMPARABLE PROPERTIES TO THE SUBJECT AND DOES NOT REPRESENT THE GENERAL MARKET AREA.

EXPOSURE TIME:

EXPOSURE TIME IS THE ESTIMATED LENGTH OF TIME THE PROPERTY INTEREST BEING APPRAISED WOULD HAVE BEEN OFFERED ON THE MARKET PRIOR TO THE HYPOTHETICAL CONSUMMATION OF THE SALE AT THE MARKET VALUE ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL. MARKET TIME AND REPORTED ON PAGE 1 ON THE 1004 REPORT FORM AND EXPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY ARE THE SAME UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED HEREIN. SUPPORT FOR BOTH MARKETING TIME AND EXPOSURE TIME IS FOUND IN THE MARKET CONDITION ANALYSIS SECTION OF THE REPORT.

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

34702399

File No. LL.101923E The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with a clear and accurate understanding of the market trends and conditions prevalent in the subject neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraisal reports with an effective date on or after April 1, 2009. Property Address 10342 Hanky Panky St City Las Vegas Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions, and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding housing trends and overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an average instead of the median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, foreclosures, etc. Prior 7-12 Months Inventory Analysis Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) 25 10 Increasing Stable Declining Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing X Stable Declining 4 17 3 67 3 33 Increasing Total # of Comparable Active Listings Declining Stable 6 10 Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Stable 1.44 Declining Increasing 1.91 3.00 Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend **X** Stable Increasing Declining Median Comparable Sale Price \$650,000 \$705,000 \$670,000 Median Comparable Sales Days on Market Declining Stable Increasing 69 71 8 Median Comparable List Price Stable Declining \$692,500 \$750,000 \$784,950 Increasing Median Comparable Listings Days on Market Declining Stable Increasing 69 33 53 Median Sale Price as % of List Price Increasing Stable Declining 99.19% 98.20% 98.17% **X** Stable Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance prevalent? **X** No Declining Increasing Yes ARCH & Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the past 12 months (e.g., seller contributions increased from 3% to 5%, increasing use of buydowns, closing costs, condo fees, options, etc.). SELLER PAID CONCESSIONS ARE NOT PREVALENT IN THE AREA. HOWEVER, THERE HAVE BEEN SOME SELLER PAID CONCESSIONS TYPICALLY FOR CLOSING COSTS THAT RANGE FROM 1% TO 6% Yes 🔀 No Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market? If yes, explain (including the trends in listings and sales of foreclosed properties). REO SALES ARE NOT A FACTOR IN THE MARKET AREA CURRENTLY. REO SALES ARE STILL NOTED IN THE MARKET AREA, BUT ARE NOT A DRIVING FORCE Cite data sources for above information. The Market Conditions Addenda was completed with data from Las Vegas Realtors MLS with an effective date of 10/20/2023 Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. If you used any additional information, such as an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions SEE ADDENDUM. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4–6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Stable Declining Increasing Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Declining Total # of Active Comparable Listings Stable Declining Increasing Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Declining Stable Increasing Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of Yes No foreclosed properties. 0/CO-OP Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject unit and project. Signature Signature Appraiser Name Supervisory Appraiser Name BRYCEN W WILLIAMS Company Name Company Name R1 APPRAISALS Company Address Company Address 1240 E 100 S, STE 6, ST. GEORGE, UT 84790 State License/Certification # State State License/Certification # State A0208646-CR

brycen.williams3@gmail.com Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

Email Address

Page 1 of 1

Fannie Mae Form 1004MC March 2009

Email Address

34702399 File No. LL.101923E

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C/

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

0.3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω 6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
e	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale of Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
-		Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
in	Interior Only Stairs	
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear

Subject Photo Page.

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC			
Property Address	10342 Hanky Panky St			
City	Las Vegas	County CLARK	State NV	Zip Code 89131
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



Subject Front

10342 Hanky Panky St

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 3,638 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 3.1 Location B;Gated; View N;Res; 16117 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 14



Subject Street



Subject Street

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC							
Property Address	10342 Hanky Panky St							
City	Las Vegas	County	CLARK	State	NV	Zip Code	89131	
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC							



Comparable 1

8425 Cedar Mill Falls Ct

Prox. to Subject 0.30 miles W Sale Price 850,000 Gross Living Area 3,638 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms 4 Total Bathrooms 3.1 Location B;Gated; View N;Res; Site 21780 sf Quality Q4 13 Age



Comparable 2

8111 Foothill Lodge Ct

Prox. to Subject 0.34 miles E Sale Price 745,000 Gross Living Area 3,017 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location B;Gated; View N;Res; Site 13939 sf Quality Q4 Age 15



Comparable 3

8575 Longmire Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.36 miles W Sale Price 851,990 Gross Living Area 3,008 Total Rooms 7 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 3.1 Location B;Gated; View N;Res; Site 16437 sf Quality Q4 Age 1

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC							
Property Address	10342 Hanky Panky St							
City	Las Vegas	County	CLARK	State	NV	Zip Code	89131	
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC							



Comparable 4

9049 Sheep Ranch Ct

Prox. to Subject 1.28 miles SW Sales Price 715,000 Gross Living Area 3,681 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 4 Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 13504 sf Quality Q4 19 Age



Comparable 5

8326 Kisses Ave


Prox. to Subject 0.04 miles NE Sales Price 843,180 Gross Living Area 3,808 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 3.1 Location B;Gated; View N;Res; 18295 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 16

Comparable 6

Prox. to Subject
Sales Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

County Sketch

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC								
Property Address	10342 Hanky Panky St								
City	Las Vegas	County (CLARK	S	tate	NV	Zip Code	89131	
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC								




Comparable Sales Map

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC			
Property Address	10342 Hanky Panky St			
City	Las Vegas	County CLARK	State NV	Zip Code 89131
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



Aerial Map

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC								
Property Address	10342 Hanky Panky St								
City	Las Vegas	County	CLARK	St	ate N	/	Zip Code	89131	
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC								



Plat Map

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC			
Property Address	10342 Hanky Panky St			
City	Las Vegas	County CLARK	State _{NV}	Zip Code 89131
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



License

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC			
Property Address	10342 Hanky Panky St			
City	Las Vegas	County CLARK	State NV	Zip Code 89131
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			

APPRAISER CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY

NOT TRANSFERABLE

REAL ESTATE DIVISION

NOT TRANSFERABLE

This is to Certify That: BRYCEN WILLIAMS

Certificate Number: A.0208646-CR

Is duly authorized to act as a CERTIFIED RESIDENTIAL APPRAISER from the issue date to the expiration date at the business address stated here in, unless the certificate is sooner revoked, cancelled, withdrawn, or invalidated.

Issue Date: September 1, 2022

Expire Date: August 31, 2024

In witness whereof, THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, by virtue of the authority vested in it by Chapter 645C of the Nevada Revised Statues, has caused this Certificate to be issued with its Seal printed thereon. This certificate must be conspicuously displayed in place of business.

FOR: R1 APPRAISALS 1240 E 100 S #6 ST GEORGE, UT 84790 REAL ESTATE DIVISION

SHARATH CHANDRA
Administrator

E&0

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC							
Property Address	10342 Hanky Panky St							
City	Las Vegas	Count	V CLARK	State	NV	Zip Code	89131	
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC							

Accelerant National Insurance Company

(A Stock Company) 400 Northridge Road, Suite 800 Sandy Springs, GA 30350

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY DECLARATIONS

NOTICE: THIS IS A "CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED" POLICY. THIS POLICY REQUIRES THAT A CLAIM BE MADE AGAINST THE INSURED DURING THE POLICY PERIOD AND REPORTED TO THE INSURER, IN WRITING, DURING THE POLICY PERIOD OR EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD.

PLEASE READ YOUR POLICY CAREFULLY.

Policy Number: NAX40PL102145-00 Renewal of: New

1. Named Insured: Brycen Williams

2. Address: 1240 E 100S STE 6

Saint George, UT 84790

From: July 11, 2023 3. Policy Period: To: July 11, 2024

12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in item 2. Above.

4. Limit of Liability: Each Claim Policy Aggregate Damages Limit of Liability 4A. \$ 1,000,000 4C. \$ 1,000,000 4D. \$ 1,000,000 Claim Expenses Limit of Liability 4B. \$ 1,000,000

5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claims Expenses):

Each Claim Aggregate 5A. \$500 5B. \$1,000

Policy Premium: \$ 563

7. Retroactive Date: July 11, 2021

Notice to Company: Notice of a Claim or Potential Claim should be sent to:

OREP Insurance Services: info@orep.org 6353 El Cajon Blvd, Suite 124-605

San Diego, CA 92115

9. Program Administrator: OREP Insurance Services, LLC - appraisers@orep.org

10. Forms and Endorsements Attached at Policy Inception: See Schedule of Forms

If required by state law, this policy will be countersigned by an authorized representative of the Company.

Asaac Peck Date: May 4, 2023 Authorized Representative