File # 2311-02

APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY



LOCATED AT

10026 McLennan Ave North Hills, CA 91343-1012 TRACT #18887 LOT 24

FOR

WEDGEWOOD INC 2015 MANHATTAN BEACH BL, SUITE 100 REDONDO BEACH, CA 90276

OPINION OF VALUE

825,000

AS OF

11/02/2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| USPAP Compilance Addendum | 1 |
|----------------------------------------------------|----|
| Exterior-Only | |
| Additional Comparables 4-6 | |
| Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report | |
| Appraiser Independence Certification | 10 |
| Supplemental Addendum | 11 |
| Subject Photos | |
| Comparable Photos 1-3 | |
| Comparable Photos 4-6 | |
| UAD Definitions Addendum | 15 |
| LICENSE | 18 |
| E & O | 19 |
| PUBLIC RECORDS | 20 |
| PLAT MAP | |
| CMA - Page 1 | 22 |
| CMA - Page 2 | 23 |
| AERIAL PHOTO | 24 |
| Location Map | 25 |

USPAP Compliance Addendum

Loan # 55559 File # 2311-02

| Borrower Property Address | REDWOOD HO | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| City | North Hills | | County LOS ANGELES | State CA | Zip Code 91343-1012 |
| Lender/Client | WEDGEWOOD |) INC | | | |
| APPRAISAL AND | REPORT IE | DENTIFICATION | | | |
| This Appraisal Report Appraisal Report Restricted Appr | rt | following types: This report was prepared in accordance with the This report was prepared in accordance with the intended only for the use of the client and any of contain supporting rationale for all of the opinion | ne requirements of the Restricted Appraisal I other named intended user(s). Users of this | Report option of USPAP Stan | dards Rule 2-2(b), and is |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL CE | | VIC. | | | |
| I certify that, to the b | est of my know | | | | |
| The report analy opinions, and c | | and conclusions are limited only by the reported | assumptions and are my personal, impartia | ıl, and unbiased professional | analyses, |
| I have no (or the parties involved | | sent or prospective interest in the property that is | the subject of this report and no (or specific | ed) personal interest with resp | pect to the |
| ■ I have no bias w | vith respect to t | he property that is the subject of this report or the | parties involved with this assignment. | | |
| My engagemen | t in this assignr | ment was not contingent upon developing or repo | orting predetermined results. | | |
| | | ing this assignment is not contingent upon the devalue opinion, the attainment of a stipulated resul | | | |
| My analyses, op | pinions, and co | nclusions were developed and this report has bee | en prepared, in conformity with the Uniform | Standards of Professional A _l | opraisal Practice. |
| This appraisal r | eport was prepa | ared in accordance with the requirements of Title | XI of FIRREA and any implementing regulat | ions. | |
| PRIOR SERVICE | | | | | |
| immediately pre I HAVE perform preceding acce | eceding accepta ed services, as ptance of this a | s, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regard ance of this assignment. an appraiser or in another capacity, regarding the assignment. Those services are described in the c | e property that is the subject of this report w | | |
| | de a personal in | spection of the property that is the subject of this ction of the property that is the subject of this rep | • | | |
| | ted, no one pro | vided significant real property appraisal assistand immary of the extent of the assistance provided in | | anyone did provide significan | it assistance, they |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL CO | MMENTS | | | | |
| Additional USPAP re | lated issues red | quiring disclosure and/or any state mandated requ | uirements: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | OSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT PROP e for the subject property is 30 TO 90 | PERTY day(s) utilizing market conditions | nertinent to the appraisal | assignment |
| A reasonable | | for the subject property is 30 TO 90 | day(s). | | |
| APPRAISER | | | SUPERVISORY APP | RAISER (ONLY IF REQU | JIRED) |
| Signature | Axid | Il P. Balchia | Signature | | |
| Name Jos i | EPH P BALDI | | Name | | |
| Date of Signature State Certification | # 11/03/20 | | Date of Signature State Certification # | | |
| or State License # | | - - | or State License # | | |
| State <u>CA</u> Expiration Date of | Certification or | License 02/12/2025 | State Expiration Date of Certific | ation or License | |
| , | | <u> </u> | Supervisory Appraiser Ins | spection of Subject Property | |
| Effective Date of A | ppraisal 11 | 1/02/2023 | Did Not Ext | erior-only from Street | Interior and Exterior |

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

55559

| | The purpose of this summary appraisal repo | ort is to provide the lender/client with | an accurate, and adequate | ely supported, opi | nion of the market v | ralue of the subject property. |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Property Address 10026 McLennan Ave | | City North Hills | | State CA | Zip Code 91343-1012 |
| | Borrower REDWOOD HOLDINGS | Owner of Public F | Record THEE NANCY ZUI | MARRAGA LIVING | TRUST County LC | OS ANGELES |
| | Legal Description TRACT #18887 LOT 24 | | | | | |
| | Assessor's Parcel # 2685-005-005 | | Tax Year 2023 | | R.E. Taxes | |
| ï | Neighborhood Name NORTH HILLS | | Map Reference | 501-D-4 | Census Tra | ct 1114.01 |
| SUBJECT | Occupant 🗶 Owner 🗌 Tenant 🗌 Vac | ant Special Assessm | ents \$ o | PUI | D HOA\$ o | per year per month |
| Ŕ | Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple | Leasehold Other (describe) | | | | |
| S | Assignment Type Purchase Transaction | Refinance Transaction | ther (describe) SERVICIN | G | | |
| | Lender/Client wedgewood INC | Address 20 | 15 MANHATTAN BEACH B | L, SUITE 100, RE | DONDO BEACH, CA 9 | 90276 |
| | Is the subject property currently offered for sale | | | | | Yes X No |
| | Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), an | 1.1.1.7.) | 89688 THE SUBJECT WA | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | I did did not analyze the contract for | sale for the subject purchase transaction. | Explain the results of the analy | ysis of the contract | for sale or why the ana | lysis was not |
| | performed. | | | | - | |
| Τ | | | | | | |
| CONTRACT | Contract Price \$ Date of Cor | ntract Is the property s | seller the owner of public reco | ord? Yes | No Data Source(| s) |
| Ę | Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, s | ale concessions, gift or downpayment ass | stance, etc.) to be paid by an | y party on behalf of | the borrower? | Yes No |
| ၀ | If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describ | e the items to be paid. | , , , | | | |
| | | · | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Note: Race and the racial composition of the | neighborhood are not appraisal factors |) . | | | |
| | Neighborhood Characteristics | | -Unit Housing Trends | | One-Unit Housing | Present Land Use % |
| | Location Urban Suburban | | easing Stable | Declining | PRICE AGI | |
| | Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75% | | rtage In Balance | = - | | |
| Q | | | _ | Over Supply | , | |
| ŏ | Growth Rapid Stable | | er 3 mths 3-6 mths | Over 6 mths | | Multi-Family 1 % |
| 玄 | | IS LOCATED NORTH OF LASSEN, SOU | TH OF CHATSWORTH, EAS | ST OF BALBOA | 1,500 High 8 | |
| <u>≅</u> | & WEST OF HAYVENHURST | | | | 800 Pred. 5 | 5 Other % |
| NEIGHBORHOOD | Neighborhood Description The subject is lo | cated in a mature stable area. It is in good p | proximity to many diversified f | inancial, industrial, s | service & movie industr | y centers. Neighborhood |
| ĦΝ | shows average to good maintenance. The subject | ct is located minutes from major traffic arte | ries on Plummer & Woodley. | The subject is locate | ed one mile west of the | 405 fwy. The subject is located |
| | within 20 minutes from major retail, entertainmen | it and employment opportunities in Northrid | ge, Woodland HIIIs & Burbank | The subject is 30 t | o 60 minutes FRONT do | owntown Los Angeles |
| | Market Conditions (including support for the abo | ve conclusions) CONVENTIONA | L FINANCING IS PREDOM | IINANT IN THE A | REA GENERAL MAR | KET IS BEGINNING TO |
| | WEAKEN AS INFLATION HAS BEEN INCRE | ASING.FUNDS ARE STILL AVAILABLE | BUT SALES ACTIVITY IS | BEGINNING TO D | DECLINE AS INTERES | ST RATES ARE BEGINNING |
| _ | TO INCREASE RATES BUYER ARE BEGINN | ING TO BE MORE SELECTIVE & ARE | CHOOSING TO WAIT FOR | RATES TO DECL | INE UNEMPLOYMEN | T IS ALSO INCREASING |
| | Dimensions 61 X 125.68 | Area 7666 s | Sha | Pe RECTANGLE | View | N;Res; |
| | Specific Zoning Classification LARS | Zoning Descrip | ion residential SFR | | | |
| | Zoning Compliance 🗶 Legal 🗌 Legal Nor | | o Zoning 🔲 Illegal (descril | be) | | |
| | Is the highest and best use of subject property a | s improved (or as proposed per plans and | specifications) the present us | e? 🗶 | Yes No If No | , describe |
| | | | | | | |
| | Utilities Public Other (describe) | Public 0 | ther (describe) | Off-site Impro | vements - Type | Public Private |
| SITE | Electricity \(\bigcirc \) | Water | | Street ASPH | ALT | lacktriangle |
| Si | Gas \blacksquare | Sanitary Sewer 🗶 | | Alley none | | |
| | FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes | X No FEMA Flood Zone x | FEMA Map # 060 | 37C1045F | FEMA | Map Date 09/26/2008 |
| | Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica | I for the market area? X | No If No, describe | | | |
| | Are there any adverse site conditions or external | factors (easements, encroachments, envir | onmental conditions, land use | es, etc.)? | Yes 🗶 | No If Yes, describe |
| | THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITI | LE REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWE | D. THERE ARE NO ADVER | SE INFLUENCES | | |
| | For flood information discrepancy with l | ender's certification, lender certific | ation supersedes apprais | al flood informa | tion | |
| | LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP | | | | | |
| | Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of P | roperty Appraisal Files | MLS Assessment and | | Prior Inspection | Property Owner |
| | Other (describe) | | Data Source for Gros | s Living Area 🛛 🛛 | ARCEL QUEST | |
| | General Description | General Description | Heating/Cooling | | nenities | Car Storage |
| | Units 🗶 One 🗌 One with Accessory Unit | Concrete Slab Crawl Space | ▼ FWA HWBB | X Firepla | | None |
| | # of Stories 1 | Full Basement Finished | Radiant | ☐ Woods | stove(s) # o | Driveway # of Cars 2 |
| | Type 🔀 Det. 🗌 Att. 🔲 S-Det./End Unit | Partial Basement Finished | Other | | | eway Surface CONCRETE |
| | Existing Proposed Under Const. | Exterior Walls STUCCO | Fuel GAS | Porch | NONE | Garage # of Cars 2 |
| | Design (Style) MID CENTURY | Roof Surface COMP/FAIR | Central Air Condition | | | Carport # of Cars o |
| | Year Built 1956 | Gutters & Downspouts METAL | Individual | ¥ Fence | | Attached Detached |
| | Effective Age (Yrs) 30 | Window Type VINYL | Other | | | Built-in |
| | Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven | | Microwave Washer/D | | describe) | Duit iii |
| " | | 6 Rooms 3 Bedroor | | | | ss Living Area Above Grade |
| Ĕ | Additional features (special energy efficient items | 1. \ | | 1,73 | g Oquaic rect of Gros | 55 LIVING AIGA ADOVE GIAGE |
| ИΕ | Additional leatures (special energy emotions terms | S, etc.) DRIVEWAY, LANDSCAPIN | i, UPEN PATIU | | | |
| ME | Describe the condition of the property and data s | course(s) (including apparent peeded repair | e deterioration renovations | remodeling etc.) | 04 4000 | |
| 8 | THE CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY AND GALLES | | s, uctorioration, renovations, i | remodeling, etc.). | G4;APPEAR | IS TO BE RUN DOWN FROM |
| IMPROVEMENTS | THE STREET MLS SHEET INDICATED IT I | S A FIXER. ROOF APPEARS WORN | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Are there any apparent aborded deficiencies | duaran aanditiona that effect the live bill | oundnoon or characteristics | ritu of the | | o Mo |
| | Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a | dverse conditions that affect the livability, | oundness, or structural integr | rity of the property? | Ye | s 🗙 No |
| | Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a lf Yes, describe. | dverse conditions that affect the livability, s | oundness, or structural integr | rity of the property? | Ye | s 🔀 No |
| | . ,, , . | dverse conditions that affect the livability, s | coundness, or structural integr | rity of the property? | Ye | s 🔀 No |
| | . ,, , . | dverse conditions that affect the livability, s | oundness, or structural integr | rity of the property? | Ye | s 🔀 No |
| | If Yes, describe. | | | | | |
| | . ,, , . | | | rity of the property? | | |
| | If Yes, describe. | | | | | |

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

55559 File # 2311-0

| | e properties currently | | | | | | 1,070,000 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | rice from \$ 700,000 | | \$ 1,450,000 . |
| FEATURE | SUBJECT | COMPARAB | LE SALE # 1 | COMPARAE | BLE SALE # 2 | COMP | ARABLE SALE # 3 |
| Address 10026 McLennan A | ve | 10140 Gerald Ave | | 10335 Ruffner Ave | 1 | 16963 Citronia | a St |
| North Hills, CA 9134 | 43-1012 | North Hills, CA 913 | 43-1131 | Granada Hills, CA | 91344-6545 | Northridge, CA | 91343-1751 |
| Proximity to Subject | Φ. | 0.41 miles NE | | 0.45 miles NE | 1 | 0.42 miles S | φ. |
| Sale Price | \$ 509.21 SQ.ft. | ¢ 00 # | \$ 895,000 | | \$ 700,000 | | \$ 970,000 |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ 509.21 Sq.ft. | | • | \$ 410.80 Sq.ft | | \$ 565.93 | |
| Data Source(s) Verification Source(s) | | CRMLSMLS#23287 | | CRMLSMLS#23284 | | | R23083552;DOM 35 |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DESCRIPTION | PARCEL Q#668324 DESCRIPTION | 501-D-4 +(-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIPTION | + (-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIPTIO | |
| Sales or Financing | DESCRIPTION | | + (-) Ψ Aujustinent | | T(-) \$ Aujustinent | | ην + (-) φ Aujustinent |
| Concessions | | ArmLth Conv;0 | | ArmLth Conv;50000 | 50,000 | ArmLth Conv;0 | |
| Date of Sale/Time | | s10/23;c09/23 | | s08/23;c07/23 | -50,000 | s07/23;c06/23 | |
| Location | N;Res; | N;Res; | | N;Res; | | N;Res; | ' |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple | Fee Simple | FEE | 0 | FEE | 0 | FEE | 0 |
| Site | 7666 sf | 6813 sf | | 8397 sf | | 7698 sf | 0 |
| View | N;Res; | N;Res; | | N;Res; | | N;Res; | - |
| Design (Style) | DT1;MID CENTURY | DT1;MID CENTURY | | DT1;MID CENTURY | 1 | DT1;Traditiona | al 0 |
| Quality of Construction | Q4 | Q4 | | Q4 | | Q4 | |
| Actual Age | 67 | 64 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 63 | 0 |
| Condition | C4 | C3 | -100,000 | C5 | +100,000 | | -100,000 |
| Above Grade | Total Bdrms. Baths | Total Bdrms. Baths | | Total Bdrms. Baths | | Total Bdrms. I | Baths |
| Room Count | 6 3 2.0 | 6 3 2.0 | | 6 3 2.1 | -5,000 | | 2.0 0 |
| Gross Living Area | 1,738 Sq.ft. | 1,700 sq.ft. | +2,900 | 1,704 sq.ft | +2,600 | 1,714 | sq.ft. +1,800 |
| Basement & Finished | Osf | Osf | | Osf | | 0sf | |
| Rooms Below Grade | | | | | | | |
| Functional Utility | AVERAGE | AVERAGE | | AVERAGE | | AVERAGE | |
| Heating/Cooling | FAU/CENT | FAU/CENT | | FAU/CENT | | FAU/CENT | |
| Energy Efficient Items Garage/Carport | NONE | NONE | | NONE | | SOLAR OWNED | -20,000 |
| Porch/Patio/Deck | 2ga2dw | 2gd2dw | 0 | 2ga2dw | | 2ga2dw | |
| POOL-SPA | OPEN PATIO POOL | OPEN PATIO NONE | +20,000 | NONE | +5,000 | OPEN PATIO | |
| PUUL-SPA | POUL | NUNE | +20,000 | NUNE | +20,000 | PUUL | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | <u></u> + X - | \$ -77,100 | X + | \$ 72,600 | + X | -118,200 |
| Adjusted Sale Price | | Net Adj. 8.6 % | | Net Adj. 10.4 % | ,000 | | 2.2 % |
| of Comparables | | Gross Adj. 13.7 % | | Gross Adj. 26.1 % | | , | 2.6 % \$ 851,800 |
| I X did did not research | the sale or transfer histo | | erty and comparable sale | | , , , , , , | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | , , | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | not reveal any prior sale | es or transfers of the su | bject property for the th | · | effective date of this app | raisal. | |
| | , | es or transfers of the su | bject property for the th | · | effective date of this app | raisal. | |
| My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did did | ST | | | ree years prior to the e | effective date of this app | | |
| My research did did did Data Source(s) | est not reveal any prior sale est | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the | ree years prior to the e | f sale of the comparable | sale. | |
| My research did did did Data Source(s) | not reveal any prior sale sst and analysis of the prior | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the y | ree years prior to the e year prior to the date o y and comparable sales | f sale of the comparable | sale. | |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale sst and analysis of the prior | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the | ree years prior to the e year prior to the date o y and comparable sales | f sale of the comparable | sale. | OMPARABLE SALE #3 |
| My research did did did did did did did d | ist not reveal any prior sale ist and analysis of the prior St 10/31/2023 | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the y | ree years prior to the e year prior to the date o y and comparable sales | f sale of the comparable | sale. | OMPARABLE SALE #3 |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale ist and analysis of the prior St 10/31/2023 \$849,000 | es or transfers of the co r sale or transfer history JBJECT | omparable sales for the sy of the subject property COMPARABLE S. | year prior to the date o | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 | sales on page 3). | |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale ist and analysis of the prior St 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the sy of the subject property COMPARABLE S. | year prior to the date o | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 | sales on page 3). 2 Co | . QUEST |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale ist and analysis of the prior St 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the sy of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1 | sales on page 3). 2 C0 PARCEL 11/02/2 | . QUEST 2023 |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 story of the subject pro | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 sales THE | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1 | sales on page 3). 2 C0 PARCEL 11/02/2 | . QUEST |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 story of the subject pro | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 sales THE | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA | f sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1) EL QUEST //2023 | sales on page 3). 2 C0 PARCEL 11/02/2 | . QUEST 2023 |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 story of the subject pro | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 sales THE | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA | f sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1) EL QUEST //2023 | sales on page 3). 2 C0 PARCEL 11/02/2 | . QUEST 2023 |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 story of the subject pro | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 sales THE | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA | f sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1) EL QUEST //2023 | sales on page 3). 2 Co PARCEL 11/02/2 | . QUEST 2023 |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 story of the subject pro | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 sales THE | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA | f sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1) EL QUEST //2023 | sales on page 3). 2 Co PARCEL 11/02/2 | . QUEST 2023 |
| My research did did did did did did did d | st not reveal any prior sale st and analysis of the prior sale 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 story of the subject pro | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the solution of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE | year prior to the date of year prior to the | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #; EL QUEST //2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS | sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. | . QUEST 1023 MLS SHEET INDICATES |
| My research did did Data Source(s) My research did did Data Source(s) Report the results of the research of | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT | es or transfers of the cor r sale or transfer history JBJECT r perty and comparable s IS TO RECENT FOR I | omparable sales for the sylventy of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: EL QUEST /2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS | sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. | . QUEST 2023 MLS SHEET INDICATES SUBJECT, MORE |
| My research did did Data Source(s) My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research did ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hit THE SUBJECT CLOSED ESCROY Summary of Sales Comparison Ap BATHS, LESSER CONDITION, | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#1 NO POOL. SALE#3 | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the comparable sales for the comparable sales for the comparable sales for the comparable sales comparabl | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #; EL QUEST //2023 AVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMALE SOLAR PANELS. THE | Sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE | L QUEST 1023 MLS SHEET INDICATES SUBJECT, MORE OMPARABLE SEARCH |
| My research did did Data Source(s) My research did did Data Source(s) Report the results of the research of | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#1 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the sy of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER E SUBJECT BETTER E SUBJECT BETTER SQ FT THE ADJUSTN | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #; EL QUEST //2023 AVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMALE SOLAR PANELS. THE | Sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE | . QUEST .023 .MLS SHEET INDICATES |
| My research did did Data Source(s) My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research did ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi THE SUBJECT CLOSED ESCROUNTIES Summary of Sales Comparison Ap BATHS, LESSER CONDITION, PARAMETERS ARE HOMES IN | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#1 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO | es or transfers of the co | omparable sales for the sy of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER E SUBJECT BETTER E SUBJECT BETTER SQ FT THE ADJUSTN | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #; EL QUEST //2023 AVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMALE SOLAR PANELS. THE | Sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE | L QUEST 1023 MLS SHEET INDICATES SUBJECT, MORE OMPARABLE SEARCH |
| My research did did Data Source(s) My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research did ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi THE SUBJECT CLOSED ESCROUNTIES Summary of Sales Comparison Ap BATHS, LESSER CONDITION, PARAMETERS ARE HOMES IN | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#1 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO , \$100,000 CONDITI | es or transfers of the contract of the contract of transfer history BJECT F Perty and comparable so the comparable so | omparable sales for the sylventering of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SQ FT THE ADJUSTN (1820000 POOL) | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HAOSED C CONDITION, NO PRODUCTION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 EL QUEST //2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMAL SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE | Sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE | . QUEST .023 .MLS SHEET INDICATES |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#1 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO , \$100,000 CONDITI | es or transfers of the contract of the contract of transfer history BJECT F Perty and comparable so the comparable so | omparable sales for the sylventering of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SQ FT THE ADJUSTN (1820000 POOL) | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HAOSED C CONDITION, NO PRODUCTION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 EL QUEST //2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMAL SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE | Sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE | . QUEST .023 .MLS SHEET INDICATES |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#1 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO , \$100,000 CONDITI | es or transfers of the contract of the contract of transfer history BJECT F Perty and comparable so the comparable so | omparable sales for the sylventering of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SQ FT THE ADJUSTN (1820000 POOL) | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HAOSED C CONDITION, NO PRODUCTION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 EL QUEST //2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMAL SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE | Sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE | . QUEST .023 .MLS SHEET INDICATES |
| My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#1 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO , \$100,000 CONDITI CENT &SALE#3 MO | es or transfers of the contract of the contract of transfer history BJECT F Perty and comparable so the comparable so | omparable sales for the sylventering of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SQ FT THE ADJUSTN (1820000 POOL) | year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HAOSED C CONDITION, NO PRODUCTION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 EL QUEST //2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMAL SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE | Sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE | . QUEST .023 .MLS SHEET INDICATES |
| My research did did did did did did did d | st not reveal any prior sale st and analysis of the prior sale st 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 story of the subject prow 10/31/2023 THAT NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO, \$100,000 CONDITICENT &SALE#3 MO | es or transfers of the contract of the contract of transfer history BJECT perty and comparable so is to recent for it. I SMALLER THAN THE SMALLER THAN THE SMALLER THAN THE COD 1400 TO 2100 TO 1/2 BATH ST SIMILAR IN SIZE | omparable sales for the sylventering of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SQ FT THE ADJUSTN (1820000 POOL) | ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HAD OSED C CONDITION, NO PECONDITION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #; EL QUEST //2023 AVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMALE SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE VEIGHT | Sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE | SUBJECT, MORE OMPARABLE SEARCH O SALES ANALYSIS. \$75 |
| My research did did did did did did did d | IST not reveal any prior sale IST and analysis of the prior IO/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#3 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO \$100,000 CONDITI CENT &SALE#3 MO SON Approach \$ 82 arison Approach \$ | es or transfers of the corr sale or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s IS TO RECENT FOR IN SMALLER THAN THE SMALLER THAN THE OD 1400 TO 2100 S ON \$5000 1/2 BATH ST SIMILAR IN SIZE 25,000 825,000 | omparable sales for the solution of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SQ FT THE ADJUSTN 1 \$20000 POOL E WITH A POOL ARE Cost Approach (if devi | ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HAR OSED E CONDITION, NO FOONDITION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: EL QUEST /2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMAL SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE VEIGHT | sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE E APPRAISER C T WITH PAIRED | QUEST O23 MLS SHEET INDICATES SUBJECT, MORE OMPARABLE SEARCH O SALES ANALYSIS. \$75 |
| My research did did did did At did did did | IST not reveal any prior sale IST and analysis of the prior St 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#1 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO , \$100,000 CONDITI CENT &SALE#3 MO SON Approach \$ arison Approach \$ arison Approach \$ TREFLECTS THE VAL | es or transfers of the correct sale or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s IS TO RECENT FOR IT SMALLER THAN THE OD 1400 TO 2100 S ON \$5000 1/2 BATH ST SIMILAR IN SIZE 25,000 825,000 UE OF THIS TYPE OF | omparable sales for the solution of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SQ FT THE ADJUSTN 1 \$20000 POOL E WITH A POOL ARE | ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HAR OSED E CONDITION, NO FOONDITION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: EL QUEST /2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMAL SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE VEIGHT | sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE E APPRAISER C T WITH PAIRED | QUEST O23 MLS SHEET INDICATES SUBJECT, MORE OMPARABLE SEARCH O SALES ANALYSIS. \$75 |
| My research did did did did did did did d | IST not reveal any prior sale IST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#3 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO , \$100,000 CONDITI CENT &SALE#3 MO SON Approach \$ arison Approach \$ TREFLECTS THE VAL N HOMES IN THE ARI | es or transfers of the correct sale or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s IS TO RECENT FOR IT SMALLER THAN THE OD 1400 TO 2100 S ON \$5000 1/2 BATH ST SIMILAR IN SIZE 25,000 825,000 UE OF THIS TYPE OF | omparable sales for the solution of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SQ FT THE ADJUSTN 1 \$20000 POOL E WITH A POOL ARE | ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HAR OSED E CONDITION, NO FOONDITION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: EL QUEST /2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMAL SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE VEIGHT | sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE E APPRAISER C T WITH PAIRED | QUEST O23 MLS SHEET INDICATES SUBJECT, MORE OMPARABLE SEARCH O SALES ANALYSIS. \$75 |
| My research did did did did did did did d | IST not reveal any prior sale IST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#3 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO \$100,000 CONDITI CENT &SALE#3 MO SON Approach \$ arison Approach \$ TREFLECTS THE VAL N HOMES IN THE ARI IS", Subject to | es or transfers of the cor sale or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s IS TO RECENT FOR IN SMALLER THAN THE OD 1400 TO 2100 S ON \$5000 1/2 BATH ST SIMILAR IN SIZE 25,000 825,000 UE OF THIS TYPE OF EA. | omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER E SUBJECT BETTER E SUBJECT BETTER SQ FT THE ADJUSTN 1 \$20000 POOL E WITH A POOL ARE Cost Approach (if devices and specifications of specification | ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HAD OSED E CONDITION, NO PECONDITION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE GIVEN THE MOST VERICE OF A POPPOACH IS MENTS OF A PPROACH IS MENTS OF A POPPOACH IS MENTS OF A POPPOACH IS MENTS OF A PPROACH IS MENTS OF | f sale of the comparable is (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: EL QUEST /2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMALE SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE VEIGHT INCOME APP ERELY SUPPORTIVE. | Sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE E APPRAISER C T WITH PAIRED PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. | SUBJECT, MORE OMPARABLE SEARCH D SALES ANALYSIS. \$75 PPROACH IS N/A DUE TO |
| My research did did did did did did did d | IST not reveal any prior sale IST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#1 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO , \$100,000 CONDITI CENT &SALE#3 MO GON Approach \$ arison Approach \$ arison Approach \$ TREFLECTS THE VAL N HOMES IN THE ARI IS", Subject to following repairs or a | es or transfers of the cor sale or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s IS TO RECENT FOR IT SMALLER THAN THE OD 1400 TO 2100 S ON \$5000 1/2 BATH ST SIMILAR IN SIZE 25,000 825,000 UE OF THIS TYPE OF EA. completion per plans alterations on the bas | omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SO FT THE ADJUSTN 1 \$20000 POOL E WITH A POOL ARE Cost Approach (if development) F PROPERTY. THE CO Is and specifications of a hypothetical of the cost of a hypothetical of the cost of a hypothetical of the cost of the cost of a hypothetical of the cost of the c | PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA OSED CONDITION, NO P CONDITION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE GIVEN THE MOST V eloped) \$ 900,00 ST APPROACH IS M on the basis of a hy condition that the rep | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: EL QUEST /2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMAL SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE VEIGHT Income Appendix Supportive. Prothetical condition the airs or alterations have | Sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE E APPRAISER C T WITH PAIRED PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. | SUBJECT, MORE OMPARABLE SEARCH O SALES ANALYSIS. \$75 Ped) \$ PPROACH IS N/A DUE TO |
| My research did did did did did did did d | IST not reveal any prior sale IST and analysis of the prior SI 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#1 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO , \$100,000 CONDITI CENT &SALE#3 MO GON Approach \$ arison Approach \$ arison Approach \$ TREFLECTS THE VAL N HOMES IN THE ARI IS", Subject to following repairs or a | es or transfers of the cor sale or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s IS TO RECENT FOR IT SMALLER THAN THE OD 1400 TO 2100 S ON \$5000 1/2 BATH ST SIMILAR IN SIZE 25,000 825,000 UE OF THIS TYPE OF EA. completion per plans alterations on the bas | omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SO FT THE ADJUSTN 1 \$20000 POOL E WITH A POOL ARE Cost Approach (if development) F PROPERTY. THE CO Is and specifications of a hypothetical of the cost of a hypothetical of the cost of a hypothetical of the cost of the cost of a hypothetical of the cost of the c | PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA OSED CONDITION, NO P CONDITION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE GIVEN THE MOST V eloped) \$ 900,00 ST APPROACH IS M on the basis of a hy condition that the rep | f sale of the comparable s (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: EL QUEST /2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMAL SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE VEIGHT Income Appendix Supportive. Prothetical condition the airs or alterations have | Sales on page 3). 2 C(PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE E APPRAISER C T WITH PAIRED PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. | SUBJECT, MORE OMPARABLE SEARCH D SALES ANALYSIS. \$75 PPROACH IS N/A DUE TO |
| My research did did did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did did Add Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research iTEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer it THE SUBJECT CLOSED ESCROT Summary of Sales Comparison Ap BATHS, LESSER CONDITION, PARAMETERS ARE HOMES IN PER SQ FT FOR LIVING AREA SALE#1 & #5 ARE MOST RE Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Indicated Value Ind | IST not reveal any prior sale IST and analysis of the prior St 10/31/2023 \$849,000 PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Story of the subject pro W 10/31/2023 THAT Proach SALE#1 NO POOL. SALE#3 THE NEIGHBORHO , \$100,000 CONDITI CENT &SALE#3 MO GON Approach \$ arison Approach \$ arison Approach \$ arison Approach \$ con A | r sale or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s IS TO RECENT FOR IT SMALLER THAN THE OD 1400 TO 2100 S ON \$5000 1/2 BATH ST SIMILAR IN SIZE 25,000 825,000 UE OF THIS TYPE OF EA. completion per plans alterations on the bas ary assumption that the | omparable sales for the young of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SQ FT THE ADJUSTN 1 \$20000 POOL E WITH A POOL ARE Cost Approach (if device the condition of deficie the condition of the | PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HA OSED CONDITION, NO F CONDITION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE GIVEN THE MOST V eloped) \$ 900,00 ST APPROACH IS M In the basis of a hy condition that the rep ncy does not require | f sale of the comparable is (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: EL QUEST //2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMAL SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE VEIGHT Income Appendix App | PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE E APPRAISER C T WITH PAIRED PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. | SUBJECT, MORE OMPARABLE SEARCH D SALES ANALYSIS. \$75 PPROACH IS N/A DUE TO ments have been ed, or subject to the |
| My research did did did did did did did d | INTERPLECTS THE VALIN HOMES IN THE ARIONAL STATE OF | es or transfers of the cor sale or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s IS TO RECENT FOR IN SMALLER THAN THE SMALLER THAN THE OD 1400 TO 2100 S ON \$5000 1/2 BATH ST SIMILAR IN SIZE 25,000 B25,000 UE OF THIS TYPE OF EA. completion per plans alterations on the bas ary assumption that the | omparable sales for the young of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 11/02/2023 Sales THE ME TO VERIFY IT CL HE SUBJECT BETTER (SO FT THE ADJUSTNA \$20000 POOL E WITH A POOL ARE Cost Approach (if device property the condition or deficie property from at lease | ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARC 11/02 E COMPARABLES HAD OSED E CONDITION, NO PECONDITION, OWNS MENTS ARE DERIVE GIVEN THE MOST VERY AREA OF THE WORLD AND THE MOST VERY AREA OF THE WORLD AND THE WORLD A | f sale of the comparable is (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #: EL QUEST //2023 IVE TRANSFERRED AS POOL. SALE#2 SMALI SOLAR PANELS. THE D FROM THE MARKE VEIGHT Income App ERELY SUPPORTIVE. Prothetical condition the airs or alterations have alteration or repair: Ed scope of work, si | sale. sales on page 3). Compared to the sales of page 3). PARCEL 11/02/2 STATE ABOVE. LER THAN THE EAPPRAISER COMMENTE INCOME AF at the improvement been complete satement of asset | SUBJECT, MORE OMPARABLE SEARCH D SALES ANALYSIS. \$75 PPROACH IS N/A DUE TO ments have been ed, or subject to the |

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Page 2 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 2311-02

55559

| | • | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| THE INTENDED USER OF THIS APPRAISAL IS THE LENDER/CLIENT& FHA/HUD & IT SUC | CESSORS & ASSIGNS. THE INTENDED USER IS TO EVALUATE THE PRO | PERTY THAT IS |
| THE SUBJECT OF THIS APPRAISAL FOR A MORTGAGE FINANCE TRANSACTION SUBJEC | T TO THE STATED SCOPE OF WORK, PURPOSE OF THE APPRAISAL , R | EPORTING |
| REQUIREMENTS OF THIS APPRAISAL REPORT FORM & DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE | . NO ADDITIONAL INTENDED USERS ARE IDENTIFIED BY THE APPRAIS | SER. |
| | | |
| THE EFFECTIVE AGE IS LOWER THAN THE ACTUAL AGE DUE TO ROUTINE MAINTENAN | E & UPKEEP PROLONGING THE ESTIMATED REMAINING ECONOMIC LI | IFE. |
| | | |
| The address reported on the appraisal form is apparding to IIS Destal Service record | as required by HAD format. The title company reports the city or on | nunty address |
| The address reported on the appraisal form is according to US Postal Service records | as required by OAD format. The title company reports the city of co | Junty address |
| and the title report may or may not match to USPS records". | | |
| Gross Living Area; | | |
| Gross Living is defined as; In a single-family house, GLA includes all living space tha | is above the land-line and has heating, | |
| lighting and ventilation. The main rooms and bedrooms, hallways, bathrooms and kito | hen footage is calculated to determine the gross | |
| living area. Finished attic space with a minimum of 5-foot walls and heat is calculate | d for the Habitable GLA. Structures that do not have direct access to | the main |
| living areas (Gym), Guest Houses, Additional Dwelling Units, Patios, Porches, Balconi | s, Decks and/or Garages are not included in this measurement. | |
| | | |
| When measuring square footage for the Gross Living Area, only those rooms that are | above the land-line are considered. A finished | |
| basement or attic is calculated separately and included in the overall evaluation, but | neither is included in GLA. The method for calculating the square for | otage of a |
| home is determined by the American National Standard Institute (ANSI). | <u> </u> | |
| | | |
| The ANSI standards are taught by the leading appraisal organizations. Many state lic | ancing heards require these standards in an effort to promote uniform | nity and |
| The Anor Standards are taught by the leading appraisal organizations. Many State no | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| professionalism in calculating square footage. American National Standards Institute | | |
| finished square footage of each level is the sum of finished areas on that level meas | ured at floor level to the exterior finished surface of the outside wall | S |
| | | |
| Typically when measuring square footage for the Gross Living Area, only those rooms | that are above the land-line are considered. Typically a finished bas | ement or attic |
| is calculated separately and included in the overall evaluation, but neither is include | d in GLA. | |
| | | |
| *** It is important to note that the methodology and standards utilized in reporting | the total Habitable Gross Living Areas by the Multiple Listing Services | s, the County |
| Assessors Records and other Public records can not be confirmed to be ANSI compli | int. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| COST APPROACH TO VALUE | : (not required by Fannie Mae) | |
| COST APPROACH TO VALUI Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation | , | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation | ns. | The |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimates of the comparable land sales or other methods. | ns. mating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. | . The |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation | ns. mating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. | The |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimates of the comparable land sales or other methods. | ns. mating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. | The |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). | ns. mating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements). | ns. mating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | \$ 600,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements). | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to i | OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ = | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements). | OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ = | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to i | OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ = | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements by(using the abstraction of land t | OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ = | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements in the area, as indicated by (using land to improvements in the area, as indicated by (using land to improvements in the area, as indicated by (using land to improvements in the area, as indicated by (using land to improvements in the area, as indicated by (using land to improvements in the area, as indicated by (using land to improvements in the area, as indicated by (using land to improvements in the area, as indicated by (using land to improvements in the area, as indicated by (using land to improvements in the area, as indic | OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING OST 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = OOL-PATIO Garage/Carport 418 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = Garage/Carport Acctors derived from Marshall-Swift. Acctors derived from Marshall-Swift. 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = 225.00 = | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data objective lands of cost lands of | OPINION OF SITE VALUE = DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = OSq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = Total Estimate of Cost-New = Less Physical Functional External | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data objective lands of cost lands of | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data objective lands of cost lands of | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data objective lands of cost lands of | OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 249,410 Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 20.00 = External External Depreciated Cost of Improvements = | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data object object of cost data object of cost data object of cost data object | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ POOL-PATIO Garage/Carport 418 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 249,410 | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data marshall-swift Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data 08/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 25.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 2 | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data marshall-swift Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data 08/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ POOL-PATIO Garage/Carport 418 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 249,410 | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data of land to improvements of land to improvements of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to imp | OPINION OF SITE VALUE = DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = OSq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = Total Estimate of Cost-New = Less Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements = "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH = E (not required by Fannie Mae) | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 100,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data marshall-swift Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data 08/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years | OPINION OF SITE VALUE = DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = OSq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = Total Estimate of Cost-New = Less Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements = "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH = E (not required by Fannie Mae) | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data of land to improvements of land to improvements of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction process) the comparables used of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to imp | OPINION OF SITE VALUE = DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = OSq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = Total Estimate of Cost-New = Less Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements = "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH = E (not required by Fannie Mae) | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data of | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 2 | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data object object of cost data object | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ POOL-PATIO Garage/Carport 418 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 249,410 50,000 = Depreciated Cost of Improvements = "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Value by FOR PUDs (if applicable) | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data marshall-swift ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data marshall-swift Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data 08/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = O Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = O Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = O Sq.Ft. @ \$ | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used improvements of the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used improvements of the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used improvements of the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used improvements of the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used improvements of the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used improvements of the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used improvements of the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of the Market Depreciation). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of the Market Depreciation). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction). The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(| Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = O Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = O Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = O Sq.Ft. @ \$ | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data MARSHALL-SWIFT Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 08/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = Sq.Ft. @ \$ | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of cost data image). ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data image). Source of cost data image Product Replacements and the summary of land to improvements and calculations, depreciation, etc.). Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of cost data image). ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data image). Source of cost data image in the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of cost service in average). Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.). Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstratio of cost data indicated by(using the abstractions of cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used indicated by(using the abstraction process) the comparable used indicated by(using the abstraction pr | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of cost data image). ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data image). Source of cost data image in the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of cost service in average). Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.). Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of cost data in the abstraction of cost data in the area of cost data in the abstraction of cost data in the area of cost data in the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of the | Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of cost data in the abstraction of cost data in the area of cost data in the abstraction of cost data in the area of cost data in the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land of land by (using the abstraction of land of land land land land land land land land | mating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of cost data in the abstraction of cost data in the area of cost data in the abstraction of cost data in the area of cost data in the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land of land by (using the abstraction of land of land land land land land land land land | mating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data MARSHALL-SWIFT Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 08/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA acceptable and the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No | mating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 == O Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 == POOL-PATIO Garage/Carport 418 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 == Total Estimate of Cost-New == Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 249,410 50,000 == Depreciated Cost of Improvements == "As-is" Value of Site Improvements == INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH == E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Value by IFOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion. | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of cost data in the abstraction of cost data in the area of cost data in the abstraction of cost data in the area of cost data in the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction process) the comparables used in the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstraction of land of land by (using the abstraction of land of land land land land land land land land | mating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 = | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data | mating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 == O Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 == POOL-PATIO Garage/Carport 418 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 == Total Estimate of Cost-New == Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 249,410 50,000 == Depreciated Cost of Improvements == "As-is" Value of Site Improvements == INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH == E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Value by IFOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion. | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatic Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for est ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data MARSHALL-SWIFT Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 08/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA acceptable and the project of phases Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? | mating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,738 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 == O Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 == POOL-PATIO Garage/Carport 418 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 == Total Estimate of Cost-New == Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 249,410 50,000 == Depreciated Cost of Improvements == "As-is" Value of Site Improvements == INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH == E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Value by IFOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion. | \$ 600,000 \$ 391,050 \$ 100,000 \$ 8,360 \$ 499,410 \$ 299,410 \$ 200,000 \$ 900,000 |

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File

55559 File # 2311-02

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 55559

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 2311-02

55559

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

| APPRAISER / / / / / / / / | SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 A P Balance | 0'1 |
| Signature , Tourist To | Signature |
| Name Joseph Baldino | Name |
| Company Name REAL ESTATE APPRAISER | Company Name |
| Company Address 3101 MESA VERDE DR | Company Address |
| BURBANK, CA 91504 | |
| Telephone Number (818) 768-6147 | Telephone Number |
| Email Address <u>Joeappraiser11@AOL.COM</u> | Email Address |
| Date of Signature and Report <u>11/03/2023</u> | Date of Signature |
| Effective Date of Appraisal 11/02/2023 | State Certification # |
| State Certification # AR001957 | or State License # |
| or State License # | State |
| or Other (describe) State # | Expiration Date of Certification or License |
| State CA | |
| Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/12/2025 | SUBJECT PROPERTY |
| | Did not increat exterior of exhibitat arenests. |
| ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED | Did not inspect exterior of subject property |
| 10026 McLennan Ave | Did inspect exterior of subject property from street |
| North Hills, CA 91343-1012 | Date of Inspection |
| APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 825,000 | |
| LENDER/CLIENT | COMPARABLE SALES |
| Name Clear Capital | ☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street |
| Company Name wedgewood inc | Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street |
| Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach BL, Suite 100, REDONDO | Date of Inspection |
| BEACH, CA 90276 | |
| Fmail Address | |

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 2311-02

| FEATURE Address 10026 McLennan Av | 5 | SUBJEC | Τ | | COM | PARAR | LE SALE # 4 | | COM | 1PARARI | E SALE # 5 | T | COM | PARARI | E SALE # 6 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| | | 700000 | <u> </u> | 1000 | B Petit | | | 1600 | 9 Kinzi | | | | | 1711111010 | |
| North Hills, CA 9134 | | • | | | | | 43-1014 | | | | 43-1715 | | | | |
| Proximity to Subject | 1012 | _ | | | miles E | | 43-1014 | | miles S | | 40-1710 | | | | |
| Sale Price | \$ | | | 0.15 | IIIIGS E | | \$ 752,000 | | IIIIIES 3 | , | \$ 880,00 | _ | | | \$ |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ | E00 2 | 21 sq.ft. | \$ | 470.5 | n sa ft | 732,000 | \$ | 404.2 | 8 sq.ft. | · 880,00 | \$ | | sq.ft. | <u> </u> |
| Data Source(s) | Ψ | 509.2 | 1 oq.it. | | | | 69811;DOM 3 | - | | | 61526 ;DOM 6 | Ψ | | oq.it. | |
| Verification Source(s) | | | | | | | 5 501-D-4 | | | | 501-D-5 | | | | |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DE | SCRIPT | ION | | SCRIPT | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | _ | ESCRIPT | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | | ESCRIPT | ION | +(-) \$ Adjustment |
| Sales or Financing | DL | JOHIII 1 | IOIV | | | 1011 | T () Ψ Aujustinont | | | IION | T () Ψ Aujustinont | | LOOIIII I | 1011 | r() φ Aujustinoni |
| Concessions | | | | ArmLt | | | | ArmL | | | | | | | |
| Date of Sale/Time | | | | Conv; | | | | Conv | | | | | | | |
| Location | | | | | 3;c09/2 | 23 | | | 23;c04/2 | 23 | | | | | |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple | N;Res; | | | N;Res | ; | | _ | N;Re | s; | | | _ | | | |
| | Fee Si | | | FEE | | | | FEE | | | | 0 | | | |
| Site | 7666 | | | 7503 | | | 0 | 7427 | | | | 0 | | | |
| View | N;Res; | | | N;Res | | | | N;Re | | | | | | | |
| Design (Style) | | IID CEI | NTURY | | RADITI | ONAL | 0 | | MID CE | NTURY | | | | | |
| Quality of Construction | Q4 | | | Q4 | | | | Q4 | | | | | | | |
| Actual Age | 67 | | | 66 | | | 0 | 64 | | | | 0 | | | |
| Condition | C4 | | | C4 | I | T | | C3 | T | T = | -100,00 | | | Τ | |
| Above Grade | Total | Bdrms. | Baths | Total | Bdrms. | Baths | | Total | Bdrms. | | | Lota | l Bdrms. | Baths | |
| Room Count | 6 | 3 | 2.0 | 6 | 3 | 2.1 | -5,000 | | 3 | 2.0 | | | | | |
| Gross Living Area | | 1,738 | 8 sq.ft. | | 1,598 | sq.ft. | +10,500 | | 1,78 | o sq.ft. | -3,20 | 0 | | sq.ft. | |
| Basement & Finished | 0sf | | | 0sf | | | | 0sf | | | | | | | |
| Rooms Below Grade | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Functional Utility | AVERA | AGE | | AVER | AGE | | | AVER | RAGE | | | | | | |
| Heating/Cooling | FAU/C | ENT | | FAU/C | ENT | | | FAU/ | CENT | | | | | | |
| Energy Efficient Items | NONE | | | NONE | | | | NONE | E | | | | | | |
| Garage/Carport | 2ga2d | w | | 2ga2d | iw | | | 2ga2 | dw | | | | | | |
| Porch/Patio/Deck | OPEN | PATIO | | OPEN | PATIO | | | NONE | E | | +5,00 | 0 | | | |
| POOL-SPA | POOL | | | NONE | | | +20,000 | NONE | E | | +20,00 | o | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | | | X | + [| | \$ 25,500 | | + | X - | \$ -78,20 | 0 | + | | \$ |
| Adjusted Sale Price | | | | Net Ac | | 3.4 % | | Net A | | 8.9 % | | Net A | \dj. | % | |
| of Comparables | | | | Gross | Adj. | 4.7 % | | Gross | Adj. | 14.6 % | \$ 801,80 | Gros | s Adj. | % | \$ |
| Report the results of the research a | and anal | ysis of | the prior | r sale o | r transfe | | | | | | | | | | 1. * |
| ITEM | | | | JBJECT | | | COMPARABLE SA | | | | OMPARABLE SALE # | | | | ABLE SALE # 6 |
| Date of Prior Sale/Transfer | 1 | 0/31/2 | | | | | | | • | | | | | | ··· ··· · · |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer | | 849,00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | - - | | | PARCEL QUEST | | | DARCE | L QUEST | | | | |
| Data Source(s) | D | ·ARCEL | | | | | T AHOLL QULUT | | | 11/02/ | | | | | |
| Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | | ARCEL | | | | - 1 | 11/02/2023 | | | 11/02/ | | | | | |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) | 1 | 1/02/2 | 2023 | nerty ar | nd comm | | 11/02/2023 Sales THE | SIIB I | ECT HA | S NOT 1 | TRANCEERREN IN T | IE DAS | T 26 M | энтис | THE |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi | story of | 1/02/2 the sub | 2 023 oject prop | | nd comp | | | SUBJ | ECT HA | S NOT 1 | TRANSFERRED IN T | HE PAS | 36 M | ONTHS. | THE |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) | story of | 1/02/2 the sub | 2 023 oject prop | | nd comp | | | SUBJ | ECT HA | S NOT 1 | TRANSFERRED IN T | HE PAS | 36 M | ONTHS. | THE |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi | story of | 1/02/2 the sub | 2 023 oject prop | | nd comp | | | SUBJ | ECT HA | S NOT 1 | TRANSFERRED IN T | HE PAS | ST 36 M | ONTHS. | THE |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi | story of | 1/02/2 the sub | 2 023 oject prop | | nd comp | | | SUBJ | ECT HA | S NOT 1 | TRANSFERRED IN T | HE PAS | ST 36 M(| ONTHS. | ТНЕ |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi | story of | 1/02/2 the sub | 2 023 oject prop | | nd comp | | | SUBJ | ECT HA | S NOT 1 | TRANSFERRED IN T | HE PAS | ST 36 M | ONTHS. | THE |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE | istory of | the sub | 2023 Diect prop Ated Ae | BOVE. | | arable | sales The | | | | | | | | |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE | istory of | the sub | 2023 Diect prop Ated Ae | BOVE. | | arable | sales The | | | | RANSFERRED IN T | | | | |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE Analysis/Comments SALE#4 | 11istory of | the sub | 2023 Diject proj ATED AB | BOVE. | ECT MO | arable | Sales THE | ALE#5 | LARGI | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE | TTER C | ONDITIO | DN, NO | POOL OR PATIO |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE | 11istory of | the sub | 2023 Diject proj ATED AB | BOVE. | ECT MO | arable | Sales THE | ALE#5 | LARGI | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE | TTER C | ONDITIO | DN, NO | POOL OR PATIO |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE Analysis/Comments SALE#4 | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE | BOVE. SUBJ | ECT MO | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHS NO POOL SA STY THESE ARE NOT | ALE#5 | LARGI | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFE Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI Analysis/Comments SALE#4 NORTHRIDGE, NORTH HILLS & WHERE THE SUBJECT IS LOCA THE MINDS OF THE PEOPLE W | story of ERRED A | the sub as sta Ler Th. Ada Hil | 2023 Diject proj ATED AE IAN THE | SUBJ | ECT MO PART O S COM | DRE BA | SAIES THE STHIS NO POOL SA STHY THESE ARE NOTE THER. THEY ARE ALL | ALE#5 | LARGI ES THEY | ER THAI | N THE SUBJECT BE UST AREAS IN THE | ITER C | ONDITION OF LOS A | ON, NO | POOL OR PATIO S. IN THE AREA |

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

55559

File No. 2311-02

| The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl | | | | prevalent in the su | וויסוני | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraid Property Address 10026 McLennan Ave | isal reports with an effectiv | e date on or after April 1, 2/ City North Hills | 009. | State CA | ZIP Code 913 | 10 1010 |
| Property Address 10026 McLennan Ave Borrower REDWOOD HOLDINGS | | ORY NORTH HIIIS | | otato CA | Zii 0000 913 | 43-1012 |
| Instructions: The appraiser must use the information req | quired on this form as the b | pasis for his/her conclusion | s, and must provide suppor | t for those conclus | ons, regarding | |
| housing trends and overall market conditions as reported | d in the Neighborhood sect | ion of the appraisal report f | orm. The appraiser must fill | in all the informati | on to the extent | |
| it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as in | | | | | | |
| explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will | | | | | | |
| in the analysis. If data sources provide the required infor | - | | • | - | - | |
| average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal | | | | sed by a prospective | e buyer of the | |
| Inventory Analysis | Prior 7–12 Months | Prior 4–6 Months | Current – 3 Months | | Overall Trend | |
| Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) | 15 | 5 | 14 | ★ Increasing | Stable | Declining |
| Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) | 2.50 | 1.67 | 4.67 | ★ Increasing | Stable | Declining |
| Total # of Comparable Active Listings | 3 | 3 | 6 | Declining | Stable | Increasing |
| Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 | Declining | X Stable | Increasing |
| Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % | Prior 7–12 Months | Prior 4–6 Months | Current – 3 Months | | Overall Trend | Dealisias |
| Median Comparable Sale Price Median Comparable Sales Days on Market | 860,000 | 939,900 | 929,000 | Increasing | Stable Stable | Declining |
| Median Comparable List Price | 14 950,000 | 9 | 15 894,975 | Declining Increasing | Stable | Increasing Declining |
| Median Comparable Listings Days on Market | 71 | 63 | 21 | ➤ Declining | Stable | Increasing |
| Median Sale Price as % of List Price | 101 | 100 | 99 | Increasing | ★ Stable | Declining |
| Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p | orevalent? Yes | X No | | Declining | X Stable | Increasing |
| Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas | st 12 months (e.g., seller c | ontributions increased from | 3% to 5%, increasing use | of buydowns, closi | ng costs, condo | |
| fees, options, etc.). THE AVERAGE IS USED NO | | | OT PREVALENT. THERE | ARE SOME BUT | MOSTLY ONLY R | EO SALES. NO |
| INCREASE OR DECREASE IN SELLER CONCESSION | IS IN THE PAST 12 MON | ITHS | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market? | ? Yes 🗶 No | o If yes, explain (includ | ing the trends in listings and | d sales of foreclose | d properties). | |
| REO & FORECLOSURES ARE NOT A FACTOR AT TH | IIS TIME FORECLOSURI | E RATE IS LESS THAN 1, | 2% | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Cite data sources for above information | CAVAD | | | | | |
| Cite data sources for above information. CRMLS | - GAVAR | | | | | |
| Cite data sources for above information. CRMLS | - GAVAR | | | | | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co | onclusions in the Neighborh | | | | | |
| | onclusions in the Neighborh | | | | | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST | h an explanation and suppo | ort for your conclus E ratio grids i | ONS. Ndicate a stab | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A | ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List LRE COMPARABLE TO TH | th an explanation and suppo Price dom & List sal IE subject property. | nt for your conclus E ratio grids i However this | ONS. Ndicate a stab Is not conclus | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A | ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List LRE COMPARABLE TO TH | th an explanation and suppo Price dom & List sal IE subject property. | nt for your conclus E ratio grids i However this | ONS. Ndicate a stab Is not conclus | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A | ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List LRE COMPARABLE TO TH | th an explanation and suppo Price dom & List sal IE subject property. | nt for your conclus E ratio grids i However this | ONS. Ndicate a stab Is not conclus | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A | ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List LRE COMPARABLE TO TH | th an explanation and suppo Price dom & List sal IE subject property. | nt for your conclus E ratio grids i However this | ONS. Ndicate a stab Is not conclus | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A | ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List LRE COMPARABLE TO TH | th an explanation and suppo Price dom & List sal IE subject property. | nt for your conclus E ratio grids i However this | ONS. Ndicate a stab Is not conclus | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A | ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List LRE COMPARABLE TO TH | th an explanation and suppo Price dom & List sal IE subject property. | nt for your conclus E ratio grids i However this | ONS. Ndicate a stab Is not conclus | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND | th an explanation and suppo Price Dom & List Sal IE Subject Property. Of Property that is c | ort for your conclus E RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER THIS COMPARABLE TO | ONS. Ndicate a stab Is not conclus | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent the past 12 months for properties in the evidence that all other properties in the levidence that all other properties in the lift the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pasts. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: | th an explanation and suppo PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C | ort for your conclus E RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER THIS COMPARABLE TO | ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLU: THE SUBJECT | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND | th an explanation and suppo Price Dom & List Sal IE Subject Property. Of Property that is c | ort for your conclus E RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER THIS COMPARABLE TO | ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend | SIVE |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent the past 12 months for properties in the evidence that all other properties in the levidence that all other properties in the lift the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative pasts. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: | th an explanation and suppo PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C | ort for your conclus E RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER THIS COMPARABLE TO | ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLU: THE SUBJECT | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE INTERPORTED INTERPORTED IN THE INTERPORTED IN THE INTERPORTED | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: | th an explanation and suppo PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C | Name: | ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLU: THE SUBJECT Overall Trend | Declining |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo rORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months | th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo rORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months | th an explanation and suppo PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo rORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months | th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo rory analysis grid & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months | th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo rory analysis grid & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months | th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo rory analysis grid & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months | th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo rory analysis grid & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months | th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE IEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE INVENTE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDE | project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST URE COMPARABLE TO THE MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months O If yes, indicate the nu | th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST URE COMPARABLE TO THE MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months O If yes, indicate the nu | th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE IEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE INVENTE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDE | project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST URE COMPARABLE TO THE MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months O If yes, indicate the nu | th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE IEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE INVENTE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDE | project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST URE COMPARABLE TO THE MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months O If yes, indicate the nu | th an explanation and suppo PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE IEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE INVENTE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDE | project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST URE COMPARABLE TO THE MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months O If yes, indicate the nu | th an explanation and suppo PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE IEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE INVENTE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDENCE IN THE IEVIDE | project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Types Yes No. | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST URE COMPARABLE TO THE MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months O If yes, indicate the nu | th an explanation and suppo PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVI | project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Types Yes No. | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST URE COMPARABLE TO THE MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months O If yes, indicate the nu | th an explanation and suppo PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVI | project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO THE MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months O If yes, indicate the number of the second | th an explanation and suppo PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project Current – 3 Months | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. | project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Types Yes No. | ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu ett. Signature | PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project Current – 3 Months Imber of REO listings and ex | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name JOSEHH P BALDINO | project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project | wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the nuclei. | PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project Current - 3 Months Appraiser Name | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name OSEPH P BALDINO Company Name REAL ESTATE APPRAISER | project, complete the followant of the subject unit and project. | wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the nuclei. | PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project Current - 3 Months Appraiser Name me | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name JOSEHH P BALDINO | project, complete the followant of the subject unit and project. | wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nuclei. | PRICE DOM & LIST SAL IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project Current - 3 Months Appraiser Name me | Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini | OVERALL TRENDS | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing |

Appraiser Independence Certification

I do hereby certify, I have followed the appraiser independence safeguards in compliance with Appraisal Independence and any applicable state laws I may be required to comply with. This includes but is not limited to the following:

- I am currently licensed and/or certified by the state in which the property to be appraised is located My license is the appropriate license for the appraisal assignment(s) and is reflected on the appraisal report.
- I certify that there have been no sanctions against me for any reason that would impair my ability to perform appraisals pursuant to the required guidelines.

| or any other third party ac company, or partner on ber to influence the developme | ting as joint venture partner, in alf of wedgewood | clear Capital , dependent contractor, appraisal management pinc , influenced, or attempted of my appraisal through coercion, extortion, or in any other manner. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Clear Capital r in our business relationship: | has never participated in any of the |
| 1) Withholding or threa | tening to withhold timely payme | nt or partial payment for an appraisal report; |

- Withholding or threatening to withhold future business with me, or demoting or terminating or threatening to demote or terminate me;
- 3) Expressly or impliedly promising future business, promotions, or increased compensation for myself;
- Conditioning the ordering of my appraisal report or the payment of my appraisal fee or salary or bonus on the opinion, conclusion, or valuation to be reached, or on a preliminary value estimate requested from me;
- 5) Requesting that I provide an estimated, predetermined, or desired valuation in an appraisal report prior to the completion of the appraisal report, or requesting that I provide estimated values or comparable sales at any time prior to my completion of an appraisal report;
- 6) Provided me an anticipated, estimated, encouraged, or desired value for a subject property or a proposed or target amount to be loaned to the borrower, except that a copy of the sales contract for purchase transactions may be provided;
- 7) Provided to me, or my appraisal company, or any entity or person related to me as appraiser, appraisal company, stock or other financial or non-financial benefits;
- Any other act or practice that impairs or attempts to impair my independence, objectivity, or impartiality or violates law or regulation, including, but not limited to, the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) and Regulation Z, or the USPAP.

| Augh P. Balchia | 11/03/2023 | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------|
| Signature | Date | |
| JOSEPH P BALDINO | AR001957 | |
| Appraiser's Name | State License or Certification # | |
| IFA | 02/12/2025 | CA |
| State Title or Designation | Expiration Date of License or Certification | State |
| | | |
| | | |

10026 McLennan Ave, North Hills, CA 91343-1012 Address of Property Appraised

05/13

Supplemental Addendum

| | | | | 2011-02 |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| Borrower | REDWOOD HOLDINGS | | | |
| Property Address | 10026 McLennan Ave | | | |
| City | North Hills | County Los angeles | State CA | Zip Code 91343-1012 |
| Lender/Client | WEDGEWOOD INC | | | |

File No 2211 02

THIS IS AN APPRAISAL REPORT NOT A SUMMARY REPORT AS STATED ON THE PREPROGRAMMED APPRAISAL FORM NO PERSONAL PROPERTY WAS INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT

ALL SALES ARE WITHIN THE NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES & SOME SALES ARE FOUND ACROSS MAJOR ROADWAYS & THEY ARE STILL CONSIDERED IN THE SAME AREA & BEING ACROSS PLUMMER IS STILL THE SAME AREA

I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

URAR : Neighborhood - Description

The subject is located in a mature stable area. It is in good proximity to many diversified financial, industrial, service & movie industry centers. Neighborhood shows average to good maintenance. The subject is located minutes from major traffic arteries on Plummer & Woodley. The subject is located one mile west of the 405 fwy. The subject is located within 20 minutes from major retail, entertainment and employment opportunities in Northridge, Woodland Hills & Burbank. The subject is 30 minutes from downtown Los Angeles.

EXPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT IS 1 TO 3 MONTHS. EXPOSURE TIME IS THE LENGTH OF TIME THE PROPERTY INTEREST BEING APPRAISED WOULD HAVE BEEN OFFERED ON THE MARKET PRIOR TO THE HYPOTHETICAL CONSUMMATION OF A SALE AT THE VALUE ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL. IT IS A RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS BASED ON AN ANALYSIS OF PAST EVENTS ASSUMING A COMPETITIVE & OPEN MARKET. EXPOSURE TIME IS ALWAYS PRESUMED TO OCCUR PRIOR TO EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL.

Use of the appraisal by any party stipulates to an agency relationship between that party and the appraiser whether through intermediaries or not; further that any party charging the borrower or collecting appraisal fees from the borrower(s)for the appraisal hereby agrees that they are responsible for insuring full payment is made to the appraiser for the appraisal

THE APPRAISER'S OFFICE IS WITHIN 15 MILES OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. IN THE PAST 5 YEARS I HAVE COMPLETED 10 TO 15 APPRAISALS A YEAR IN THIS AREA. I HAVE ACCESS TO CLAW MLS & CRMLS THE 2 DOMINANT MLS SERVICES IN THE AREA. I LIVE IN THE SUBJECT COUNTY & HAVE PERFORMED APPRAISALS IN THE ARE FOR OVER 45 YEARS.

NO EMPLOYEE, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OR AGENT OF THE LENDER, OR ANY OTHER THIRD PARTY ACTING AS A JOINT VENTURE PARTNER, INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR, APPRAISAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY, OR PARTNER ON BEHALF OF THE LENDER, SHALL INFLUENCE OR ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DEVELOPMENT, REPORTING RESULT OR REVIEW OF AN APPRAISAL THROUGH COERCION, EXTORTION, COLLUSION, COMPENSATION, INSTRUCTION, INDUCEMENT, INTIMIDATION, BRIBERY OR IN ANY OTHER MANNER.

I HAVE NOT BEEN CONTACTED BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE INTENDED USER(LENDER/CLIENT AS IDENTIFIED ON THE FIRST PAGE OF THE REPORT), BORROWER, OR DESIGNATED CONTACT TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO ENTER THE PROPERTY. I AGREE TO IMMEDIATELY REPORT ANY UNAUTHORIZED CONTACTS EITHER PERSONALLY BY PHONE OR ELECTRONICALLY TO CLEAR CAPITAL

THE SUBJECT IS NOT LOCATED NEXT TO ANY COMMERCIAL PROPERTY THAT WOULD EFFECT THE MARKETABILITY OF THE SUBJECT.

HIGHEST & BEST USE WAS DETERMINED AS IMPROVED BASED ON ITS CURRENT USE BEING LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE.

PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE & MOST PROFITABLE

ALL SALES ARE WITHIN THE NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES & SOME SALES ARE FOUND ACROSS MAJOR ROADWAYS & THEY ARE STILL CONSIDERED IN THE SAME AREA & BEING ACROSS DEVONSHIRE OR LASSEN OR HAYVENHURST IS STILL THE SAME AREA

Subject Photo Page

| Borrower | REDWOOD HOLDINGS | | | • | • |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Property Address | 10026 McLennan Ave | | | | |
| City | North Hills | County Los angel | s State CA | A Zip Code | 91343-1012 |
| Lender/Client | WEDGEWOOD INC | | | | |



Subject Front

10026 McLennan Ave

Borrower/Client

Lender 1,738 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7666 sf Quality Q4 Age 67



Subject Rear



Subject Street

Comparable Photo Page #1-3

| Borrower | REDWOOD HOLDINGS | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|-------|----|----------|------------|--|
| Property Address | 10026 McLennan Ave | | | | | | | |
| City | North Hills | County | LOS ANGELES | State | CA | Zip Code | 91343-1012 | |
| Lender/Client | WEDGEWOOD INC | | | | | | | |



Comparable 1

10140 Gerald Ave

Lender 0.41 miles NE Sale Price 895,000 Gross Living Area 1,700 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6813 sf Quality Q4 Age 64



Comparable 2

10335 Ruffner Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.45 miles NE Sale Price 700,000 Gross Living Area 1,704 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 8397 sf Quality Q4 Age 65



Comparable 3

16963 Citronia St

Prox. to Subject 0.42 miles S Sale Price 970,000 Gross Living Area 1,714 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 4 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7698 sf Quality Q4 Age 63

Comparable Photo Page

| Borrower | REDWOOD HOLDINGS | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|-------|----|----------|------------|
| Property Address | 10026 McLennan Ave | <u> </u> | | · | | | · |
| City | North Hills | County | LOS ANGELES | State | CA | Zip Code | 91343-1012 |
| Lender/Client | WEDGEWOOD INC | | | | | | |



Comparable 4

10008 Petit Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.19 miles E Sales Price 752,000 Gross Living Area 1,598 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7503 sf Quality Q4 Age 66



Comparable 5

16909 Kinzie St

Prox. to Subject 0.28 miles S Sales Price 880,000 Gross Living Area 1,780 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7427 sf Quality Q4 Age 64

Comparable 6

Prox. to Subject
Sales Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

55559 File No. **2311-02**

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C/

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and ungrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

| Abbreviation | Full Name | Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Α | Adverse | Location & View |
| ac | Acres | Area, Site |
| AdjPrk AdjPwr | Adjacent to Park | Location |
| ArmLth | Adjacent to Power Lines Arms Length Sale | Location Sale or Financing Concessions |
| AT | Attached Structure | Design (Style) |
| В | Beneficial | Location & View |
| ba | Bathroom(s) | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| br | Bedroom | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| BsyRd | Busy Road | Location |
| С | Contracted Date | Date of Sale/Time |
| Cash | Cash Commercial Influence | Sale or Financing Concessions Location |
| Comm | Conventional | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| Ср | Carport | Garage/Carport |
| CrtOrd | Court Ordered Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| CtySky | City View Skyline View | View |
| CtyStr | City Street View | View |
| CV | Covered | Garage/Carport |
| DOM | Days On Market | Data Sources |
| DT | Detached Structure | Design (Style) |
| dw | Driveway Series Pete | Garage/Carport |
| e Fototo | Expiration Date | Date of Sale/Time |
| Estate FHA | Estate Sale Federal Housing Authority | Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | Garage | Garage/Carport |
| g ga | Attached Garage | Garage/Carport |
| gbi | Built-in Garage | Garage/Carport |
| gd | Detached Garage | Garage/Carport |
| GlfCse | Golf Course | Location |
| Glfvw | Golf Course View | View |
| GR | Garden | Design (Style) |
| HR | High Rise | Design (Style) |
| in | Interior Only Stairs | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| Ind | Industrial | Location & View |
| Listing | Listing | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| Lndfl | Landfill | Location |
| LtdSght | Limited Sight | View |
| MR Mtn | Mid-rise Mountain View | Design (Style) View |
| N | Neutral | Location & View |
| NonArm | Non-Arms Length Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| 0 | Other | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| 0 | Other | Design (Style) |
| ор | Open | Garage/Carport |
| Prk | Park View | View |
| Pstrl | Pastoral View | View |
| PwrLn | Power Lines | View |
| PubTrn | Public Transportation | Location |
| Relo | Relocation Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| REO | REO Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| Res RH | Residential USDA - Rural Housing | Location & View Sale or Financing Concessions |
| rr | Recreational (Rec) Room | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| RT | Row or Townhouse | Design (Style) |
| S | Settlement Date | Date of Sale/Time |
| SD | Semi-detached Structure | Design (Style) |
| Short | Short Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| sf | Square Feet | Area, Site, Basement |
| sqm | Square Meters | Area, Site |
| Unk | Unknown | Date of Sale/Time |
| VA | Veterans Administration | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| W | Withdrawn Date | Date of Sale/Time |
| Woods | Walk Out Basement | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View |
| Woods Wtr | Woods View Water View | View |
| WtrFr | Water Frontage | Location |
| Wu | Walk Up Basement | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| - · · · | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE

Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency

Joseph P. Baldino

California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title: has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of

"Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser"

This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and

Certification Law.

BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:

AR 001957

Effective Date:
Date Expires:

February 13, 2023 February 12, 2025

Loretta Dillon, Deputy Bureau Chief, BREA





LIA Administrators & Insurance Services APPRAISAL AND VALUATION PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICY

DECLARATIONS

ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

(A stock insurance company herein called the "Company")
175 Capitol Blvd. Suite 100
Rocky Hill, CT 06067

| Date Issued | Policy Number | Previous Policy Number |
|-------------|---------------|------------------------|
| 02/23/2023 | AAI006008-08 | AAI006008-07 |

THIS IS A **CLAIMS** MADE AND REPORTED POLICY. COVERAGE IS LIMITED TO LIABILITY FOR ONLY THOSE **CLAIMS** THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE **INSURED** DURING THE **POLICY PERIOD** AND THEN REPORTED TO THE COMPANY IN WRITING NO LATER THAN SIXTY (60) DAYS AFTER EXPIRATION OR TERMINATION OF THIS POLICY, OR DURING THE **EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD**, IF APPLICABLE, FOR A **WRONGFUL ACT** COMMITTED ON OR AFTER THE **RETROACTIVE DATE** AND BEFORE THE END OF THE **POLICY PERIOD**. PLEASE READ THE POLICY CAREFULLY.

Item

| 1. Customer ID: 168108 Named Insured: BALDINO, JOSEPH P. 3101 Mesa Verde Dr. Burbank, CA 91504 | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2. Policy Period: From: 04/04/2023 To: 04/04/2024 12:01 A M Standard Time at the address stated in 1 above. | |
| 3. Deductible: \$1,000 Each Claim | - |
| 4. Retroactive Date: 06/05/2000 | |
| 5. Inception Date: 04/04/2016 | |
| 6. Limits of Liability: A. \$1,000,000 Each Claim B. \$2,000,000 Aggregate | |
| 7. Mail all notices, including notice of Claim, to: LIA Administrators & Insurance Services 1600 Anacapa Street Santa Barbara, California 93101 (800) 334-0652; Fax: (805) 962-0652 | |
| 8. Annual Premium: \$1,087.00 | |
| 9. Forms attached at issue: LIA002 (12/14) LIA CA (11/LIA021 (10/14) LIA143 (10/14) | 14) LIA012 (12/14) LIA018 (10/14) |
| This Declarations Page, together with the completed and signed Policy the Policy shall constitute the contract between the Named Insured and | |
| 02/22/2023 | (K 1.) .e |
| Date By | Authorized Signature |
| LIA-001 (12/14) | Aspen American Insurance Company |

PUBLIC RECORDS

11/1/23, 3:16 PM Property Detail Printout



County Last Updated: 10/23/2023

Property Location

Zip: 91343-1012 Address: 10026 MCLENNAN AVE City: NORTH HILLS

Use Code: Single Family Residence County: Los Angeles APN#: 2685-005-005

Tract: 18887 Census Tract: 1114.01 Zone: LARS Legal Desc: TRACT # 18887 LOT 24 Map Page/Grid: 501/ D4

Total Assessed Value: 259,836 Tax Amount: 3,411.76

Tax Year / 2023 / 2023 Assessor Year: Percent 0.64

Current Owner Information

Current Owner: ZUMARRAGA,NANCY/THE NANCY ZUMARRAGA LIVING TRUST Owner Address: 10026 MCLENNAN AVE

City, State, Zip: NORTH HILLS, CA, 91343-1012 Owner Occupied: Yes

Last Transaction: 05/28/2021 Deed Type: quitclaim/deed of trust

Amount: Document: 0000861683

Last Sale Information

Transferred From: KIM, EUN J Seller Address:

Recording / Sale Date: 05/05/1997 / Prior Recording / Sale Date: 12/05/1994 /

Most Recent Sale Price: 166,000 Prior Sale Price: 168,500

Prior Document 0002162978 Document Number: 0000675712

Prior Document Type: grant deed/deed of trust Document Type: grant deed/deed of trust

Lender Information

Lender: COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS IN Full/Partial: F

Loan Amount / 2nd 149,400 / Loan Type: conventional fix

Physical Information

Lot Size Sqft / 7,663 / Building Area: 1,738 # of Bedrooms: 3 Acreage: 0.18 Year Built / Effective: 1956 / Additional: 0 # of Bathrooms: 2,00


Heating: Central # of Stories: 1 Garage: 0 First Floor: 0 Total Rooms: 9 Cooling: Second Floor: 0 # of Units: 0 Roof Type: Third Floor: 0 Garage/Carport: Garage Construction/Quality: / 0 Basement Finished: 0 Fireplaces: 0 **Building Shape:**

Basement 0 Unfinished: Pool/Spa: Yes View:

©2023 Copyright All Rights Reserved. ParcelQuest www.parcelquestappraise.com

https://www.parcelquestappraise.com/Search/Property_Detail_Report.aspx?PID=30962034&FIPS=06037

1/1



CMA - Page 1

| | | | | Br/Ba So | ft | LSqft | List F | rice | Sold Price | \$/Sqf | t SP/LP% |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Total Listings: 41 Total on Market: 3 Sold/Exp Ratio: 0.00 | | Maximum: Minimum: Average: Median: | | 2/2 1, 3/2 1, | 094 406 751 717 | 11,151 6,882 8,614 8,159 | \$1,550,000 \$499,999 \$929,315 \$925,000 | | \$1,450,000 \$700,000 \$932,606 \$944,950 | \$406.1 \$534.5 | 2 3 |
| Active | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Address | City | YrBuilt | Sale Type | List Date | Br | Bath | CDOM | Sqft | LSqft | \$/Sqft | Price |
| 16547 Labrador ST | NR | 1955 | STD | 10/09/2023 | 5 | 2 | 22/514 | 1,591 | 6,882 | \$534.25 | \$849,999 |
| 10152 Gerald AV | NOH | 1960 | STD | 09/24/2023 | 3 | 2 | 38/38 | 1,627 | 6,913 | \$547.02 | \$890,000 |
| 10335 Ruffner AV | GH | 1956 | STD | 10/24/2023 | 4 | 3 | 8/8 | 1,704 | 8,400 | \$627.93 | \$1,070,000 |
| | | | | Maximum | : 5/ | 3 | 514 | 1,704 | 8,400 | \$627.93 | \$1,070,000 |
| | | | | Minimum | 3 | 2 | 8 | 1,591 | 6,882 | \$534.25 | \$849,999 |
| | | | | Average: | 4 | 2 | 187 | 1,641 | 7,398 | \$569.74 | \$936,666 |
| | | | | Median: | 4 | 2 | 38 | 1,627 | 6,913 | \$547.02 | \$890,000 |
| Active Under Contract | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Address | City | YrBuilt | Sale Type | Contract Dat | e Br | Bath | CDOM | Sqft | LSqft | \$/Sqft | Price |
| 10032 Forbes AV | NOH | 1956 | STD | 10/30/2023 | 3 | 2 | 54/54 | 1,854 | 7,744 | \$485.41 | \$899,950 |
| 16524 Casey ST | NOH | 1961 | STD | 10/18/2023 | 3 | 2 | 20/20 | 1,642 | 8,470 | \$563.34 | \$925,00 |
| 9941 Oak Park AV | NR | 1960 | STD | 10/25/2023 | 4 | 3 | 36/36 | 1,789 | 11,004 | \$614.87 | \$1,100,000 |
| | | | | Maximum | : 4/ | 3 | 54 | 1,854 | 11,004 | \$614.87 | \$1,100,000 |
| | | | | Minimum | 110 | 2 | 20 | 1,642 | 7,744 | \$485.41 | \$899,950 |
| | | | | Average: | 3 | 2 | 37 | 1,762 | 9,073 | \$554.54 | \$974,983 |
| | | | | Median: | 3 | 2 | 36 | 1,789 | 8,470 | \$563.34 | \$925,000 |
| Pending | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Address | City | YrBuilt | Sale Type | Contract Dat | e Br | Bath | СДОМ | Sqft | LSqft | \$/Sqft | Price |
| 16730 Marilla ST | NR | 1956 | STD | 10/04/2023 | 3 | 2 | 7/7 | 1,551 | 7,498 | \$515.80 | \$800,000 |
| | | | | Maximum | : 3/ | 2 | 7 | 1,551 | 7,498 | \$515.80 | \$800,000 |
| | | | | Minimum | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1,551 | 7,498 | \$515.80 | \$800,000 |
| | | | | Average: | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1,551 | 7,498 | \$515.80 | \$800,000 |
| | | | | Median: | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1,551 | 7,498 | \$515.80 | \$800,000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Closed | | | | | | | | | LSqft | \$/Sqft | Price |
| Closed Address | City | YrBuilt | Sale Type | COE Date | Br | Bath | CDOM | Sqft | | | \$700,000 |
| | City GH | YrBuilt 1956 | Sale Type PRO | COE Date 08/22/2023 | Br 3 | Bath 3 | 4/4 | 1,704 | 8,397 | \$410.80 | \$700,00 |
| Address | 100 CONTRACT | | | | | | | | | \$410.80 \$485.44 | |
| Address 10335 Ruffner AV | GH | 1956 | PRO | 08/22/2023 | 3 | 3 | 4/4 | 1,704 | 8,397 | Villa E State | \$700,000 |
| Address 10335 Ruffner AV 16657 Marilla ST 10008 Petit AV 16908 Septo ST | GH NR | 1956 1956 | PRO | 08/22/2023 03/14/2023 | 3 | 3 2 | 4/4 0/0 | 1,704 1,442 | 8,397 7,504 | \$485.44 | \$700,000 \$752,000 |
| Address 10335 Ruffner AV 16657 Marilla ST 10008 Petit AV | GH NR NOH | 1956 1956 1957 | PRO STD | 08/22/2023 03/14/2023 10/02/2023 | 3 3 3 | 3 2 3 | 4/4 0/0 3/3 | 1,704 1,442 1,598 | 8,397 7,504 7,503 | \$485.44 \$470.59 | \$700,00 \$752,00 \$765,00 |
| Address 10335 Ruffner AV 16657 Marilla ST 10008 Petit AV 16908 Septo ST | GH NR NOH NOH | 1956 1956 1957 1961 | PRO STD | 08/22/2023 03/14/2023 10/02/2023 02/07/2023 | 3 3 3 4 | 3 2 3 2 | 4/4 0/0 3/3 9/9 7/7 14/14 | 1,704 1,442 1,598 1,698 1,896 1,642 | 8,397 7,504 7,503 7,688 7,076 7,614 | \$485.44 \$470.59 \$450.53 | \$700,000 \$752,000 \$765,000 \$770,000 |
| Address 10335 Ruffner AV 16657 Marilla ST 10008 Petit AV 16908 Septo ST 16426 Septo ST 10008 Odessa AV 9752 Gerald AV | GH NR NOH NOH NOH NOH | 1956 1956 1957 1961 1957 1961 1956 | PRO STD STD STD STD STD | 08/22/2023 03/14/2023 10/02/2023 02/07/2023 04/13/2023 12/14/2022 01/30/2023 | 3 3 4 3 3 3 | 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 | 4/4 0/0 3/3 9/9 7/7 14/14 71/71 | 1,704 1,442 1,598 1,698 1,896 1,642 1,476 | 8,397 7,504 7,503 7,688 7,076 7,614 7,799 | \$485.44 \$470.59 \$450.53 \$406.12 \$486.60 \$541.81 | \$700,000 \$752,000 \$765,000 \$770,000 \$799,000 \$799,70 |
| Address 10335 Ruffner AV 16657 Marilla ST 10008 Petit AV 16908 Septo ST 16426 Septo ST 10008 Odessa AV 9752 Gerald AV 16854 Blackhawk ST | GH NR NOH NOH NOH NOH NR GH | 1956 1956 1957 1961 1957 1961 1956 1957 | PRO STD STD STD STD STD STD | 08/22/2023 03/14/2023 10/02/2023 02/07/2023 04/13/2023 12/14/2022 01/30/2023 12/29/2022 | 3 3 4 3 3 4 | 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 3 | 4/4 0/0 3/3 9/9 7/7 14/14 71/71 133/133 | 1,704 1,442 1,598 1,698 1,896 1,642 1,476 1,704 | 8,397 7,504 7,503 7,688 7,076 7,614 7,799 8,314 | \$485.44 \$470.59 \$450.53 \$406.12 \$486.60 \$541.81 \$469.48 | \$700,00 \$752,00 \$765,00 \$770,00 \$799,00 \$799,70 \$800,00 |
| Address 10335 Ruffner AV 16657 Marilla ST 10008 Petit AV 16908 Septo ST 16426 Septo ST 10008 Odessa AV 9752 Gerald AV 16854 Blackhawk ST | GH NR NOH NOH NOH NOH OH GH | 1956 1956 1957 1961 1957 1961 1956 1957 | PRO STD STD STD STD STD STD STD | 08/22/2023 03/14/2023 10/02/2023 02/07/2023 04/13/2023 12/14/2022 01/30/2023 12/29/2022 04/19/2023 | 3 3 4 3 3 4 3 | 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 3 2 | 4/4 0/0 3/3 9/9 7/7 14/14 71/71 133/133 0/0 | 1,704 1,442 1,598 1,698 1,896 1,642 1,476 1,704 | 8,397 7,504 7,503 7,688 7,076 7,614 7,799 8,314 8,775 | \$485.44 \$470.59 \$450.53 \$406.12 \$486.60 \$541.81 \$469.48 \$467.08 | \$700,000 \$752,000 \$765,000 \$770,000 \$799,000 \$799,70 \$800,000 \$830,000 |
| Address 10335 Ruffner AV 16657 Marilla ST 10008 Petit AV 16908 Septo ST 16426 Septo ST 10008 Odessa AV 9752 Gerald AV 16854 Blackhawk ST 16822 San Jose ST | GH NR NOH NOH NOH NR GH GH NOH | 1956 1956 1957 1961 1957 1961 1956 1957 1956 | PRO STD STD STD STD STD STD STD STD | 08/22/2023 03/14/2023 10/02/2023 02/07/2023 04/13/2023 12/14/2022 01/30/2023 12/29/2022 04/19/2023 10/30/2023 | 3 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 | 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 3 2 3 2 2 | 4/4 0/0 3/3 9/9 7/7 14/14 71/71 133/133 0/0 7/7 | 1,704 1,442 1,598 1,698 1,896 1,642 1,476 1,704 1,777 1,738 | 8,397 7,504 7,503 7,688 7,076 7,614 7,799 8,314 8,775 7,663 | \$485.44 \$470.59 \$450.53 \$406.12 \$486.60 \$541.81 \$469.48 \$467.08 \$483.31 | \$700,00 \$752,00 \$765,00 \$770,00 \$799,00 \$799,70 \$800,00 \$830,00 \$840,00 |
| Address 10335 Ruffner AV 16657 Marilla ST 10008 Petit AV 16908 Septo ST 16426 Septo ST 10008 Odessa AV 9752 Gerald AV 16854 Blackhawk ST 16822 San Jose ST 10026 Mclennan AV | GH NR NOH NOH NOH NR GH GH NOH GH | 1956 1956 1957 1961 1957 1961 1956 1957 1956 1956 | PRO STD STD STD STD STD STD STD STD STD STD | 08/22/2023 03/14/2023 10/02/2023 02/07/2023 04/13/2023 12/14/2022 01/30/2023 12/29/2022 04/19/2023 10/30/2023 03/24/2023 | 3 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 | 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 | 4/4 0/0 3/3 9/9 7/7 14/14 71/71 133/133 0/0 7/7 8/8 | 1,704 1,442 1,598 1,698 1,896 1,642 1,476 1,704 1,777 1,738 1,570 | 8,397 7,504 7,503 7,688 7,076 7,614 7,799 8,314 8,775 7,663 8,729 | \$485.44 \$470.59 \$450.53 \$406.12 \$486.60 \$541.81 \$469.48 \$467.08 \$483.31 \$547.77 | \$700,00 \$752,00 \$765,00 \$770,00 \$799,00 \$799,70 \$800,00 \$830,00 \$840,00 \$860,00 |
| Address 10335 Ruffner AV 16657 Marilla ST 10008 Petit AV 16908 Septo ST 16426 Septo ST 10008 Odessa AV 9752 Gerald AV 16854 Blackhawk ST 16822 San Jose ST 10026 Mclennan AV 10347 Gerald AV | GH NR NOH NOH NOH OH GH NOH GH NOH | 1956 1956 1957 1961 1957 1961 1956 1956 1956 1961 | PRO STD STD STD STD STD STD STD STD STD STD | 08/22/2023 03/14/2023 10/02/2023 02/07/2023 04/13/2023 12/14/2022 01/30/2023 12/29/2022 04/19/2023 10/30/2023 03/24/2023 05/12/2023 | 3 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 | 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 4/4 0/0 3/3 9/9 7/7 14/14 71/71 133/133 0/0 7/7 8/8 6/6 | 1,704 1,442 1,598 1,698 1,896 1,642 1,476 1,777 1,738 1,570 1,780 | 8,397 7,504 7,503 7,688 7,076 7,614 7,799 8,314 8,775 7,663 8,729 7,427 | \$485.44 \$470.59 \$450.53 \$406.12 \$486.60 \$541.81 \$469.48 \$467.08 \$483.31 \$547.77 \$494.38 | \$700,000 \$752,000 \$765,000 \$770,000 \$799,000 \$800,000 \$830,000 \$840,000 \$860,000 \$880,000 |
| Address 10335 Ruffner AV 16657 Marilla ST 10008 Petit AV 16908 Septo ST 16426 Septo ST 10008 Odessa AV 9752 Gerald AV 16854 Blackhawk ST 16822 San Jose ST 10026 Mclennan AV | GH NR NOH NOH NOH NR GH GH NOH GH | 1956 1956 1957 1961 1957 1961 1956 1957 1956 1956 | PRO STD STD STD STD STD STD STD STD STD STD | 08/22/2023 03/14/2023 10/02/2023 02/07/2023 04/13/2023 12/14/2022 01/30/2023 12/29/2022 04/19/2023 10/30/2023 03/24/2023 | 3 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 | 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 | 4/4 0/0 3/3 9/9 7/7 14/14 71/71 133/133 0/0 7/7 8/8 | 1,704 1,442 1,598 1,698 1,896 1,642 1,476 1,704 1,777 1,738 1,570 | 8,397 7,504 7,503 7,688 7,076 7,614 7,799 8,314 8,775 7,663 8,729 | \$485.44 \$470.59 \$450.53 \$406.12 \$486.60 \$541.81 \$469.48 \$467.08 \$483.31 \$547.77 | \$700,000 \$752,000 \$765,000 \$770,000 \$799,000 \$799,700 \$800,000 \$830,000 \$840,000 \$860,000 |

CMA - Page 2

| | | | | Median: | 3 | 2 | 14 | 1,737 | 8,197 | \$519.66 | \$944,950 |
|-------------------|-----|------|-----|----------------------|--------|---|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | Average: | 3 | 2 | 0 40 | 1,406 1,765 | 6,901 8,714 | \$406.12 \$530.20 | \$700,000 \$932,606 |
| | | | | Maximum: Minimum: | 4 2 | 3 | 260 | 2,094 | 11,151 | \$736.13 | \$1,450,000 |
| 10108 Oak Park AV | NR | 1959 | STD | 06/02/2023 | 4 | 3 | 0/0 | 2,081 | 11,001 | \$696.78 | \$1,450,000 |
| 17148 Stare ST | NR | 1960 | STD | 10/30/2023 | 4 | 3 | 14/14 | 1,789 | 11,008 | \$670,21 | \$1,199,000 |
| 10029 Genesta AV | NR | 1956 | STD | 10/24/2023 | 3 | 2 | 40/40 | 2,036 | 11,069 | \$569.74 | \$1,160,000 |
| 10228 Amestoy AV | NR | 1958 | STD | 08/30/2023 | 4 | 2 | 8/8 | 2,094 | 11,104 | \$525,31 | \$1,100,000 |
| 16850 Marilla ST | NR | 1963 | STD | 08/16/2023 | 4 | 3 | 71/71 | 2,056 | 7,513 | \$510,70 | \$1,050,000 |
| 10015 Whitaker AV | GH | 1956 | STD | 09/25/2023 | 3 | 2 | 16/16 | 1,406 | 8,235 | \$736,13 | \$1,035,000 |
| 10129 Aldea AV | NR | 1959 | STD | 04/06/2023 | 3 | 3 | 0/0 | 2,078 | 11,003 | \$486.04 | \$1,010,000 |
| 16515 Casey ST | NOH | 1961 | STD | 10/11/2023 | 2 | 3 | 63/63 | 1,572 | 7,576 | \$635,50 | \$999,000 |
| 10126 Oak Park AV | NR | 1959 | STD | 09/08/2023 | 4 | 2 | 17/93 | 1,629 | 10,998 | \$598.53 | \$975,000 |
| 16820 Marilla ST | NR | 1963 | STD | 03/22/2023 | 3 | 3 | 27/27 | 2,056 | 8,099 | \$471.30 | \$969,000 |
| 17108 Septo ST | NR | 1960 | STD | 04/13/2023 | 3 | 2 | 6/6 | 1,581 | 10,177 | \$610.37 | \$965,000 |
| 10421 Amestoy AV | GH | 1958 | STD | 07/06/2023 | 3 | 2 | 50/50 | 2,034 | 9,003 | \$474,43 | \$965,000 |
| 9732 Sari PL | NR | 1961 | STD | 09/29/2023 | 3 | 2 | 26/26 | 1,780 | 7,674 | \$539,33 | \$960,000 |
| 10012 Babbitt AV | NR | 1957 | STD | 08/15/2023 | 3 | 2 | 1/1 | 1,610 | 11,001 | \$596,27 | \$960,000 |
| 10034 Genesta AV | NR | 1956 | STD | 01/12/2023 | 4 | 2 | 97/97 | 1,717 | 11,151 | \$553,29 | \$950,000 |
| 17182 San Jose ST | GH | 1963 | STD | 04/28/2023 | 4 | 2 | 202/202 | 1,660 | 8,399 | \$572,29 | \$950,000 |
| 16445 Lassen ST | GH | 1957 | STD | 08/11/2023 | 3 | 2 | 11/11 | 1,736 | 9,309 | \$547,24 | \$950,000 |
| 10341 Wish AV | GH | 1957 | STD | 05/15/2023 | 3 | 3 | 9/9 | 1,799 | 8,159 | \$522,46 | \$939,900 |
| 16923 Labrador ST | NR | 1961 | STD | 03/15/2023 | 3 | 2 | 32/32 | 1,780 | 7,594 | \$516.85 | \$920,000 |
| 10437 Mclennan AV | GH | 1956 | STD | 12/06/2022 | 4 | 3 | 20/20 | 1,980 | 7,890 | \$460,61 | \$912,000 |

Criteria:


Property Type is 'Residential'
Standard Status is one of 'Active', 'Act Under Contract',
'Pending'
Standard Status is 'Closed'
Contract Status Change Date is 11/01/2023 to 11/01/2022
Property Sub Type is 'Single Family Residence'
Living Area is 1400 to 2100
Latitude, Longitude is around 34.25, -118.50

Residential Quick CMA Page 2 of 2

Printed By Joseph Baldino CalBRE: AR001957 on 11/01/23

AERIAL PHOTO

| Borrower | REDWOOD HOLDINGS | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|----|----------|------------|--|
| Property Address | 10026 McLennan Ave | | | | | | |
| City | North Hills | County Los And | ELES State | CA | Zip Code | 91343-1012 | |
| Lander/Client | WEDGEWOOD INC | | | | | | |



Location Map

| Borrower | REDWOOD HOLDINGS | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|---------------------|
| Property Address | 10026 McLennan Ave | | | |
| City | North Hills | County Los angeles | State CA | Zip Code 91343-1012 |
| Lender/Client | WEDGEWOOD INC | | | |

