APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY	
LOCATED AT: 78 EI Toyonal RESUB ORINDA PARK TERRACE Block D Lot 61 Orinda, CA 94563	
FOR: Wedgewood Inc 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Redondo Beach, CA 90278	
AS OF: 10/25/2023	
BY: Kathryn Mahan MAHAN APPRAISALS 2308 ROUNDHILL DRIVE ALAMO, CALIFORNIA 94507	

55587 File # 34715109

	he purpose of this summary appraisal repo	rt is to provide the lend	der/client with an	accurate, and adequate	ly supported, opi	nion of the mark	et value	of the subject	property.			
	Property Address 78 El Toyonal			City Orinda		State	CA	Zip Code 9456	33			
	Borrower REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC) Ov	vner of Public Recor	Frank Kokying	Chooi Livi Tru	ıst County	CON	TRA COSTA				
	Legal Description RESUB ORINDA PA	RK TERRACE Block	D Lot 61									
	Assessor's Parcel # 264-190-002-8			Tax Year 2022		R.E. Ta		5,567				
5	Neighborhood Name Orinda Park Terrac				36084		s Tract 3					
-	Occupant 🔀 Owner 🔲 Tenant 🔲 Vaca	<u>.</u>	ecial Assessments \$	0	PU	D HOA \$ 0		per year	per month			
<u>Š</u>	Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple		ther (describe)									
"	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transac										
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc			Manhattan Beach		·	<u></u>					
	s the subject property currently offered for sale or has it been offered for sale in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal? Yes No											
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and date(s). Realist/MLS											
8	did did not analyze the contract for sale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain the results of the analysis of the contract for sale or why the analysis was not											
	performed.	sale for the subject purchas	oc transaction. Expla	in the results of the analy	7313 OF LITE CONTRACT	ioi saic of wily the	anaiyoio	was not				
	solitorii ou.											
Ձլ	Contract Price \$ Date of Con	ract	s the property seller	the owner of public reco	rd? Yes	No Data Sou	urce(s)					
-	s there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			(-)	Yes	No			
င္ပ	f Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe		, , ,	., , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							
		·										
j	Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood are not ap	praisal factors.									
j	Neighborhood Characteristics		One-Uni	t Housing Trends		One-Unit Hoเ	using	Present Land	d Use %			
	Location 🗌 Urban 🔀 Suburban 🔲	Rural Property Val	ues 🔲 Increasin	g 🔀 Stable	Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	70 %			
Δ.	Built-Up 🗌 Over 75% 🔀 25-75% 📗	Under 25% Demand/Sup	pply Shortage	🔀 In Balance	Over Supply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	%			
вокноор	Growth Rapid X Stable	Slow Marketing Ti	ime 🔀 Under 3 r	nths 3-6 mths	Over 6 mths	835 Low	22	Multi-Family	%			
¥.	Neighborhood Boundaries Briones Pres	erve to the North; O	akland city limit	s to the West; Hwy	y 24 to the	3,450 High	93	Commercial	%			
요.	South and Camino Pablo to the East					1,613 Pred.	68	Other	30 %			
9		rimarily of single fam										
	minutes. Transportation, shopping &								e, no			
	detriment. *Predom value reflects sm											
	Market Conditions (including support for the abov	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		inventory with 98%								
	rates have continually increased ove			borrow money, b	ut demand ren	nains high in s	ubject's	market area	due			
	to proximity of major business center			Shar	NA Destancial		View D.	N Atro :				
	Dimensions 88'x42'x48'x58'x66'x84' Specific Zoning Classification RL-20		Area 10,200 sf	Residential Low D	Rectangula	ır	View B;	Mitn;				
		conforming (Grandfathered										
	s the highest and best use of subject property as			3		Yes No	If No, des	cribe Current	tuco			
	single family is legally permissible, phy					103110	11 110, 003	clibe Current	ı use,			
	Utilities Public Other (describe)	sically possible, illian		describe)		ovements - Type		Public F	Private			
	Electricity \(\sum \)	Water	X 🗆		Street Aspl			X				
=	Gas 🗙 🗌	Sanitary Sewe			Alley Non							
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes	No FEMA Flood Zor	ne X	FEMA Map # 060)13C0264F		EMA Map	Date 06/16/2	009			
	Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical		X Yes	No If No, describe								
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external t	actors (easements, encroa	chments, environme	ntal conditions, land use	s, etc.)?	Yes	X No	If Yes, describe				
	Subject is located in an established r	esidential market are	ea, lot size and	utility is typical of a	area. No advei	se site influen	ces obs	served.				
	0(-) f D O		Files MIO	2 A	T D	Dianteration)t-0				
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pr	operty Appraisal	Files MLS	Assessment and		Prior Inspection	F	Property Owner				
J	Other (describe) General Description	General Desci	rintion	Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling	-	Realist menities		Car Storage				
١	Units One One with Accessory Unit		•	FWA HWBB	X Firepla		None					
١	# of Stories 1	Full Basement	Finished	Radiant		. ,	Drive		s 2			
	Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Partial Basement	Finished	Other			Driveway		ncrete			
	Existing Proposed Under Const.			uel gas		Covered	Garag					
	Design (Style) Ranch		comp/Avg	Central Air Conditioni			X Carpo					
	Year Built 1951	Gutters & Downspouts N		Individual		Wood	X Attac	hed Deta	ched			
	Effective Age (Yrs) 20	Window Type S	liding/Avg	▼ Other None	Other	None	Built-	in				
	Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven	🔀 Dishwasher 🔀 [Disposal Micr	owave Washer/Dr	ryer 🗌 Other (describe)						
S	Finished area above grade contains:	8 Rooms	3 Bedrooms	2.0 Bath(s)	2,23	3 Square Feet of	Gross Liv	ing Area Above G	rade			
7	Additional features (special energy efficient items	etc.) Solar pane	els, unknown if	they are owned or	leased							
ZΕ												
~	Describe the condition of the property and data so							r inspection				
Ä.	completed as part of this analysis, ex											
н	maintained with no obvious signs of			eration for 2006 M	ILS listing give	n tor details or	n impro	vements. The	use			
١	of an extraordinary assumption may	aπecτ assignment re	SUITS.									
١												
١	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ac	lverse conditions that affect	t the livability sound	ness or structural integr	ity of the property?		Yes 🔀	No.				
	rie there any apparent physical deliciencies of at If Yes, describe.	iroroo oonunuuno mat anet	. aro irvability, Stuffu	noos, or structural integr	יני טי נווט טוטטטונץ!		100	N 110				
	None observed from exterior inspecti	on at street										
١	nane observed nom exterior inspecti	on at 311001.										
١												
	Does the property generally conform to the neigh	oorhood (functional utility, s	style, condition, use,	construction, etc.)?	X	Yes No If No	o, describ	е.				
۱		. , ,	,	. ,								

Page 1 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 55587 File # 34715109

55587

					e from \$ 1,750,000		95,000 .
					price from \$ 1,200,0		2,800,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT		LE SALE # 1		BLE SALE # 2		LE SALE # 3
Address 78 El Toyonal		43 Berkeley Ave		4 Mariposa Ln		5 Bonita Ln	
Orinda, CA 9456	3	Orinda, CA 9456	3	Orinda, CA 945	563	Orinda, CA 9456	3
Proximity to Subject	Φ.	0.51 miles NW	h	0.14 miles S	h .==0.000	0.04 miles E	¢ , =00,000
Sale Price Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0 sq.ft.	\$ 754.40 caft	\$ 1,595,000	\$ 978.19 sq.	\$ 1,570,000	\$ 870.66 sq.ft.	\$ 1,730,000
Data Source(s)	φ () 54.1ι.	\$ 754.49 sq.ft. BayMLS#41025	•	ण 978.19 ३५ BayMLS#4102		BayMLS#410285	
Verification Source(s)		TaxRec/Orig\$1.5		TaxRec/Orig\$1		TaxRec/Orig\$1.5	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	2200111111111	ArmLth	. () \$ / tajasansin	ArmLth	()	ArmLth	. () \$ riajacanoni
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0		Conv;0	
Date of Sale/Time		s05/23;c05/23		s05/23;c04/23		s06/23;c05/23	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	
Site	10,200 sf	10,200 sf		10,000 sf	0	30,000 sf	-79,200
View	B;Mtn;	B;Mtn;		B;Mtn;		N;Woods;	+30,000
Design (Style)	DT1;Ranch	DT1;Ranch		DT1;Ranch		DT1;Contemp	0
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4	
Actual Age	72	69	0	75		36	-36,000
Condition Above Grade	C4 Total Bdrms. Baths	C4 Total Bdrms. Baths		C3 Total Bdrms. Bath	-75,000	Total Bdrms. Baths	-100,000
Room Count	Total Bdrms. Baths 8 3 2.0		-20,000			8 3 2.0	
Gross Living Area	2,233 sq.ft.	2,114 sq.ft.					+36,900
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf	+17,000	0sf	+94,200	0sf	+30,900
Rooms Below Grade	031	031		031		031	
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Average	
Heating/Cooling	Fau	Fau		Fau/CAC	-1.000	Fau/CAC	-1,000
Energy Efficient Items	Solar*	None	0	None		None	0
Garage/Carport	2cp2dw	2gbi2dw		2ga4dw		2cp2dw	
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Deck	Porch/Deck		Porch/Deck		Porch/Patio	0
Pool/Other	None	None		None		None	
					1.		
Net Adjustment (Total)		<u></u> + 🗶 -	\$ -12,150		\$ 8,200		\$ -149,300
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 0.8 %		Net Adj. 0.5		Net Adj. 8.6 %	
of Comparables	iba aala ay tuawafay biata	Gross Adj. 3.0 %	, ,		% \$ 1,578,200	Gross Adj. 16.4 %	\$ 1,580,700
I 🔀 did 🗌 did not research t	ine sale or transfer histo	ory of the subject prope	erty and comparable sale	es. if not, explain			
My research did X did	not reveal any prior sale	s or transfers of the su	hiect property for the th	ree years prior to the	effective date of this appr	raisal	
Data Source(s) Realist/Tax		3 01 1141131013 01 1110 30	ibject property for the th	roc yours prior to the	chootive date of this appl	uioui.	
Troundy Tu		s or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the	vear prior to the date	of sale of the comparable	sale.	
Data Source(s) Realist/Tax			'	'			
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the prior	sale or transfer history	y of the subject property	and comparable sale	es (report additional prior	sales on page 3).	
ITEM	Sl	JBJECT	COMPARABLE S	ALE #1	COMPARABLE SALE #2	2 COMPA	RABLE SALE #3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer							
Data Source(s)	Realist		Realist	Rea		Realist	
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	10/25/2023		10/25/2023	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25/2023	10/25/2023	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	story of the subject pro	perty and comparable s	sales No	transfers noted	in the last 3 years.		
Summary of Sales Comparison Ap	proach SFF A	TTACHED ADDE	FNDUM Emphasis	s placed on com	ips 1 and 2 due to r	most similar condi	tion with
Summary of Sales Comparison Ap	•			-	ps 1 and 2 due to r		
consideration for comp 3	due to proximity. (Comp 2 has newly	y remodeled kitche	en and comps 3	, 4 and 5 have beer	n remodeled throu	ghout with
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes thro	due to proximity. (Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments	y remodeled kitche warranted based	en and comps 3 on paired sales	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted	n remodeled throu d that comps 4 an	ghout with d 5 are located
consideration for comp 3	due to proximity. Oughout and cond r yard utlity and n	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments o site area adjust	y remodeled kitche warranted based ments are warrant	en and comps 3 on paired sales	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted	n remodeled throu d that comps 4 an	ghout with d 5 are located
consideration for comp 3 c contemporary finishes thro on hillside lots with inferio	due to proximity. Oughout and cond r yard utlity and n	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments o site area adjust	y remodeled kitche warranted based ments are warrant	en and comps 3 on paired sales	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted	n remodeled throu d that comps 4 an	ghout with d 5 are located
consideration for comp 3 c contemporary finishes thro on hillside lots with inferio	due to proximity. Oughout and cond r yard utlity and n	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments o site area adjust	y remodeled kitche warranted based ments are warrant	en and comps 3 on paired sales	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted	n remodeled throu d that comps 4 an	ghout with d 5 are located
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes throon hillside lots with inferio with slight view adjustmen	due to proximity. (oughout and cond r yard utlity and no it warranted base	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments o site area adjust	y remodeled kitche warranted based ments are warrant	en and comps 3 on paired sales	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted	n remodeled throu d that comps 4 an	ghout with d 5 are located
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes throon hillside lots with inferiowith slight view adjustmen	due to proximity. (bughout and cond ryard utlity and not warranted based on Approach \$ 1	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments o site area adjust d on paired sales	y remodeled kitche warranted based ments are warrant analysis.	en and comps 3 on paired sales led based on pa	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted ired sales analysis.	n remodeled throu d that comps 4 an Comp 3 has a wo	ghout with d 5 are located coded view
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes throon hillside lots with inferio with slight view adjustmen	due to proximity. (bughout and cond ryard utlity and not warranted based on Approach \$ 1	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments o site area adjust d on paired sales	y remodeled kitche warranted based ments are warrant	en and comps 3 on paired sales led based on pa	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted ired sales analysis.	n remodeled throu d that comps 4 an	ghout with d 5 are located coded view
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes throon hillside lots with inferiowith slight view adjustmen	due to proximity. (bughout and cond r yard utlity and no it warranted base on Approach \$ 1, arison Approach \$	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments to site area adjust d on paired sales 580,000 1,580,000	y remodeled kitche warranted based ments are warrant analysis. Cost Approach (if deve	en and comps 3 on paired sales ded based on pa	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted ired sales analysis.	n remodeled throu d that comps 4 an Comp 3 has a wo	ghout with d 5 are located coded view
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes throon hillside lots with inferio with slight view adjustments and cated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp	due to proximity. (bughout and cond r yard utlity and no it warranted base on Approach \$ 1, arison Approach \$	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments of site area adjust don paired sales 580,000 1,580,000 PPROACH TO V	y remodeled kitche warranted based ments are warrant analysis. Cost Approach (if deve 'ALUE. INCOME	en and comps 3 on paired sales ded based on pa eloped)\$ 1,60 APPROACH WA	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted ired sales analysis. 1,183 Income App AS NOT CONSIDE	n remodeled through that comps 4 and Comp 3 has a wood of the comp 3 ha	ghout with d 5 are located coded view THE LEAST
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes throon hillside lots with inferio with slight view adjustmen Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp EMPHASIS WAS PLACE RELIABLE APPROACH A APPROACH TO VALUE.	due to proximity. (bughout and cond ryard utlity and not warranted based on Approach \$ 1, arison Approach \$ D ON MARKET A	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments of site area adjust of on paired sales 580,000 1,580,000 PPROACH TO VIT RENTAL DAT	y remodeled kitches warranted based ments are warranted analysis. Cost Approach (if developments analysis)	en and comps 3 on paired sales ded based on pa eloped) \$ 1,60 APPROACH WA IF APPLIED - L	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted ired sales analysis. 1,183 Income App AS NOT CONSIDE ITTLE WEIGHT W.	n remodeled through that comps 4 and Comp 3 has a wood or comp 3 has a w	ghout with d 5 are located coded view THE LEAST E COST
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes throon hillside lots with inferio with slight view adjustmen Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp EMPHASIS WAS PLACE RELIABLE APPROACH A APPROACH TO VALUE. This appraisal is made are contemporary as well as we	due to proximity. (bughout and cond r yard utlity and not twarranted based on Approach \$ 1, arison Approach \$ D ON MARKET AND INSUFFICIE!	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments of site area adjust of on paired sales 580,000 1,580,000 PPROACH TO VIT RENTAL DAT completion per plans	y remodeled kitches warranted based ments are warranted analysis. Cost Approach (if developments and analysis) A IN THE AREA. and specifications o	en and comps 3 on paired sales ed based on pa eloped) \$ 1,60 APPROACH WA IF APPLIED - L n the basis of a h	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted ired sales analysis. 1,183 Income App AS NOT CONSIDE ITTLE WEIGHT W. 1,171 ypothetical condition the	or remodeled through that comps 4 and Comp 3 has a wood of the comp 3 h	ghout with d 5 are located coded view THE LEAST E COST
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes throon hillside lots with inferio with slight view adjustmen Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp EMPHASIS WAS PLACE RELIABLE APPROACH A APPROACH TO VALUE. This appraisal is made "as icompleted, subject to the	due to proximity. Obughout and conduct yard utility and not the warranted based on Approach \$ 1. Arison Approach \$ D ON MARKET AND INSUFFICIE!	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments of site area adjust of on paired sales 580,000 1,580,000 PPROACH TO VIT RENTAL DAT completion per plans alterations on the bas	y remodeled kitches warranted based ments are warrant analysis. Cost Approach (if developments INCOME ALUE. INCOME AND THE AREA. Is and specifications of a hypothetical company to the second of th	en and comps 3 on paired sales ed based on pa eloped) \$ 1,60 APPROACH W/ IF APPLIED - L on the basis of a hondition that the re	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted ired sales analysis. 1,183 Income App AS NOT CONSIDE ITTLE WEIGHT W. Income appropriet of the pairs or alterations have	or remodeled through that comps 4 and Comp 3 has a wood of the comp 3 h	ghout with d 5 are located coded view THE LEAST E COST
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes throon hillside lots with inferio with slight view adjustmen Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp EMPHASIS WAS PLACE RELIABLE APPROACH A APPROACH TO VALUE. This appraisal is made are contemporary as well as we	due to proximity. Obughout and conduct yard utility and not the warranted based on Approach \$ 1. Arison Approach \$ D ON MARKET AND INSUFFICIE!	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments of site area adjust of on paired sales 580,000 1,580,000 PPROACH TO VIT RENTAL DAT completion per plans alterations on the bas	y remodeled kitches warranted based ments are warrant analysis. Cost Approach (if developments INCOME ALUE. INCOME AND THE AREA. Is and specifications of a hypothetical company to the second of th	en and comps 3 on paired sales ed based on pa eloped) \$ 1,60 APPROACH W/ IF APPLIED - L on the basis of a hondition that the re	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted ired sales analysis. 1,183 Income App AS NOT CONSIDE ITTLE WEIGHT W. Income appropriet of the pairs or alterations have	or remodeled through that comps 4 and Comp 3 has a wood of the comp 3 h	ghout with d 5 are located coded view THE LEAST E COST
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes throon hillside lots with inferio with slight view adjustmen Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp EMPHASIS WAS PLACE RELIABLE APPROACH A APPROACH TO VALUE. This appraisal is made "as is completed, subject to the following required inspection base." Based on a visual inspection	due to proximity. Obughout and conding yard utility and not the warranted based on Approach \$ 1 arison Approach \$ D ON MARKET AND INSUFFICIENTS, Subject to following repairs or a sed on the extraordinal of the exterior are	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments of site area adjust of on paired sales 580,000 1,580,000 PPROACH TO VIT RENTAL DAT completion per plans alterations on the bas ry assumption that the sales of the subject of the sub	y remodeled kitches warranted based ments are warranted analysis. Cost Approach (if developments INCOME A IN THE AREA. Is and specifications of a hypothetical condition or deficie property from at lease property from at lease and specifications of the condition or deficie property from at lease and specifications or deficiency from a lease and specifications or deficiency from a lease and specifica	en and comps 3 on paired sales ed based on pa eloped) \$ 1,60 APPROACH WA IF APPLIED - L In the basis of a h ondition that the re ncy does not require et the street, defin	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted ired sales analysis. 1,183 Income App AS NOT CONSIDE ITTLE WEIGHT W. Income App AS NOT CONSIDER ITTLE WEIGHT W. Income AD AS NOT CONSIDER ITTLE W. Income AD AS NOT CONSIDER I	or remodeled through that comps 4 and Comp 3 has a work of the comp 3 h	ghout with d 5 are located coded view THE LEAST E COST ave been subject to the
consideration for comp 3 contemporary finishes throon hillside lots with inferio with slight view adjustmen Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comp EMPHASIS WAS PLACE RELIABLE APPROACH A APPROACH TO VALUE. This appraisal is made "as icompleted, subject to the	due to proximity. Obughout and conding yard utility and not the warranted based on Approach \$ 1 arison Approach \$ D ON MARKET AND INSUFFICIE! Is", Subject to following repairs or a sed on the extraordinal of the exterior are ertification, my (our	Comp 2 has newly ition adjustments of site area adjust of on paired sales 580,000 1,580,000 PPROACH TO VIT RENTAL DAT completion per plans alterations on the bas ry assumption that the as of the subject propinion of the military assumption of the military assumpti	y remodeled kitches warranted based ments are warranted based analysis. Cost Approach (if developments INCOME A IN THE AREA. Is and specifications of a hypothetical condition or deficie property from at leas market value, as defi	en and comps 3 on paired sales ed based on pa eloped) \$ 1,60 APPROACH W/ IF APPLIED - L on the basis of a h ondition that the re ncy does not require to the street, definined, of the real	, 4 and 5 have beer analysis. It is noted ired sales analysis. 1,183 Income App AS NOT CONSIDE ITTLE WEIGHT W. Income App AS NOT CONSIDER ITTLE WEIGHT W. Income AD AS NOT CONSIDER ITTLE W. Income AD AS NOT CONSIDER I	remodeled through that comps 4 and Comp 3 has a work of the comp 4 has	ghout with d 5 are located coded view THE LEAST E COST ave been subject to the

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

55587

Exterior only inspection ne	Sideritiai Appiaisai rieport	File # 34715109	
ADDENDUM CLARIFYING SCOPE OF WORK AND INTENDED USER:			
THE APPRAISER IS NOT A HOME INSPECTOR AND THE APPRAISAL	REPORT IN NOT A HOME INSPECTIO	ON THE APPRAISER	
PERFORMED ONLY A VISUAL INSPECTION OF ACCESSIBLE AREAS			
CONDITIONS AND/OR DEFECTS IN THE PROPERTY			
THE TESTING OF SYSTEMS(STRUCTURAL, ELECTRICAL, MECHANIC AS APPLIANCES, FIXTURES, DOORS, WINDOWS, ETC.) LIES OUTSII			
VISUAL INSPECTION OF THE EXTERIOR OF THE SUBJECT PROPER	TY FROM THE STREET: A VISUAL OF	BSERVATION OF THE	
UNOBSTRUCTED, EXPOSED, AND ACCESSIBLE FRONT PERIMETER STANDING HEIGHT FROM THE STREET.	OF THE RESIDENTIAL IMPROVEME	NTS AS SEEN FROM A	
GLA WAS DERIVED FROM REALQUEST PROPERTY INFORMATION A COMPLETED DURING THIS INSPECTION.	S RECORDED ON PUBLIC RECORDS	S AND NO MEASURING WAS	
INTENDED USER: THE INTENDED USER OF THIS REPORT IS THE CL	IENT NAMED IN THIS REPORT AND	ITS ULTIMATE FUNDING	
SOURCE. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPRAISAL STANDARD BOA		·	
RELYING ON OF THIS APPRAISAL BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE NATIONAL BY THE APPRAISER.	MED CLIENT AND ITS ULTIMATE FU	JNDING SOURCE IS NOT	
USE: READING THE APPRAISAL REPORT OR POSSESSING THE REF	ORT DOES NOT CONSTITUTE USE.	RELYING ON THE APPRAISA	۱L
REPORT TO UNDERSTAND HOW THE APPRAISER DEVELOPED THE		NSTITUTE USE. USE MEANS	
RELYING ON THE APPRAISAL REPORT TO MAKE A DECISION OR TO	TAKE AN ACTION.		
THIS REPORT IS NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY THE BORROWER FOR THE SUBJECT'S SYSTEMS AND COMPONENTS WHICH MIGHT BE REANY RELEVANT FIELDS. THIS APPRAISAL DOES NOT GUARANTEE PROBLEMS, POSSIBLE DEFECTS OR ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS T	EVEALED BY ANY INSPECTIONS BY I	LICENSED PROFESSIONALS I	
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	S.		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM	IILAR, UNIMPROVED LAND IN	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOL	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR	ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR	ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE	ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS	000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @\$	ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS E BEEN RETAINED IN THE =\$ 975,0 350.00 =\$ 781,5	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD.	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$	### ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ### 975,0 ### 350.00	550
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefole NOT APPLICABLE. The SITE value was estimated using the Appraisers work file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Online Cost Service/Local Bldg Survey Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck	### SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ### ### \$ 975,0 ### 350.00	550
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$	### STAND VALUE WAS EDEEN RETAINED IN THE ### STAND	000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefole NOT APPLICABLE. The SITE value was estimated using the Appraisers work file. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Online Cost Service/Local Bldg Survey Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New	### STAND VALUE WAS EDEEN RETAINED IN THE ### \$ 975,0 ### 350.00	000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 270,367	## ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ### 975,0 ## 350.00	550 000 000 550 367)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 270,367 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	### ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ### 975,0 ### 350.00	550 000 000 550 367)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 270,367	## ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ### 975,0 ## 350.00	550 000 000 550 367)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30%	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 270,367 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	## ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	550 000 550 367) 183
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 270,367 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	### ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ### 975,0 ### 350.00	550 000 550 367) 183
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30%	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 270,367 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	## ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefold NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Online Cost Service/Local Bldg Survey Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data See Add. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 270,367 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae)	### ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ### 975,0 ### 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE SUMMARY OF INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE ESTIMATED APPROACH (including support for market rent and GRM)	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$	### ROACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ### 975,0 ### 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 270,367 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable)	## SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$	## SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir THE SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Insured the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$	## SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$	## SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting THE SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOR NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Include the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$	## SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir THE SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOL NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE SUMMARY OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Insurable of Units rented Total number of Units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$	## SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir THE SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOI NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$	## SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir THE SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOI NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$	## SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estir THE SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFOI NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE A APPRAISERS WORK FILE. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$	## SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject of the subject of cost data. The site value was estimated using the approach subject of cost data. The site value was estimated using the subject of cost data. The site value was estimated by survey (audity rating from cost service. AVE. Effective date of cost data. SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.). REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS. ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 43 Years. INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE STRONG CAUTION IS STR	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$	## SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject of the subject of cost data. The site value was estimated using the approach subject of cost data. The site value was estimated using the subject of cost data. The site value was estimated by survey (audity rating from cost service. AVE. Effective date of cost data. SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.). REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS. ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30% Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 43 Years. INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE STRONG CAUTION IS STR	s. nating site value) SALES OF SIM RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPR BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,233 Sq.Ft. @ \$ O Sq.Ft. @ \$ Porch/Deck Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 270,367 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.	## SOACH TO LAND VALUE WAS EBEEN RETAINED IN THE ## 975,0 ## 350.00	000 000 550 367) 183 000

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

55587 File # 34715109

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist.

 Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 55587 File # 34715109

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

55587 File # 34715109

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER Signature Approximation of the state of the st	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Kathryn Mahan	Name
Company Name Mahan Appraisals	Company Name
Company Address 2308 Roundhill Drive	Company Address
Alamo, CA 94507	
Telephone Number (925) 683-9389	Telephone Number
Email Address <u>katiemahanappraiser@gmail.com</u>	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 10/27/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 10/25/2023	State Certification #
State Certification # AR030747	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 05/08/2025	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED 78 El Toyonal Orinda, CA 94563	 □ Did not inspect exterior of subject property □ Did inspect exterior of subject property from street □ Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 1,580,000	COMPARABLE SALES
LENDER/CLIENT	CONTRADE SALES
Name Clear Capital	☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	☐ Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach , CA 90278	•
Fmail Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 55587 File # 34715109

FEATURE	SUBJECT		COMPARABI	LE SALE # 4		IPARABI	E SALE # 5	1 110 11			E SALE # 6
Address 78 El Toyonal	0000001		183 Canon Dr		35 Marsto					71117102	0/1 //
Orinda, CA 9456	3		Orinda, CA 9456	3	Orinda, CA		3				
Proximity to Subject			0.27 miles NW		0.76 miles		<u> </u>				
Sale Price	\$		0.27 IIIIles INVV	\$ 1,635,000		INVV	\$ 1,750,000				\$
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area		sq.ft.	\$ 713.35 sq.ft.	1,000,000		2 sq.ft.	Ψ 1,730,000	\$		sq.ft.	Ψ
Data Source(s)	Ψ	oy.it.		•)	Ψ		oq.ii.	
			BayMLS#410244		_		351;DOM 42				
Verification Source(s)	DECODIDATIO		TaxRec/Orig\$1.6		TaxRec/O				-OODIDTI	ON.	. () ()
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTIO	N	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT	IUN	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	ESCRIPTI	UN	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing			ArmLth		Listing						
Concessions			Conv;0								
Date of Sale/Time			s06/23;c05/23		Active						
Location	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;						
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	е					
Site	10,200 sf		24,200 sf	0	21875 sf		0				
View	B;Mtn;		B;Mtn;		B;Mtn;						
Design (Style)	DT1;Ranch		DT2;Contemp	0	DT2;Ranc	h	0				
Quality of Construction	Q4		Q4		Q4						
Actual Age	72		66	0	93		+21,000				
Condition	C4		C3	-100,000			-100,000				
Above Grade		Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths	-10,000		Baths	-10,000		Bdrms.	Baths	
Room Count	-	2.0	8 4 3.0	-20,000		3.0	-20,000				
Gross Living Area	2,233		2,292 sq.ft.	0		8 sq.ft.	-51,750			sq.ft.	
Basement & Finished	0sf		0sf		0sf	0 04	01,700			04	
Rooms Below Grade	031		031		031						
Functional Utility	Average		Ave-/stairs	±75.000	Average						
Heating/Cooling	Fau		Fau/CAC		Fau/CAC		-1,000				
Energy Efficient Items	Solar*		None		SolarOwne	-d	-1,000				
Garage/Carport	2cp2dw		2gbi2dw		2ga4dw	Ju	-10,000				
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Deck		Porch/Patio		Porch/Dec	k	-10,000				
Pool/Other	None		None	0	None	·K					
	INOTIE		None		INOHE						
Net Adjustment (Total)			+ 🔀 -	\$ -66,000	+	X -	\$ -171,750		+ [٦.	\$
Adjusted Sale Price			Net Adj. 4.0 %		Net Adj.	9.8 %		Net Ad	ji.		·
of Comparables			Gross Adj. 13.2 %			12.2 %		Gross	Adj.	%	\$
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of th	e prior	sale or transfer history	of the subject property	and comparab	le sales	(report additional prior	sales o	n page 3)).	1.
ITEM		SL	IBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	LE # 4	C	OMPARABLE SALE # ;	5	Cr	OMPAR	ABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer									1		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer											
Data Source(s)	Realist			Realist		Realis	st				
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	10/25/20	023		10/25/2023		10/25					
Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi											
Analysis/Comments											

Supplemental Addendum

	Fil	le No.	<u>347151</u>	09	
State	C 1		7in Code	04562	

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC				
Property Address	78 El Toyonal				
City	Orinda	County CONTRA COSTA	State CA	Zip Code 94563	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				

78 El Toyonal Orinda, CA

SITE COMMENTS:

Generally, no adverse site conditions were noted during the inspection of the subject property. No signs of slippage, settlement, or unusual erosion were observed.

See the preliminary title report for any adverse conditions, easements, or other site factors of public record. The preliminary title report was not reviewed as a part of this appraisal.

COMMENTS ON SALES COMPARISON:

The sales comparison is based on the principle of substitution which is defined by the Appraisal Institute as follows: "The principle of substitution as applied in the sales comparison approach holds that the value of a property that is replaceable in the market tends to be set by the cost of acquiring an equally desirable substitute property." This principle is applied using accepted methods of paired sales analysis, when possible, and by applying adjustments to generally accepted units of comparison.

Bracketing of living area and sales prices is used whenever possible to ensure that a range of value for the subject is reasonably estimated.

A methodical twenty-four month search of the immediate subject market area was performed for recent comparable sales, listings, and pending sales that have occurred.

All sales are located in subject's market area and represent a good indication of value.

Comparables were adjusted for differences in square footage at the rate of \$150 per square foot for differences of more than 100 square feet. This is considered appropriate given the surrounding neighborhood's quality of construction and demand. Bedrooms were adjusted at the rate of \$20,000 per bedroom. Bathrooms were adjusted at the rate of \$5,000 per half bath. Room totals were not adjusted as they were considered adjusted with the square footage. Lot sizes were adjusted at the rate of \$4 per square foot for differences of more than 1,000 square feet with the exception of comps 4 and 5 due to significantly sloping typography.

Other amenities/features were adjusted as shown. The adjustments are considered appropriate and based on paired sales analysis whenever possible.

HIGHEST & BEST USE:

The existing use supports the four functions of Highest and Best Use both as vacant and as improved. The current use is physically possible, legally permissible, financially feasible and is the most productive use of the site. Any change now or in the foreseeable future is unlikely.

AIR

No Employee, director, officer or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal management company or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted to influence the development, reporting, result of review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or in any other manner.

The appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seg.).

It is unknown if solar photovoltaic panels are owned. Comp 5 has solar panels that are owned, paired sales analysis does not warrant an adjustment for this improvement type in the present market.

Subject Photo Page

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC			
Property Address	78 El Toyonal			
City	Orinda	County CONTRA COSTA	State CA	Zip Code 94563
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



SUBJECT FRONT

78 El Toyonal

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 2,233 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 N;Res; B;Mtn; Location View 10,200 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 72



HILL VIEW



SUBJECT STREET

Subject Photo Page

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC			
Property Address	78 El Toyonal			
City	Orinda	County CONTRA COSTA	State CA	Zip Code 94563
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



RIGHT SIDE

78 El Toyonal

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 2,233 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 N;Res; B;Mtn; Location View 10,200 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 72



ALT FRONT VIEW

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC			
Property Address	78 El Toyonal			
City	Orinda	County CONTRA COSTA	State CA	Zip Code 94563
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Comparable 1

43 Berkeley Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.51 miles NW Sale Price 1,595,000 Gross Living Area 2,114 Total Rooms 10 Total Bedrooms 4 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; B;Mtn; View Site 10,200 sf Quality Q4 69 Age



Comparable 2

4 Mariposa Ln

Prox. to Subject 0.14 miles S Sale Price 1,570,000 Gross Living Area 1,605 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res; View B;Mtn; 10,000 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 75



Comparable 3

5 Bonita Ln

Prox. to Subject 0.04 miles E Sale Price 1,730,000 Gross Living Area 1,987 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Woods; Site 30,000 sf Quality Q4 Age 36

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC				
Property Address	78 El Toyonal				
City	Orinda	County CONTRA COSTA	State CA	Zip Code 94563	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				



Comparable 4

183 Canon Dr

Prox. to Subject 0.27 miles NW Sale Price 1,635,000 Gross Living Area 2,292 **Total Rooms** 8 Total Bedrooms 4 Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location N;Res; B;Mtn; View Site 24,200 sf Quality Q4 66 Age



Comparable 5

35 Marston Rd


Prox. to Subject 0.76 miles NW Sale Price 1,750,000 Gross Living Area 2,578 Total Rooms 10 Total Bedrooms 4 **Total Bathrooms** 3.0 Location N;Res; View B;Mtn; 21875 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 93

Comparable 6

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age


Location Map

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC							
Property Address	78 El Toyonal							
City	Orinda	County	CONTRA COSTA	St	tate CA	Zip Code	94563	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							




Location Map

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC							
Property Address	78 El Toyonal							
City	Orinda	County	CONTRA COSTA	St	tate CA	Zip Code	94563	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



Plat Map

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC			
Property Address	78 El Toyonal			
City	Orinda	County CONTRA COSTA	State CA	Zip Code 94563
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

55587 File No. 34715109

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with a clear and accurate understanding of the market trends and conditions prevalent in the subject neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraisal reports with an effective date on or after April 1, 2009. Property Address 78 El Toyonal City Orinda REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC Borrower Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions, and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding housing trends and overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an average instead of the median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, foreclosures, etc. Prior 7-12 Months Inventory Analysis Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Declining Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) 8 Increasing Stable Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable X Declining 1.50 2.67 0.67 Total # of Comparable Active Listings Declining Stable Increasing 5 3 ■ Stable Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Declining Increasing 3.3 0.4 4.5 Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Increasing Declining Median Comparable Sale Price X Stable 1,700,000 1,630,000 1,722,500 Median Comparable Sales Days on Market Declining Stable Increasing 15 10 6 Stable Stable Median Comparable List Price Declining 1,695,000 1,650,000 1,895,000 Increasing Median Comparable Listings Days on Market 32 Declining Increasing 15 11 Median Sale Price as % of List Price X Stable Declining Increasing 99 107 98 **X** Stable Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance prevalent? No Declining Increasing X Yes RCH & Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the past 12 months (e.g., seller contributions increased from 3% to 5%, increasing use of buydowns, closing costs, condo fees, options, etc.). Occassional credits for repairs or closing costs, incentives are common. Credits are generally under 2%, there are occasions when larger than typical credits are present and may require adjustment - determined based on paired sales analysis Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market? If yes, explain (including the trends in listings and sales of foreclosed properties) **X** No Yes REO's are rarely noted in this market area in the last few years Cite data sources for above information. Historical MLS Analysis Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. If you used any additional information, such as an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. Recently stable values, increasing interest rates, demand remains steady in the subject's market area with 98% sales/list ratio and under 45 days median marketing times If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4–6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Stable Declining Increasing Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Declining Total # of Active Comparable Listings Stable Declining Increasing Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Declining Stable Increasing Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of Yes No foreclosed properties. 0/CO-OP Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject unit and project. Signature Signature Appraiser Name Supervisory Appraiser Name Kathryn Mahan Company Name Company Name Mahan Appraisals Company Address Company Address 2308 Roundhill Drive, Alamo, CA 94507 State CA State License/Certification # State License/Certification # AR030747 State Email Address **Email Address** katiemahanappraiser@gmail.com

55587 File No. 34715109

USPAP ADDENDUM

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS	S LLC			
Property Address	78 El Toyonal				
City	Orinda	County CC	ONTRA COSTA	State CA	Zip Code 94563
ender	Wedgewood Inc				
This report	was prepared under the fo	llowing USPAP reporting option:			
▼ Appraisa	al Report	This report was prepared in accordar	nce with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2	2(a).	
	ed Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordar	nce with HSPAP Standards Rule 2-1	2(h)	
The strict	ва дрргаізаї пероп	This report was prepared in accorda	Tice with Ool Al Otandards Hule 2-2	-(b).	
Reasonable	Exposure Time				
My opinion o	f a reasonable exposure time	for the subject property at the market v	alue stated in this report is:	<60 Days	
Additional (Certifications				
	to the best of my knowledge	and belief:			
·		appraiser or in any other capacity, rega	arding the property that is the subject	ot of this report v	within the
ı —		ing acceptance of this assignment.	arding the property that is the subject	or tills report v	vicinii ciie
		oraiser or in another capacity, regarding			the three-year
		ance of this assignment. Those services	are described in the comments be	OW.	
1	nts of fact contained in this rep				
		isions are limited only by the reported assu	imptions and limiting conditions and a	e my personal, in	ipartial, and unbiased
1 .	nalyses, opinions, and conclusions	ons. nt or prospective interest in the property tha	at ic the cubiect of this report and no r	perconal interect w	vith respect to the parties
involved.	wise indicated, i have no preser	it of prospective interest in the property the	at is the subject of this report and no p	Jersonai milerest w	null respect to the parties
I	as with respect to the property ti	hat is the subject of this report or the partie	es involved with this assignment.		
1		contingent upon developing or reporting p	-		
	-	nment is not contingent upon the developm		ue or direction in	value that favors the cause of
		attainment of a stipulated result, or the occ			• • •
		re developed, and this report has been prep	pared, in conformity with the Uniform S	tandards of Profe	ssional Appraisal Practice that
	at the time this report was prepa		11 1 7 11 1		
		personal inspection of the property that is the I significant real property appraisal assistan		nation (if there are	eventions, the name of each
I		praisal assistance is stated elsewhere in th		alion (ii lilete ale	exceptions, the name of each
marviduai prov	riding digimodite roal proporty ap	praisal accidented to stated stoomists in th	io roporty.		
A al aliti a m a l .C	\				
Additional (comments				
	,				
	/	. /	OUDER/2007/		- n
APPRAISER	· / +. 1	nahen	SUPERVISORY APPRAISI	:K: (only if r	equired)
	X AVALA	Raten			
Signature:	June		Signature:		
	yn Mahan		Name:		
Date Signed:			Date Signed:		
	1#: <u>AR030747</u>		State Certification #:		
or State License	#:		or State License #:		
State: CA Expiration Date of	f Certification or License: 05/		State: Expiration Date of Certification or Lic	cense.	
Effective Date of		/08/2025	Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of		
בווסטנויס במנט טו	10/23/2023		Did Not Exterior-only		Interior and Exterior

Appraiser's Insurance

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC			
Property Address	78 El Toyonal			
City	Orinda	County CONTRA COSTA	State CA	Zip Code 94563
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			





PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICY



DECLARATIONS

ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

(A stock insurance company herein called the "Company") 175 Capitol Blvd. Suite 100 Rocky Hill, CT 06067

Date Issued	Policy Number	Previous Policy Number	
03/21/2023	AA1005705-08	AAI005705-07	

THIS IS A **CLAIMS** MADE AND REPORTED POLICY. COVERAGE IS LIMITED TO LIABILITY FOR ONLY THOSE **CLAIMS** THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE **INSURED** DURING THE **POLICY PERIOD** AND THEN REPORTED TO THE COMPANY IN WRITING NO LATER THAN SIXTY (60) DAYS AFTER EXPIRATION OR TERMINATION OF THIS POLICY, OR DURING THE **EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD**, IF APPLICABLE, FOR A **WRONGFUL ACT** COMMITTED ON OR AFTER THE **RETROACTIVE DATE** AND BEFORE THE END OF THE **POLICY PERIOD**. PLEASE READ THE POLICY CAREFULLY.

Item

1. Customer ID: 155431 Named Insured: MAHAN APPRAISALS Kathryn Mahan 2308 Roundhill Drive Alamo, CA 94507		
 Policy Period: From: 03/26/2023 To: 03/26/2024 12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address stated in 1 above. 		
3. Deductible: \$1,000 Each Claim		
4. Retroactive Date: 03/26/2004	1	
5. Inception Date: 03/26/2016		
6. Limits of Liability: A. \$500,000 Each Claim B. \$1,000,000 Aggregate		
7. Mail all notices, including notice of Claim, to: LIA Administrators & Insurance Services 1600 Anacapa Street Santa Barbara, California 93101 (800) 334-0652; Fax: (805) 962-0652		
8. Annual Premium: \$1,680.00		
9. Forms attached at issue: LIA002 (12/14) LIA CA (11/1	4) LIA012 (12/14) LIA020 (10/14)	

This Declarations Page, together with the completed and signed Policy Application including all attachments and exhibits thereto, and the Policy shall constitute the contract between the Named Insured and the Company.

03/21/2023	By	Wie
Date		Authorized Signature
LIA-001 (12/14)		Aspen American Insurance Company

Appraiser's License

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS LLC				
Property Address	78 El Toyonal				
City	Orinda	County CONTRA COSTA	State CA	Zip Code 94563	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				

