Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	The purpose of this summary appraisal report is to p	provide the lender/client with an	accurate, and adequately suppo	orted, opinion of the market va	lue of the subject property.
	Property Address 8313 Kittyhawk Ave		City Los Angele		•
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC Legal Description TRACT # 11729 LOT 6	Owner of Public Record	LYON PATRIC	CIA A County	Los Angeles
	Assessor's Parcel # 4107-015-006		Tax Year	2023 R.E.	Taxes \$ 5,186
占	Neighborhood Name Los Angeles		Map Reference		us Tract 2760.00
ÿ		Special Assessments \$	0 PUD	HOA\$ 0	per year per month
SUBJECT		easehold Other (describe)		
(C)	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction		Other (describe) Loan Service		
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		015 Manhattan Beach Blv		
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale or Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), and		e twelve months prior to the effe	ective date of this appraisal?	Yes X No
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), and	Jale(s). NO CRIVILS#			
	I did did not analyze the contract for sa	ale for the subject purchase tran	saction. Explain the results of th	ne analysis of the contract for s	ale or why the analysis was not
Ŀ.	performed.				
AC A					
CONTRACT	Contract Price \$ Date of Contract		seller the owner of public record		
Ó	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sale If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe t		nent assistance, etc.) to be paid	by any party on behalf of the t	oorrower? Yes No
	in res, report the total dollar amount and describe t	ie items to be paid.			
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the ne	ighborhood are not appraisal	factors.		
	Neighborhood Characteristics		Init Housing Trends	One-Unit Housi	
۵	Location Urban X Suburban Rural				GE One-Unit 80 %
8	Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75% Under 2 Growth Rapid X Stable Slow	25% Demand/Supply Shows Marketing Time X Und	- = =		yrs) 2-4 Unit 05 % 34 Multi-Family 05 %
표	Neighborhood Boundaries Jefferson Blvd is to				80 Commercial 5 %
BO	the east. Lincoln Blvd is to the west.				79 Other Vac/Prk 5 %
EIGHBORHOOD	Neighborhood Description Subject neighborhood	is within reasonable distance fr	om educational, retail, and emp	oloyment districts and all consu	mer support facilities including
Ë	public transportation, and freeways are nearby.			and the protection from detrim	ental conditions as well as the
	adequacy of public utilities, including police and		-	ly stable at the time of in	anastian There was
	Market Conditions (including support for the above fluctuation of median prices. However, the	•		ly stable at the time of the	spection. There was
	, p	,			
	Dimensions See Site Map for Area Ca		6842 sf Shape	Rectangular View	N;Res;
	Specific Zoning Classification R2		ption Duplex Allowed5K		
		nforming (Grandfathered Use)	No Zoning Illegal (des		No describe. The highest
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as in and best use meets legal permissibility, pl				No, describe. The highest
	Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public Other		ff-site ImprovementsType	Public Private
SITE		Nater X		t Pvd	X
0,		Sanitary Sewer X	Alley FEMA Map # 060		
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typical	No FEMA Flood Zone X for the market area? X Yes		DS/C1/OUF FEINIAIN	iap Date 09/20/2000
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external fac			ind uses, etc.)? X Yes	No If Yes, describe.
	There were no apparent adverse easeme			-	
	busy road which does have an adverse af	fect on value and marketa	bility. The neighborhood	is also within very close p	proximity to the LAX
	Airport. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prop	orty Appraisal Files X	MLS X Assessment and Tax	Records Prior Inspection	Property Owner
		Realist	Data Source(s) for Gross Liv		ax Records
		eneral Description	Heating / Cooling	Amenities	Car Storage
		oncrete Slab X Crawl Space	FWA HWBB	Fireplace(s) # 0	None
		II Basement Finished	Radiant	Woodstove(s) # 0	X Driveway # of Cars 2
		rtial Basement Finished	X Other Wall		Driveway Surface Concrete
	X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Exterior Design (Style) Duplex Roof S		Fuel FAU Central Air Conditioning	X Porch Cov Pool None	X Garage # of Cars 2 Carport # of Cars 0
		s & Downspouts Metal	Individual	X Fence Wd/Line	Attached X Detached
		w Type Metal	X Other None	Other None	Built-in
က	•		Microwave X Washer/Dryer	Other (describe)	
IMPROVEMENTS	Finished area above grade contains: 8	Rooms 4 Bedroor	ns 2.0 Bath(s) 1	1,780 Square Feet of G	ross Living Area Above Grade
₹	Additional features (special energy efficient items, e	tc.) None			
0	Describe the condition of the property and data sou	rce(s) (including apparent need	ed repairs, deterioration, renova	ations, remodeling, etc.). C4;	See comments -
PR	SUBJECT CONDITION		•		
≧					
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or adv	erse conditions that affect the li	vability, soundness, or structura	I integrity of the property?	Yes X No
	If Yes, describe There are no apparent phys				r structural integrity.
	Please note that the appraiser is not a lice				
	analyze, or comment on physical items the mechanical or structural physical problem				s regarding any
	Does the property generally conform to the neighbor				scribe The subject
	condition size, age, and design/style confe				

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959

	nparable properties curre	· ·						1,350,000 .			
	nparable sales in the sub				-		090,000 to\$	1,285,000			
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE			ARABLE S		COMPARAB				
	íittyhawk Ave	6500 W 8			5730 W 8			ittyhawk Ave			
	s, CA 90045-4226	Los Angeles,				CA 90045		eles, CA 90045			
Proximity to Subject		0.90 mile			0.38 mile		0.01	miles NE			
Sale Price	\$	\$	1,100,000		\$	1,225,000		\$ 1,285,00			
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.00 sq. ft.		q. ft.	\$ 601		q. ft.	\$ 638.67	sq. ft.			
Data Source(s)		CRMLS#232522				417;DOM 14		273715;DOM 3			
Verification Source(s)		DOC#23-0592622, RealQuest DOC#23-0676852, RealQuest				15289, RealQue					
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment			+(-) \$ Adjustment		V +(-) \$ Adjusti			
Sale or Financing		ArmLth		Arm			ArmLth				
Concessions		Conv;0		Con			Conv;0				
Date of Sale/Time		s09/23;c07/23	0	,		0	,,				
Location	A;BackBusyRd;	A;Airport;	0	,		0	7 1, Back Bacy 1				
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Si			Fee Simple	e			
Site	6842 sf	6846 sf	0			0					
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Re			N;Res;				
Design (Style)	DT1;Duplex	DT1;Duplex		DT1;D	-		DT1;Duple	x			
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q ₂			Q4				
Actual Age	79	79		75		0					
Condition	C4	C4		C ²	_		C4				
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms				aths -10,			
Room Count	8 4 2.0	8 4 2.0		9 5	3.0	-10,000		3.0 -10,			
Gross Living Area	1,780 sq. ft.	1,636 sq. ft.	+29,000	2,035	sq. ft.	-51,000	2012	sq. ft46,			
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0s	f		0sf				
Rooms Below Grade											
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Avera	age		Average				
Heating/Cooling	Wall/None	Wall/None		Floor/I	Vone	0	Wall/None	·			
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		Nor	ne		None				
Garage/Carport	2gd2dw	2gd2dw		2ga2cı	p2dw	-10,000	None	+10,			
Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio/Porch	Patio/Porch		Patio/F	Porch		Patio/Porcl	h			
Fireplaces	0	None	0	Nor	ne	0	None				
Other	None	None		Owned	Solar	-15,000	Det ADU	-100,			
Net Adjustment (Total)		X + -	\$ 29,000	+ X]_	\$ -96,000	+ X -	\$ -156,50			
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj: 3%		Net Adj: -8		-	Net Adj: -12%				
		Gross Adj : 3%					Gross Adj: 14%	<mark>6 </mark>			
of Comparables											
of Comparables I X did did not re		I X did did not research the sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales. If not, explain									
		fer history of the subject									
		fer history of the subject									
	esearch the sale or trans	fer history of the subject	property and com	parable sales	. If not, exp	blain					
I X did did not re	esearch the sale or trans		property and com	parable sales	. If not, exp	blain					
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com	r sales or transfers of th	e subject property	parable sales	. If not, exp	olain to the effective da	te of this appraisal.				
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com	r sales or transfers of th	e subject property	parable sales	. If not, exp	olain to the effective da	te of this appraisal.				
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS	did not reveal any prior G, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prior RealQuest.com	r sales or transfers of th	e subject property e comparable sale	parable sales for the three y	years prior	to the effective da	te of this appraisal.				
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of	r sales or transfers of th	e subject property e comparable sale	for the three y	years prior prior to the	to the effective da	te of this appraisal. e comparable sale. additional prior sal				
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com RealQuest.com seearch and analysis of	r sales or transfers of th r sales or transfers of th the prior sale or transfel	e subject property e comparable sale	for the three y	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report	te of this appraisal. e comparable sale. additional prior sal	es on page 3).			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	did not reveal any prio RealQuest.com did not reveal any prio RealQuest.com RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI	r sales or transfers of th r sales or transfers of th the prior sale or transfel	e subject property e comparable sale	for the three y	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report	te of this appraisal. e comparable sale. additional prior sal	es on page 3).			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI	r sales or transfers of th r sales or transfers of th the prior sale or transfel	e subject property e comparable sale	for the three yes for the year ect property a SALE # 1	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3).			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com Research and analysis of SUI	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1	years prior prior to the	to the effective da e date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	te of this appraisal. comparable sale. additional prior sale. COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE #3			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com sesearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQuest.com	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers algorithms. BJECT	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com sesearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQuest.com	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers algorithms. BJECT	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com sesearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQuest.com	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers algorithms. BJECT	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transf Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com sesearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQuest.com	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers algorithms. BJECT	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transf Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com sesearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQuest.com	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers algorithms. BJECT	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transf Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com sesearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQuest.com	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers algorithms. BJECT	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com	years prior prior to the	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Summary of Sales Compa	did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale of the sale or transfers of	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transf	years prior prior to the COM R fer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction.			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transf Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source or transfe	did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale of the sale or transfers of	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transf	years prior prior to the COM R fer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction.			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Summary of Sales Compa	did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale of the sale or transfers of	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transf	years prior prior to the COM R fer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction.			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Summary of Sales Compa	did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale of the sale or transfers of	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transf	years prior prior to the COM R fer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction.			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Summary of Sales Compa	did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale of the sale or transfers of	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transf	years prior prior to the COM R fer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction.			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Summary of Sales Compa	did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale of the sale or transfers of	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transf	years prior prior to the COM R fer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction.			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Summary of Sales Compa	did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale of the sale or transfers of	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transf	years prior prior to the COM R fer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction.			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Summary of Sales Compa	did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sale of the sale or transfers of	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transf	years prior prior to the COM R fer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction.			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sound Analysis of prior sale or tr	did not reveal any prio RealQuest.com did not reveal any prio RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub erison Approach The alue based on the	r sales or transfers of the results of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of substantial principles of	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transf	years prior prior to the COM R fer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction.			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sound Analysis of prior sale or tr	did not reveal any prio b, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prio b, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub erison Approach arison Approach the	r sales or transfers of the results of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of substantial transfers of the transfers of transfers of the tr	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 trable sales The s based on the tituion.	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transf	years prior prior to the COM R fer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # ealQuest.com 11/06/2023 mp two was not sales, sup	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction. marketability,			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sor Analysis of prior sale or tr	did not reveal any prio b, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prio c, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub er arison Approach alue based on the Comparison Approach \$ Comparison Approach \$	r sales or transfers of the results of the sales or transfers of sales or transfers or transfers of sales or transfers or transfers or transfers of the sales or transfers o	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 trable sales The s based on the tituion.	for the three year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transf	years prior prior to the and compa COM Refer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE #ealQuest.com 11/06/2023 mp two was not lilar sales, sup	e comparable sale. additional prior sale. 2 COMF Re a market drive	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction. marketability,			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr Summary of Sales Compa and indication of va Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sales of Value is based on princip	did not reveal any prio b, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prio c, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub arison Approach arison Approach the Comparison Approach \$ Comparison Approach \$ Oles of substitution & op	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of sales. Use transfers of the transfers of the results of sales or transfers or transfers of the prior sales or transfers of the transfers of the prior sales or transfers of the transfers of the prior sales or transfers of the transfers of the prior sales or transfers o	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 trable sales The s based on the tituion.	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transfer transfer e most receiveleped) \$ et data appro	years prior prior to the and compa COM Refer of cor	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # ealQuest.com 11/06/2023 mp two was not sales, support	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF Re a market drive poport of value	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction. marketability, ed) \$ subject's area and			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr Summary of Sales Compa and indication of va Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sales of Value is based on princip age of the subject improve	did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prions, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of surrer RealQ arrson Approach ansfer history of the sub arrison Approach The alue based on the Comparison Approach \$ Comparison Approach	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of sales or transfers of sales or transfers of sales or transfers or transfers or sales or transfers or sales or transfers of sales or transfers or transfers or transfers or transfers or transfers or transfers of the sales or transfers o	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The s based on the tituion.	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transfer transf	years prior prior to the and compa COM Refer of cor cent sime	to the effective date of sale of the edate of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # ealQuest.com 11/06/2023 mp two was not sales, support the lack of relevent included in this relevant includ	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF Re a market drive poport of value	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction. marketability, ed) \$ subject's area and			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source of Prior sale or tr	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub arison Approach alue based on the Comparison Approach \$ cless of substitution & operation of the cost approaries on are owner occupied, not substitution and substitution are over occupied, not substitution and substitution are occupied.	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of sales. The sales or transfers of sales or transfers of sales or transfers or transfers or sales or transfers or sales or transfers or sales or transfers or	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The s based on the tituion. Cost Approach (if deapply to the mark- arreliable and, there and therefore, the	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transfer transf	years prior prior to the and compa COM Refer of cor cent sime	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # ealQuest.com 11/06/2023 mp two was not sales, support the lack of relevent included in this reunnecessary.	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF Re a market drive proach (if develope ant lot sales in the eport. The majority	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction. marketability, ed) \$ subject's area and of the home within			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source of prior sale or transfer of the sale of the sale of the sale of the subject improves subject's neighborhood This appraisal is made	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub arison Approach alue based on the Comparison Approach \$ Dies of substitution & operation of the cost approariate owner occupied, not subject the subject of the cost approariate owner occupied, not subject the subject of subject the subject of sub	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of sales. The sales or transfers of sales or transfers of the transfers or transf	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 arable sales The s based on the tituion. Cost Approach (if deapply to the marken reliable and, there and therefore, the sand specification	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transform transfo	years prior prior to the and compa COM Refer of cor cent sime cent sime cent bue to be proach is is of a hyporach	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # ealQuest.com 11/06/2023 mp two was not sales, support to the lack of relevent included in this resumnecessary.	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF Re a market drive poport of value poport of value poport of sales in the eport. The majority that the improvement	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction. marketability, ed) \$ subject's area and of the home within ents have been			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sound Analysis of prior sale or tr Summary of Sales Comparand indication of variation of variatio	did not reveal any prio by RealQuest.com did not reveal any prio by RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub er arison Approach The alue based on the comparison Approach \$ comparison Approac	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of sales. The sales or transfers of sales or transfers	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 trable sales The s based on the tituion. Cost Approach (if de apply to the mark- nreliable and, there and therefore, the s and specification of a hypothetical co	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transfer transfer transfer detata appropriate the data appropriate	years prior prior to the and compa COM Refer of cor cent sim cach Due to essary to be proach is is of a hypone repairs of	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # ealQuest.com 11/06/2023 mp two was not sales, support the lack of relevent included in this resumnecessary.	e comparable sale. additional prior sale 2 COMF Re a market drive pport of value proach (if develope ant lot sales in the eport. The majority that the improvemoeen completed, or	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction. marketability, ed) \$ subject's area and of the home within ents have been			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source of prior sale or transfer of the sale of the sale of the sale of the subject improves subject's neighborhood This appraisal is made	did not reveal any prio by RealQuest.com did not reveal any prio by RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub er arison Approach The alue based on the comparison Approach \$ comparison Approac	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of sales. The sales or transfers of sales or transfers	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 trable sales The s based on the tituion. Cost Approach (if de apply to the mark- nreliable and, there and therefore, the s and specification of a hypothetical co	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transfer transfer transfer detata appropriate the data appropriate	years prior prior to the and compa COM Refer of cor cent sim cach Due to essary to be proach is is of a hypone repairs of	to the effective date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # ealQuest.com 11/06/2023 mp two was not sales, support the lack of relevent included in this required to the lack of the lack	e comparable sale. additional prior sale 2 COMF Re a market drive pport of value proach (if develope ant lot sales in the eport. The majority that the improvemoeen completed, or	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PalQuest.com 11/06/2023 In transaction. marketability, ed) \$ subject's area and of the home within ents have been			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Son Analysis of prior sale or tr Summary of Sales Comparand indication of variation	did not reveal any prio b, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prio c, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of SUI er er RealQ urce(s) 11/0 ansfer history of the sub arison Approach The alue based on the Comparison Approach \$ Dies of substitution & op ements, the cost approa are owner occupied, n X "as is," subje othe following repairs or ion based on the extraor	r sales or transfers of the r sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales. Com 16/2023 iect property and compared in the principles of substantial principles of substan	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 trable sales The S based on the tituion. Cost Approach (if deapply to the marken reliable and, there and therefore, the sand specification of a hypothetical cothe condition or de	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transfer transfer data appropriate the data appropriate of the year sondition that the ficiency does	years prior prior to the and compa COM Refer of cor cent sim c	e date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # ealQuest.com 11/06/2023 mp two was not lilar sales, superior the lack of relevent included in this resumnecessary. The content of the lack of relevent included in this resumnecessary. The content is alteration or reparation or reparation in the lack of relevent included in this resumnecessary.	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF Re a market drive pport of value pproach (if develope ant lot sales in the export. The majority that the improvemence completed, or in:	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PARABLE			
My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sound Analysis of prior sale or tr Summary of Sales Comparand indication of variation of variatio	did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com did not reveal any priors, RealQuest.com esearch and analysis of er er RealQ ansfer history of the sub	r sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales or transfers of the the prior sale or transfers of the sales of the subject project in completion per plan alterations on the basis or dinary assumption that	e subject property e comparable sale history of the subj COMPARABLE S RealQuest. 11/06/202 trable sales The s based on the tituion. Cost Approach (if deapply to the mark- and therefore, the and specification of a hypothetical co- the condition or de	for the three yes for the year sect property a SALE # 1 com 23 prior transform transfo	years prior prior to the and compa COM Refer of cor cent sim cach Due to essary to be proach is is of a hyper is one trequire one trequire defined sc	e date of sale of the rable sales (report PARABLE SALE # ealQuest.com 11/06/2023 mp two was not lilar sales, sup income Apo the lack of relevent included in this resumnecessary. Othetical condition or alterations have to ealteration or reparts.	e comparable sale. additional prior sale: COMF Re a market drive port of value proach (if develope ant lot sales in the eport. The majority that the improvembeen completed, or ir: ement of assump	es on page 3). PARABLE SALE # 3 PARABLE			

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

		oraoritiar / tppraroa				
	The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in a	accordance with the requ	irements of			
	Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement	nt Act (FIRREA) of 1989.	as amended (1	12		
	U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in e			· -		
		nect at the time the appli	aisei sigris irie			
	appraisal certification.					
	This was and was a superior of the A	nancical Deposit aution of	LICDAD Ctanda	anda Dula 2 2/a	- \	
	This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the A	ppraisai Report option of	USPAP Standa	ards Rule 2-2(a	1).	
	Fannie Mae Definition: Market value is the most probable price which a	property should bring in	a competitive a	and open mark	et ur	nder all
	conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prud			•		
	•	dentily, knowledgeably an	u assuming me	price is not a	iecie	u by
	undue stimulus.					
	AMC Registration # for ClearCapital.com, Inc: California #1256					
	ANO registration # for OlearCapital.com, inc. Gaillothia #1250					
COMMENTS						
Z						
쁜						
§						
ō						
ပ						
7						
Ž						
0						
E.						
ADDITIONAL						
					_	
						I
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie M	ae.)			
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lander/client to replicate your cost figures and calculated the cost of the lander client to replicate your cost figures and calculated the cost of the lander client to replicate your cost figures and calculated the cost of the cost		ae.)			
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc	culations.	,			
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method)	culations. ods for estimating site value)	Opinion of site			ct property
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc	culations. ods for estimating site value)	Opinion of site			ct property
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the site of the sales in the sales	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside	Opinion of site red with land al	bstracted from	site	
_	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indica	Opinion of site red with land al	bstracted from	site	
H	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indica on marketability.	Opinion of site red with land al	bstracted from s estimated si	site te va	lue. The
АСН	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indica	Opinion of site red with land al	bstracted from s estimated si	site	
кодсн	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indica on marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Opinion of site red with land al ites the subject	ostracted from s estimated si	site te va =\$	lue. The
РКОАСН	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indica on marketability.	Opinion of site red with land al tes the subject	ostracted from s estimated si	site te va =\$ =\$	lue. The
NPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indica on marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Opinion of site red with land al ites the subject	ostracted from s estimated si	site te va =\$	lue. The
APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicator on marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$	ostracted from s estimated si	site te va =\$ =\$ =\$	lue. The
ST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indica on marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Opinion of site red with land al tes the subject	ostracted from s estimated si	site te va =\$ =\$	lue. The
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indication marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$	ostracted from s estimated si	site te va =\$ =\$ =\$	lue. The
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indica on marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new	Opinion of site red with land al tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	ostracted from s estimated si	site te va =\$ =\$ =\$	lue. The
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicator marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$	s estimated si	site te va =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	lue. The
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicator marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation	Opinion of site red with land al ites the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	s estimated si	site te va =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	lue. The
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicator marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical	Opinion of site red with land al ites the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	s estimated si	site te va =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	lue. The
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicator marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve	Opinion of site red with land al ites the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional	estracted from s estimated si	site te va =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$	lue. The
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicator marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation	Opinion of site red with land al ites the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional	estracted from s estimated si	site te va =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	lue. The
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the si improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indication marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional Functional	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the si improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indication marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App	Opinion of site red with land all ites the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach	estimated from s estimated si	site te va =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$	lue. The
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the si improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indication marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App	Opinion of site red with land all ites the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the si improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicate on marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie)	Opinion of site red with land al ites the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.)	estracted from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicate on marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie)	Opinion of site red with land all ites the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach	estracted from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the si improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicate on marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie)	Opinion of site red with land al ites the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.)	estracted from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the si improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated an marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie In the site of the	Opinion of site red with land al ites the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.)	estracted from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated an marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie In the site of the	Opinion of site red with land al ites the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.)	estracted from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the significant in the summary of the area of the compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated the process of	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional Functional Functional Functional Functional Functional	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the significant in the summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the summary of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated value. Below indicated son marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie Indicated Value By	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the significant in the summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the summary of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value r	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated value. Below indicated son marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie Indicated Value By	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the significant in the summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the summary of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated value. Below indicated son marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie Indicated Value By	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated value. Below indicated son marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie Indicated Value By	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the stimprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicate on marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie I) =\$ In	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of land to value area calculations of cost data. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	Culations. Cods for estimating site value) Lubject area were consider site value. Below indicates an marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "Indicated Value By Cost App Endormal (not required by Fannie) State of the HOA and the subject programmer of units sold source	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the significant in the significant of the sale of the sale of cost data of the value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	Culations. Cods for estimating site value) Lubject area were consider site value. Below indicates an marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "Indicated Value By Cost App Endicated Value By Cost App Endicated Value By Fannie Indicated Value By Fannie Indica	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the significant in the significant of the sale of the sale of cost data of the value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	Culations. Cods for estimating site value) Lubject area were consider site value. Below indicates an marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "Indicated Value By Cost App Endormal (not required by Fannie) State of the HOA and the subject programmer of units sold source	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methow was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Datas Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated to marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie I) =\$ In FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Define HOA and the subject profits ource No If Yes, date of conventions of the source No If Yes, date of conventions of the state of the source No If Yes, date of conventions of the state of the source	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached Attroperty is an attached	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methows derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units Total Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Datas Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	Culations. Cods for estimating site value) Lubject area were consider site value. Below indicates an marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "Indicated Value By Cost App Endormal (not required by Fannie) State of the HOA and the subject programmer of units sold source	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached Attroperty is an attached	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methow was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Datas Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated to marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie I) =\$ In FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Define HOA and the subject profits ource No If Yes, date of conventions of the source No If Yes, date of conventions of the state of the source No If Yes, date of conventions of the state of the source	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached Attroperty is an attached	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methow was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Datas Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated to marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie I) =\$ In FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Define HOA and the subject profits ource No If Yes, date of conventions of the source No If Yes, date of conventions of the state of the source No If Yes, date of conventions of the state of the source	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional ments ments roach Mae.) ndicated Value by I tached Attroperty is an attached	estimated from s estimated si	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated to marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie) =\$ In FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Defended the subject properties of units sold source No If Yes, date of convented to the status of the HoA, describe the status of the status of the source of the status of the st	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional Functional Mae.) Indicated Value by Indic	External External ncome Approach ached d dwelling unit.	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated to marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie) =\$ In FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Define HOA and the subject proportion of units sold source No If Yes, date of convention of Indicates of the status of the	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional Functional Mae.) Indicated Value by Indic	External External ncome Approach ached d dwelling unit.	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated to marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie) =\$ In FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Defended the subject properties of units sold source No If Yes, date of convented to the status of the HoA, describe the status of the status of the source of the status of the st	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional Functional Mae.) Indicated Value by Indic	External External ncome Approach ached d dwelling unit.	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the simprovements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects of ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	culations. ods for estimating site value) ubject area were conside site value. Below indicated to marketability. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,780 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost App (not required by Fannie) =\$ In FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Defended the subject properties of units sold source No If Yes, date of convented to the status of the HoA, describe the status of the status of the source of the status of the st	Opinion of site red with land all tes the subject Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional Functional Mae.) Indicated Value by Indic	External External ncome Approach ached d dwelling unit.	=\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$ =\$	lue. The

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 55699 Case No. 3477295

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 3477295

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	infe ?	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Val A	Signature
Name	/ Antonio Anderson	Name
Company Name	Prodigy Appraisal Services	Company Name
Company Address P. O. Box 4609		Company Address
	West Hills, CA 91308	
Telephone Number	8186188081	Telephone Number
Email Address	antonio@prodigyappraisal.com	Email Address
Date of Signature ar	nd Report11/06/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Ap	praisal <u>11/06/2023</u>	State Certification #
State Certification #	AR035678	or State License #
or State License #		State
or Other (describe)	State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State	CA	
Expiration Date of C	Pertification or License11/23/2024	
		SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PRO	PERTY APPRAISED	
	8313 Kittyhawk Ave	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
	Los Angeles, CA 90045-4226	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
		Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUI	E OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,129,000	
LENDER/CLIENT		
Name	ClearCapital	COMPARABLE SALES
	Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address	2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
	Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address		Date of Inspection

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 8313 Kittyhawk Ave				
City Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	90045-4226
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	. Redondo Bea	ach. CA 90278

SUBJECT CONDITION

Per exterior inspection, age, location, the subject appears to be in overall average condition with an average quality of construction. The appraiser makes the extraordinary assumption that the interior of the subject is also in average condition with no need for any repairs or deferred maintenance issues not seen from the exterior inspection. The right to modify this report is reserved if the above noted information is found to be inaccurate which could affect value and the outcome of this assignment.

There's no apparent damage to the subject or neighborhood from any recent heavy rains, flooding, mud-slides or any other natural disasters.

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 83	313 Kittyhawk Ave							
City Los Angeles	(County	Los Ange	les	State	CA	Zip Code	90045-4226
Lender/Client Wed	gewood Inc		Address	2015 Manha	ttan Beach E	Blvd Suite 100,	Redondo Be	ach, CA 90278

This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Appraisal Report option of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).

This report was completed in full compliance with the appraiser independence regulations.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

PURPOSE AND INTENDED USERS:

The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for an asset valuation, loan servicing, subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, reporting requirements of the appraisal report form, and Definition of Market Value. No additional Intended Users are identified by the appraiser. The report will not be used for mortgage lending purposes.

COMPETENCY PROVISION:

The appraiser has the appropriate knowledge and experience to complete this assignment competently. Appraiser qualifications are maintained in Prodigy Appraisal Services files and can be provided upon request.

SELF CONTAINMENT:

This appraisal report is intended to be a report containing the information necessary to enable the reader to understand the appraiser's opinion. Any third party studies referred to, such as pest, hazardous materials, or structural reports have been verified by the appraiser to the extent of the assumptions and conclusions used.

PERSONAL PROPERTY:

Any personal property involved in the transaction has been excluded from the valuation of the real property. Should a transaction, which includes personal property of sufficient value to affect the market value of the real property, be evident, a separate assessment of the personal property, fixtures, or intangible items will be identified and included in the report as a separate valuation.

DIGITAL SIGNATURE:

This appraisal is digitally signed. This digital signature requires a security password known only by the appraiser. No changes can be made to any portion of this appraisal report once it has been digitally signed. The digital signature used in this report is an accurate representation of the appraiser's signature.


LIMITING CONDITIONS:

The appraiser is not a licensed building contractor or a professional building inspector. I am not qualified to survey or analyze physical items that are not readily visible. If any of the parties in this transaction have questions or concerns regarding any mechanical or structural physical problems, conditions, infestation, contamination, or other issues regarding the subject property, an expert in that field or specialty should be consulted.

Prodigy Appraisal Services PLAT MAP

File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959

Redwood Holdings LLC Borrower 8313 Kittyhawk Ave Property Address City Los Angeles Los Angeles CA 90045-4226 County State Zip Code Wedgewood Inc Lender/Client Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 83	313 Kittyhawk Ave						
City Los Angeles	·	County	Los Ange	les Stat	e CA	Zip Code	90045-4226
Lender/Client Wed	gewood Inc	·	Address	2015 Manhattan	Beach Blvd Suite	100, Redondo Be	each, CA 90278

GRID ADJUSTMENTS:

Market Grid adjustments are deemed to be self-explanatory and adjustments to the comparable sales reflect the appraiser's best estimate of market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparables. Paired sales analysis is conducted to a limited degree based on the market data in the area and may not only be limited to the information included in the sales comparison grid. This approach is deemed most reliable and reflective of the typical buyer reaction to or lack thereof, certain amenities, room count, condition, quality of construction, location, view, etc...

MARKET CONDITIONS: None warranted due to the stable trend in the neighborhood based on the 1004MC, CRMLS CMA data, neighborhood value trend charts, and market area analysis over the last 12 months. SEE EXHIBIT AND CHARTS BELOW. The list to sale price ratios is 95.5%.

LOCATION: Adjustments applied at 2.5% (2.5%, 5%, 7.5%, or 10%) increments to reflect market reaction. The adjustment is the best estimated market reaction and the paired sales analysis was conducted to a limited degree of comps one and two included in the report and historical data.

SITE: None warranted.

VIEW: None warranted.

DESIGN/STYLE: None warranted.

GLA: Adjustments made at \$200.00 per square foot of difference for differences greater than 100 square feet and then rounded to the nearest \$500.

ROOM COUNT: Bedroom count was absorbed in the GLA adjustments. Bathroom adjustments were made at \$5,000 per half bath and \$10K per full bathroom differences.

QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION AND CONDITION: None warranted.

AGE: None warranted. Age was considered in the quality and condition ratings.

GUEST HOUSE: None warranted.

AUTO STORAGE: Adjustments applied \$5K per stall based on the paired sales analysis of comps one and two.

SUMMARY OF SALES COMPARISON APPROACH:

A diligent effort was made to find comparables sales that were similar to the subject in age, style, lot size, quality of construction, close proximity, and condition. The initial search criteria were 12 months prior to inspection, 20% GLA difference, and 1 mile radius. Based on the above noted search criteria the comparables included in the report bracket the major characteristics of the subject and considered the best indication of value.

Comp one was given dominate weight due to close proximity, most similar gross building area, condition, and least amount in gross adjustments. Comps two and three were given secondary and supportive weight. Comp two was included due to the very recent sale date, similar external influences, bracket the upper end of the subject gross building area. Comp three was added due to location on the subject street and similar external factors.

Prodigy Appraisal Services AERIAL MAP ADDENDUM


File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959

Redwood Holdings LLC Borrower

8313 Kittyhawk Ave Property Address

City Los Angeles County Los Angeles CA 90045-4226 State Zip Code

Wedgewood Inc Lender/Client Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278




Prodigy Appraisal Services LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	8313 Kittyhawk Ave					
City Los Angeles	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	90045-4226
Lender/Client Wed	gewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Bea	ach Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Bea	nch, CA 90278



Prodigy Appraisal Services


FLOOD MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959

Redwood Holdings LLC Borrower

Property Address	8313 Killynawk Ave					
City Los Angeles	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	90045-4226

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc



Flood Map Legends Flood Zones Areas inundated by 100-year flooding Areas inundated by 500-year flooding Areas of undetermined but possible flood hazards Floodway areas with velocity hazard Floodway areas COBRA zone


Flood 2	Flood Zone Determination											
In Specia	l Flood Ha	azard Area (F	lood Zone): _	Out								
Within 25	0 ft. of mu	ultiple flood zo	ones?	Not withi	n 250 feet							
Commun	ity:			060137								
Commun	ity Name:		LOS	ANGELES, CIT	Y OF							
Map Nun	nber:		0	6037C1760F								
Zone:	Χ	_ Panel:	1760F	Panel Date:	09/26/2008							
FIPS Co	de:	06037	Census 7	Fract:	2760.00							

This Report is for the sole benefit of the Customer that ordered and paid for the Report and is based on the property information provided by that Customer. That Customer's use of this Report is subject to the terms agreed to by that Customer when accessing this product. THE SELLER OF THIS REPORT MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES TO ANY PARTY CONCERNING THE CONTENT, ACCURACY, OR COMPLETENESS OF THIS REPORT INCLUDING ANY WARRANTY OR MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. The seller of this Report shall not have any liability to any third party for any use or misuse of this Report.

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959


The purpose of this addendum	•			•	iluo c				Jubjo	
neighborhood. This is a require								710.0.1		0045 4000
Property Address	8313 Kittyhav	vk Ave	City	Los Angeles	5	tate CA		ZIP Code	9	0045-4226
Borrower Redwood Hold		- no suring all on this forms	and the length for his/				C		_:	
Instructions: The appraiser m		•								
housing trends and overall mar	•	•		•						
it is available and reliable and r			• •							
explanation. It is recognized that		•								
in the analysis. If data sources										
average. Sales and listings mus	st be properties that co	mpete with the subjec	ct property, determine	ed by applying the crite	eria th	at would be us	ed by	y a prospec	tive b	ouyer of the
subject property. The appraiser	r must explain any anor	malies in the data, suc	ch as seasonal mark	ets, new construction,	forec	osures, etc.				
Inventory Analysis		Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months		C	vera	II Trend	-	
Total # of Comparable Sales (S	Settled)	2	1	3		Increasing	X	Stable		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/M	fonths)	0.33	0.33	1		Increasing	X	Stable		Declining
Total # of Comparable Active L	istings	2	3	3		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Tot	-	6	9	3		Declining	Х			Increasing
Median Sales & List Price, I		Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months				ll Trend	-	
Median Comparable Sales Pric		1,187,500	1,285,000	1,110,000		Increasing	$\overline{}$	Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Day		171.5	36	23		Declining	X	Stable	\vdash	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	o on wantot	1,125,000	1,150,000	1,350,000		Increasing	X			Declining
Median Comparable Listings Da	lave on Market	99	93	131		Declining	X	i	╫	Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List	•	95.82	99.07	95.65		Increasing	X		-	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc,)			Yes X	No 95.05	\vdash	Declining	X	1	╫	Increasing
									4-	
Explain in detail seller concessi	ions trends for the past	12 months (e.g. selle	er contributions increa	ased from 3% to 5%, if	icrea	sing use of buy	aowi	is, closing	costs	
condo fees, options, etc.)	1 1 1	P 4 0								
The data used in the grid										
a mandatory reporting fiel					SSIC	ns, but have	no	t been re	porte	ed. It is
beyond the scope of this a	assignment to conf	<u>firm each sale use</u>	ed in the Market (Conditions Report.						
Are foreclosure sales (REO sal				ain (including the trend						
The data used in the grid	above does not inc	dicate there were	any REO/Short s	ales or other distr	esse	d properties	ass	ociated v	vith t	the reported
transactions. However, thi	is is not a mandato	ory reporting field f	for agents and th	ere may be some	distr	essed sales	tha	t were no	t rep	orted. It is
beyond the scope of this a	assignment to conf	firm each sale use	d in the Market (Conditions Report.						
Cite data sources for above info	ormation.									
Cite data sources for above info		ete the Market Cor	nditions Addendı	ım. 11/6/2023						
Cite data sources for above info		ete the Market Cor	nditions Addendu	um. 11/6/2023						
CRMLS was the data sou	rce used to comple				form	If you used an	v ado	litional info	matic	on such as
CRMLS was the data soul	rce used to completion as support for your	conclusions in the Ne	ighborhood section of	of the appraisal report						
CRMLS was the data soul Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, as	irce used to comple ion as support for your ind/or expired and withou	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form	ighborhood section o	of the appraisal report	olana	tion and suppo	rt for	your conclu	usion	S
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were	rice used to completion as support for your und/or expired and without generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ighborhood section o	of the appraisal report	olana	tion and suppo	rt for	your conclu	usion	S
CRMLS was the data soul Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, as	rice used to completion as support for your und/or expired and without generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ighborhood section o	of the appraisal report	olana	tion and suppo	rt for	your conclu	usions	S
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were	rice used to completion as support for your und/or expired and without generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ighborhood section o	of the appraisal report	olana	tion and suppo	rt for	your conclu	usions	S
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were	rice used to completion as support for your und/or expired and without generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ighborhood section o	of the appraisal report	olana	tion and suppo	rt for	your conclu	usions	S
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were	rice used to completion as support for your und/or expired and without generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ighborhood section outlessed	of the appraisal report	olana	tion and suppo	rt for	your conclu	usions	S
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were	rice used to completion as support for your und/or expired and without generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ighborhood section outlessed	of the appraisal report	olana	tion and suppo	rt for	your conclu	usions	S
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were	rice used to completion as support for your und/or expired and without generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ighborhood section outlessed	of the appraisal report	olana	tion and suppo	rt for	your conclu	usions	S
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordso	irce used to completion as support for your and/or expired and without generated from an another street of the second of the sec	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section o ulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex etails regarding the	olana	tion and suppo	rt for	your conclu	usions	S
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordso	irce used to completion as support for your and/or expired and without generated from an another street of the second of the sec	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex etails regarding the Project Name:	olana	tion and suppo	rt for	your conclu	usions	S
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordso	irce used to completion as support for your and/or expired and without generated from an another street of the second of the sec	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section o ulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex etails regarding the	olana	tion and suppo culations and	t for	your conclu	usions	S
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordso	irce used to completion as support for your und/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex etails regarding the Project Name:	olana	tion and suppo culations and	t for	your conclu	usions	S
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource.	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex etails regarding the Project Name:	olana	tion and suppo culations and	t for	your conclu ocess car	usions	s. found
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/M	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an offtware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex etails regarding the Project Name:	olana	culations and suppo culations and culations are cultivated as a cultivated and	t for	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable	usion	S. found Declining Declining
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (S	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion arket search. De	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex etails regarding the Project Name:	olana	culations and suppo	t for	your conclusions car	usion	found Declining
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above informati an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (SAbsorption Rate (Total Sales/MTotal # of Active Comparable LMonths of Unit Supply (Total Lie	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m dominium or cooperative settled) Months) Listings Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above informati an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Liments of Unit Supply (Total Lie Are foreclosures sales (REO sales)	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m dominium or cooperative settled) Months) Listings Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex etails regarding the Project Name:	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above informati an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (SAbsorption Rate (Total Sales/MTotal # of Active Comparable LMonths of Unit Supply (Total Lie	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m dominium or cooperative settled) Months) Listings Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above informati an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Liments of Unit Supply (Total Lie Are foreclosures sales (REO sales)	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m dominium or cooperative settled) Months) Listings Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above informati an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Liments of Unit Supply (Total Lie Are foreclosures sales (REO sales)	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m dominium or cooperative settled) Months) Listings Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above informati an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Liments of Unit Supply (Total Lie Are foreclosures sales (REO sales)	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m dominium or cooperative settled) Months) Listings Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above informati an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Liments of Unit Supply (Total Lie Are foreclosures sales (REO sales)	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m dominium or cooperative settled) Months) Listings Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above informati an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Liments of Unit Supply (Total Lie Are foreclosures sales (REO sales)	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m dominium or cooperative settled) Months) Listings Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above informati an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Liments of Unit Supply (Total Lie Are foreclosures sales (REO sales)	ion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an oftware.com/1004m dominium or cooperative settled) Months) Listings Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Despire following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a condict Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Later Months of Unit Supply (Total Lieue) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales of foreclosed properties.	lion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an offtware.com/1004m Comminium or cooperative	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Designation of the search of	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above informati an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a cond Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Liments of Unit Supply (Total Lie Are foreclosures sales (REO sales)	lion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an offtware.com/1004m Comminium or cooperative	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Designation of the search of	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a condict Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Later Months of Unit Supply (Total Lieue) Are foreclosures sales (REO satisfication) of the condiction of the condition of the	lion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an offtware.com/1004m Comminium or cooperative	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Designation of the search of	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a condict Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Later Months of Unit Supply (Total Lieue) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales of foreclosed properties.	lion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an offtware.com/1004m Comminium or cooperative	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Designation of the search of	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a condict Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Later Months of Unit Supply (Total Lieue) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales of foreclosed properties.	lion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an offtware.com/1004m Comminium or cooperative	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Designation of the conclusion of the conclus	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a condict Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Later Months of Unit Supply (Total Lieue) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales of foreclosed properties.	lion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an offtware.com/1004m Comminium or cooperative	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Designation of the conclusion of the conclus	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a condict Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Later Months of Unit Supply (Total Lieue) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales of foreclosed properties.	lion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an offtware.com/1004m Comminium or cooperative	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Designation of the conclusion of the conclus	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a condict Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Later Months of Unit Supply (Total Lieue) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales of foreclosed properties.	lion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an offtware.com/1004m Comminium or cooperative	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Designation of the conclusion of the conclus	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a condict Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter) Total # of Active Comparable Later Months of Unit Supply (Total Lieue) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales of foreclosed properties.	lion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an offtware.com/1004m Comminium or cooperative	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion arket search. Designation of the conclusion of the conclus	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above informati an analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsonline	lion as support for your ind/or expired and without generated from an offtware.com/1004m Comminium or cooperative	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an ex tails regarding the Project Name: Current - 3 Months	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above informatian analysis of pending sales, at The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsoonline at http://bradfordsoonline at http://bradfordsoonline.com/subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Mattotal # of Active Comparable Language Months of Unit Supply (Total Line Are foreclosures sales (REO sate foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends at Signature	dominium or cooperative Settled) Months) ales) a factor in the project of the pro	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months on the subject unit and	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months licate the number of R	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above informatian analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsoonline at htt	dominium or cooperative settled) Months) Listings Antonio An and address the impact	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months on the subject unit and otherson	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Project Name: Current - 3 Months licate the number of R	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above informatian analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsoonline at htt	dominium or cooperative Settled) Months) Listings Antonio An Prodigy Apprais	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months on the subject unit and on the subject unit and on sal Services	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc d project. Signature Supervisor Company I	Project Name: Current - 3 Months licate the number of R	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	usion:	Declining Declining Increasing
CRMLS was the data sour Summarize the above information and analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsource. If the subject is a unit in a condition of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Sabsorption Rate (Total Sales/Matter Total # of Active Comparable Lange Months of Unit Supply (Total Lieun Are foreclosures sales (REO sate foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and Summarize the Appraiser Name Company Name Company Address P.	dominium or cooperative Settled) Months) Listings Jacks Antonio An Prodigy Apprais O. Box 4609, Wes	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months piect? Yes on the subject unit and addreson sal Services st Hills, CA 91308	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc d project. Signature Supervisor Company I	Project Name: Current - 3 Months licate the number of R Name Name Address	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	n be	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing gs and sales
Summarize the above informatian analysis of pending sales, and The statistics above were online at http://bradfordsoonline at htt	dominium or cooperative Settled) Months) Listings Antonio An Prodigy Apprais	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months piect? Yes on the subject unit and other state of the subject state of the sta	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc d project. Signature Supervisor Company I	Project Name: Current - 3 Months Current - 4 Months Name Name Address ase/Certification #	blana	culations and supportunition and supportunitions and supportunitio	t for I pro	your conclusions care Il Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	n be	Declining Declining Increasing

MARKET RESEARCH & ANALYSIS



ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales


BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Sales






ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Listings

BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Listings






ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales and Listings


BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Sales and Listings






ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales Price

BELOW: Neighborhood - Median Sales Price





ABOVE: Competing Market - Median List Price


BELOW: Neighborhood - Median List Price







ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and List Price

BELOW: Neighborhood - Median Sales and List Price






ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and Listings DOM







ABOVE: Competing Market - Average Sale and List Price Per SqFt





Prodigy Appraisal Services SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC


8313 Kittyhawk Ave Property Address City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90045-4226 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc

Address



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 8313 Kittyhawk Ave Los Angeles, CA 90045-4226

2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY




STREET SCENE

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC Property Address 8313 Kittyhawk Ave City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90045-4226 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Alternate Street View



Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 8313 Kittyhawk Ave

City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90045-4226

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 6500 W 86th PI Los Angeles, CA 90045

1



COMPARABLE SALE # 2 5730 W 85th PI Los Angeles, CA 90045



COMPARABLE SALE # 3 8307 Kittyhawk Ave Los Angeles, CA 90045

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No.

Case No.

55699

34772959

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C:1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 55699 Case No. 34772959

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No.

Case No.

55699

34772959

Abbreviation Full Name May Appear in These Fields Α Adverse Location & View Acres Area, Site ac AdjPrk Adjacent to Park Location AdjPwr Adjacent to Power Lines Location ArmLth Sales or Financing Concessions Arms Length Sale Attached Structure ΑT Design (Style) В Beneficial Location & View Bathroom(s) Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade ba br Bedroom Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade BsyRd **Busy Road** Location Contracted Date Date of Sale/Time Cash Cash Sale or Financing Concessions Commercial Influence Comm Location Conventional Conv Sale or Financing Concessions Garage/Carport Carport ср CrtOrd Court Ordered Sale Sale or Financing Concessions CtvSkv City View Skyline View View CtyStr City Street View View Covered Garage/Carport DOM Days On Market **Data Sources** DT **Detached Structure** Design (Style) dw Driveway Garage/Carport **Expiration Date** Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Estate Estate Sale **FHA** Federal Housing Administration Sale or Financing Concessions Garage/Carport Garage g Attached Garage Garage/Carport ga gbi Built-In Garages Garage/Carport qd **Detached Garage** Garage/Carport **GlfCse** Golf Course Location Golf Course View Glfvw View Design (Style) GR Garden HR High Rise Design (Style) Interior Only Stairs Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade in Ind Industrial Location & View Listing Listing Sales or Financing Concessions Location Lndfl Landfill Limited Sight LtdSaht View MR Mid Rise Design (Style) Mtn View Mountain View Neutral Ν Location & View NonArm Non-Arms Length Sale Sale or Financing Concessions Other Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade 0 Other Design (Style) Garage/Carport Open op Prk Park View View View Pstrl Pastoral View PubTrn **Public Transportation** Location PwrLn Power Lines View Relo Relocation Sale Sale or Financing Concessions **REO REO Sale** Sale or Financing Concessions Location & View Res Residential RHUSDA - Rural Housing Sale or Financing Concessions Recreational (Rec) Room Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade rr RT Row or Townhouse Design (Style) Settlement Date Date of Sale/Time SD Semi-detached Structure Design (Style) Short Short Sale Sale or Financing Concessions sf Square Feet Area, Site, Basement Area, Site sqm Square Meters Unk Unknown Date of Sale/Time Veterans Administration VA Sale or Financing Concessions w Withdrawn Date Date of Sale/Time Walk Out Basement Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wo Woods Woods View View Wtr Water View View WtrFr Water Frontage Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wu Walk Up Basement

Prodigy Appraisal Services

APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM

File No. 55699 Case No. 3477295

	APPRA	ISAL CON	IPLIANCE	ADDENDON	Cas	<u>e No. 3477</u> 2	2959
Borrower/Client Redwood Ho						Linit No	
Address 8313 Kittyhawk Ave City Los Angeles	<u>e</u>	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Unit No. Zip Code	90045-4226
Lender/Client Wedgewood In	nc						
This App	raisal Compliance Addendum	n is included to ens	sure this appraisal re	eport meets all USPA	P 2014 r	equirements.	
APPRAISAL AND REPOR							
This Appraisal Report is one of the							• • ()
X Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in ac						• •
Restricted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in ac intended user of this report is li		•				• •
	at the opinions and conclusions						* *
	· 	<u> </u>					
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT	IONS						
I certify that, to the best of my kno					ı		
	nined in this report are true and c						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ions, and conclusions are limited	d only by the reporte	d assumptions and are	e my personal, impartial	, and unbi	ased profession	onal analyses,
opinions, and conclusions.	I have no present or prospective	interest in the prope	erty that is the subject	of this report and no ne	rsonal inte	erest with resno	ect to narties involved
	I have performed no services, as		•	·		•	•
	g acceptance of this assignmen					,	·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o the property that is the subject	•	•	-			
	gnment was not contingent upon eting this assignment is not conti				o or direct	ion in value the	at favore the cause
	he value opinion, the attainment	-		•			
this appraisal.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,	1	,		
	conclusions were developed and	this report has bee	n prepared, in conform	nity with the Uniform Sta	ındards of	Professional A	Appraisal Practice that
were in effect at the time this	s report was prepared. I have made a personal inspecti	on of the property th	at is the subject of this	roport			
	no one provided significant real		•		ation (if th	ere are excep	tions, the name of each
individual providing significal	nt real property appraisal assista	ance is stated elsewl	here in this report).	., .	,	•	
This report has been prepare PRIOR SERVICES	ed in accordance with Title XI of	FIRREA as amende	ed, and any implement	ing regulations.			
	ed services, as an appraiser or in	another other cana	city, regarding the proj	nerty that is the subject	of the reno	ort within the th	ree-vear period
immediately preceding acce		another other capa	oity, regarding the prop	porty that is the subject	or the repe	nt within the th	nee year period
I HAVE performed serv	ices, as an appraiser or in anoth			the subject of this repor	t within the	three-year pe	riod immediately
preceding acceptance of this PROPERTY INSPECTION	s assignment. Those services ar	e described in the co	omments below.				
	sonal inspection of the property	that is the subject of	this report				
	a personal inspection of the pro-						
APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE							
Unless otherwise noted, no one property identified along with a		• •		this certification. If any	one did pro	ovide significar	nt assistance, they
are hereby identified along with a	summary of the extent of the ass	sistance provided in	пе героп.				
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS							
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Additional USPAP related issues i		state mandated requi	iromonto:				
Additional OSFAF Telated ISSUES I	equiling disclosure and/or any s	state manuateu requ					
MARKETING TIME AND E							
X A reasonable marketing time	for the subject property is 1-9	<u>90 Days</u> day(s) ı	utilizing market conditi	ons pertinent to the app	raisal assi	gnment.	
X A reasonable exposure time	for the subject property is 1-9	OD Days day(s).					
APPRAISER			SUPERVISOR	RY APPRAISER (O	NLY IF	REQUIRED))
	' 1						
Sinte	\prec						
Signature Signature			Signature				
Name Antonio Andersor			Name				
Date of Signature 11/06/202 State Certification # AR035678			Date of Signature				
	8		0	n# #			
State CA			State License	·			
Expiration Date of Certification or	License 11/23/2024		Expiration Date o	f Certification or License			
F# # B + 44 - 44 - 44 - 44 - 44 - 44 - 44 -	20/2022			iser Inspection of Subjection			15.4.
Effective Date of Appraisal 11/0	Jb/2U23		Did Not	Exterior Only from	street	Interior a	ind Exterior

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 8313 Kittyhawk Ave

City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90045-4226

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 8313 Kittyhawk Ave

City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90045-4226

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc



DECLARATIONS

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS **ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY**

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP4113647-22 Renewal of: RAP4113647-21

Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc. Program Administrator:

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Antonio D. Anderson Item 1. Named Insured:

Item 2. Address: P.O. Box 4609

> West Hills, CA 91308 City, State, Zip Code:

11/29/2022 11/29/2023 Item 3. Policy Period: From

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)
(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the **Named Insured** as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ 1,000,000 Damages Limit of Liability - Each Claim

1,000,000 B. \$ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Each Claim

1,000,000 Damages Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate C. \$

1,000,000 D. \$ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$__**500** Each Claim

B. \$ 1,000 Aggregate

895.00 Item 6. Premium: \$

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 11/29/2005

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (08/12)

D42402 (05/13) D42408 (05/13) D42412 (03/17) D42413 (06/17)

D42414 (08/19)

Berey a magnioni Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1