File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

| Г | The purpose of this summary appraisal repor | t is to provide the lender/client with a | | | | • | alue of | f the subject prope | ertv. |
|----------|--|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--|----------|---------------------|---------------|
| | Property Address 4212 Don Luis Dr | | City | Los Angele | | State CA | | | |
| | Borrower Redwood Holdings LI | _C Owner of Public Record | d Fairconne | tue Gretchen; [| | Doris County | ' | Los Angeles | s |
| | Legal Description TRACT # 16404 LOT | | | · | | , | | | |
| | Assessor's Parcel # 5026-013-002 | | | Tax Year | 2 | 023 R.E. | Taxes | s \$ 13,187 | |
| ECT | Neighborhood Name Baldwin Hills | | Map Ref | | 51/B2 | | sus Tra | | 00 |
| <u> </u> | | acant Special Assessments \$ | 0 | PUD | HOA\$ | 0 | | | er month |
| JBJ | Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple | | | 100 | ΠΟΛΨ | <u> </u> | | per year p | CI IIIOIILII |
| SU | Assignment Type Purchase Transaction | | | a) Loan Servic | ring | | | | |
| | Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc | | | attan Beach Blv | | 00 Redondo B | leach | CA 90278 | |
| | Is the subject property currently offered for s | | | | | <u> </u> | | Yes X No | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | the twelve mor | uis prior to the elle | clive date of | ui tilis appiaisai? | | TES A INO | |
| | Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s | s), and date(s). NO CRIVILS# | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 4 f - f 4 4 4 | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | t for sale for the subject purchase tr | ransaction. Expi | ain the results of th | e anaiysis | of the contract for | sale of | r wny tne anaiysis | s was not |
| 5 | performed. | | | | | | | | |
| ₹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Ë | Contract Price \$ Date of 0 | | | ner of public record | | es No Data | | | |
| CONTRACT | Is there any financial assistance (loan charge | | ayment assistan | ce, etc.) to be paid | by any par | ty on behalf of the | borrov | wer?Yes [| No |
| Ö | If Yes, report the total dollar amount and des | scribe the items to be paid. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Note: Race and the racial composition of | the neighborhood are not apprais | sal factors. | | | | | | |
| | Neighborhood Characteristics | One | e-Unit Housing | Trends | | One-Unit Hous | ing | Present Land Us | e % |
| | Location Urban X Suburban F | Rural Property Values I | Increasing > | Stable | Declining | PRICE | AGE | One-Unit | 85 % |
| | Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75% U | Jnder 25% Demand/Supply S | Shortage > | In Balance | OverSupply | \$ (000) | (yrs) | 2-4 Unit | 00 % |
| RHOOD | | Slow Marketing Time X | | | Over6mths | 869 Low | 59 | Multi-Family | 5 % |
| 풊 | Neighborhood Boundaries Don Diablo D | | | | | 2,860 High | 93 | Commercial | 5 % |
| BOI | the east. La Brea Ave is to the wes | | 5041 | 2.22.IGN DIV | | 1,455 Pred. | 70 | Other Vac/Pri | |
| 堂 | Neighborhood Description Subject neigh | | n educationa | retail and em | nlovment | , | | | |
| EIGH | facilities including public transportation | | | | | | | | |
| 뿔 | detrimental conditions as well as pul | - | | | | | and t | ine protection | |
| | Market Conditions (including support for the | | | | | | ocnoc | tion Thorows | |
| | fluctuation of median prices. Howev | • | | a were relative | y Stable | at the time of it | ispec | uon. meie w | as |
| | indictuation of median prices. Howev | er, triis was typical iii trie mai | ikel alea. | | | | | | |
| | Dimensions See Site Map for Are | og Calculation Area | 8462 sf | Shape | Rectang | gular View | | B;PartialCtyS | lov: |
| | • | | | | | | | ь, Раппаюцу | ky, |
| | Specific Zoning Classification | _ | | e Family Reside | | sqit min iot | | | |
| | | Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use) | | | | V V | | | |
| | Is the highest and best use of subject proper | | | | | | It No, c | describe. The h | ignest |
| | and best use meets legal permissibil | • • • • | • | | • | • | | | |
| ш | Utilities Public Other (describe) | | her (describe) | | | rovementsType | | | rivate |
| SIT | Electricity X Gas X | Water X | _ | | Pvd | | | X | \dashv |
| | | Sanitary Sewer X | | Alley | None | 20 55144 | M D | -1- 10/01/001 | \dashv |
| | FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes | | | | 037C161 | 3G FEINIA | мар D | ate 12/21/201 | ° |
| | Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements | | | No, describe. | | - \0 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | 7 N | If \/ | |
| | Are there any adverse site conditions or exte | • | | | | c.)? Yes A | INO | If Yes, describe. | |
| | There were no apparent adverse ea | sements, encroachments, or | environmeni | ai conditions no | iea. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0(-) f Db Ob f | -f D | / MIO / M | | D | Diantras | | 7 D | _ |
| | Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics | | | sessment and Tax | | Prior Inspection | | Property Owner | r |
| | X Other (describe) | Realist | | rce(s) for Gross Liv | | | 1 ax F | Records | |
| | General Description | General Description | | ng / Cooling | | nenities | | Car Storage | • |
| | Units X One One with Accessory Unit | Concrete Slab X Crawl Space | | HWBB | X Firep | | - | None | |
| | # of Stories 2 | Full Basement Finished | Radia | | | dstove(s) # 0 | | Driveway # of Ca | |
| | Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit | Partial Basement Finished | | None | $\overline{}$ | /Deck Patio | | way Surface Cor | |
| | | Exterior Walls Stucco | Fuel FAU | | X Porch | | | Garage # of Ca | |
| | - · · · · · | Roof Surface Cmpstn | X Centra | l Air Conditioning | Pool | None | Ш | Carport # of Ca | |
| | | Gutters & Downspouts Metal | Individ | ual | X Fence | e Concrete | X | Attached D | etached |
| | Effective Age (Yrs) 40 | Window TypeMetal | Other | None | Other | None | | Built-in | |
| ဟ | Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ove | n X Dishwasher X Disposal | Microwave | X Washer/Dryer | Other (| describe) | | | |
| ENTS | Finished area above grade contains: | 6 Rooms 3 Bedro | ooms 2.1 | Bath(s) 2 | ,415 | Square Feet of C | Gross L | _iving Area Above | Grade |
| 믵 | Additional features (special energy efficient if | tems, etc.) None | | | | | | | |
| /EM | | | | | | | | | |
| ROVI | Describe the condition of the property and da | ata source(s) (including apparent ne | eded repairs, de | eterioration, renova | tions, remo | odeling, etc.). C4: | ;See | comments - | |
| ட | SUBJECT CONDITION | | | | | | | | |
| ≥ | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Are there any apparent physical deficiencies | or adverse conditions that affect the | e livabilitv. soun | dness, or structural | intearity o | f the property? | Yes | X No | |
| | If Yes, describe There are no apparent | | | | | | _ | | _{y.} |
| | Please note that the appraiser is not | | | | | | | | |
| | analyze, or comment on physical itel | | | | | | | | · - j , |
| | mechanical or structural physical pro | | | | | | | , y willy | |
| | Does the property generally conform to the n | · | | | $\overline{}$ | No If No de | scribe | The subject | |
| | | conforms the homes in the in | | | . [] 100 | 1110 11110, 00 | | 5 545,500 | |

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

| | | Exteri | or-Only Inspec | tion Reside | ential Ap | praisa | al Report | Case No. 347800 | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | parable properties curre | _ | | | | | 900 to\$ 1,900 | ,000 . | |
| | There are 17 com | parable sales in the sub | ject neighborhood withi | n the past twelve n | | | | 020,000 to \$ 1 | ,925,000 . | |
| | FEATURE | SUBJECT | COMPARABLE SALE # 1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 | | | COMPARABLE SA | ALE # 3 | | | |
| | Address 4212 | Don Luis Dr | 4136 Don Ma | ariano Dr | 521 | 8 Onak | noll Ave | 4300 Don Arellanes Dr | | |
| | Los Ange | eles, CA 90008 | Los Angeles, CA 90008 Los Angeles, CA 90043 | | | Los Angeles, | | | | |
| | Proximity to Subject | | 0.09 mile | | | 0.70 mil | | 0.32 mile | s NW | |
| | Sale Price | \$ | \$ | 1,435,000 | | \$ | 1,529,000 | \$ | 1,835,000 | |
| | Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ 0.00 sq. ft. | \$ 651.38 s | q. ft. | \$ 579. | | q. ft. | \$ 801.66 s | q. ft. | |
| | Data Source(s) | | CRMLS#232539 | 937;DOM 14 | CRMLS | #23297 | 131;DOM 12 | CRMLS#221939 | 935;DOM 69 | |
| | Verification Source(s) | | DOC#23-032838 | 7, RealQuest | DOC#23- | 058558 | 5, RealQuest | DOC#23-003474 | 9, RealQuest | |
| | VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DESCRIPTION | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIP | TION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | |
| | Sale or Financing | | ArmLth | | ArmL | .th | | ArmLth | | |
| | Concessions | | Conv;0 | | Conv | | | Conv;0 | | |
| | Date of Sale/Time | | s05/23;c04/23 | 0 | s08/23;c | 08/23 | 0 | s01/23;c12/22 | 0 | |
| | Location | N;Res; | N;Res; | | N;Re | s; | | N;Res; | | |
| | Leasehold/Fee Simple | Fee Simple | Fee Simple | | Fee Sir | | | Fee Simple | | |
| | Site | 8462 sf | 7530 sf | 0 | 5722 | | +27,500 | | -11,000 | |
| | View | B;PartialCtySky; | B;PartialCtySky; | | N;Re | | +38,000 | | | |
| | Design (Style) | DT2;Ranch | DT2;Ranch | | DT2;Ra | | | DT2;Ranch | | |
| | Quality of Construction | Q4 | Q4 | | Q3 | | -38,000 | | -92,000 | |
| | Actual Age | 71 | 72 | 0 | 81 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| | Condition | C4 | C4 | | C4 | | -38,000 | | -183,500 | |
| | Above Grade | Total Bdrms. Baths | Total Bdrms. Baths | | Total Bdrms | | 0 | Total Bdrms. Baths | 0 | |
| | Room Count | 6 3 2.1 | 6 3 2.0 | +5,000 | | 2.1 | | 7 3 2.0 | +5,000 | |
| | Gross Living Area | 2,415 sq. ft. | 2,203 sq. ft. | +42,500 | • | sq. ft. | -44,500 | | +25,000 | |
| | Basement & Finished | 0sf | 0sf | | 0sf | | | 0sf | | |
| 2 | Rooms Below Grade | Α. | Δ. | | | | | Δ. | | |
| | Functional Utility | Average | Average | | Avera | - | | Average | | |
| [| Heating/Cooling | FAU/CAC | FAU/CAC | | FAU/C | | | FAU/CAC | | |
| (| Energy Efficient Items | None 2ga2dw | None 2ga2dw | | Non 2ga2 | | | None 2ga2dw | | |
| 5 | Garage/Carport Porch/Patio/Deck | 2gazuw Patio/Porch | zgazuw Patio/Porch | | Patio/P | | | Patio/Porch | | |
| 2 | Fireplaces | 1 Fireplace | 2 Fireplaces | -5,000 | | | -5,000 | | | |
| | Other | None | Pool | -15,000 | | | -3,000 | None | | |
| | 01101 | 140110 | 1 001 | 10,000 | 11011 | | | 140110 | | |
| 5 | Net Adjustment (Total) | | X + - | \$ 27,500 | + X | _ | \$ -60,000 | + X - | \$ -256,500 | |
| ָ כ | Adjusted Sale Price | | Net Adj: 2% | | Net Adj: -4° | | | Net Adj: -14% | | |
| | of Comparables | | Gross Adj : 5% | \$ 1,462,500 | | | | Gross Adj: 17% | \$ 1,578,500 | |
| | My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS My research did X Data source(s) CRMLS Report the results of the results | , RealQuest.com did not reveal any prio , RealQuest.com | r sales or transfers of th | e comparable sale | s for the year | orior to the | e date of sale of the | e comparable sale. | page 3). | |
| | ITEM | | BJECT | COMPARABLE S | | | PARABLE SALE # | | BLE SALE #3 | |
| | Date of Prior Sale/Transfe | r | | | | | | | | |
| | Price of Prior Sale/Transfe | er | | | | | | | | |
| | Data Source(s) | | uest.com | RealQuest. | | R | ealQuest.com | | uest.com | |
| | Effective Date of Data Sou | | 9/2023 | 11/09/202 | | | 11/09/2023 | | 9/2023 | |
| | Analysis of prior sale or tra | anster history of the sub | ect property and compa | rable sales The | prior transfe | er of cor | np two was not | a market driven tra | nsaction. | |
| | Summary of Sales Compa | urison Annroach The | estimated value i | s based on th | e most rec | ent sim | ular sales sur | pport of value marl | ketability | |
| | and indication of va | | | | | | , | | | |
| NOIL | Indicated Value by Sales of Indicated Value by: Sales of Value is based on principage of the subject improve subject's neighborhood | Comparison Approach \$ les of substitution & op ements, the cost approa | portunity costs as they ch has been deemed u | nreliable and, there | et data approa | ssary to b | to the lack of releva e included in this re | | | |
| VECONOIES | This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspection | the following repairs or ton based on the extraor | alterations on the basis dinary assumption that | of a hypothetical co | ndition that the ficiency does r | e repairs on the require | or alterations have to alteration or repa | ir: | subject to the | |
| Fre | Based on a visual inspe conditions, and appraise \$ 1,465,000 , as addie Mac Form 2055 Mar | er's certification, my (o s of 11 | | ket value, as defi | ned, of the re | al proper | ty that is the subj | • | | |

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

| _ | Exterior only moposition to | oraoritiai 7 tppraidai | rtoport | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in a | accordance with the require | ements of | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforceme | | | ۷ | |
| | U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in e | ffect at the time the apprai | iser signs the | | |
| | appraisal certification. | | | | |
| | appraisar certification. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the A | ppraisal Report option of U | JSPAP Standa | rds Rule 2-2(a | 1). |
| | | | | , | / |
| | | | | | |
| | Fannie Mae Definition: Market value is the most probable price which a | property should bring in a | a competitive a | nd open mark | et under all |
| | conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prude | dently, knowledgeably and | assuming the | price is not af | fected by |
| | • | actually, ture ture age as if act a | accaning and | p | |
| | undue stimulus. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | AMC Registration # for ClearCapital.com, Inc: California #1256 | | | | |
| | , | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ı | | | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| Į | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
|) | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | COST APPROACH TO VALUE | (not required by Fannie Ma | a) | | |
| | COST APPROACH TO VALUE | | e.) | | |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cale | culations. | , | | |
| | | culations. | , | lue for the subje | ect property was |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method | culations. ods for estimating site value) C |) Dpinion of site va | | |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co | culations. ods for estimating site value) C nsidered with land abstracted fr |) Opinion of site va | nents and then c | ompared to derive |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were coat a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. | culations. ods for estimating site value) C nsidered with land abstracted fr |) Opinion of site va | nents and then c | ompared to derive |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co | culations. ods for estimating site value) C nsidered with land abstracted fr |) Opinion of site va | nents and then c | ompared to derive |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio gr |) Opinion of site va | nents and then co s typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio grants OPINION OF SITE VALUE | Opinion of site va comsite improver reater than 30% is | nents and then co s typical for the a : | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site was marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio grants of the considered of the land to value ratio grants. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,415 | Opinion of site va comsite improver reater than 30% is | nents and then co s typical for the a : : | ompared to derive rea. No affects on \$\frac{100,000}{5}\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio grants of the considered of the land to value ratio grants. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,415 | Opinion of site va comsite improver reater than 30% is | nents and then co s typical for the a : : | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio grants of the considered of the land to value ratio grants. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,415 | Opinion of site va comsite improver reater than 30% is | nents and then co s typical for the a : : | ompared to derive rea. No affects on \$\frac{100,000}{5}\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site was marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio graphs of the considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio graphs of the considered with land to value ratio graphs. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,415 | Opinion of site va com site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ | nents and then co s typical for the a : : : | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio groups of the land to value ratio groups open considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio groups open considered with land to value ratio groups open considered with land to value ratio groups open considered with land to value ratio groups of the land | Opinion of site va comsite improver reater than 30% is | nents and then co s typical for the a = = = = | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio graphs of the considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio graphs of the considered with land to value ratio graphs. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,415 | Opinion of site va com site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ | nents and then co s typical for the a = = = = | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data | Culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio groups of the land to value ratio g | Opinion of site va com site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ | nents and then co s typical for the a = = = = | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio gradue. The land to value ratio gradue ratio gradue. The land to value ratio gradue ratio gradue. The land to value ratio gradue ratio gr | Opinion of site varom site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio gradue. The land to value ratio gradue | Opinion of site va com site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio grants of the land to value ratio grants of the land to value ratio grants of the land to value ratio grants. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,415 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver | Opinion of site va com site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ | nents and then cost stypical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio gradue. The land to value ratio gradue | Opinion of site va com site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ | nents and then cost stypical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data | culations. ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio grants of the land to value ratio grants of the land to value ratio grants of the land to value ratio grants. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,415 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improver | Opinion of site va com site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ | nents and then cost stypical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site of marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) | Culations. Ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio groups of land to value ratio groups of land to value ratio gr | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years | Culations. Ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio groups of land to value and the land to value of land to value ratio groups of land to value ratio groups of land to value of land to value ratio groups of land to value o | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional nents hents | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contact a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site of marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) | Culations. Ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio groups of land to value abstract of land to value of | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional nents hents | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contained at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site was marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximations. Color settimating site value) Considered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio gradue. Color settimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvem "As-is" Value of Site Improvem Indicated Value By Cost Approximation. | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional nents nents pach ae.) | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximations. Color settimating site value) Considered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio gradue. Color settimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvem "As-is" Value of Site Improvem Indicated Value By Cost Approximation. | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional nents hents | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contained at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site was marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximations. Color settimating site value) Considered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio gradue. Color settimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvem "As-is" Value of Site Improvem Indicated Value By Cost Approximation. | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional nents nents pach ae.) | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) | Culations. Ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio groups of the land to value and the land to value and the land to value of t | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional nents nents pach ae.) | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier | Culations. Ods for estimating site value) Considered with land abstracted fralue. The land to value ratio groups of the land to value and the land to value and the land to value of t | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional nents nents pach ae.) | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site varieties are subject's estimated site varieties. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximations Total Cost of Improvem "As-is" Value By Cost Approximation (Indicated Value By Cos | Opinion of site varom site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional nents hents heach ae.) dicated Value by Ir | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site varieties was reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site varieties was reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were control of sectio | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximation of Site University o | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional Hents Dach ae.) dicated Value by In ached Atta | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site varieties at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site varieties at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site varieties at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site varieties. Below indicates the subject's estimated site varieties. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable of setting and subject area were co at a reasonable of setting and subject area were co at a reasonable of setting and subject area were contained at a reasonable of setting and subject area were contained and subject area were contained and subject area we | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximation of Site University o | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional Hents Dach ae.) dicated Value by In ached Atta | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site varieties was reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site varieties was reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were control of sectio | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximation of Site University o | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional Hents Dach ae.) dicated Value by In ached Atta | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable and sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were co at a reasonable of setting | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximated | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional Hents Dach ae.) dicated Value by In ached Atta | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methoderived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site was marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total | Culations. Indicated Value of Site Improvem "As-is" Value of Site Improvem "As-is" Value of Site Improvem Indicated Value By Cost Appro Indicated Valu | Opinion of site various site improver reater than 30% is Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional Hents Dach ae.) dicated Value by In ached Atta | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methoderived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site was marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: | Culations. Insidered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio groups and the land | Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Indicated Value by Ind | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methoderived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site was marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total | Culations. Insidered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio groups and the land | Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Indicated Value by Ind | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes | Culations. Insidered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio groups and the land | Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Indicated Value by Ind | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. | Culations. Insidered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio group. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 2,415 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvem "As-is" Value of Site Improvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Details of the HOA and the subject proper number of units sold source No If Yes, date of conve | Opinion of site value by Ir ached Attached Attached Attached rision. | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. | Culations. Insidered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio groups and the land | Opinion of site value by Ir ached Attached Attached Attached rision. | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. | Culations. Insidered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio group. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 2,415 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvem "As-is" Value of Site Improvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Details of the HOA and the subject proper number of units sold source No If Yes, date of conve | Opinion of site value by Ir ached Attached Attached Attached rision. | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. | Culations. Insidered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio group. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 2,415 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvem "As-is" Value of Site Improvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Details of the HOA and the subject proper number of units sold source No If Yes, date of conve | Opinion of site value by Ir ached Attached Attached Attached rision. | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methoderived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contated as a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were contained at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject sestimated site value. Below indicates the subject of se | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Appro Indicated Value By | Sq. Ft. @ \$ Attached Attached ached Attached | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were co at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 60 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data: Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. | Culations. Insidered with land abstracted from the land to value ratio group. OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 2,415 Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvem "As-is" Value of Site Improvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem Indicated Value By Cost Approvem FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Details of the HOA and the subject proper number of units sold source No If Yes, date of conve | Sq. Ft. @ \$ Attached Attached ached Attached | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methoderived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contated as a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were contained at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject sestimated site value. Below indicates the subject of se | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Appro Indicated Value By | Sq. Ft. @ \$ Attached Attached ached Attached | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methoderived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contated as a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were contained at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject sestimated site value. Below indicates the subject of se | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Appro Indicated Value By | Sq. Ft. @ \$ Attached Attached ached Attached | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |
| | Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methoderived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were contated as a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value areasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject area were contained at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject sestimated site value. Below indicates the subject of se | Culations. Indicated Value By Cost Appro Indicated Value By | Sq. Ft. @ \$ Attached Attached ached Attached | nents and then cost typical for the a | ompared to derive rea. No affects on -\$ 100,000 -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ |

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Page

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 3478668

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

| APPRAISER | in last | SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED) | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Signature_ | V/cral () | Signature | | | | |
| Name | / Antonio Anderson | Name | | | | |
| Company Name | Prodigy Appraisal Services | Company Name | | | | |
| Company Address | P. O. Box 4609 | Company Address | | | | |
| _ | West Hills, CA 91308 | | | | | |
| Telephone Number | 8186188081 | Telephone Number | | | | |
| Email Address | antonio@prodigyappraisal.com | Email Address | | | | |
| Date of Signature ar | nd Report11/11/2023 | Date of Signature | | | | |
| Effective Date of Ap | praisal11/09/2023 | State Certification # | | | | |
| State Certification # | AR035678 | or State License # | | | | |
| or State License # | | State | | | | |
| or Other (describe) | State # | Expiration Date of Certification or License | | | | |
| State | CA | | | | | |
| Expiration Date of C | Pertification or License11/23/2024 | | | | | |
| | | SUBJECT PROPERTY | | | | |
| ADDRESS OF PRO | PERTY APPRAISED | | | | | |
| | 4212 Don Luis Dr | Did not inspect exterior of subject property | | | | |
| | Los Angeles, CA 90008 | Did inspect exterior of subject property from street | | | | |
| | | Date of Inspection | | | | |
| APPRAISED VALUE | E OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,465,000 | | | | | |
| LENDER/CLIENT | | | | | | |
| Name | ClearCapital | COMPARABLE SALES | | | | |
| | Wedgewood Inc | | | | | |
| Company Address | 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100 | Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street | | | | |
| _ | Redondo Beach, CA 90278 | Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street | | | | |
| Email Address | | Date of Inspection | | | | |

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

| Property Address 4212 Don Luis Dr | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| City Los Angeles | State | CA | Zip Code | 90008 |
| Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc | Address | 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 10 | 0. Redondo Beach | . CA 90278 |

SUBJECT CONDITION Per exterior inspection, age, location, the subject appears to be in overall C4 condition with Q4 quality of construction. The appraiser makes the extraordinary assumption that the interior of the subject is also in C4 condition with no need for any repairs or deferred maintenance issues not seen from the exterior inspection. The right to modify this report is reserved if the above noted information is found to be inaccurate which could affect value and the outcome of this assignment.

There's no apparent damage to the subject or neighborhood from any recent heavy rains, flooding, mud-slides or any other natural disasters.

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

| Property Address 4212 Don Luis Dr | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| City Los Angeles | County | Los Angeles | State | CA | Zip Code | 90008 |
| Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc | | Address 2015 Ma | anhattan Beac | h Blvd Suite 100 | , Redondo Beach | n, CA 90278 |

This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Appraisal Report option of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).

This report was completed in full compliance with the appraiser independence regulations.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

PURPOSE AND INTENDED USERS:

The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for an asset valuation, loan servicing, subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, reporting requirements of the appraisal report form, and Definition of Market Value. No additional Intended Users are identified by the appraiser. The report will not be used for mortgage lending purposes.

COMPETENCY PROVISION:

The appraiser has the appropriate knowledge and experience to complete this assignment competently. Appraiser qualifications are maintained in Prodigy Appraisal Services files and can be provided upon request.

SELF CONTAINMENT:

This appraisal report is intended to be a report containing the information necessary to enable the reader to understand the appraiser's opinion. Any third party studies referred to, such as pest, hazardous materials, or structural reports have been verified by the appraiser to the extent of the assumptions and conclusions used.

PERSONAL PROPERTY:

Any personal property involved in the transaction has been excluded from the valuation of the real property. Should a transaction, which includes personal property of sufficient value to affect the market value of the real property, be evident, a separate assessment of the personal property, fixtures, or intangible items will be identified and included in the report as a separate valuation.

DIGITAL SIGNATURE:

This appraisal is digitally signed. This digital signature requires a security password known only by the appraiser. No changes can be made to any portion of this appraisal report once it has been digitally signed. The digital signature used in this report is an accurate representation of the appraiser's signature.

LIMITING CONDITIONS:

The appraiser is not a licensed building contractor or a professional building inspector. I am not qualified to survey or analyze physical items that are not readily visible. If any of the parties in this transaction have questions or concerns regarding any mechanical or structural physical problems, conditions, infestation, contamination, or other issues regarding the subject property, an expert in that field or specialty should be consulted.

Prodigy Appraisal Services PLAT MAP


File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

 Borrower
 Redwood Holdings LLC

 Property Address
 4212 Don Luis Dr

 City
 Los Angeles
 County
 Los Angeles
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 90008

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

| Property Address 4212 Don Luis Dr | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| City Los Angeles | County | Los Angeles | State | CA | Zip Code | 90008 |
| Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc | | Address 2015 Ma | nhattan Beac | h Blvd Suite 100 | Redondo Bea | ch CA 90278 |

GRID ADJUSTMENTS:

Market Grid adjustments are deemed to be self-explanatory and adjustments to the comparable sales reflect the appraiser's best estimate of market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparables. Paired sales analysis is conducted to a limited degree based on the market data in the area and may not only be limited to the information included in the sales comparison grid. This approach is deemed most reliable and reflective of the typical buyer reaction to or lack thereof, certain amenities, room count, condition, quality of construction, location, view, etc...

MARKET CONDITIONS: None warranted due to the stable trend in the neighborhood based on the 1004MC, CRMLS CMA data, neighborhood value trend charts, and market area analysis over the last 12 months. SEE EXHIBIT AND CHARTS BELOW. The list to sale price ratios is 99.44%.

LOCATION: None warranted.

SITE: Based on a review of Los Angeles County Tax Assessor plat map, the subject has a site area of 8462 Sqft. The Google Aerial maps and 3D measuring tools were utilized to estimate the overall usable area for the subject and comparables. Even though the comparable lots differ in size from the subject, they still can only be used to build one home, so their larger or smaller site areas are worth only a marginal difference, not the full overall value of land. That being noted adjustments warranted were applied at \$10 per sqft for differences greater than 1000 sqft and rounded to \$500.

VIEW: Adjustments made at 2.5% increments based on level of difference and the paired sales analysis of comparables one and two.

DESIGN/STYLE: None warranted.

GLA: Adjustments made at \$200.00 per square foot of difference for differences greater than 100 square feet and then rounded to the nearest \$500 based on comps one and two including sensitivity analysis focused on narrowing the range.

ROOM COUNT: Bedroom count was absorbed in the GLA adjustments. Bathroom adjustments were made at \$5,000 per half bath and \$10K per full bathroom differences.

QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION AND CONDITION: Adjustments made at 2.5% increments based on level of difference and the paired sales analysis of comparables one versus two and one versus three. Due to UAD form limitations, some rating are the equal with adjustments applied to reflect overall differences. Comp two has been updated the kitchen over the years: cherry wood cabinets in the kitchen, granite counter tops, travertine tile flooring, and hardwood flooring in the common area. The bathrooms are dated and mostly original. Comp three was taken down the studs and renovated in the last five years: custom kitchen cabinets and vanities, quartz counter tops, high end appliances, interior/exterior paint, refinished hardwood flooring, new HVAC, updated electrical and plumbing, etc...

AGE: None warranted. Age was considered in the quality and condition ratings.

GUEST HOUSE: None warranted.

AUTO STORAGE: None warranted.

It should be noted that the subject's market area reflects a broad range of sale prices and values for properties with similar characteristics within the subject's immediate neighborhood. This was evident to some degree by the comparables considered in this report. For this reason, the range of non-adjusted sale prices are greater than the preferred 20%. This was unavoidable due to the wide spread in sale prices for similar homes in the market area.

SUMMARY OF SALES COMPARISON APPROACH:

A diligent effort was made to find comparables sales that were similar to the subject in age, style, lot size, quality of construction, close proximity, and condition. The initial search criteria were 12 months prior to inspection, 20% GLA difference, and 1 mile radius. Based on the above noted search criteria the comparables included in the report bracket the major characteristics of the subject and considered the best indication of value.

Comp one was given dominate weight due to close proximity, similar lot size, condition, and least amount in gross adjustments. Comps two and three were given secondary and supportive weight. Comp two was included due to close proximity, very recent sale date, and it brackets the upper end of the subject gross living area. Comp three sold more than six months prior but had to be included to bracket the upper end of the subject lot size.

Prodigy Appraisal Services AERIAL MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Lender/Client

Property Address 4212 Don Luis Dr

Wedgewood Inc

City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90008


Subject 4212 Don Luis Dr Los Angeles, CA 90008 APN: 5026-013-002 Stocker St

Prodigy Appraisal Services LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC


| Property Address | 4212 Don Luis Dr | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------|--------|-------------|----------------------|------------|---------------------|----------|
| City Los Angeles | | County | Los Angeles | State | CA | Zip Code | 90008 |
| Lender/Client Wed | gewood Inc | | Address | 2015 Manhattan Beach | Blvd Suite | 100, Redondo Beach, | CA 90278 |



Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681


| The purpose of this addendum is to provide | | | - | nds a | nd conditions p | reva | lent in the si | ubject |
|---|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|
| neighborhood. This is a required addendun Property Address 421 | n tor all appraisal reports with an 2 Don Luis Dr | | aπer April 1, 2009. Los Angeles | S | tate CA | | ZIP Code | 90008 |
| Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC | | Oity | 2007.1190.00 | | <u> </u> | | 211 0000 | 00000 |
| Instructions: The appraiser must use the housing trends and overall market condition | information required on this form ns as reported in the Neighborho | od section of the app | oraisal report form. The | app | raiser must fill i | n all | the informat | on to the extent |
| it is available and reliable and must provide | - | • • | | | | | | |
| explanation. It is recognized that not all dat in the analysis. If data sources provide all the | | | | | | | | |
| average. Sales and listings must be proper | | | | | | | | |
| subject property. The appraiser must expla | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | Ju 5 | y a proopoot | vo bayor or tho |
| Inventory Analysis | Prior 7-12 Months | Prior 4-6 Months | Current - 3 Months | | | vera | ll Trend | |
| Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) | 7 | 4 | 6 | | Increasing | X | Stable | Declining |
| Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) | 1.17 | 1.33 | 2 | | Increasing | X | | Declining |
| Total # of Comparable Active Listings | 3 | 5 | 9 | | Declining | X | | Increasing |
| Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/A | | 3.75 | 4.5 | | Declining | X | | Increasing |
| Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/I | Prior 7-12 Months 1,450,000 | Prior 4-6 Months 1,555,000 | Current - 3 Months | | | vera X | II Trend | Daalinina |
| Median Comparable Sales Price Median Comparable Sales Days on Market | | 63 | 1,564,500 12 | | Increasing Declining | X | | Declining Increasing |
| Median Comparable Sales Bays on Market | 1,999,000 | 1,945,000 | 1,925,000 | | Increasing | X | | Declining |
| Median Comparable Listings Days on Mark | | 76 | 62 | | Declining | X | | Increasing |
| Median Sale Price as % of List Price | 99.13 | 96.65 | 99.44 | | Increasing | Х | | Declining |
| Seller-(developer, builder, etc,) paid financi | al assistance prevalent? | Yes X | No | | Declining | Х | _ | Increasing |
| Explain in detail seller concessions trends to condo fees, options, etc.) | | | | | | | | |
| CRMLS indicates there were 17 clo | | | | | | | | |
| total transactions in this market area concessions; 0% of sales for this pe | | | | | | | | |
| \$3,500 and \$3,500. The median co | | | o or sales for tries p | EIIO | u. THE CONC | 5551 | ons range | d between |
| Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor | | | ain (including the trend | ls in I | istings and sale | s of | foreclosed r | properties). |
| CRMLS indicates there were 17 clo | | | | | | | | |
| 6% of the total transactions in this r | | | | | | | | |
| Sales; 0 foreclosures or short sales | ; 0% of sales for this period | d. 0-3: 6 Sales; 0 | foreclosures or sl | ort | sales; 0% of | sal | es for this | period. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Cite data sources for above information. | | | 1.1.0.10.00 | | | | | |
| CRMLS was the data source used t | o complete the Market Co | naitions Addenai | ım. 11/9/2023 | | | | | |
| Summarize the above information as support | ort for your conclusions in the Ne | iahharhaad section (| of the appraisal report t | orm | If you used any | , add | litional inform | nation such as |
| an analysis of pending sales, and/or expire | | | | | | | | |
| The statistics above were generated | | | | | | | | |
| online at http://bradfordsoftware.co | m/1004mc/calc.shtml. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| If the subject is a unit in a condominium or | cooperative project, complete the | e followina: | Project Name: | | | | | |
| Subject Project Data | Prior 7-12 Months | Prior 4-6 Months | Current - 3 Months | | 0 | vera | II Trend | |
| Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) | | | | | Increasing | | Stable | Declining |
| Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) | | | | | Increasing | | Stable | Declining |
| Total # of Active Comparable Listings | | | | | Declining | | Stable | Increasing |
| Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. R | | | | | Declining | | Stable | Increasing |
| Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a facto | r in the project? Yes | No If yes, inc | licate the number of RI | =O lis | stings and expla | ain th | ne trends in I | istings and sales |
| of foreclosed properties. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Summarize the above trends and address to | | | | | | | | |
| Garrinanze the above trends and address | the impact on the subject unit an | d project. | | | | | | |
| Outlimanzo the above trends and address | the impact on the subject unit an | d project. | | | | | | |
| Cummunzo ano above acinas and address | he impact on the subject unit an | d project. | | | | | | |
| Cummunze the above trends and address | the impact on the subject unit an | d project. | | | | | | |
| Outminuize the above trends and address | the impact on the subject unit an | d project. | | | | | | |
| Outminutize the above trends and address | the impact on the subject unit an | d project. | | | | | | |
| Signature Signature | the impact on the subject unit an | d project. Signature | | | | | | |
| Signature Must | the impact on the subject unit and | | Name | | | | | |
| Signature Appraiser Name Company Name Ar Ar Ar Ar Ar Ar Ar Ar Ar A | ntonio Anderson y Appraisal Services | Signature Supervisor Company I | Name | | | | | |
| Signature Appraiser Name Company Name Company Address P. O. Box 46 | ntonio Anderson y Appraisal Services 609, West Hills, CA 91308 | Signature Supervisor Company I | Name Address | | | | | |
| Signature Appraiser Name Company Name Company Address P. O. Box 46 State License/Certification # | ntonio Anderson y Appraisal Services 609, West Hills, CA 91308 | Signature Supervisor Company I | Name Address ase/Certification # | | | | | State |

MARKET RESEARCH & ANALYSIS



ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales


BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Sales





ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Listings

BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Listings




31



ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales and Listings

BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Sales and Listings






ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales Price

BELOW: Neighborhood - Median Sales Price






ABOVE: Competing Market - Median List Price


BELOW: Neighborhood - Median List Price





ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and List Price

BELOW: Neighborhood - Median Sales and List Price






ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and Listings DOM







ABOVE: Competing Market - Average Sale and List Price Per SqFt





Prodigy Appraisal Services SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM


File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

| Property Address | 4212 Don Luis Dr | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------|--------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| City Los Angeles | | County | Los Angeles | State | CA | Zip Code | 90008 |
| Lender/Client We | edgewood Inc | , | Address | 2015 Manhattan Be | each Blvd Suite 10 | 0, Redondo Beach, C | CA 90278 |



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 4212 Don Luis Dr Los Angeles, CA 90008



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

Prodigy Appraisal Services SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

| Property Address 4212 Don Lu | is Dr | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|
| City Los Angeles | County | Los Angeles | State | CA | Zip Code | 90008 |
| Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc | ; | Address | 2015 Manhattan E | Beach Blvd Suite 10 | 00, Redondo Beach, 0 | CA 90278 |



Side



Alternate Street View



Side

Redwood Holdings LLC Borrower

4212 Don Luis Dr Property Address

City Los Angeles Los Angeles CA Zip Code 90008 County State Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Lender/Client



COMPARABLE SALE# 4136 Don Mariano Dr Los Angeles, CA 90008

55772 Case No. 34786681

File No.



COMPARABLE SALE# 2 5218 Onaknoll Ave Los Angeles, CA 90043



COMPARABLE SALE# 3 4300 Don Arellanes Dr Los Angeles, CA 90008

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC 4212 Don Luis Dr Property Address City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90008 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



4136 Don Mariano Dr CRMLS Photo

| HAD Version 0/2044 Produced by Click FORMS 2-ft war 20 |
|--|
| UAD Version 9/2011 Produced by ClickFORMS Software 800 |
| |

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No.

Case No.

55772

34786681

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C:1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No.

Case No.

55772

34786681

Abbreviation Full Name May Appear in These Fields Α Adverse Location & View Acres Area, Site ac AdjPrk Adjacent to Park Location AdjPwr Adjacent to Power Lines Location ArmLth Sales or Financing Concessions Arms Length Sale Attached Structure ΑT Design (Style) В Beneficial Location & View Bathroom(s) Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade ba br Bedroom Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade BsyRd **Busy Road** Location Contracted Date Date of Sale/Time Cash Cash Sale or Financing Concessions Commercial Influence Comm Location Conventional Conv Sale or Financing Concessions Garage/Carport Carport ср CrtOrd Court Ordered Sale Sale or Financing Concessions CtvSkv City View Skyline View View CtyStr City Street View View Garage/Carport Covered DOM Days On Market **Data Sources** DT **Detached Structure** Design (Style) dw Driveway Garage/Carport **Expiration Date** Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Estate Estate Sale **FHA** Federal Housing Administration Sale or Financing Concessions Garage/Carport Garage g Attached Garage Garage/Carport ga gbi Built-In Garages Garage/Carport qd **Detached Garage** Garage/Carport **GlfCse** Golf Course Location Golf Course View Glfvw View Design (Style) GR Garden HR High Rise Design (Style) Interior Only Stairs Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade in Ind Industrial Location & View Listing Listing Sales or Financing Concessions Location Lndfl Landfill Limited Sight LtdSaht View MR Mid Rise Design (Style) Mtn View Mountain View Neutral Ν Location & View NonArm Non-Arms Length Sale Sale or Financing Concessions Other Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade 0 Other Design (Style) Garage/Carport Open op Prk Park View View View Pstrl Pastoral View PubTrn **Public Transportation** Location PwrLn Power Lines View Relo Relocation Sale Sale or Financing Concessions **REO REO Sale** Sale or Financing Concessions Location & View Res Residential RHUSDA - Rural Housing Sale or Financing Concessions Recreational (Rec) Room Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade rr RT Row or Townhouse Design (Style) Settlement Date Date of Sale/Time SD Semi-detached Structure Design (Style) Short Short Sale Sale or Financing Concessions sf Square Feet Area, Site, Basement Area, Site sqm Square Meters Unk Unknown Date of Sale/Time Veterans Administration VA Sale or Financing Concessions w Withdrawn Date Date of Sale/Time Walk Out Basement Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wo Woods Woods View View Wtr Water View View WtrFr Water Frontage Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wu Walk Up Basement

Prodigy Appraisal Services

APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM Case No. 34786681

File No. 55772

| Borrower/Client Redwood Ho | ldings LLC | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Address 4212 Don Luis Dr | | County | Los Angolos | Ctata | \overline{C} | Unit No. | 90008 |
| City Los Angeles Lender/Client Wedgewood Ir | | County | Los Angeles | State | CA | Zip Code | 90008 |
| Lender/Ollent Wedgewood II | 10 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | raisal Compliance Addendum is included | d to ens | sure this appraisal report mee | ets all USPA | P 2014 | requirements. | |
| APPRAISAL AND REPORT | | | | | | | |
| This Appraisal Report is one of the | | U- 41 | on income at a state of American December 1 | | LIODAD (| Otanada nda Dada | 0.0(-) |
| X Appraisal Report | This report was prepared in accordance wit This report was prepared in accordance wit | | | • | | | • • |
| Restricted Appraisal Report | intended user of this report is limited to the | | · · | | • | | ` ' |
| | at the opinions and conclusions set forth in t | | | | | | * * |
| | | | , | , | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT | | | | | | | |
| I certify that, to the best of my kno | wledge and belief: iined in this report are true and correct. | | | | | | |
| | ions, and conclusions are limited only by the | renorte | d assumptions and are my person | onal impartial | l and un' | hiacad nrofaccio | onal analyses |
| opinions, and conclusions. | ons, and conclusions are inflited only by the | reporte | a assumptions and are my person | onai, impartiai | , and un | Diaseu professio | Jilai ailaiyses, |
| • | I have no present or prospective interest in the | he prope | erty that is the subject of this rep | ort and no pe | rsonal in | terest with resp | ect to parties involved |
| | I have performed no services, as an appraise | | | | | | • |
| period immediately preceding | g acceptance of this assignment. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | o the property that is the subject of this repor | | - | ment. | | | |
| | nment was not contingent upon developing of | - | · · | | | | |
| | eting this assignment is not contingent upon t | | | | | | |
| | he value opinion, the attainment of a stipulate | ea resul | r, or the occurrence of a subseq | uent event dir | ectly rela | ated to the inten | ded use of |
| this appraisal. My analyses oninions and o | conclusions were developed and this report h | nas heel | n nrenared in conformity with th | e Uniform Sta | andards (| of Professional / | Annraisal Practice that |
| were in effect at the time this | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 103 5001 | r propared, in comonnity with th | ic crinoriii cic | iiidalas c | 71 1 TOTO 3 3 10 11 11 1 | appraisar ractice that |
| | I have made a personal inspection of the pro | perty th | at is the subject of this report. | | | | |
| · Unless otherwise indicated, | no one provided significant real property app | oraisal a | ssistance to the person(s) signi | ng this certific | ation (if | there are excep | itions, the name of each |
| | nt real property appraisal assistance is stated | | . , | | | | |
| This report has been prepare PRIOR SERVICES | ed in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA as | amende | d, and any implementing regula | itions. | | | |
| | ed services, as an appraiser or in another other | er cana | city, regarding the property that i | is the subject | of the re | nort within the tl | hree-vear period |
| immediately preceding accep | * * | ei capai | bity, regarding the property that | is the subject | or the rep | port within the ti | iliee-year period |
| | ices, as an appraiser or in another capacity, | regardin | g the property that is the subjec | t of this repor | t within th | he three-year pe | eriod immediately |
| | s assignment. Those services are described i | | | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| PROPERTY INSPECTION | | | | | | | |
| | sonal inspection of the property that is the su | | | | | | |
| l have NO T made APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE | a personal inspection of the property that is t | the subj | ect of this report. | | | | |
| | - rovided significant real property appraisal ass | cictance | to the person signing this certif | ication If any | one did r | rovide significa | nt assistance, they |
| | summary of the extent of the assistance prov | | | ioation. Il arry | ono ala p | novido digrimodi | The addictarioo, thoy |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL COMMENTS | | | | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL COMMENTS | | | | | | | |
| Additional USPAP related issues r | requiring disclosure and/or any state mandate | ea requ | rements: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| MADVETING TIME AND EX | XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJEC | T DD | ODEDTV | | | | |
| | e for the subject property is 1-90 Days | | | ont to the ann | raical ac | ecianmont | |
| | for the subject property is 1-90 Days | | itilizing market conditions pertin | ent to the app | i aisai as | signinient. | |
| 7 Trodocridate expectate time | ior and dubject property to <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u> | uuy(o). | | | | | |
| APPRAISER | | | SUPERVISORY APPR | RAISER (O | NLY IF | REQUIRED |) |
| | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Olyle | $\angle V$ | | | | | | |
| Signature Signature | 7λ | | Signature | | | | |
| Name Antonio Andersor | 1 | | N.I. | | | | |
| Date of Signature 11/11/202 | | | Date of Signature | | | | |
| State Certification # AR035678 | | | State Certification # | | | | |
| | | | or State License # | | | | |
| State <u>CA</u> | | | State | | | | |
| Expiration Date of Certification or | License <u>11/23/2024</u> | | _ Expiration Date of Certificat | | | | |
| Effective Date of Appreliated 44/6 | 10/2023 | | Supervisory Appraiser Inspe | ection of Subje erior Only from | | | and Exterior |
| Effective Date of Appraisal 11/0 | 1012020 | | | and Only from | เ อแยยโ | interior a | and Exterior |

File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 4212 Don Luis Dr

City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90008

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



File No. 55772 Case No. 34786681

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 4212 Don Luis Dr

City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90008 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



DECLARATIONS

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS **ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY**

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP4113647-22 Renewal of: RAP4113647-21

Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc. Program Administrator:

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Antonio D. Anderson Item 1. Named Insured:

Item 2. Address: P.O. Box 4609

> West Hills, CA 91308 City, State, Zip Code:

11/29/2022 11/29/2023 Item 3. Policy Period: From

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)
(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the **Named Insured** as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ 1,000,000 Damages Limit of Liability - Each Claim

1,000,000 B. \$ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Each Claim

1,000,000 Damages Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate C. \$

1,000,000 D. \$ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$__**500** Each Claim

B. \$ 1,000 Aggregate

895.00 Item 6. Premium: \$

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 11/29/2005

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (08/12)

D42402 (05/13) D42408 (05/13) D42412 (03/17) D42413 (06/17)

D42414 (08/19)

Kessy a magnioni Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1