File # 2312-05

APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY



LOCATED AT

10152 Gerald Ave North Hills, CA 91343-1131 TRACT # 18699 LOT 46

FOR

WEDGEWOOD INC 2015 MANHATTAN BEACH BL, SUITE 100 REDONDO BEACH, CA 90276

OPINION OF VALUE

800,000

AS OF

12/07/2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IADEL OF CONTENTS	
USPAP Compliance Addendum	
Exterior-Only	2
Additional Comparables 4-6	
Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report	9
Appraiser Independence Certification	10
Supplemental Addendum	11
Subject Photos	12
Comparable Photos 1-3	13
Comparable Photos 4-6	14
UAD Definitions Addendum	15
LICENSE	18
E & O	19
PUBLIC RECORDS	20
PLAT MAP	21
CMA - Page 1	22
CMA - Page 2	23
AERIAL PHOTO	24
Location Map	25

USPAP Compliance Addendum

Loan # 55980 File # 2312-05

	rower REDWOOD HO Derty Address 10152 Gerald				
City			inty Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91343-1131
	der/Client WEDGEWOOD		S LOO ANGLELO	U	<u> </u>
	PRAISAL AND REPORT ID				
	s Appraisal Report is one of the for Appraisal Report Restricted Appraisal Report	ollowing types: This report was prepared in accordance with the rec This report was prepared in accordance with the rec intended only for the use of the client and any other contain supporting rationale for all of the opinions ar	quirements of the Restricted Appraisal Rep named intended user(s). Users of this rep	port option of USPAP Stand	dards Rule 2-2(b), and is
	DITIONAL CERTIFICATION				
	rtify that, to the best of my know The statements of fact contains	leage and belier: ed in this report are true and correct.			
•	The report analyses, opinions, opinions, and conclusions.	and conclusions are limited only by the reported assu	ımptions and are my personal, impartial, a	and unbiased professional	analyses,
•	I have no (or the specified) pres parties involved.	sent or prospective interest in the property that is the s	subject of this report and no (or specified)	personal interest with resp	ect to the
	I have no bias with respect to the	he property that is the subject of this report or the parti	ies involved with this assignment.		
•	My engagement in this assignr	nent was not contingent upon developing or reporting	predetermined results.		
•		ng this assignment is not contingent upon the develop value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or			
	My analyses, opinions, and co	nclusions were developed and this report has been pro	repared, in conformity with the Uniform St	andards of Professional Ap	praisal Practice.
•	This appraisal report was prepa	ared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of	f FIRREA and any implementing regulation	18.	
PR	IOR SERVICES				
	immediately preceding accepta I HAVE performed services, as	s, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the ance of this assignment. an appraiser or in another capacity, regarding the pro ssignment. Those services are described in the comn	operty that is the subject of this report with		
PR	OPERTY INSPECTION				
X		spection of the property that is the subject of this repo ction of the property that is the subject of this report.	ort.		
	PRAISAL ASSISTANCE				
		vided significant real property appraisal assistance to immary of the extent of the assistance provided in the		yone did provide significan	t assistance, they
AD	DITIONAL COMMENTS				
		uiring disclosure and/or any state mandated requirem	nents:		
		OSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERT	ΤΥ		
X	A reasonable marketing time		day(s) utilizing market conditions per	rtinent to the appraisal	assignment.
AP	A reasonable exposure time PRAISER	for the subject property is	day(s). SUPERVISORY APPRA	AISER (ONLY IE REOL	(IRED)
	Λ	1 P Ba Litte		(
	ignature		Signature		
	ate of Signature / 12/07/20		Name Date of Signature		
	tate Certification # AR0019		State Certification #		
	r State License #		or State License #		
	tate CA	Linana	State State	on or License	
	xpiration Date of Certification or	<u>02/12/2025</u>	Expiration Date of Certification Supervisory Appraiser Inspe		
l E	ffective Date of Appraisal	V/0.7/2022	Did Not Exterio	or-only from Street	Interior and Exterior

55980 File# 2312-05

The purpose of the duffindry appraisal topol	t is to provide the lender/client with an acc			of the subject property.
Property Address 10152 Gerald Ave		City North Hills	State CA	Zip Code 91343-1131
Borrower REDWOOD HOLDINGS	Owner of Public Record	VIJAI RAMCHARITAR	County Los A	NGELES
Legal Description TRACT # 18699 LOT 46				
Assessor's Parcel # 2685-016-006		Tax Year 2023		5,743
Neighborhood Name North HILLS		Map Reference 501-D-4	Census Tract 1	
Occupant Nowner Tenant Vaca		0 Pl	JD HOA\$ 0	per year per month
Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)	a a vib a)		
Assignment Type Purchase Transaction Lender/Client WEDGEWOOD INC	Refinance Transaction Other (de	·		
Lender/Client WEDGEWOOD INC Is the subject property currently offered for sale or		NHATTAN BEACH BL, SUITE 100, R		
Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and		,		165 NO
ricport data source(s) data, one my price(s), and	DUM 7;CRML5ML5#BB2317	78726 THE SUBJECT WAS LISTED 9	1/23/2023 FUK \$890,000	
I did did not analyze the contract for s	ale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain	the results of the analysis of the contrac	t for sale or why the analysis	was not
performed.	ale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain	and results of the analysis of the contrac	t for said or with the analysis	was not
5				
Contract Price \$ Date of Contr	ract Is the property seller the	e owner of public record? Yes	No Data Source(s)	
Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa			of the borrower?	Yes No
If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe		, , , , , ,		
7 1	·			
Note: Race and the racial composition of the r	neighborhood are not appraisal factors.			
Neighborhood Characteristics		lousing Trends	One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
-	Rural Property Values Increasing	Stable Declining	PRICE AGE	One-Unit 97 %
	Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortage	In Balance Over Supply	\$ (000) (yrs)	2-4 Unit 1 %
	Slow Marketing Time Vinder 3 mtl		600 Low 20	Multi-Family 1 %
	S LOCATED NORTH OF LASSEN, SOUTH OF		1,500 High 80	Commercial 1 %
& WEST OF HAYVENHURST	HOHIN OF LAGGER, SOUTH UF	CA.OO, LAGI UI DALDUA	800 Pred. 55	Other %
	ed in a suburban area. It is within 5 miles of many di	versified financial industrial service & movi		
maintenance. The subject is located minutes from maj				
entertainment and employment opportunities in Northri			sasjoot is isoutou within 20	
Market Conditions (including support for the above	!	NG IS PREDOMINANT IN THE AREA GENI	BAI MARKET IS REGINNING	TO WEAKEN AS INCLATION
HAS BEEN INCREASING.FUNDS ARE STILL AVAILAB	·			
SELECTIVE & ARE CHOOSING TO WAIT FOR RATES				
Dimensions 60 X 115	Area 6900 sf	Shape rectangle	View n ;	Res:
Specific Zoning Classification LARS	Zoning Description R			
, -	conforming (Grandfathered Use) No Zonin			
Is the highest and best use of subject property as		 	Yes No If No, des	ecriba
, , , , ,				OUTING
		, .	Tes NO II NO, des	octioe .
Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public Other (de		rovements - Type	Public Private
Electricity \(\sum \)	Water 🗶		ovements – Type	
Electricity 🔀 🗌	Water Sanitary Sewer X	scribe) Off-site Impi	ovements – Type	Public Private
Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone X	scribe) Off-site Impl Street ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G	ovements – Type	Public Private
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical f	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x Yes □ N	Street Mpr	ovements – Type HALT E FEMA Map	Public Private Date 06/02/2021
Electricity	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x Yes □ N	Street Mpr	ovements – Type HALT E FEMA Map	Public Private
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the there any adverse site conditions or external for the SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? Yes Nactors (easements, encroachments, environments)	Scribe) Off-site Improvements Street ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)?	ovements - Type HALT E FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical fare there any adverse site conditions or external fare the SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lease	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? Yes Nactors (easements, encroachments, environments)	Scribe) Off-site Improvements Street ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)?	ovements - Type HALT E FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical fare there any adverse site conditions or external fare the SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with leading to the state of the subject of the subject is above the subject of the subject is above the subject of the s	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? X Yes Nactors (easements, encroachments, environments E REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR ander's certification, lender certification s	scribe) Off-site Improvements Street ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G Off No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? OSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood informations	ovements - Type HALT E FEMA Map X Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP ation	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical the state of th	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? Sactors (easements, encroachments, environmenta E REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR ander's certification, lender certification s Supperty Appraisal Files MLS	Scribe) Off-site Implement Street ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? COSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information Assessment and Tax Records	ovements - Type HALT E FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUI ation Prior Inspection F	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical that there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the subject is above grade. The title for flood information discrepancy with left LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production of the control of the contro	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? Sactors (easements, encroachments, environmenta E REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR Inder's certification, lender certification s Superty Appraisal Files MLS	Scribe) Off-site Implement Street ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT supersedes appraisal flood information Assessment and Tax Records Data Source for Gross Living Area	ovements - Type HALT E FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP ation Prior Inspection F	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner
FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical three any adverse site conditions or external fare there any adverse site conditions or external fare the SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lead to the SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production Other (describe) General Description	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? Secretary Sewer Yes N actors (easements, encroachments, environmenta E REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR Inder's certification, lender certification s Operty Appraisal Files MLS General Description	Scribe) Off-site Implement Street ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT supersedes appraisal flood information Assessment and Tax Records Data Source for Gross Living Area Heating/Cooling ASP	ovements - Type HALT E FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Ation Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Imenities	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical that there any adverse site conditions or external formation discrepancy with less than the subject is above grade. The title for flood information discrepancy with less than the subject is above grade. The title for flood information discrepancy with less than the subject is a subject to the subje	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? Yes Nactors (easements, encroachments, environmenta E REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR Inder's certification, lender certification s Operty Appraisal Files MLS General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space	Scribe) Off-site Implement Street ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT supersedes appraisal flood information Assessment and Tax Records Data Source for Gross Living Area Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Fireplement Fireplement Fireplement HEATING/COOLING FIREPLEMENT FIREPLEMENT Street ASP ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT FIREPLEMENT STREET FROM ELEMENT FIREPLEMENT STREET FROM ELEMENT	ovements - Type HALT E FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP ation Prior Inspection FPARCEL QUEST Imenities ace(s) # 0 None	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage
FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for there any adverse site conditions or external for the SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE FOR flood information discrepancy with less LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Program Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? E REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR Inder's certification, lender certification s Supperty Appraisal Files MLS General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished	Scribe) Off-site Implement Street ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information Assessment and Tax Records Data Source for Gross Living Area Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Firepl Radiant Wood	FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Ation Prior Inspection FARCEL QUEST Immedities ace(s) # 0 None Istove(s) # 0 Drive	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical to the utilities and utilities a	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? The proof of the market area? Sactors (easements, encroachments, environments are reported and a second and a	Scribe) Off-site Implement Street Alley Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information Assessment and Tax Records Data Source for Gross Living Area Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Firepl Radiant Wood Other Patio,	FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Ation Prior Inspection FARCEL QUEST Immedites ace(s) # 0 None istove(s) # 0 Drive Driveway	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical that there any adverse site conditions or external formation discrepancy with less than the source (s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Promotion (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const.	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? Sactors (easements, encroachments, environmenta E REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR INDICATE SET SET SET SET SET SET SET SET SET S	Scribe) Off-site Implement Street ASPINITION Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information Assessment and Tax Records Data Source for Gross Living Area Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Fireplement Radiant Other Patio, GAS Porci	FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Ation Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Amenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive VDeck OPEN Driveway NONE Garage	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical of the there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the state	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? EX NO FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? EX Yes N Actors (easements, encroachments, environments EX REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR INDICATE STATE OF THE S	Scribe) Off-site Implement of Street Aspending Non Alley Non FEMA Map # 06037C1075G of If No, describe all conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information of the Implement of Street Implement of	FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUN Ation Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST LIMENITIES ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive VDeck OPEN Driveway I NONE Grant IN GROUND Carpot	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical of the Are there any adverse site conditions or external forms. THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE FOR flood information discrepancy with less than 10 the control of the c	Water Sanitary Sewer Some the market area? No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? Actors (easements, encroachments, environments E REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR Inder's certification, lender certification s Operty Appraisal Files MLS General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls STUCCO/WOOD Roof Surface COMP/AVE Gutters & Downspouts METAL	Scribe) Off-site Implements of Street Aspending Non Alley Non FEMA Map # 06037C1075G of If No, describe all conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information of the Implements of Street Assessment and Tax Records Data Source for Gross Living Area Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Fireplements of Fireplements of Street Assessment and Tax Records Data Source for Gross Living Area Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Fireplements of Fireplements of Street Assessment and Tax Records Porchagolism	FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Ation Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Immenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive Yock OPEN Driveway NONE Garag IN GROUND Carpu B BLOCK Attack Attack	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical of the Are there any adverse site conditions or external forms and the subject is above grade. The title for flood information discrepancy with less than the source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) MID CENTURY Year Built 1960 Effective Age (Yrs) 30	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? EX NO FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? EX Yes N Actors (easements, encroachments, environments EX REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR INDICATE STATE OF THE S	Scribe) Off-site Implements of Aspending Street Aspending Non Alley Non FEMA Map # 06037C1075G of If No, describe all conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information of the Implements of the Implements of the Implementation of Imple	FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Ation Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Imenities ace(s) # 0 None Istove(s) # 0 Drive Yes In GROUND Carpus B BLOCK Attac	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached
FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical of the there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the subject is above grade. The title for flood information discrepancy with less than the subject is above grade. The title for flood information discrepancy with less than the subject is above grade. The title for flood information discrepancy with less than the subject is a subject to the subject is and the subject is an allowed in t	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? E REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR Inder's certification, lender certification s Operty Appraisal Files MLS General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Roof Surface COMP/AVE Gutters & Downspouts METAL Window Type ALUMINUM Dishwasher Disposal Microw	Scribe) Off-site Impr Street ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information informatio	FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Ation Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Imenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Priveway NONE Garag IN GROUND Carpo BLOCK Attac NONE Built- (describe)	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical if the there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the there are any adverse site conditions or external factor in the subject is above grade. The title for flood information discrepancy with left LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Program Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit of Stories Type Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) MID CENTURY Year Built 1960 Effective Age (Yrs) Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains:	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Tor the market area? Sactors (easements, encroachments, environments experty Appraisal Files General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Partial Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls STUCCO/WOOD Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type ALUMINUM Dishwasher Disposal Microw 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms	Scribe) Off-site Improver ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information in the supersedes app	FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Ation Prior Inspection FARCEL QUEST Imenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Priveway NONE Garag IN GROUND Carpo BLOCK Attact NONE BUIlt- Weddescribe)	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical if the there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the there are any adverse site conditions or external factor in the subject is above grade. The title for flood information discrepancy with left LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Promotion of the title of the control o	Water Sanitary Sewer Somitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? Sactors (easements, encroachments, environmenta E REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED. ACR Inder's certification, lender certification s Soperty Appraisal Files MLS General Description Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls STUCCO/WOOD FUE Roof Surface COMP/AVE Gutters & Downspouts METAL Window Type ALUMINUM Signification Microw 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms	Scribe) Off-site Improver ASP Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information in the supersedes app	FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Ation Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Imenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Priveway NONE Garag IN GROUND Carpo BLOCK Attac NONE Built- (describe)	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical of the there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the state of the	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Tor the market area? The proof of the	Scribe) Off-site Improved ASPI Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information in the supersedes ap	FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Action Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Amenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive POEck OPEN Driveway NONE Garag IN GROUND Carpo BLOCK Attac NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical of the there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the state	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Tor the market area? The proof of the	Scribe) Off-site Improved ASPI Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information in the supersedes ap	FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Action Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Amenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive POEck OPEN Driveway NONE Garag IN GROUND Carpo BLOCK Attac NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical of the there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the state of the	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Tor the market area? The proof of the	Scribe) Off-site Improved ASPI Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information in the supersedes ap	FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Action Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Amenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive POEck OPEN Driveway NONE Garag IN GROUND Carpo BLOCK Attac NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical of the there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the state	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Tor the market area? The proof of the	Scribe) Off-site Improved ASPI Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information in the supersedes ap	FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Action Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Amenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive POEck OPEN Driveway NONE Garag IN GROUND Carpo BLOCK Attac NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical of the there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the state	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Tor the market area? The proof of the	Scribe) Off-site Improved ASPI Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information in the supersedes ap	FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Action Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Amenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive POEck OPEN Driveway NONE Garag IN GROUND Carpo BLOCK Attac NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical of the there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the state	Water Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Sanitary Sewer Tor the market area? The proof of the	Scribe) Off-site Improved ASPI Alley NON FEMA Map # 06037C1075G o If No, describe al conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information in the supersedes ap	FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Action Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Amenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive POEck OPEN Driveway NONE Garag IN GROUND Carpo BLOCK Attac NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical to the theorem any adverse site conditions or external fix THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with let LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) MID CENTURY Year Built 1960 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY	Water Sanitary Sewer Some Sanitary Sewer Some Sanitary Sewer For the market area? Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some	Scribe) Off-site Implements of Aspending Alley Non Alley Non FEMA Map # 06037C1075G of If No, describe all conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information appraisal flood inf	FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUN Ation Prior Inspection FARCEL QUEST Immenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive Your Attact IN GROUND Carpu B BLOCK Attact NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live C4;GENERALLY	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in ring Area Above Grade WELL MAINTAINED
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical to Are there any adverse site conditions or external fix THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with let LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) MID CENTURY Year Built 1960 Effective Age (Yrs) Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or additional features of the property and data so	Water Sanitary Sewer Some Sanitary Sewer Some Sanitary Sewer For the market area? Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some	Scribe) Off-site Implements of Aspending Alley Non Alley Non FEMA Map # 06037C1075G of If No, describe all conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information appraisal flood inf	FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Ation Prior Inspection F PARCEL QUEST Immenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive Your Attact IN GROUND Carpu B BLOCK Attact NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live C4;GENERALLY	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in ring Area Above Grade WELL MAINTAINED
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical to the theorem any adverse site conditions or external fix THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with let LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) MID CENTURY Year Built 1960 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY	Water Sanitary Sewer Some Sanitary Sewer Some Sanitary Sewer For the market area? Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some	Scribe) Off-site Implements of Aspending Alley Non Alley Non FEMA Map # 06037C1075G of If No, describe all conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information appraisal flood inf	FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUN Ation Prior Inspection FARCEL QUEST Immenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive Your Attact IN GROUND Carpu B BLOCK Attact NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live C4;GENERALLY	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in ring Area Above Grade WELL MAINTAINED
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical to Are there any adverse site conditions or external fix THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with let LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) MID CENTURY Year Built 1960 Effective Age (Yrs) Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or additional features of the property and data so	Water Sanitary Sewer Some Sanitary Sewer Some Sanitary Sewer For the market area? Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some	Scribe) Off-site Implements of Aspending Alley Non Alley Non FEMA Map # 06037C1075G of If No, describe all conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information appraisal flood inf	FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUN Ation Prior Inspection FARCEL QUEST Immenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive Plack OPEN Driveway NONE Gara IN GROUND Carpu B BLOCK Attact NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live C4;GENERALLY	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in ring Area Above Grade WELL MAINTAINED
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical to Are there any adverse site conditions or external fix THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with let LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) MID CENTURY Year Built 1960 Effective Age (Yrs) Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or additional features of the property and data so	Water Sanitary Sewer Some Sanitary Sewer Some Sanitary Sewer For the market area? Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some	Scribe) Off-site Implements of Aspending Alley Non Alley Non FEMA Map # 06037C1075G of If No, describe all conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information appraisal flood inf	FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUN Ation Prior Inspection FARCEL QUEST Immenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive Plack OPEN Driveway NONE Gara IN GROUND Carpu B BLOCK Attact NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live C4;GENERALLY	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in ring Area Above Grade WELL MAINTAINED
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical of the there any adverse site conditions or external factor of the state	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? **X No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? **X Yes	Scribe) Off-site Improver Aspending Street Aspending Non FEMA Map # 06037C1075G of In No, describe all conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information in the supersedes appraisal flood information in	FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUP Action Prior Inspection FARCEL QUEST Amenities ace(s) # 0 None Stove(s) # 0 Drive Yock OPEN Driveway NONE Garag IN GROUND Carpu BUILD CATPU CATPU	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in Ving Area Above Grade WELL MAINTAINED
Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical to the Are there any adverse site conditions or external find the Subject Is above grade. The TITLE For flood information discrepancy with let LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Promotion Units Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit one one with Accessory Unit of Stories Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) MID CENTURY Year Built 1960 Effective Age (Yrs) Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or additional features or additional deficiencies or additional features or additional deficiencies or additional features of the property and data so property and data so property	Water Sanitary Sewer No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? **X No FEMA Flood Zone x for the market area? **X Yes	Scribe) Off-site Improver Aspending Street Aspending Non FEMA Map # 06037C1075G of In No, describe all conditions, land uses, etc.)? ROSS THE STREET FROM ELEMENT Supersedes appraisal flood information in the supersedes appraisal flood information in	FEMA Map FEMA Map FEMA Map Yes No ARY SCHOOL PLAYGROUN Ation Prior Inspection FARCEL QUEST Immenities ace(s) # 0 None distove(s) # 0 Drive Plack OPEN Driveway NONE Gara IN GROUND Carpu B BLOCK Attact NONE Built- (describe) 27 Square Feet of Gross Live C4;GENERALLY	Public Private Date 06/02/2021 If Yes, describe ND Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cars 2 Surface CONCRETE ge # of Cars 2 ort # of Cars 0 hed Detached in Ving Area Above Grade WELL MAINTAINED

55980 File # 2312-0

			the subject neighborho				to \$ 1,07	
			the past twelve mont					,250,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 1			E SALE # 2	COMPARABI	E SALE # 3
Address 10152 Gerald Ave		10140 Gerald Ave		10026 McLeni	nan Av	ve	10032 Forbes Ave	
North Hills, CA 9134	3-1131	North Hills, CA 913	43-1131	North Hills, CA	A 9134	13-1012	North Hills, CA 913	13-1002
Proximity to Subject	Φ.	0.02 miles S	10	0.42 miles SW		Φ.	0.36 miles SW	Φ.
	\$	¢ og#	\$ 895,000			\$ 840,000		\$ 840,000
	\$ 509.21 Sq.ft.			\$ 483.31			\$ 453.07 Sq.ft.	
Data Source(s) Verification Source(s)		CRMLSMLS#23287		CRMLSMLS#P		•	CRMLSMLS#SR231	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	PARCEL Q#668324 DESCRIPTION	501-D-4 +(-) \$ Adjustment	PARCEL Q#74 DESCRIPTIO		501-D-4 + (-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	501-D-5 +(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	DESCRIPTION		+ (-) ψ Aujustinent		JIN	T(-) \$ Aujustilielit		T(-) \$ Aujustilielit
Concessions		ArmLth Conv;0		ArmLth Conv;0			ArmLth Cash;0	
Date of Sale/Time		s10/23;c09/23		s10/23;c10/23			s11/23;c10/23	
Location	A;PLAYGROUND;	A;PLAYGROUND;		N;Res;	•	-10,000		-10,000
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	FEE	0	FEE		•	FEE	-10,000
Site	6900 sf	6813 sf		7663 sf			7744 sf	0
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		-	N;Res;	
Design (Style)	DT1;MID CENTURY	DT1;MID CENTURY		DT1;MID CENTU	URY		DT1;MID CENTURY	
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4			Q4	
Actual Age	63	64	0	67		0	64	0
Condition	C4	C3	-100,000	C4			C4	
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms.	Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	6 3 2.0	6 3 2.0			2.0		7 3 2.0	0
Gross Living Area	1,627 Sq.ft.	1,700 sq.ft.	-5,500		sq.ft.	-8,300	1,854 Sq.ft.	-20,200
Basement & Finished	Osf	Osf		Osf			0sf	
Rooms Below Grade								
Functional Utility	AVERAGE	AVERAGE		AVERAGE			AVERAGE	
Heating/Cooling Energy Efficient Items	FAU/CENT	FAU/CENT		FAU/CENT			FAU/CENT	
Energy Efficient Items	NONE	NONE	_	NONE			NONE	
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	2gd2dw	0	2ga2dw			2ga2dw	
Porch/Patio/Deck	OPEN PATIO	OPEN PATIO		OPEN PATIO		+5,000	OPEN PATIO	
POOL-SPA	POOL	NONE	+20,000	PUUL			POOL	
Net Adjustment (Total)		_ + 🗶 -	\$ -85,500	+ X	3 -	\$ -13,300	_ + 🗶 -	\$ -30,200
A.I		Net Adj. 9.6 %			1.6 %	· -13,300	Net Adj. 3.6 %	· -30,200
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 14.0 %			2.8 %	\$ 826.700	Gross Adj. 3.6 %	\$ 809,800
Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE	ST not reveal any prior sale		bject property for the th	,				
Report the results of the research a	_	sale or transfer history	of the subject property	and comparable	sales	(report additional prior	sales on page 3).	
ITEM		IBJECT	COMPARABLE S			OMPARABLE SALE #2	1, - ,	RABLE SALE #3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	12/05/2023							
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	\$800,000							
Data Source(s)	PARCEL QUEST	•	PARCEL QUEST	P	PARCE	L QUEST	PARCEL QUE	ST
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	12/07/2023		11/09/2023	1	1/09/2	2023	11/09/2023	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his THE SUBJECT CLOSED ESCROV		•			S HAV	E TRANSFERRED AS	STATE ABOVE. MLS	SHEET INDICATES
Summary of Sales Comparison App PLAYGROUND. SALE#3 LARG NEIGHBORHOOD 1400 TO 210 \$100,000 CONDITION \$5000 SALE#3 MOST RECENT SALE	ER THAN THE SUB. 10 SQ FT THE ADJU 1/2 BATH \$20000 P	JECT NOT NEAR PL <i>i</i> Stments are der OOL \$10,000 gara	IVED FROM THE MA Ge Space \$10,000	PRAISER COMP RKET WITH PA SCHOOL PLAY	PARAB IRED	LE SEARCH PARAM Sales analysis. \$	ETERS ARE HOMES	IN THE
Indicated Value by Salos Comparior	on Δnnroach ¢							
Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison		00,000	Cost Approach (if deve	aloned\ ¢		Income Arm	roach (if developed) \$	
THE MARKET APPROACH BEST THE LACK OF RENTAL DATA ON	REFLECTS THE VAL	UE OF THIS TYPE OF		. ,	50,000 IS ME	•••		
This appraisal is made a"as is completed, subject to the following required inspection bas	following repairs or a	Iterations on the bas	and specifications o is of a hypothetical c ne condition or deficie	ondition that the	e repai	irs or alterations have	•	
Based on a visual inspection conditions, and appraiser's co	of the exterior are ertification, my (our) opinion of the m	arket value, as defi	ned, of the re	eal pro	I scope of work, stopperty that is the seedate of this applications	subject of this repo	ions and limiting rt is

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 2 of 6

55980 File# 2312-05

THE INTENDED USER OF THIS APPRAISAL IS THE LENDER/CLIENT. THE INTENDED USE	IS TO EVALUATE THE PROPERTY THAT IS THE SUBJECT OF T	HIS APPRAISAL FOR A
MORTGAGE FINANCE TRANSACTION SUBJECT TO THE STATED SCOPE OF WORK, PURP	•	S APPRAISAL REPORT
FORM & DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE. NO ADDITIONAL INTENDED USERS ARE IDEN	TIFIED BY THE APPRAISER.	
THE EFFECTIVE AGE IS LOWER THAN THE ACTUAL AGE DUE TO ROUTINE MAINTENANC	E & UPKEEP PROLONGING THE ESTIMATED REMAINING ECON	OMIC LIFE.
The address reported on the appraisal form is according to US Postal Service records	as required by UAD format. The title company reports the ci	ty or county address
and the title report may or may not match to USPS records?.		
I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the preceding acceptance of this assignment.	property that is the subject of this report within the three-ye	ar period immediately
preceding acceptance of this assignment.		
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	is.	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sa	is. nating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshal	II-Swift. The
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	is. nating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshal	II-Swift. The
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the summary of comparable land sa	is. nating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshal	II-Swift. The
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area.	nating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used.	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements) is typical for the area.	is. nating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshal	=\$ 600,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical	nating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	=\$ 600,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements by(using	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements by(using the abstration of land to improvements of land to improvements of land to improvements of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements by(using the abstration of	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING OSQ. Ft. @\$ Sq.Ft. @\$ POOL-PATIO Garage/Carport A18 Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.00	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @\$ 225.00 0 Sq.Ft. @\$ POOL-PATIO Garage/Carport 418 Sq.Ft. @\$ 20.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used The MARKET	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New DIAGRAM 1.00 Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. POPINION OF SITE VALUE 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 20.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New DIAGRAM SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 9 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 20 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 Functional External	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Descriptions Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 9 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 274,435 50,000	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data o	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 274,435 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) 1.	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 ==\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL PLAYGROUND EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data marshall-swift Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data 11/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL PLAYGROUND EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 274,435 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) Cost factors derived from Marshal Agreta (Agreed of Cost Approach (Agreed of Cost	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL PLAYGROUND EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 274,435 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) Cost factors derived from Marshal Agreta (Agreed of Cost Approach (Agreed of Cost	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data marshall-swift Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data 11/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL PLAYGROUND EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 274,435 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) Cost factors derived from Marshal Agreta (Agreed of Cost Approach (Agreed of Cost	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the market of land to improvements in the market destruction of land to improvements in the market of land to improvements in the land to lan	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 274,435 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) Cost factors derived from Marshal Agreta (Agreed of Cost Approach (Agreed of Cost	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the market of land to improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the market of land to improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the market of land to improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the market of land to improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used in the market of land to improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction process) the comparables used in the market in the market in the market in the market of land to improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction, etc.) Cost factors data market by(using the abstraction) and the market by(usin	Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH [No Unit type(s) Detached Indicated Attached] Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process. Sq. Ft. @ \$ 225.00 274,435 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 20.00 External External External External External External Functional External Ex	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used indicated by(using the abstraction process) the	Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH [No Unit type(s) Detached Indicated Attached] Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process. Sq. Ft. @ \$ 225.00 274,435 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 20.00 External External External External External External Functional External Ex	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used indicated by(using the abstraction process) the	DEFINITION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 O Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Indicated Attached The control of the comparables used. OPINION Marshall Application Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 20.00 FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Indicated Attached The subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data marshall-swift Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data 11/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL PLAYGROUND EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units	nating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 FOOL-PATIO Garage/Carport 418 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 274,435 50,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Indicated Total number of units sold	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data MARSHALL-SWIFT Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 11/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL PLAYGROUND EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale	DEFINITION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 O Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Indicated Attached The control of the comparables used. OPINION Marshall Application Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 20.00 FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Indicated Attached The subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data MARSHALL-SWIFT Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 11/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL PLAYGROUND EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @\$ 225.00 O Sq.Ft. @\$ POOL-PATIO Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 274,435 50,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Total number of units sold Data source(s)	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data into improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data into improvements or cost data into improvements or calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used into improvements in the market of land to improvements or the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used into improvements in the market of land to improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used into improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used into improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used into improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction process) the comparables used into improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction process) the market into of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction process) the conversion of typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction, etc.) Cost factors date of cost data into a land to improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction, etc.) Cost factors date of cost data into a land to improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction, etc.) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Prov	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @\$ 225.00 O Sq.Ft. @\$ POOL-PATIO Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 274,435 50,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Total number of units sold Data source(s)	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data indicated by(using indicated by	nating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 274,435 50,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstration of cost data marshall-swift ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data marshall-swift Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data 11/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL PLAYGROUND EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	nating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 274,435 50,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstration of cost data MARSHALL-SWIFT Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 11/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL PLAYGROUND EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete?	nating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 274,435 50,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstration of cost data marshall-swift ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data marshall-swift Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data 11/01/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used THE MARKET DOES NOT REFLECT FULL VALUE OF POOL-FUNCTIONAL PLAYGROUND EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	nating site value) Cost factors derived from Marshal actions process) the comparables used. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,627 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 20.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 274,435 50,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ Indicated FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.	=\$ 600,000 =\$ 366,075 =\$ 100,000 =\$ 8,360 =\$ 474,435 =\$(324,435) =\$ 150,000 =\$ 100,000

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

55980

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER / / / / / / /	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
1 De la	
Signature	Signature
Name Joseph P BALDINO	Name
Company Name REAL ESTATE APPRAISER	Company Name
Company Address 3101 MESA VERDE DR	Company Address
BURBANK, CA 91504	
Telephone Number (818) 768-6147	Telephone Number
Email Address <u>Joeappraiser11@aol.com</u>	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 12/07/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 12/07/2023	State Certification #
State Certification # AR001957	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/12/2025	SUBJECT PROPERTY
	Did not increet exterior of cubiact property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
10152 Gerald Ave	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
North Hills, CA 91343-1131	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 800,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name wedgewood inc	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach BL, Suite 100, REDONDO	Date of Inspection
BEACH, CA 90276	
Fmail Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 55980 File # 2312-05

FEATURE	SUBJECT		COMP	ARAB	LE SALE # 4		COM	PARABI	LE SALE # 5	COMPARABLE SALE # 6			
Address 10152 Gerald Ave		10008	Petit A	ve			Citron						
North Hills, CA 9134	43-1131				343-1014	North	ridge, C	CA 913	43-1751				
Proximity to Subject	•	0.29 n	niles SW	<u> </u>	I.	0.74 r	niles S	W	I.				*
Sale Price	\$				\$ 752,000				\$ 970,000	_			\$
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 509.21 SQ		470.59		•	\$	565.9			\$		sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)					169811;DOM 3				83552;DOM 35				
Verification Source(s)	DECODIDEION		EL Q#66				EL Q#4				TOODIDT	1011	() A A II
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DE	SCRIPTIO	JN	+(-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIPT	IUN	+(-) \$ Adjustment	l Di	ESCRIPT	ION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		ArmLt				ArmLt							
Concessions		Conv;				Conv;	0						
Date of Sale/Time		s10/23	3;c09/23	3		s07/2	3;c06/2	23					
Location	A;PLAYGROUND;	N;Res	;		-10,000	N;Res	;		-10,000				
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	FEE			0	FEE			0				
Site	6900 sf	7503	sf		0	7698	sf		0				
View	N;Res;	N;Res	;			N;Res							
Design (Style)	DT1;MID CENTURY		RADITIO	NAL	0	1	raditio	nal	0				
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4				Q4							
Actual Age	63	66			0	63							
Condition	C4	C4				C3			-100,000				
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Batl			Baths			Bdrms.	Baths		Total	Bdrms.	Baths	
Room Count	6 3 2.			2.1	-5,000		4	2.0	0				
Gross Living Area	1,627 SQ	tt.	1,598	sq.ft.	+2,200		1,714	sq.ft.	-6,500			sq.ft.	
Basement & Finished	Osf	Osf				0sf							
Rooms Below Grade													
Functional Utility	AVERAGE	AVER/	\GE			AVER	AGE						
Heating/Cooling	FAU/CENT	FAU/C	ENT			FAU/C	ENT						
Energy Efficient Items	NONE	NONE				SOLAF	ROWNE	ED	-20,000				
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	2ga2d	w			2ga2d	lw						
Porch/Patio/Deck	OPEN PATIO	OPEN	PATIO			OPEN	PATIO						
POOL-SPA	POOL	NONE			+20,000	POOL							
Net Adjustment (Total)		X] -	\$ 7,200				\$ -136,500		+ [\$
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Ad		1.0 %		Net Ad		14.1 %		Net A		%	
of Comparables		Gross		4.9 %				14.1 %				%	\$
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the p		transfer	histor					•				
ITEM		SUBJECT			COMPARABLE SA	LE # 4	1	C	OMPARABLE SALE #	5	C	OMPAR	ABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	12/05/2023												
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	\$800,000												
Data Source(s)	PARCEL QUI	ST			PARCEL QUEST			PARCE	L QUEST				
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	12/07/2023				11/09/2023			11/09/	2023				
Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	story of the subject p	roperty an	d compa	rable	sales THE	SUBJE	CT HAS	S NOT 1	TRANSFERRED IN TH	E PAS	T 36 M	NTHS.	THE
COMPARABLES HAVE TRANSFI	ERRED AS STATED	ABOVE.											
Analysis/Comments SALE#4	SMALLER THAN	THE SUB.	JECT M	DRE I	BATHS NO POOL NOT	NEAR	PLAY	GROUN	ID SALE#5 LARGER	THAN	I THE S	UBJEC	T BETTER
CONDITION, HAS SOLAR PANE	ELS (OWNED)												
			_										
						_							

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

55980

File No. 2312-05

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl		=		provatorit ili tilo su	oject	
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraid Property Address 10152 Gerald Ave	isal reports with an effectiv	e date on or after April 1, 2 City North Hills		State CA	ZIP Code 913	10 1101
Property Address 10152 Gerald Ave Borrower REDWOOD HOLDINGS		Oity North Hills		otato CA	Zii 0000 913	43-1131
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information req	quired on this form as the b	pasis for his/her conclusion	s, and must provide suppor	t for those conclus	ons, regarding	
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported	d in the Neighborhood sect	ion of the appraisal report f	orm. The appraiser must fill	in all the informati	on to the extent	
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as in						
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will						
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required inform	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·	-	-	
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal				sed by a prospective	e buyer of the	
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months		Overall Trend	
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	10	3	11	★ Increasing	Stable	Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	1.67	1.00	3.67	★ Increasing	Stable	Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	0	2	2	Declining	X Stable	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	1.2	2.0	0.5	Declining	Stable	Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months	- Incompanies	Overall Trend	Dealisias
Median Comparable Sale Price Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	799,354	899,000	895,000	Increasing	Stable Stable	Declining
Median Comparable List Price	8 N/A	11 949,000	17 849,999	Declining Increasing	Stable	Increasing Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	N/A	63	23	➤ Declining	Stable	Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	100	102	99.45	Increasing	X Stable	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p				Declining	X Stable	Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas	st 12 months (e.g., seller c	ontributions increased from	3% to 5%, increasing use	of buydowns, closi	ng costs, condo	
fees, options, etc.). THE AVERAGE IS USED NO	T THE MEDIAN SELLE	R CONCESSIONS ARE I	NOT PREVALENT. THERE	ARE SOME BUT	MOSTLY ONLY R	EO SALES. NO
INCREASE OR DECREASE IN SELLER CONCESSION	IS IN THE PAST 12 MON	ITHS				
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?	? Yes X No	o If ves. explain (includ	ling the trends in listings an	d sales of foreclose	d properties).	
REO & FORECLOSURES ARE NOT A FACTOR AT TH						
CRMLS	- GAVAR					
CRMLS	- GAVAR					
		nood section of the appraisa	al report form. If you used a	ny additional inform	nation, such as	
Summarize the above information as support for your co	onclusions in the Neighborh					
	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo	ur conclusions, provide bot	th an explanation and suppo	ort for your conclus	ons.	LE TREND IN
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID &	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST	th an explanation and suppo Price Dom & List Sal	ort for your conclus E ratio grids i	ONS. Ndicate a stab	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppo Price DOM & List Sal He subject property.	ort for your conclus E ratio grids i However this	ONS. Ndicate a Stab Is not conclus	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppo Price DOM & List Sal He subject property.	ort for your conclus E ratio grids i However this	ONS. Ndicate a Stab Is not conclus	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppo Price DOM & List Sal He subject property.	ort for your conclus E ratio grids i However this	ONS. Ndicate a Stab Is not conclus	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppo Price DOM & List Sal He subject property.	ort for your conclus E ratio grids i However this	ONS. Ndicate a Stab Is not conclus	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppo Price DOM & List Sal He subject property.	ort for your conclus E ratio grids i However this	ONS. Ndicate a Stab Is not conclus	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppo Price DOM & List Sal He subject property.	ort for your conclus E ratio grids i However this	ONS. Ndicate a Stab Is not conclus	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent the past 12 months for properties in the evidence that all other properties in the	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing:	th an explanation and support of the price of the property. The subject property. The property that is compared to the property. Project	ort for your conclus E RATIO GRIDS II HOWEVER THIS COMPARABLE TO	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppo Price Dom & List Sal He subject property. Of property that is (ort for your conclus E RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER THIS COMPARABLE TO Name:	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend	SIVE
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE INTERPORTED INTERPORTED IN THE INTERPORTED IN THE INTERPORTED	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing:	th an explanation and support of the price of the property. The subject property. The property that is compared to the property. Project	ort for your conclus E RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER THIS COMPARABLE TO Name:	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend	Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing:	th an explanation and support of the price of the property. The subject property. The property that is compared to the property. Project	Name: Intreasing Intreasing	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable	Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST IRE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing:	th an explanation and support of the price of the property. The subject property. The property that is compared to the property. Project	ort for your conclus E RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER THIS COMPARABLE TO Name:	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend	Declining Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	project , complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support of the price of the property. The subject property. The property that is compared to the property. Project	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	project , complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	project , complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo rORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo rORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo rORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate yo rORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE N Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH MIRRORING THE TREND wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Types Yes No.	wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the nut.	th an explanation and support of the property. PRICE DOM & LIST SAL ILE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPANY. Project Current – 3 Months	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature	project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project	wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the nut. Signature	PRICE DOM & LIST SAL HE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS (Project Current – 3 Months Imber of REO listings and e	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name JOSEPH P BALDINO	project , complete the follor Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project	wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the nut. Signature	th an explanation and support PRICE DOM & LIST SAL HE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS (Project Current — 3 Months Appraiser Name	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name JOSEPH P BALDINO	project, complete the followant of the subject unit and project.	wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the nut. Signature Supervisory A	PRICE DOM & LIST SAL HE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS (Project Current — 3 Months Appraiser Name me	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name OSEPH P BALDINO Company Name REAL ESTATE APPRAISER	project, complete the followant of the subject unit and project.	wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the supervisory A Company Additional Company Addition	PRICE DOM & LIST SAL HE SUBJECT PROPERTY. OF PROPERTY THAT IS (Project Current — 3 Months Appraiser Name me	Name: Increasing Declining Declining Declining Increasing Declining Declini	ONS. NDICATE A STAB IS NOT CONCLUS THE SUBJECT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing

Appraiser Independence Certification

I do hereby certify, I have followed the appraiser independence safeguards in compliance with Appraisal Independence and any applicable state laws I may be required to comply with. This includes but is not limited to the following:

- I am currently licensed and/or certified by the state in which the property to be appraised is located My license is the appropriate license for the appraisal assignment(s) and is reflected on the appraisal report.
- I certify that there have been no sanctions against me for any reason that would impair my ability to perform appraisals pursuant to the required guidelines.

'	to perioriti appraisais pursuant to the required guidennes.
or any comparto influ	t that no employee, director, officer, or agent of
	er assert that has never participated in any of the grohibited behavior in our business relationship:
1)	Withholding or threatening to withhold timely payment or partial payment for an appraisal report;
2)	Withholding or threatening to withhold future business with me, or demoting or terminating or threatening to demote or terminate me;
3)	Expressly or impliedly promising future business, promotions, or increased compensation for myself;
4)	Conditioning the ordering of my appraisal report or the payment of my appraisal fee or salary or bonus on the opinion, conclusion, or valuation to be reached, or on a preliminary value estimate

5) Requesting that I provide an estimated, predetermined, or desired valuation in an appraisal report prior to the completion of the appraisal report, or requesting that I provide estimated values or comparable sales at any time prior to my completion of an appraisal report;

requested from me;

- 6) Provided me an anticipated, estimated, encouraged, or desired value for a subject property or a proposed or target amount to be loaned to the borrower, except that a copy of the sales contract for purchase transactions may be provided;
- 7) Provided to me, or my appraisal company, or any entity or person related to me as appraiser, appraisal company, stock or other financial or non-financial benefits;
- 8) Any other act or practice that impairs or attempts to impair my independence, objectivity, or impartiality or violates law or regulation, including, but not limited to, the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) and Regulation Z, or the USPAP.

and P Balatia		
	12/07/2023	
Signature 7	Date	
JOSEPH P BALDINO	AR001957	
Appraiser's Name	State License or Certification #	
IFA	02/12/2025	CA
State Title or Designation	Expiration Date of License or Certification	State
10152 Gerald Ave, North Hills, CA 91343-1131		
Address of Property Appraised		

05/13

Supplemental Addendum

		ouppionionital Addonadii	1110	110. Z31Z-U3
Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	10152 Gerald Ave			
City	North Hills	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91343-1131
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			

File No. 2212 05

THIS IS AN APPRAISAL REPORT NOT A SUMMARY REPORT AS STATED ON THE PREPROGRAMMED APPRAISAL FORM NO PERSONAL PROPERTY WAS INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT

ALL SALES ARE WITHIN THE NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES & SOME SALES ARE FOUND ACROSS MAJOR ROADWAYS & THEY ARE STILL CONSIDERED IN THE SAME AREA & BEING ACROSS PLUMMER IS STILL THE SAME AREA

I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

URAR : Neighborhood - Description

The subject is located in a mature stable area. It is in good proximity to many diversified financial, industrial, service & movie industry centers. Neighborhood shows average to good maintenance. The subject is located minutes from major traffic arteries on Plummer & Woodley. The subject is located one mile west of the 405 fwy. The subject is located within 20 minutes from major retail, entertainment and employment opportunities in Northridge, Woodland Hills & Burbank. The subject is 30 minutes from downtown Los Angeles.

EXPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT IS 1 TO 3 MONTHS. EXPOSURE TIME IS THE LENGTH OF TIME THE PROPERTY INTEREST BEING APPRAISED WOULD HAVE BEEN OFFERED ON THE MARKET PRIOR TO THE HYPOTHETICAL CONSUMMATION OF A SALE AT THE VALUE ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL. IT IS A RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS BASED ON AN ANALYSIS OF PAST EVENTS ASSUMING A COMPETITIVE & OPEN MARKET. EXPOSURE TIME IS ALWAYS PRESUMED TO OCCUR PRIOR TO EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL.

Use of the appraisal by any party stipulates to an agency relationship between that party and the appraiser whether through intermediaries or not; further that any party charging the borrower or collecting appraisal fees from the borrower(s)for the appraisal hereby agrees that they are responsible for insuring full payment is made to the appraiser for the appraisal

THE APPRAISER'S OFFICE IS WITHIN 15 MILES OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. IN THE PAST 5 YEARS I HAVE COMPLETED 10 TO 15 APPRAISALS A YEAR IN THIS AREA. I HAVE ACCESS TO CLAW MLS & CRMLS THE 2 DOMINANT MLS SERVICES IN THE AREA. I LIVE IN THE SUBJECT COUNTY & HAVE PERFORMED APPRAISALS IN THE ARE FOR OVER 45 YEARS.

NO EMPLOYEE, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OR AGENT OF THE LENDER, OR ANY OTHER THIRD PARTY ACTING AS A JOINT VENTURE PARTNER, INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR, APPRAISAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY, OR PARTNER ON BEHALF OF THE LENDER, SHALL INFLUENCE OR ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DEVELOPMENT, REPORTING RESULT OR REVIEW OF AN APPRAISAL THROUGH COERCION, EXTORTION, COLLUSION, COMPENSATION, INSTRUCTION, INDUCEMENT, INTIMIDATION, BRIBERY OR IN ANY OTHER MANNER.

I HAVE NOT BEEN CONTACTED BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE INTENDED USER(LENDER/CLIENT AS IDENTIFIED ON THE FIRST PAGE OF THE REPORT), BORROWER, OR DESIGNATED CONTACT TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO ENTER THE PROPERTY. I AGREE TO IMMEDIATELY REPORT ANY UNAUTHORIZED CONTACTS EITHER PERSONALLY BY PHONE OR ELECTRONICALLY TO CLEAR CAPITAL

THE SUBJECT IS NOT LOCATED NEXT TO ANY COMMERCIAL PROPERTY THAT WOULD EFFECT THE MARKETABILITY OF THE SUBJECT.

HIGHEST & BEST USE WAS DETERMINED AS IMPROVED BASED ON ITS CURRENT USE BEING LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE.

PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE & MOST PROFITABLE

ALL SALES ARE WITHIN THE NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES & SOME SALES ARE FOUND ACROSS MAJOR ROADWAYS & THEY ARE STILL CONSIDERED IN THE SAME AREA & BEING ACROSS DEVONSHIRE OR LASSEN OR HAYVENHURST IS STILL THE SAME AREA

Subject Photo Page

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS				
Property Address	10152 Gerald Ave				
City	North Hills	County Los And	ELES State CA	Zip Code	91343-1131
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC				



Subject Front

10152 Gerald Ave

Borrower/Client Lender

 Lender
 1,627

 Total Rooms
 6

 Total Bedrooms
 3

 Total Bathrooms
 2.0

Location A;PLAYGROUND; View N;Res; Site 6900 sf Quality Q4

Age **63**



Subject Rear



Subject Street

Comparable Photo Page #1-3

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS	-		-				
Property Address	10152 Gerald Ave							
City	North Hills	County	LOS ANGELES	State	CA	Zip Code	91343-1131	
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC							



Comparable 1

10140 Gerald Ave

Lender 0.02 miles \$ Sale Price 895,000 Gross Living Area 1,700 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0

Location A;PLAYGROUND; View N;Res; Site 6813 sf Quality Q4 Age 64



Comparable 2

10026 McLennan Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.42 miles SW Sale Price 840,000 Gross Living Area 1,738 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7663 sf Quality Q4 Age 67



Comparable 3

10032 Forbes Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.36 miles SW Sale Price 840,000 Gross Living Area 1,854 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7744 sf Quality Q4 Age 64

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS	-		-				
Property Address	10152 Gerald Ave							
City	North Hills	County	LOS ANGELES	State	CA	Zip Code	91343-1131	
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC							



Comparable 4

10008 Petit Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.29 miles SW Sales Price 752,000 Gross Living Area 1,598 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7503 sf Quality Q4 Age 66



Comparable 5

16963 Citronia St

Prox. to Subject 0.74 miles SW Sales Price 970,000 Gross Living Area 1,714 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7698 sf Quality Q4 Age 63

Comparable 6

Prox. to Subject
Sales Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

55980 File No. **2312-05**

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C/

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and ungrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
Α	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk AdjPwr	Adjacent to Park	Location
ArmLth	Adjacent to Power Lines Arms Length Sale	Location Sale or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
В	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
С	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash Commercial Influence	Sale or Financing Concessions Location
Comm	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
Ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway Series Pete	Garage/Carport
e Fototo	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate FHA	Estate Sale Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
	Garage	Garage/Carport
g ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-in Garage	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
MR Mtn	Mid-rise Mountain View	Design (Style) View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
0	Other	Design (Style)
ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res RH	Residential USDA - Rural Housing	Location & View Sale or Financing Concessions
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
Woods	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View
Woods Wtr	Woods View Water View	View
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
- · · ·		



BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE

Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency

Joseph P. Baldino

California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title: has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of

"Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser"

This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and

Certification Law.

BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:

AR 001957

Effective Date:
Date Expires:

February 13, 2023 February 12, 2025

Loretta Dillon, Deputy Bureau Chief, BREA





LIA Administrators & Insurance Services APPRAISAL AND VALUATION PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICY

DECLARATIONS

ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

(A stock insurance company herein called the "Company")
175 Capitol Blvd. Suite 100
Rocky Hill, CT 06067

Date Issued	Policy Number	Previous Policy Number
02/23/2023	AAI006008-08	AAI006008-07

THIS IS A **CLAIMS** MADE AND REPORTED POLICY. COVERAGE IS LIMITED TO LIABILITY FOR ONLY THOSE **CLAIMS** THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE **INSURED** DURING THE **POLICY PERIOD** AND THEN REPORTED TO THE COMPANY IN WRITING NO LATER THAN SIXTY (60) DAYS AFTER EXPIRATION OR TERMINATION OF THIS POLICY, OR DURING THE **EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD**, IF APPLICABLE, FOR A **WRONGFUL ACT** COMMITTED ON OR AFTER THE **RETROACTIVE DATE** AND BEFORE THE END OF THE **POLICY PERIOD**. PLEASE READ THE POLICY CAREFULLY.

Item

1. Customer ID: 168108 Named Insured: BALDINO, JOSEPH P. 3101 Mesa Verde Dr. Burbank, CA 91504	
2. Policy Period: From: 04/04/2023 To: 04/04/2024 12:01 A M Standard Time at the address stated in 1 above.	
3. Deductible: \$1,000 Each Claim	-
4. Retroactive Date: 06/05/2000	
5. Inception Date: 04/04/2016	
6. Limits of Liability: A. \$1,000,000 Each Claim B. \$2,000,000 Aggregate	
7. Mail all notices, including notice of Claim, to: LIA Administrators & Insurance Services 1600 Anacapa Street Santa Barbara, California 93101 (800) 334-0652; Fax: (805) 962-0652	
8. Annual Premium: \$1,087.00	
9. Forms attached at issue: LIA002 (12/14) LIA CA (11/LIA021 (10/14) LIA143 (10/14)	14) LIA012 (12/14) LIA018 (10/14)
This Declarations Page, together with the completed and signed Policy the Policy shall constitute the contract between the Named Insured and	
02/22/2023	(K 1.) .e
Date By	Authorized Signature
LIA-001 (12/14)	Aspen American Insurance Company

PUBLIC RECORDS

12/6/23, 1:27 PM Property Detail Printout



County Last Updated: 11/14/2023

Property Location

91343-Zip: Address: 10152 GERALD AVE City: NORTH HILLS 1131

Use Code: Single Family County: Los Angeles APN#: 2685-016-006 Tract: 18699 Census Tract: 1114.01 Zone: LARS

Map Page/Grid: 501/ D4 Legal Desc: TRACT # 18699 LOT 46

Total Assessed Value: 458,757 Tax Amount: 5,743.97 Tax Year / Assessor Year: 2023 / 2023 Percent Improvement: 0.52

Current Owner Information

Current Owner: VIJAI RAMCHARITAR Owner Address: 10152 GERALD AVE

City, State, Zip: NORTH HILLS, CA, 91343-1131 Owner Occupied: Yes

Last Transaction: 12/13/2012 Deed Type: deed of trust Amount: 328,000 Document: 0001931230

Last Sale Information

Transferred From: SACHA, JEFFREY B Seller Address:

Prior Sale Price: 515,000

Most Recent Sale Price: 380,000 Document Number: 0000187894 Prior Document No.: 0000313364

Lender Information

Lender: PINNACLE MORTGAGE Full/Partial: F

Loan Amount / 2nd Trust 304,000 / Loan Type: conventional fix Deed:

Physical Information

Basement Unfinished: 0

Lot Size Sqft / 6,913 / Building Area: 1,627 # of Bedrooms: 3 Acreage: 0.16


Additional: 0 # of Bathrooms: 2.00 Year Built / Effective: 1960 / 0 Garage: 0 # of Stories: 1 Heating: Central Cooling: yes First Floor: 0 Total Rooms: 8 Second Floor: 0 # of Units: 0 Roof Type: Third Floor: 0 Garage/Carport: Garage Construction/Quality: / 0 Basement Finished: 0 Fireplaces: 0 **Building Shape:**

Pool/Spa: Yes

©2023 Copyright All Rights Reserved. ParcelQuest www.parcelquestappraise.com

https://www.parcelquestappraise.com/Search/Property_Detail_Report.aspx?PID=30962264&FIPS=06037

View:



CMA - Page 1

				Br/Ba	Sqft	t	LSqft	List F	rice	Sold Price	\$/Sqft	SP/LP%
Total Listings: 27 Total on Market: 2 Sold/Exp Ratio: 0.00		Mini	imum: mum: rage: ian:	5/3 2/2 3/2 3/2	1,89 1,30 1,63 1,64	00 30	15,017 6,882 8,412 7,663	\$866),000),900 5,620),999	\$1,060,000 \$700,000 \$871,488 \$860,000	\$736.13 \$406.12 \$535.59 \$534.25	
Active												
Address	City	YrBuilt	Sale Type	List Da	ite	Br	Bath	CDOM	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Pric
16547 Labrador ST 16437 Romar ST	NR	1955 1956	STD STD	10/09/2 11/13/2		5 4	2	57/549 23/23	1,591 1,400	6,882 8,447	\$534.25 \$607.14	\$849,99 \$850,00
				Maxin	num:	5/	2	549	1,591	8,447	\$607.14	\$850,00
				Minim		4	2	23	1,400	6,882	\$534.25	\$849,99
				Avera		5	2	286	1,496	7,665	\$570.70	\$850,00
				Media	n:	5	2	286	1,496	7,665	\$570.70	\$850,00
Pending												
Address	City	YrBuilt	Sale Type	Contract	Date	Br	Bath	CDOM	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Pric
16621 Mayall ST	NOH	1961		11/17/2	023	3	2	10/10	1,642	7,545	\$486.60	\$799,00
				Maxin	num:	3/	2	10	1,642	7,545	\$486.60	\$799,00
				Minim		3	2	10	1,642	7,545	\$486.60	\$799,00
				Avera		3	2	10	1,642	7,545	\$486.60	\$799,00
				Media	n:	3	2	10	1,642	7,545	\$486.60	\$799,00
Closed												
Address	City	YrBuilt	Sale Type	COE Da	ate	Br	Bath	CDOM	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Pric
16657 Marilla ST	NR	1956	STD	03/14/2	023	3	2	0/0	1,442	7,504	\$485.44	\$700,00
16709 Septo ST	NOH	1965	STD	05/25/2	023	3	2	5/5	1,300	15,017	\$557.69	\$725,00
10008 Petit AV	NOH	1957		10/02/2	023	3	3	3/3	1,598	7,503	\$470.59	\$752,00
16908 Septo ST	NOH	1961	STD	02/07/2	023	4	2	9/9	1,698	7,688	\$450.53	\$765,00
16426 Septo ST	NOH	1957	STD	04/13/2	023	3	3	7/7	1,896	7,076	\$406.12	\$770,00
10008 Odessa AV	NOH	1961	STD	12/14/2	022	3	3	14/14	1,642	7,614	\$486.60	\$799,00
9752 Gerald AV	NR	1956	STD	01/30/2	023	3	2	71/71	1,476	7,799	\$541.81	\$799,70
10152 Gerald AV	NOH	1960	STD	12/05/2	023	3	2	65/65	1,627	6,913	\$491.70	\$800,00
9931 Ruffner AV	NOH	1956	STD	06/16/2	023	3	2	0/0	1,392	8,483	\$592.67	\$825,00
16730 Marilla ST	NR	1956	STD	11/03/2	023	3	2	7/7	1,551	7,498	\$536.43	\$832,00
10026 Mclennan AV	NOH	1956	STD	10/30/2	023	3	2	7/7	1,738	7,663	\$483.31	\$840,00
10032 Forbes AV	NOH	1956	STD	11/06/2	023	3	2	52/52	1,854	7,744	\$453.07	\$840,00
16909 Kinzie ST	NR	1961	STD	05/12/2	023	3	2	6/6	1,780	7,427	\$494.38	\$880,00
10140 Gerald AV	GH	1959	STD	10/03/2	023	3	2	63/63	1,700	6,913	\$526.47	\$895,00
16701 Tuba ST	GH	1961	STD	06/08/2		3	3	138/260	1,823	6,901	\$493.14	\$899,00
16923 Labrador ST	NR	1961	STD	03/15/2		3	2	32/32	1,780	7,594	\$516.85	\$920,00
16524 Casey ST	NOH	1961	STD	11/30/2		3	2	6/6	1,642	8,470	\$572.47	\$940,00
16445 Lassen ST	GH	1957	STD	08/11/2		3	2	11/11	1,736	9,309	\$547.24	\$950,00
10034 Genesta AV	NR	1956	STD	01/12/2		4	2	97/97	1,717	11,151	\$553.29	\$950,00
17108 Septo ST	NR	1960	STD	04/13/2		3	2	6/6	1,581	10,177	\$610.37	\$965,00
10126 Oak Park AV	NR	1959	STD	09/08/2		4	2	17/93	1,629	10,998	\$598.53	\$975,00
16515 Casey ST	NOH	1961	STD	10/11/2		2	3	63/63	1,572	7,576	\$635.50	\$999,0
10015 Whitaker AV	GH	1956	STD	09/25/2		3	2	16/16	1,406	8,235		\$1,035,0
The second secon				2712312		~	-	20/10	-1100	0,200		

Printed By Joseph Baldino CalBRE: AR001957 on 12/06/23

Residential Quick CMA Page 1 of 2

CMA - Page 2

Maximum:	4	3	260	1,896	15,017	\$736.13	\$1,060,000
Minimum:	2	2	0	1,300	6,901	\$406.12	\$700,000
Average:	3	2	38	1,640	8,511	\$534.70	\$871,488
Median:	3	2	13	1.642	7.676	\$531.45	\$860,000

Criteria:

Property Type is 'Residential'
Standard Status is one of 'Active', 'Act Under Contract',
'Pending'
Standard Status is 'Closed'
Contract Status Change Date is 12/06/2023 to 12/06/2022
Property Sub Type is 'Single Family Residence'
Living Area is 1300 to 2000
Latitude, Longitude is around 34.25, -118.50

Residential Quick CMA Page 2 of 2

Printed By Joseph Baldino CalBRE: AR001957 on 12/06/23

AERIAL PHOTO

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	10152 Gerald Ave			
City	North Hills	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91343-1131
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



Location Map

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	10152 Gerald Ave			
City	North Hills	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91343-1131
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			

