Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

34885229 File # 56143

	The purpose of this summary apprai						accurate, and adoquate		ou, opii		intot valuo	or the subject	proporty.
	Property Address 15999 E Summ	it Fox Av	re				City Parker			State	СО	Zip Code 801	34
	Borrower Catamount Properties 2	018 LLC	;	C	Owner of Pu	blic Recor	d John A Guerra	& Michelle	e D Gu	errra Coun	ity Dougl	as	
	Legal Description LOT 7 BLOCK				96 AM/L								
	Assessor's Parcel # R0452513 a	ka 2233-	293-14-00	7			Tax Year 2022					,339	
5	Neighborhood Name Douglas 234		_					19740			us Tract _c		7
	Occupant Owner Tenant	Vacan			Special Asse		0		X PUD) HOA \$ 1,2	240 X	per year	per month
ä	Property Rights Appraised Fee S		Leaseho		Other (desc		(1. 4.)						
"	Assignment Type Purchase Trai	isaction	Refina	ance Transa	_		(describe) Servicing						
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		haa 14 haan a		Address	2015	Manhattan Beach Bl	vd, Suite	100, Re	edondo, CA 9		Vaa 🔽 Na	
	Is the subject property currently offered Report data source(s) used, offering prior					veive mon	ths prior to the effective	date of this	appraisa	ll?		Yes 🔀 No	
	neport data source(s) used, oriening pric	e(s), and t	uale(S).	Metrol	list MLS								
8	I did did not analyze the contract for sale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain the results of the analysis of the contract for sale or why the analysis was not												
	performed.	ti dot ioi st	ulo foi tilo su	bjoot paron	iaso transao	поп. Ехріа	in the results of the analy	y 515 OI 1110 C	Jonillact	ioi salo di wily ti	no analysis	was not	
	po												
≸	Contract Price \$ Da	e of Contra	act		Is the prop	erty seller	the owner of public reco	rd?	Yes	No Data S	ource(s)		
CONTRACT	Is there any financial assistance (loan cl	arges, sale	e concession	ns, gift or do	ownpaymen	t assistano	ce, etc.) to be paid by an	y party on b	behalf of	the borrower?		Ye	s No
္ပ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and												
ď	Note: Race and the racial composition		eighborhoo	d are not a	ppraisal fa								
	Neighborhood Characte	eristics				One-Uni	t Housing Trends			One-Unit Ho	ousing	Present La	nd Use %
	Location Urban Suburba	ın 🗌 F	Rural	Property V	•	Increasin	g 🔀 Stable	Declini		PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	90 %
Δ.	Built-Up			Demand/S		Shortage		Over S		\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	2 %
8	Growth Rapid Stable		Slow	Marketing	Time 🔀	Under 3 i	nths 3-6 mths	Over 6	mths	550 Low	5	Multi-Family	2 %
Ξ.		ss Road	to the sou	ıth, Jorda	n Road to	the eas	t, Chambers Road to	the wes	t and	925 High	20	Commercial	1 %
쯢.	Mainstreet to the north.									683 Pred.	10	Other	5 %
NEIGHBORHOOD	Neighborhood Description See at	tached a	iddenda.										
Ż.													
	Market Conditions (including support for	the above	conclusions)	Overall	orket be	a remained stable t	for the pri	ior vooi	r doonito com		d downo with	
	increasing interest rates. Market			•			is remained stable t			•			
	some segments of the market e							t unies ge	enerally	y being under	3 11101111	is. There hav	/e been
7	Dimensions See attached plat from			legotiatio	Area 85:			⁰⁰ Recta	ngular		View N	Pstrl;Pipes	
	Specific Zoning Classification PUD	Treamor	•				Planned Unit Devel		ingulai		14,	i otii,i ipoo	
	Zoning Compliance 🔀 Legal 🔲 L	egal Nonco	onforming (G	randfathere	ed Use)	No Zor	ning Illegal (describ						
		_	- ,		,				X	Yes No	If No, des	cribe See a	ddenda.
	, ,						Is the highest and best use of subject property as improved (or as proposed per plans and specifications) the present use? Yes No If No, describe See addenda.						
	Utilities Public Other (describe	Helling Bulling Others (decorated)											
									ite Impro	vements - Type		Public	Private
Ξ	Electricity X)		Vater	Public	Other (describe)	Street	ite Impro			Public	Private
S	Electricity	,	S	Sanitary Sev	wer 🔀	Other (,	Street Alley	Aspha None	alt		X	
	Electricity	Yes	∑ No FE	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z	wer 🔀		FEMA Map # 080	Street	Aspha None	alt	FEMA Map	X	
	Electricity Gas Gas Area FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Area the utilities and off-site improvement	Yes S	No FE or the market	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area?	wer 🔀	/es	FEMA Map # 080	Street Alley 035C0068	Aspha None	alt	·	Date 12/02/2	2021
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or	Yes Stypical for external fac	No FE or the market octors (easem	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro	wer X Zone X Dachments,	/es	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)?	Aspha None BH	alt Yes	⋈ No	Date 12/02/2	2021
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements	Yes Stypical for external fac	No FE or the market octors (easem	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro	wer X Zone X Dachments,	/es	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)?	Aspha None BH	alt Yes	⋈ No	Date 12/02/2	2021
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or	Yes Stypical for external fac	No FE or the market octors (easem	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro	wer X Zone X Dachments,	/es	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)?	Aspha None BH	alt Yes	⋈ No	Date 12/02/2	2021
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements	Yes s typical for external factor encrosers	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro	wer X Zone X Dachments,	/es	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification.	Aspha None BH Subject	alt Yes	N₀ I interior r	Date 12/02/2	2021
	Electricity Gas	Yes s typical for external factor encrosers	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier sal Files	/es environme	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use nd Title Work for veri	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification.	Aspha None BH Subject	alt Yes t has a typica	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood	2021
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description	Yes S s typical for external far or encro	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier sal Files	/es environme	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use nd Title Work for veri	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification.	Aspha None BH Subject	alt Yes t has a typica Prior Inspection	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood	2021 location
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor	Yes S s typical fic external factor encrositics of Property Unit	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments perty Ge Concrete	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais.	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier sal Files cription Crawl Spa	/es environme w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use nd Title Work for veri	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification. Tax Record s Living Are	Aspha None BH Subject	Yes t has a typica Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 a menities Ce(s) # 2	No I interior r on F nd Count	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage	2021 location
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2	Yes S s typical fice external factor encrosed ices of Property Unit	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments perty Ge Concrete X Full Base	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Dese	wer X Zone X Dachments, V Please view all Files cription Crawl Spa Finished	/es environme w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe Intal conditions, land use Intal Conditions Intel	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification. Tax Record s Living Are	Subject Sub	Yes t has a typica Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 a nenities ce(s) # 2 ttove(s) # 0	No I interior r nn	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C	location
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./E	Yes S s typical for external far or encrositics of Property Unit C and Unit C	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments perty Ge Concrete X Full Base Partial Ba	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Des	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vie al Files Crawl Spa Finished Finished	/esenvironme w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe Ital conditions, land use Ital Conditions Ital	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification. Tax Record s Living Are	Subject Subjec	Yes t has a typica Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 anenities ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 Peck Conc	No I interior r on	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface	location ars 3 Conc
-	Electricity Gas	Yes S s typical for external far or encrositics of Properties of Propert	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Exterior Walls	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Des ement asement s	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier cal Files Crawl Spa Finishe Frame,Bri	/esenvironme w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions Intel Cooling Intel Coolin	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification. Tax Record s Living Are	Subject Subjec	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection LLS in 2008 anenities ce(s) # 2 thove(s) # 0 eck Conc Conc	No I interior r Ind Count None Driveway Garage	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C	location ars 3 Conc ars 3
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./E Existing Proposed Unde	Yes S s typical for external far or encrositics of Properties of Propert	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Des es Slab ement asement s	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vie al Files Crawl Spa Finisher Frame,Bri Comp Shi	/es environme w ILC ar MLS ce	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use and Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification. Tax Record s Living Are	Subject Subjec	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection LLS in 2008 anenities Ce(s) # 2 ttove(s) # 0 Deck Conc Conc None	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0
-	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./E Existing Proposed Unde Design (Style) Traditional Year Built 2007	Yes S s typical for external far or encrositions of Properties of Proper	No FE or the market actors (easem bachments perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Ba Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Des es Slab ement asement s wnspouts	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier cal Files cription Crawl Spa Finisher Finisher Frame,Bri Comp Shi Metal/Gal	/esenvironmee w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use nd Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification. Tax Record s Living Are	Subject Subjec	Alt Yes It has a typica Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 a menities Ce(s) # 2 ctove(s) # 0 Deck Conc Conc None Wood	None Driveway Carpet Attace	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De	location ars 3 Conc ars 3
•	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./E Existing Proposed Unde Design (Style) Traditional Year Built 2007 Effective Age (Yrs) 8	Yes Sistypical for external far or encrositions of Properties of Propert	Some services of the market of the market octors (easem parchments) Perty George Concrete Full Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Des ement [asement [ase	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier cal Files cription Crawl Spa Finished Finished Frame,Br Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p	/esenvironme w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use and Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other iuel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are	Subject Subject Subject Sa M An Fireplact Pool Pool Fence Other	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection LS in 2008 a menities ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 leck Conc Conc None Wood None	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./E Existing Proposed Unde Design (Style) Traditional Year Built 2007 Effective Age (Yrs) 8 Appliances Refrigerator Ram	Yes Sistypical for external far or encrositions of Properties of Propert	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments Perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais Beneral Desement assement assement s wnspouts s sher	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier all Files cription Crawl Spa Finisher Finisher Frame,Bri Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p	/esenvironme w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe Intal conditions, land use and Title Work for verification Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other Other Other Other Other Owave Washer/D	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are ing ryer	Subject Sub	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection LS in 2008 a nenities ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 leck Conc Conc None Wood None lescribe)	None None None None Carpo Attac Built-	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner cy Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./E Existing Proposed Unde Design (Style) Traditional Year Built 2007 Effective Age (Yrs) 8 Appliances Refrigerator Ran Finished area above grade contains:	y Yes S s typical for external far or encrositions of Property Unit Const. If Const. I	No FE or the market ctors (easem cachments perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Active Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais Peneral Des Salab Ement Sasement	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier Cal Files Cription Crawl Spa Finisher Finisher Frame,Bri Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Ber	/esenvironme w ILC ar MLS ced di ickf inglev pane	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions Individual Indiv	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification. Tax Record s Living Are	Subject Subjec	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 anenities ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 leck Conc Conc None Wood None lescribe) Square Feet of	None None Driveway Attac Built- of Gross Liv	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./E Existing Proposed Unde Design (Style) Traditional Year Built 2007 Effective Age (Yrs) 8 Appliances Refrigerator Ram	y Yes S s typical for external far or encrositions of Property Unit Const. If Const. I	No FE or the market ctors (easem cachments perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Active Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais Peneral Des Salab Ement Sasement	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier Cal Files Cription Crawl Spa Finisher Finisher Frame,Bri Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Ber	/esenvironme w ILC ar MLS ced di ickf inglev pane	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe Intal conditions, land use and Title Work for verification Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other Other Other Other Other Owave Washer/D	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification. Tax Record s Living Are	Subject Subjec	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 anenities ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 leck Conc Conc None Wood None lescribe) Square Feet of	None None Driveway Attac Built- of Gross Liv	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./E Existing Proposed Unde Design (Style) Traditional Year Built 2007 Effective Age (Yrs) 8 Appliances Refrigerator Ran Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy effici	yes stypical for external far or encrositions of Properties of Propertie	S No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments) perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Active Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms etc.)	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais Peneral Des Salab Ement Sasement Sasem	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier Cal Files Cription Crawl Spa Finisher Finisher Frame,Bri Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Beenergy effice	/esenvironme w ILC ar MLS ced di ick	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions Intal Conditions Individual Indi	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification. Tax Record s Living Are ing ryer nspection	Subject Subject Subject Sa M An Fireplact Woods Pother Other (d 3,011 for this	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection Prior Inspection LLS in 2008 anenities Ce(s) # 2 thove(s) # 0 Prior Inspection Conc Conc None Wood None Rescribe) Square Feet of age/area. Ut	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on.	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./E Existing Proposed Unde Design (Style) Traditional Year Built 2007 Effective Age (Yrs) 8 Appliances Refrigerator Ran Finished area above grade contains:	Yes Sestypical for external far or encrositions of Propriations of Propriation	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Partial Base Conditions Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Mindow Type Concrete Rooms Partial Base Concrete Concre	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Des ement [asement [asement [s] wnspouts [eneral Des ener	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vie cal Files cription Crawl Spa Finished Finished Frame,Bri Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Bed nergy effice	/es	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use and Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other owave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s) ns noted at time of interioration, renovations, renovations, renovations, and conditions.	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? ification. Tax Record s Living Are sing ryer inspection remodeling,	Subject Subjec	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection LLS in 2008 anenities Ce(s) # 2 thove(s) # 0 Deck Conc Conc None Wood None Jesticolor Square Feet of age/area. Utility	No I interior r Ind Count None Driveway Garag Carpo Attac Built- of Gross Live tilities were	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on.	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached Grade
COVEMENTS	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./E Existing Proposed Unde Design (Style) Traditional Year Built 2007 Effective Age (Yrs) 8 Appliances Refrigerator Additional features (special energy effici	Yes Sistypical for external far or encrositions of Propriations of Propriation	Some setc.) No FE for the market ctors (easem pachments) Given a concrete for the market ctors (easem pachments) Given a concrete for the market ctors (easem pachments) Full Base for Full Base for Surface Gutters & Do Window Type for Dishwa go Rooms etc.)	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Des es Slab ement asement s wnspouts estable Typical er ding appare	wer X Yone X Dachments, Please vie Cal Files Crawl Spa Finishe Frame,Br Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Bec nergy effice ent needed r eferred ma	/esenvironme w ILC ar w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use and Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other Other Owave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s) ns noted at time of interioration, renovations, received.	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are ing ryer mspection remodeling, ces assu	Subject Subjec	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 anenities Ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 Deck Conc Conc None Wood None Jest Conc Rone Wood None Jest Conc Anenities Conc Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None None Conc None None None Conc None None None Conc None None None None None Conc None None None None None None None Rescribe)	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on.	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached Grade
	Electricity Gas	Yes Sistypical for external far or encrosition of Proprint Signature of Proprint Signatu	SEX No FE or the market actors (easem pachments) Perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms etc.) Lurce(s) (inclusith no sign the 3 bath	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Des es Slab ement asement s wnspouts s sher Typical er ding appare ificant de s noted o	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vie cal Files cription Crawl Spa Finished Finished Frame,Br Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Bec nergy effice ent needed r eferred material county	/esenvironme w ILC ar w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use and Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other Owave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s) as noted at time of interioration, renovations, rece. Typical appliant. Per MLS subject I	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are ing ryer mspection remodeling, ces assu	Subject Subjec	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 anenities Ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 Deck Conc Conc None Wood None Jest Conc Rone Wood None Jest Conc Anenities Conc Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None None Conc None None None Conc None None None Conc None None None None None Conc None None None None None None None Rescribe)	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on.	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached Grade
	Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvemen Are there any adverse site conditions or No apparent adverse easements surrounded by similar uses. Source(s) Used for Physical Characteris Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessor # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./E Existing Proposed Unde Design (Style) Traditional Year Built 2007 Effective Age (Yrs) Appliances Refrigerator Ran Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy effici	Yes Sistypical for external far or encrosition of Proprint Signature of Proprint Signatu	SEX No FE or the market actors (easem pachments) Perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms etc.) Lurce(s) (inclusith no sign the 3 bath	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Des es Slab ement asement s wnspouts s sher Typical er ding appare ificant de s noted o	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vie cal Files cription Crawl Spa Finished Finished Frame,Br Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Bec nergy effice ent needed r eferred material county	/esenvironme w ILC ar w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use and Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other Owave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s) as noted at time of interioration, renovations, rece. Typical appliant. Per MLS subject I	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are ing ryer mspection remodeling, ces assu	Subject Subjec	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 anenities Ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 Deck Conc Conc None Wood None Jest Conc Rone Wood None Jest Conc Anenities Conc Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None None Conc None None None Conc None None None Conc None None None None None Conc None None None None None None None Rescribe)	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on.	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Electricity Gas	Yes S s typical for external far or encrosition of Properties of Propert	Perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Ba Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms etc.) Lurce(s) (inclusion both comboth co	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais Seneral Des Se	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier Cal Files Crawl Spa Finisher Frame,Bri Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Been nergy effice ent needed referred material county unt record	/esenvironme w ILC ar w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use nd Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other owave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s) ns noted at time of interioration, renovations, rece. Typical applian Per MLS subject I	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are sing ryer Inspection remodeling, ces assu backs to a	Subject Subject Subject Sa M An Fireplact Pool Pool Pool Tence Other (d 3,011 for this etc.).	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 anenities Ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 Deck Conc Conc None Wood None Jest Conc Rone Wood None Jest Conc Anenities Conc Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None None Conc None None None Conc None None None Conc None None None None None Conc None None None None None None None Rescribe)	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on. n is that subj subject has 4 n close up ae	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Electricity	Yes S s typical for external far or encrosition of Properties of Propert	Perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms etc.) urce(s) (inclu ith no sign the 3 bath on both co	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais Seneral Des Se	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier Cal Files Crawl Spa Finisher Frame,Bri Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Been nergy effice ent needed referred material county unt record	/esenvironme w ILC ar w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use nd Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other owave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s) ns noted at time of interioration, renovations, rece. Typical applian Per MLS subject I	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are sing ryer Inspection remodeling, ces assu backs to a	Subject Subject Subject Sa M An Fireplact Pool Pool Pool Tence Other (d 3,011 for this etc.).	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 anenities Ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 Deck Conc Conc None Wood None Jest Conc Rone Wood None Jest Conc Anenities Conc Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None None Conc None None None Conc None None None Conc None None None None None Conc None None None None None None None Rescribe)	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on. n is that subj subject has 4 n close up ae	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Electricity Gas	Yes S s typical for external far or encrosition of Properties of Propert	Perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms etc.) urce(s) (inclu ith no sign the 3 bath on both co	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais Seneral Des Se	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier Cal Files Crawl Spa Finisher Frame,Bri Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Been nergy effice ent needed referred material county unt record	/esenvironme w ILC ar w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use nd Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other owave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s) ns noted at time of interioration, renovations, rece. Typical applian Per MLS subject I	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are sing ryer Inspection remodeling, ces assu backs to a	Subject Subject Subject Sa M An Fireplact Pool Pool Pool Tence Other (d 3,011 for this etc.).	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 anenities Ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 Deck Conc Conc None Wood None Jest Conc Rone Wood None Jest Conc Anenities Conc Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None None Conc None None None Conc None None None Conc None None None None None Conc None None None None None None None Rescribe)	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on. n is that subj subject has 4 n close up ae	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Electricity	Yes S s typical for external far or encrosition of Properties of Propert	Perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms etc.) urce(s) (inclu ith no sign the 3 bath on both co	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais Seneral Des Se	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier Cal Files Crawl Spa Finisher Frame,Bri Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Been nergy effice ent needed referred material county unt record	/esenvironme w ILC ar w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use nd Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other owave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s) ns noted at time of interioration, renovations, rece. Typical applian Per MLS subject I	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are sing ryer Inspection remodeling, ces assu backs to a	Subject Subject Subject Sa M An Fireplact Pool Pool Pool Tence Other (d 3,011 for this etc.).	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 anenities Ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 Deck Conc Conc None Wood None Jest Conc Rone Wood None Jest Conc Anenities Conc Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None None Conc None None None Conc None None None Conc None None None None None Conc None None None None None None None Rescribe)	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on. n is that subj subject has 4 n close up ae	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Electricity	Yes S s typical for external far or encrosition of Properties of Propert	Perty Ge Concrete Full Base Partial Base Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms etc.) urce(s) (inclu ith no sign the 3 bath on both co	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais Seneral Des Se	wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vier Cal Files Crawl Spa Finisher Frame,Bri Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Been nergy effice ent needed referred material county unt record	/esenvironme w ILC ar w ILC ar	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe ntal conditions, land use nd Title Work for veri Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Guel Nat. Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other owave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s) ns noted at time of interioration, renovations, rece. Typical applian Per MLS subject I	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are sing ryer Inspection remodeling, ces assu backs to a	Subject Subject Subject Sa M An Fireplact Pool Pool Pool Tence Other (d 3,011 for this etc.).	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection ILS in 2008 anenities Ce(s) # 2 tove(s) # 0 Deck Conc Conc None Wood None Jest Conc Rone Wood None Jest Conc Anenities Conc Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None Conc None None Conc None None Conc None None None Conc None None None Conc None None None None None Conc None None None None None None None Rescribe)	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on. n is that subj subject has 4 n close up ae	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Electricity Gas	Yes S s typical for external far or encrositics of Properties of Propert	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments) Get Concrete Full Base Partial Base Partial Base Sterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms etc.) Lurce(s) (inclusith no sign the 3 bath on both considers on	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Des es Slab ement asement s wnspouts s sher Typical er ding appare ificant de s noted o urrent courrent courrent	wer X Wer X Yone X Dachments, V Dachment	/es	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions Intercept	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are sing ryer Inspection remodeling, ces assu backs to a	Subject Subjec	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection Prior Inspection LLS in 2008 a nenities Ce(s) # 2 ttove(s) # 0 Peck Conc Conc None Wood None Rescribe) Square Feet of age/area. Utility C4;A: Per prior MLS n space (also	None None None None Priveway Attace Built- of Gross Livitilities wer ssumption (S (2008), o noted or	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C out # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on. n is that subj subject has 4 n close up as	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Electricity Gas	Yes S s typical for external far or encrositics of Properties of Propert	No FE or the market ctors (easem pachments) Get Concrete Full Base Partial Base Partial Base Sterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Type Dishwa 9 Rooms etc.) Lurce(s) (inclusith no sign the 3 bath on both considers on	Sanitary Sev MA Flood Z t area? nents, encro noted. F Apprais eneral Des es Slab ement asement s wnspouts s sher Typical er ding appare ificant de s noted o urrent courrent courrent	wer X wer X Zone X Dachments, Please vie al Files cription Crawl Spa Finisher Frame,Br Comp Shi Metal/Gal Thermal p Disposal 4 Bec nergy effic ent needed r eferred ma on county unt record	/es	FEMA Map # 080 No If No, describe Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions, land use Intal conditions Intercept	Street Alley 035C0068 s, etc.)? Ification. Tax Record s Living Are sing ryer Inspection remodeling, ces assu backs to a	Subject Subject Subject Sa M An Fireplact Pool Pool Pool Tence Other (d 3,011 for this etc.).	Prior Inspection Prior Inspection Prior Inspection LLS in 2008 a nenities Ce(s) # 2 ttove(s) # 0 Peck Conc Conc None Wood None Rescribe) Square Feet of age/area. Utility C4;A: Per prior MLS n space (also	No I interior r	Date 12/02/2 If Yes, describe neighborhood Property Owner by Assessor Car Storage way # of C Surface ge # of C out # of C hed De in ring Area Above re on. n is that subj subject has 4 n close up as	location ars 3 Conc ars 3 ars 0 tached Grade

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 1 of 6

Janua st. Nowh

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 348852 File # 56143

34885229

	proportion currently	ulleleu iui sale iii	the subject neighborho	ood ranging in	price	110111 \$ 775.000		to \$	775.	,000
There are 7 comparable			the past twelve mont)			75,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT		LE SALE # 1			LE SALE # 2				E SALE # 3
Address 15999 E Summit F	ox Ave	16500 Hitching Po	ost Cir	16364 Prairie	ie Farr	n Cir	1157	0 S Tumb	ble B	rush St
Parker, CO 80134		Parker, CO 80134		Parker, CO 8				er, CO 80		
Proximity to Subject		0.40 miles NE	•	0.40 miles N				miles NW		
Sale Price	\$	0.10 1111100 142	\$ 750,000		_	\$ 750,000		111100 144		\$ 750,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 275.13 sq.ft.	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	\$ 249.09	sa.ft.	7 30,000	\$	239.16		+ 150,000
Data Source(s)	-	REcolorado#6738				166;DOM 42	-			922;DOM 44
Verification Source(s)		Doc#50370/Count	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Doc#44760/		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				/ Records
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION		+(-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIPTION		+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	DECOMI NON	ArmLth	T () Ψ Aujustinont	ArmLth	1011	Τ () Ψ Αυμουποπο	ArmL			i () \$ Adjustinont
Concessions						0				0
Date of Sale/Time		Cash;0		Cash;900	22	U		v;15000	,	0
Location		s11/23;c10/23		s10/23;c09/2	23			23;c05/23	3	
	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Re			
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		_		Simple		
Site	8538 sf	12153 sf		8973 sf			6011			+2,527
View	N;Pstrl;Pipes	B;Pstrl;CtyStr	-20,000			0		trl;CtyStr		0
Design (Style)	DT2;Traditional	DT2;Traditional		DT2;Traditio	onal			Tradition	nal	
Quality of Construction	Q3	Q3		Q3			Q3			
Actual Age	16	18	0	18		0	17			0
Condition	C4	C4		C4			C4			
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms.	Baths		Total	Bdrms. B	Baths	
Room Count	9 4 2.1	8 3 2.1	0		2.1	0	8	5 3	3.1	-5,000
Gross Living Area	3,011 sq.ft.	2,726 sq.ft.	+17,100	3,011	sq.ft.			3,136	sq.ft.	-7,500
Basement & Finished	1380sf0sfin	1020sf0sfin	+7,200	1380sf0sfin			1425	sf0sfin		0
Rooms Below Grade										
Functional Utility	Average/typical	Average/typical		Average/typi	ical		Avera	age/typica	al	
Heating/Cooling	FWA,CAC	FWA,CAC		FWA,CAC				.CAC		
Energy Efficient Items	Thermal panes	Thermal panes		Thermal pan	nes			mal pane	ıs.	
Garage/Carport	3ga3dw	3ga3dw		3ga3dw	103		3ga2	•	,3	0
Porch/Patio/Deck	Prch/Pat/Deck	Prch/Pat/Deck		Prch/Pat/De	ok			/Pat/Deck	,	0
Fireplace(s)	Fpl: 2	Fpl: 2		Fpl: 2	CK		Fpl: 1		^	+2,500
Tileplace(s)	Γμι. Ζ	ΓΡΙ. Ζ		грі. 2			Γþi.	<u> </u>		+2,300
Net Adjustment (Total)		V _	¢		_	¢ 0		1 . 🖊	1	¢ 7,470
. , ,										Ψ -7,473
,										ф — —
						β 750,000	GIOSS	Auj. 2	2.3 %	۵ 742,527
Net Adjustment (Total) Net Adjustment (Total)										
My research ☐ did 🔀 did r Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research ☐ did 🔀 did r	ublic Records ot reveal any prior sale		bject property for the the							
My research ☐ did ☒ did r Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research ☐ did ☒ did r Data Source(s) MLS County	ublic Records ot reveal any prior sale Records	s or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the y	year prior to the	date of	sale of the comparable	sale.	n nage 3)		
My research did did id did r Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did r Data Source(s) MLS County Report the results of the research a	ublic Records ot reveal any prior sale Records nd analysis of the prior	s or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the y	year prior to the	date of	sale of the comparable	sale.	, - ,	ΝΡΔΕ	RARI F SAI F #3
My research did did id i	ublic Records ot reveal any prior sale Records nd analysis of the prior	s or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the y	year prior to the	date of	sale of the comparable	sale.	, - ,	OMPAF	RABLE SALE #3
My research did did id i	ublic Records ot reveal any prior sale Records nd analysis of the prior	s or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the y	year prior to the	date of	sale of the comparable	sale.	, - ,	DMPAF	RABLE SALE #3
My research did did id id in Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did id i	ublic Records out reveal any prior sale of Records and analysis of the prior St	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1	date of e sales	sale of the comparable (report additional prior s	sale. sales o	CO		
My research did did did not be did not be did not be did did did not be did n	ublic Records ot reveal any prior sale Records nd analysis of the prior St Corelogic Put	s or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the y y of the subject property COMPARABLE S.	year prior to the r and comparable ALE #1	date of	sale of the comparable (report additional prior second pr	sale. sales o	Corelog	gic Pu	RABLE SALE #3
My research did did id i	ublic Records out reveal any prior sale of Records and analysis of the prior St Corelogic Put 12/18/2023	s or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the y y of the subject property COMPARABLE Some Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023	year prior to the r and comparable ALE #1	date of e sales (Corelo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior s COMPARABLE SALE #2 pagic Public Records (2023	sale.	Corelog 12/18/20	gic Pu 1023	ublic Records
My research did did id i	ublic Records not reveal any prior sale r Records nd analysis of the prior St Corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro	s or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the y y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 sales Core	year prior to the r and comparable ALE #1 ecords	date of e sales (Coreld 12/18/ recore	sale of the comparable (report additional prior second prior sec	sale. sales o	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec	gic Pu 023 cent t	ublic Records
My research did did did r Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did r Data Source(s) MLS County Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warra	ublic Records of reveal any prior sale of Records Indianalysis of the prior St Corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro Inty deed - doc #55	s or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 sales Corr 7/29/2008). Subject	year prior to the r and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ct had a notice	Corelo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior s COMPARABLE SALE #2 pagic Public Records //2023 ds indicate the sub rustee's Sale filed	sale. sales o	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec	gic Pu 023 cent t	ublic Records
My research did did did r Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did r Data Source(s) MLS County Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	ublic Records of reveal any prior sale of Records Indianalysis of the prior St Corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro Inty deed - doc #55	s or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 sales Corr 7/29/2008). Subject	year prior to the r and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ct had a notice	Corelo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior s COMPARABLE SALE #2 pagic Public Records //2023 ds indicate the sub rustee's Sale filed	sale. sales o	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec	gic Pu 023 cent t	ublic Records
My research did did did r Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did r Data Source(s) MLS County Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warra	ublic Records of reveal any prior sale of Records Indianalysis of the prior St Corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro Inty deed - doc #55	s or transfers of the co	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 sales Corr 7/29/2008). Subject	year prior to the r and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ct had a notice	Corelo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior s COMPARABLE SALE #2 pagic Public Records //2023 ds indicate the sub rustee's Sale filed	sale. sales o	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec	gic Pu 023 cent t	ublic Records
My research did did did r Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did r Data Source(s) MLS County Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warra Notice of Sales filed 07/04/2 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Appraiser adhered to their	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 2023; 10/30/2023; croach See at State Licensing	s or transfers of the constant sale or transfer history IBJECT Solic Records perty and comparable sale and 11/14/2023 and 11/1	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S. Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ot had a notice nal Judgmen	Coreld 12/18/ recorr ce of T	sale of the comparable (report additional prior second prior sec	sale. sales o	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec	gic Pu 023 cent t	ublic Records
My research did did did r Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did r Data Source(s) MLS County Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warra Notice of Sales filed 07/04/2 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Appraiser adhered to their Colorado Required Fee Di	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 2023; 10/30/2023; croach See at State Licensing sclosure:	s or transfers of the constant sale or transfer history IBJECT Dlic Records perty and comparable signification of the constant sale o	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 Sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ot had a notic nal Judgmen	corelocate of Tot Amo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior second pr	sale. sales of	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec 7/2023, w	gic Pu 023 cent t	ransfer occurred ubsequent
My research did did did r Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did r Data Source(s) MLS County Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warra Notice of Sales filed 07/04/2 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Appraiser adhered to their Colorado Required Fee Di The AMC paid a fee of \$2:	ublic Records not reveal any prior sale r Records nd analysis of the prior St Corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 2023; 10/30/2023; proach See at State Licensing I sclosure: 20 to the appraise	s or transfers of the corsection is sale or transfer history BJECT Dilic Records perty and comparable serty and comparable serty and 1/14/2023 and 1/14/20	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 Sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ot had a notic nal Judgmen	corelocate of Tot Amo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior second pr	sale. sales of	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec 7/2023, w	gic Pu 023 cent t	ransfer occurred ubsequent
My research did did did research of Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did did report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warrange) Notice of Sales filed 07/04/2 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Appraiser adhered to their Colorado Required Fee Di The AMC paid a fee of \$2: is compensated under a did not read to the prior sale of the sales for the s	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 023; 10/30/2023; 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of reveal any prior sale of reveal any prior sale of the prior sale of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 023; 10/30/2023; 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of the subject prior sale of the subject pr	s or transfers of the corsection is sale or transfer history BJECT Dilic Records perty and comparable serty and comparable serty and 1/14/2023 and 1/14/20	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 Sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ot had a notic nal Judgmen	corelocate of Tot Amo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior second pr	sale. sales of	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec 7/2023, w	gic Pu 023 cent t	ransfer occurred ubsequent
My research did did did r Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did r Data Source(s) MLS County Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warra Notice of Sales filed 07/04/2 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Appraiser adhered to their Colorado Required Fee Di The AMC paid a fee of \$2:	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 023; 10/30/2023; 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of reveal any prior sale of reveal any prior sale of the prior sale of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 023; 10/30/2023; 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of the subject prior sale of the subject pr	s or transfers of the corsection is sale or transfer history BJECT Dilic Records perty and comparable serty and comparable serty and 1/14/2023 and 1/14/20	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 Sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ot had a notic nal Judgmen	corelocate of Tot Amo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior second pr	sale. sales of	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec 7/2023, w	gic Pu 023 cent t	ransfer occurred ubsequent
My research did did did research of Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did did report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warrange) Notice of Sales filed 07/04/2 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Appraiser adhered to their Colorado Required Fee Di The AMC paid a fee of \$2: is compensated under a did not read to the prior sale of the sales for the s	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 023; 10/30/2023; 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of reveal any prior sale of reveal any prior sale of the prior sale of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 023; 10/30/2023; 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 070ach See at State Licensing sclosure: 20 to the appraisa of the subject prior sale of the subject pr	s or transfers of the corsection is sale or transfer history BJECT Dilic Records perty and comparable serty and comparable serty and 1/14/2023 and 1/14/20	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 Sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ot had a notic nal Judgmen	corelocate of Tot Amo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior second pr	sale. sales of	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec 7/2023, w	gic Pu 023 cent t	ransfer occurred ubsequent
My research did did did research did did research did did research did did research a litem Data Source(s) MLS County Report the results of the research a litem Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warran Notice of Sales filed 07/04/2 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Appraiser adhered to their Colorado Required Fee Dine AMC paid a fee of \$25 is compensated under a damage AMC Registration: AMC.2	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5:2023; 10/30/2023; 20 to the appraisa sterent compensa 200000232	s or transfers of the corsection is sale or transfer history BJECT Dilic Records perty and comparable serty and comparable serty and 1/14/2023 and 1/14/20	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 Sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ot had a notic nal Judgmen	corelocate of Tot Amo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior second pr	sale. sales of	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec 7/2023, w	gic Pu 023 cent t	ransfer occurred ubsequent
My research did did did research of Data Source(s) Corelogic P My research did did did research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warranger) Notice of Sales filed 07/04/2 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Appraiser adhered to their Colorado Required Fee Di The AMC paid a fee of \$2: is compensated under a did or did not research.	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5:2023; 10/30/2023; 20 to the appraisa sifferent compensa 200000232	s or transfers of the corsection is sale or transfer history BJECT Dilic Records perty and comparable serty and comparable serty and 1/14/2023 and 1/14/20	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 Sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ot had a notic nal Judgmen	corelocate of Tot Amo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior second pr	sale. sales of	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec 7/2023, w	gic Pu 023 cent t	ransfer occurred ubsequent
My research did did did research did did research did did research did did research a litem Data Source(s) MLS County Report the results of the research a litem Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warran Notice of Sales filed 07/04/2 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Appraiser adhered to their Colorado Required Fee Dine AMC paid a fee of \$25 is compensated under a damage AMC Registration: AMC.2	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 2023; 10/30/2023; 20 to the appraisa ifferent compensation Approach \$ 76	s or transfers of the constant sale or transfer history (BJECT) blic Records perty and comparable statements and 17 tached addenda. Requirements, as all vendor, Velox Vertion structure.	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 Sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ct had a notic nal Judgmen pecific assig	corelocate of Tot Amo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior second pr	sale. sales of 2 e of V	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec 7/2023, w	gic Pu 023 cent tr vith su	ransfer occurred ubsequent ons, LLC and
My research did did did research did did research did did did research did did did research did did research did did research did did research did did did research did research did did research did research did did research did did research d	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 tory of the subject pro inty deed - doc #5/2023; 10/30/2023; 20/20 to the appraisa ifferent compensation (2000) an Approach \$ 75/2023 tory of the subject pro inty deed - doc #5/2023; 10/30/2023; 20/2022; 20/2022; 20/2022; 20/2022; 20/2022; 20/2022; 20/2022; 20/2022; 20/2022; 20/2022;	s or transfers of the constant sale or transfer history (BJECT) blic Records perty and comparable signification and 11/14/2023 and 11/14/20	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S. Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi s it relates to this s Valuations, LLC. T	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public ct had a notic nal Judgmen epecific assig	Corelo 12/18/ recorr ce of Tot Amo	sale of the comparable (report additional prior should be comparable) (r	sale. sales of the	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec 7/2023, w	gic Pu 023 cent t vith su lluatio	ransfer occurred ubsequent ons, LLC and
My research did did did research did did research did did did research did did research a source(s) MLS County Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his on 7/25/2008 (special warranger) Notice of Sales filed 07/04/2 Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Appraiser adhered to their Colorado Required Fee Dine AMC paid a fee of \$20 is compensated under a did AMC Registration: AMC.2	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 2023; 10/30/2023; 20 to the appraisa sifferent compensation (2000) and Approach see Sales Comparise on Approach see Sales	s or transfers of the constant is sale or transfer history in the constant in the constant is sale or transfer history in the constant is sale or transfer history in the constant is sale or transfer history in the constant in the constant is sale or transfer history in the constant	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S. Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi it relates to this s //aluations, LLC. T	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public thad a notic nal Judgmen specific assig The Appraise	Corelo 12/18/ recorr ce of The Amore	sale of the comparable (report additional prior should be comparable sho	sale. sales of the	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec 7/2023, w	gic Pu 023 cent t vith su lluatio	ransfer occurred ubsequent ons, LLC and
My research did did did research did did research did did did research did did did research did did research did did research did did research did did did research did research did did research d	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 story of the subject pro nty deed - doc #5: 2023; 10/30/2023; 20 to the appraisa sifferent compensation (2000) and Approach see Sales Comparise on Approach see Sales	s or transfers of the constant is sale or transfer history in the constant in the constant is sale or transfer history in the constant is sale or transfer history in the constant is sale or transfer history in the constant in the constant is sale or transfer history in the constant	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S. Corelogic Public Re 12/18/2023 sales Cor 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a Fi it relates to this s //aluations, LLC. T	year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 ecords elogic public thad a notic nal Judgmen specific assig The Appraise	Corelo 12/18/ recorr ce of The Amore	sale of the comparable (report additional prior should be comparable sho	sale. sales of the	Corelog 12/18/20 most rec 7/2023, w	gic Pu 023 cent t vith su lluatio	ransfer occurred ubsequent ons, LLC and
My research did did did research did did research did did did research did did did research did did research did did did research did did research did did did research did did did research did resear	corelogic Put 12/18/2023 ctory of the subject pro 12/23; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/2022; 10/30/202	s or transfers of the constant is solvent and comparable solvent and comparable solvent is solvent at a ched addenda. Requirements, as all vendor, Velox Vertion structure. 50,000 750,000 750,000 on Approach as it rest to valuation of sin completion per plans all terations on the bas	omparable sales for the your of the subject property COMPARABLE S. Corelogic Public Ref 12/18/2023 sales Corr 7/29/2008). Subject 1/22/2023 with a File sit relates to this sales actions, LLC. The Cost Approach (if deveraged for the sales with the sales actions of the sales actions act	year prior to the year prior to	Corelo 12/18/ recorr ce of That Amore er is a 2/54,38 and seare no	sale of the comparable (report additional prior should be comparable) (r	sale. sales of the	Corelog 12/18/2 most rec 7/2023, w /elox Val (if develop The Cos	luatio	ons, LLC and opproach provides

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 2 of 6

1 2055 March 2005

Sandre S. Nouth

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 348852 File # 56143

34885229

FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE SALE # 4		COMPARABLE SALE # 5			COMPARABLE SALE # 6 16602 Hitching Post Cir				
Address 15999 E Summit F	ox Ave	11538 S Tumble E		15597 E Pi	-		l		_	st Cir	
Parker, CO 80134		Parker, CO 80134		Parker, CO					80134		
Proximity to Subject	Φ.	0.53 miles NW	r	0.59 miles	NW	¢	0.32 r	niles N	<u>IE</u>	Φ -	
Sale Price Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	¢ 070 50 ca#	\$ 775,000		o caft	\$ 775,000		040.5	o caft	\$ 7	785,000
Data Source(s)	φ 54.1ι.	\$ 276.59 sq.ft. REcolorado#5606			6 sq.ft.	L 217;DOM 94			6 sq.ft.	308;DOM 42	າ
Verification Source(s)		Doc#23291/Count		Doc#57373						y Records	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT		+ (-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIPT		+ (-) \$ Adju	stment
Sales or Financing		ArmLth	(/ -	Listing		(7.	Listing			() . ,	
Concessions		Conv;0					,	,			
Date of Sale/Time		s05/23;c04/23		Active			c12/2	3			
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Res	s;			
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple			Fee S				
Site	8538 sf	8015 sf		6011 sf		+2,527					-4,007
View Design (Style)		N;Pstrl;CtyStr	0	N;PwrLn;Op		0	B;Pst				-20,000
Quality of Construction	DT2;Traditional Q3	DT2;Traditional		DT2;Traditi	ional			Γraditi	onal		
Actual Age	16	Q3 16		Q3 17		0	Q3 18				0
Condition	C4	C4		C4		0	C4				
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms.	. Baths		_	Bdrms.	Baths		
Room Count	9 4 2.1	8 4 3.0	-3,000	9 4	3.1	-5,000	9	3	2.1		0
Gross Living Area	3,011 sq.ft.	2,802 sq.ft.	+12,500		2 sq.ft.	0		3,223	sq.ft.		-12,700
Basement & Finished	1380sf0sfin	1636sf1063sfin	-26,380	1423sf1255	sfin	-25,100	14289	f0sfin			0
Rooms Below Grade		1rr1br1.0ba1o	-5,000	1rr0br0.0ba		0					
Functional Utility	Average/typical	Average/typical		Average/typ	oical			ge/typ	ical		
Heating/Cooling	FWA,CAC	FWA,CAC		FWA,CAC			FWA,				
Energy Efficient Items Garage/Carport	Thermal panes	Thermal panes	0	Thermal pa	ines	0		nal pai	nes		
Porch/Patio/Deck	3ga3dw Prch/Pat/Deck	3ga2dw Prch/Pat/Deck	U	3ga2dw Prch/Pat/D	ock	0	3ga3d	aw Pat/D∈	ock		
Fireplace(s)	Fpl: 2	Fpl: 2		Fpl:	COR	+5,000	_		OK		
THODIAGO(O)	7 pi. 2	7 pi. 2		, p		70,000	1 pi. 2				
Net Adjustment (Total)			\$ -21,880			\$ -22,573			_	\$.	-36,707
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 2.8 %		Net Adj.	2.9 %		Net Ad		4.7 %		
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 6.0 %		Gross Adj.	4.9 %				4.7 %	\$ 7	748,293
Report the results of the research a		BJECT	or the subject property COMPARABLE SA			(report additional prior s OMPARABLE SALE # §				ABLE SALE #	
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	30		09/28/2007	LL # 4	00	UIVIFANADLE SALE # ()		UIVIFAN	ADLE SALE #	0
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer			\$385,000								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	Corelogic Pub		Corelogic Public Re	ecords	Corelo	ogic Public Records	i	Corel	oaic P	ublic Record	ds
	12/18/2023		12/18/2023		12/18/	2023		12/18	3/2023		
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	story of the subject pro	perty and comparable s	sales								
Analysis/Comments There a	re no noted active	listings that would a	appeal to the same	buyer as the	Subje	ct and/or they would	d requ	ire adj	ustmer	nts far excee	eding
typical guidelines and/or the	y provide no inform	ation useful for con	nparison. Typical di	stance parai	meters	were extended as r	necess	ary ar	nd appr	opriate to c	omply
with this requirement. Search	ch was extended ou	t 2 full miles and/o	r to any directly com	npeting area	s if exis	sting.					

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 348852 File # 56143

34885229

Clarification of Intended Use and Intended User:								
"The Intended User of the appraisal report is the Lender/Client. Unless specif								
Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal fo			ork,					
purpose of the appraisal, reporting requirements of this appraisal report form,	and Definition of Value as defined in the i	eport."						
At the request of the client, this appraisal report as been prepared in complian	ce with the Uniform Appraisal Dataset (U	AD) from Fannie Mae and	l Fraddia					
Mac. The UAD requires the Appraiser to use standardized responses that inc		•						
course of business, the Appraiser attempted to obtain an adequate amount of		•						
required UAD standardized responses, especially those in which the Appraise								
mistakenly imply greater precision and reliability in the data than is factually co		•						
and quality ratings as well as comparable sales and listing data. Not every ele	ment of the subject property was viewab	le and comparable proper	ty data					
was generally obtained from third party sources. Consequently, this information	on should be considered an "estimate" un	less otherwise noted by the	he					
Appraiser.								
Exposure Time: An estimated amount of time the subject property would have								
effective date of the appraisal. It is a retrospective estimate based on past ev								
overall concept of reasonable exposure time includes not only an adequate, s reasonable effort. It is different from marketing time, which is the amount of ti								
after the effective date of this report. An estimate of reasonable exposure tim								
similar competing properties within the market area.								
· vi i								
A reasonable exposure time for the subject property developed independently	from the stated marketing time is: 60 day	s. Marketing time is note	d on page					
1 of the appraisal and is completely independent of exposure time.								
USPAP Prior Services Disclosure: I have performed no services, as an appr		he property that is the sub	bject of this					
report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this a	ssignment.							
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)							
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio	ns.							
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	nating site value) Due to lack of site.	te sales in this area, site va						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio	nating site value) Due to lack of site.	,						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	nating site value) Due to lack of site.	,						
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor lands	ns. Due to lack of si of value correlated very closely and was, th	erefore, used for site value	e (rounded).					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leading to the control of t	ns. mating site value) Due to lack of site value correlated very closely and was, the opinion of Site Value	erefore, used for site value	e (rounded). 155,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor lest the county Assessor lest lest land the county Assessor land the county Assess	Due to lack of single to lack	=\$ 156.00 =\$	155,000 469,716					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the county Assessor less that the county Assessor less than the county Assess	Due to lack of site value or value correlated very closely and was, the opinion of site value of site value correlated very closely and was, the opinion of site value or closely and the opinion or closely and the opinion of site value or closely and the opinion or closely and the opinion or closely and the opinion of site val	=\$ 156.00 =\$ 80.00 =\$	155,000 469,716 110,400					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation of extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation of extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation of extraction method. County Assessor less than the allocation method is allocation method. County Assessor less than the allocation method is allocation method. County Assessor less than the allocation method is allocation method. County Assessor less than the allocation method is allocation method is allocation method. County Assessor less than the allocation method is allocation method is allocation method. Cou	Due to lack of site value or value correlated very closely and was, the opinion of site value and was, the opinion of sit	=\$ 156.00 =\$ 80.00 =\$	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiminated developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leads to be supported by the country Assessor leads to be sup	Due to lack of site value or value correlated very closely and was, the opinion of site value of site value correlated very closely and was, the opinion of site value or closely and the opinion of si	=\$ 156.00 =\$ 80.00 =\$	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leads to be supported by the control of	Due to lack of site value or value correlated very closely and was, the opening of the value correlated very closely and was, the opening of the value of the val	=\$ 156.00 =\$ 80.00 =\$ 70.00 =\$	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiminated developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leads to be supported by the country Assessor leads to be sup	Due to lack of site value or value correlated very closely and was, the opening of the value correlated very closely and was, the opening of the value correlated very closely and was, the opening of the value of value correlated very closely and was, the opening of value o	=\$ 156.00 =\$ 80.00 =\$ 70.00 =\$	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor land to be supported by the control of the control o	Due to lack of since the value of the value correlated very closely and was, the value correlated very closely and value correlated very closely correlated very closely closely correlated	=\$ 156.00 =\$ 80.00 =\$ 70.00 =\$ External	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor land to be supported by the control of the control o	Due to lack of since the value of the value correlated very closely and was, the value correlated very closely and value correlated very closely and was, the value correlated very closely and value correlated very closely correlated very closely correlate	=\$ 156.00 =\$ 80.00 =\$ 70.00 =\$ External =\$(155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leads to be supported by the control of	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value correlated \$\text{OPINION OF SITE VALUE}\$ DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 63,821 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	=\$ 156.00 =\$ 80.00 =\$ 70.00 =\$ External =\$(155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor less that the allocation method is allocation meth	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 63,821 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	=\$ 156.00 =\$ 80.00 =\$ 70.00 =\$ External =\$(155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor lands are considered in the county Assessor lands	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value correlated \$\text{OPINION OF SITE VALUE}\$ DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 63,821 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	= \$ 156.00 = \$ 80.00 = \$ 70.00 = \$ External = \$(= \$	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leads to be supported in the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leads to cost data. Craftsman Book. Quality rating from cost service. Avg. Effective date of cost data. 09/2023. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.). Cost estimates are taken from above noted source and Appraiser's files and modified to best represent the subject. See attachment for detailed square foot calculations. REL and Effective age are only estimates and not to be relied upon in any way. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 72 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE.	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 63,821 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	= \$ 156.00 = \$ 80.00 = \$ 70.00 = \$ External = \$(= \$	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor lands are considered in the county Assessor lands	Due to lack of single to lack of single to value correlated very closely and was, the street value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 63,821 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae)	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leads to be supported in the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leads to control the subject of cost data. Craftsman Book Quality rating from cost service. Avg. Effective date of cost data. O9/2023. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost estimates are taken from above noted source and Appraiser's files and modified to best represent the subject. See attachment for detailed square foot calculations. REL and Effective age are only estimates and not to be relied upon in any way. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 72 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value correlated \$\text{OFINION OF SITE VALUE}\$ DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 63,821 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leads to be considered as a county of county Assessor leads to be considered as a county of county Assessor leads to county Assessor leads t	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value correlated \$\text{OFINION OF SITE VALUE}\$ DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 63,821 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "NDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable)	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leads to consider the country of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leads to country Assessor leads a country of	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 63,821 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) \times Detached \tag{Attached} Attach	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor Institute of County As	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 63,821 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) \times Detached \tag{Attached} Attach	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor Institute developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor Institute developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor Institute developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor Institute developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor Institute developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor Institute developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor Institute developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor Institute developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor Institute date of cost data on one of the service of cost data on one of the extraction of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and extraction method. County Assessor Institute of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data on one of the House and the service of cost data one of the House and the service of cost data one of the House and the service of cost data one of the House and the service of cost data one of the House and the service of the House of the	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 63,821 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) \times Detached Attached divelling unit.	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest left developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest left developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left left left developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left left left left left left left left	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest left developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest left left left left left left left lef	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s)	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation of county Assessor left first developed either methods of county Assessor left first developed either via the allocation of the sales of county Assessor left first developed either via the allocation of county Assessor left first developed either methods for estimates and of county Assessor left first developed either methods for estimates and of county assessor left first developed either methods. County Assessor left first developed either methods for estimates and of county assessor left first developed either methods. County Assessor left first developed either methods for estimates and of county assessor left estimates of the estimates	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either methods and continued to surface and continued either the subject. See attachment for detailed square foot calculations. REL and Effective age are only estimates and not to be relied upon in any way. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 72 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either methods and continued to surface and continued either the subject. See attachment for detailed square foot calculations. REL and Effective age are only estimates and not to be relied upon in any way. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 72 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s)	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor left first developed either methods and continued to surface and continued either the subject. See attachment for detailed square foot calculations. REL and Effective age are only estimates and not to be relied upon in any way. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 72 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation of cost data on one of cost data one of cos	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estifirst developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation or extraction method. County Assessor leftest developed either via the allocation of cost data op/2023 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost estimates are taken from above noted source and Appraiser's files and modified to best represent the subject. See attachment for detailed square foot calculations. REL and Effective age are only estimates and not to be relied upon in any way. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 72 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	Due to lack of sit of value correlated very closely and was, the value of SITE VALUE DWELLING 3,011 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Basement 1,380 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Appls, etc Garage/Carport 687 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Punctional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.	= \$ 156.00	155,000 469,716 110,400 10,000 48,090 638,206 63,821) 574,385 25,000					

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 3 of 6

ı 2055 March 2005 Sandra S. Mouth

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

1 2055 March 2005

Sandra S. north

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 5 of 6

1 2055 March 2005

Sandre S. north

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper signature.

APPRAISER Jandre J. North	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature Signature	Signature
Name Sandra North	Name
Company Name Velox Valuations LLC	Company Name
Company Address 704 South State Road 135, Ste D, #393	Company Address
Greenwood, IN 46143	
Telephone Number (317)482-7700	Telephone Number
Email Address sandy.north@veloxval.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 12/18/2023	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 12/18/2023	State Certification #
State Certification # CR1318547	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CO	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 12/31/2025	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
15999 E Summit Fox Ave	Date of Inspection
Parker, CO 80134 APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 750,000	·
	COMPARABLE SALES
LENDER/CLIENT	OOMI ATABLE OALLO
Name Clear Capital	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo, CA 90278	Date of Inspection
Email Address N/A	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 6 of 6

1 2055 March 2005

Sandra S. nouth

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

34885229

File No. 56143

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl		•	•	revalent in the sub	oject		
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraid Property Address 15999 E Summit Fox Ave	isai reports with an effective	city Parker	2009.	State CO	ZIP Code 801	34	
Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC		ony r arrich			0000 001		
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information req	uired on this form as the ba	asis for his/her conclusion	s, and must provide support	for those conclusi	ons, regarding		
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported	•						
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as ir							
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will							
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	-	-		
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal				σα by α ρισοροσιίν	o buyor or the		
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months		Overall Trend		
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	4	1	2	Increasing	X Stable		Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	0.67	0.33	0.67	Increasing	X Stable		Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	0	2	1	Declining	Stable		ncreasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	O Drier 7 10 Menths	6.1	1.5	Declining	Stable Overall Trans		ncreasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % Median Comparable Sale Price	Prior 7–12 Months 744,500	Prior 4–6 Months 751,000	Current – 3 Months 750,000	Increasing	Overall Trend Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	36	751,000 59	80	Declining	➤ Stable	=	ncreasing
Median Comparable List Price	0	770,000	775,000	Increasing	➤ Stable	=	Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	0	106	94	Declining	X Stable		ncreasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	100.03	100.00	97.72	Increasing	Stable	X	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p		⋈ No		Declining	X Stable		ncreasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas	• •						
fees, options, etc.). Seller concessions are s	ometimes paid but ten	d to be less than 3%	with no increase in purc	hase price to c	over them.		
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?	Yes 🔀 No	If ves. explain (includ	ling the trends in listings and	sales of foreclose	d properties).		
If there are foreclosures in this area, they are r							
Cite data sources for above information. Metroli	st MLS. Any space m	arked "0" above indic	ates that data was not a	vailable for tha	t item.		
Cite data sources for above information. Metroli	st MLS. Any space m	arked "0" above indic	ates that data was not a	vailable for tha	t item.		
Summarize the above information as support for your co	nclusions in the Neighborh	ood section of the apprais	al report form. If you used an	y additional inforn	nation, such as		
	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support	y additional inforn t for your conclusi	nation, such as ons.	g a	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray	nclusions in the Neighborhi vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections c	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo of the Metro area were	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price	y additional inforn t for your conclusi es while still oth	nation, such as ons. ners were notin		period
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections c te have slowed market	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo of the Metro area were t as a whole. Typical	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price	y additional inforn t for your conclusi es while still oth	nation, such as ons. ners were notin		period
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections c te have slowed market	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo of the Metro area were t as a whole. Typical	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price	y additional inforn t for your conclusi es while still oth	nation, such as ons. ners were notin		period
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections c te have slowed market	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo of the Metro area were t as a whole. Typical	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price	y additional inforn t for your conclusi es while still oth	nation, such as ons. ners were notin		period
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections c te have slowed market	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo of the Metro area were t as a whole. Typical	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price	y additional inforn t for your conclusi es while still oth	nation, such as ons. ners were notin		period
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections c te have slowed market	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo of the Metro area were t as a whole. Typical	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price	y additional inforn t for your conclusi es while still oth	nation, such as ons. ners were notin		period
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections c te have slowed market	ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo of the Metro area were t as a whole. Typical	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price	y additional inforn t for your conclusi es while still oth	nation, such as ons. ners were notin		period
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe	ood section of the apprais or conclusions, provide bo of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price	y additional inforn i for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i	nation, such as ons. ners were notin		period
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient to the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative public the subject potential.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe	ood section of the apprais or conclusions, provide bo of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price relevant sample size to	y additional inforn i for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i	nation, such as ons. ners were notin		period
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insulated in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative gubject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	nclusions in the Neighborhivn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market sufficient to verify a spe	ood section of the appraisar conclusions, provide both the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N	y additional inforn i for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i	nation, such as ons. ners were notin s 25 units per t	ime p	Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insulated in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	nclusions in the Neighborhiven listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market sufficient to verify a specific project, complete the follow	ood section of the appraisar conclusions, provide both the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N	y additional inform t for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing	ons. ners were notin s 25 units per t Overall Trend Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insulative. Typically available relevant data is insulative. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	nclusions in the Neighborhiven listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market sufficient to verify a specific project, complete the follow	ood section of the appraisar conclusions, provide both the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N	y additional inform t for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Declining	overall Trend Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insulated in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections o te have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Total with a condominium or cooperative project Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections o te have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support e seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insulated in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections o te have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Total with a condominium or cooperative project Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections o te have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Total with a condominium or cooperative project Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections o te have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Total with a condominium or cooperative project Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections o te have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Total with a condominium or cooperative project Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections o te have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient for the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections o te have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the appraisal or conclusions are conclusions.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Typically available relevant data is instable. Total with a condominium or cooperative project Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections o te have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the appraisal or conclusions are conclusions.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient for the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections o te have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ood section of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the appraisal or conclusions are conclusions.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient to the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative graphs. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	ood section of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the metro area with the metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient for the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	ood section of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the metro area with the metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient to the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative graphs. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	ood section of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the metro area with the metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient to the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative graphs. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	ood section of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the metro area with the metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient to the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative graphs. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	ood section of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the metro area with the metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient for the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	ood section of the appraisance of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the metro area were as a whole as a whole area.	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and exp	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient for the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Pesign.alamode.com/vi	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes No	ood section of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the metro area whole. Signature Supervisory A	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient for the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Sandra North Company Name Velox Valuations LLC	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes No The subject unit and project erify Serial:F5A4C	ood section of the appraisal or conclusions, provide both of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the metro area whole. Signature Supervisory A Company Na	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name me	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient for the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on esign. Signature Appraiser Name Sandra North Company Name Velox Valuations LLC Company Address 704 South State Road 138	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes No The subject unit and project erify Serial:F5A4C	ood section of the appraisance of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the metro area whole. Signature Supervisory A Company Na wood, IN Company Ad	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name me dress	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw 2022 indicated good demand and low inventor stabilization. Increasing interest rates as of lat above. Typically available relevant data is insufficient for the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name Sandra North Company Name Velox Valuations LLC	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you y and many sections of the have slowed market ufficient to verify a spe project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes No The subject unit and project erify Serial:F5A4C	ood section of the appraisance of the Metro area were as a whole. Typical cific trend. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of the metro area whole. Signature Supervisory A Company Na wood, IN Company Ad	al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support as seeing increasing price relevant sample size to Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name meders dress //Certification #	y additional inform I for your conclusi es while still oth prove a trend i ame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	ime p	Declining Declining Increasing

Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

Page 1 of 1

Fannie Mac

March 2009

Supplemental Addendum

		- p p - 0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		00 1-10	
Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	15999 E Summit Fox Ave				
City	Parker	County Douglas	State CO	Zip Code 80134	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				

File No. 56143

FIRREA Certification Statement: The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is to estimate the market value of the subject property by:

- (1) physical inspection of the subject property;
- (2) inspection of the subject neighborhood and an analysis of regional characteristics;
- (3) identifying the appraisal problem;
- (4) investigation of pertinent data from available and reliable sources;
- (5) consideration and analysis of the physical, governmental, social and economic factors to conclude the highest and best use of the subject property;
 (6) extensive research for sold properties and current listings from the area Multiple Listing Service
- (MLS) or other sources deemed reliable;
- (7) analysis of the elected comparable sales and competitive listings, including additional verification from a second reliable source when appropriate and possible;
- (8) consideration and application of the applicable approaches to value;
- (9) final reconciliation, and
- (10) reporting of a defined value

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specific date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated;(2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what they consider their own best interest:
- (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in an open market;
- (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions by anyone associated with the sale. (Source of Definition: "The Appraisal of Real Estate" Twelfth Edition, Appraisal Institute, Chicago, IL.)

OBSERVATION VS. INSPECTION: The routine inspection of the property and its improvements is for purposes of establishing the market clause of the property. The property "inspection" is really more of an observation. It is not regarded as a full property inspection of the type intended to reveal defects in the mechanical systems, structural integrity, roofing, siding, or any other property component. The Appraiser claims no special expertise in these areas, nor is the Appraiser an expert regarding issues relating to foundation settlement, moisture problems, radon gas, mold or mold like substances, or lead paint. Statements regarding condition are based on superficial observations only. The Appraiser is not a home inspector, and as such the Client is invited and encouraged to employ qualified experts to inspect and address any areas of concern. If negative conditions are discovered, the Appraiser should be notified as the value opinion will possibly require modification.

ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS: No environmental assessment was conducted as this is outside the scope of the appraisal and the Appraiser does not accept responsibility for such discovery. No readily apparent environmental conditions were observed during the course of the property inspection (unless otherwise noted herein) and it is assumed that none exist. If any adverse environmental conditions are discovered, the Appraiser should be notified as this could have an impact on the value opinion.

The Appraiser makes it known that the location of the property is in an area EPA has noted has a high potential for Radon Gas. The Appraiser has not tested the property for such a substance and assumes if a test were conducted, it would show the subject to be free from this hazard or if there is a radon mitigation system on site, it is doing what it is designed to do. A test is recommended to be assured there is no radon. If the Appraiser's assumption is incorrect, the analyses and value could be impacted.

STRUCTURAL AND MECHANICAL SYSTEMS: No liability is assumed for the structure or mechanical elements of the property. It is assumed that there are no structure defects hidden by floor or wall coverings or any other hidden or unapparent conditions of the property; that all mechanical equipment and appliances are in good working condition, and that all electrical components and the roofing are in good condition (unless otherwise noted herein). If the client has any questions regarding these items, it is the client's responsibility to order the appropriate inspections. Inspections of these items are outside the scope of this assignment and the Appraiser assumes no responsibility for these items.

The appraiser's inspection did not include identification or testing for mold, radon, UFFI, asbestos, or other environmental hazards, as identification of these substances is beyond the scope of the Appraiser's expertise.

NON-REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER: No personal property, furnishings or intangibles were included in the opinion of value.

Innder & north

Supplemental Addendum

		<u>appioinionita</u>	Audonaum		TIIC	110. 50 145		
Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC							
Property Address	15999 E Summit Fox Ave							
City	Parker	County	Douglas	State	СО	Zip Code	80134	
Landar/Client	Modgowood Inc							

File No. 56143

HIGHEST AND BEST USE: Highest and Best Use may be defined as, "The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property, which is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible, and results in the highest value. The four criteria that must be met are legal permissibility, physical possibility, financial feasibility, and maximum profitability."

The subject property is currently utilized as a residential dwelling. The current and present use offers maximum profitability while being legal, physically possible and financially feasible. Therefore, the Highest and Best Use of the subject is considered to be its current use, as presently improved.

COMMENTS ON SITE DIMENSIONS: It is outside the scope of work for the Appraiser to measure the site, that is a surveyor's responsibility and expertise. If a plat map is available, it is included herein. It is deemed as reliable as the source providing it.

COMMENTS ON SQUARE FOOTAGE: The square footage totals noted in this appraisal report have been utilized as a tool for comparison. One should rely on their own independent measurements if square footage is considered to be an important factor.

"*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment." (This was quoted from the March 2005 version of FNMA form 1004.)

The Appraiser made a personal inspection of the subject property (interior and exterior if an interior product or exterior only for an exterior only product), and a personal exterior inspection of all comparable sales/rentals (if applicable) used in the report.

This report contains digital photos and all digital photos have not been altered in any way except as noted (due to persons in the photos, photos with portraits in them, etc. which is unacceptable to FNMA, FHLMC, etc.). Photos are Appraiser originals unless otherwise noted below.

Extra Comments

Address on Signature page of this report is for the National Field Appraiser Management Office. I reside in Colorado, am competent to appraise in this market and I have been providing appraisal services in this market for the last 30 years.

"Other" includes parks, open spaces, etc. with no impact on marketability or appraisal

Comps over 6 months (if included) are included as they are the most recent and relevant comparable to the subject. This is not a declining market area and is not considered an issue to have sales as far back as 9 months to one year.

Typically "age" adjustments are not made as they cannot be proven in the Metro market area. Condition/updating/upgrading are the larger factors for a typical buyer in this area.

If the subject is directly impacted by roads, schools, or commercial uses, it will be fully disclosed and analyzed herein. If it is not, there is no impact noted.

Subject backs to an open space but there were pipes and other uses in the background. Lot appeared to be terraced up and rear yard appears very small. Without a full onsite viewing, Appraiser has to make the assumption that the location/view is considered neutral overall.

If FEMA indicates there is a disaster declaration anywhere near the subject or the subject county, it is noted that the subject was not impacted directly or it would be duly noted herein with any impact fully disclosed.

• Exterior-Only: Neighborhood - Description

The subject is located in a neighborhood referred to as Douglas 234. This area is located less than 2 miles southwest of the main portion of Parker which offers a multitude of shopping, dining and entertainment possibilities. Homes in the area vary in style but tend to be "traditional" in nature, i.e. ranch, 2 story, split level. Foreclosures are noted, but are minimal, and those that sell as foreclosure or short sale typically fall into a different category than those that are typical market transactions with a separate motivation and buyer pool. The foreclosures and short sales overall do not appear to have an impact on the majority of the market in this area.

This area is located approximately 7 miles from Park Meadows Mall and 5 miles from the Denver Tech Center. This area is located approximately 21 miles from Downtown Denver and 18 miles from Cherry Creek, both offering dining, entertainment, shopping and employment centers. Denver International Airport is approximately 33 miles north.

Public transportation is available on any of the major streets in the area. Commercial uses are located along Parker Road and Mainstreet and have no impact on the subject. Schools and Parks are located within the area.

HOA fees cover common area maintenance, pool, playground, recycling, trash.

• Exterior-Only: Sales Comparison Analysis - Summary of Sales Comparison Approach

Sandre S. north

Borrower

City

Property Address

Lender/Client

Catamount Properties 2018 15999 E Summit Fox Ave

Parker

Wedgewood Inc

Supplemental Addendum	File No. 56143
BLLC	
COUNTY Douglas	State CO Zip Code 80134

Search for data began within the immediate subject neighborhood for sales that closed within 90 days, 180 days, 6 months and in some cases data has to be considered as far back as one year if not plentiful. A thorough attempt has been made to provide data that brackets the subject as much as possible. Data was then narrowed to that which is most similar and best represents the subject and would be the subject's competition if the subject is listed for sale. No sales concessions adjustments are made to the comps unless it is proven that sold price was increased to cover these.

Adjustments were made based on market data available for significant differences between the comparables and the subject. When a difference between a comparable and the subject was noted; however, market data did not support an adjustment or there was insufficient data to determine market reaction to such difference, a '0' is indicated in the adjustment line.

Without full interior and exterior viewing of subject, assumption must be made that all sales and listings used herein are similar in finishes to the subject and overall finishes and amenities are similar. Obviously if this proves incorrect, report and valuation will be impacted.

Sales provided bracket the subject by adjusted sales price, lot size, GLA and basement size. Adjustments for these items are made via pairing of data within this report. All are similar in age.

Subject appears to back to construction pipes but could be fully viewed from street. There is also an open space behind. No adjustment for 3 vs 4 vs 5 bedrooms could be proven. Full baths are adjusted at \$5,000 and 1/2 baths at \$2,000 (sale 4 is -\$5,000 for full bath + \$2,000 for lack of 1/2 bath or -\$3.000).

Overall views are assumed similar as no adjustment can be supported without full onsite viewing of subject.

Basement finish adjustments are made via pairing of the two listings coupled with typical market reaction from prior area studies.

Sale 2 is given most weight as it appears to be the same or very similar model to the subject and required no adjustments. Sale 1 is given next most weight for timing of sale. Sale 3 was noted to be a motivated sale and is given less weight with sale 4 offering further overall support.

Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC			
Property Address	15999 E Summit Fox Ave			
City	Parker	County Douglas	State CO	Zip Code 80134
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Subject Front

15999 E Summit Fox Ave

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 3,011 Total Rooms 9 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; View N;Pstrl;Pipes 8538 sf Site Quality Q3 Age 16



Address Verification



Subject Street

Photograph Addendum

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC			
Property Address	15999 E Summit Fox Ave			
City	Parker	County Douglas	State CO	Zip Code 80134
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			





Side Side

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	15999 E Summit Fox Ave				
City	Parker	County Douglas	State CO	Zip Code 80134	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				



Comparable 1

16500 Hitching Post Cir

0.40 miles NE Prox. to Subject Sale Price 750,000 Gross Living Area 2,726 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; View B;Pstrl;CtyStr Site 12153 sf Quality Q3 Age 18



Comparable 2

16364 Prairie Farm Cir

Prox. to Subject 0.40 miles NE Sale Price 750,000 Gross Living Area 3,011 Total Rooms 9 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 8973 sf Quality Q3 Age 18



Comparable 3

11570 S Tumble Brush St

0.49 miles NW Prox. to Subject Sale Price 750,000 Gross Living Area 3,136 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms 5 Total Bathrooms 3.1 Location N;Res; View N;Pstrl;CtyStr Site 6011 sf Quality Q3 Age 17

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC		_	
Property Address	15999 E Summit Fox Ave			
City	Parker	County Douglas	State CO	Zip Code 80134
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Comparable 4

11538 S Tumble Brush St

Prox. to Subject 0.53 miles NW Sale Price 775,000 Gross Living Area 2,802 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms 4 Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location N;Res; View N;Pstrl;CtyStr Site 8015 sf Quality Q3 Age 16



Comparable 5

15597 E Pine Drop Ave

 Prox. to Subject
 0.59 miles NW

 Sale Price
 775,000

 Gross Living Area
 3,002

 Total Rooms
 9

 Total Bedrooms
 4

 Total Bathrooms
 3.1

 Location
 N;Res;

View N;PwrLn;Opn Spc Site 6011 sf

Quality Q3 Age 17




Comparable 6

16602 Hitching Post Cir


Prox. to Subject 0.32 miles NE Sale Price 785,000 Gross Living Area 3,223 Total Rooms 9 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; View B;Pstrl; Site 12545 sf Quality Q3 Age 18

Assessor Sketch of Subject



Plat Map

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	15999 E Summit Fox Ave				
City	Parker	County Douglas	State CO	Zip Code 80134	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				




Location Map

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	15999 E Summit Fox Ave				
City	Parker	County Douglas	State CO	Zip Code 80134	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				



Aerial Map

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	15999 E Summit Fox Ave				
City	Parker	County Douglas	State CO	Zip Code 80134	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				



Assessor Record

12/18/23, 8:18 AM Assessor

Displaying data for the year 2023

15999 E SUMMIT FOX AVE PARKER, CO 80134

Ownership Information JOHN A GUERRA & MICHELLE D GUERRRA 15999 E SUMMIT FOX AVE PARKER, CO 80134





Account #: R0452513
State Parcel #: 2233-293-14-007
Account Type: Residential

Tax District: 3059 Neighborhood-Ext: 119-C

Owner Info

JOHN A GUERRA & MICHELLE D GUERRRA 15999 E SUMMIT FOX AVE PARKER, CO 80134

Public Land Survey System (PLSS) Location

Quarter: SW; Section: 29; Township: 6; Range: 66

Building Count: 1

Building Permit Authority:

Town of Parker

Phone: 303-841-1970

Subdivision

Name: DOUGLAS 234 Reception No: 2004038425

Location Description

LOT 7 BLOCK 2 DOUGLAS 234 FLG 5 0.196 AM/L

Disclaimer

The location description may not be a complete legal description of the property.

https://apps.douglas.co.us/assessor/web/#/print/2023/R0452513

1/4

12/18/23, 8:18 AM Assessor

Valuation Info

		A		
Year	Actual Value	Assessed Value	Tax Rate	Est. Tax Amount
2023	\$789,124	\$52,870	11.1240%	\$5,881
2022	\$561,248	\$39,010	11.1240%	\$4,339
2021	\$561,248	\$40,130	11.2269%	\$4,505
2020	\$532,745	\$38,090	11.3524%	\$4,324
2019	\$532,745	\$38,090	11.4081%	\$4,345
2018	\$480,544	\$34,600	11.6390%	\$4,027
2017	\$480,544	\$34,600	10.9798%	\$3,799
2016	\$425,413	\$33,860	11.1394%	\$3,772
2015	\$425,413	\$33,860	11.2968%	\$3,825
2014	\$363,179	\$28,910	12.6665%	\$3,662
2013	\$363,179	\$28,910	13.1677%	\$3,807
2012	\$357,067	\$28,430	13.3275%	\$3,789
2011	\$357,067	\$28,430	13.3817%	\$3,804
2010	\$384,736	\$30,620	13.6371%	\$4,176
2009	\$384,736	\$30,620	12.4614%	\$3,816
2008	\$339,821	\$27,050	12.2584%	\$3,316
2007	\$78,000	\$6,210	12.1865%	\$757
2006	\$11,273	\$3,270	12.4804%	\$408

Sales History

Reception	Sale Date	Sale	Deed Type	Grantor	Grantee
No.		Price			

https://apps.douglas.co.us/assessor/web/#/print/2023/R0452513

2/4

12/18/23, 8:18 AM Assessor

2008053154 07/25/2008 \$360,000 Special MELODY HOMES INC & JOHN A GUERRA &

Warranty GEORGE MCELROYASSOC. MICHELLE D
Deed Joint INC GUERRRA

Disclaimer

The transaction history may not be a complete history of transfer and ownership records.

Building Details

Building 1

Property Type: Residential Building Use: Single Family Residential

Year Built:2007Building Use %:100%Quality:AverageActual Value:\$633,621% Complete:100%Class Code:1212

Style: 2 Story Class Code Description: SINGLE FAMILY RES -

Stories: 2 IMPS

Bedrooms (above ground): 4 Square Footage: 3,011 sqft

Bathrooms (above ground): 3 Assessor's Building ID: 1
Basement Area: 1,380 sqft Walkout: N
Finished Bsmt. Area: 0 sqft (0%) (0%) Fireplaces: 2
Total Finished Area: 3,011 sqft Porch/Deck Area: 242 sqft

Interior: Drywall Garage Type Garage Area
Exterior: Frame Siding Attached: 687 sqft
Roofing: Composition Shingle Detached: 0 sqft
Heating: Central Air to Air Assessor's Building ID: 1

Additional Features: Extra Central Air Unit, Fireplace - Type Description Area

Gas, Fireplace - Gas, Extra FA Furnace Porch Open Slab 203 sqft
Porch Slab Roof Ceil 39 sqft

Fixtures: K Sink W Htr Laundry, Bath 2, Bath 5, Bath 6,

Laundry Sink

Land Details

Land Type: Residential Class Code: 1112

Class Code Descr.: IMPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND

Acreage: 0.196 acres

LEA Code: 1DD

Land Valuation

Actual Value: \$155,503

Land Attributes: Open Area Local-M, Open Area High Impact-M, Greenbelt Frontage-M

https://apps.douglas.co.us/assessor/web/#/print/2023/R0452513

12/18/23, 8:18 AM Assessor

Tax Authorities

ID	Authority Name	Mills	Tax Rate	Est. Tax Amount
2001	Douglas County Re-1 School District	36.136	3.6136%	\$1,911
4443	Horse Creek Metro District	24.784	2.4784%	\$1,310
0001	Douglas County Government	18.524	1.8524%	\$979
4014	South Metro Fire Rescue Fire Protection District	9.288	0.9288%	\$491
4022	Parker Water & Sanitation District	7.698	0.7698%	\$407
2004	Douglas County Schools - Debt Service	6.700	0.6700%	\$354
4390	Douglas Public Library District	4.008	0.4008%	\$212
3004	Town of Parker	2.602	0.2602%	\$138
4002	Urban Drainage & Flood Control District	0.900	0.0900%	\$48
4340	Cherry Creek Basin Water Quality Authority	0.500	0.0500%	\$26
4392	Urban Drainage & Flood South Platte	0.100	0.0100%	\$5
2002	Douglas County Schools - Cap Reserve	0.000	0.0000%	\$0
2003	Douglas County Schools - Insurance Reserve	0.000	0.0000%	\$0
4077	Douglas County Soil Conservation District	0.000	0.0000%	\$0
Total:	14 Authorities	111.240	11.1240%	\$5,881

Notifications

- 1. The 2023 actual value for this account was updated as a result of the 2023 reassessment. The value is reflective of the appraisal date of June 30, 2022.
- 2. In compliance with Senate Bill 23B-001, the Taxable Actual Value on this property will be reduced by \$55,000, which only applies to certain residential property, and will be reflected on next year's tax bill. This is not associated with any appeal.
- 3. This property has received a value adjustment made by the Douglas County Board of Equalization (CBOE). The 2023 Actual Value on this page reflects that adjustment.

https://apps.douglas.co.us/assessor/web/#/print/2023/R0452513

34885229 File No. 56143

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

 C^2

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Sandra S. Mouth

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

O.F

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and ungrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
А	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr ArmLth	Adjacent to Power Lines Arms Length Sale	Location Sale or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
В	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
С	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
Comm	Commercial Influence Conventional	Location Sale or Financing Concessions
Ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway Evaluation Pote	Garage/Carport
e Estate	Expiration Date Estate Sale	Date of Sale/Time
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
g	Garage	Garage/Carport
ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-in Garage	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Lndfl LtdSght	Landfill Limited Sight	Location View
MR	Mid-rise	Design (Style)
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
0	Other	Design (Style)
ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View Power Lines	View
PwrLn PubTrn		View Location
Relo	Public Transportation Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf sam	Square Feet Square Meters	Area, Site, Basement Area, Site
sqm Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
wo	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Woods	Woods View	View
Wtr	Water View	View
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
		+
		+
	I .	<u> </u>

Sandra S. Mouth

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations (continued)

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear



PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY POLICY DECLARATIONS (CLAIMS-MADE AND REPORTED FORM)

Carrier: Admiral Insurance Company

Policy No.: <u>EO000056476-02</u> Renewal/Rewrite of: <u>EO000056476-01</u>

Named Insured and Mailing Address

VELOX VALUATIONS LLC 10 INNISBROOKE TRL. GREENWOOD, IN 46142

NO FLAT CANCELLATIONS

POLICY PERIOD: From 04/01/2023 to 04/01/2024 At 12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated herein

In consideration of the payment of premium, in reliance upon the statements herein or attached hereto, and subject to all of the terms of this policy, the Company agrees with the **Named Insured** as follows:

Item I: Named Insured's Business:

Real Estate Appraisal Services

Item II: Limits of Liability:

\$1,000,000 Each Claim \$3,000,000 Aggregate

Item III: Deductible: S5,000 Per Claim (including claim expenses)

Item IV: Retroactive Date:

04/01/2020 Applies to limits of \$1,000,000 each occurrence and \$1,000,000 aggregate

05/01/2020 Applies to limits of \$1,000,000 each occurrence and \$3,000,000 aggregate

Item V: Premium: \$10,418.00 Not Subject to Audit

Item VI: Forms attached at inception:

See Schedule of Forms AI 00 18 03 98

This policy is not binding unless countersigned by Admiral Insurance Company or its authorized representative.

Countersigned On: 03/10/2023

At: Mount Laurel, NJ

\$10,418.00 Premium

250.00 Policy Fee

266.70 Surplus Lines Tax


\$10,934.70 Total

By: Authorized Representative

The Insurance Company in which this coverage is placed is authorized, but not licensed, to transact business in Indiana. This policy is not protected by the Indiana Insurance Guaranty Association in the event of insolvency of the Company. This policy and the premium thereon has been properly dedared as a Surplus Lines Risk to the Indiana Department of Insurance and the surplus lines tax paid accordingly. Arlington/Roe & Co., Inc.

DE23180820 Page 1 of 1

License Copy



USPAP ADDENDUM

		UUI AL	DENDOM	File No. 5	56143
orrower	Catamount Properties 2018 L	LC			
roperty Address	15999 E Summit Fox Ave				
ity	Parker	County Do	ıalas	State CO	Zip Code 80134
ender		County Dol	идии		
enuei	Wedgewood Inc				
This report	was prepared under the follow	ving USPAP reporting option:			
1					
Appraisa Appraisa	d Report T	his report was prepared in accordar	nce with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2	(a).	
	d Annual and Danast	his and the same and the same and the		(I-)	
Restricte	ed Appraisal Report T	nis report was prepared in accordar	nce with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2	(D).	
Reasonable	Exposure Time				
	•	the aubiest property at the market w	alua atatad in this report is:		
I wiy opinion o	a reasonable exposure time for	the subject property at the market v	aiue stateu iii tiiis report is.		
Exposure Time	: An estimated amount of time the	subject property would have been listed	on the market, prior to a hypothetical sa	ıle at market value	on the effective date of the
appraisal. It is	a retrospective estimate based on p	ast events, and is presumed to occur p	rior to the effective date of this report. T	he overall concept	of reasonable exposure time
includes not or	alv an adequate sufficient and reas	anable amount of time, but also, adequa	ite, sufficient and reasonable effort. It is	different from mark	keting time, which is the
					-
			er the effective date of this report. An es		
property has be	een based on the actual days of mar	ket of similar competing properties with	in the market area. A reasonable exposure	time for the subject pr	operty developed independently
from the stated m	arketing time is: 60 days. Marketing time i	s noted on page 1 of the appraisal and is comp	letely independent of exposure time.		
Additional C	ertifications				
		haliaf:			
I certify that,	to the best of my knowledge and	Dellet.			
■ I have NO	T performed services, as an apr	oraiser or in any other capacity, rega	rding the property that is the subject	t of this report wif	thin the
	ar period immediately preceding a		3		
linee-yea	ii period iriiriediately preceding a	icceptance of this assignment.			
I □ I HAVE n	erformed services, as an apprais	er or in another canacity, regarding	the property that is the subject of thi	ic report within th	a three year
I — ·				•	e unec-year
period im	imediately preceding acceptance	of this assignment. Those services	are described in the comments belo	W.	
- The statemen	nts of fact contained in this report a	re true and correct.			
	•		mptions and limiting conditions and are	my nersonal imn	artial and unhiased
I		3 are minica only by the reported assu	implions and inflitting conditions and are	iny personai, imp	artial, arid ulibiased
	nalyses, opinions, and conclusions.				
- Unless other	wise indicated, I have no present or	prospective interest in the property that	t is the subject of this report and no pe	ersonal interest wit	n respect to the parties
involved.					
- I have no hia	s with respect to the property that i	s the subject of this report or the partie	s involved with this assignment		
1					
1 , , ,	•	tingent upon developing or reporting p			
- My compens	ation for completing this assignmen	it is not contingent upon the developme	ent or reporting of a predetermined valu	e or direction in va	llue that favors the cause of
the client, the a	amount of the value opinion, the atta	inment of a stipulated result, or the occ	currence of a subsequent event directly i	related to the inten-	ded use of this appraisal.
			ared, in conformity with the Uniform St		* * *
		veloped, and this report has been prep	areu, iii comornity with the ormorn st	allualus di Fidicss	ional Appraisal Fractice that
1	at the time this report was prepared.				
- Unless other	wise indicated, I have made a perso	onal inspection of the property that is th	ne subject of this report.		
- Unless other	wise indicated, no one provided sign	nificant real property appraisal assistan	ce to the person(s) signing this certifica	ation (if there are e	xceptions, the name of each
				alon (ii aloro aro o	Acoptions, the name of each
iliulviduai prov	dung signinicant real property apprai	sal assistance is stated elsewhere in th	is report).		
Additional C	omments				
USPAP Prio	r Services Disclosure: I have	performed no services, as an app	oraiser or in any other capacity, reg	garding the prop	erty that is the subject of
		ediately preceding acceptance of			
uns report w	umi die direc-year period immi	salately preceding acceptance of	uns assignment.		
					l
					l
					l
	A column la column	columnity Control FEA 40 F00			
	esign.alamode.com	m/verify Serial:F5A4CF60			
L					
ADDDAICED	_		CUDEDVICODY ADDDAICE	D. Jamby if was	i
APPRAISER:	Sandre &	Ma Lla	SUPERVISORY APPRAISE	n. (Jilly IT FEC	4uii Gu)
	Mandaga	7 1000			
0	Samue X		0.		
Signature:	,		Signature:		
Name: Sandr	a North		Nama:		
			Data Cianad:		
			a a		
Otate Offillication	#: <u>CR1318547</u>				
or State License	<i>#</i> :		or State License #:		
State: CO			State:		_
	Certification or License: 12/31/2		Expiration Date of Certification or Lice	ense:	
				Subject Drope	
chective Date of i	Appraisal: <u>12/18/2023</u>		Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of S		
			Did Not Exterior-only from	om Street	terior