Huibin Lan

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

| File No. | 34953449 |
|----------|----------|
| Case No. | 56271 |

| | The purpose of this summary appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the market value of the subject provides the | onerty |
|---------------------|---|---------------|
| | | 5111 |
| | Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC Owner of Public Record DELUCCA MICHAEL AND ANGELA County Santa CI | |
| | Legal Description LOT:46 CITY:SAN JOSE TR#:5113 TR 5113 LOT 46 | 114 |
| | Assessor's Parcel # 494-51-055 Tax Year 2023 R.E. Taxes \$ 5,500 | |
| 능 | Neighborhood Name San Jose Map Reference 48-D5 Census Tract 50: | 32.18 |
| Щ | Occupant X Owner Tenant Vacant Special Assessments \$ 0 PUD HOA \$ 0 per year | |
| SUBJECT | Occupant X Owner Tenant Vacant Special Assessments \$ 0 PUD HOA \$ 0 per year Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple Leasehold Other (describe) | per month |
| SU | Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple Leasehold Other (describe) | |
| | Assignment Type Purchase Transaction Refinance Transaction X Other (describe) Servicing(Market Value) | |
| | Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278 | |
| | Is the subject property currently offered for sale or has it been offered for sale in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal? Yes X No | |
| | Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), and date(s). ML# | |
| | | . |
| | I did did not analyze the contract for sale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain the results of the analysis of the contract for sale or why the analysis | sis was not |
| 5 | performed. | |
| Ž | | |
| CONTRACT | Contract Price \$ Date of Contract | |
| 6 | | es No |
| Ö | If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe the items to be paid. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Note: Race and the racial composition of the neighborhood are not appraisal factors. | |
| | Neighborhood Characteristics One-Unit Housing Trends One-Unit Housing Present Land | Use % |
| | Location Urban X Suburban Rural Property Values X Increasing Stable Declining PRICE AGE One-Unit | 95 % |
| 6 | Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75% Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortage X In Balance OverSupply \$ (000) (yrs) 2-4 Unit | 2 % |
| Q | Growth Rapid X Stable Slow Marketing Time X Under 3 mths 3-6 mths Over6mths 700 Low 1 Multi-Family | 2 % |
| 立 | Neighborhood Boundaries The north boundary is the Lewis Rd The East boundary is the Hwy101.; The south 1,620 High 83 Commercia | |
| 80 | boundary is the Branham Ln and the West boundary is the Monterey Rd. 1,145 Pred. 52 Other | % |
| NEIGHBORHOOD | Neighborhood Description The subject property is located in a well established relative new neighborhood in the City of San Jose; The neighborhood is | |
| | maintained and is close to schools, parks, shopping centers and other community services. The property fits into the general quality and condition in the | |
| Z | The subject's neighborhood is located within 5 -10 miles from employment centers with easy access to Hwy101 | |
| | Market Conditions (including support for the above conclusions) The neighborhood trend is increasing overall for the last 12 months BUT no longer increa | se for the |
| | most recent 6 months with moderate sales rates. | 20 101 1110 |
| | moderosone o montho with moderate sales rates. | |
| | Dimensions 60 X 100 Area 6000 sf Shape Rectangular View N;Res | |
| | Specific Zoning Classification R1 Zoning Description Single Family Residence | , |
| | Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use) No Zoning Illegal (describe) | |
| | Is the highest and best use of subject property as improved (or as proposed per plans and specifications) the present use? X Yes No If No, describe. See | |
| | Comment | , |
| | Utilities Public Other (describe) Public Other (describe) Off-site ImprovementsType Public | Private |
| Ш | | Filvate |
| SIT | Gas X Sanitary Sewer X Alley None | |
| | FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X No FEMA Flood Zone D FEMA Map # 060349-0262H FEMA Map Date 05/18/2 | |
| | Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typical for the market area? X Yes No If No, describe. | 003 |
| | Are there any adverse site conditions or external factors (easements, encroachments, environmental conditions, land uses, etc.)? X Yes No If Yes, describ | |
| | The subject is NOT located in a special flood hazardous area. The subject has the NOISE adverse site factor due to the nearby Busy Rd (Please see the attached satellite) | |
| | are some other comparables with similar adverse factor(see sales grid), the housing price will be impacted and the location adjustment will be applied accordingly in the sales grid). | |
| | marketability issue noticed(i.e. The marketability signalDOM for the comparables with/without this factor are similar). | ilu.ilo ariy |
| | Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property Appraisal Files X MLS X Assessment and Tax Records Prior Inspection X Property Ow | nor |
| | X Other (describe) Drive by Exterior Inspection Data Source(s) for Gross Living Area RealQuest | 1161 |
| | | 000 |
| | | ige |
| | | |
| | # of Stories 1 Full Basement Finished Radiant Woodstove(s) # 0 X Driveway # o | |
| | Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Partial Basement Finished Other Patio/Deck Concre Driveway Surface C | |
| | X Existing Proposed UnderConst Exterior Walls Woodsidings/Good Fuel Gas X Porch Concrete X Garage # o | |
| | Design (Style) Ranch Roof Surface Tile/Good Central Air Conditioning Pool None Carport #o | _ |
| | Year Built 1975 Gutters & Downspouts Gal. Alum/Gd Individual X Fence Wood X Attached | Detached |
| | Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Window Type Sliding/Good X Other None Other None Built-in | |
| က | Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Dishwasher X Disposal X Microwave X Washer/Dryer Other (describe) | |
| IMPROVEMENTS | Finished area above grade contains: 7 Rooms 4 Bedrooms 2.0 Bath(s) 1,220 Square Feet of Gross Living Area Ab | ove Grade |
| Ξ | Additional features (special energy efficient items, etc.) Dual pane windows. | |
| 5 | | |
| 8 | Describe the condition of the property and data source(s) (including apparent needed repairs, deterioration, renovations, remodeling, etc.). C4;The subject is in | |
| P | average condition The data source is from the appraiser outside inspection and the PUBLIC DATA (Realquest, MLS Listing and Zillov | v.com) |
| \leq | | |
| | Economic Life for the subject is about 40 years. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions that affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property? Yes X No | |
| | If Yes, describe | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Does the property generally conform to the neighborhood (functional utility, style, condition, use, construction, etc.)? X Yes No If No, describe | |
| | | |

SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271 **Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report**

| | ilparable properties curr | entry offered for sale in t | ne subject neighbo | orhood ranging in price | from \$ 799,0 | 000 to\$ 1,398 | 3,000 . |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| There are 190 con | pparable sales in the sul | pject neighborhood withi | in the past twelve r | nonths ranging in sale | price from \$ 7 | 00,000 to \$ 1 | ,620,000 . |
| FEATURE | SUBJECT | COMPARABLE | SALE#1 | COMPARABLE | SALE#2 | COMPARABLE SA | ALE # 3 |
| Address 818 Sylv | andale Avenue | 4303 Sente | | 4255 Sen | er Road | 3952 Ezie | |
| • | se, CA 95111 | San Jose, C | | San Jose, | | San Jose, C | |
| | 30, 0/(30111 | 0.60 mil | | 0.55 m | | 0.81 mile | |
| Proximity to Subject | Φ. | | | | | | |
| Sale Price | \$ | \$ | 970,000 | \$ | 915,000 | \$ | 965,000 |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ 0.00 sq. ft. | | q. ft. | | sq. ft. | | q. ft. |
| Data Source(s) | | ML# ML819316 | 82;DOM 31 | ML# ML8194 ² | 1406;DOM 5 | ML# ML819456 | 12;DOM 13 |
| Verification Source(s) | | Realquest Doc | # 25520064 | Realquest Do | # 25550549 | Realquest Doc# | [‡] 25576337 |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DESCRIPTION | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | | +(-) \$ Adjustment |
| Sale or Financing | BEGOTAL TION | ArmLth | T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T | ArmLth | T y w rajadamoni | ArmLth | ·() φ / tajaotinont |
| | | | | | | | |
| Concessions | | Conv;0 | | Conv;0 | _ | Conv;0 | _ |
| Date of Sale/Time | | s08/23;c07/23 | 0 | 0.0720,000720 | C | 0:12/20/0:1/20 | 0 |
| Location | A;Res;BsyRd | A;Res;BsyRd/Comm. | . +20,000 | A;Res;BsyRd/Comn | n. +20,000 | | 0 |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple | Fee Simple | Fee Simple | | Fee Simple | | Fee Simple | |
| Site | 6000 sf | 5050 sf | +19,000 | 5640 sf | C | 4975 sf | +20,500 |
| View | N;Res; | N;Res; | | N;Res; | | N;Res; | |
| Design (Style) | DT1;Ranch | DT1;Ranch | | DT1;Ranch | | DT1;Ranch | |
| | Q4 | Q4 | | Q4 | | Q4 | |
| Quality of Construction | | | | | + | | |
| Actual Age | 49 | 64 | 0 | <u> </u> | C | • • | 0 |
| Condition | C4 | C3 | -32,000 | | -32,000 | | -32,000 |
| Above Grade | Total Bdrms. Baths | Total Bdrms. Baths | | Total Bdrms. Baths | +6,000 | Total Bdrms. Baths | |
| Room Count | 7 4 2.0 | 7 4 2.0 | | 6 3 2.0 | | 7 4 2.0 | |
| Gross Living Area | 1,220 sq. ft | 1,308 sq. ft. | -31,500 | 1,123 sq. f | +35,000 | 1,308 sq. ft. | -31,500 |
| Basement & Finished | 0sf | 0sf | 3.,550 | 0sf | 33,300 | 0sf | 21,000 |
| | U3i | 031 | | 031 | | 031 | |
| Rooms Below Grade | | | | | + | | |
| Functional Utility | Average | Average | | Average | 1 | Average | |
| Heating/Cooling | FWA/None | FWA/None | | FWA/None | | FWA/None | |
| Energy Efficient Items | Dual Pane Window | Dual Pane Window | | Dual Pane Window | , | Dual Pane Window | |
| Garage/Carport | 2ga2dw | 2ga2dw | | 2ga2dw | | 2ga2dw | |
| Porch/Patio/Deck | Porch/Concrete | Porch/Concrete | | Porch/Concrete | | Porch/Concrete | |
| Fireplaces | 1 Fireplace | 1 Fireplace | | 1 Fireplace | | 1 Fireplace | |
| Pool | None | None | | None | | None | |
| | | | | | + | | |
| Listing Price \$ | None | 975,000 | 0 | <u> </u> | C | | 0 |
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | + X - | \$ -24,500 | X + - | \$ 29,000 | + X - | \$ -43,000 |
| Adjusted Sale Price | | Net Adj: -3% | | Net Adj: 3% | | Net Adj: -4% | |
| of Comparables | | Gross Adj : 11% | \$ 945,500 | Gross Adj: 10% | \$ 944,000 | Gross Adj: 9% | \$ 922,000 |
| I X did did not re | search the sale or trans | fer history of the subject | t property and com | | | | |
| | | , | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | f 41 41 | r to the offestive de | to of this appraisal | |
| My recearch X did | did not reveal any price | ar calae ar tranctare at th | | | | | |
| My research X did | did not reveal any pric | or sales or transfers of th | ie subject property | for the three years price | r to the ellective da | le ui lilis appraisai. | |
| Data source(s) RealQu | est, MLS. | | | , . | | | |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did | est, MLS. did not reveal any pric | or sales or transfers of th | | , . | | | |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale | or sales or transfers of the s grid comp4 | ne comparable sale | s for the year prior to the | ne date of sale of the | e comparable sale. | |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale | or sales or transfers of the s grid comp4 | ne comparable sale | s for the year prior to the | ne date of sale of the | e comparable sale. | page 3). |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of | or sales or transfers of the s grid comp4 | ne comparable sale | s for the year prior to the | ne date of sale of the | e comparable sale. additional prior sales on | page 3). BLE SALE # 3 |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU | or sales or transfers of the s grid comp4 the prior sale or transfer | ne comparable sale | s for the year prior to the | ne date of sale of the | e comparable sale. additional prior sales on | |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of 2/2 | or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 the prior sale or transfer BJECT 23/2023 | ne comparable sale | s for the year prior to the | ne date of sale of the | e comparable sale. additional prior sales on | |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er | or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 the prior sale or transfer BJECT 23/2023 | r history of the subj | ect property and comp | ne date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # | e comparable sale. additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI | BLE SALE #3 |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU er 05/2 er DOC# | or sales or transfers of the grid comp4 the prior sale or transfer BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 | e comparable sale r history of the subj COMPARABLE S | ect property and comp SALE # 1 COI | ne date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest | additional prior sales on COMPARAI | BLE SALE #3 |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transf Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU er 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 | or sales or transfers of the significant comp4 the prior sale or transfer BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 01/2023 | r history of the subj COMPARABLE S Realques | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite for the property and composite for th | ne date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 | additional prior sales on COMPARAI | quest 1/2023 |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source (s) Analysis of prior sale or tr | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfer BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa | r history of the subj COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | ne date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale o | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI | quest 1/2023 |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12 | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous set of the sub 2 months. | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfer BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa | r history of the subj COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | ne date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale o | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI | quest 1/2023 |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source (s) Analysis of prior sale or tr | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous set of the sub 2 months. | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfer BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa | r history of the subj COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | ne date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale o | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI | quest 1/2023 |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12 | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous set of the sub 2 months. | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfer BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa | r history of the subj COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | ne date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale o | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI | quest 1/2023 |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12 | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous set of the sub 2 months. | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfer BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa | r history of the subj COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | ne date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale o | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI | quest 1/2023 |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12 | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous set of the sub 2 months. | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfer BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa | r history of the subj COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | ne date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale o | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI | quest 1/2023 |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;E | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU er 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous coc#25257070 | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notice | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the | additional prior sales on 20 COMPARAI Real 02/0 f the comparables (eault at the date | quest 1/2023 except |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sounce(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12:3/10/2022; price:\$0; E Summary of Sales Compa | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 225257070 arison Approach Al | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 01/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subj | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notice | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI | quest 1/2023 except |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;E | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 2 months. The previous 4 months arison Approach Al from subject's market. | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfer BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subj | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notice | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | ne date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition of definition in the sale of the sal | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/02 f the comparables (a ault at the date age, and similar qua | quest 1/2023 except |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;D | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous#25257070 arison Approach Alfrom subject's marlle as follows: 1). Sit | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 01/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subj I Comps are closed set area. e: \$20/SF(For lot size | r history of the subj COMPARABLE S Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | ne date of sale of the arable sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the notice of definition and are subject's lot sale of the subject's lot sal | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI 02/02 f the comparables (a ault at the date age, and similar quantize); 2). Gross living | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;E | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subj I Comps are closed ket area. e: \$20/SF(For lot siz 20 sqft); 3). Bedroor | r history of the subject COMPARABLE S Realquee 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notice sales within last ze difference lam: \$6000/Bedro | s for the year prior to the state of the database, ce of default. Another state of the state of the state of the state of the database, ce of default. Another state of the st | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and a subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/02 ff the comparables (a ault at the date age, and similar quadize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sounce(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;C | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU er 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 2 months. The previous 4 months arison Approach Alfrom subject's marl le as follows: 1). Sit ference more than 2 50 years); 6). Fire pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU en Control of SU en Control of SU en Control | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 01/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subj I Comps are closed ket area. e: \$20/SF(For lot siz 20 sqft); 3). Bedroor place: \$3,000/Firepli | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notice sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car stor. | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite for the description of the section of th | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition design and a session an | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/0 f the comparables (a ault at the date age, and similar qua size); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% N | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12: 3/10/2022;price:\$0;E Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date differen | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 225257070 arison Approach Alfrom subject's marle as follows: 1). Sitt ference more than 250 years); 6). Fire price more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 01/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subject sale of the subject sale of the subject sale sale sale sale sale sale sale sale | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notice sales within las ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car storias all the comps | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and a subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor).The time adjuths) according | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/0² f the comparables (a ault at the date age, and similar quancize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sounce(s) Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;C | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 225257070 arison Approach Alfrom subject's marle as follows: 1). Sitt ference more than 250 years); 6). Fire price more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more than 6 more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: 10. Sitt ference more stale and some subject was a follows: | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 01/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subject sale of the subject sale of the subject sale sale sale sale sale sale sale sale | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notice sales within las ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car storias all the comps | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and a subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor).The time adjuths) according | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/0² f the comparables (a ault at the date age, and similar quancize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12: 3/10/2022;price:\$0;E Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date differen | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of O5/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 225257070 arison Approach Alfrom subject's marle as follows: 1). Site ference more than 250 years); 6). Fire poer benefit/Adverse | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 01/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subject sale of the subject sale of the subject sale sale sale sale sale sale sale sale | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notice sales within las ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car storias all the comps | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and a subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor).The time adjuths) according | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/0² f the comparables (a ault at the date age, and similar quancize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;E Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are made \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date difference 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is | est, MLS. did not reveal any price est, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU er 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous#25257070 arison Approach Al from subject's marl le as follows: 1). Sit ference more than 2 50 years); 6). Fire price more than 6 er benefit/Adverse typical to the area. | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subj I Comps are closed ket area. e: \$20/SF(For lot size) 20 sqft); 3). Bedroor place: \$3,000/Fireple onths(NOT applied a Factor; The above | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notice sales within las ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car storias all the comps | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and a subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor).The time adjuths) according | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/0² f the comparables (a ault at the date age, and similar quancize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;C | did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of 2/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous#25257070 arison Approach Alfrom subject's marlle as follows: 1). Sit ference more than 2 for years); 6). Fire proce more than 6 more prices of the process of | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 01/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subj I Comps are closed ket area. e: \$20/SF(For lot size) 20 sqft); 3). Bedroor blace: \$3,000/Fireple onths(NOT applied at Factor; The above | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car storas all the comps adjustment are | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and a subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor).The time adjuths) according analysis of the | Real 02/02 f the comparables (ault at the date age, and similar quasize); 2). Gross living; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, comparables in the | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;C Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date differen 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales Indicated Value by: Sales | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subj I Comps are closed set area. e: \$20/SF(For lot size) 20 sqft); 3). Bedroor blace: \$3,000/Fireple onths(NOT applied affactor; The above 927,000 927,000 | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car stora as all the composadjustment are | s for the year prior to the state of default. Another state of default | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and a subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor).The time adjuths) according analysis of the | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/02 f the comparables (cault at the date age, and similar qual size); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, comparables in the | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age fonthly for the subject's |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;E Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date differen 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sales of | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of 2/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 2 months. The previous 42 months. The previous 42 months arison Approach 50 years); 6). Fire previous 50 years); 6). Fire previous 60 years); 6). | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 11/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subj I Comps are closed ket area. e: \$20/SF(For lot size) 20 sqft); 3). Bedroor blace: \$3,000/Firepla onths(NOT applied at Factor; The above 927,000 927,000 queproach which considers | Realque: 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car stora as all the comps adjustment are | s for the year prior to the state of the database, ce of default. Another state of the state of the state of the state of the database, ce of default. Another state of the st | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition of defini | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/02 f the comparables (cault at the date age, and similar quantize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, comparables in the approach (if developed) \$ rhood. Cost approach | area: ar(For age flonthly for the subject's |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12: 3/10/2022;price:\$0;E Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date differen 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not | did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU or 05/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 25257070 erison Approach Alfrom subject's marle as follows: 1). Sitt ference more than 250 years); 6). Fire proceed the proceeding of the subject | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 01/2023 ject property and comparation on the subject scale of the subject scale in the scale in th | Realque: 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car storas all the comps adjustment are Cost Approach (if diders sales of similary resident and | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and sales (report sale of definition). The time adjutths) according analysis of the subject's neighbour in the subject's neighbour | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/07 f the comparables (ault at the date age, and similar quancize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% M to 1004MC Data , comparables in the proach (if developed) \$ rhood. Cost approach eighborhood are purch | area: ar(For age flonthly for the subject's |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12: 3/10/2022;price:\$0;E Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date differen 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The co | did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of O5/2 er O5/2 er DOC# urce(s) O2/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 225257070 er DOC#25257070 er DOC#252 | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 01/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subject sale of the subject area. e: \$20/SF(For lot size) 20 sqft); 3). Bedroor place: \$3,000/Fireplanths(NOT applied at Factor; The above 927,000 927,000 927,000 gapproach which considered is used as a prime is report are password | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car stora as all the comps adjustment are Cost Approach (if delers sales of similary resident and | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition of defini | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/02 f the comparables (cault at the date age, and similar quancize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, comparables in the approach (if developed) \$1004MC Data are purchases. | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age flonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data So Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12: 3/10/2022;price:\$0;E Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date differen 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not | did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of O5/2 er O5/2 er DOC# urce(s) O2/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 225257070 er DOC#25257070 er DOC#252 | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers BJECT 23/2023 \$0 25479066 01/2023 ject property and compa ous sale of the subject sale of the su | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car stora as all the comps adjustment are Cost Approach (if delers sales of similary resident and | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition of defini | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/02 f the comparables (cault at the date age, and similar quancize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, comparables in the approach (if developed) \$1004MC Data are purchases. | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age flonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12: 3/10/2022;price:\$0;E Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date differen 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The co | did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of O5/2 er O5/2 er DOC# urce(s) O2/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 25257070 er DOC#25257070 er DOC#2525 | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the significant companies of the subject of the subject of the subject of the significant companies is report are password of to completion per plans of the significant companies of the | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car stora as all the comps adjustment are Cost Approach (if diders sales of similarly resident and protected. They ns and specificatio | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and sales (report MPARABLE SALE # Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and sales (report sale of definition) illar design and and sales subject's lot sales (report sales). The time adjutts according the sales of the s | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/02 f the comparables (cault at the date age, and similar quantize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, comparables in the approach (if developed) \$7000. Cost approach eighborhood are purchanes. that the improvements here | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age flonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;C Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are made \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date difference 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The completed, subject to | did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of 2/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 25257070 arison Approach Alford subject's marle as follows: 1). Sit ference more than 2 for benefit/Adverse typical to the area. Comparison Approach \$ comparison | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the significant companies report and companies report are password alterations on the basis of the subject to completion per plan alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password companies report are password alterations on the basis of the significant companies report are password compani | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car storias all the composadjustment are Cost Approach (if diders sales of similary resident and I protected. They are and specification of a hypothetical co | s for the year prior to the sect property and composite an | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and the subject's lot sign and an esubject's lot sign and sign | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/02 f the comparables (cault at the date age, and similar quanciate); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Notes to 1004MC Data, comparables in the comparables in the comparables in the captain of the comparables in the captain of the comparables in the captain of the cap | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age flonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for ave been subjecttothe |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;C Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date differen 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The c This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspect | did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of 2/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 225257070 arison Approach Alford subject's marle as follows: 1). Site ference more than 250 years); 6). Fire pose more than 6 more than 6 more than 6 more than 6 more pose fit/Adverse typical to the area. Comparison Approach \$ c | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the significant compassion of the subject property and compassion of the subject property and compassion of the subject significant compassion of the subject significant compassion of the significant compassion of t | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car stora as all the composadjustment are Cost Approach (if defense sales of similary resident and la protected. They are and specification of a hypothetical cothe condition or de | s for the year prior to the state of the database, ce of default. Another state of the state of the state of the database, ce of default. Another of the state of the database, ce of default. Another of the state o | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition of analysis of the subject's neighbout in the subject | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/02 f the comparables (cault at the date age, and similar quanciate); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Notes to 1004MC Data, comparables in the comparables in the comparables in the captain of the comparables in the captain of the comparables in the captain of the cap | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age flonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for ave been subjecttothe |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1: :3/10/2022;price:\$0;E Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date differen 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by Sales Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The of This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspect intended use for the | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of 2/2 er DOC# urce(s) 02/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 22 months. The previous 25257070 arison Approach Alfrom subject's markle as follows: 1). Sit ference more than 250 years); 6). Fire proceed the proceeding of the subject of the area. Comparison Approach \$ 10 cmparison Approach \$ 10 | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the significant specific s | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car stora as all the composadjustment are Cost Approach (if determinent and protected. They are and specification of a hypothetical cotthe condition or design for use in mor | s for the year prior to the state of the database, ce of default. Another set 6 months of sime of the properties within 6 months of the com; 4). Bathroom: age: \$10,000/car.8 as sold within 6 months obtained by paired by paired by paired by the company of the properties within 8 almost all the homes are true and exactly ans on the basis of a hypotherical properties within 8 almost all the homes are true and exactly and the state of a hypotherical properties within 8 almost all the homes are true and exactly and the the repairs ficiency does not require tagage transaction* | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition and the subject's lot s \$8000/Bathroor).The time adjuths) according analysis of the subject's neighbour in | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/01 fthe comparables (fault at the date age, and similar qual size); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, comparables in the approach (if developed) \$7000. Cost approach eighborhood are purchases. That the improvements here completed, or it: **This Appraisal | lity, Jarea: ar(For age Ionthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for ave been subjecttothe Report is |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sa | est, MLS. did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of 2/C er DOC# urce(s) 02/C ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 4 months arison Approach 5 more than 6 more than | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the significant comparison of the subject property and comparison of the subject property and comparison of the subject significant comparison of the signi | Realquee 02/01/202 arable sales Within las sales within l | s for the year prior to the dect property and composal E # 1 | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of definition of alteration or reparate S20 Income Apubject's neighbou in the subject's neighbou in the subject' | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/02 f the comparables (cault at the date age, and similar quantize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Yestment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, comparables in the approach (if developed) \$7000. Cost approach approach approach are purchases. That the improvements here approach are completed, or in: **This Appraisal | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age flonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for ave been subjecttothe Report is |
| Data source(s) RealQu My research X did Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 12: 3/10/2022;price:\$0;E Summary of Sales Compa condition and appeal Adjustments are mad \$360/SF(For GLA dif difference more than contract date difference 9).Location:\$20000/p neighborhood and is Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The of This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspect intended use for the Based on a visual inspec conditions, and apprais | did not reveal any pricest, MLS see sale esearch and analysis of SU of O5/2 er O5/2 er DOC# urce(s) O2/0 ansfer history of the sub 2 months. The previous 25257070 er DOC#25257070 er DOC#2525 | or sales or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the signid comp4 the prior sale or transfers of the significant comparison of the subject property and comparison of the subject property and comparison of the subject significant comparison of the signi | Realques 02/01/202 arable sales Sea ject was a notion sales within last ze difference la m: \$6000/Bedro ace;7) Car stora as all the composadjustment are Cost Approach (if decressales of similary resident and la protected. They ns and specification of a hypothetical county to the condition or decrets for use in more perty from at lease reter value, as defired. | s for the year prior to the dect property and composal E # 1 | Realquest 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the sales (report //////////////////////////////////// | additional prior sales on 2 COMPARAI Real 02/02 f the comparables (cault at the date age, and similar quancize); 2). Gross living m; 5). Age: \$700/Ye stment uses 0.3% Note 1004MC Data, comparables in the comparables in the age of this report is the completed, or in: **This Appraisal ement of assumptions ect of this report is | quest 1/2023 except lity, g area: ar(For age flonthly for the subject's is supportive. ased for ave been subjecttothe Report is |

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

| Comparab | le selection:All the comps are arm length transactions. | - | |
|--|--|--|---|
| R1=Single | family Residence: the minimum lot size for single family is 5000 sqft or abo | ove, The Maximum Residential Density = 9 | units per |
| acres.But f | for much newer single family the lot size will be smaller according to the der | nisty allowed(Alameda county zoning ordi | ance: |
| http://librar | y.municode.com/HTML/16425/level2/TIT17ZO_CH17.08DI.html#TIT17ZO_ | _CH17.08DI_17.08.060BUSI) | |
| This appra | isal was ordered in compliance with Appraisal Independence "AIR" and Mo | ortgage Letter 2009-28. | |
| | rsonal property is included in this transaction. | | |
| | e GLA difference of the comp2,comp3,comp4 was beyond the usual guide | | or and/or |
| similar sch | ools rating area and similar to the subject in all the features, thus it is still a | good comparable | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| T1 111 | · " | | , |
| | ion adjustment for comp2,comp3,comp5 and comp1 are because These C | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , |
| | unter top and newer cabinet),Bathrooms(newer Granite/corian counter top) : s less upgraded kitchen(older laminate/tile counter top,older cabinet),bathro | | write trie |
| | der laminate/tile/carpet flooring).The good condition houses usually with hig | | Nas |
| | y the pairing analysis of the comparables(comp2 vs comp4). | frier sales price, the condition adjustment | was |
| | , 110 panning arran, 500 or 110 oompanazioo(5000p2 10 oomp 1). | | |
| Due to the | e difference of GLA,condition ,style and location, the Net adjsutment of co | omp2 and the pre-adjusted comparable p | rice range is |
| | e usual guideline. | | |
| | | | |
| The age ,lo | ot size ,GLA,location adjustments were obtained by the pair analysis of the | comparables in the subject's neighborhoo | d. Note that |
| the age dif | ference is within 35 years and the lot size difference within 10% of the subj | ject's lot size is seen as brackted as no ac | djusment |
| are needed | d in this case. | | |
| 1 | | | |
| | nps are in the same or competing neighborhood (As the housing price are in | | |
| | es and the subject have the same or similar school ratings though across t | | |
| | dition and location. Most emphasis are addressed in the two nearest solo | d comp5 and comp6 (30% for comp5 and | d comp6 |
| respective | y, 10% each for the remained sold comp). | | |
| Note that t | he subject's final market value is lower than the predeminant value of the n | noighborhood. This is because the subject | t has a |
| | he subject's final market value is lower than the predominant value of the n BLA, less upgraded condition and in an decline market , and the market is n | | |
| | (i.e. the DOM of housing value higher than the predominant value is similar | | |
| value). | (i.e. the DOM of flousing value higher than the predominant value is similar | to the housing value lower than the preud | Jillilalit |
| value). | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | COST APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by | y Fannie Mae.) | |
| | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. | | |
| Support for the | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. he opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating s | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh | |
| Support for to cost refere | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating some and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method | site value) Cost estimates based on Marshd. The higher Land to improvement ratio is | typical for |
| Support for to cost refere the area do | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating some and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method ue to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No | site value) Cost estimates based on Marshd. The higher Land to improvement ratio is | typical for |
| Support for the cost reference the area do over total v | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method ue to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. | site value) Cost estimates based on Marshd. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high r | typical for atio of site |
| Support for the cost reference the area do over total vertical statements. | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI | site value) Cost estimates based on Marshd. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high ratio ITE VALUE | typical for atio of site 500,000 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total vertoxial vert | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI's tata Marshall & swift cost reference | site value) Cost estimates based on Marshd. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high ratio. STE VALUE =\$ 1,220 Sq. Ft. @\$ 360.00 =\$ | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total was ESTIMATED Source of co-Quality rating | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI'st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt | site value) Cost estimates based on Marshd. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high ratio ITE VALUE | typical for atio of site 500,000 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total was ESTIMATED Source of co Quality rating to Comments or the cost of the c | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt in Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is o any marketability issue due to this high ratio ITE VALUE =\$ 1,220 Sq. Ft. @\$ 360.00 =\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total was ESTIMATED Source of co-Quality rating to Comments of Physical do | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI'st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is o any marketability issue due to this high re ITE VALUE = \$ 1,220 | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total value and source of control of the comments of the comment | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Perpeciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport Dased on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is o any marketability issue due to this high re ITE VALUE = \$ 1,220 | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total vertical source of congular rating to Comments of Physical doestimates by typical cost | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Perpeciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport Dased on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost Marshall & Swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost Marshall & Swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost Marshall & Swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost Marshall & Swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost Marshall & Swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost Marshall & Swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost Marshall & Swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost Marshall & Swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost Marshall & Cost Marshall & Cost Reference and Observed Total Estimate Obse | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is b any marketability issue due to this high results TE VALUE 1,220 Sq. Ft. @\$ 360.00 =\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 400 Sq. Ft. @\$ 100.00 =\$ of Cost-new =\$ | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total was a support for the area | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling From cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt In Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Repreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of the Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less cent ratio is typical for the area due to high locational Depreciation and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life Depreciated Cost | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high ratio and marketability issue due to thi | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total was ESTIMATED Source of congular rating to Comments of Physical does timates by typical cossimprovement armethod is | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost refere | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high restriction of the higher land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high restriction of the higher land to improve the higher land to any marketability issue due to this high restriction of the higher land to the higher land to any marketability issue due to this high restriction of the higher land to the higher land to any marketability issue due to this high restriction of the higher land to improve ment ratio is any marketability issue due to this high restriction of the higher land to improve ment ratio is any marketability issue due to this high restriction of the higher land to improve ment ratio is any marketability issue due to this high restriction of the higher land to improve ment ratio is any marketability issue due to this high restriction of the higher land to the higher | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total version of comments of the | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference The st data Mar | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high responsible to the sign of the second | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total version of comments of the | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference and observed The st data Marshall & swift cost reference The st data Mar | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high responsible to the sign of the second | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total vestinates ove | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling Tom cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt In Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Repreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of the Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less ent ratio is typical for the area due to high locational Depreciation and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life Depreciated Cost used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional "As-is" Value of the cord maintenance noted. Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Indicated Value) INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by the contraction of the contraction | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high r. ITE VALUE = \$ 1,220 | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost reference the area do over total version over tot | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling From cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt In Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Repreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of the Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less cent ratio is typical for the area due to high locational Depreciation and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life Depreciated Cost used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional "As-is" Value of since or major deferred maintenance noted. Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Indicated Value on the lack of | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high responsible to the sign of the second | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost reference the area do over total version over tot | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling Tom cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt In Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Repreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of the Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less ent ratio is typical for the area due to high locational Depreciation and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life Depreciated Cost used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional "As-is" Value of the cord maintenance noted. Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Indicated Value) INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by the contraction of the contraction | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high r. ITE VALUE = \$ 1,220 | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost reference the area do over total version over tot | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling Tom cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Repreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport Doased on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of the Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less ent ratio is typical for the area due to high locational Depreciation and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life Depreciated Cost used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional "As-is" Value of the correct or major deferred maintenance noted. Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Indicated Value on the control of t | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high representation of the provided series of the provided ser | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total vestions of coordinates of the comments of | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Town cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current of the subject o | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high restriction of the provided state of the provided | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total value in the second over the se | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling To cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Besmt on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Repreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of the Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life Depreciation on the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life Depreciated Cost used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional "As-is" Value of standard to the cord major deferred maintenance noted. Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Indicated Value on the cord major deferred maintenance noted. Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Indicated Value on the cord major deferred maintenance noted. Representation of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Years Indicated Value on the cord major deferred maintenance noted. | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is o any marketability issue due to this high re to any marketability issue due to t | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total value in the second over the se | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI'st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Besmt of Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) especiation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of the Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less ent ratio is typical for the area due to high locational Depreciation and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life Depreciated Cost used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional "As-is" Value of the correct of the maintenance noted. Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Indicated Value of the control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Years Indicated Value on the perfounding support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDs (if apper/builder in control of the HOA and the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the coloning information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the coloning information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is o any marketability issue due to this high re to any marketability issue due to t | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost reference the area do over total value in the cost reference the area do over total value in the cost reference in the cost in th | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high r. ITE VALUE = \$ 1,220 | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost reference the area do over total value in the cost reference the area do over total value in the cost reference in the cost in th | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high r. ITE VALUE = \$ 1,220 | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total vestion of the cost referee the area do over total vestion of the cost referee the area do over total vestion of the cost improvements of the cost improvements of the cost improvement of the cos | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current and cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Repreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport assed on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of the Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life Depreciation and the lack of established buildable sites. The age/life Depreciated Cost used to calculate physical depreciation. No functional "As-is" Value of the correct or major deferred maintenance noted. Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Indicated Value of the correct of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type of lollowing information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the of Project Total number of units Total number of units so or of units rented Total number of units for sale and calculated to the potential pata source and observed to a public pata source and observed to calculate by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No If Yes, No If Yes, No Unit Yes, N | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high r. ITE VALUE = \$ 1,220 | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total value in the second over the sec | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling Bsmt OPINION OF SI Bsmt OPINION OF SI Bsmt OPINION OF SI OPINION OF SI | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high responsible to any marketability issue due to this high responsible to any marketability issue due to this high responsible to the provided responsible to t | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total value in the second over the sec | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling Bsmt OPINION OF SI Bsmt OPINION OF SI Bsmt OPINION OF SI OPINION OF SI | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high restriction of the provided state of the provided | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost referee the area do over total value in the second over the sec | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating since and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI st data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling Bsmt OPINION OF SI Bsmt OPINION OF SI Bsmt OPINION OF SI OPINION OF SI | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high responsible to any marketability issue due to this high responsible to any marketability issue due to this high responsible to the provided responsible to t | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost reference the area do over total value and the source of control of the cost reference over total value and the source of control of the source of the so | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating ance and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI that a Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling Bsmt Dwelling | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high r. ITE VALUE = \$ 1,220 | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost reference the area do over total value and the source of control of the cost reference over total value and the source of control of the source of the so | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating ance and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI that a Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling Bsmt Dwelling | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high responsible to any marketability issue due to this high responsible to any marketability issue due to this high responsible to the provided responsible to t | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |
| Support for the cost reference the area do over total value and the source of control of the cost reference over total value and the source of control of the source of the so | quate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. The opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating ance and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method use to high locational demand and the lack of established buildable sites. No value as the demand in the neighborhood is still high. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SI that a Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling Bsmt Dwelling | site value) Cost estimates based on Marsh d. The higher Land to improvement ratio is any marketability issue due to this high r. ITE VALUE = \$ 1,220 | typical for atio of site 500,000 439,200 0 40,000 479,200 (251,580) 227,620 200,000 |

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 34953449
Case No. 56271

| | The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lende | | | • | nds an | d conditions p | oreva | lent in the si | ubjec | · |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|---|-----------|--|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|--|
| | neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all app | • | | | | | | | | ~= |
| | Property Address 818 Sylvandale | Avenue | City | San Jose | Sta | te CA | | ZIP Code | | 95111 |
| | Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC | | | | | | • | | | |
| | Instructions: The appraiser must use the information | • | | | - | | | | | |
| | housing trends and overall market conditions as report | - | | · | | | | | | |
| | it is available and reliable and must provide analysis a | | • • | | | | | | | |
| | explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources | will be able to provide | data for the shaded | areas below; if it is ava | ailable, | however, the | appr | aiser must i | nclud | le that data |
| | in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required | l information as an ave | erage instead of the | median, the appraiser | should | report the av | ailabl | le figure and | l iden | tify it as an |
| | average. Sales and listings must be properties that co | mpete with the subject | t property, determine | ed by applying the crite | eria tha | t would be us | ed by | a prospect | ive bu | uyer of the |
| | subject property. The appraiser must explain any ano | malies in the data, suc | ch as seasonal mark | ets, new construction, | foreclo | sures, etc. | | | | |
| | Inventory Analysis | Prior 7-12 Months | Prior 4-6 Months | Current - 3 Months | | C | veral | l Trend | | |
| | Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) | 83 | 67 | 40 | | Increasing | | Stable | X | Declining |
| | Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) | 13.83 | 22.33 | 13.33 | | Increasing | | Stable | X | Declining |
| | Total # of Comparable Active Listings | 0 | 3 | 19 | | Declining | | Stable | Х | Increasing |
| | Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) | 0.00 | 0.13 | 1.43 | | Declining | | Stable | Х | Increasing |
| | Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % | Prior 7-12 Months | Prior 4-6 Months | Current - 3 Months | | | veral | l Trend | | <u> </u> |
| | Median Comparable Sales Price | 1,060,000.00 | 1,220,000.00 | 1,136,500.00 | Х | Increasing | | Stable | | Declining |
| (O | Median Comparable Sales Days on Market | 8 | 8 | 14 | | Declining | | Stable | Х | Increasing |
| RESEARCH & ANALYSIS | Median Comparable List Price | N/A | 1,288,888.00 | 1,100,000.00 | | Increasing | | Stable | X | Declining |
| ¥ | Median Comparable Listings Days on Market | N/A | 111 | 12 | X | Declining | | Stable | , | Increasing |
| A | Median Sale Price as % of List Price | 104.00 | 107.00 | 102.00 | | Increasing | | Stable | Х | Declining |
| య | Seller-(developer, builder, etc.) paid financial assistan | | Yes X | No 102.00 | | Declining | X | Stable | | Increasing |
| 넗 | Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the pas | | | | orogoi | | | | ooto | increasing |
| ¥ | condo fees, options, etc.) | t 12 months (e.g. selle | i continuutions increa | 15eu 110111 5 /0 to 5 /0, 11 | icicasi | ng use or buy | uowi | is, closing c | บรเธ | |
| S | • | oforo the aunaly o | and domand in in | halanaa and tha | huvor | o are offer | 2 001 | mnoto for | tho | good dool |
| | The concession were not seen as often as b | | | | | | | | | |
| Ä | in the current market, this is especilly true for the broad bay area. | i the recent 6 moi | iuis, uie muiupie | oners are compe | ung ic | i the nous | U S III | i tile neigi | IDUI | noou anu |
| MARKET | ille bload bay alea. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the mark | ket? Yes X | No If you oval | ain (including the trend | de in lie | tings and sale | oc of | forceloced r | rono | rtios) |
| | No, as there is only few distressed properti | | | | | | | | | |
| | comps within last 12 months are distressed | | | | ompo | and none | 01 1 | o dolivo/p | CIIG | ıııg |
| | compo within fact 12 months are alcheocod | bailed), the photo | Will 1401 bo diloc | nou. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cite data sources for above information. | | | | | | | | | |
| | MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) a | and Realquest(Co | relogic:www.real | quest.com) | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | | | |
| | Summarize the above information as support for your | conclusions in the Ne | ighborhood section o | of the appraisal report | form. If | you used an | y add | itional inforr | natio | n, such as |
| | an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with | drawn listings, to form | ulate your conclusion | ns, provide both an exp | olanatio | n and suppo | rt for | your conclu | sions | |
| | Overall the market in the subject's neighborh | nood is increasing | overall for the | he last 12 months | BUT | no longer | incr | ease for tl | he m | nost |
| | recent 6 months (Comparing the medium | | | • | | | | the mon | thly | timo |
| | adjustment rate will be (11265/10600 1)/10* | 400 0 00/ 11 | | rongo moro than | 6 mar | oths (Cor | | | | |
| | adjustment rate will be (11365/10600-1)/12* | | | | | | | | ediu | m price of |
| | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 | | | | | | | | ediu | m price of |
| | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. | -6 months data ar | nd the market no | longer increase a | nd thu | ıs NO time | adju | | ediu | m price of |
| | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 | -6 months data ar | nd the market no | longer increase a | nd thu | ıs NO time | adju | | ediu | m price of |
| | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. | -6 months data ar | nd the market no | longer increase a | nd thu | ıs NO time | adju | | ediu | m price of |
| | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparab | -6 months data ar | nd the market no | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i | nd thu | ıs NO time | adju | | ediu | m price of |
| | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparab | -6 months data ar les in the previous e project, complete the | nd the market no 7-12 months,thu | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: | nd thu | is NO time | adjı | ustment n | ediu | m price of |
| | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparab If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data | -6 months data ar | nd the market no | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i | nd thu | above tabl | adjı | ustment no | ediu | m price of ed for the |
| | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparab If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) | -6 months data ar les in the previous e project, complete the | nd the market no 7-12 months,thu | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: | nd thu | above tabl | adjı | I Trend Stable | ediu | m price of ed for the Declining |
| | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparab If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) | -6 months data ar les in the previous e project, complete the | nd the market no 7-12 months,thu | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: | nd thu | above table | adjı | I Trend Stable Stable | ediu | m price of ed for the Declining Declining |
| | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings | -6 months data ar les in the previous e project, complete the | nd the market no 7-12 months,thu | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: | nd thu | above table Concreasing Increasing Declining | adjı | I Trend Stable Stable Stable | ediu | m price of ed for the Declining Declining Increasing |
| | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months | nd the market no a 7-12 months,the a following: Prior 4-6 Months | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| STS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months | nd the market no a 7-12 months,the a following: Prior 4-6 Months | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| JECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months | nd the market no a 7-12 months,the a following: Prior 4-6 Months | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months | nd the market no a 7-12 months,the a following: Prior 4-6 Months | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| DP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months | nd the market no a 7-12 months,the a following: Prior 4-6 Months | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| O.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months | nd the market no a 7-12 months,the a following: Prior 4-6 Months | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| O/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months | nd the market no a 7-12 months,the a following: Prior 4-6 Months | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| ONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months | nd the market no a 7-12 months,the a following: Prior 4-6 Months | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months | nd the market no a 7-12 months,the a following: Prior 4-6 Months | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes | ond the market no | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes | ond the market no | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes | ond the market no | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes | ond the market no | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes | ond the market no | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes | ond the market no | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparable share is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes | nd the market no s 7-12 months,the e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ince | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparables. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes | ond the market no | longer increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit an | ond the market no a 7-12 months, the se following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, income displayed project. Signature | Ionger increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months iicate the number of R | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparable If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Huibin 1 | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit an | ond the market no a 7-12 months, the state of following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, income of project. Signature Supervisor | Ionger increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months iicate the number of R | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| CONDO/CO.OP | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparable if the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Huibin I Company Name Bluebay Apprint in the province of the province o | les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and araisal Inc. | ond the market no s 7-12 months,thus s following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incompany in the supervisor Company in the | Ionger increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months icate the number of R Name Name | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Increasing Increasing |
| APPRAISER CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparable if the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Appraiser | e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and an araisal Inc. 2, Fremont, CA 9-2 | ond the market no so 7-12 months, the solution of the market no so 7-12 months, the solution of the solution o | Ionger increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months icate the number of R Name Name Address | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Is and sales |
| CONDO/CO.OP | most recent 3 months data to the previous 4 most recent 6 months sold comparables. As there is no any active/pending comparable if the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Huibin I Company Name Bluebay Apprint in the province of the province o | e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and an araisal Inc. 2, Fremont, CA 94 State C | ond the market no so 7-12 months, the solution of the market no so 7-12 months, the solution of the solution o | Ionger increase a us I entered 'N/A' i Project Name: Current - 3 Months icate the number of R Name Name Address ise/Certification # | n the | above tabl Concreasing Increasing Declining Declining | e. | I Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable | ediu | Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Is and sales |

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

| Property Address 8 | 818 Sylvandale Ave | nue | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|--|
| City San Jose | | County | Santa Clara | State | CA | Zip Code | 95111 | |
| Lender/Client We | dgewood Inc | · | Address | 2015 Manhattan B | Beach Blvd Suite 10 | 0, Redondo Beach, C | A 90278 | |



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 818 Sylvandale Avenue San Jose, CA 95111



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6**

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 818 Sylvandale Avenue

CitySan JoseCountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95111Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

| | | $\overline{}$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| FEATURE | SUBJECT | | (| COMPA | | | (| | RABLE S | | C | | RABLE S | | 6 |
| • | andale Avenue | ; | | | _ | a Drive | | | | er Way | | | 39 Kaua | | |
| San Jo | se, CA 95111 | | | San . | Jose, C | CA 95111 | | San | Jose, C | A 95111 | | San | Jose, C | CA 951 | 11 |
| Proximity to Subject | | | | 0. | .87 mil | es W | | 0. | 25 mile | s SE | | 0. | 12 mile | s SW | |
| Sale Price | \$ | | | | \$ | 1,057,000 | | | \$ | 965,000 | | | \$ | 89 | 0,000 |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ 0.00 | sq. ft. | \$ | 749.6 | 5 s | q. ft. | \$ | 774.4 | 8 s | g. ft. | \$ 741.67 s | | q. ft. | | |
| Data Source(s) | | | | IL# ML | | 352;DOM 8 | M | IL# ML | 819474 | 50;DOM 14 | ML# ML819308 | | 342:DC | M 54 | |
| Verification Source(s) | | | | | | # 25549570 | Realquest Doc# | | | | | est Doc | | | |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DESCRIPTIO | N | | ESCRIP1 | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | | | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | | SCRIP | | | Adjustmen |
| Sale or Financing | DEGOINI 110 | /11 | | ArmLtl | | 1 (-) \$ Adjustinent | | ArmLt | | - (-) ψ Aujustinent | | ArmL | | Ι (-) Ψ / | najusti ileri |
| Concessions | | | | Conv; | | | | Conv; | | | | Conv | | | |
| | | | | | | 0 | 1 | | | 0 | -01 | 3/23;c(| - | | |
| Date of Sale/Time | A · D · · · D · · · · · · · | 7.1 | | 0/23;c1 | | 0 | | 2/23;c ² | | 0 | 800 | | | | -20,000 |
| Location | A;Res;BsyF | | | Res;Bs | • | | | Res;Bs | • | | | N;Re | | | -20,000 |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple | Fee Simple | e | | ee Sim | • | | | ee Sin | • | | | ee Sin | | | |
| Site | 6000 sf | | | 9236 s | | -64,500 | | 5227 | | +15,500 | | 5202 | | | +16,000 |
| View | N;Res; | | | N;Res | | | | N;Res | | | | N;Re | | | |
| Design (Style) | DT1;Rancl | h | D | T1;Rar | nch | | |)T1;Ra | nch | | D | T1;Ra | nch | | |
| Quality of Construction | Q4 | | | Q4 | | | | Q4 | | | | Q4 | | | |
| Actual Age | 49 | | | 72 | | 0 | | 54 | | 0 | | 64 | | | (|
| Condition | C4 | | | C4 | | | | C3 | | -32,000 | | C4 | | | |
| Above Grade | Total Bdrms. B | Baths | Total | Bdrms. | Baths | +12,000 | Total | Bdrms. | Baths | +6,000 | Total | Bdrms. | Baths | | +6,000 |
| Room Count | | 2.0 | 4 | 2 | 1.0 | +8,000 | | 3 | 2.0 | , | 6 | 3 | 2.0 | | • |
| Gross Living Area | | sq. ft. | 1 | ,410 | sq. ft. | -68,500 | | ,246 | sq. ft. | -9,500 | 1 | ,200 | sq. ft. | | (|
| Basement & Finished | 0sf | - yq. 11. | | 0sf | J4. 16. | 33,330 | <u> </u> | 0sf | J4. 16. | 2,230 | • | 0sf | | | ` |
| Rooms Below Grade | | | | 001 | | | | 501 | | | | 501 | | | |
| Functional Utility | Average | | | Averag | 10 | | | Δνρια | | | | Δνρια | ne | | |
| Heating/Cooling | FWA/None | | | WA/No | | | | Average FWA/None | | | | Average =WA/None | | | |
| Energy Efficient Items | Dual Pane Win | | | Pane W | | | Dual Pane Window | | | | al Pane Window | | | | |
| Garage/Carport | 2ga2dw | luow | | 2ga2d\ | | | Duai | | | | | 2ga2dw | | | |
| | Porch/Concr | coto | | ch/Con | | | 2ga2dw Porch/Concrete | | | | | | | | |
| Porch/Patio/Deck | | | | | | | | | | | POI | Porch/Concrete | | | . 0. 00/ |
| Fireplaces | 1 Fireplace | e | 1 | Firepla | | | 1 Fireplace None 969000 | | | None | | | +3,000 | | |
| Pool | None | - | | None | | | | | | | | None | | | |
| Listing Price \$ | None | | | 91500 | | 0 | | | | 0 \$ -20,000 X | | 96900 |)0 | _ | (|
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | | | + X | | \$ -113,000 | | + X | | \$ -20,000 | | | - | \$ | 5,000 |
| Adjusted Sale Price | | | | \dj: -11 | | | | \dj: -2% | | | | dj: 1% | | | |
| of Comparables | | | Gross | s Aaj : | <mark>j:14% </mark> \$ 944,000 <mark>(</mark> | | | Gross Adj: 7% \$ 945,000 | | Gross Adj: 5% | | \$ 895,000 | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Report the results of the r | esearch and analy | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | - " 0 |
| ITEM | | | BJECT | | | COMPARABLE SA | | 4 | COMP | ARABLE SALE# | 5 | CON | <u>IPARABI</u> | LE SALI | <u>:</u> #6 |
| Date of Prior Sale/Transfe | | | 3/202 | 3 | _ | 08/09/202 | 23 | | | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transf | | | \$0 | | | \$0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Source(s) | | | 25479 | | - | DOC# 2551 | | | | | | | Iquest | | |
| Effective Date of Data So | | | 1/202 | | | 02/01/202 | | | | 02/01/2023 | | | | 1/2023 | |
| | | ne subi | ect pro | | • | rable sales Sea | | | | no prior sale of | | | | except | |
| Analysis of prior sale or tr | | | | | | | | | | | her tra | aneact | ion. | | |
| Analysis of prior sale or tr comp4) for the last 1 | | | ous sa | ale of the | he con | np4 was a NON | armle | ength t | ransact | ion:family mem | 001 11 | ansacı | | | |
| | | | ous sa | ale of ti | he com | np4 was a NON | armle | ength t | ransact | ion:family mem | DOI 110 | ansaoi | | | |
| | | | ous sa | ale of the | he com | np4 was a NON | armle | ength t | ransact | ion:family mem | DOI 111 | ansact | | | |
| | | | ous sa | ale of tl | he com | np4 was a NON | armle | ength t | ransact | ion:family mem | | | | | |
| | | | ous sa | ale of ti | he com | np4 was a NON | armle | ength t | ransact | ion:family mem | | | | | |
| | | | ous sa | ale of t | he com | np4 was a NON | armle | ength t | ransact | ion:family mem | | diisact | | | |
| | | | ous sa | ale of t | he com | np4 was a NON | armle | ength t | ransact | ion:family mem | | | | | |
| | | | ous sa | ale of ti | he com | np4 was a NON | armle | ength t | ransact | ion:family mem | | | | | |
| | | | ous sa | ale of to | he com | np4 was a NON | armle | ength t | ransact | ion:family mem | | | | | |
| | | | ous sa | ale of t | he com | np4 was a NON | armle | ength t | ransact | ion:family mem | | | | | |
| | 2 months. The | previo | | | | np4 was a NON | | | | | | | | ilar qu | ality, |
| comp4) for the last 1 | 2 months. The | previo | Comp | os are | closed | | | | | | | | | ilar qu | ality, |
| Summary of Sales Compacondition and appe | 2 months. The | All (ct's n | Comp | os are t area. | closec | d sales within | last 6 | mont | hs of s | similar design | and a | age, a | nd sim | | |
| Summary of Sales Compacondition and appea | 2 months. The particular arison Approach arison subject ade as follows | All (ct's ms: 1). | Comp narke Site: | os are t area. \$20/S | closed | d sales within | last 6 | mont | hs of s | similar design | and a | age, al | nd sim | Gros | s living |
| Summary of Sales Compacondition and appe Adjustments are marea: \$360/SF(For | arison Approach eal from subject ade as follows GLA difference | All (ct's ms: 1). | Comp narke Site: ore th | os are t area. \$20/S | closed SF(For sqft); | d sales within lot size differe 3). Bedroom: | last 6 | mont larger 0/Bed | hs of s | similar design 0% of the sub 4). Bathroom: | and a | ige, ai | nd sim ze); 2). hroom; | Gros | s living ge: |
| Summary of Sales Compoundation and appeara \$360/SF(For \$700/Year(For age | arison Approach eal from subject ade as follows GLA difference difference mo | All (ct's mose; 1). | Comp narke Site: ore th | os are t area. \$20/S an 20 0 year | closed GF(For sqft); rs); 6). | d sales within lot size differe 3). Bedroom: Fire place: \$3 | last 6 ence \$600 3,000 | mont larger 0/Bed /Firepl | than 1 room; ace;7) | similar design 0% of the sub 4). Bathroom: Car storage: S | and a ject's \$800 \$10,0 | lot siz 0/Batl 00/ca | nd sim ze); 2). hroom; r.8).Th | Gros 5). A e tim | s living ge: |
| Summary of Sales Compoundation and apperary area: \$360/SF(For \$700/Year(For age adjustment uses 0. | arison Approach eal from subject ade as follows GLA difference difference mo | All (ct's ms: 1). ce moore thor the | Comp narke Site: ore th nan 5 | os are t area. \$20/S an 20 0 year tract d | closed SF(For sqft); s); 6). ate dif | d sales within lot size differe 3). Bedroom: Fire place: \$3 | last 6 ence \$600 3,000 than | mont larger 0/Bed /Firepl 6 mo | than 1 room; 4 ace;7) nths(N | similar design 0% of the sub 4). Bathroom: Car storage: S OT applied as | and a ject's \$800 \$10,0 ; all th | lot siz 0/Batl 00/ca ne con | nd sim ze); 2). hroom; r.8).Th | Gros 5). A e tim ld with | s living ge: e nin 6 |
| Summary of Sales Compoundation and appeara \$360/SF(For \$700/Year(For age adjustment uses 0. months) according | arison Approach eal from subject ade as follows GLA difference difference mo 3% Monthly fo | All (ct's more the or the or the orata, | Comp narke Site: ore th nan 5 e cont 9).Lo | os are t area. \$20/S an 20 0 year tract d ocation | closed 6F(For sqft); rs); 6). ate dit n:\$200 | d sales within lot size differe 3). Bedroom: Fire place: \$3 ference more 000/per benefit | last 6 ence \$600 3,000/ than t/Adv | mont larger 0/Bed /Firepl 6 mo erse F | than 1 room; a ace;7) nths(N actor; | similar design 0% of the sub 4). Bathroom: Car storage: S OT applied as | and a ject's \$800 \$10,0 ; all th | lot siz 0/Batl 00/ca ne con | nd sim ze); 2). hroom; r.8).Th | Gros 5). A e tim ld with | s living ge: e nin 6 |
| Summary of Sales Compoundation and apperary area: \$360/SF(For \$700/Year(For age adjustment uses 0. | arison Approach eal from subject ade as follows GLA difference difference mo 3% Monthly fo | All (ct's more the or the or the orata, | Comp narke Site: ore th nan 5 e cont 9).Lo | os are t area. \$20/S an 20 0 year tract d ocation | closed 6F(For sqft); rs); 6). ate dit n:\$200 | d sales within lot size differe 3). Bedroom: Fire place: \$3 ference more 000/per benefit | last 6 ence \$600 3,000/ than t/Adv | mont larger 0/Bed /Firepl 6 mo erse F | than 1 room; a ace;7) nths(N actor; | similar design 0% of the sub 4). Bathroom: Car storage: S OT applied as | and a ject's \$800 \$10,0 ; all th | lot siz 0/Batl 00/ca ne con | nd sim ze); 2). hroom; r.8).Th | Gros 5). A e tim ld with | s living ge: e nin 6 |
| Summary of Sales Compoundation and appeara \$360/SF(For \$700/Year(For age adjustment uses 0. months) according | arison Approach eal from subject ade as follows GLA difference difference mo 3% Monthly fo | All (ct's more the or the oata, | Comp narke Site: ore th nan 5 e cont 9).Lo | os are t area. \$20/S an 20 0 year tract d ocation | closed 6F(For sqft); rs); 6). ate dit n:\$200 | d sales within lot size differe 3). Bedroom: Fire place: \$3 ference more 000/per benefit | last 6 ence \$600 3,000/ than t/Adv | mont larger 0/Bed /Firepl 6 mo erse F | than 1 room; a ace;7) nths(N actor; | similar design 0% of the sub 4). Bathroom: Car storage: S OT applied as | and a ject's \$800 \$10,0 ; all th | lot siz 0/Batl 00/ca ne con | nd sim ze); 2). hroom; r.8).Th | Gros 5). A e tim ld with | s living ge: e nin 6 |

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Page

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 56271

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:


- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

| f - | |
|--|--|
| APPRAISER | SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED) |
| Signature | Signature_ |
| Name Huibin Lan | Name |
| Company Name Bluebay Appraisal Inc. | Company Name |
| Company Address 41041 Trimboli Way #1492 | Company Address |
| Fremont, CA 94538 | |
| Telephone Number 5106736733 | Telephone Number |
| Email Address appraiserlan@yahoo.com | Email Address |
| Date of Signature and Report 01/05/2024 | Date of Signature |
| Effective Date of Appraisal 01/05/2024 | State Certification # |
| State Certification # AR030132 | or State License # |
| or State License # | State |
| or Other (describe) State # | Expiration Date of Certification or License |
| State CA | |
| Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/18/2025 | |
| | SUBJECT PROPERTY |
| ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED | |
| 818 Sylvandale Avenue | Did not inspect exterior of subject property |
| San Jose, CA 95111 | Did inspect exterior of subject property from street |
| | Date of Inspection |
| APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 927,000 | |
| LENDER/CLIENT | |
| Name Clear Capital | COMPARABLE SALES |
| Company Name Wedgewood Inc | |
| Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100 | Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street |
| Redondo Beach, CA 90278 | Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street |
| Email Address | Date of Inspection |

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271


| Property Address | 818 Sylvandale Avenue | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| City San Jose | County | Santa Clara | State | CA | Zip Code | 95111 |
| Lender/Client Wed | dgewood Inc | Address | 2015 Manhattan Bea | ch Blvd Suite 100 | , Redondo Beach, | CA 90278 |



Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **PLAT MAP**

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

| Property Address | 818 Sylvandale Avenue | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| City San Jose | County | Santa Clara | State | CA | Zip Code | 95111 |
| Lender/Client We | edgewood Inc | Address | 2015 Manhattan I | Reach Blvd Suite | a 100 Redondo Re | each CA 90278 |



Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC


Property Address 818 Sylvandale Avenue

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95111

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 4303 Senter Road San Jose, CA 95111




COMPARABLE SALE # 2 4255 Senter Road San Jose, CA 95111



COMPARABLE SALE # 3 3952 Ezie Street San Jose, CA 95111

| Property Address | 818 Sylvandale Avenue | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| City San Jose | Coun | y Santa Clara | State | CA | Zip Code | 95111 |
| Lender/Client We | edgewood Inc | Address | 2015 Manhattan B | each Blvd Suite | e 100, Redondo Be | ach, CA 90278 |



COMPARABLE SALE # 4 13080 Haga Drive San Jose, CA 95111



COMPARABLE SALE # 4183 Ambler Way
San Jose, CA 95111




COMPARABLE SALE # 3869 Kauai Drive San Jose, CA 95111

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 818 Sylvandale Avenue

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95111

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Insurance

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 818 Sylvandale Avenue

City San JoseCountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95111Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



DECLARATIONS

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP3367375-23 Renewal of: RAP3367375-22

Program Administrator: Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc.

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Item 1. Named Insured: Huibin Lan

Item 2. Address: 41526 Carmen St
City, State, Zip Code: Fremont, CA 94539

Item 3. Policy Period: From 09/08/2023 To 09/08/2024

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)
(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ ____ 500,000 ____ Damages Limit of Liability – Each Claim

B. \$ _____ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Each Claim

C. \$ ______ Damages Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

D. \$ 1,000,000 Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$ 500 Each Claim

B. \$ **1,000** Aggregate

Item 6. **Premium**: \$ 835.00

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 09/08/2006

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (07/21)

 $D42402\ (05/13)\ \ D42408\ (05/13)\ \ D42412\ (03/17)\ \ D42413\ (06/17)$

D42414 (08/19)

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1

Aerial Map

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 818 Sylvandale Avenue

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95111

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No.

Case No.

34953449

56271

Abbreviation **Full Name** May Appear in These Fields Α Adverse Location & View Acres Area, Site ac AdjPrk Adjacent to Park Location AdjPwr Adjacent to Power Lines Location <u>Ar</u>mLth Sales or Financing Concessions Arms Length Sale ΑT Attached Structure Design (Style) В Beneficial Location & View Bathroom(s) Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade ba br Bedroom Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade BsyRd **Busy Road** Location Contracted Date Date of Sale/Time Cash Cash Sale or Financing Concessions Commercial Influence Comm Location Conventional Conv Sale or Financing Concessions Garage/Carport Carport ср CrtOrd Court Ordered Sale Sale or Financing Concessions CtvSkv City View Skyline View View CtyStr City Street View View Garage/Carport Covered DOM Days On Market **Data Sources** DT **Detached Structure** Design (Style) dw Driveway Garage/Carport **Expiration Date** Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Estate Estate Sale **FHA** Federal Housing Administration Sale or Financing Concessions Garage Garage/Carport g Attached Garage Garage/Carport ga gbi Built-In Garages Garage/Carport qd **Detached Garage** Garage/Carport **GlfCse** Golf Course Location Golf Course View Glfvw View Design (Style) GR Garden HR Design (Style) High Rise Interior Only Stairs Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade in Ind Industrial Location & View Listing Listing Sales or Financing Concessions Location Lndfl Landfill Limited Sight LtdSaht View Design (Style) MR Mid Rise Mtn Mountain View View Location & View Ν Neutral NonArm Non-Arms Length Sale Sale or Financing Concessions Other Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade 0 Other Design (Style) Garage/Carport Open op Prk Park View View Pastoral View Pstrl View PubTrn **Public Transportation** Location PwrLn Power Lines View Relo Relocation Sale Sale or Financing Concessions **REO REO Sale** Sale or Financing Concessions Location & View Res Residential RHUSDA - Rural Housing Sale or Financing Concessions Recreational (Rec) Room Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade rr RT Row or Townhouse Design (Style) Settlement Date Date of Sale/Time SD Semi-detached Structure Design (Style) Short Short Sale Sale or Financing Concessions Area, Site, Basement sf Square Feet **Square Meters** Area, Site sqm Unk Date of Sale/Time Unknown Veterans Administration VA Sale or Financing Concessions w Withdrawn Date Date of Sale/Time Walk Out Basement Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wo Woods Woods View View View Wtr Water View WtrFr Water Frontage Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wu Walk Up Basement

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

| Property Address | 818 Sylvandale Avenu | е | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------------|--------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| City San Jose | (| County | Santa Clara | State | CA | Zip Code | 95111 |
| Lender/Client W | /edgewood Inc | | Address 201 | 5 Manhattan Bead | ch Blvd Suite 100, | Redondo Beach | CA 90278 |

The appraiser's competency for completing work order assignments within the subject's market area:

The appraiser is very familiar with the market area of the subject, approximate about 300 of appraisals completed in this market, there is about 10 miles that the appraiser traveled to the subject. The appraisaler has about 10 years of field work experience and has access to the MLS data of the subject's neighborhood. The appraiser reside in the neighbor county of Alameda county.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF THE SUBJECT: The subject has typical lot size, GLA, style ,construction quality and similar condition as a single family house(physically possible) and conform to the neighborhood with almost all the similar single family houses(The reasonable,probable and Legal use of the lot as the the single family home zoning of the neighborhood----Legally allowable), the single family house demand is still high in all the bay area and the subject's neighborhood(financially feasible and Maximu Productive), thus its current use is in its highest and best use.

The Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak has had a significant impact on local, national and global economies. Financial markets worldwide are experiencing unprecedented volatility. In some areas of the United States, there are current Shelter-in-Place orders and other restrictions on daily activities. These events are likely to impact real estate values in the short term but, as of the date of this appraisal, there is not enough data to substantiate that position. I have researched all available local sources and, as of this date, I have not found any data suggesting that significant changes in local real estate market have occurred. The market data presented in this appraisal report is considered the most recent and relevant available and the resulting analysis best reflects market conditions as of the effective date of appraisal.

No employee, director, officer, or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal management company, or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted toinfluence the development, reporting, result, or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or in any other manner.

I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client as identified on the first page of thereport), borrower, or designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report anyunauthorized contacts either personally by phone or electronically to the Clear Capital.

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 818 Sylvandale Avenue

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95111

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

| Street Address (Full) | Sale Price | Sa Ft Total |
|---------------------------|------------|-------------|
| 4242 Indigo DR | 1365000 | 1603 |
| 487 Fullerton CT | 1265000 | 1377 |
| 252 Sposito CIR | 1330000 | 1581 |
| 3138 POMEROY AVE | 1270000 | 1120 |
| 640 Blom DR | 980000 | 1192 |
| 318 Sposito Cir | 1470000 | 1581 |
| 4183 Ambler WAY | 965000 | 1246 |
| 5242 Country Forge LN | 1200000 | 1593 |
| 2637 Sherlock Dr | 1050000 | 1481 |
| 220 FRAGRANT HARBOR COURT | 1190000 | 1644 |
| 4173 Ridgebrook WAY | 1200000 | 1220 |
| 4274 Meg DR | 980000 | 1603 |
| 4642 Paloma AVE | 1240000 | 1363 |
| 2952 Stanhope DR | 1060000 | 1220 |
| 4313 Monet PL | 1310000 | 1442 |
| 3952 Ezie ST | 965000 | 1308 |
| 103 Springhaven CT | 1210000 | 1446 |
| 707 River View DR | 1105000 | 1287 |
| 5117 Pharlap AVE | 1110000 | 1444 |
| 3015 Senter RD | 1020000 | 1593 |
| 3834 Forestwood DR | 1450000 | 1649 |
| 3711 Corkerhill WAY | 1350000 | 1330 |
| 5463 Century Park Way | 1115000 | 1400 |
| 4089 San Ysidro WAY | 1050000 | 1123 |
| 382 War Admiral AVE | 1050000 | 1521 |
| 5389 Armonk CT | 1175000 | 1546 |
| 1711 Tustin DR | 1158000 | 1545 |
| 1030 Drexel WAY | 1013000 | 1452 |
| 2474 Elkins WAY | 1110000 | 1219 |
| 4216 Arpeggio AVE | 1456555 | 1581 |
| 4262 Meg DR | 1320000 | 1424 |
| 5079 Ella CT | 950000 | 1125 |
| 1538 Denali WAY | 1015000 | 1139 |
| 13080 Haga DR | 1057000 | 1410 |
| 352 Grandpark CIR | 1420000 | 1303 |
| 5364 Federation CT | 1175000 | 1501 |
| 1648 Tierra Buena DR | 1070000 | 1204 |
| 3071 Wall ST | 700000 | 1296 |
| 343 Sunpark LN | 1520000 | 1581 |
| 1637 Jessica WAY | 855000 | 1097 |
| 4750 Rahway DR | 1300000 | 1512 |
| 2920 Erica CT | 1320000 | 1703 |
| 252 Sposito CIR | 1310000 | 1581 |
| 3898 Yerba Buena AVE | 1620000 | 1670 |
| 3440 Hillsborough WAY | 1220000 | 1120 |
| 4590 Bolero DR | 1110000 | 1137 |
| 3084 Balgray CT | 1360000 | 1538 |
| 583 Albion CT | 1325000 | 1424 |
| 4520 Bolero DR | 1050000 | 1137 |
| 4654 Ventura AVE | 1300000 | 1458 |
| 4255 Senter RD | 915000 | 1123 |
| 1817 Loch Ness WAY | 1055000 | 1326 |

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

| Property Address | 818 Sylvandale Avenue | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| City San Jose | County | Santa Clara | State | CA | Zip Code | 95111 |
| Lender/Client V | /edaewood Inc | Address 2015 M | anhattan Beach | Blvd Suite 10 | 0. Redondo Bea | ch. CA 90278 |

| 4644 Mia CIR | 1422000 | 1442 | |
|-----------------------|--------------|------|--|
| 5368 Beech Grove CT | 1100000 | 1155 | |
| 1187 Brandybuck WAY | 1435000 | 1654 | |
| 844 Royalbrook CT | 1130000 | 1007 | |
| 2442 Renfield WAY | 1275000 | 1476 | |
| 2669 Mozart AVE | 960000 | 1043 | |
| 2049 Rigoletto DR | 1150000 | 1486 | |
| 1158 Oakview RD | 1050000 | 1074 | |
| 3261 Curling CT | 1260000 | 1326 | |
| 1662 Aldrich WAY | 899000 | 1563 | |
| 2704 Millbrae WAY | 1350000 | 1340 | |
| 1782 Eaglehurst DR | 1366800 | 1380 | |
| 152 Santa Rosa DR | 960000 | 1123 | |
| 500 Savstrom WAY | 1185000 | 1452 | |
| 4581 Rotherhaven WAY | 1260000 | 1372 | |
| 318 Sposito CIR | 1000000 | 1581 | |
| 5425 Fraschini CIR | 1282000 | 1123 | |
| 3887 Regaby Place CT | 1350000 | 1355 | |
| 2943 Erica CT | 1380000 | 1472 | |
| | | | |
| 3928 Taubeh CT | 1245000 | 1651 | |
| 354 Avenida Del Roble | 1250000 | 1283 | |
| 3193 Bourgeois WAY | 1152000 | 1176 | |
| 3827 Forestwood DR | 1450000 | 1439 | |
| 4642 Paloma AVE | 900000 | 1363 | |
| 2051 Laddie WAY | 1330000 | 1697 | |
| 3138 Pomeroy AVE | 1072500 | 1120 | |
| 5360 Hansell DR | 1370000 | 1399 | |
| 2980 Fenwick WAY | 1225000 | 1538 | |
| 2358 Bikini AVE | 1060000 | 1151 | |
| 325 Coty WAY | 1355000 | 1581 | |
| 579 Baltic WAY | 700000 | 1230 | |
| 1192 Oakview RD | 1150000 | 1196 | |
| 4176 Kingspark DR | 1400000 | 1442 | |
| 2881 Armstead Ct | 1029000 | 1220 | |
| 4664 Bolero DR | 1150000 | 1363 | |
| 3869 Kauai DR | 890000 | 1200 | |
| 959 Cheswick DR | 1200000 | 1542 | |
| 4770 Plainfield DR | 1202000 | 1287 | |
| 4692 Mia CIR | 1405000 | 1442 | |
| 3816 Yerba Buena AVE | | | |
| | 1398000 | 1649 | |
| 4303 Senter RD | 970000 | 1308 | |
| 3876 maui dr | 880000 | 1680 | |
| 3876 Maui Dr. | 880000 | 1680 | |
| 1133 Idlewood DR | 1068000 | 1232 | |
| 313 Greenpark WAY | 1560000 | 1581 | |
| 4331 Senter RD | 865000 | 1308 | |
| 4475 Houndshaven WAY | 1265500 | 1350 | |
| 1387 Woodman CT | 1300000 | 1472 | |
| 5222 Roeder RD | 1040000 | 1295 | |
| 4425 Palisade DR | 1255000 | 1353 | |
| 781 Sunbrook CT | 1075000 | 1007 | |
| 3971 Ambler CT | 1200000 | 1220 | |
| 75 Azucar AVE | 1100000 | 1206 | |
| 5266 Broken Lance CT | 1250000 | 1358 | |
| | - | | |
| | | | |

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

| Property Address | 818 Sylvandale Avenue | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| City San Jose | County | Santa Clara | State | CA | Zip Code | 95111 |
| Lender/Client V | /edaewood Inc | Address 2015 M | anhattan Beach | Blvd Suite 10 | 0. Redondo Bea | ch. CA 90278 |

| Tolletti Wedgewood Inc | Addie | |
|--|---------|------|
| 352 Avenida Manzanos | 1400000 | 1283 |
| 1632 Dixie DR | 945000 | 1232 |
| 490 Lanfair CIR | 1148000 | 1651 |
| 470 Tigerwood WAY | 1050000 | 1091 |
| 1381 Crailford CT | 1360000 | 1402 |
| 3902 Jerabek CT | 1145000 | 1218 |
| 388 Ezie ST | 925000 | 1215 |
| 1477 Colt Way | 940000 | 1355 |
| 5219 Roeder RD | 980000 | 1206 |
| 4590 Bolero DR | 810000 | 1137 |
| 977 Cheswick DR | 875000 | 1377 |
| 660 SINGLETON RD | 975000 | 1569 |
| 5291 Garrison CIR | 840000 | 983 |
| | 1250000 | 1305 |
| 290 Sposito CIR 2421 Mclaughlin AVE | | |
| 3898 Yerba Buena AVE | 1160000 | 1579 |
| | 1325000 | 1633 |
| 5098 Snow DR | 760000 | 1104 |
| 4837 Rue Calais | 1250000 | 1039 |
| 457 River View DR | 1060000 | 1458 |
| 2738 Cramer CIR | 1385000 | 1627 |
| 4459 Houndshaven WAY | 1250000 | 1502 |
| 1555 Dina CT | 1120000 | 1220 |
| 4607 Thornhaven WAY | 1250000 | 1350 |
| 332 Grandpark CIR | 1415000 | 1466 |
| 1387 Woodman CT | 935000 | 1472 |
| 2917 Roberta CT | 1255000 | 1472 |
| 1080 Loupe AVE | 1303000 | 1584 |
| 3871 Sark WAY | 1020000 | 1070 |
| 3770 Polton Place WAY | 1230000 | 1355 |
| 555 Conti CT | 980000 | 1180 |
| 2537 Arctic AVE | 875000 | 1132 |
| 718 Coyote RD | 1100000 | 1598 |
| 1776 Tustin Dr | 1200000 | 1324 |
| 67 Eaglehaven CT | 1000000 | 1226 |
| 1665 Tierra Buena DR | 1195000 | 1461 |
| 4581 Rotherhaven WAY | 900000 | 1372 |
| 2665 Casco CT | 1070000 | 1594 |
| 2807 Stemple CT | 1155000 | 1097 |
| 2386 Blanding AVE | 1430000 | 1217 |
| 2791 Millbrae WAY | 1335000 | 1157 |
| 3875 Marlette DR | 900000 | 1206 |
| 443 Jackie DR | 842000 | 1241 |
| 4259 Sayoko CIR | 1305000 | 1581 |
| 2630 Toy LN | 1265000 | 1290 |
| 2671 Brahms AVE | 918000 | 1120 |
| 3298 Hebron CT | 1235000 | 1296 |
| 4105 San Ramon Way | 735000 | 1123 |
| 5227 Pharlap AVE | 1125000 | 1633 |
| 1699 Nickel Ave | 800000 | 1265 |
| 5232 Roeder RD | 1020000 | 1290 |
| 4209 Arpeggio AVE | 1145000 | 1305 |
| 3073 Balgray Ct | 1060000 | 1538 |
| 379 Costa Mesa DR | 915000 | 1123 |
| 2674 Tilton CT | 1130000 | 1232 |

File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

| Property Address 818 Sylvan | dale Avenue | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|
| City San Jose | County | Santa Clara | State | CA | Zip Code | 95111 |
| Lender/Client Wedgewood I | nc | Address 2015 M | lanhattan Beacl | n Blvd Suite 100 | , Redondo Bead | h, CA 90278 |

| 80 Cherry Blossom DR | 1325000 | 1531 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|------|
| 5133 Vera LN | 1000000 | 1329 |
| 178 Kayak DR | 900000 | 1080 |
| 1210 Zachary CT | 1155000 | 1683 |
| 2362 Renfield Way | 1026000 | 1457 |
| 4865 Snow DR | 780000 | 1008 |
| 3770 Polton Place | 945000 | 1355 |
| 2579 Flory DR | 1110000 | 1542 |
| 513 Southside DR | 1032000 | 1241 |
| 965 Cheswick DR | 1170000 | 1594 |
| 2717 Puccini AVE | 875000 | 1043 |
| 1375 Sylvia DR | 1202000 | 1703 |
| 469 Pocatello DR | 885000 | 1204 |
| 2555 Arctic AVE | 780000 | 1132 |
| | 1115000 | 1362 |
| 5288 Discovery AVE | 887500 | 1120 |
| 2680 Ophelia AVE 1276 Valdosta RD | 1030000 | 1563 |
| | | |
| 4607 Thornhaven WAY | 810000 | 1350 |
| 2612 Orinda DR | 1305000 | 1248 |
| 1009 Malott DR | 1005000 | 1418 |
| 3771 Timberline DR | 1390000 | 1647 |
| 3125 Lone Bluff WAY | 918999 | 1128 |
| 13140 Brown AVE | 1000000 | 1423 |
| 3455 Hillsborough WAY | 1230000 | 1662 |
| 259 Sposito CIR | 1385000 | 1581 |
| 309 Otono CT | 1028000 | 1641 |
| 3572 Quarry Park DR | 1350000 | 1704 |
| 4761 Allegro LN | 1010000 | 1353 |
| 3842 Glengarry DR | 1340000 | 1563 |
| 342 Sunpark LN | 1200000 | 1581 |

Bluebay Appraisal Inc.

APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM File No. 34953449 Case No. 56271

| | | | Case | 5 NO. 3027 I |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Borrower/Client Redwood Ho | | | | |
| Address 818 Sylvandale Av | | 0 1 0 | | Unit No |
| City San Jose | | Santa Clara | State <u>CA</u> | Zip Code <u>95111</u> |
| Lender/Client Wedgewood I | nc | | | |
| | | | | |
| This App | raisal Compliance Addendum is included to ensu | re this appraisal report meets all | LUSPAP 2014 re | guirements |
| APPRAISAL AND REPOR | | | 201711 | |
| This Appraisal Report is one of the | ne following types: | | | |
| X Appraisal Report | This report was prepared in accordance with the requ | uirements of the Appraisal Report op | otion of USPAP Sta | ındards Rule 2-2(a). |
| Restricted Appraisal Report | This report was prepared in accordance with the req | | | , , |
| | intended user of this report is limited to the identified | I client. This is a Restricted Appraisa | al Report and the ra | ationale for how the appraiser arrived |
| | at the opinions and conclusions set forth in the report | may not be understood properly with | nout the additional i | nformation in the appraiser's workfile |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT | | | | |
| I certify that, to the best of my kno | • | | | |
| | ained in this report are true and correct. | | | |
| | ions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported | assumptions and are my personal, i | mpartial, and unbia | ised professional analyses, |
| opinions, and conclusions. | | | | |
| | I have no present or prospective interest in the proper | • | - | • |
| | I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in an | y other capacity, regarding the prop | erty that is the subj | ect of this report within the three-year |
| • • | ng acceptance of this assignment. | artics involved with this assignment | | |
| | o the property that is the subject of this report or the payment was not contingent upon developing or reporting | _ | | |
| | eting this assignment is not contingent upon the develo | | and value or directiv | on in value that favors the cause |
| | he value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, | | | |
| this appraisal. | re value opinion, the attainment of a supulated result, | or the occurrence of a subsequent e | vent directly relate | a to the interlace use of |
| | conclusions were developed and this report has been | prepared, in conformity with the Unit | form Standards of I | Professional Appraisal Practice that |
| were in effect at the time this | | propared, in comermity was alle em | | rorosoronar rippraisar r rastico triat |
| | I have made a personal inspection of the property that | t is the subject of this report. | | |
| | no one provided significant real property appraisal as | | s certification (if the | ere are exceptions, the name of each |
| | nt real property appraisal assistance is stated elsewhere | | | |
| | ed in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA as amended | , and any implementing regulations. | | |
| PRIOR SERVICES | | | | |
| | ed services, as an appraiser or in another other capaci | ty, regarding the property that is the | subject of the repo | rt within the three-year period |
| immediately preceding acce | | | | |
| | ices, as an appraiser or in another capacity, regarding | | is report within the | three-year period immediately |
| | s assignment. Those services are described in the con | nments below. | | |
| PROPERTY INSPECTION | | | | |
| | sonal inspection of the property that is the subject of the | | | |
| have NOT made APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE | a personal inspection of the property that is the subject | ct of this report. | | |
| | | a tha managa signing this contification | lf amusing slid mag | vide ciamificant accistones, they |
| | rovided significant real property appraisal assistance t | | i. if anyone did pro | vide significant assistance, they |
| are hereby identified along with a | summary of the extent of the assistance provided in the | е тероп. | | |
| IOTIC | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL COMMENTS | | | | |
| Additional USPAP related issues | requiring disclosure and/or any state mandated require | ements: External only inspect | ion. I did not d | lo any services for the subject |
| within the last 3 years. | <u> </u> | | | |
| • | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT PRO | | | |
| | | ilizing market conditions pertinent to | the appraisal assiç | ınment. |
| X A reasonable exposure time | for the subject property is 20-40 day(s). | | | |
| ADDDAIGED | | | | SECURED. |
| APPRAISER | | SUPERVISORY APPRAIS | ER (ONLY IF R | (EQUIRED) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 201 | | | | |
| Signature / | | Cianatura | | |
| Signature Huibin Lan | | Signature | | |
| Name Huibin Lan | 24 | | | |
| Date of Signature 01/05/202 State Certification # AR03013 | 2 | Date of Signature | | |
| Ot-t- 1 : # | | State Certification # | | |
| | | or State License # State | | |
| | r License 02/18/2025 | Expiration Date of Certification or | License | |
| Exhiration hate of Celtilication of | LIUGII36 <u>UZI 1UIZUZU</u> | Supervisory Appraiser Inspection | | <u> </u> |
| Effective Date of Appraisal 01/0 | 05/2024 | | on Subject Property Inly from street | Interior and Exterior |
| Encourse Date of Appliation 01/0 | 70,202 1 | DIG NOT EXTENDI O | iny nominandet | III.OHOI AHA LAIGHUI |
| | | | | |

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 818 Sylvandale Avenue

County CA City San Jose Santa Clara State Zip Code 95111 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



ENHANCED REPORT 2.0

Subject Property:





Prepared For:

Amy Zhang (510) 552-1058

Document Contents



Provided By

Richard Chen 3340 Walnut Ave 116 Fremont, CA 94538 Richard.chen@ctt.com

PROPERTY OVERVIEW

818 SYLVANDALE AVE, SAN JOSE, CA 95111-1415

Owner and Geographic Information



Primary Owner:
DELUCCA MICHAEL AND ANGELA

Site Address:

818 SYLVANDALE AVE, SAN JOSE, CA 95111-1415

Housing Tract Number:

5113

R1

Legal Description: Lot Code:

Tract Number:

Legal Brief Description: LOT:46 CITY:SAN JOSE TR#:5113 TR 5113 LOT 46

46

5113

渔 Pool:

City / Muni / Twp:

Secondary Owner:

Mail Address:

818 SYLVANDALE AVE, SAN JOSE, CA 95111-1415

Property Details

Bedrooms: Total Rooms:

War Built: 1975 Garage: Garage 2 Fireplace:

Square Feet:

6,000 SF

Number of Units: Use Code:

Single Family Residential

1,220

Sale Information

Zoning:



Transfer Date:
Transfer Value: Cost/Sq Feet:

11/13/2002 \$0.00

Seller:

DELUCCA, MICHAEL 16612238

Current

Assessment and Taxes

Market Value:



Assessed Value: Improvement Value: Market Improvement Value:

\$255,892.00 \$140,800.00

Percent Improvement: Tax Status: Market Land Value:

55.02% wner Exemption: Tax Rate Area:

Tax Account ID:

Tax Year: 2023

17-031

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 818 Sylvandale Avenue

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95111

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

| PROPERTY HISTO | DRY | 818 SY | LVANDALE AVE, SAN JOSE, CA 95111-1415 |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Foreclosure Record - 05 | 5/23/2023 | | |
| Recording Date: | 05/23/2023 | Document#: | 25479066 |
| Document Type: | Notice of Sale | | |
| Lender Type: | | Borrowers Name: | |
| Vesting: | | | |
| Legal Description: | | | |
| Foreclosure Record - 03 | 1/10/2022 | | |
| Recording Date: | 03/10/2022 | Document#: | 25257070 |
| Document Type: | Notice of Sale | | |
| Lender Type: | | Borrowers Name: | |
| Vesting: | | | |
| Legal Description: | | | |
| Foreclosure Record - 03 | 5/14/2019 | | |
| Recording Date: | 03/14/2019 | Document#: | 24134856 |
| Document Type: | Notice of Sale | | |
| Lender Type: | | Borrowers Name: | |
| Vesting: | | | |
| Legal Description: | | | |
| Foreclosure Record - 09 | /19/2017 | | |
| Recording Date: | 09/19/2017 | Document#: | 23756650 |
| Document Type: | Notice of Sale | | |
| Lender Type: | | Borrowers Name: | |
| Vesting: | | | |
| Legal Description: | | | |
| Foreclosure Record - 05 | 5/25/2016 | | |
| Recording Date: | 05/25/2016 | Document#: | 23316464 |
| Document Type: | Notice of Sale | | |
| Lender Type: | | Borrowers Name: | |
| Vesting: | | | |
| Legal Description: | | | |