

APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY

LOCATED AT:

17807 Bullock St Tract 15727: Lot 182: Encino, CA 91316

FOR:

Wedgewood Inc. 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100 Redondo Beach, CA 90278

AS OF:

03/09/2024

BY:

Robert Bronley

Robert Bronley The Appraisal Shoppe 22607 Collins Street Woodland Hills, CA. 91367

Wedgewood Inc. 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100 Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Re: Property: 17807 Bullock St

Encino, CA 91316

Borrower: Redwood Holdings LLC

File No.: 56778

In accordance with your request, we have appraised the above referenced property. The report of that appraisal is attached.

The purpose of this appraisal is to estimate the market value of the property described in this appraisal report, as improved, in unencumbered fee simple title of ownership.

This report is based on a physical analysis of the site and improvements, a locational analysis of the neighborhood and city, and an economic analysis of the market for properties such as the subject. The appraisal was developed and the report was prepared in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

The value conclusions reported are as of the effective date stated in the body of the report and contingent upon the certification and limiting conditions attached.

It has been a pleasure to assist you. Please do not hesitate to contact me or any of my staff if we can be of additional service to you.

Kunly

Robert Bronley CERT. GEN. APPRAISER

AG004659

SUMMARY OF SALIENT FEATURES

	Subject Address	17807 Bullock St
	Legal Description	Tract 15727: Lot 182:
NOI	City	Encino
SUBJECT INFORMATION	County	Los Angeles
ECT INF	State	CA
SUBJ	Zip Code	91316
	Census Tract	1392.00
	Map Reference	561 B1
PRICE	Sale Price	\$
SALES PRICE	Date of Sale	
Þ	Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC
CLIENT	Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.
	Size (Square Feet)	1,481
SLN		
JF IMPROVEMENTS	Location	N;Res;
JF IMPR	Age	75
DESCRIPTION 0	Condition	C4
ESCRIF	Total Rooms	5
	Bedrooms	3
	Baths	2.0
ISER	Appraiser	Robert Bronley
APPRAISER	Date of Appraised Value	03/09/2024
VALUE	Final Estimate of Value	\$ 910,000

56778 File No. 35172377

USPAP ADDENDUM _____

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address City	17807 Bullock St	County L	No. 21	State CA	7in Codo, O4 O4 C
Lender	Encino Wedgewood Inc.	County Los	Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316
1		lowing USPAP reporting option:	:::		
Apprais:		This report was prepared in accordanc		• •	
Restrict	ed Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordanc	e with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2	(b).	
Reasonable	Exposure Time				
		or the subject property at the market valu			
A reasona	able exposure time for the	ne subject property developed i	ndependently from the sta	ted marketing	g time is 30 days.
	Certifications	and haliaf			
_	to the best of my knowledge a				ilah in ah
		appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding acceptance of this assignment.	ing the property that is the subjec	t of this report w	itnin the
		raiser or in another capacity, regarding th			ne three-year
		nce of this assignment. Those services a	re described in the comments bel	JW.	
	ints of fact contained in this repo	nt are true and correct. sions are limited only by the reported assum	ntions and limiting conditions and ar	e my nersonal im	nartial and unhiased
	nalyses, opinions, and conclusion		stone and inmang conditions and a	o my poroonai, mi	partial, and anbiacou
- Unless other	wise indicated, I have no present	or prospective interest in the property that i	s the subject of this report and no p	ersonal interest wi	th respect to the parties
involved.		-			
		at is the subject of this report or the parties contingent upon developing or reporting pre	•		
	-	ment is not contingent upon the developmen		ue or direction in v	value that favors the cause of
		attainment of a stipulated result, or the occur			
		e developed, and this report has been prepar	ed, in conformity with the Uniform S	tandards of Profes	sional Appraisal Practice that
	at the time this report was prepar				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ersonal inspection of the property that is the significant real property appraisal assistance		ation (if there are	exceptions the name of each
		oraisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this		מנוטוו (וו נוופופ מופ נ	saceptions, the name of each
Additional (Comments				
		al report is the lender/client. Un	less specifically stated wit	hin the renor	t there are no
		ended use is to evaluate the pro		-	•
		pe of work, purpose of the appra			
and defini	tion of market value.No	additional intended users are in	dentified by the appraiser.		
	ersonal property appraised	ditions Analysis Expectations			
I		ditions Analysis Expectations ssignment is analysis of market co	anditions. The corona virus t	hreat may be i	mpacting market
1 -		is it is not yet clear to what extent,		-	
		ock market and changes in mortga	_	-	_
		ore complicated when market parti			
I		has had no effect on market value npact. Some sales and listing use			
lockdown	g sata on possible III	como carco ana noting doc	roport took place de	g and only it	
The global	outbreak of a 'novel coro	na virus' known as COVID-19 was o	officially declared a pandemi	c by the World	l Health Organization
		direct, or indirect, effect, if any, the			
I		erty is located The reader is cauti effective date(s) indicated. The ap			
1		subsequent to the effective date of	•	43 10 1116 6	moot on the subject
		·			
APPRAISER	$C I / \Lambda$.		SUPERVISORY APPRAISE	:R: (only if re	equired)
		 / /			- ·
Signature:	Low!	Monda	Signature:		
	ert Bronley		Name:		
Date Signed:	03/10/2024		Date Signed:		
State Certification			State Certification #:		
or State License	#: <u>AG004659</u>		or State License #: State:		
	f Certification or License: 09/2	26/2024	Expiration Date of Certification or Lic	ense:	
Effective Date of			Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of	Subject Property:	
			Did Not Exterior-only f	rom Street	Interior and Exterior

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 56778 56778 56778

				anurata and adaguat				of the outline	t proporty
The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	rt is to provid	e the lender/chent	Willi all al	•	ely supported, op				
Property Address 17807 Bullock St				City Encino		State	CA	Zip Code 91	316
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC		Owner of P	ublic Record	Lorilee J Rink	er	County	Los A	ngeles	
Legal Description Tract 15727: Lot 182	:								
Assessor's Parcel # 2159-026-011				Tax Year 2023		R.E. Ta:	xes \$ 4	558	
Neighborhood Name Encino					561 B1			392.00	
Occupant Owner Tenant Vaca	ant	Special Ass	essments \$	253	PU		11401	per year	per month
Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple	Leasehold			200		πολφ ()		j por your _	per month
Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Keiinan		Other (d	•					
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc.		Address		<u> Ianhattan Beach</u>					
Is the subject property currently offered for sale of		fered for sale in the t	welve month	s prior to the effective	date of this apprais	sal?	\	Yes 🔀 No	
Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and	l date(s).	Data sources	utilized w	ere real quest, C	County public re	ecords, multiple	listing	service, int	erviews
with real estate persons, owners, and	d tenants.								
I did did not analyze the contract for	sale for the subj	ect purchase transac	ction. Explain	the results of the ana	lysis of the contract	for sale or why the	analysis	was not	
performed.									
5									
Contract Price \$ Date of Con	tract	Is the pro	nerty seller th	ne owner of public rec	ord? Yes	No Data Sou	rce(s)		
Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa				'			(-)	☐ Ye	es No
If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe			iit assistanot	, oto.) to be paid by a	ly party on bonan o	T the bollower:			US 140
il 163, 16port the total dollar amount and describe	לנו וכי ונפוווט נט טפ	μαια.							
Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood	are not appraisal fa							
Neighborhood Characteristics			One-Unit	Housing Trends		One-Unit Hou	sing	Present La	and Use %
Location Urban Suburban	Rural F	Property Values	Increasing	★ Stable	Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	100 %
Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75%	Under 25%	Demand/Supply	Shortage	In Balance	Over Supply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	%
Growth Rapid Stable		Marketing Time	Under 3 m		Over 6 mths	870 Low	20	Multi-Family	%
						1,100 High	85	Commercial	<u> </u>
· ·				ank Blvd.South, Ball	poa bivu.Easī,	<u> </u>			<u>%</u>
and Lindley Ave.to the West in the Encino at	_	•				1,020 Pred.	75	Other	
				story Q3 quality sir					
varying styles, sizes, ages, views,ameniti	es and marke	t appeal. Neighbo	orhood pos	sesses residential	support linkage	s (transportatio	n and fr	eeways) with	1
employment centers and typical amenitie	s(shopping fa	acilities, schools,	social serv	vices and recreation	n) are within 1/2	TO 1 mile from s	ubject p	roperty.	
Market Conditions (including support for the above	re conclusions)	Values a	ppear to b	e stabilizing. The	re is a low amo	unt a listings no	oversu	pply. Market	ting times
30-90 days. The recent trends do supp	ort a stabiliza	ation of the mark	cet. Suppo	rt comes from the	local MLS boa	rd, interviews wi	th real	estate agent	ts, and
articles. Interest rates are currently incr	reasing.		•						
Dimensions 50X110		Area 5	500 sf	Sha	ipe Rectangula	ar \	/iew N;	Res:	
Specific Zoning Classification LAR1				Single Family Re		41	11,	1100,	
Zoning Compliance Legal Legal None	conforming (Gra		No Zoni						
	- ,			<u> </u>		7 Vaa	f No. doo	oribo TI	
Is the highest and best use of subject property as			s and specifi	cations) the present us	Se?	Yes No I	f No, des	cribe The	highest
and best use is the subject's property	/'s current u						ınds.		
Utilities Public Other (describe)		Public			Off-site Impr	ovements - Type	ınds.	Public	Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wa	Public ater			Off-site Impro		ınds.	Public	Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wa Sa	eter Public eter Initary Sewer			Off-site Impr	ovements - Type ed Asphalt	inds.		Private
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wa Sa	eter Public eter Initary Sewer	Other (d	escribe) FEMA Map # 06	Off-site Impro	ovements - Type ed Asphalt e	MA Map	X	
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wa Sa ▼ No FEM	eter Public eter Initary Sewer IN Flood Zone X	Other (d	escribe)	Off-site Impro	ovements - Type ed Asphalt e		X	
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wa Sa No FEM for the market a	rater Marie Newer New Area? Public New Area Pu	C Other (d	FEMA Map # 06	Off-site Impr Street Pav Alley Non 037C1295F	ovements - Type ed Asphalt ee FE	МА Мар	X	
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wa Sa	Public ater ⊠ nitary Sewer ⊠ A Flood Zone X area? ⊠ nts, encroachments,	Yes I environmen	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F	ed Asphalt e FE	MA Map	Date 09/26	
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wasa Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme	Public ater Mariany Sewer Marea? Marea? Marea Street Mare	Yes I environmen	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)?	ed Asphalt e FE Yes buffered by a	MA Map No a wood	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe	
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wand Sa Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane mindue to the v	Public ater Mariany Sewer Marea? Marea? Marea Street Mare	Yes I environmen	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)?	ed Asphalt e FE Yes buffered by a	MA Map No a wood	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe	
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wan Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane mindue to the vassue.	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bueffect on the su	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? ustling beyond bject properti	ed Asphalt e FE Yes buffered by a es marketabili	MA Map No a wood ity are	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th	
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wan Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane mindue to the vassue.	Public ater Mariany Sewer Marea? Marea? Marea Street Mare	Yes I environmen	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bueffect on the su	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? ustling beyond bject properti	ovements - Type ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili	MA Map No a wood ity are	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe	:/2008 e re
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme /o-lane mindue to the vissue. operty	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us pike path and bu effect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Gros	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? ustling beyond bject properti Tax Records ss Living Area	ed Asphalt e FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office	MA Map No a wood ity are	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe I fence ther value at the	i/2008 e re is time
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wasaa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane mindue to the vasue.	Public ater	Yes I environment with a kc and no	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bu effect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? stling beyond bject properti Tax Records st Living Area	ovements - Type ed Asphalt le FE Yes d buffered by a les marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's offici menities	MA Map No a wood ity are	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at the Property Owner Car Storage	i/2008 e re is time
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane minimum due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S	Public ater Marker Mark	Yes environmen MLS	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bu effect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB	Off-site Improvements of the Improvement of Improvement of the Improvement of the Improvement of the Improvement of the Improvement of Improve	ed Asphalt e FE Yes d buffered by a les marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1	MA Map No a wood ity are	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at the Property Owner Car Storage	ere is time
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane minimum due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bu effect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant	Off-site Improvements of the Improvement of Improvement of the Improvement of Impr	ed Asphalt e FE Yes d buffered by a les marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Drive	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at the Property Owner Car Storage way # of C	e re is time
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane mindue to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Basen	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bu effect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other	Off-site Improvements of the street of the s	ed Asphalt Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Open	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Drivev	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storag way # of C Surface C	e e Cars 1 Concrete
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane mindue to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bu effect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? Istling beyond Dipect properti Tax Records SE Living Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch	ed Asphalt Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Deck Open	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Drivev Oriveway Garage	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storage way # of C Surface C ge # of C	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wasaa Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane mindue to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bueffect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Grosterating/Cooling FWA Heating/Cooling Radiant Other	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? Istling beyond Dipect properti Tax Records SE Living Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch	ed Asphalt Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Open	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Drivev	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storage way # of C Surface C ge # of C	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wasaa Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane mindue to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bu effect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? ustling beyond bject properti Tax Records Striving Area Wood Patio/ Porch ping Pool	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's offic menities ace(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0 Deck Open Front None	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Drivev Oriveway Garage	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storage way # of C Surface C ge # of C ort # of C	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Wasaa Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane mindue to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface	Public ater	Yes I I environmen et with a kc and no MLS Accepted Ed	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us offect on the sure of	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? ustling beyond bject properti Tax Records Striving Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence	ed Asphalt e FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Open Front None Wood Fence	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Drivev Oriveway Garag Carpo	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storage way # of C Surface C ge # of C ort # of C hed De	e Cars 1 Concrete Cars 0
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basem Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type	Public ater	Yes I I environmen et with a kc and no MLS MLS Accepted Function of the control	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us pike path and but effect on the sure that Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? estling beyond bject properti Tax Records Stiving Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Cher	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's offic menities ace(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0 Deck Open Front None	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Drivev Griveway Garag Carpo Attack	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storage way # of C Surface C ge # of C ort # of C hed De	e Cars 1 Concrete Cars 0
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme /o-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwasl	Public ater	Yes I I environmen et with a to c and no I MLS ace Ad Ged Ged Ged Ged Ged Ged Ged Ged Ged Ge	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us pike path and bu effect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other wave Washer/I	Off-site Impro Street Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? estling beyond bject properti Tax Records Striving Area A Firepla Wood A Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Street A A A A A A A A A A A B A A A A A A A	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes A buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 [stove(s) # 0 Deck Open I Front None [Wood Fence None [describe)	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Garag Carpo Attacl Built-i	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storag way # of C Surface C ge # of C ort # of C hed Described	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane mindue to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwast 5 Rooms	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bu effect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other wave Washer/E 2.0 Bath(s	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? stling beyond bject properti Tax Records Stliving Area AFrirepla Wood APatio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Street Other A	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes A buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 [stove(s) # 0 Deck Open I Front None [Wood Fence None [describe)	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Garag Carpo Attacl Built-i	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storag way # of C Surface C ge # of C ort # of C hed Described	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane mindue to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Base Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwast 5 Rooms	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bu effect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other wave Washer/E 2.0 Bath(s	Off-site Impro Street Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? estling beyond bject properti Tax Records Striving Area A Firepla Wood A Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Street A A A A A A A A A A A B A A A A A A A	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes A buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 [stove(s) # 0 Deck Open I Front None [Wood Fence None [describe)	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Garag Carpo Attacl Built-i	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storag way # of C Surface C ge # of C ort # of C hed Described	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	War Sa	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bu effect on the su Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Condition Individual Other wave Washer/E 2.0 Bath(s Int items noted:	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? es, etc.)? estiling beyond bject properti Tax Records Stiving Area Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Oryer Other (ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 [stove(s) # 0] Deck Open [Front None [Wood Fence None [describe) 1 Square Feet of (MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storage way # of C Surface C ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ing Area Above	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwasl 5 Rooms , etc.) No	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us offect on the sure of	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? esting beyond bject properti Tax Records Stiving Area Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Tax Remodeling, etc.).	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Front None Wood Fence None (describe) 1 Square Feet of (1) C4;;	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26. If Yes, described fence there value at the Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cont # of Co	e is time Cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached Grade
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwasl 5 Rooms , etc.) No	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us offect on the sure of	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? esting beyond bject properti Tax Records Stiving Area Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Tax Remodeling, etc.).	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Front None Wood Fence None (describe) 1 Square Feet of (1) C4;;	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26. If Yes, described fence there value at the Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cont # of Co	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwasl 5 Rooms , etc.) No	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us offect on the sure of	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? esting beyond bject properti Tax Records Stiving Area Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Tax Remodeling, etc.).	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Front None Wood Fence None (describe) 1 Square Feet of (1) C4;;	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26. If Yes, described fence there value at the Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cont # of Co	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwasl 5 Rooms , etc.) No	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us offect on the sure of	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? esting beyond bject properti Tax Records Stiving Area Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Tax Remodeling, etc.).	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Front None Wood Fence None (describe) 1 Square Feet of (1) C4;;	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26. If Yes, described fence there value at the Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cont # of Co	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwasl 5 Rooms , etc.) No	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us offect on the sure of	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? esting beyond bject properti Tax Records Stiving Area Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Tax Remodeling, etc.).	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Front None Wood Fence None (describe) 1 Square Feet of (1) C4;;	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26. If Yes, described fence there value at the Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cont # of Co	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwasl 5 Rooms , etc.) No	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us offect on the sure of	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? esting beyond bject properti Tax Records Stiving Area Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Tax Remodeling, etc.).	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Front None Wood Fence None (describe) 1 Square Feet of (1) C4;;	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26. If Yes, described fence there value at the Property Owner Car Storage way # of Cont # of Co	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basem Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwast 5 Rooms , etc.) No purce(s) (includice ears adequate	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bueffect on the sure of the sur	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? es, etc.)? estling beyond bject properti Tax Records Striving Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Other Tax Records A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Open Front None Wood Fence None (describe) 1 Square Feet of C C4;; to no interior inse	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Coriveway Garag Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe fence there value at the property Owner Car Storage Car Storage Car # of Car # o	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basem Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwast 5 Rooms , etc.) No purce(s) (includice ears adequate	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bueffect on the sure of the sur	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? es, etc.)? estling beyond bject properti Tax Records Striving Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Other Tax Records A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Open Front None Wood Fence None (describe) 1 Square Feet of C C4;; to no interior inse	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe fence there value at the property Owner Car Storage Car Storage Car # of Car # o	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basem Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwast 5 Rooms , etc.) No purce(s) (includice ears adequate	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bueffect on the sure of the sur	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? es, etc.)? estling beyond bject properti Tax Records Striving Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Other Tax Records A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Open Front None Wood Fence None (describe) 1 Square Feet of C C4;; to no interior inse	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Coriveway Garag Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe fence there value at the property Owner Car Storage Car Storage Car # of Car # o	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basem Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwast 5 Rooms , etc.) No purce(s) (includice ears adequate	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bueffect on the surplice of the surplin	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? es, etc.)? estling beyond bject properti Tax Records Striving Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Other Tax Records A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Open Front None Wood Fence None (describe) 1 Square Feet of C C4;; to no interior inse	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Coriveway Garag Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe fence there value at the property Owner Car Storage Car Storage Car # of Car # o	e is time Cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached Grade
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwast 5 Rooms , etc.) No purce(s) (includice ears adequate	Public ater	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us bike path and bueffect on the surplice of the surplin	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? es, etc.)? estling beyond bject properti Tax Records Striving Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Other Tax Records A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ed Asphalt ee FE Yes d buffered by a es marketabili Prior Inspection Assessor's office menities ace(s) # 1 Istove(s) # 0 Deck Open Front None Wood Fence None (describe) 1 Square Feet of C C4;; to no interior inse	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Coriveway Garag Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26. If Yes, describe fence there value at the property Owner Car Storage Car Storage Car # of Car # o	e is time Cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached Grade
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwast 5 Rooms , etc.) N ource(s) (includice ars adequated diverse condition	Public ater Market Market	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us oike path and but effect on the sure of the s	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? Istling beyond Ibject properti Tax Records Striving Area Patio/ Porch ing Pool Fence Other O	ed Asphalt ee	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storage way # of C Surface C ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ing Area Above uality of cons n any repairs	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached etruction is
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Sa No FEM for the market a factors (easeme ro-lane min- due to the v ssue. operty Ger Concrete S Full Basen Partial Bas Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Dow Window Type Dishwast 5 Rooms , etc.) N ource(s) (includice ars adequated diverse condition	Public ater Market Market	Yes	FEMA Map # 06 No If No, describe tal conditions, land us oike path and but effect on the sure of the s	Off-site Improstreet Pav Alley Non 037C1295F es, etc.)? es, etc.)? estling beyond bject properti Tax Records Striving Area A Firepla Wood Patio/ Porch ning Pool Fence Other Other Other Other Tax Records A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ed Asphalt ee	MA Map No a wood ity are Pee None Coriveway Garag Carpo Attacl Built-i Gross Livi	Date 09/26 If Yes, describe I fence ther value at th Property Owner Car Storage way # of C Surface C ge # of C ort # of C hed De in ing Area Above uality of cons n any repairs	e cars 1 Concrete Cars 1 Cars 0 etached etruction is

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 56778 56778 56778 56778

56778

There are 4 comparable	properties currently	offered for sale in	the subject neighborho	ood ranging in	price	from \$ 870.000		to \$	1.0	22,000
			the past twelve mont				0	to		,100,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT		LE SALE # 1			LE SALE # 2				E SALE # 3
Address 17807 Bullock St		5964 Yarmouth	Ave	17423 Bullo	ock St		1746	9 Burn	าa St	
Encino, CA 9131		Encino, CA 913	16	Encino, CA			Encii	no, CA	9131	6
Proximity to Subject		0.09 miles E		0.46 miles I				miles S		
Sale Price	\$		\$ 945,000			\$ 1,020,000				\$ 1,035,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 779.70 sq.ft.		\$ 784.62	sg.ft.	1,020,000	\$	656.73		1,000,000
Data Source(s)		CRMLS#OC231		CRMLS#23		39·DOM 9				39887;DOM 10
Verification Source(s)		Doc#24-000725		Doc#23-082				‡23-807		OCCOT, DOWN TO
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTI		+(-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIPTION		+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	BEGGIIII TIGIL	ArmLth	i () ¢ /tajaoanione	ArmLth		r () ¢ riajasansni	Arml		511	1 () \$ rtajaotinone
Concessions		Conv;5000	5,000	Conv;0				/:2000		-2,000
Date of Sale/Time		s01/24;c11/23	-5,000	s11/23;c10/	/23			7 <u>,2000</u> 23;c10/	23	-2,000
Location	N:Poo:				123				23	
Leasehold/Fee Simple		N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Re			
Site		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	;			Simple		0
View		5702 sf	0	6053 sf		0	5610			0
		N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Re			
Design (Style)		DT1;Traditional		DT1;Traditio	nal			Tradition	nal	
Quality of Construction		Q3		Q3			Q3			
Actual Age		75		75			75			
Condition	C4	C3	-50,000			-50,000		I I		-50,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms.	Baths		Total		Baths	
Room Count	5 3 2.0	5 3 2.0		5 2	2.0	+5,000		3	2.0	0
Gross Living Area	1,481 sq.ft.	1,212 sq.ft.	+13,000	· · · · · ·	sq.ft.	+9,000		1,576	sq.ft.	0
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0sf			0sf			
Rooms Below Grade										
Functional Utility	Average	Average		ADU Unit		-50,000	Aver	age		
Heating/Cooling	FAU/CAC	FAU/CAC		FAU/CAC			FAU	/CAC		
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None			Sola	r Powe	r	-25,000
Garage/Carport	1ga1dw	2gd2dw	-10,000	1ga1dw			2gd2	dw.		-10,000
Porch/Patio/Deck	Open Patio / Porch	Open Patio / Porch		Open Patio / P	Porch		Open	Patio / P	orch	
Amenities	None	None		None			None	9		
Fireplace	1 Fireplace	None	+2,000	1 Fireplace	!		1 Fire	eplace		
Blt-Ins	Blt-Ins	Blt-Ins		Blt-Ins			Blt-Ir	•		
Net Adjustment (Total)		_ + X -	\$ -50,000	□ + >	X -	\$ -86,000			(-	\$ -87,000
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 5.3 %		Net Adj.	8.4 %		Net Ac		8.4 %	
-		Gross Adj. 8.5 %			11.2 %	\$ 934,000				
of Comparables I X did did not research t			erty and comparable sale			934,000	3	rtaj.	8.4 %	940,000
My research did did not research t My research did did did r Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did did r Data Source(s) Data utilize	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came	s or transfers of the su e from the public r s or transfers of the co	brity and comparable sale blject property for the the ecords, realquest, a omparable sales for the y ecords, realquest, a	es. If not, explain aree years prior to and mIs year prior to the and mIs	to the ef	fective date of this appr sale of the comparable	aisal.			340,000
My research did did not research t My research did did did r Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did did r Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior	s or transfers of the sue from the public r s or transfers of the co e from the public r sale or transfer histor	biject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property	es. If not, explain ree years prior to and mIs year prior to the and mIs r and comparable	to the eff date of e sales	fective date of this appr sale of the comparable (report additional prior s	aisal. sale.	n page 3)		
My research did did not research t My research did did did r Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did r Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior	s or transfers of the su e from the public r s or transfers of the co	brity and comparable sale blject property for the the ecords, realquest, a omparable sales for the y ecords, realquest, a	es. If not, explain ree years prior to and mIs year prior to the and mIs r and comparable	to the eff date of e sales	fective date of this appr sale of the comparable	aisal. sale.	n page 3)		RABLE SALE #3
My research did did not research t My research did did rot research t Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did rot research did did rot research did did rot research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior	s or transfers of the sue from the public r s or transfers of the co e from the public r sale or transfer histor	biject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property	es. If not, explain ree years prior to and mIs year prior to the and mIs r and comparable	to the eff date of e sales	fective date of this appr sale of the comparable (report additional prior s	aisal. sale.	n page 3)		
My research did did not research t My research did did rot research t Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did rot research did did rot research did did rot rot research did did rot research did rot research did rot rot research did rot	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior	s or transfers of the stem of the public results or transfers of the control of the public results of the public results of the public results of the public results of transfer historians.	brity and comparable sale blject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the y ecords, realquest, a y of the subject property COMPARABLE Sales	es. If not, explain ree years prior to and mIs year prior to the and mIs v and comparable ALE #1	to the ef	fective date of this appr sale of the comparable (report additional prior s COMPARABLE SALE #2	aisal. sale.	1 page 3)	COMPAI	
My research did did not research t My research did did r Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did r Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sale. in this report came not reveal any prior sale. in this report came and reveal any prior sale. in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic	s or transfers of the sue from the public rs or transfers of the coe from the public rs or transfers of the coe from the public rs ale or transfer histor	brity and comparable sale blject property for the the ecords, realquest, a omparable sales for the y ecords, realquest, a y of the subject property COMPARABLE So	es. If not, explain aree years prior to and mls year prior to the and mls r and comparable ALE #1	to the ef	fective date of this appr sale of the comparable (report additional prior s COMPARABLE SALE #2	aisal. sale.	n page 3)	COMPAI	RABLE SALE #3
My research did did not research t My research did did not research t Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did did not research a Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024	s or transfers of the sue from the public rs or transfers of the coe from the public rs or transfers of the coe from the public rs ale or transfer histor	bipect property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the secords, realquest, a comparable sales for the secords, realquest, a comparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE Sales CoreLogic 03/09/2024	es. If not, explain aree years prior to and mls year prior to the and mls r and comparable ALE #1	o the ef	fective date of this appr sale of the comparable (report additional prior s COMPARABLE SALE #2 Logic /2024	aisal. sale. sales or	1 page 3) C	COMPAI _ogic /2024	RABLE SALE #3
My research did did not research t My research did did not research t Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did did not research a Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024 story of the subject prop	s or transfers of the sue from the public rs or transfers of the coe from the public rs ale or transfer histor BJECT	bipect property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property COMPARABLE S. CoreLogic 03/09/2024 sales A se	es. If not, explain aree years prior to and mIs year prior to the and mIs and comparable ALE #1	o the ef	fective date of this approved sale of the comparable (report additional prior scomparABLE SALE #2000) Logic (2024) ords (did not) indic	aisal. sale. sales or	n page 3) CoreL 03/09 ny reco	COMPAI Logic /2024 orded	RABLE SALE #3
My research did did not research t My research did did not research t Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did did not research a did did not	not reveal any prior sale: in this report came not reveal any prior sale: in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024 story of the subject prop involving the subj	s or transfers of the sue from the public rs or transfers of the coe from the public rs ale or transfer histor IBJECT	bipect property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property COMPARABLE Scott CoreLogic 03/09/2024 sales A see earch of past reco	es. If not, explain aree years prior to and mIs year prior to the and mIs and comparable ALE #1	o the ef	fective date of this approved sale of the comparable (report additional prior scomparABLE SALE #2000) Logic (2024) ords (did not) indic	aisal. sale. sales or	n page 3) CoreL 03/09 ny reco	COMPAI Logic /2024 orded	RABLE SALE #3
My research did did not research t My research did did not research t Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did did not research a Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years	not reveal any prior sale: in this report came not reveal any prior sale: in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024 story of the subject prop involving the subj	s or transfers of the sue from the public rs or transfers of the coe from the public rs ale or transfer histor IBJECT	bipect property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the yecords, realquest, a y of the subject property COMPARABLE Scott CoreLogic 03/09/2024 sales A see earch of past reco	es. If not, explain aree years prior to and mIs year prior to the and mIs and comparable ALE #1	o the ef	fective date of this approved sale of the comparable (report additional prior scomparABLE SALE #2000) Logic (2024) ords (did not) indic	aisal. sale. sales or	n page 3) CoreL 03/09 ny reco	COMPAI Logic /2024 orded	RABLE SALE #3
My research did did not research t My research did did not research t Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did not research a Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years	not reveal any prior sale: in this report came not reveal any prior sale: in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024 story of the subject prop involving the subj rable sales utilize proach In the apprai area of Los Angeles were consi menities/utility, and market appraited by the following features and within the immediate market in oulevard and considered good re	s or transfers of the subject propers of the subject propers of the subject propers of the subject promises of the subject of transfers of the subject of transfer history and comparable of the subject	coreLogic 03/09/2024 Sales Carch of past recorectors. A solution of past recorectors.	es. If not, explain aree years prior to and mls year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 earch of pas ords (did not) consideration of the fine s such as quality of co	to the ef	fective date of this approximate approximate any recorded attentional prior scomparable for the subject and 5 were three more sales to traditional type style properties, te of market value. Comparables to size/lot utility, square footage	aisal. sales oi	CoreL 03/09 my recorransfer These three he story tradii th of Burbar terior utility, were two recomilar C-4 rational control of the control		RABLE SALE #3 title transfer In the past three In
My research did did not research to Data Source(s) My research did did did rot research to Data Source(s) My research did did did rot Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the compa Summary of Sales Comparison Ap North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park footage ranges, interior utility, varying interior a Los Angeles and consider good match paired d market appeal due to the similarities and locatic market area of Encino Park North of Burbank B amenities/utility, similar one story traditional typ	not reveal any prior sale: in this report came not reveal any prior sale: in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024 story of the subject prop involving the subj rable sales utilize proach In the apprai area of Los Angeles were consistent of the subject properties and compara	s or transfers of the subject propers of the subject propers of the subject propers of the subject promises of the subject of transfers of the subject of transfer history and comparable of the subject	coreLogic 03/09/2024 Sales Carch of past recorectors. A solution of past recorectors.	es. If not, explain aree years prior to and mls year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 earch of pas ords (did not) consideration of the fine s such as quality of co	to the ef	fective date of this approximate approximate any recorded attentional prior scomparable for the subject and 5 were three more sales to traditional type style properties, te of market value. Comparables to size/lot utility, square footage	aisal. sales oi	CoreL 03/09 my recorransfer These three he story tradii th of Burbar terior utility, were two recomilar C-4 rational control of the control		RABLE SALE #3 title transfer In the past three In
My research did did not research to Data Source(s) My research did did rot research to Data Source(s) Data utilize My research did did rot research a Data Source(s) Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the compa Summary of Sales Comparison App North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park footage ranges, interior utility, varying interior a Los Angeles and consider good match paired d market appeal due to the similarities and locatic market area of Encino Park North of Burbank B	not reveal any prior sale: in this report came not reveal any prior sale: in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024 story of the subject prop involving the subject properties and compara	s or transfers of the subject propers of the subject propers of the subject propers of the subject promises of the subject of transfers of the subject of transfer history and comparable of the subject	coreLogic 03/09/2024 Sales Carch of past recorectors. A solution of past recorectors.	es. If not, explain aree years prior to and mls year prior to the and comparable ALE #1 earch of pas ords (did not) consideration of the fine s such as quality of co	to the ef	fective date of this approximate approximate any recorded attentional prior scomparable for the subject and 5 were three more sales to traditional type style properties, te of market value. Comparables to size/lot utility, square footage	aisal. sales oi	CoreL 03/09 my recorransfer These three he story tradii th of Burbar terior utility, were two recomilar C-4 rational control of the control		RABLE SALE #3 title transfer In the past three In
My research did did not research to Data Source(s) My research did did did rot Data Source(s) My research did did did rot Data Source(s) Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the compa	not reveal any prior sale: in this report came not reveal any prior sale: in this report came in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024 story of the subject prop involving the subject properties, and compara on Approach \$ 91	s or transfers of the subject proper so or transfers of the subject proper so or transfers of the control of the subject property and comparable so or transfer histor (BJECT) Deerty and comparable so or transfer or transfer or transfer or transfer so or transfer histor (BJECT) Deerty and comparable so or	coreLogic 03/09/2024 Sales Carch of past recorectors. A solution of past recorectors.	es. If not, explain ree years prior to and mls year prior to the and mls r and comparable ALE #1 earch of pas ords (did not) consideration of the fine s such as quality of co	to the ef	fective date of this approximate approximate any recorded are of market value for the subject traditional type style properties, the of market value. Comparables of size/lot utility, square footage chosen in support of the final estimates and the comparables are formally square footage.	aisal. sales of sales	CoreL 03/09 my recorransfer These three he story tradii th of Burbar terior utility, were two recomilar C-4 ratimarket value		Title transfer In the past three In the past three
My research did did not research to Data Source(s) My research did did did rot research to Data Source(s) My research did did did rot Data Source(s) Data a utilize Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the compa Summary of Sales Comparison Apples and consider good match paired domarket appeal due to the similarities and locatic market area of Encino Park North of Burbank Bamenities/utility, similar one story traditional typ Indicated Value by Sales Comparisor	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024 story of the subject propinvolving the subject properties and considered good restyle properties, and comparation approach \$91 arison Approach \$91 arison Approach \$91	s or transfers of the subject proper so or transfers of the subject proper so or transfers of the control of the subject property and comparable subject property. A subject property and comparable sect property. A subject property. A subject property is subject property is subject property in the subject property is subject property. A subject property is subject property is subject property in the subject property is subject property. A subject property is subject property in the subject property in the subject property is subject property. A subject property is subject property in the subject property in the subject property is subject property. A subject property is subject property in the subject property is subject property in the subject property in the subject property is subject property. A subject property is subject property in the subject property in the subject property is subject property in the subject property in the subject property is subject property. A subject property is subject property in the subject property in the subject property is subject property. A subject property is subject property in the subject property in the subject property is subject property. A subject property is subject property in the subject property in the subject property is subject property. A subject property is subject property in the subject property in the subject property is subject property in the subject property in the subject property is subject property in the subject property in the subject property is subject property in the subject property	abject property for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales of the subject property. ComeLogic 03/09/2024 Sales A second of past reconstruction of past reconstruction of past reconstruction within the Encino area of the following characteristics occation within the Encino area of the feditive age, lot size, square of the economic sales willowing attributes such as quality park area of Los Angeles. These	es. If not, explain ree years prior to and mls year prior to the and mls r and comparable ALE #1 earch of pas onsideration of the fine is such as quality of co Los Angeles. Compar footage range, similar were selected in the fire y of construction, effect two recent active listic	to the ef	fective date of this approximate any recorded of market value for the subject redictional type style properties, and 5 were three more sales to traditional type style properties, the of market value. Comparables of size/lot utility, square footage chosen in support of the final estimates approach to the subject of size/lot utility, square footage chosen in support of the final estimates.	sales of sal	CoreL 03/09, ny recorransfer These three he story tradit th of Burbar terior utility, were two recommilar C-4 ratimarket value (if development)		m the immediate market area as style properties, square and in the Encino Park area of terior amenities/utility, and be listings from the immediate all interior utility, very interior ubject property.
My research did did not research to Data Source(s) My research did did did rot Data Source(s) My research did did did rot Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research and ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the companion of Sulphanary of Sales Comparison Apples and consider good match paired disparanteed area of Encino Park North of Burbank Bamenities/utility, similar one story traditional typ Indicated Value by Sales Comparisor Indicated Value by: Sales Comparisor Indicated Value Indicated	not reveal any prior sale. in this report came not reveal any prior sale. in this report came not reveal any prior sale. in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024 story of the subject propinvolving the subject properties and utilize proach In the apprairance of Los Angeles were consimentities/utility, and market appeat and due to the following features on within the immediate market coulevard and considered good restyle properties, and comparation Approach \$ 91 arison Approach \$ arison Approach were en	s or transfers of the state from the public restriction of the state from the public restriction of transfers of the control of transfers of the control of transfer histor. BJECT Derty and comparable restriction of the state of transfer histor. BJECT Derty and comparable restriction of the state of transfer histor. Derty and comparable restriction of the state o	abject property for the the ecords, realquest, a sumparable sales for the ecords, realquest, a sumparable sales and subject property. COMPARABLE Sumparable sales and 6 were given the greatest of past reconstruction within the Encino area of n, effective age, lot size, square the sumparable sales will be sumparable sales sum	es. If not, explain aree years prior to and mls year prior to the and mls r and comparable ALE #1 earch of pas ords (did not) consideration of the fine s such as quality of co Los Angeles. Compar footage range, similar were selected in the fire y of construction, effect two recent active listic	co the efficient of the	fective date of this approximate any recorded are any recorded at any recorded are any recorded at any recorde	aisal. sale. sales of	These three he story tradit th of Burbar terior utility, were two recomilar C-4 ratimarket value (if develop frenta		title transfer In the past three In the Encino Park area of terior amenities/utility, and the Encino Park area of terior amenities/utility, and the Encino Park area of terior amenities/utility, and the Encino P
My research did did not research to Data Source(s) My research did did rotata Source(s) My research did did did rotata Source(s) Data utilize My research did did did rotata Source(s) Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the compa Summary of Sales Comparison App North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park footage ranges, interior utility, varying interior a Los Angeles and consider good match paired d market appeal due to the similarities and locatic market area of Encino Park North of Burbank B amenities/utility, similar one story traditional typ Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis Indicated Value	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024 story of the subject propinvolving the subject properties and considered good report of the subject properties, and comparation area of Los Angeles were considered good restyle properties, and comparation Approach \$ 91 arison Approach were entired the greater and considered good restyle properties, and comparation approach \$ 91 arison Approach were entired the greater and considered good restyle properties, and comparation approach \$ 91 arison Approach were entired the greater and considered good restyle properties, and comparation approach were entired to the greater and considered good restyle properties, and comparation approach \$ 91 arison Approach were entired to the greater and considered good restyle properties, and comparation approach were entired to the greater and considered good restyle properties, and comparation approach \$ 91 arison Approach \$ 91 arison Approach were entired to the greater and considered good restyle properties, and comparation approach \$ 91 arison Approach \$ 9	s or transfers of the subject proper is or transfers of the subject proper is or transfers of the control of the subject property and comparable is early and comparable. Such a specific property. A subject	abject property for the the ecords, realquest, a sumparable sales for the ecords, realquest, a sumparable sales of the subject property. COMPARABLE Sumparable sales and 6 were given the greatest of the following characteristics occation within the Encino area of n, effective age, lot size, square the sumparable such as quality park area of Los Angeles. These ecourse it reflects to the ecourse it is the ecourse in the ecou	es. If not, explain ree years prior to and mls year prior to the and mls r and comparable ALE #1 earch of pas ords (did not) consideration of the fine s such as quality of co Los Angeles. Compar footage range, similar were selected in the fire y of construction, effect two recent active listic eloped) \$ g approach we he actions o	co the efficient of the	fective date of this approximate approximate any recorded are any recorded	aisal. sales of sale	CoreL 03/09, ny recorransfer These three he story tradition of Burbar terior utility, were two recommilar C-4 ratimarket value (if develop of rentation the control of th		title transfer In the past three In the Encino Park area of terior amenities/utility, and In interior utility, very interior Jubject property. In the past three In the immediate in the immediate In the immediate market area In the
My research did did not research to Data Source(s) My research did did did rot Data Source(s) My research did did did rot Data Source(s) Data utilize Report the results of the research a ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his within the last three years years involving the compa Summary of Sales Comparison App North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park footage ranges, interior utility, varying interior a Los Angeles and consider good match paired d market appeal due to the similarities and locatic market area of Encino Park North of Burbank B amenities/utility, similar one story traditional typ Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparis The market data and cost The market approach was	not reveal any prior sale in this report came not reveal any prior sale in this report came and analysis of the prior SU CoreLogic 03/09/2024 Story of the subject propinvolving the subject properties and use of Los Angeles were consimentities/utility, and market appeat and use to the following features on within the immediate market coulevard and considered good restyle properties, and comparation Approach \$ 91 arison Approach \$ 92 arison Approach \$ 93 arison Approach \$ 94 arison Approach were end given the greater \$ 91 Subject to following repairs or a subject to properties of the subject to following repairs or a subject to properties or	s or transfers of the subject proper is or transfers of the subject proper is or transfers of the control of the public results of transfers of the control of transfer histor. It is also or transfer so or transfer histor. It is also or transfer his	arty and comparable sales arty and comparable sales are properly for the the ecords, realquest, a comparable sales for the secords, realquest, a comparable sales for the secords, realquest, a comparable sales for the secords, realquest, a comparable sales are properly comparable sales. A second of past reconstruction of past reconstruction of past reconstruction of past reconstruction within the Encino area of n, effective age, lot size, square the second of past reconstruction of the second of the se	es. If not, explain aree years prior to and mls year prior to the and mls y and comparable ALE #1 earch of pas ands (did not) consideration of the fine s such as quality of co Los Angeles. Compar footage range, similar were selected in the fire y of construction, effect two recent active listic eloped) \$ g approach we he actions of the basis of condition that the	co the ef	fective date of this approximate approximate any recorded are three more sales to traditional type style properties, the of market value. Comparables of size/lot utility, square footage chosen in support of the final establishment and the final establishment are applied as a supplication of the final condition are alterations have a for alterations have	aisal. sale. sales of the sale at title triple at title triple at title a	These three the story tradit th of Burbar terior utility, were two recomilar C-4 ratimarket value in the improven		RABLE SALE #3 title transfer the past three muthe immediate market area e style properties, square and in the Encino Park area of terior amenities/utility, and e listings from the immediate all interior utility, very interior ubject property.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 2 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 56778 File # 35172377

I i have benormed no services, as an appraiser of in any other ca	accitive responding the property that is the cubicat of this report	
	pacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report	
within the three year period immediately preceding acceptance of	tnis assignment."	
A reasonable exposure time for the subject property developed in	dependently from the stated marketing time is 30 days.	
The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client. Unle	ss specifically stated within the report, there are no additional	
intended users. The intended use is to evaluate the property that is	the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction	n.
subject to stated scope of work, purpose of the appraisal, reportin		1
market value.No additional intended users are identified by the ap		
market value. No additional interface abolic are identified by the ap	praidor.	
Approisal Foo \$220		
Appraisal Fee \$220		
Some data utilized in this report was older than six months fr	<u> </u>)
this older data due to a lack of match paired data in the imme	diate market area at this time.	
		-
		-
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)	
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	, , , ,	
	ns.	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimates of the comparable land sales or other methods.	nating site value) Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate	ns. Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000.Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings.	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$660,4	465
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = 660,4 DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$ 333,2	465
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000.Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this stillized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$ 333,2 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$	465
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$660,4 DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$333,7 O Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$	465 225
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000.Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$660,4 DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$333,7 O Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$	465
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000.Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$660,4 DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$333,7 O Sq.Ft. @ \$ = \$	465 225 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$660, DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @\$225.00 =\$333,200 Sq.Ft. @\$ =\$ Garage/Carport 200 Sq.Ft. @\$80.00 =\$16,100 Total Estimate of Cost-New =\$349,200 Less Physical Functional External	465 225 000 225
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated.	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$ 333,200	465 225 000 225 690)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$660,4 DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$333,7 O Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ =\$ Garage/Carport 200 Sq.Ft. @ \$80.00 = \$16,6 Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$349,7 Less Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$209,6	465 225 000 225 690) 535
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated.	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$ 333,200	465 225 000 225 690) 535
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000.Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized.	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$660,4 DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$333,7 O Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Garage/Carport 200 Sq.Ft. @ \$80.00 = \$16,0 Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$349,7 Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 139,690 = \$(139,6) Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$209,6 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$100,6	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$660,4 DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$333,7 O Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Garage/Carport 200 Sq.Ft. @ \$80.00 = \$16,1 Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$349,7 Less Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$209,6 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$100,6 INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$970,6	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$660,4 DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$333,7 O Sq.Ft. @ \$ =\$ Garage/Carport 200 Sq.Ft. @ \$80.00 = \$16,1 Total Estimate of Cost-New = \$349,7 Less Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$209,6 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$100,6 INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH = \$970,6	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one wals 660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the value of the land, comparable one wall \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up estimated in EPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the value of the land, comparable one wall \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up estimated in the property of cost data of cost data in the property of cost data of cost	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estivate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estivate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the top into the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the top into the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook was Unative top in the Swift Cost Handbook (ground the service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) To Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project Total number of phases	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the torth price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	nating site value) Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$ 333,2	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is +_98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate of the torth price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	nating site value) Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$ 333,2	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	nating site value) Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,481 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 225.00 = \$ 333,2	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estive was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one way \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete?	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estive was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one way \$660,000. Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Coulity rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete?	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estive was utilized with this report. An appraisal process where the appraiser selects comparate estimate from the total price given estimate of the value of the land, comparable one was \$660,000.Typical land to building ratio for the neighborhood. The area is + 98% built up ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Q3 Effective date of cost data 03/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Typical land building ratio for the neighborhood. The Marshall and Swift cost handbook was utilized in this report for cost information. The calculated square footage of gross living area is considered an approximation. The subject has no functional or economic obsolescence noted are anticipated. The abstraction approach was utilized. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete?	Due to a lack of good land sales the abstraction method le properties, estimates the value of the improvements, and then subtracts this sutilized. The extracted site value range around it was comparable sale one Any new construction would require tearing down existing buildings. OPINION OF SITE VALUE	465 225 000 225 690) 535 000

Page 3 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

56778 File # 35172377

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 56778 File # 351723

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 5 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

56778 File # 35172377

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER // D	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Robert Bronley	Name
Company Name The Appraisal Shoppe	Company Name
Company Address 22607 Collins St	Company Address
Woodland Hills, CA 91367	
Telephone Number (818) 715-0051	Telephone Number
Email Address appraisalshoppe1@aol.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 03/10/2024	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 03/09/2024	State Certification #
State Certification #	or State License #
or State License # AG004659	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 09/26/2024	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
17807 Bullock St	Date of Inspection
Encino, CA 91316 APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 910,000	·
	COMPARABLE SALES
LENDER/CLIENT	OOM ATTABLE OALLO
Name Clear Capital	☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc.	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach , CA 90278	
Email Address AMC Registration Clear Capital.com Inc: California #1256	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 56778
Since # 35172377

FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 4	COMPARA	BLE SALE # 5	COMPARABL	FSALF#6
Address 17807 Bullock St		5741 Newcastle	•	5734 Bianca Av		5727 Newcastle	
Encino, CA 9131		Encino, CA 913		Encino, CA 913		Encino, CA 9131	
Proximity to Subject		0.37 miles SW	10	0.55 miles SE	710	0.39 miles SW	0
Sale Price	\$	0.37 Tilles 3W	\$ 910,000		\$ 905,000		\$ 900,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 801.76 sq.ft.		\$ 1166.24 sq.	. 000,000	\$ 694.98 sq.ft.	900,000
Data Source(s)	φ 54.π.						45000-DOM 40
		CRMLS#233113		CRMLS#23338		CRMLS#DW231	45063;DOM 10
Verification Source(s)	DECODIDATION	Doc#23-073747		Doc#24-008770		Doc#23-581164	· () th A diversions
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth	
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0		Conv;0	
Date of Sale/Time		s10/23;c10/23		s02/24;c01/24		s08/23;c08/23	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	
Site	5500 sf	5497 sf	0	5550 sf	0	5498 sf	0
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Design (Style)	DT1;Traditional	DT1;Traditional		DT1;Traditiona		DT1;Traditional	
Quality of Construction	Q3	Q3		Q3		Q3	
Actual Age	75	75		75		75	
Condition	C4	C4		C4		C4	
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths	+10,000		s +10,000		
Room Count	5 3 2.0	5 3 1.0	0				
Gross Living Area	1,481 sq.ft.						+9,000
Basement & Finished		0sf	+17,000	776 აყ.	+35,000		+∌,∪∪∪
Rooms Below Grade	0sf	USI		USI		0sf	
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average		Average	
Heating/Cooling	FAU/CAC	FAU/CAC		Wall /Wall	+20,000	FAU/CAC	
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None		None	
Garage/Carport	1ga1dw	1ga1dw		1ga1dw		1ga1dw	
Porch/Patio/Deck	Open Patio / Porch	Open Patio / Porch		Open Patio / Porch		Open Patio / Porch	
Amenities	None	None		None		None	
Fireplace	1 Fireplace	1 Fireplace		None	+2,000	None	+2,000
Blt-Ins	Blt-Ins	Blt-Ins		Blt-Ins	,	Blt-Ins	,
Net Adjustment (Total)		X +	\$ 27,000		\$ 72,000		\$ 11,000
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 3.0 %	1	Net Adj. 8.0		Net Adj. 1.2 %	11,000
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 3.0 %				Gross Adj. 1.2 %	\$ 911,000
Report the results of the research a	l and analysis of the prio						Ψ 911,000
ITEM		JBJECT	COMPARABLE SA		COMPARABLE SALE #		ABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	3	DDJLOT	OUIVIF ANADEL OA	LL # 4	OUIVIT ANADEL SALL #	O GOIVIF AIT	ADEL SALL # 0
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer			•				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	CoreLogic		CoreLogic		eLogic	CoreLogic	
	03/09/2024		03/09/2024		09/2024	03/09/2024	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his					cords (did not) indic	-	
within the last three years				rds (did not) ind	icate any recorded	title transfer withir	n the past three
years involving the compa	<u>rable sales utilize</u>	in this appraisal	report.				
Analysis/Comments							
2							
<u> </u>							

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 56778
Silverior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 56778
Silverior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 56778

FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 7		IPARABI	E SALE # 8		COMPARABL	FSALF# 9
Address 17807 Bullock St		5866 Zelzah Ave		6139 Whit				OOMI / III/IDL	L ONLL II 9
Encino, CA 9131		Encino, CA 913							
Proximity to Subject	0		10	Encino, CA		U			
	φ	0.22 miles SW		0.16 miles	NE	la			^
Sale Price	\$		\$ 1,022,000			\$ 872,000			\$
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 744.36 sq.ft.		\$ 771.6	8 sq.ft.		\$	sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)		CRMLS#OC240	41301;DOM 9	CRMLS#2	33394	73;DOM 31			
Verification Source(s)		No Doc Selected	b	No Doc Se	elected	1			
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT	ΓΙΟΝ	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESC	RIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		Listing		Listing		.,			.,, -
Concessions		Conv;0	-15,000	_		-15,000			
Date of Sale/Time		Active	-10,000	c01/24		-10,000			
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		A;BsyRd;		+10,000			
Leasehold/Fee Simple		<u> </u>				+10,000			
	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple	e				
Site	5500 sf	5620 sf	0	6050 sf		0			
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;					
Design (Style)	DT1;Traditional	DT1;Traditional		DT1;Traditi	onal				
Quality of Construction	Q3	Q3		Q3					
Actual Age	75	75		73		0			
Condition	C4	C4		C4					
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths			Total Bdrms.	Baths	+10,000	Total Bo	drms. Baths	
Room Count	5 3 2.0	5 3 2.0		5 3	1.0	0			
Gross Living Area	1,481 sq.ft.		+5,000		0 sq.ft.	+18,000		sq.ft.	
Basement & Finished			+5,000		υ	+10,000		3 4 .11.	
	0sf	0sf		0sf					
Rooms Below Grade									
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average					
Heating/Cooling	FAU/CAC	FAU/CAC		FAU/CAC					
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None					
Garage/Carport	1ga1dw	1ga1dw		2ga2dw		-10,000			
Porch/Patio/Deck	Open Patio / Porch	Open Patio / Porch		Open Patio /	Porch				
Amenities	None	None		None	1 01011				
Fireplace	1 Fireplace	None	+2,000			+2,000			
			+2,000			+2,000			
Blt-Ins	Blt-Ins	Blt-Ins	Φ 0.000	Blt-Ins		ф 4= aaa	l .		Φ.
Net Adjustment (Total)		<u> </u>	\$ -8,000			\$ 15,000			\$
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 0.8 %		Net Adj.	1.7 %		Net Adj.	%	
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 2.2 %	\$ 1,014,000	Gross Adj.	7.5 %	\$ 887,000			\$
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the pric	r sale or transfer histor				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- ,	
ITEM	S	UBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	LE # 7	C	OMPARABLE SALE # 1	3	COMPAR	ABLE SALE # 9
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer									
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer									
Data Source(s)	CoreLogic		CoreLogic		Corel	odic			
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	03/09/2024		03/09/2024		03/09				
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his				oarah of na		ords (did not) indic	oto onv	rocordod	title transfer
within the last three years				ras (ala no	t) indic	ate any recorded	uue tran	isier withir	i the past three
years involving the compa	rable sales utilize	e in this appraisai	героп.						
Analysis/Comments									
1									
†									
1									

		Supplemental Addendum	File	No. 35172377	
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address	17807 Bullock St				
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc				

A thorough search for comparable sales was made in this neighborhood. These comparable sales have significantly different (dates of sale, sizes, ages, conditions, and styles), in the appraiser's opinion, the comparable sales selected are the best indicators of the subject property's market value. Studies using match pairs serve as a basis for making the required adjustments

The validity of the sales comparison approach depends on the existence of recent sales of property which are comparable in location, size, age, condition, utility, construction and overall market appeal and compared with the subject property. The sale comparison approach has broad applicability and is persuasive when sufficient data is available. It usually provides the primary indication of value in sales of properties not purchased for their income producing characteristics. Every effort has been made to strictly here to the lenders guidelines and those of HUD. However due to the nature of the market it is not always possible to find comparable sales within a data pool for a particular area, that meet all of these guidelines. Therefore, it might have been necessary to use older sales, sales of competing homes in the area located further away than typically desired. In these instances, it is the appraiser's opinion that the comparable sales chosen represent the best data available and are the most reliable indicators of current market value.

There are no extraordinary assumptions are hypothetical conditions to this appraisal report. An extraordinary assumption presume as fact otherwise uncertain information about physical, legal, our economic characteristics of the subject property or about conditions external to the property, such as market conditions or trends, or the integrity of the data used in an analysis. A hypothetical condition that which is contrary to known facts about physical, legal, or economic characteristics of the subject property are about conditions external to the property, such as market conditions or trends

Comments on market data / Adjustment comments

The adjustments that were warranted, were derived from match paired data from within this report, preparation of the work file, other jobs performed in the immediate market area over the past 36 interviews selling and listing agents from the immediate and surroundings market areas. There were no adjustments that exceeded the lenders guidelines of 10%. Not all adjustments in the sales comparison approach, and be directly extracted are supported by the available market data with a high degree of accuracy. Some adjustments have an element of subjectivity and professional judgment. The appraiser has applied based on prior observations of the reactions of typical/knowledgeable buyers and sellers in the marketplace. This method is a standard and well accepted practice within the appraisal industry. All interested parties are encouraged to have an understanding of basic valuation practices when appraising complex properties are when there is an extreme absent of like elements of comparison are in instances where the market data is consistent which to draw better supported adjustments and overall value.

Comments on market data utilized in this appraisal report:

5964 Yarmouth Ave - This is a Q3 quality traditional type style single-family residence situated North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park area of Los Angeles and given a C3 rating due to upgrades throughout the years and being well maintained and considered good match paired data due to the following features such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story type style property, square footage range, overall interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the Encino area of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

17423 Bullock St - This is a Q3 quality traditional type style single-family residence situated North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park area of Los Angeles and given a C3 rating due to upgrades throughout the years and being well maintained and considered good match paired data due to the following Characteristics such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story type style property, square footage range, overall interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the Encino area of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

17469 Burma St - This is a Q3 quality traditional type style single-family residence situated North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park area of Los Angeles and given a C3 rating due to upgrades throughout the years and being well maintained and considered good match pair at a due to the following Attributes such as quality of

Signature	100mm	Monda	Signature	
Name Rober	t Bronley		Name	
Date Signed	03/10/2024		Date Signed	
State Certification	on #	State	State Certification #	State
Or State License	e# AG004659	State CA	Or State License #	State

Supplemental Addendum

File No.	35172377
----------	----------

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	17807 Bullock St			
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			

construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story type style property, square footage range, overall interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the Encino area of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

5741 Newcastle Ave - This is a one story Q3 quality traditional type style single-family residence located North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles and given a C4 rating due to a lack of upgrades/remodeling over many years per MLS photo/MLS comments and viewing the exterior. This sale was considered good match paired data due to the following similarities when compared with the subject in quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, one story traditional type style property, square footage range, interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities in location within the Encino Park area of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

5734 Bianca Ave - This is a one story Q3 quality traditional type style single-family residence located North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles and given a C4 rating due to a lack of upgrades/remodeling over many years per MLS photo/MLS comments and viewing the exterior. This sale was considered good match paired data due to the following Features when compared with the subject in quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, one story traditional type style property, square footage range, interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities in location within the Encino Park area of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

5727 Newcastle Ave - This is a one story Q3 quality traditional type style single-family residence located North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles and given a C4 rating due to a lack of upgrades/remodeling over many years per MLS photo/MLS comments and viewing the exterior. This sale was considered good match paired data due to the following characteristics when compared with the subject in quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, one story traditional type style property, square footage range, interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities in location within the Encino Park area of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

5866 Zelzah Ave - This current active listing is a one story Q3 quality traditional type style single-family residence located North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles and given a C4 rating due to a lack of upgrades/remodeling over many years per MLS photo/MLS comments and viewing the exterior. This sale was considered good match paired data due to the following similarities when compared with the subject in quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, one story traditional type style property, square footage range, interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities in location within the Encino Park area of Los Angeles with few other adjustments being required at this time.

6139 White Oak Ave - This current active listing is a one story Q3 quality traditional type style single-family residence located North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park area of Los Angeles County Los Angeles and given a C4 rating due to a lack of upgrades/remodeling over many years per MLS photo/MLS comments and viewing the exterior and frts traffic street buffered by an island. This sale was considered good match paired data due to the following Features when compared with the subject in quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, one story traditional type style property, square footage range, interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities in location within the Encino Park area of Los Angeles with few

Signature	Rober	rolla	Signature	
Name Robert E	Bronley		Name	
Date Signed 03	3/10/2024		Date Signed	
State Certification #	#	State	State Certification #	State
Or State License #	AG004659	State CA	Or State License #	State

Supplemental Addendum

File	No.	351	72377
------	-----	-----	-------

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	17807 Bullock St			
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			

other adjustments being required at this time.

Summary of sales comparison approach:

In the appraiser's opinion comparables 1,4,and 6 were given the greatest consideration of the final estimate of market value for the subject property. These three sales from the immediate market area North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park area of Los Angeles were consider good match Beaird data due to the following characteristics such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, similar one story traditional type style properties, square footage ranges, interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the Encino area of Los Angeles. Comparables 2,3, and 5 were three more sales located North of Burbank Boulevard in the Encino Park area of Los Angeles and consider good match paired data due to the following features such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size, square footage range, similar one story traditional type style properties, overall interior utility, varying interior amenities/utility, and market appeal due to the similarities and location within the immediate market area of Encino city Los Angeles. These three additional sales were selected in the final estimate of market value. Comparables 7 and 8 were two recent active listings from the immediate market area of Encino Park North of Burbank Boulevard and considered good match paired data due to the following attributes such as quality of construction, effective age, lot size/lot utility, square footage range, similar C-4 rating, overall interior utility, very interior amenities/utility, similar one story traditional type style properties, and comparable location within the Encino Park area of Los Angeles. These two recent active listings were chosen in support of the final estimate of market value for the subject property.

The predominant value is higher than the final estimate of market value due to the subjects C4 rating an exterior condition.

Sometimes there are discrepancies between the appraiser's inspection and the public records. Public records in some instances does not have the correct information recorded for the subjects property, interior room count, bathroom count, on-site amenities, and other interior, amenities. Public records cannot be relied upon in all circumstances when there is a discrepancy between the public records and the MLS records the appraiser will use the data that most accurate and reliable. There were no discrepancies found between the public records/MLS in this report.

Some data exceeds the lenders guideline with data being 20% larger and smaller in square footage when compared with the subject property. Comparables 4,5, and 8 were 20% smaller in square footage. It was necessary to use this data had due to a lack of match paired data similar to the subject property, quality of construction, square footage, overall utility, and varying amenities.

The attached market condition sheet shows market stable at this time. Time adjustments were not applied to sales that were closed over 90 days ago from the effective date of this report. The adjustment dates to the contract date of sale.

Special assessment taxes are voter approved. Special assessment taxes can be extended by voters approval. Subject special assessments paid for flood control service open space program, storms sewers, public parks, County parks, trauma and emergency services. The special assessment taxes are paid yearly. The sales/listings utilized in this report have similar type special assessments. The special assessment tax is mailed with the real estate real property assessment tax statement.

A few of the sales/listings utilized as appraisal report had a different style. It is not always possible to find identical type sales in older neighborhoods that homes have been altered over the many years. It was necessary to use this data as recent match paired data in terms of square footage, quality of construction, and similar type condition. The typical buyer in this market is generally looking for location. The style and if the property is one story versus two stories is a matter of taste of the buyer, which has been verified by selling agents over the past years.

The lot size comes form the attached plat map. The attached legal sheet is not correct.

There were other sales and listings found during the preparation of the work file. These sales and listings were not utilized at this time due to varying issues regarding quality of construction, superior type views,

Signature	my monte	Signature	
Name Robert Bronley		Name	
Date Signed 03/10/2024		Date Signed	
State Certification #	State	State Certification #	State _
Or State License # AG004659	State CA	Or State License #	State

Supplemental Addendum

			00112011
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	17807 Bullock St		
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA Zip Code 91316
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.		

File No. 35172377

superior lot size and utility, off-site amenities such as guesthouse and,locational issues. This data is part of the work file.

Adjustments that have been made up based on match paired analysis of comparables utilized within this report are based on past market analysis of sales within the subjects market area.

The square footage adjustment made in this report is based on a match paired analysis of the comparables utilized in this report, and historical experience of the area. Square footage adjustment was determined to be \$50 per square foot.

There were no adjustments made for patios or other secondary amenities are energy-efficient items as there was no good match paired data to indicate value for secondary amenities.

There were adjustments made for bedroom or for bath room counts as there were match paired data to indicate a value for bedroom or bath counts at this time in the marketplace.

There were no adjustments made for actual age as there is no conclusive data that suggest that differences in effective age warranted adjustments.

Lot size adjustments were not made as paired data indicated adjustments were not warranted.

Primary amenities, such as pools, spa, guest units, tennis courts and views do hold value for homes in this area. There were adjustments made based on paired data in the area.

Paired data did indicate that adjustments were warranted for homes that had varied garage sizes.

Condition adjustments were made at some of the homes utilized in the report were of lesser or superior condition. Paired data indicated adjustments were warranted for homes that were in need of repair or in superior condition.

All adjustments that have been made are in the opinion of the appraiser to be good accurate adjustments and are based on a match paired data analysis.

Based upon physical conditions of the subject property and its effective age, the estimated remaining economic life is 50 years. Depreciation is calculated by the effective age divided by the economic life equals the remaining economic life. The Marshall and Swift cost book table for remaining economic life was utilized in this report.

The income approach was admitted and not the applicable due to the lack of sufficient compatible rental data and sales of rental properties required to establish a gross rent multiplier, this approach is not consider relevant in the appraisal single-family residential properties typically purchased for owner occupancy, as it does not accurately reflect the motivations or valuation progress applied by the typical purchaser of market

Signature	Kolin	Kinla
Name Robert Bro	onley	
Date Signed 03/	10/2024	
State Certification #		State
Or State License #	AG004659	State CA

Signature	
Name	
Date Signed	
State Certification #	State
Or State License #	State

56778 Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 35172377 The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with a clear and accurate understanding of the market trends and conditions prevalent in the subject neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraisal reports with an effective date on or after April 1, 2009. Property Address 17807 Bullock St City Encino Redwood Holdings LLC Borrower Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions, and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding housing trends and overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an average instead of the median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, foreclosures, etc. Prior 7-12 Months Inventory Analysis Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Declining Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) 12 Increasing Stable Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) 2.00 Increasing Stable Declining 3.00 1.00 X Increasing Total # of Comparable Active Listings Declining Stable 2 4 Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Stable 4.0 Declining Increasing 0.5 0.7 Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Increasing Declining Median Comparable Sale Price X Stable 912,500 910,000 909,000 Median Comparable Sales Days on Market Declining Stable Increasing 20 24 9 Declining Median Comparable List Price Stable 1,050,000 948,000 919,950 Increasing Median Comparable Listings Days on Market Stable 51 Declining Increasing 42 9 Median Sale Price as % of List Price Increasing Declining Stable 100.56 103.5 105.16 Increasing Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance prevalent? **X** No Declining Yes Stable Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the past 12 months (e.g., seller contributions increased from 3% to 5%, increasing use of buydowns, closing costs, condo The data used in the grid above does not indicate there were any concessions associated with the reported transactions. However, this is not a mandatory reporting field for agents and there may be some transactions that do include concessions, but have not been reported. It is beyond the scope of this assignment to confirm each sale used in the Market Conditions Report. **X** No Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market? Yes If yes, explain (including the trends in listings and sales of foreclosed properties). The data used in the grid above does not indicate there were any REO/Short sales or other distressed properties associated with the reported transactions. However, this is not a mandatory reporting field for agents and there may be some distressed sales that were not reported. It is beyond the scope of this assignment to confirm each sale used in the Market Conditions Report. Cite data sources for above information. The CARETS MLS was the data source used to complete the Market Conditions Addendum Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. If you used any additional information, such as an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. Values have been stable over the prior year in the area. There've been good market activity. Foreclosures is not had an influence on values. There is good current market activity. Supply and demand are in balance with marketing time currently low If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4–6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Stable Declining Increasing Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Declining Stable Increasing Total # of Active Comparable Listings Stable Declining Increasing Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Declining Stable Increasing Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of Yes No foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject unit and project.

AG004659 Email Address appraisalshoppe1@aol.com Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

Robert Bronley

The Appraisal Shoppe

22607 Collins St , Woodland Hills, CA 91367

RCH &

0/CO-OP

Signature

Appraiser Name

Company Name

Company Address

State License/Certification #

Page 1 of 1

State CA

Fannie Mae Form 1004MC March 2009

State

Signature

Company Name

Email Address

Company Address

Supervisory Appraiser Name

State License/Certification #

Legal Sheet - Page 1

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	17807 Bullock St						
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91316	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.						

	Beds 3	Full Baths 2	Half Baths N/A	Sale Price \$209,000	Sale Date N/A
	Bldg Sq Ft 1,481	Lot Sq Ft 5,496	Yr Built 1949	Type SFR	
OWNER INFORMATION			COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
Owner Name	Rinker Lorilee		Tax Billing Zip		91316
Mail Owner Name Tax Billing Address	Lorilee J Rinke 17807 Bullock S		Tax Billing Zip+4 Owner Occupied		1005 Yes
Tax Billing City & State	Encino, CA	ot (no man)	No Mail Flag		Y
Tax billing only a blate	2.101.10, 0.11		The man Flag		i ia ii sar ii saa ii saa ii saa
COMMUNITY INSIGHTS					
Median Home Value	\$883,693		School District		LOS ANGELES UNIFIED
Median Home Value Rating	9/10		Family Friendly So	core	31 / 100
Total Crime Risk Score (for the neighborhood, relative to the nation)	46 / 100		Walkable Score		79 / 100
Total Incidents (1 yr)	110		Q1 Home Price Fo	precast	\$887,639
Standardized Test Rank	63 / 100		Last 2 Yr Home A		14%
LOCATION INFORMATION					
Zip Code	91316		Comm College Di	strict Code	Los Angeles City
Carrier Route	C045		Census Tract		1392.00
Zoning Treat Number	LAR1		Topography	Modern Co. 15	Rolling/Hilly
Tract Number	15727		Within 250 Feet of one	Multiple Flood Z	No
School District	Los Angeles				
TAX INFORMATION					
APN	2159-026-011		Tax Area		16
Exemption(s)	Homeowner		Lot		182
% Improved	40%		Water Tax Dist		Southern California
Legal Description	TRACT NO 157	27 LOT 182	Contraction of the Contraction		
ASSESSMENT & TAX					
Assessment Year	2023		2022		2021
Assessed Value - Total	\$365,851		\$358,679		\$351,647
Assessed Value - Land	\$218,260		\$213,981		\$209,786
Assessed Value - Improved	\$147,591		\$144,698		\$141,861
YOY Assessed Change (\$)	\$7,172		\$7,032		
YOY Assessed Change (%)	2%		2%		
Tax Year	Total Tax		Change (\$)		Change (%)
2021	\$4,287		# 10 mm		22.0000 Table 177.00
2022	\$4,347		\$60		1.39%
2023	\$4,558		\$211		4.86%
2			manus of the state of		
Special Assessment			Tax Amount		
Safe Clean Water83			\$21.08		
Flood Control 62			\$23.87		
Laco Vectr Cntrl80			\$19.27		
Lacity Park Dist21			\$16.82		
La Stormwater 21			\$19.03		
Rposd Measure A 83			\$26.80		
City Lt Maint 21			\$53.07 \$74.05		
Trauma/Emerg Srv86 Total Of Special Assessments			\$74.05 \$253.99		
CHARACTERISTICS					
County Land Use	Single Family F	Resid	Heat Type		Heated
Universal Land Use	SFR		Garage Type		Parking Avail
Lot Frontage	50		Parking Type		On Site


Legal Sheet - Page 2

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC				
Property Address	17807 Bullock St				
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.				

Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Nominal Buyer Name Document Number Document Type Recording Date Sale Price Nominal Buyer Name Document Type Mortgage History Mortgage Amount	10/25/2011 Y Rinker Far Rinker Far 1444339 Quit Claim	mily Trust mily Trust Deed 05/23/200: 02/10/200: Y Rinker Tri Rinker Bu 1480319 Grant Dee	3 ust iddy E & Annelies M	Owner Name Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust 1438119 Quit Claim Deed 01/21/2003 01/09/2003 Y Rinker Buddy E & Ann Rinker Trust 171927 Grant Deed 01/21/2003 \$132,000	12/24/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Famil Rinker Buddies M 2824800 Grant Deed	y E & Anne 01/28/2000 \$209,000	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Annelies M Rinker Family Trust 2540880 Gift Deed
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number Recording Date Sale Date Sale Price Round Number Recording Date Sale Price Round Number Rocument Number Rocument Type Recording Date Sale Date Sale Date Sale Price Rominal Ruyer Name Rocument Number	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far Rinker Far	mily Trust mily Trust Deed 05/23/200: 02/10/200: Y Rinker Tri Rinker Bu 1480319	O7/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M Rinker Buddy E 1444338 Affidavit 3 3	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust 1438119 Quit Claim Deed 01/21/2003 01/09/2003 Y Rinker Buddy E & Ann Rinker Trust 171927	Y Rinker Famil Rinker Buddies M 2824800 Grant Deed	y Trust y E & Anne 01/28/2000 \$209,000 Rinker Bude Rotholz T 2280492	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Annelies M Rinker Family Trust 2540880 Gift Deed
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number decording Date dale Date dale Price dominal duyer Name document Number document Type decording Date dale Date dale Date dale Price document Type decording Date dale Date dale Date dale Date dale Price dominal duyer Name document Number document Number	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far Rinker Far	mily Trust mily Trust Deed 05/23/200: 02/10/200: Y Rinker Tri Rinker Bu 1480319	O7/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M Rinker Buddy E 1444338 Affidavit 3 3	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust 1438119 Quit Claim Deed 01/21/2003 01/09/2003 Y Rinker Buddy E & Ann Rinker Trust 171927	Y Rinker Famil Rinker Buddies M 2824800 Grant Deed	y Trust y E & Anne 01/28/2000 \$209,000 Rinker Bude Rotholz T 2280492	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Annelies M Rinker Family Trust 2540880 Gift Deed
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number Recording Date ale Date ale Price Rominal Rome Rocument Number Recording Date ale Price Rocument Number Recording Date ale Date ale Date ale Date ale Price Rominal Rominal Rominal Rominal Rominal Rome Rocument Number Rocument Number Rocument Number Rocument Number	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far Rinker Far	mily Trust mily Trust Deed 05/23/200: 02/10/200: Y Rinker Tri Rinker Bu 1480319	O7/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M Rinker Buddy E 1444338 Affidavit 3 3	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust 1438119 Quit Claim Deed 01/21/2003 01/09/2003 Y Rinker Buddy E & Ann Rinker Trust 171927	Y Rinker Famil Rinker Buddies M 2824800 Grant Deed	y Trust y E & Anne 01/28/2000 \$209,000 Rinker Bude Rotholz T 2280492	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Annelies M Rinker Family Trust 2540880 Gift Deed
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number ecording Date ale Date ale Price ominal uyer Name eller Name ocument Number ocument Type ecording Date ale Date ale Date ale Price ominal uyer Name	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far Rinker Far	2280492 mily Trust mily Trust Deed 05/23/200: 02/10/200: Y Rinker Tri	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M Rinker Buddy E 1444338 Affidavit	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust 1438119 Quit Claim Deed 01/21/2003 01/09/2003 Y Rinker Buddy E & Ann	Y Rinker Famil Rinker Buddies M 2824800 Grant Deed	y Trust y E & Anne 01/28/2000 \$209,000 Rinker Bude	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Annelies M Rinker Family Trust 2540880 Gift Deed
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number Recording Date ale Date ale Price Rominal Rome Rocument Number Rocument Number Rocument Type Recording Date ale Date ale Date ale Price Rominal	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far Rinker Far	2280492 mily Trust mily Trust n Deed 05/23/200: 02/10/200:	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M Rinker Buddy E 1444338 Affidavit	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust 1438119 Quit Claim Deed 01/21/2003 01/09/2003	Y Rinker Famil Rinker Buddies M 2824800 Grant Deed	y Trust y E & Anne 01/28/2000 \$209,000	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Annelies M Rinker Family Trust 2540880 Gift Deed
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number decording Date dale Date dale Price dominal duyer Name decler Name document Number document Type decording Date dale Date dale Date	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far Rinker Far	2280492 mily Trust mily Trust n Deed 05/23/200: 02/10/200:	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M Rinker Buddy E 1444338 Affidavit	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust 1438119 Quit Claim Deed 01/21/2003 01/09/2003	Y Rinker Famil Rinker Budd lies M 2824800	y Trust y E & Anne	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Annelies M Rinker Family Trust 2540880
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number Recording Date ale Date ale Price rominal Recording Name Reller Name Recording Number Recording Date ale Date	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far Rinker Far	2280492 Imily Trust Imily Trust In Deed 05/23/200:	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M Rinker Buddy E 1444338 Affidavit	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust 1438119 Quit Claim Deed 01/21/2003	Y Rinker Famil Rinker Budd lies M 2824800	y Trust y E & Anne	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Annelies M Rinker Family Trust 2540880
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number decording Date dale Date dale Price dominal duyer Name decler Name document Number document Type	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far Rinker Far	2280492 Imily Trust Imily Trust In Deed 05/23/200:	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M Rinker Buddy E 1444338 Affidavit	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust 1438119 Quit Claim Deed 01/21/2003	Y Rinker Famil Rinker Budd lies M 2824800	Rotholz T y Trust y E & Anne	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Annelies M Rinker Family Trust 2540880
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number Recording Date Sale Price Recording Date Sale Price Recording Date Sale Price Recording Date Sale Price Recording Date Rec	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far Rinker Far	2280492 If Imily Trust Imily Trust In Deed	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M Rinker Buddy E 1444338 Affidavit	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust 1438119 Quit Claim Deed	Y Rinker Famil Rinker Budd lies M 2824800	Rotholz T y Trust y E & Anne	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Annelies M Rinker Family Trust 2540880
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number Recording Date ale Date ale Price rominal uyer Name eller Name	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far Rinker Far	2280492	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M Rinker Buddy E 1444338	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust 1438119	Y Rinker Famil Rinker Budd lies M 2824800	Rotholz T	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Annelies M Rinker Family Trust 2540880
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number Recording Date ale Date ale Price forminal uyer Name	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far	2280492	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M Rinker Buddy E	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J Rinker Family Trust	Y Rinker Famil Rinker Budd lies M	Rotholz T	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Anne lies M Rinker Family Trust
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number Recording Date sale Date sale Price Iominal Buyer Name	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far	2280492	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J	11/06/2007 Y Rinker Famil	Rotholz T	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Anne lies M
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number ecording Date ale Date ale Price ominal uyer Name	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Far	2280492	07/29/2011 Y Rinker Annelies M	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y Rinker Lorilee J	11/06/2007 Y Rinker Famil	Rotholz T	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y Rinker Buddy E & Anne lies M
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number ecording Date ale Date ale Price ominal	07/29/2011 Y	2280492	07/29/2011 Y	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011 Y	11/06/2007 Y	Rotholz T	11/14/2007 11/06/2007 Y
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number Recording Date sale Date	07/29/2011	2280492	07/29/2011	Seller 10/24/2011 09/16/2011	11/06/2007		11/14/2007 11/06/2007
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number Recording Date sale Date		2280492		Seller 10/24/2011			11/14/2007
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet Document Number	10/25/2011	2280492	10/25/2011	Seller	12/24/2007		
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet							rilee J
Sale Price Price Per Square Feet							rilee J
Sale Price		\$141.12		19-12/19/20/20/20/20/20			Mary 1
	e Price \$209,000			Deed Type		Grant Dee	d
Recording Date		01/28/2000		Sale Type		Full Grant Deed	
LAST MARKET SALE & SA	ALES HISTORY			Carlo Victoria		1-038840	
Rental Trends is a CoreLogic≋ der The FSD denotes conflidence in an tal Amount estimate will fall within istical degree of certainty.	Bental Trends esti	mate and uses a co	ormation purposes only. Insistent scale and meaning to generate ormation available to the Rental Amount	a standardized confidence metric. The at the time of estimation, The FSD can	FSD is a statistic that m be used to create confid	easures the likely ence that the Irue	range or dispersion a value has a
Estimated Value Low		4125					
Estimated Value High		5315		Forecast Standard	Deviation (FSD)	0.13	
Estimated Value		4720		Cap Rate		4.3%	
nate will fall within, based on the RENTAL TRENDS	consistency of the	Information availab	ele to the AVM at the time of estimation.	The FSD can be used to create confide	nce that the true value h	as a statistical deg	ree of certainty,
nparable sales. The FSD denotes confidence in an	AVM estimate and	uses a consistent	scale and meaning to generate a standar	dized confidence metric. The FSD is a	statistic that measures t	he likely range or	dispersion an AVM
RealAVM™ is a CoreLogic® derive The Confidence Score is a measur sistent quality and quantity of dat	e of the extent to w	rhich sales data, pro	of an appraisal. operty information, and comparable sale olower confidence scores indicate divers	s support the property valuation analy sity in data, lower quality and quantity	sis process. The confide of data, and/or limited si	nce score range is milarity of the sub	50 - 100. Clear and ject property to
	atualia at a		ATTEMPT TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.				
RealAVM™ Range Value As Of		\$802,000 - 5 02/20/2024	\$985,500	Forecast Standard	Deviation	10	
RealAVM™		\$893,800	Linear II Har II II Ka	Confidence Score	Charles and the second	80	
ESTIMATED VALUE							
Sell Score		614					
Rating		Moderate		Value As Of		2024-03-0	3 04:32:22
SELL SCORE							
Sewer		Type Unkn	own	# of Buildings		1	
Full Baths		2	ATTICLE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Building Type		Type Unki	nown
Total Baths		2		Other Impvs		Fence	
Bedrooms		3		Effective Year Built		1949	
Total Rooms		4		Year Built		1949	
Total Units		i		Foundation		Raised	
Stories		1		Floor Cover		Hardwood	9111 X 11 11011 - 1X 100001 X 11
TOTAL CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF T		1,481	*************	Exterior		Stucco	
Building Sq Ft		Convention	nal	Interior Wall		Plaster	
Style		0.1262 5.496		Roof Type Roof Shape		Gable	
				Doof Tune		Cable	


Legal Sheet - Page 3

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17807 Bullock St							
City	Encino	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91316	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							




Plat Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	17807 Bullock St						
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91316	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.						



Flood Map - Page 1

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17807 Bullock St							
City	Encino	County (Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91316	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.							



Flood Zone Code

County Los Angeles

FIPS Code

06037

Community Name Los Angeles, City Of

R-Regular

060137-1295F

Panel Date September, 26, 2008

Original Panel Firm Date December, 2, 1980

Flood Map - Page 2

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		
Property Address	17807 Bullock St		
City	Encino	County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code	91316
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.		


Location Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	17807 Bullock St			
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Aerial Map

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	17807 Bullock St			
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Subject Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC						
Property Address	17807 Bullock St						
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State C	CA Zi	p Code	91316	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						



Subject Front

17807 Bullock St

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 1,481 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View 5500 sf Site Quality Q3 Age 75



Subject Address



Subject Street

Photograph Addendum

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	17807 Bullock St			
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			







Subject Property

Street looking East

Subject backs two lane traffic St







Bike path Bus Lane Bus Lane 1

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	17807 Bullock St			
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Comparable 1

5964 Yarmouth Ave

0.09 miles E Prox. to Subject Sale Price 945,000 Gross Living Area 1,212 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 5702 sf Quality Q3 75 Age



Comparable 2

17423 Bullock St

Prox. to Subject 0.46 miles E Sale Price 1,020,000 Gross Living Area 1,300 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 2 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 6053 sf Site Quality Q3 Age 75



Comparable 3

17469 Burma St

0.42 miles SE Prox. to Subject Sale Price 1,035,000 Gross Living Area 1,576 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 5610 sf Quality Q3 Age 75

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	17807 Bullock St			
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Comparable 4

5741 Newcastle Ave

0.37 miles SW Prox. to Subject Sale Price 910,000 Gross Living Area 1,135 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 5497 sf Quality Q3 75 Age



Comparable 5

5734 Bianca Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.55 miles SE Sale Price 905,000 Gross Living Area 776 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 2 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 5550 sf Site Quality Q3 Age 75



Comparable 6

5727 Newcastle Ave

0.39 miles SW Prox. to Subject Sale Price 900,000 Gross Living Area 1,295 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 5498 sf Quality Q3 Age 75

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC		-	
Property Address	17807 Bullock St			
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			



Comparable 7

5866 Zelzah Ave

0.22 miles SW Prox. to Subject Sale Price 1,022,000 Gross Living Area 1,373 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 5620 sf Quality Q3 75 Age



Comparable 8

6139 White Oak Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.16 miles NE Sale Price 872,000 Gross Living Area 1,130 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.0 Location A;BsyRd; View N;Res; 6050 sf Site Quality Q3 Age 73

Comparable 9

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

MLS Photograph Addendum

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC			
Property Address	17807 Bullock St			
City	Encino	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91316
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.			

56778 File No. 35172377

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.*

*Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

O.S

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
e	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA		Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Federal Housing Authority Golf Course	
Glfvw	Golf Course View	Location View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
RE0	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
OD KIT.	OUTDOOR KITCHEN	PORCH / PATIO / DECK
ODFP	OUTDOOR FIREPLACE	PORCH / PATIO / DECK
ODLA	OUTDOOR LIVING AREA	PORCH / PATIO / DECK
WF	WATERFALL	PORCH / PATIO / DECK
GNBELT	GREENBELT VIEW	VIEW
PRI. SETTING	PRIVATE SETTING	LOCATION
SPTCT	SPORTS COURT	PORCH / PATIO / DECK
OP	OPEN PATIO	PORCH / PATIO / DECK

E and 0 2024

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17807 Bullock St							
City	Encino	Count	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91316	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc.							



DECLARATIONS

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Renewal of: RAP4113770-22 Policy Number: RAP4113770-23

Program Administrator: Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc.

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Item 1. Named Insured: Robert Bronley

Item 2. Address: 4037 Phelan Rd. Suite A169

> Phelan, CA 92371 City, State, Zip Code:

Item 3. Policy Period: From 12/10/2025 (Month, Day, Year) 12/10/2024 To 12/10/20 (Month, Day, Year)

(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ ____500,000 Damages Limit of Liability - Each Claim

500,000 B. S Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Each Claim

1,000,000 C. \$ Damages Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

D. \$ 1,000,000 Claim Expenses Limit of Liability - Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$_500 Each Claim

B. \$ 1,000 Aggregate

Item 6. Premium: \$ 835.00

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 12/10/1991

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (07/21)

D42402 (05/13) D42408 (05/13) D42412 (03/17) D42413 (06/17)

D42414 (08/19)

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1

2024' CA License

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	17807 Bullock St							
City	Encino	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	91316	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							

