56990

The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	rt is to provide the lender/client with an	accurate, and adequately	v supported, opi	nion of the mai	rket value	of the subject	property.
		City Union City	,,			Zip Code 9458	
	Owner of Public Reco	· ·					11
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC Legal Description Lot 126	Owner or Public Reco	ord Lilledahl Denise	# L	Couli	ty Alam	eua	
		Tau Vasa 0000		D.F.	Γ	10.070	
Assessor's Parcel # 475-0141-068		Tax Year 2023				16,276	
Neighborhood Name North Union City			6084		us Tract 4		
Occupant 🗌 Owner 🔲 Tenant 🔀 Vaca		\$ 0	PU	D HOA \$ 0		per year	per month
Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)						
Assignment Type  Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transaction X Other	(describe) Market Va	alue / Servicin	ıg			
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	Address 2015	Manhattan Beach E		_	each. C	A 90278	
Is the subject property currently offered for sale o					<u></u>	Yes X No	
Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and							1
closed on 4/1/2024 for\$1,180,000	Baicisivico#2240200	20, 113100 011 0/11/20	24 ΙΟΙ Ψ1,200	,000, marked	perialite	9 011 0/ 10/202	<u>,                                     </u>
_	agle for the authors purchase transaction. Eval	ain the regulte of the analys	nia of the contract	for calo or why th	o analysia	waa not	
	sale for the subject purchase transaction. Expl	and the results of the analys	sis of the contract	for sale of willy the	ie analysis	was not	
performed.							
			. – –				
Contract Price \$ Date of Cont		r the owner of public record		No Data So	ource(s)		
Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa	ale concessions, gift or downpayment assistar	nce, etc.) to be paid by any	party on behalf of	the borrower?		Yes	No
If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe	the items to be paid.						
Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood are not appraisal factors.						
Neighborhood Characteristics		nit Housing Trends		One-Unit Ho	nusina	Present Land	d llee %
ū			Dealisina				
Location Urban Suburban	Rural Property Values Increasi	<u> </u>	Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	75 %
Built-Up ★ Over 75% ☐ 25-75% ☐	Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortage		Over Supply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	%
Growth Rapid Stable	Slow Marketing Time  Under 3	mths 3-6 mths	Over 6 mths	1,067 Low	25	Multi-Family	5 %
Neighborhood Boundaries Whipple Av to	o the North; Atlantic St to the East;	; Alameda Creek to t	the South	1,970 High	57	Commercial	5 %
and Fwy 880 to the West.				1,386 Pred.	51	Other	15 %
	rimarily of single family residences	. Employment center	rs within 5-25	miles. Trans	portation	n, shopping ar	
public schools; all other community fa							
value reflects prices of larger or remo							<u> </u>
Market Conditions (including support for the abov		s inventory with 100+					homos
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,						
have seen increases over the last 6 r	nonths. Interest rates remain abov	e 6%, sales/list ratio	s remain low	due to service	es and e	employment co	enters
within 5-25 miles. See 1004mc.							
Dimensions 60'x99'x61'x99'	Area 6000 sf		<sup>e</sup> Rectangula		View N;	Res;	
Specific Zoning Classification RS 6000	Zoning Description	Single Family Resi	idential (6,000	sf lot)			
Zoning Compliance 🔀 Legal 🔲 Legal None	conforming (Grandfathered Use) 🔲 No Zo	oning 🔲 Illegal (describe	e)				
Is the highest and best use of subject property as	improved (or as proposed per plans and spec	cifications) the present use?	? 🗙	Yes No	If No, des	cribe Curren	t use.
single family is legally permissible, physical							
Utilities Public Other (describe)		(describe)		ovements - Type		Public I	Private
Electricity	Water 🔀	(ddddiibd)	Street Aspl			X	
Gas	Sanitary Sewer						$\vdash$
		FFMA Mars #				D-1- 00/00/0	
	No FEMA Flood Zone X		01C0431G		FEMA Map	Date 08/03/2	009
Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical		No If No, describe			<b>—</b>		
Are there any adverse site conditions or external f	actors (easements, encroachments, environm	ental conditions, land uses,	, etc.)?	Yes	<b>X</b> No	If Yes, describe	
Subject is located in a residential ma	rket area, lot size and utility is typic	cal of area. No adver	rse site influer	nces observe	d.		
Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro-	operty Appraisal Files 🔀 MLS	Assessment and Ta	ax Records	Prior Inspectio	n F	Property Owner	
Other (describe)		Data Source for Gross	Living Area F	Realist			_
General Description	General Description	Heating/Cooling		menities		Car Storage	
Units One One with Accessory Unit		FWA HWBB	Firepla		None		
# of Stories 1	Full Basement Finished	Radiant		stove(s) # 0	➤ Drive		rs 2
Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Partial Basement Finished	Other			+		
				Deck Conc	Driveway		ncrete
Existing Proposed Under Const.	Exterior Walls STUCCO/AVE			Covered	<b>X</b> Gara(		
Design (Style) Ranch	Roof Surface COMP/AVE	Central Air Conditionin		None	Carpo		
Year Built 1969	Gutters & Downspouts METAL	Individual	<b>▼</b> Fence		X Attac	hed Deta	ched
Effective Age (Yrs) 22	Window Type SLIDING	🗙 Other Wall	Other	None	Built-	in	
Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven	Dishwasher Disposal Mic	crowave Washer/Dry	/er Other (	describe)			
Finished area above grade contains:	6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms	2.0 Bath(s)	1 32	O Square Feet o	f Gross Liv	ring Area Above G	rade
Additional features (special energy efficient items,		2.0 2(0)	1,021			,	
	, NONE MIOWII						
Describe the condition of the property and data so	nurce(s) (including apparent peeded repairs d	eterioration renovations re	modeling etc.)	04:0	2·Na :	orior incos = +!	
<u> </u>					_	erior inspectio	
completed as part of this analysis, ex							
adequately maintained with no signs							<u>'</u> 4
MLS listing (indicating mostly original	condition with no recent updates)	. It is noted that the ι	use of extraor	dinary assum	ptions n	nay affect	
assignment results.							
Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ac	lverse conditions that affect the livability, sour	dness, or structural integrit	ty of the property?		Yes 🔀	No	
If Yes, describe.	•	<b>3</b> -					
None observed from exterior/street.							
None observed nom extenor/street.							
Door the property sense the sense to the sense	Nariha ad (funational attitus at to 100	a condensation state \0		Van	۱۱ ماد- ۱۱		
Does the property generally conform to the neighb	porhood (functional utility, style, condition, use	e, construction, etc.)?	×	Yes No If I	No, describ	е.	
Does the property generally conform to the neight	porhood (functional utility, style, condition, use	e, construction, etc.)?	×.	Yes No If I	No, describ	е.	

56990 File # 35260266

													from \$ 0			to \$			
				neighb					mont	hs ran			ice from \$ 1,06	7,0	00			1,550,00	
FEATURE		SUBJEC1				/IPARABI		<u>E # 1</u>					LE SALE # 2					LE SALE #	<del>#</del> 3
Address 2481 Becket Dr						t Way					Rega						n Anr		
Union City, CA 94	1587					, CA 9	4587				n City,		4587				, CA 9	4587	
Proximity to Subject Sale Price	\$			0.33	miles	VV	\$	4.007.	200		miles	<u> </u>	\$ 1.390.0	-	1.42 ı	miles	SW	\$	4 400 000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	-	909.09	th no.	\$ -	700 Q	6 sq.ft.	Ψ	1,067,0	JUU		909.09	th no	\$ 1,390,0			768 10	6 sq.ft.		1,100,000
Data Source(s)		909.08				110375		OM 1						_				⊥ 993;DOI	M 2
Verification Source(s)								D#LstAgi	nt				9m/D#25487					9k/D#Ls	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DE	SCRIPTI	ON		SCRIPT			\$ Adjustme			SCRIPT		+(-) \$ Adjustmer	nt		SCRIPT			Adjustment
Sales or Financing				ArmL			. ( )	+		Arml			() +	-	ArmL			1 ( ) +	,
Concessions				Conv						Con				- 1	Cash				
Date of Sale/Time				s08/2		3/23		+56,0	018		24;c01	/24		-		4;c02	2/24		
Location	N;Re	 es:		N;Re		-				N;Re	•	-			A;Bsv				+100,000
Leasehold/Fee Simple		Simple		Fee S		е					Simple	9			Fee S	Simple	9		
Site	6000	sf		6100	sf				0	6000	sf				5405	sf			0
View	N;Re	es;		N;Re	s;					N;Re	es;				N;Res	s;			
Design (Style)	DT1;	;Ranch		DT1;I	Rancl	h					Ranch	1			DT1;F	Ranch	า		
Quality of Construction	Q4			Q4						Q4					Q4				
Actual Age	55			55						54				$\overline{}$	53				0
Condition	C4	1 1		C4		T				C3	I		-75,0	00			Ι		
Above Grade		Bdrms.	Baths		Bdrms.	_				Total	Bdrms.	Baths		_		Bdrms.	_		
Room Count	6	3	2.0	6	3	2.0				6	3	2.0		_	7	4	2.0		0
Gross Living Area	0.6	1,320		0.6	1,349	9 sq.ft.			0		1,529	sq.ft.	-36,5			1,432	2 sq.ft.		-19,600
Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade	0sf			0sf						0sf					0sf				
Functional Utility	A			A						A.,					A.,				
Heating/Cooling	Avera	age /None		Avera Other					_	Aver FAU			5.0		Avera FAU/I				
Energy Efficient Items	None			None		<u> </u>			- 0	None			-5,0		None				
Garage/Carport	2ga2			2ga2						2ga2					2ga2d				
Porch/Patio/Deck		h/Patio		Porch		0					h/Patio	<u> </u>		-		n/Patio	n		
Pool/Other	None			None		<u> </u>		-		None					None				
Net Adjustment (Total)				X	+ [		\$	56,0	018		] + [	<b>X</b> -	\$ -116,5	75	X	+ [		\$	80,400
Adjusted Sale Price				Net Adj	j.	5.3 %				Net Ac	lj.	8.4 %			Net Adj		7.3 %		
of Comparables				Gross /	Adj.	5.3 %	\$	1,123,0	018	Gross	Adj.	8.4 %	\$ 1,273,4	25	Gross /	Adj.	10.9 %	\$	1,180,400
I 🔀 did 🗌 did not research t	he sale	or transf	fer histo	ry of th	e subje	ct prope	rty and	comparable	sale	es. If no	t, explai	n							
		al any pr	ior sales	s or trai	nsfers o	of the su	bject pr	operty for th	ne th	ree yea	rs prior	to the ef	fective date of this a	ppra	aisal.				
Data Source(s) Realist/ML						4 41			<b>.</b>		4 44	4-44		la I a					
		ai any pi	ioi sales	S OF ITAL	isiers (	or the co	прагац	ie sales ioi	trie y	/ear pri	or to trie	uate of	sale of the compara	DIE	Sale.				
Data Source(s) Realist/ML Report the results of the research a		lveie of t	he nrior	cale or	tranefa	r hieton	of the	cubiect proj	nortv	and co	mnarah	عماده ما	(report additional pri	inr e	nn sales	nana ?	8)		
ITEM	iiiu aiia	iyolo Ul t		JBJECT	uanon	i ilistory		COMPARABL					COMPARABLE SALE					RABLE SA	41 F #3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	-	04/01/2		DOLOT				/2023	LL O	TLL #			/2023	# L			OOWII A	INDEL OF	ILL #O
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer		\$1,180					\$0	2025				\$0	72025						
Data Source(s)		Realist	,				Realis	at				Realis	 st			Reali	ist		
Effective Date of Data Source(s)		04/04/2						/2024				04/04					4/2024		
Analysis of prior sale or transfer his				perty an	d comp				Sub	iect's			r was arms-leng	ath	. subi		.,		sferred
on 02/03/2023 as an affida	avit, n	ot a m	arket	sale,	no oth	ner tra	nsfers												
market sales, comp 5's pri	ior sa	le was	arms-	-lengt	h, cos	smetic	fixer	(comp1)	tha	t was	renov	ated a	and relisted.						
Summary of Sales Comparison Ap	proach	S	SEE A	TTAC	HED	ADDE	NDU	M. Emph	asis	s plac	ed on	comp	s 2, 3, and 5 du	ıe t	o rece	ency v	with co	nsidera	ation for
comp 1 due to proximity a	<u>nd sir</u>	nilar co	onditio	<u>n. Co</u>	mps	1 and	4 are	slightly d	late	d trar	sactio	ns ov	er 6 months (ba	se	d on c	contra	act dat	es) incl	uded
due to similar size and pro		•													•				
date supported by paired s						•													
finishes including granite a																			
warranted based on paired			_																
market area with similar m												lition a	and is locate ba	cki	ng to	<u>a bus</u>	y roac	with no	oise
influence present and loca		_				ased c	n pai	red sales	an	alysis	š								
Indicated Value by Sales Comparis				180,0		(	Soot Av	anyoooh /if	dave	alamad'			-a. Income A		rooch (	if alous	lanad) (		
Indicated Value by: Sales Compa					80,00	-		oproach (if				1,182,		••			<u> </u>		
EMPHASIS WAS PLACEI																			
RELIABLE APPROACH A	<u>'ND II</u>	NSUFF	·ICIEN	11 KE	:N I AI	_ DA I	A IN	HE ARE	-A.	IF AF	PLIE	) - LII	TLE WEIGHT	VV <i>F</i>	4S GIV	<u>√EN</u>	10 IF	E COS	1
APPROACH TO VALUE.  This appraisal is made	e" [	cubi	inct to	comple	ation n	ar nlanc	and o	cnacification	10 01	n tha	hacic of	f a hyr	oothetical condition	tha	at the i	mnrovo	mante	hava haa	n
													iirs or alterations h						iect to the
following required inspection bas															Doon	oompio	itou, oi	000)	oot to the
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				,						-,								-	
Based on a visual inspection	of th	e exteri	or area	as of f	the su	bject p	roperty	y from at	leas	t the	street,	defined	scope of work,	sta	atemen	t of a	ssumpt	ions and	l limiting
conditions, and appraiser's c	ertifica	ation, m	y (our)	) opini	on of	the m	arket	value, as	defi	ned, c	of the i	real pro	operty that is the	e s	ubject	of thi	is repo	rt is	=
\$ 1,180,000 , as of	(	04/04/2	2024		, which	cn is t	ne dat	te of insp	ecti	on an	a tne	errectiv	e date of this a	ppr	aısal.				

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 2 of 6

56990

Exterior-only inspection ne	File #		
ADDENDUM CLARIFYING SCOPE OF WORK AND INTENDED USER:			
THE ADDRAIGED IS NOT A HOME INSPECTOD AND THE ADDRAIGN	DEPORT IN NOT A HOME INSPECTION T	TIE ADDDAIGED	
THE APPRAISER IS NOT A HOME INSPECTOR AND THE APPRAISAL PERFORMED ONLY A VISUAL INSPECTION OF ACCESSIBLE AREAS			N OSE
CONDITIONS AND/OR DEFECTS IN THE PROPERTY	AND THIS ALT INTO ALL STATES SERVED.	D 01 014 10 2.23	LOGE
THE TESTING OF SYSTEMS(STRUCTURAL, ELECTRICAL, MECHANI			(SUCH
AS APPLIANCES, FIXTURES, DOORS, WINDOWS, ETC.) LIES OUTSI	DE THE SCOPE OF THIS APPRAISAL ASSI	GNMENT.	
VISUAL INSPECTION OF THE EXTERIOR OF THE SUBJECT PROPER	TV EDOM THE STREET: A VISUAL ORSERV	ATION OF THE	
UNOBSTRUCTED, EXPOSED, AND ACCESSIBLE FRONT PERIMETER			1
STANDING HEIGHT FROM THE STREET.			
GLA WAS DERIVED FROM REALQUEST PROPERTY INFORMATION	AS RECORDED ON PUBLIC RECORDS AND	NO MEASURING	G WAS
COMPLETED DURING THIS INSPECTION.			
INTENDED USER: THE INTENDED USER OF THIS REPORT IS THE C	IENT NAMED IN THIS REPORT AND ITS U	I TIMATE FUNDIN	NG.
SOURCE. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPRAISAL STANDARD BOA			
RELYING ON OF THIS APPRAISAL BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE N		<del></del>	
INTENDED BY THE APPRAISER.			
THE DEPOSIT OF THE PERSON OF T		ON THE AD	-5:10:11
USE: READING THE APPRAISAL REPORT OR POSSESSING THE REI REPORT TO UNDERSTAND HOW THE APPRAISER DEVELOPED THE			
RELYING ON THE APPRAISAL REPORT TO MAKE A DECISION OR TO		UTE USE. USE I	VIEAINO
THIS REPORT IS NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY THE BORROWER FO		VERSE CONDITI	IONS IN
THE SUBJECT'S SYSTEMS AND COMPONENTS WHICH MIGHT BE R			
ANY RELEVANT FIELDS. THIS APPRAISAL DOES NOT GUARANTEE		OF UNDETECTE	D
PROBLEMS, POSSIBLE DEFECTS OR ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS T	HAT COULD EXIST.		
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	: (not required by Fannie Mae)		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti	ns. mating site value) SALES OF SIMILAR,		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFO	ns. mating site value) SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH	TO LAND VALU	E WAS
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFO NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE AREA.	ns. mating site value) SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH	TO LAND VALU	E WAS
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFO	ns. mating site value) SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH	TO LAND VALU	E WAS
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefore Not applicable. The site value was estimated using the Appraisers work file.	ns. mating site value) SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE	TO LAND VALU N RETAINED IN T	E WAS THE
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated the subject's market area are extremely rare. Therefore NOT applicable. The site value was estimated using the Appraisers work file.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Online Cost Service/Local Bldg Survey  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data See Add.	ns. mating site value) SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,320 Sq.Ft. @\$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @\$	H TO LAND VALU N RETAINED IN 7  =\$ 0.00 =\$ =\$	E WAS THE 785,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefore Not applicable. The site value was estimated using the Appraisers work file.  Estimated Reproduction or Reproduction or Replacement cost new Source of cost data Online Cost Service/Local Bldg Survey Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data See Add. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	ns. mating site value) SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,320 Sq.Ft. @\$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @\$ porch/patio	H TO LAND VALU N RETAINED IN 7  = \$ 0.00 = \$ = \$ = \$	E WAS THE 785,000 462,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefore Not applicable. The site value was estimated using the Appraisers work file.  Estimated Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction or Reproduction or Survey Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE	ns. mating site value) SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,320 Sq.Ft. @\$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @\$ porch/patio Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @\$ 100	# TO LAND VALU N RETAINED IN T  = \$ 0.00 = \$ = \$ 0.00 = \$	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefore Not applicable. The site value was estimated using the Appraisers work file.  Estimated Reproduction or Reproduction or Replacement cost new Source of cost data Online Cost service/Local bldg survey Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data See ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS	ns. mating site value) SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,320 Sq.Ft. @\$ 350  0 Sq.Ft. @\$  porch/patio  Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @\$ 100  Total Estimate of Cost-New	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN THE STATE OF	E WAS THE 785,000 462,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFO NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE APPRAISERS WORK FILE.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION	ns. mating site value) SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,320 Sq.Ft. @\$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @\$ porch/patio Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @\$ 100 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa	H TO LAND VALU N RETAINED IN 7  =\$ 0.00 =\$ =\$ 0.00 =\$ =\$ 0.00 =\$	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefore Not applicable. The site value was estimated using the Appraisers work file.  Estimated Reproduction or Reproduction or Replacement cost new Source of cost data Online Cost service/Local bldg survey Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data See ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS	ns. mating site value) SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,320 Sq.Ft. @\$ 350  0 Sq.Ft. @\$  porch/patio  Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @\$ 100  Total Estimate of Cost-New	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN THE STATE OF	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFO NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE APPRAISERS WORK FILE.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO	ns. mating site value)  RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ porch/patio  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional Externa  Depreciation  189,266	H TO LAND VALU N RETAINED IN 7  =\$ 0.00 = \$ =\$ 0.00 = \$  =\$ 1 = \$(	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefore Not applicable. The site value was estimated using the Appraisers work file.  Estimated Reproduction or Reproduction or Replacement cost new Source of cost data Online Cost service/Local bldg survey Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data See Add. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Replacement cost figures used in Cost approach are for valuation purposes only. Strong Caution is Advised for use in Insurance purposes. The Definition of Market value on page 4 of this report is unlikely to be consistent with Definitions of Insurable value. See the attached addended to improvement ratio typical >30%	ns. mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  porch/patio Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa Depreciation  189,266  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	H TO LAND VALU N RETAINED IN 7  = \$ 0.00 = \$ 0.00 = \$ 1 = \$( = \$	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated the subject's market area are extremely rare. Therefore NOT applicable. The site value was estimated using the Appraisers work file.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Online Cost service/Local blog survey  Quality rating from cost service ave effective date of cost data see add.  Comments on Cost approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST Approach are for valuation purposes only. Strong Caution is advised for use in insurance purposes. The definition of market value on page 4 of this report is unlikely to be consistent with definitions of insurable value. See the attached addended the first proposed the first proposed that are the proposed that is a subject to the proposed that are the proposed to the proposed that are the pr	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$  porch/patio  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  100  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional Externa  Depreciation  189,266  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	H TO LAND VALU N RETAINED IN 7  = \$ 0.00 = \$ 0.00 = \$ 1 = \$(	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefore NOT applicable. The SITE value was estimated using the Appraisers work file.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Online Cost Service/Local bldg survey  Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data see add.  Comments on Cost approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST Approach are for valuation purposes only. Strong Caution is  ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION  OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO  BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE  THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH  LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30%  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  10 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  porch/patio  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional Externa  Depreciation  189,266  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN TO SECULATION STATE OF THE PROOF TH	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the SUBJECT'S MARKET AREA ARE EXTREMELY RARE. THEREFO NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE APPRAISERS WORK FILE.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD. Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30%  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  1 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$  porch/patio  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  100  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional Externa  Depreciation  189,266  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	H TO LAND VALU N RETAINED IN 7  = \$ 0.00 = \$ 0.00 = \$ 1 = \$( = \$	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefore NOT applicable. The SITE value was estimated using the Appraisers work file.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Online Cost Service/Local bldg survey  Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data see add.  Comments on Cost approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST Approach are for valuation purposes only. Strong Caution is  ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION  OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO  BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE  THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH  LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30%  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  10 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  porch/patio  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional Externa  Depreciation  189,266  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN TO SECULATION STATE OF THE PROOF TH	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated the subject of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Subject of the subject of cost of cost data. The site value was estimated using the Appraisers work file.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Online Cost Service/Local bldg survey  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD.  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE  FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS  ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION  OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO  BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE  THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH  LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30%  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  41 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  porch/patio  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional Externa  Depreciation  189,266  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN TO SECULATION STATE OF THE PROOF TH	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated principles of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated Subject in the Subject in Subject in Subject in Subject in Subject in Color of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  porch/patio Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa Depreciation  189,266 Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  N/A = \$  IFOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attached	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN TO SECULATION STATE OF THE PROOF TH	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefore NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE APPRAISERS WORK FILE.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Online Cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD.  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30%  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 41 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE SUMMARY.  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  porch/patio Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa Depreciation  189,266 Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  N/A = \$  IFOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attached	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN TO SECULATION STATE OF THE PROOF TH	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefore NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE APPRAISERS WORK FILE.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Online Cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD.  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30%  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 41 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE SUMMARY OF INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE SUMMARY OF Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$  porch/patio  Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  100  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less Physical Functional Externa  Depreciation  189,266  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  N/A = \$  I FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attached  Ind the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN TO SECULATION STATE OF THE PROOF TH	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefo NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE APPRAISERS WORK FILE.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD.  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS  ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30%  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 41 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project  Total number of phases  Total number of units	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ porch/patio Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  N/A = \$  I FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Ind the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN TO SECULATION STATE OF THE PROOF TH	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject of the value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject of cost data on the subject of cost and subject of cost data. The site value was estimated using the subject of cost data on the	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  N/A = \$  IFOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attached  Indicated the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN TO SECULATION STATE OF THE PROOF TH	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the subject's Market area are extremely rare. Therefo NOT APPLICABLE. THE SITE VALUE WAS ESTIMATED USING THE APPRAISERS WORK FILE.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data ONLINE COST SERVICE/LOCAL BLDG SURVEY  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data SEE ADD.  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  REPLACEMENT COST FIGURES USED IN COST APPROACH ARE FOR VALUATION PURPOSES ONLY. STRONG CAUTION IS  ADVISED FOR USE IN INSURANCE PURPOSES. THE DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE ON PAGE 4 OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIKELY TO BE CONSISTENT WITH DEFINITIONS OF INSURABLE VALUE. SEE THE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR MORE INFORMATION. HIGH LAND TO IMPROVEMENT RATIO TYPICAL >30%  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 41 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project  Total number of phases  Total number of units	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ porch/patio Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  N/A = \$  I FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Ind the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN THE STATE OF	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the project into the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the project created by the conversion of existing building (s) into a PUD?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder in a punch of project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside adequate information of the Homeowners? Association (HOA)?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside and equation of the Homeowners? Association (HOA)?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  N/A = \$  IFOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attached  Indicated the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN THE STATE OF	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the project into the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the project created by the conversion of existing building (s) into a PUD?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder in a punch of project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside adequate information of the Homeowners? Association (HOA)?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside and equation of the Homeowners? Association (HOA)?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Proside the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100 Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa Depreciation  189,266 Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  N/A = \$  I FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attached  nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN THE STATE OF	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of control of the APPROACH and property of cost data on the property of the prop	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  porch/patio Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  100 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa Depreciation  189,266 Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  N/A = \$  I FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attached  nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion  If No, describe the status of completion.	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN THE STATE OF	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of control of the APPROACH and property of cost data on the property of the prop	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 100 Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa Depreciation  189,266 Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  N/A = \$  I FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attached  nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN THE STATE OF	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the property of control of the APPROACH and property of cost data on the property of the prop	mating site value)  SALES OF SIMILAR, RE, THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH BSTRACTION METHOD. FILES HAVE BEE  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,320 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 350 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$  porch/patio Garage/Carport  400 Sq.Ft. @ \$  100 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Externa Depreciation  189,266 Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH IE (not required by Fannie Mae)  N/A = \$  I FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s) Detached Attached  nd the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion  If No, describe the status of completion.	## TO LAND VALU  N RETAINED IN THE STATE OF	E WAS THE  785,000 462,000  40,000 542,000  189,266) 352,734 45,000  1,182,734

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

56990 File # 35260266

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

56990

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 5 of 6

56990 File # 35260266

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER Signature  Approximation of the state of the st	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Kathryn Mahan	Name
Company Name Mahan Appraisals	Company Name
Company Address 2308 Roundhill Drive	Company Address
Alamo, CA 94507	
Telephone Number (925) 683-9389	Telephone Number
Email Address <u>katiemahanappraiser@gmail.com</u>	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 04/05/2024	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 04/04/2024	State Certification #
State Certification # AR030747	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 05/08/2025	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED  2481 Becket Dr  Union City, CA 94587	<ul> <li>□ Did not inspect exterior of subject property</li> <li>□ Did inspect exterior of subject property from street</li> <li>□ Date of Inspection</li> </ul>
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 1,180,000	COMPARABLE SALES
LENDER/CLIENT	CUMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	
Fmail Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

FEATURE		SUBJEC	T		COMP	AKAB	LE SALE # 4				LE SALE # 5		COMP	PARABL	E SALE # 6
Address 2481 Becket Dr					Ascot				7 Asco						
Union City, CA 94	4587			Unior	n City, (	CA S	94587	Unio	n City,	CA 9	4587				
Proximity to Subject				0.37	miles S	SW		0.33	miles	W					
	\$						\$ 1,243,000				\$ 1,460,000				\$
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$	909.0	g sq.ft.	\$ 9	921.42	sq.ft.			082.28	sq.ft.		\$		sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)							369;DOM 6				588;DOM 6			-	
Verification Source(s)							2m/D#124963				29m/D#LstAgnt				
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	n	ESCRIPT	ION		SCRIPTIO		+(-) \$ Adjustment		ESCRIPT		+(-) \$ Adjustment	יח	ESCRIPTI	ON	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		2001111 1		ArmL		<u>.</u>	1 ( ) \$ riajasanishi	Arm		1011	1 ( ) \$ riajasansiic			0.1	1 ( ) \$ rtajasament
Concessions				l											
				Conv				Con		10.1					
Date of Sale/Time					23;c10/2	23	+55,935			/24					
Location	N;R			N;Re				N;Re							
Leasehold/Fee Simple		Simple	9		Simple				Simple	9					
Site	600	0 sf		6000	sf			6100	) sf		0				
View	N;R	es;		N;Re	s;			N;Re	es;						
Design (Style)	DT1	;Ranch	1	DT1;I	Ranch			DT1	;Ranch	1					
Quality of Construction	Q4			Q4				Q4							
Actual Age	55			55				56			0				
Condition	C4			C3			-75,000				-150,000				
Above Grade	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		Bdrms.	Baths			Bdrms.	Baths	- 130,000		Bdrms.	Baths	
Room Count	_											ividi	טעווווט.	המנווס	
	6	3	2.0	6		2.0	-	6	3	2.0	-				
Gross Living Area		1,320	) sq.ft.		1,349	sq.ft.	0		1,349	sq.tt.	0			sq.ft.	
Basement & Finished	0sf			0sf				0sf							
Rooms Below Grade															
Functional Utility	Ave	rage		Avera	age			Aver	age						
Heating/Cooling		J/None		FAU/					/None						
Energy Efficient Items	Non			None				None							
Garage/Carport	2ga			2ga2				2ga2							
Porch/Patio/Deck		ch/Patio	<u> </u>		uw ₁/Patio				:h/Patio	<u> </u>					
Pool/Other			J							<i>.</i>					
P00I/Utner	Non	е		None	!			Non	е						
						_								_	
Net Adjustment (Total)						-					\$ -150,000		+		\$
Adjusted Sale Price				Net Adj	j.	1.5 %		Net A		10.3 %		Net A		%	
of Comparables				Gross /	Adj. 1	0.5 %	\$ 1,223,935	Gross	Adj.	10.3 %	\$ 1,310,000	Gross	Adj.	%	\$
Report the results of the research a	and an	alysis of	the prior	sale or											1
ITEM		, ,		JBJECT		Ī	COMPARABLE SA				OMPARABLE SALE #		<del>, ,</del>		ABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer		04/01/2		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			OOM THE DEE OF				0/2023	,		J. 1111 7 11 1	TIDEE OFFICE III O
		\$1,180													
		\$1.180	),000				<b>-</b>				57,000				
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer							Realist			Realis	st				
Data Source(s)		Realis													
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		Realist 04/04/2	2024				04/04/2024			04/04	/2024				
Data Source(s)		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	1/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	1/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	1/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	<del>1</del> /2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	H/2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his		Realist 04/04/2	2024	perty an	d compa		04/04/2024			04/04	W2024				

		Supplementa	l Addendum		Fi	ile No. 352602	66	
Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	2481 Becket Dr							
City	Union City	County	Alameda	State	CA	Zip Code	94587	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							

#### 2481 Becket Dr Union City, CA

#### SITE COMMENTS:

Generally, no adverse site conditions were noted during the inspection of the subject property. No signs of slippage, settlement, or unusual erosion were observed.

See the preliminary title report for any adverse conditions, easements, or other site factors of public record. The preliminary title report was not reviewed as a part of this appraisal.

#### **COMMENTS ON SALES COMPARISON:**

The sales comparison is based on the principle of substitution which is defined by the Appraisal Institute as follows: "The principle of substitution as applied in the sales comparison approach holds that the value of a property that is replaceable in the market tends to be set by the cost of acquiring an equally desirable substitute property." This principle is applied using accepted methods of paired sales analysis, when possible, and by applying adjustments to generally accepted units of

Bracketing of living area and sales prices is used whenever possible to ensure that a range of value for the subject is reasonably estimated.

A methodical twenty-four month search of the immediate subject market area was performed for recent comparable sales, listings, and pending sales that have occurred.

All sales are located in subject's market area and represent a good indication of value.

Comparables were adjusted for differences in square footage at the rate of \$175 per square foot for differences of more than 100 square feet. This is considered appropriate given the surrounding neighborhood's quality of construction and demand. Bedroom adjustments were not adjusted based on paired sales analysis. Room totals were not adjusted as they were considered adjusted with the square footage. Lot sizes were adjusted at the rate of \$10 per square foot for differences of more than 1,000 square feet. Photo for comp 3 was taken as part of a separate recent assignment, all comps were reviewed from the street. Due to significant condition adjustment for comp 5, gross, net and/or single line item adjustments exceed general guidelines. The most similar sales were relied upon, adjustments are bracketed, generally accepted in the market, and necessary to reflect market reaction to the differences.

Other amenities/features were adjusted as shown. The adjustments are considered appropriate and based on paired sales analysis whenever possible.

#### HIGHEST & BEST USE:

The existing use supports the four functions of Highest and Best Use both as vacant and as improved. The current use is physically possible, legally permissible, financially feasible and is the most productive use of the site. Any change now or in the foreseeable future is unlikely.

#### AIR

No Employee, director, officer or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal management company or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted to influence the development, reporting, result of review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or in any other manner.

The appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.).

## **Subject Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	2481 Becket Dr							
City	Union City	Count	y Alameda	S	tate CA	Zip Code	94587	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



## **Subject Front**

2481 Becket Dr

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 1,320 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 6000 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 55

## **Subject Rear**



## **Subject Street**

## **Subject Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	2481 Becket Dr							
City	Union City	Count	y Alameda	S	tate CA	Zip Code	94587	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



## **RIGHT SIDE**

2481 Becket Dr

Sales Price

Gross Living Area 1,320 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 N;Res; N;Res; Location View 6000 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 55



#### **LEFT SIDE**

#### **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC	_						
Property Address	2481 Becket Dr							
City	Union City	County	Alameda	State	CA	Zip Code	94587	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



#### **Comparable 1**

2497 Ascot Way

Prox. to Subject 0.33 miles W Sale Price 1,067,000 Gross Living Area 1,349 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 6100 sf Quality Q4 55 Age



#### Comparable 2

2480 Regal Dr

Prox. to Subject 0.07 miles E 1,390,000 Sale Price Gross Living Area 1,529 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 6000 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 54



## Comparable 3

4163 Queen Anne Dr

1.42 miles SW Prox. to Subject Sale Price 1,100,000 Gross Living Area 1,432 Total Rooms 7 Total Bedrooms 4 **Total Bathrooms** 2.0 Location A;BsyRd; N;Res; View Site 5405 sf Quality Q4 Age 53

#### **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC	_						
Property Address	2481 Becket Dr							
City	Union City	County	Alameda	State	CA	Zip Code	94587	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



#### Comparable 4

2483 Ascot Way

Prox. to Subject 0.37 miles SW Sale Price 1,243,000 Gross Living Area 1,349 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 6000 sf Quality Q4 55 Age



#### Comparable 5

2497 Ascot Way


Prox. to Subject 0.33 miles W 1,460,000 Sale Price Gross Living Area 1,349 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 6100 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 56

## Comparable 6

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age


## **Location Map**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	2481 Becket Dr							
City	Union City	Count	y Alameda	State	CA	Zip Code	94587	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



#### **Location Map**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC								
Property Address	2481 Becket Dr								
City	Union City	Coun	y Alameda	St	ate C	CA	Zip Code	94587	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc								



#### **Plat Map**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC								
Property Address	2481 Becket Dr								
City	Union City	Coun	y Alameda	S	tate CA	4	Zip Code	94587	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc								



56990 Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 35260266 The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with a clear and accurate understanding of the market trends and conditions prevalent in the subject neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraisal reports with an effective date on or after April 1, 2009. Property Address 2481 Becket Dr City Union City Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions, and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding housing trends and overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include the data in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an average instead of the median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, foreclosures, etc. Prior 7-12 Months Inventory Analysis Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Declining Stable Stable Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Increasing Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Declining 1.50 0.67 1.33 Stable Stable Increasing Total # of Comparable Active Listings Declining 0 0 Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Declining Increasing 1.3 0 0 Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % Current - 3 Months Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Overall Trend Declining Median Comparable Sale Price **X** Increasing Stable 1,360,000 1,236,500 1,425,000 Median Comparable Sales Days on Market 10 Declining X Stable Increasing 8 6 Stable Stable Median Comparable List Price Declining 1,280,000 549,444 1,299,000 Increasing Median Comparable Listings Days on Market Declining Increasing 8 6 Median Sale Price as % of List Price Declining Increasing Stable 108 112 105 Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance prevalent? No Declining Increasing ■ Stable Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the past 12 months (e.g., seller contributions increased from 3% to 5%, increasing use of buydowns, closing costs, condo Occassional credits for repairs or closing costs, incentives are common. Credits are generally under 2%, there are occasions when larger than typical credits are present and may require adjustment - determined based on paired sales analysis If yes, explain (including the trends in listings and sales of foreclosed properties) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market? **X** No Yes Less than 2% reported, no negative impact on marketability Cite data sources for above information. Historical MLS Analysis Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. If you used any additional information, such as an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions Recently stable values, interest rates are above 6%, but marketing times remain under 30 days (median) due to proximity of transportation and business districts within 5 miles If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Subject Project Data Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4–6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Stable Declining Increasing Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Declining Total # of Active Comparable Listings Stable Declining Increasing Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Declining Stable Increasing Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of Yes No foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject unit and project.

Signature Signature Appraiser Name Supervisory Appraiser Name Kathryn Mahan Company Name Company Name Mahan Appraisals Company Address Company Address 2308 Roundhill Drive, Alamo, CA 94507 State CA State License/Certification # State License/Certification # AR030747 State Email Address **Email Address** katiemahanappraiser@gmail.com

Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

RCH &

0/CO-OP

USPAP ADDENDUM 56990 File No. 35260

File No. 35260266 Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC Property Address 2481 Becket Dr City State CA Zip Code 94587 County Alameda Union City Lender Wedgewood Inc This report was prepared under the following USPAP reporting option: X Appraisal Report This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a). Restricted Appraisal Report This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b). Reasonable Exposure Time My opinion of a reasonable exposure time for the subject property at the market value stated in this report is: <30 Days **Additional Certifications** I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief: X I have NOT performed services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment. I HAVE performed services, as an appraiser or in another capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment. Those services are described in the comments below. - The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct. - The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions. - Unless otherwise indicated, I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties - I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this assignment. - My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results. - My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal. - My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were in effect at the time this report was prepared. - Unless otherwise indicated, I have made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report. - Unless otherwise indicated, no one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing this certification (if there are exceptions, the name of each individual providing significant real property appraisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this report). **Additional Comments** atic Mahan SUPERVISORY APPRAISER: (only if required) APPRAISER: Signature: Signature: Name: Kathryn Mahan Name: Date Signed:  $\underline{04/05/2024}$ Date Signed: State Certification #: AR030747 State Certification #: or State License #: or State License #: State: <u>CA</u> State:

Did Not

Expiration Date of Certification or License:

Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of Subject Property:

Exterior-only from Street

Interior and Exterior

Expiration Date of Certification or License:

Effective Date of Appraisal: 04/04/2024

05/08/2025

#### **Appraiser's Insurance**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	2481 Becket Dr							
City	Union City	Count	Alameda	State	CA	Zip Code	94587	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							





# LIA Administrators & Insurance Services APPRAISAL AND VALUATION

PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICY

#### DECLARATIONS

#### ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

(A stock insurance company herein called the "Company")
499 Washington Blvd, 8th Floor
Jersey City, NJ 07310

Date Issued	Policy Number	Previous Policy Number	
03/07/2024	AAI005705-09	AAI005705-08	

THIS IS A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED POLICY. COVERAGE IS LIMITED TO LIABILITY FOR ONLY THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED DURING THE POLICY PERIOD AND THEN REPORTED TO THE COMPANY IN WRITING NO LATER THAN SIXTY (60) DAYS AFTER EXPIRATION OR TERMINATION OF THIS POLICY, OR DURING THE EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD, IF APPLICABLE, FOR A WRONGFUL ACT COMMITTED ON OR AFTER THE RETROACTIVE DATE AND BEFORE THE END OF THE POLICY PERIOD. PLEASE READ THE POLICY CAREFULLY.

2. Policy Period: From: 03/26/2024 To: 03/26/2025 12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address stated in 1 above.  3. Deductible: \$1,000 Each Claim	
3. Deductible: \$1,000 Each Claim	
4. Retroactive Date: 03/26/2004	
5. Inception Date: 03/26/2016	*
6. Limits of Liability: A. \$1,000,000 Each Claim B. \$1,000,000 Aggregate	
7. Mail all notices, including notice of Claim, to: LIA Administrators & Insurance Services 1600 Anacapa Street Santa Barbara, California 93101 (800) 334-0652; Fax: (805) 962-0652	
8. Annual Premium: \$1,741.00	-11

This Declarations Page, together with the completed and signed Policy Application including all attachments and exhibits	thereto, and
the Policy shall constitute the contract between the Named Insured and the Company.	J.

03/07/2024	By Clie
Date	Authorized Signature
LIA-001 (12/14)	Aspen American Insurance Compar

#### **Appraiser's License**

Borrower	Redwood Holdings LLC							
Property Address	2481 Becket Dr							
City	Union City	Count	<sup>ty</sup> Alameda	State	CA	Zip Code	94587	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							

