File # **2403-18**

APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY



LOCATED AT

1367 Chautauqua Blvd Pacific Palisades, CA 90272-2607 TR=9300 1/2 VAC ALLEY ADJ ON N AND LOT 5 BLK 80

FOR

WEDGEWOOD INC 2015 MANHATTAN BEACH BL, SUITE 100 REDONDO BEACH, CA 90276

OPINION OF VALUE

2,800,000

AS OF

03/22/2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

USPAP ADDENDUM 57001 File No. 2403-18

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	1367 Chautauqua Blvd	County	Ctata	7in Code
City Lender	Pacific Palisades	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 90272-2607
	WEDGEWOOD INC			1
1		lowing USPAP reporting option:		
X Appraisa	ıl Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP	Standards Rule 2-2(a).	
Restricte	ed Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP	Standards Rule 2-2(b).	
	Exposure Time	for the audicat property at the market value atotad in th	io report io:	
30 TO 90 DAY		for the subject property at the market value stated in th	is report is. THE REASO	ONABLE EXPOSURE TIME IS
30 10 90 DA1	13			
	Certifications			
I certify that,	to the best of my knowledge a	nd belief:		
		appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the prope	rty that is the subject of this report	t within the
three-yea	ar period immediately precedi	ng acceptance of this assignment.		
I HAVE D	erformed services, as an appl	raiser or in another capacity, regarding the property tha	at is the subject of this report within	n the three-vear
		nce of this assignment. Those services are described in		
	nts of fact contained in this repo			
- The reported	analyses, opinions, and conclus	sions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limit	ting conditions and are my personal,	impartial, and unbiased
1 *	nalyses, opinions, and conclusio			
	wise indicated, I have no presen	t or prospective interest in the property that is the subject o	f this report and no personal interest	with respect to the parties
involved.	s with respect to the property th	at is the subject of this report or the parties involved with t	his assignment	
1		contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined res	•	
		ment is not contingent upon the development or reporting o		n value that favors the cause of
		attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a sub-	•	
		e developed, and this report has been prepared, in conform		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	at the time this report was prepar			
	The state of the s	ersonal inspection of the property that is the subject of this	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		significant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing this certification (if there al	re exceptions, the name of each
individual prov	iding signincant real property app	praisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this report).		
Additional C	comments			
I PERFORME) A DRIVE-BY APPRAISAL ON	THIS PROPERTY 8/28/2021		
APPRAISER	Δ 1 Δ	SUPERVI	SORY APPRAISER: (only if	required)
		14. Vallate)	\	- ,
Signaturo:	(Aux) I.	Cianatium		
Signature: Name: JOSEP	H P/BALDINO	Signature: Name:		
	H P/BALDINO 13/22/2024	Name Date Signed:		
<u> </u>	13/ 2 2/2024 #:	State Certifica	ation #:	
or State License		or State Licer		
State: CA		State:		
•			te of Certification or License:	
Effective Date of	Appraisal: 03/22/2024		Appraiser Inspection of Subject Property	

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

57001

The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	ort is to provide the	e lender/client with a	n accurate, and adequately	y supportea, opi	mion of the mar	ket value	of the subject	t property.
Property Address 1367 Chautauqua Blvd			City Pacific Palisa	ades	State	CA	Zip Code 902	72-2607
Borrower REDWOOD HOLDINGS		Owner of Public Re	cord will adams		Count	y LOS AI	IGELES	
Legal Description TR=9300 1/2 VAC ALLE	Y ADJ ON N AND L	OT 5 BLK 80						
Assessor's Parcel # 4422-006-023			Tax Year 2023		R.E. T	axes \$ 1	2,992	
Neighborhood Name PACIFIC PALISADES			Map Reference 63	31-B-6	Censu	ıs Tract 2	625.01	
Occupant 🔀 Owner 🗌 Tenant 📗 Vaca	ant	Special Assessmen	ts \$ o	☐ PU			per year	per month
Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold	Other (describe)						
Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Tr	ransaction X Oth	er (describe) SERVICING					
Lender/Client wedgewood INC			5 MANHATTAN BEACH BL,	. SUITE 100. RE	DONDO BEACH.	CA 9027	6	
Is the subject property currently offered for sale of	or has it been offered						Yes 🗶 No	
Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and	1.1.1.7.	MLS-PARCEL QUEST						
report data course(e) decay enorming price(e), and	on Chi	MLS-I ANOLL QUEST						
I did did not analyze the contract for	cale for the cubiect n	urchase transaction. Ev	nlain the reculte of the analys	cis of the contract	for sale or why th	a analysis	was not	
performed.	said for the subject p	uronaso transaction. L	piani inc results of the analys	ois of the contract	TOT SAIC OF WITH IT	o anaiyaia	was not	
Contract Price \$ Date of Con	tract	Is the property se	ler the owner of public record	d? Yes	No Data So	urca(c)		
Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			urcc(3)	Ye	s No
•			ance, etc.) to be paid by any	party on benan of	i lile bollowel?		16	S INU
If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe	e the items to be paid.	•						
Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood are n							
Neighborhood Characteristics			Unit Housing Trends		One-Unit Ho	using	Present La	nd Use %
Location Urban Suburban	Rural Prope	rty Values 🔲 Increa	sing X Stable	Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	98 %
Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75%	Under 25% Dema	nd/Supply 🔲 Shorta	nge 🗶 In Balance 🗌	Over Supply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	1 %
Growth Rapid Stable	Slow Marke	ting Time X Under	3 mths 3-6 mths	Over 6 mths	1,000 Low	0	Multi-Family	%
			NORTH & WEST OF SUNS	_	15,000 High	100	Commercial	1 %
TEMESCAL	LUJAILD JUUIN	. J. J. L. IIILLOIDE,	a medi of don't	, LASI UF	2,800 Pred.	60	Other	<u> </u>
Matable advand December to	located in a cuts of	han area It isit '	10 miles of	cified fire !	_,			
			1 10 miles of many divers					
Neighborhood shows average to good main							along the traf	tic
arteries on Sunset & PCH. The subject is								
Market Conditions (including support for the above	ve conclusions)	CONVENTIONAL FI	NANCING IS PREDOMINANT I	IN THE AREA. DI	EMAND IS BEGINN	NING TO ST	ABILIZE THE RA	TE OF
INFLATION HAS BEEN DECREASING, BUT VALUE	S ARE STILL HOLDING	S STABLE. FUNDS ARE	STILL AVAILABLE BUT SALES	S ACTIVITY IS BEG	GINNING TO WAIT	FOR INTE	REST RATES TO	DECLINE
BUYER ARE BEGINNING TO BE MORE WILLING	TO WAIT FOR RATES	TO DECLINE BEFORE			RESENT TIME			
Dimensions 89 X 73.6 X 75.6 X 102		Area 10390 sf		IRREGULAR		View N;	Res;	
Specific Zoning Classification LAR1		Zoning Description						
		Zuring Description	n residential SFR					
Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal None	conforming (Grandfat		n RESIDENTIAL SFR Zoning Illegal (describe	e)				
Zoning Compliance Legal Legal Non- Is the highest and best use of subject property as	- ,	hered Use) No	Zoning Illegal (describe	/	Yes No	If No, des	cribe	
	- ,	hered Use) No	Zoning Illegal (describe	/	Yes No	If No, des	cribe	
	- ,	hered Use) No posed per plans and sp	Zoning Illegal (describe	? 🗶		If No, des	cribe Public	Private
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe)	- ,	hered Use) No posed per plans and sp Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe lecifications) the present use?	? Off-site Impro	ovements - Type	If No, des	Public	Private
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	s improved (or as pro Water	hered Use) No posed per plans and sp Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe lecifications) the present use?	Off-site Impro	ovements – Type	If No, des		Private
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	s improved (or as pro Water Sanitary	hered Use) No posed per plans and sp Public Oth Sewer Sewer	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro	ovements – Type	,	Public	
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo	Public Oth Sewer X od Zone X	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe) FEMA Map # 0603	Off-site Impro	ovements – Type	If No, des	Public	
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area?	Public Oth Sewer Source Yes Yes	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None	ovements - Type alt F	ЕМА Мар	Public A Date 04/21/2	2021
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e	Public Oth Y Sewer Yes Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph. Alley None G7C1567G	ovements – Type alt F	ЕМА Мар	Public	2021
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e	Public Oth Y Sewer Yes Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph. Alley None G7C1567G	ovements – Type alt F	ЕМА Мар	Public A Date 04/21/2	2021
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e	Public Oth Y Sewer Yes Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph. Alley None G7C1567G	ovements – Type alt F	ЕМА Мар	Public A Date 04/21/2	2021
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e	Public Oth Sewer Yes Procoachments, environ	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe) FEMA Map # 0603 No If No, describe mental conditions, land uses, D. THERE ARE NO ADVES	Off-site Improstreet Asphialley None 67C1567G , etc.)?	ovements - Type alt Yes ES.	EMA Map	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe	2021
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external to the subject IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TILL LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pr	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e	Public Oth Y Sewer Yes Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None F7C1567G , etc.)? RSE INFLUENCE	ovements - Type alt Yes ES. Prior Inspectior	EMA Map	Public A Date 04/21/2	2021
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external to the subject is above grade. The TII LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Practical Control of the subject is above grade. Other (describe)	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e	Public Oth Sewer Yes Procochements, environ NOT BEEN REVIEWE	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None F7C1567G , etc.)? RSE INFLUENCE ax Records Living Area P	ovements - Type alt Yes ES. Prior Inspection	EMA Map	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe	2021
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external the subject is above grade. The till LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pr Other (describe) General Description	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer Yes od Zone X Procoachments, environ NOT BEEN REVIEWE Description	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None F7C1567G , etc.)? RSE INFLUENCE ax Records Living Area A	ovements - Type alt Yes ES. Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST menities	FEMA Map No	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe	2021
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas Yes FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external to the subject is above grade. The TITE LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property of the property of the subject is above grade. The TITE of the subject is above grade. The TITE Control of the subject is a subject in the subject is a subject in the subject is a subject in the subject in the subject is a subject in the subject in the subject is a subject in the subject in	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e FLE REPORT HAS N Operty App	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer Yes od Zone X Procoachments, environ NOT BEEN REVIEWE Description Crawl Space	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe) FEMA Map # 0603 No If No, describe mental conditions, land uses, D. THERE ARE NO ADVES LS Assessment and Ta Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None FTC1567G , etc.)? RSE INFLUENCE ax Records Living Area P Firepla	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST menities ace(s) # 1	FEMA Map No The property of	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage	2021
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e FLE REPORT HAS N General Concrete Slab Full Basement	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer Sewer Yes Proceed Files Months Sewer Not Been Reviewe Description Finished	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe) FEMA Map # 0603 No If No, describe mental conditions, land uses, D. THERE ARE NO ADVES LS Assessment and Ta Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None F7C1567G ax Records Living Area P Alley Firepla Wood:	Prior Inspection Parcel Quest menities ace(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0	No None None	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of C	2021
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external form the subject is above grade. The Tile LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property of the describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e FLE REPORT HAS N Operty App	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer Sewer Yes Proceed Files Months Sewer Not Been Reviewe Description Finished	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe) FEMA Map # 0603 No If No, describe mental conditions, land uses, D. THERE ARE NO ADVES LS Assessment and Ta Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None CTC1567G ax Records Living Area P All Wood Patio/l	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST menities ace(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN	No None None None Driveway	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of C Surface 0	2021 ars 2 Concrete
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e FLE REPORT HAS N General Concrete Slab Full Basement	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer Sewer Yes Proceed Files Months Sewer Not Been Reviewe Description Finished	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe) FEMA Map # 0603 No If No, describe mental conditions, land uses, D. THERE ARE NO ADVES LS Assessment and Ta Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None CTC1567G ax Records Living Area P All Wood Patio/l	Prior Inspection Parcel Quest menities ace(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0	No None None	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of C Surface 0	2021 ars 2 Concrete
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e rule REPORT HAS N General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer Sencroachments, environ NOT BEEN REVIEWE Description Crawl Space Finished STUCCO	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None GCC1567G ax Records Living Area P Wood: Patio/l Porch	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST menities ace(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN	No None None None Driveway	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of C Surface C le # of C	energy 2 Concrete ars 2
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external forms the subject Is above Grade. The TITE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITE LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Prother (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const.	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e FLE REPORT HAS I General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basemer Exterior Walls Roof Surface	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None GCC1567G ax Records Living Area P Wood: Wood: Porch g Pool	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST PRIOR 1 Stove(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN NONE	No None None Driveway Garage	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface c ge # of C ont # of C	energy 2 Concrete ars 2
Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e foperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspoi	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer Se	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None GCC1567G ax Records Living Area P Firepla Wood: Patio/l Porch g Pool Fence	Prior Inspection Prior	No None Driveway Garag Carpo	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface c ge # of C ont # of C ned De	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e foperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basemere Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspol Window Type	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None F7C1567G ax Records Living Area P Wood: Patio/l Porch g Pool Fence Other	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities acce(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN NONE NONE NONE NONE	No None None Driveway Garag Carpo Attack	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface c ge # of C ont # of C ned De	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e TLE REPORT HAS I General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basemer Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspot Window Type Dishwasher	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer System System Od Zone X Praisal Files M Description Finished This Finished STUCCO Composition Uts METAL DBL HUNG Dosed per plans and specific plans and spe	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None FTC1567G ax Records Living Area P Wood: Wood: Porch g Pool Fence Other (or	Prior Inspection PracEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN NONE NONE WOOD NONE describe)	No None None Garag Carpo Attaci Built-	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface 0 Jee # of C Ont # of C Oned Decin	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e roperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Sterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspot Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms	Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None FTC1567G ax Records Living Area P Wood: Wood: Porch g Pool Fence Other (or	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities acce(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN NONE NONE NONE NONE	No None None Garag Carpo Attaci Built-	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface 0 Jee # of C Ont # of C Oned Decin	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external forms and the subject is above grade. The TII LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property of the describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1937 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e roperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Sterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspot Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer System System Od Zone X Praisal Files M Description Finished This Finished STUCCO Composition Uts METAL DBL HUNG Dosed per plans and specific plans and spe	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None FTC1567G ax Records Living Area P Wood: Wood: Porch g Pool Fence Other (or	Prior Inspection PracEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 1 stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN NONE NONE WOOD NONE describe)	No None None Garag Carpo Attaci Built-	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface 0 Jee # of C Ont # of C Oned Decin	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e rLE REPORT HAS P General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspol Window Type Dishwasher S Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None CTC1567G ax Records Living Area P Alley Wood Patio/l Porch G Pool Tence Other (cr	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST PRESES. Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST P	No None None Driveway Attaci Built-	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface cont # of C ont # of C	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external from the subject is above grade. The TITE LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property of the off Stories 1 Type One One with Accessory Unit for Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1937 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items)	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e rLE REPORT HAS P General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspol Window Type Dishwasher S Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None CTC1567G ax Records Living Area P Alley Wood Patio/l Porch G Pool Tence Other (cr	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST PRESES. Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST P	No None None Driveway Attaci Built-	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface 0 Jee # of C Ont # of C Oned Decin	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e fILE REPORT HAS I Coperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None CTC1567G ax Records Living Area P Alley Wood Patio/l Porch G Pool Tence Other (cr	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST PRESES. Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST P	No None None Driveway Attaci Built-	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface cont # of C ont # of C	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external from the subject is above grade. The TITE LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property of the off Stories 1 Type One One with Accessory Unit for Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1937 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items)	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e fILE REPORT HAS I Coperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None CTC1567G ax Records Living Area P Alley Wood Patio/l Porch G Pool Tence Other (cr	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST PRESES. Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST P	No None None Driveway Attaci Built-	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface cont # of C ont # of C	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external from the subject is above grade. The TITE LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property of the off Stories 1 Type One One with Accessory Unit for Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1937 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items)	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e fILE REPORT HAS I Coperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None CTC1567G ax Records Living Area P Alley Wood Patio/l Porch G Pool Tence Other (cr	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST PRESES. Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST P	No None None Driveway Attaci Built-	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface cont # of C ont # of C	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external from the subject is above grade. The TITE LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property of the off Stories 1 Type One One with Accessory Unit for Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1937 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items)	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e fILE REPORT HAS I Coperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None CTC1567G ax Records Living Area P Alley Wood Patio/l Porch G Pool Tence Other (cr	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST PRESES. Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST P	No None None Driveway Attaci Built-	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface cont # of C ont # of C	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external from the subject is above grade. The TITE LOT SIZE TAKEN FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Property of the off Stories 1 Type One One with Accessory Unit for Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1937 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items)	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e fILE REPORT HAS I Coperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspon Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None CTC1567G ax Records Living Area P Alley Wood Patio/l Porch G Pool Tence Other (cr	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST PRESES. Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST P	No None None Driveway Attaci Built-	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface cont # of C ont # of C	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e TLE REPORT HAS I Coperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspool Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Improstreet Asphalley None Street Asphalley None STC1567G ax Records Living Area P Ai Firepla Wood: Patio/I Porch g Pool Fence Other (cr Other (cr T,52	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN NONE NONE WOOD NONE describe) O Square Feet of	No None None Driveway Garag Carpo Attaci Built- f Gross Liv	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface c If # of	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e TLE REPORT HAS I Coperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspool Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Improstreet Asphalley None Street Asphalley None STC1567G ax Records Living Area P Ai Firepla Wood: Patio/I Porch g Pool Fence Other (cr Other (cr T,52	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN NONE NONE WOOD NONE describe) O Square Feet of	No None None Driveway Attaci Built-	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface c If # of	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e TLE REPORT HAS I Coperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspool Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Improstreet Asphalley None Street Asphalley None STC1567G ax Records Living Area P Ai Firepla Wood: Patio/I Porch g Pool Fence Other (cr Other (cr T,52	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN NONE NONE WOOD NONE describe) O Square Feet of	No None None Driveway Garag Carpo Attaci Built- f Gross Liv	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface c If # of	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e TLE REPORT HAS I Coperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspool Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Improstreet Asphalley None Street Asphalley None STC1567G ax Records Living Area P Ai Firepla Wood: Patio/I Porch g Pool Fence Other (cr Other (cr T,52	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN NONE NONE WOOD NONE describe) O Square Feet of	No None None Driveway Garag Carpo Attaci Built- f Gross Liv	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface c If # of	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e TLE REPORT HAS I Coperty App General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspool Window Type Dishwasher 5 Rooms , etc.) LANDS	Public Oth Public Oth Sewer	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Improstreet Asphalley None Street Asphalley None STC1567G ax Records Living Area P Ai Firepla Wood: Patio/I Porch g Pool Fence Other (cr Other (cr T,52	Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 1 Stove(s) # 0 Deck OPEN NONE NONE WOOD NONE describe) O Square Feet of	No None None Driveway Garag Carpo Attaci Built- f Gross Liv	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface c If # of	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e file REPORT HAS I General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspol Window Type Dishwasher S Rooms HANDS OURCE(S) (including and IT) diverse conditions that	Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None FOC1567G ax Records Living Area P Alley Wood: Porch Porch Porch Other (c 1,52 modeling, etc.).	Prior Inspection Prior	No None None None Oriveway Garag Garag Hattaci Built- F Gross Liv	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface cont # of C ort # Of C	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e file REPORT HAS I General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspol Window Type Dishwasher S Rooms HANDS OURCE(S) (including and IT) diverse conditions that	Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Improstreet Asphalley None Street Asphalley None STC1567G ax Records Living Area P Ai Firepla Wood: Patio/I Porch g Pool Fence Other (cr Other (cr T,52	Prior Inspection Prior	No None None Driveway Garag Carpo Attaci Built- f Gross Liv	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface cont # of C ort # Of C	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached
Is the highest and best use of subject property as Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity	Water Sanitary No FEMA Flo for the market area? factors (easements, e file REPORT HAS I General Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspol Window Type Dishwasher S Rooms HANDS OURCE(S) (including and IT) diverse conditions that	Public Oth	Zoning Illegal (describe ecifications) the present use? er (describe)	Off-site Impro Street Asph: Alley None FOC1567G ax Records Living Area P Alley Wood: Porch Porch Porch Other (c 1,52 modeling, etc.).	Prior Inspection Prior	No None None None Oriveway Garag Garag Hattaci Built- F Gross Liv	Public Date 04/21/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage Way # of C Surface cont # of C ort # Of C	ars 2 Concrete ars 2 ars 0 tached

Page 1 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 2403-18

						1110 // E400	-10	
			the subject neighborh			to S	\$ 3,3	50,000
There are 10 comparabl	e sales in the subject	ct neighborhood within	the past twelve mont	hs ranging in sale pr	ice from \$ 2.225.0	00	to \$ 3	3,500,000
FEATURE	SUBJECT		BLE SALE # 1		LE SALE # 2			LE SALE # 3
	SUBJECT	COIVIFANAD	DLE JALE # 1	COIVIFANAD	LE SALE # Z	COIV	IFANADI	LE JALE # J
Address 1367 Chautauqua E	Blvd	1031 Fiske St		1171 Fiske St		14929 Whitf	field Av	e
Pacific Palisades, C	A 90272-2607	Pacific Palisades,	CA 90272-3844	Pacific Palisades, (2Δ 90272-3846	Pacific Palis	ades (CA 90272-2651
Proximity to Subject	JA GOZIZ ZOOI		OH 00212 0044		DR 00272 0040			JA 00212 2001
· · ·	•	0.42 miles S	I.	0.24 miles SW	1.	0.16 miles S	W	1.
Sale Price	\$		\$ 2,725,000		\$ 2,850,000			\$ 3,025,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ff	. \$ 2069.10 Sq.ft.		\$ 1527.33 Sq.ft.		\$ 1666.6	sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)				1021100	20455 2011 4			200 2011 40
		CRMLSMLS#23264	1797 ;DUM 25	CRMLSMLS#SR231	U94//;DUM 1	CRMLSMLS#	7 23342	203 ;DOM 19
Verification Source(s)		PARCEL Q#21872	630-B-5	PARCEL Q#396217	630-B-4	PARCEL Q#1	133838	631-B-4
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT	TION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing			(/ - /		() . ,			(/ . /
		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth		
Concessions		Conv;0		Cash;0		Conv;0		
Date of Sale/Time		s01/24;c05/23		s06/23;c06/23		s03/24;c01/2	2/	
							4	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;		
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	FEE	0	FEE	0	FEE		0
Site								
	10390 sf	5201 sf	+125,000	6500 sf	+100,000	6242 sf		+100,000
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;		
Design (Style)	T					T .	NA.	
- , - ,	DT1;TRADITIONAL	DT1;TRADITIONAL		DT1;TRADITIONAL		DT1;TRADITIO	JNAL	
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4		
Actual Age	87	78		61		74		0
Condition	C4	C3	-125,000	C4		C3	,	-125,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms.	Baths	
					_			-
Room Count	5 2 2.0	5 2 2.0		7 4 2.0	0		2.0	0
Gross Living Area	1,520 SQ.ff	. 1,317 Sq.ft.	+ 45,700	1,866 Sq.ft.	-77,900	1.81	5 sq.ft.	-66,400
Basement & Finished								,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	0sf	Osf		Osf		Osf		
Rooms Below Grade								
Functional Utility	AVERAGE	AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		
•								
Heating/Cooling	FAU/NONE	FAU/NONE		FAU/CENT	-5,000	FAU/CENT		-5,000
Energy Efficient Items	NONE	NONE		NONE		NONE		
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	1ga1dw	+20,000	2cp2dw	+30,000	2ga2dw		
Porch/Patio/Deck	NONE	NONE		NONE		NONE		
						110111		
					•	H —		•
Net Adjustment (Total)		+ -	\$ 65,700	+	\$ 47,100	+	X -	\$ -96,400
A discrete di Ondo Daio		Net Adj. 2.4 %						
			,	Net Adi 17%		Net Adi		
=				Net Adj. 1.7 %		Net Adj.	3.2 %	
of Comparables	the sale or transfer his	Gross Adj. 11.6 %		Gross Adj. 7.5 %				
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE PARCEL QUE PARCEL QUE	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the co	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et	\$ 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple sale of the comparable	Gross Adj.	3.2 % 9.8 %	
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the co	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the	Gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the eff year prior to the date of	\$ 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple sale of the comparable	Gross Adj. raisal. e sale. sales on page 3	3.2 % 9.8 %	
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the corporate or transfer history.	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property	Gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the eff year prior to the date of	ffective date of this apple sale of the comparable (report additional prior	Gross Adj. raisal. e sale. sales on page 3	3.2 % 9.8 %	\$ 2,928,600
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the el year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1	\$ 2,897,100 If the comparable sale #2	raisal. e sale. sales on page 3	3.2 % 9.8 %	\$ 2,928,600
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the el year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1	ffective date of this apple sale of the comparable (report additional prior	raisal. e sale. sales on page 3	3.2 % 9.8 %	\$ 2,928,600
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor SUBJECT	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (# 2,897,100 ### Sale of the comparable ### (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE ####################################	Gross Adj. raisal. e sale. sales on page 3 PARC	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA	\$ 2,928,600
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor SUBJECT	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/	## 2,897,100 ##################################	raisal. sales on page 3 PARC: 03/22	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA	\$ 2,928,600 RABLE SALE #3
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor SUBJECT	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/	# 2,897,100 ### Sale of the comparable ### (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE ####################################	raisal. sales on page 3 PARC: 03/22	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA	\$ 2,928,600 RABLE SALE #3
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pri	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor SUBJECT	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/	## 2,897,100 ##################################	raisal. sales on page 3 PARC: 03/22	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA	\$ 2,928,600 RABLE SALE #3
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) parcel qui My research did did Data Source(s) parcel qui Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pri	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor SUBJECT	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/	## 2,897,100 ##################################	raisal. sales on page 3 PARC: 03/22	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA	\$ 2,928,600 RABLE SALE #3
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) parcel qui My research did did Data Source(s) parcel qui Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pri	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor SUBJECT	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/	## 2,897,100 ##################################	raisal. sales on page 3 PARC: 03/22	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA	\$ 2,928,600 RABLE SALE #3
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pri	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor SUBJECT	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/	## 2,897,100 ##################################	raisal. sales on page 3 PARC: 03/22	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA	\$ 2,928,600 RABLE SALE #3
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pri	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor SUBJECT	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/	## 2,897,100 ##################################	raisal. sales on page 3 PARC: 03/22	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA	\$ 2,928,600 RABLE SALE #3
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pri	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor SUBJECT	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/	## 2,897,100 ##################################	raisal. sales on page 3 PARC: 03/22	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA	\$ 2,928,600 RABLE SALE #3
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE.	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor SUBJECT	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/	## 2,897,100 ##################################	raisal. sales on page 3 PARC: 03/22	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA	\$ 2,928,600 RABLE SALE #3
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE.	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor UBJECT operty and comparable size.	\$ 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the effect of the date of the dat	ffective date of this apples all of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) My research did did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE.	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor SUBJECT Operty and comparable states of the subject of transfer histor.	s 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 sales THE	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the effect of the date of the dat	ffective date of this apples all of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE.	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor BUBJECT ST Operty and comparable statements SMALLER, SMALLER N, SMALLER LOT.	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 sales THE	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT	## 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MC	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA SEL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) My research did did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE.	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject or transfers of the coor sale or transfer histor BUBJECT ST Operty and comparable statements SMALLER, SMALLER N, SMALLER LOT.	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 sales THE	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT	## 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MC	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA SEL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATE Summary of Sales Comparison Ap LARGER THAN THE SUBJECT The appraiser comparable se	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Opproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION parch consisted of	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfers or t	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER CON	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT TO IDITION, SALE#2 L	## 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 DO to 2000.
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Opproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION parch consisted of	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfers or t	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER CON	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT TO IDITION, SALE#2 L	## 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 DO to 2000.
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Opproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION parch consisted of	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfers or t	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER CON	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT TO IDITION, SALE#2 L THE URAR report. Sa	## 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 DO to 2000.
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION Earch consisted of second the Mare	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfers of the control of the subject proper les or transfers of the control of transfer history subject The subject proper les or transfers of the subject The subject proper les or t	s 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 Sales THE ER LOT, BETTER COM area of page 1 of the ALES ANALYSIS \$22	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT 1	## 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 DO to 2000.
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did odd Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI Summary of Sales Comparison Ap LARGER THAN THE SUBJECT The appraiser comparable se ADJUSTMENTS WERE DERIVE DIFFERENCE	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION Earch consisted of second the Mare	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfers of the control of the subject proper les or transfers of the control of transfer history subject The subject proper les or transfers of the subject The subject proper les or t	s 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 Sales THE ER LOT, BETTER COM area of page 1 of the ALES ANALYSIS \$22	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT 1	ffective date of this appropriate sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SAL	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 DO to 2000.
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did odd Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI Summary of Sales Comparison Ap LARGER THAN THE SUBJECT The appraiser comparable se ADJUSTMENTS WERE DERIVE DIFFERENCE	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION Earch consisted of second the Mare	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfers of the control of the subject proper les or transfers of the control of transfer history subject The subject proper les or transfers of the subject The subject proper les or t	s 2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 Sales THE ER LOT, BETTER COM area of page 1 of the ALES ANALYSIS \$22	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT 1	ffective date of this appropriate sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SAL	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 DO to 2000.
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETTE	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfers of the control sale or transfer history BUBJECT The sale or transfer history BUBJECT ST Operty and comparable in the defined ket with paired sales in the defined ket	2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER COM ALES ANALYSIS \$22	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT TO IDITION, SALE#2 L BE URAR report. Sa 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000	ffective date of this appropriate sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SAL	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 DO to 2000.
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI Summary of Sales Comparison AF LARGER THAN THE SUBJECT The appraiser comparable se ADJUSTMENTS WERE DERIVE DIFFERENCE \$20,000 PER GARAGE SPACE	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. DIPPORT SALE# BETTER CONDITION BEATTER CONDITION BEAT	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfers of the control sale or transfer history BUBJECT Operty and comparable in the defined ket with paired sales in the defined ket with paired sales are most received.	2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER COM ALES ANALYSIS \$22	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT TO IDITION, SALE#2 L BE URAR report. Sa 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000	ffective date of this appropriate sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SAL	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG	3.2 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 DO to 2000.
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI Summary of Sales Comparison AF LARGER THAN THE SUBJECT The appraiser comparable se ADJUSTMENTS WERE DERIVE DIFFERENCE \$20,000 PER GARAGE SPACE SALE#5 MOST SIMILAR IN SINIICATED AND APPRAISE SALE#5 MOST SIMILAR IN SINIICATED AND APPRAISE SALE#5 MOST SIMILAR IN SINIICATED APPRAISE SALE#5 MOST SIMILAR IN S	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETTER COND	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfers of the coor sale or transfer history SUBJECT Operty and comparable in the defined KET WITH PAIRED SA IS VERY DIFFICULT #3 ARE MOST RECE 2,800,000	2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 sales THE ER LOT, BETTER COM ALES ANALYSIS \$22 IT TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the effect of t	ffective date of this appropriate sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SILES IN	PARCE O3/22 PARCE O3/22 PARCE O3/22 PARCE O3/22 E PAST 36 MO UBJECT,SMAI	3.2 % 9.8 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 Of to 2000. SQ FT
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI Summary of Sales Comparison Ap LARGER THAN THE SUBJECT The appraiser comparable se ADJUSTMENTS WERE DERIVE DIFFERENCE \$20,000 PER GARAGE SPACE	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETTER COND	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfers of the control sale or transfer history BUBJECT The sale or transfer history BUBJECT ST Operty and comparable in the defined ket with paired sylventy IS VERY DIFFICULT #3 ARE MOST RECE 2,800,000	2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER COM ALES ANALYSIS \$22	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT 1 IDITION, SALE#2 L BE URAR report. Sa 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000 E MOST WEIGHT	ffective date of this appropriate sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SILES IN	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG	3.2 % 9.8 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 Of to 2000. SQ FT
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETTER	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer histor les or transfers of the subject les or tra	2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER COM area of page 1 of the ALES ANALYSIS \$22 IT TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if devi	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT THE SALE FOR SOLUTION, SALE #2 L BE URAR report. Sales 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000 E MOST WEIGHT eloped) \$ 2,680,0	## 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 M0 UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI	3.2 % 9.8 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST OT SALE#3 OO to 2000. SQ FT
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION Earch consisted of ED FROM THE MAR E STREET PARKING SIZE & SALE#1 & # SON Approach \$ 22 parison Approach \$ 22 parison Approach \$ 23 parison Approach \$ 24 parison Approach \$ 25	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history subject les or transfers of the subject les or transfers of t	2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER COM area of page 1 of the ALES ANALYSIS \$22 IT TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if devi	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT THE SALE FOR SOLUTION, SALE #2 L BE URAR report. Sales 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000 E MOST WEIGHT eloped) \$ 2,680,0	## 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 M0 UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI	3.2 % 9.8 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST OT SALE#3 OO to 2000. SQ FT
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION Earch consisted of ED FROM THE MAR E STREET PARKING SIZE & SALE#1 & # SON Approach \$ 22 parison Approach \$ 22 parison Approach \$ 23 parison Approach \$ 24 parison Approach \$ 25	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history subject les or transfers of the subject les or transfers of t	2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER COM area of page 1 of the ALES ANALYSIS \$22 IT TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if devi	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT THE SALE FOR SOLUTION, SALE #2 L BE URAR report. Sales 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000 E MOST WEIGHT eloped) \$ 2,680,0	## 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 M0 UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI	3.2 % 9.8 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST OT SALE#3 OO to 2000. SQ FT
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION Earch consisted of ED FROM THE MAR E STREET PARKING SIZE & SALE#1 & # SON Approach \$ 22 parison Approach \$ 22 parison Approach \$ 23 parison Approach \$ 24 parison Approach \$ 25	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history subject les or transfers of the subject les or transfers of t	2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER COM area of page 1 of the ALES ANALYSIS \$22 IT TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if devi	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT THE SALE FOR SOLUTION, SALE #2 L BE URAR report. Sales 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000 E MOST WEIGHT eloped) \$ 2,680,0	## 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apple	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 M0 UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI	3.2 % 9.8 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST OT SALE#3 OO to 2000. SQ FT
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETTER	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history les or transfers of the subject les or transfers of t	2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the erty y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER COM area of page 1 of the ALES ANALYSIS \$22 IT TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE COST Approach (if developments)	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (0 PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT TO IDITION, SALE#2 L BE URAR report. Sa 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000 E MOST WEIGHT eloped) \$ 2,680,0 ST APPROACH IS ME	# 2,897,100 Iffective date of this apply sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 TRANSFERRED IN TH ARGER THAN THE SI Les in the square for t	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI	3.2 % 9.8 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1	RABLE SALE #3 ST OT SALE#3 OO to 2000. SQ FT
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETCH CONSISTED OF FROM THE MAR E STREET PARKING SIZE & SALE#1 & # SON Approach \$ 2 parison Approach \$ 2 parison Approach \$ 1 parison Approach \$ 2 parison Approach \$ 1 parison Approach \$ 2 parison Approach \$ 2 parison Approach \$ 2 parison Approach \$ 2 parison Approach \$ 3 p	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history les or transfers of the subject les or transfers of the subjec	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the service of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 SaleS THE ER LOT, BETTER CON area of page 1 of the sales analysis \$22 If TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if developments) F PROPERTY. THE CO	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT TO IDITION, SALE#2 L BE URAR report. Sa 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000 E MOST WEIGHT eloped) \$ 2,680,0 ST APPROACH IS ME	ffective date of this apply sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SILES IN THE SILES IN THE SQUARE SQUA	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI proach (if deve	3.2 % 9.8 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1 LLER L0 A 1500 Ploped) \$ APPROA	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 OO to 2000. SQ FT SACH IS N/A DUE TO have been
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETTER COND	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history les or transfers of the subject les or transfers of the subjec	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the service of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 Sales THE ER LOT, BETTER CON area of page 1 of the sales analysis \$22 If TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if developments) F PROPERTY. THE CO	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT TO SUBJECT HAS NOT TO IDITION, SALE#2 L BE URAR report. Sa 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000 E MOST WEIGHT eloped) \$ 2,680,0 ST APPROACH IS ME In the basis of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of th	ffective date of this apply sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SILES IN THE SILES IN THE SQUARE SQUA	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI proach (if deve	3.2 % 9.8 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1 LLER L0 A 1500 Ploped) \$ APPRO/	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 OO to 2000. SQ FT SACH IS N/A DUE TO have been
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETTER COND	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history les or transfers of the subject les or transfers of the subjec	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the service of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 Sales THE ER LOT, BETTER CON area of page 1 of the sales analysis \$22 If TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if developments) F PROPERTY. THE CO	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT TO SUBJECT HAS NOT TO IDITION, SALE#2 L BE URAR report. Sa 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000 E MOST WEIGHT eloped) \$ 2,680,0 ST APPROACH IS ME In the basis of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of th	ffective date of this apply sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SILES IN THE SILES IN THE SQUARE SQUA	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI proach (if deve	3.2 % 9.8 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1 LLER L0 A 1500 Ploped) \$ APPRO/	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 OO to 2000. SQ FT SACH IS N/A DUE TO have been
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETTER COND	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history les or transfers of the subject les or transfers of the subjec	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the service of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 Sales THE ER LOT, BETTER CON area of page 1 of the sales analysis \$22 If TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if developments) F PROPERTY. THE CO	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT TO SUBJECT HAS NOT TO IDITION, SALE#2 L BE URAR report. Sa 5 PER SQ FT \$25 PI EA \$125000 E MOST WEIGHT eloped) \$ 2,680,0 ST APPROACH IS ME In the basis of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of a hypondition that the reparation of the pass of th	ffective date of this apply sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SILES IN THE SILES IN THE SQUARE SQUA	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI proach (if deve	3.2 % 9.8 % 9.8 % 3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1 LLER L0 A 1500 Ploped) \$ APPRO/	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OT SALE#3 OO to 2000. SQ FT SACH IS N/A DUE TO have been
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. Diproach SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETTER COND	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfer history subject The subject proper les or transfer history subject The subject proper les or transfer history subject The subject proper les or transfers of the subject subject prop	erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the service of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 Sales THE ER LOT, BETTER CON area of page 1 of the sales analysis \$22 If TO FIND IN THE AREIT AREIT AREIT ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if device the condition or deficie th	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT THE SALE SUBJECT HA	ffective date of this apply sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SILES IN THE SUBJECT OF CONDITION DOO INCOME APPLICATION DOO INCOME APPLICATION SUBJECT SUPPORTIVE. TO A CONDITION SUBJECT SUPPORTIVE. TO A CONDITION SUBJECT SUPPORTIVE. TO CONDITION SUBJECT SUBJECT SUPPORTIVE. TO CONDITION SUBJECT	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC: 03/22 E PAST 36 MG UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI proach (if deve	3.2 % 9.8 % 3.3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1 LLER LO S of 1300 Ploped) \$ APPROJ ements leted, or	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OD to 2000. SQ FT ACH IS N/A DUE TO have been subject to the
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATI Summary of Sales Comparison Ap LARGER THAN THE SUBJECT The appraiser comparable se ADJUSTMENTS WERE DERIVE DIFFERENCE \$20,000 PER GARAGE SPACE SALE#5 MOST SIMILAR IN S Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison The MARKET APPROACH BEST THE LACK OF RENTAL DATA O This appraisal is made "as completed, subject to the following required inspection base Based on a visual inspection	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. DIPPOSE SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETTER CONDIT	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or transfers of the control of sale or transfer history BUBJECT The state of transfer history BUBJECT ST Operty and comparable is ST ST Operty and comparable is ST ST ST OPERTY DIFFICULT ST ST ST OPERTY DIFFICULT ST ST ST OPERTY DIFFICULT ST ST ST ST ST ST ST ST ST	2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 sales THE ER LOT, BETTER COM area of page 1 of the ALES ANALYSIS \$22 IT TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE COST Approach (if device of a hypothetical condition or deficie) oroperty from at lease oroperty from at lease oroperty from at lease	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the effect of t	ffective date of this appropriate sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SI Les in the square from the square	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 M0 UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI Droach (if deve THE INCOME A at the improve e been comple N/A	3.2 % 9.8 % 3.3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1 LLER LO S of 130 N 1500 Ploped) \$ APPRO/	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OD to 2000. SQ FT have been subject to the
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI My research did did Data Source(s) PARCEL QUI Report the results of the research	not reveal any prior sa EST not reveal any prior sa EST and analysis of the pri PARCEL QUES 03/22/2024 istory of the subject pr E ABOVE. DIPPOSE SALE# BETTER CONDITION BETTER CONDIT	Gross Adj. 11.6 % tory of the subject proper les or transfers of the subject proper les or trans	2,790,700 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the comparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 03/22/2024 sales THE ER LOT, BETTER COM area of page 1 of the ALES ANALYSIS \$22 IT TO FIND IN THE ARE ENT & ARE GIVEN THE COST Approach (if device of a hypothetical condition or deficie) oroperty from at lease oroperty from at lease oroperty from at lease	gross Adj. 7.5 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the et year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 03/22/ SUBJECT HAS NOT THE SAME STANDED ST	ffective date of this apply sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 TRANSFERRED IN THE SILES IN THE SILES IN THE SQUARE SQUA	Gross Adj. raisal. sales on page 3 PARC 03/22 E PAST 36 MG UBJECT,SMAI cotage range E MORE THAI proach (if deve THE INCOME A at the improve e been comple N/A	3.2 % 9.8 % 3.3). COMPA EL QUE 2/2024 ONTH. 1 LLER LO S of 130 N 1500 Ploped) \$ APPRO/	RABLE SALE #3 ST THE COMPARABLES OD to 2000. SQ FT have been subject to the

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

57001

THE INTENDED USER OF THIS APPRAISAL IS THE LENDER/CLIENT. THE INTENDED USE	R IS TO EVALUATE THE PROPERTY THAT IS TH	E SUBJECT OF THIS APPRAISA	AL FOR A
MORTGAGE FINANCE TRANSACTION SUBJECT TO THE STATED SCOPE OF WORK, PURP	OSE OF THE APPRAISAL , REPORTING REQUIR	EMENTS OF THIS APPRAISAL I	REPORT
FORM & DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE. NO ADDITIONAL INTENDED USERS ARE IDEN	TIFIED BY THE APPRAISER.		
THE EFFECTIVE AGE IS LOWER THAN THE ACTUAL AGE DUE TO ROUTINE MAINTENANC	E & UPKEEP PROLONGING THE ESTIMATED R	EMAINING ECONOMIC LIFE.	
The address reported on the appraisal form is according to US Postal Service records	as required by UAD format. The title compa	ny reports the city or county a	address
and the title report may or may not match to USPS records?.			
I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the	property that is the subject of this report wit	hin the three-year period imm	nediately
preceding acceptance of this assignment.			
	(not required by Fannie Mae)		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio	is.		
	is.	red From Marshall-Swift.	Age
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio	ns. mating site value) Cost Factors Deriv		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates the summary of comparable land sales or other methods.	ns. mating site value) Cost Factors Deriv		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Life Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical I Used.	ns. mating site value) Cost Factors Deriv for The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstr		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated the comparable land of the comparable land o	ns. mating site value) Cost Factors Deriv for The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstr		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti Life Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical I Used.	ns. mating site value) Cost Factors Deriv for The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstr	actions Process) The Compara	ables
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to the provided in the content of the cost of the	ns. mating site value) Cost Factors Deriv for The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstr	=\$ 300.00 =\$ =\$	ables 2,300,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimental to the comparable land to the land to	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,520 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ 300.00=\$	ables 2,300,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to the provided in the content of the cost of the	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Does Tactors Deriv Cost Factors Deriv Lost Factors Deriv Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport August Factors Deriv Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ 300.00 =\$ =\$ 20.00 =\$	ables 2,300,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical Interval Inter	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Cost Factors Deriv Cost Factors Deriv Sq.Ft. @ \$ 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ 300.00 =\$ =\$ =\$	2,300,000 456,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the opinion of sales or other methods	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Does Tactors Deriv Cost Factors Deriv Lost Factors Deriv Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport August Factors Deriv Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ 300.00 =\$ =\$ 20.00 =\$	2,300,000 456,000 8,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the sales of cost of land to improvements of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the sales of cost figures and calculation in the sales or other methods for estingular to land to improvements or comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to sales o	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Cost Factors Deriv Cost Factors Deriv Sq.Ft. @ \$ 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$	=\$ 300.00 =\$ =\$ 20.00 =\$ =\$	2,300,000 456,000 8,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the method of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical In Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Cost Factors Deriv Cost Factors Deriv April 20 Cost Factors Deriv April 20 Cost Factors Deriv April 20 April 20 Cost Factors Deriv April 20 Apri	=\$ 300.00 =\$ =\$ 20.00 =\$ External	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the method of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical In Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Cost Factors Deriv Cost Factors Deriv Sq.Ft. @ \$ 9 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Depreciation 184,000	=\$ 300.00 =\$	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estingular to the method of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical In Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Degreciation 184,000 Cost Factors Deriv Cost Factors Deriv April 20 Cost Factors Deriv April 20 April 20 Sq.Ft. 20 \$ Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ 300.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ External =\$(=\$	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to be supported by the comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to be supported by the comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to support the comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to support the comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to support the comparable land to land to improvements or cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Degreciation 184,000 Cost Factors Deriv Cost Factors Deriv April 20 Cost Factors Deriv April 20 April 20 Sq.Ft. 20 \$ Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ 300.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ External =\$(=\$	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to be supported by the comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to be supported by the comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to be supported by the comparable land sales or other methods for estimated to support the sales or other methods for estimated	nating site value) Cost Factors Deriver The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract Deriver The Abstract Deriver The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract Deriver The Abstract Deriver The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract Deriver The Abstract Deriver The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract Deriver The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract Deriver The Abstract Deriver The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract Deriver The Abstract Deriver The Abstract Deriver The Abstract Deriver The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract Deriver	=\$ 300.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ External =\$(=\$ =\$	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting Life Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical In Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 184,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) Cost Factors Deriv Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Functional Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ 300.00	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting Life Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical I Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 1,84,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE Bertos Deriv Cost Factors Deriv 4,00 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Functional Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$	=\$ 300.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ External =\$(=\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Incom	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting Life Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical In Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 184,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) Cost Factors Deriv Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional Functional Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ 300.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ External =\$(=\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Incom	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting Life Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical I Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INC	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OW	=\$ 300.00 =\$ 20.00 =\$ External =\$(=\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Incom	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting Life Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical In Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME PROJECT INFORMATION	nating site value) Cost Factors Deriv For The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstr OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,520 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 184,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OWN FOR PUDs (if applicable)	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting Life Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical In Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO SUMMARY OF T	nating site value) Cost Factors Deriv For The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstr OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,520 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 184,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OW FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the below of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements is Typical I Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used. OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE IS the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as	nating site value) Cost Factors Deriv For The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstr OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,520 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 184,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OW FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the below of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements is Typical Interest to Improvements Interest	nating site value) Cost Factors Deriver The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated Square, As Indicated Square, As Indicated Square, As Indicated Square, As Indicated Indicated Square, As Indicated	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estilite Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements is Typical I Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including Support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including Support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including Support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including Support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including Support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including Support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including Support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including Support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including Support for market rent and GRM) THE I	nating site value) Cost Factors Deriver The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated Sq. Fit. @ \$ Garage/Carport	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estilite Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements is Typical I Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE IN THE INCOME APPROA	Depreciation 184,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OW Total number of units sold Data source(s)	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiling Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical Is Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) The INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ Total number of units in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	nating site value) Cost Factors Deriver The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated Square, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Abstract The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstract	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiling Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical Is Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR Source of cost data Reproduction, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) RESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR SUMMARY OF INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE STIMATED OR SUMMARY OR SUMMARY OF INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE STIMATED	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OW FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiline Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical Is Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) The INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALLE SUMMARY OF THE INCOME	Depreciation 184,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OW Total number of units sold Data source(s)	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estilite Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical Is Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) The INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ Total number of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OW FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estile Method Of Depreciation. The Ratio Of Land To Improvements Is Typical Is Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) The INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ Total number of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OW FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiling the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiling the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiling the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiling the provided that the opinion of site value (summary of Land To Improvements Is Typical II Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) The INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	DPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OW FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiling the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiling the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiling the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiling the provided that the opinion of site value (summary of Land To Improvements Is Typical II Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-Swift Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) The INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	nating site value) Cost Factors Deriv For The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstr OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,520 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 184,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OW FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiline the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiline the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiline the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estiline the opinion of site value (summary of Land To Improvements Is Typical II Used. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall-swift Quality rating from cost service Ave Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used. OR EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) THE INC PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	nating site value) Cost Factors Deriv For The Area, As Indicated By(Using The Abstr OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,520 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 0 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 400 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 184,000 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ COME APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE FOR OW FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.	### STATE	2,300,000 456,000 8,000 464,000 184,000) 280,000 100,000

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 2

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 57001

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

1	
APPRAISER / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Joseph /P BALDINO	Name
Company Name REAL ESTATE APPRAISER	Company Name
Company Address 3101 MESA VERDE DR	Company Address
BURBANK, CA 91504	
Telephone Number (818) 768-6147	Telephone Number
Email Address Joeappraiser11@aol.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 03/22/2024	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 03/22/2024	State Certification #
State Certification # AR001957	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/12/2025	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	☐ Did not inspect exterior of subject property
	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
1367 Chautauqua Blvd	Date of Inspection
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272-2607	
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 2,800,000	COMPARABLE SALES
LENDER/CLIENT	CONTANABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name wedgewood inc	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach BL, Suite 100, REDONDO	Date of Inspection
BEACH, CA 90276	
Fmail Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 2403-18

FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABI	LE SALE # 4	COM	/IPARABI	E SALE # 5	COI	MPARABI	LE SALE # 6
Address 1367 Chautauqua B	lvd	1060 Palisair Pl	•	1011 Hartze					•
Pacific Palisades, C		Pacific Palisades, (CA 90272-2459			A 90272-3821			
Proximity to Subject		0.61 miles W		0.42 miles S					
Sale Price	\$	0.01 miles W	\$ 2,225,000			\$ 2.508.000			\$
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 1289.86 Sq.ft.	+ 2,220,000		7 sq.ft.	2,000,000	\$	sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)	φ σq.π.	CRMLSMLS#23258	6/5 ·DOM 53			147;DOM 23	ΙΨ	04.11.	
Verification Source(s)		PARCEL Q#455337		PARCEL Q#		•			
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT		+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIP	TION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	DESCRIPTION		+ (-) \(\psi\) Aujustinoni		IION	+ (-) ψ Aujustinont	DEGOTTII	TION	+ (-) # Aujustinoni
Concessions		ArmLth		ArmLth					
Date of Sale/Time		Conv;0		Conv;0					
·		s07/23;c06/23		s08/23;c07/2	23				
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;					
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	FEE		FEE		0			
Site	10390 sf	11957 sf		5204 sf		+125,000			
View	N;Res;	B;CtySky;	-100,000						
Design (Style)	DT1;TRADITIONAL	DT1;RANCH	0	DT1;SPANIS	Н	0			
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4					
Actual Age	87	61	0	92		0			
Condition	C4	C5	+125,000	C5		+125,000			
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms.	. Baths		Total Bdrms	Baths	
Room Count	5 2 2.0	6 3 2.0	0	5 2	1.1	+7,500			
Gross Living Area	1,520 Sq.ft.	1,725 Sq.ft.	-46,100	1,43	3 sq.ft.	+19,600		sq.ft.	
Basement & Finished	Osf	Osf		Osf					
Rooms Below Grade									
Functional Utility	AVERAGE	AVERAGE		AVERAGE					
Heating/Cooling	FAU/NONE	NONE	+15,000			+15,000			
Energy Efficient Items	NONE	NONE	. 10,000	NONE		110,000			
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	2ga2dw		2dw		+40,000			
Porch/Patio/Deck	NONE	NONE		NONE		+40,000			
1 01011/1 440/2001	NONE	NONE		NONE					
Not Adjustment (Total)			¢			¢			\$
Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price		+ X -	\$ -43,600		- 40.0%	\$ 332,100			· .
*		Net Adj. 2.0 %		Net Adj.	13.2 %		Net Adj.		
of Comparables	and another of the arise	Gross Adj. 14.5 %			13.2 %				\$
Report the results of the research a									
ITEM	Sl	JBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	LE # 4	C	OMPARABLE SALE #	5	COMPAR	RABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer									
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer									
Data Source(s)	PARCEL QUEST	Г	PARCEL QUEST		PARCE	L QUEST			
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	03/22/2024		03/22/2024		03/22/	2024			
Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	story of the subject pro	perty and comparable s	sales THE	SUBJECT HA	S NOT	TRANSFERRED IN TH	E PAST 36 N	IONTH. 1	THE COMPARABLES
HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATE	ABOVE.								
Analysis/Comments SALE#4	LARGER THAN THE	SUBJECT. LARGER I	OT, HAS CITY VIEW,	NO HEAT OR	A/C LF	SSER CONDITION. S	ALE#5 SMAI	LER TH	AN THE SUBJECT
LESSER CONDITION, NO HEAT			, v viii view,	VII	EL		V VIIIAI		0000001
LESSEN CONDITION, NO HEAT	OR A/O								
CALE#4 IS THE ONLY CALE I.O.	OILLD EIND WITH A	IADCED LOT OTHER	WICE IT WOULD NOT	DE ON THE	ADDDA	ISAL IT DOES NOT E		TUE OT	UED CALEC EAD A
SALE#4 IS THE ONLY SALE I C LARGER VIEW LOT IT MAKES N			**10F II MAAAFD MAI	DE ON THE	AFFRA	OAL. II DUES NUI F	ALL IN WITH	ine UI	GALES FUR A
THUREN SIEM FOI II MAKES I	IOI JENJE IMAI IŠ S	OLD OU LUW.							
				-			-		

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

57001

File No. 2403-18

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl				prevalent in the su	oject	
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraid Property Address 1367 Chautaugua Blvd	isal reports with an effective	e date on or after April 1, 2 City Pacific Pa		State CA	ZIP Code 902	70 0007
Property Address 1367 Chautauqua Blvd Borrower REDWOOD HOLDINGS		Only Pacific Pa	IISades	Olalo CA	ZII 0000 902	/2-260/
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information req	quired on this form as the b	asis for his/her conclusion	s, and must provide support	for those conclus	ons, regarding	
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported	d in the Neighborhood secti	ion of the appraisal report t	form. The appraiser must fill i	in all the informati	on to the extent	
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as in						
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will						
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required inform	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·	-	-	
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal				ed by a prospectiv	e buyer of the	
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months		Overall Trend	
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	7	1	2	Increasing	➤ Stable	Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	1.17	0.33	0.67	Increasing	X Stable	Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	0	1	4	Declining	Stable	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	N/A	3.0	6.0	Declining	Stable	Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months		Overall Trend	Dealisins
Median Comparable Sale Price Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	2,585,000	3,200,000	2,875,000	Increasing	Stable Stable	Declining
Median Comparable List Price	7 N/A	28 3,150,000	22 2,885,000	Declining Increasing	Stable	Increasing Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	N/A	140	48	➤ Declining	Stable	Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	90.7	94	98	Increasing	★ Stable	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p	prevalent? Yes	X No		Declining	X Stable	Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas	st 12 months (e.g., seller co	ontributions increased from	n 3% to 5%, increasing use o	f buydowns, closi	ng costs, condo	
fees, options, etc.). CONCESSIONS HAVE BEEN			ANGED MUCH. BUYERS V	VANT A LOWER	PRICE RATHER 1	HAN ANY
CONCESSIONS. CONCESSIONS ARE MORE PREVA	LENT IN THE LOWER VA	LUE RANGES.				
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?	? 🗶 Yes 🗌 No	o If yes, explain (includ	ling the trends in listings and	sales of foreclose	d properties).	
THERE ARE A LARGE NUMBER OF FORECLOSURE	S IN THE AREA, THEY	ARE NOT PREDOMINAN	IT & BUT HAVE AN INFL	UENCE ON VALU	ES IN THE AREA	.
FORECLOSURES/REO SALES IN THE MARKET ARE	NOT EASILY IDENTIFIE	D IN THE MLS & PUBL	IC RECORDS SO AN AN E	XACT PERCENT	AGE OF FORECLO	SURES IS
NOT EASILY OBTAINED.						
Cite data sources for above information.	<u> </u>					
Cite data sources for above information. CRMLS	·					
Summarize the above information as support for your co	onclusions in the Neighborh					
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	t for your conclus	ons.	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID &	ur conclusions, provide bo Average sale & List	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE	t for your conclus Ratio Grids I	ONS. Ndicate a deci	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN TH	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT	ur conclusions, provide bo Average Sale & List T are comparable to	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale The Subject Propert	t for your conclus Ratio Grids I Y. However th	ONS. Ndicate a deci Is is not conc	LUSIVE
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO IIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale) the subject propert Of Property that is c	t for your conclus : Ratio Grids I Y. However th DMPARABLE TO	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT	LUSIVE
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO IIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale) the subject propert Of Property that is c	t for your conclus : Ratio Grids I Y. However th DMPARABLE TO	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT	LUSIVE
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO IIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale) the subject propert Of Property that is c	t for your conclus : Ratio Grids I Y. However th DMPARABLE TO	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT	LUSIVE
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO IIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale) the subject propert Of Property that is c	t for your conclus : Ratio Grids I Y. However th DMPARABLE TO	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT	LUSIVE
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO IIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale) the subject propert Of Property that is c	t for your conclus : Ratio Grids I Y. However th DMPARABLE TO	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT	LUSIVE
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M IE PAST 6 MONTHS MAN	UT CONCLUSIONS, PROVIDE DO AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale) the subject propert Of Property that is c	t for your conclus E ratio grids I Y. However th DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT	LUSIVE
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the inventin the past 12 months for properties in the evidence that all other properties in the there are so few sales only 3 sales in the there are so few sales only 3 sales in the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative subject Project Data	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M IE PAST 6 MONTHS MAN	UT CONCLUSIONS, PROVIDE DO AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE) THE SUBJECT PROPERT' OF PROPERTY THAT IS CO	t for your conclus E ratio grids I Y. However th DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT	LUSIVE
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE INTEREST ONLY 3 SALES IN THE SUBject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M IE PAST 6 MONTHS MAN DEPOIECT, complete the follow	UT CONCLUSIONS, PROVIDE DO AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE) THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAV	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH COMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable	LUSIVE BECAUSE Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M IE PAST 6 MONTHS MAN DEPOIECT, complete the follow	UT CONCLUSIONS, PROVIDE DO AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE) THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAV	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH COMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable	LUSIVE BECAUSE Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M IE PAST 6 MONTHS MAN DEPOIECT, complete the follow	UT CONCLUSIONS, PROVIDE DO AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE) THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAV	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH COMPARABLE TO IT INCREASED O	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	project, complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH COMPARABLE TO IT INCREASED O IT INCREASING Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative in Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	project, complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE) THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAV	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH COMPARABLE TO IT INCREASED O IT INCREASING Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	project, complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	project, complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	project, complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	project, complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	project, complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ Yes \ No	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ Yes \ No	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ Yes \ No	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ Yes \ No	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ Yes \ No	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes \ Yes \ No	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes Yes No.	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes Yes No.	ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND KES IT DIFFICULT TO DE wing: Prior 4–6 Months o If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current – 3 Months	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name JOSEPH P BALDINO	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project	wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of supervisory is supervisory in supervisory in the control of the control	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE DITHE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CI ETERMINE IF VALUES HAV Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH DMPARABLE TO IE INCREASED O IAME: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the Appraise Number 1 to 1 t	project, complete the followants of the subject unit and project.	wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of Supervisory of Company Na	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CI ETERMINE IF VALUES HAV Project N Current — 3 Months Appraiser Name me	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH COMPARABLE TO IT INCREASED O IT INCREASED Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature Appraiser Name OSEPH P BALDINO Company Name Company Address 3101 MESA VERDE DR, BUR	project , complete the followant subject unit and project the subject unit and project subject subject unit and project subject subject unit and project subject subj	wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of Supervisory of Company Adaptations and Company Adaptations are company Adaptations.	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL TERMINE IF VALUES HAVE Project N Current — 3 Months Appraiser Name me dress	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH COMPARABLE TO IT INCREASED O IT INCREASED Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Istings and sales	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE THERE ARE SO FEW SALES ONLY 3 SALES IN THE Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the Appraise Number 1 to 1 t	project, complete the followants of the subject unit and project.	wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of Supervisory of Company Adaptations and Company Adaptations are company Adaptations.	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS CONTROL CURRENT - 3 Months Appraiser Name me dress by/Certification #	t for your conclus RATIO GRIDS I HOWEVER TH COMPARABLE TO IT INCREASED O IT INCREASED Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	ONS. NDICATE A DECI IS IS NOT CONC THE SUBJECT R NOT Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing

Appraiser Independence Certification

I do hereby certify, I have followed the appraiser independence safeguards in compliance with Appraisal Independence and any applicable state laws I may be required to comply with. This includes but is not limited to the following:

- I am currently licensed and/or certified by the state in which the property to be appraised is located My license is the appropriate license for the appraisal assignment(s) and is reflected on the

• I certify that there have been no sanctions against me for any reason that would impair my ability to perform appraisals pursuant to the required guidelines.	
I assert that no employee, director, officer, or agent of clear capital , or any other third party acting as joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal management company, or partner on behalf of wedgewood inc , influenced, or attempted to influence the development, reporting, result, or review of my appraisal through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, inducement, intimidation, bribery, or in any other manner.	
I further assert that <u>Clear Capital</u> has never participated in any of the following prohibited behavior in our business relationship:	
1) Withholding or threatening to withhold timely payment or partial payment for an appraisal report;	
 Withholding or threatening to withhold future business with me, or demoting or terminating or threatening to demote or terminate me; 	
Expressly or impliedly promising future business, promotions, or increased compensation for myself;	
 Conditioning the ordering of my appraisal report or the payment of my appraisal fee or salary or bonus on the opinion, conclusion, or valuation to be reached, or on a preliminary value estimate requested from me; 	
5) Requesting that I provide an estimated, predetermined, or desired valuation in an appraisal report prior to the completion of the appraisal report, or requesting that I provide estimated values or comparable sales at any time prior to my completion of an appraisal report;	
6) Provided me an anticipated, estimated, encouraged, or desired value for a subject property or a proposed or target amount to be loaned to the borrower, except that a copy of the sales contract for purchase transactions may be provided;	
7) Provided to me, or my appraisal company, or any entity or person related to me as appraiser, appraisal company, stock or other financial or non-financial benefits;	
8) Any other act or practice that impairs or attempts to impair my independence, objectivity, or impartiality or violates law or regulation, including, but not limited to, the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) and Regulation Z, or the USPAP.	

Augh P. Baldia	03/22/2024	
Signature	Date	
JOSEPH P BALDINO	AR001957	
Appraiser's Name	State License or Certification #	
IFA	02/12/2025	CA
State Title or Designation	Expiration Date of License or Certification	State
1367 Chautauqua Blvd, Pacific Palisades, CA 90272-2607		

Address of Property Appraised

05/13

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	1367 Chautauqua Blvd			
City	Pacific Palisades	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 90272-2607
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



Subject Front

1367 Chautauqua Blvd

Address Prox. To Subject 1,520

2.0 N;Res;

N;Res;

10390 sf

Q4

87









Comparable Photos

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS					
Property Address	1367 Chautauqua Blvd					
City	Pacific Palisades	County Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	90272-2607
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC					



Comparable 1

1031 Fiske St

Prox. To Subject 0.42 miles \$ Sales Price 2,725,000 Gross Living Area 1,317 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 2 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 5201 sf Quality Q4 Age 78



Comparable 2

1171 Fiske St

Prox. To Subject 0.24 miles SW Sales Price 2,850,000 Gross Living Area 1,866 Total Rooms 7 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6500 sf Quality Q4 Age 61



Comparable 3

14929 Whitfield Ave

Prox. To Subject 0.16 miles SW Sales Price 3,025,000 Gross Living Area 1,815 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6242 sf Quality Q4 Age 74

Comparable Photos

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	1367 Chautauqua Blvd			
City	Pacific Palisades	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 90272-2607
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



Comparable 4

1060 Palisair Pl

Prox. To Subject 0.61 miles W Sales Price 2,225,000 Gross Living Area 1,725 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View B;CtySky; Site 11957 sf Quality **Q**4 Age 61



Comparable 5

1011 Hartzell St

Prox. To Subject 0.42 miles S Sales Price 2,508,000 Gross Living Area 1,433 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 1.1 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 5204 sf Quality **Q4** Age 92

Comparable 6

Prox. To Subject Sales Price Gross Living Area Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms Location View Site Quality Age

Photograph Addendum

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	1367 Chautauqua Blvd			
City	Pacific Palisades	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 90272-2607
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			





SALE#1 MLS PHOTO

SALE#4 MLS PHOTO

Supplemental Addendum

File No. 2403-18

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	1367 Chautauqua Blvd			
City	Pacific Palisades	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 90272-2607
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			

THIS IS AN APPRAISAL REPORT NOT A SUMMARY REPORT AS STATED ON THE PREPROGRAMMED APPRAISAL FORM NO PERSONAL PROPERTY WAS INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT

I have performed a drive by appraisal 8/28/2021 on the subject property. I have performed no other services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

EXPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT IS 1 TO 3 MONTHS. EXPOSURE TIME IS THE LENGTH OF TIME THE PROPERTY INTEREST BEING APPRAISED WOULD HAVE BEEN OFFERED ON THE MARKET PRIOR TO THE HYPOTHETICAL CONSUMMATION OF A SALE AT THE VALUE ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL. IT IS A RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS BASED ON AN ANALYSIS OF PAST EVENTS ASSUMING A COMPETITIVE & OPEN MARKET. EXPOSURE TIME IS ALWAYS PRESUMED TO OCCUR PRIOR TO EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL.

THE APPRAISERS OFFICE IS WITHIN 25 MILES OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. IN THE PAST 5 YEARS I HAVE COMPLETED 10 TO 15 APPRAISALS A YEAR IN THIS AREA. I HAVE ACCESS TO CLAW MLS & CRMLS THE 2 DOMINANT MLS SERVICES IN THE AREA. I LIVE IN THE SUBJECT COUNTY & HAVE PERFORMED APPRAISALS IN THE ARE FOR OVER 45 YEARS.

THE APPRAISER HAS ATTEMPTED TO MEET LENDER GUIDELINES FOR ADJUSTED RANGE OF COMP VALUE OF LESS THAN 20% OF THE FINAL VALUE OF THE SUBJECT. WE HAVE PROVIDED THE BEST COMPS AVAILABLE FOR THIS SUBJECT MAKING THE RANGE OF VALUE UNAVOIDABLE HIGHEST & BEST USE WAS DETERMINED AS IMPROVED BASED ON ITS CURRENT USE BEING LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE. PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE & MOST PROFITABLE

NO EMPLOYEE, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OR AGENT OF THE LENDER, OR ANY OTHER THIRD PARTY ACTING AS A JOINT VENTURE PARTNER, INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR, APPRAISAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY, OR PARTNER ON BEHALF OF THE LENDER, SHALL INFLUENCE OR ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DEVELOPMENT, REPORTING RESULT OR REVIEW OF AN APPRAISAL THROUGH COERCION, EXTORTION, COLLUSION, COMPENSATION, INSTRUCTION, INDUCEMENT, INTIMIDATION, BRIBERY OR IN ANY OTHER MANNER.

I HAVE NOT BEEN CONTACTED BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE INTENDED USER(LENDER/CLIENT AS IDENTIFIED ON THE FIRST PAGE OF THE REPORT), BORROWER, OR DESIGNATED CONTACT TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO ENTER THE PROPERTY. I AGREE TO IMMEDIATELY REPORT ANY UNAUTHORIZED CONTACTS EITHER PERSONALLY BY PHONE OR ELECTRONICALLY TO CLEAR CAPITAL.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been very recently constructed and have not previously been occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.*

*Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100% new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/re-manufactured into like-new condition. Recently constructed improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (i.e., newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category either are almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

 C_5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

O.S

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
e	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
		Sale or Financing Concessions
Listing	Listing Mountain View	View
Mtn N	Neutral New	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions Location
BsyRd	Busy Road Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Park view Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines Public Transportation	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
W0	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear

PUBLIC RECORDS

3/20/24, 2:36 PM Property Detail Printout



County Last Updated: 03/07/2024

Zip: 90272-2607

County: Los Angeles

Zone: LAR1

Property Location

Address: 1367 CHAUTAUQUA BLVD

APN#: 4422-006-023

Tract: 9300

Map Page/Grid: 631/ B6

Total Assessed Value: 1,055,716 Percent Improvement: 0.19

PACIFIC City:

PALISADES Single Family Use Code:

Residence Census Tract: 2625.01

Legal Desc: TR=9300 1/2 VAC ALLEY ADJ ON N AND LOT 5 BLK

Tax Amount: 12,992.05 Tax Year / Assessor Year: 2023 / 2023

Current Owner Information

Current Owner: WILL S ADAMS

City, State, Zip: PACIFIC PALISADES, CA, 90272-2607

Last Transaction: 12/05/2007

Amount: 300,000

Owner Address: 1367 CHAUTAUQUA BLVD

Owner Occupied: Yes

Deed Type: deed of trust Document: 0002663279

Last Sale Information

Transferred From: MARIA V POUNDERS ESTATE

Recording / Sale Date: 03/31/2000 /

Most Recent Sale Price: 720,000

Document Number: 0000482643

Document Type: grant deed/deed of trust

Seller Address:

Prior Recording / Sale Date:

Prior Sale Price: **Prior Document No.: Prior Document** Type:

Lender Information

Lender: WORLD SAVINGS BANK

Loan Amount / 2nd 576,000 /

Full/Partial: F

Loan Type: conventional variable

Physical Information

Building Area: 1,520

Additional: 0

Garage: 0 First Floor: 0 Second Floor: 0

Third Floor: 0 Basement Finished: 0 Basement Unfinished: 0 # of Bedrooms: 2

of Bathrooms: 2.00 # of Stories: 1 Total Rooms: 8

Pool/Spa:

of Units: 0 Garage/Carport: Garage Fireplaces: 0

Lot Size Sqft / 10,445 / Acreage: 0.24 Year Built / Effective: 1937 / 0

Heating: Central Cooling: Roof Type: Construction/Quality: / 0

> **Building Shape:** View:

©2024 Copyright All Rights Reserved. ParcelQuest www.parcelquestappraise.com

https://www.parcelquestappraise.com/Search/Property_Detail_Report.aspx?PID=31515036&FIPS=06037

1/1

PLAT MAP





BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE

Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency

Joseph P. Baldino

California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title: has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of

"Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser"

This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and

Certification Law.

BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:

AR 001957

Effective Date:
Date Expires:

February 13, 2023 February 12, 2025

Loretta Dillon, Deputy Bureau Chief, BREA





LIA Administrators & Insurance Services

APPRAISAL AND VALUATION PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICY

DECLARATIONS

ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

(A stock insurance company herein called the "Company") 499 Washington Blvd, 8th Floor Jersey City, NJ 07310

Date Issued	Policy Number	Previous Policy Number	
02/16/2024	AAI006008-09	AAI006008-08	

THIS IS A **CLAIMS** MADE AND REPORTED POLICY. COVERAGE IS LIMITED TO LIABILITY FOR ONLY THOSE **CLAIMS** THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE **INSURED** DURING THE **POLICY PERIOD** AND THEN REPORTED TO THE COMPANY IN WRITING NO LATER THAN SIXTY (60) DAYS AFTER EXPIRATION OR TERMINATION OF THIS POLICY, OR DURING THE **EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD**, IF APPLICABLE, FOR A **WRONGFUL ACT** COMMITTED ON OR AFTER THE **RETROACTIVE DATE** AND BEFORE THE END OF THE **POLICY PERIOD**. PLEASE READ THE POLICY CAREFULLY.

Item

1. Customer ID: 168108	
Named Insured:	
BALDINO, JOSEPH P.	
3101 Mesa Verde Dr.	
Burbank, CA 91504	
Burbank, CA 91304	
2 Bolley Boyled, From 04/04/2024 To: 04/04/2025	
2. Policy Period: From: 04/04/2024 To: 04/04/2025	
12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address stated in 1 above.	
3. Deductible: \$1,000 Each Claim	
3. Deductible, \$1,000 Each Claim	
4. Retroactive Date: 06/05/2000	
5. Inception Date: 04/04/2016	
	-
6. Limits of Liability: A. \$1,000,000 Each Claim	
B. \$2,000,000 Aggregate	
7 Mail all nations including nation of Claim to:	
7. Mail all notices, including notice of Claim, to:	
LIA Administrators & Insurance Services	
1600 Anacapa Street	
Santa Barbara, California 93101	
(800) 334-0652; Fax: (805) 962-0652	
8. Annual Premium: \$1,087.00	
0 0	N TT1070 240/1 TT1070 240/4 N
9. Forms attached at issue: LIA002 (12/14) LIA CA (11/1-	4) LIA012 (12/14) LIA018 (10/14)
LIA021 (10/14) LIA143 (10/14)	
THE TO I A TO I AND A CONTROL OF A	
This Declarations Page, together with the completed and signed Policy A	
the Policy shall constitute the contract between the Named Insured and the	ne company.
02/16/2024 p./	Klilie
DY 1	, 0 - 0 - 0
Date	Authorized Signature
LIA-001 (12/14)	Aspen American Insurance Company

CMA - Page 1

				Br/Ba	Sqft	LSqft	List P	rice	Sold Pric	e \$/Sq	ft SP/LP
Total Listings: 15 Total on Market: 3 Sold/Exp Ratio: 0.00		Mini	imum: mum: rage: ian:	2/1 3/2	1,866 1,317 1,628 1,651	11,956 3,526 6,701 6,442	\$3,395 \$1,995 \$2,894 \$2,895	,000 ,333	\$3,500,00 \$2,225,00 \$2,806,82 \$2,787,50	00 \$1,289.8	36 05
Active						National Nation	-201117821202				
Address	City	YrBuilt	Sale Type	List Date	e Br	Bath	CDOM	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Pri
14517 W Sunset BL 751 Hartze ST 1374 Monument ST	PACPAL PACPAL LA		STD STD	01/18/202 02/15/202 11/01/202	24 3	2 2 2	62/62 34/34 140/140	1,404 1,558 1,853	4,194	\$1,420.94 \$1,909.50 \$1,699.95	\$1,995,0 \$2,975,0 \$3,150,0
	3711	8158	SBIRS					20 A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	53(0.555	45,555	3.5/0.53/3
				Maximu		2	140	1,853		\$1,909.50	\$3,150,0
				Minimu Average		2	34 79	1,404 1,605	4,194 7,642	\$1,420.94 \$1,676.80	\$1,995,0 \$2,706,6
				Median		2	62	1,558		\$1,699.95	\$2,975,0
Active Under Contract											
Address	City	YrBuilt	Sale Type	Contract D	ate Br	Bath	CDOM	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Pri
15219 Mc Kendree AV	PACPAL	1951	STD	03/08/202	24 3	2	28/28	1,610	9,125	\$2,080.75	\$3,350,0
				Maximu	m: 3/	2	28	1,610	9,125	\$2,080.75	\$3,350,0
				Minimu		2	28	1,610	9,125	A STATE OF THE STA	\$3,350,0
				Average Median:		2	28 28	1,610 1,610	9,125 9,125	\$2,080.75 \$2,080.75	\$3,350,0 \$3,350,0
Pending											
Address	City	YrBuilt	Sale Type	Contract D	ate Br	Bath	CDOM	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Pri
817 Galloway ST	PACPAL		STD	03/06/202	24 3	3	12/12	1,651	3,526	\$1,692.91	\$2,795,0
				Maximu Minimu		3	12 12	1,651	3,526	\$1,692.91	\$2,795,0
				Average		3	12	1,651 1,651	3,526 3,526	\$1,692.91 \$1,692.91	\$2,795,0 \$2,795,0
				Median:		3	12	1,651	2.5	\$1,692.91	\$2,795,0
Closed											
Address	City	YrBuilt	Sale Type	COE Date	e Br	Bath	CDOM	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Pr
1060 Palisair PL	PACPAL		STD	07/12/202		2	53/53	1,725	11,956	\$1,289.86	\$2,225,0
14949 Mc Kendree AV	PACPAL		STD	06/12/202		2	7/7	1,697		\$1,355.33	\$2,300,0
1011 Hartzell ST	PACPAL		STD	08/15/202		2	23/23	1,433		\$1,750.35	\$2,508,2
906 Fiske ST	PACPAL		STD	05/24/202		2	7/7	1,494		\$1,730.25	\$2,585,0
1031 Fiske ST 1171 Fiske ST	PACPAL PACPAL		STD	01/10/202		2	25/25 0/0	1,317 1,866		\$2,069.10 \$1,527.33	\$2,725,0 \$2,850,0
14929 Whitfield AV	PACPAL		STD	03/01/202		2	19/19	1,815	autre-constrain	\$1,666.67	\$3,025,0
1047 Kagawa ST	PACPAL		STD	08/18/20		1	0/0	1,433		\$2,198.19	\$3,150,0
939 Galloway ST	PACPAL		STD	11/14/202		4	28/28	1,806		\$1,771.87	\$3,200,0
1131 Hartzell ST	PACPAL	1948	STD	08/16/202	23 3	2	13/13	1,752	6,501	\$1,997.72	\$3,500,0
				Maximu		4	53	1,866		\$2,198.19	\$3,500,0
				Minimu		1	18	1,317	5,197		\$2,225,0
				Average Median:		2	18 16	1,634 1,711		\$1,735.67 \$1,740.30	\$2,806,8 \$2,787,5
					-	-		-11-1	0,012	TAIL 10100	400-110110

Location Map

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	1367 Chautauqua Blvd			
City	Pacific Palisades	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 90272-2607
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



AERIAL PHOTO

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	1367 Chautauqua Blvd			
City	Pacific Palisades	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 90272-2607
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			

