APPRAISAL REPORT

of

A Single Family Residence located at

124 Via Gayuba

Monterey, CA 93940-4321

As Of:

04/30/2024

Prepared For:

Clear Capital Wedgewood Inc 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100 Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Prepared By:

Michael A. Yaklin Michael Yaklin PO Box 311 Redwood Estates, CA 95044

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	The purpose of this summary appraisal report is to	provide the lender/client with an a			alue of the subject property.
	Property Address 124 Via Gayuba		City Monterey	State CA	•
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC	Owner of Public Record J	eannine I Faull	County I	Monterey
	Legal Description TR 219 LA GRANDE VISTASsessor's Parcel # 001-231-008-000	IA LOT 6BLK 4	Tax Year	2023 R.E.	Taxes \$ 3,962
ECT	Neighborhood Name La Grande Vista		Map Reference		sus Tract 0128.00
H		t Special Assessments \$	0 PUD	HOA \$ 0	per year per month
SUBJ		Leasehold Other (describe)			
S	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transaction X C	Other (describe)	Market Val	ue
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		015 Manhattan Beach Blvd	·	each, CA 90278
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale or		twelve months prior to the effect	ctive date of this appraisal?	Yes X No
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), and	date(s). MLSL#			
	I did did not analyze the contract for s	sale for the subject purchase trans	saction. Evolain the results of the	analysis of the contract for s	sale or why the analysis was not
_	performed.	sale for the subject parenase trains	saction. Explain the results of the	candiyolo of the contract for t	sale of with the analysis was not
S					
CONTRACT	Contract Price \$ Date of Contra	act Is the property	seller the owner of public record	? Yes No Data S	Source(s)
NO	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa	ale concessions, gift or downpaym	ent assistance, etc.) to be paid I	by any party on behalf of the	borrower? Yes No
ပ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe	the items to be paid.			
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the n	eighborhood are not appraisal	factore		
	Neighborhood Characteristics		nit Housing Trends	One-Unit Housi	ing Present Land Use %
	Location Urban X Suburban Rural				AGE One-Unit 70 %
OD	Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75% Under		ortage X In Balance C	OverSupply \$ (000)	(yrs) 2-4 Unit 10 %
오	Growth Rapid X Stable Slow	Marketing Time X Und		Over6mths 1,155 Low	1 Multi-Family 10 %
BORHO	Neighborhood Boundaries The subject neighb	-			155 Commercial 10 %
里	South, by Munras Ave the to the East, an				35 Other %
EIGH	Neighborhood Description The subject neighborhood				
뿔	landscaping throughout the neighborhood. Most properties with to thoroughfares. All public and consumer support facilities a		sidences, but there are some condominio	im developments, apartments and co	ommercial properties located in proximity
	Market Conditions (including support for the above	<u> </u>	amount of listings in the subject's market	et area.Market conditions are averag	e with stable property values.There is an
	average supply with an average demand.Marketing and exposu				
	few trust sales, short sales and bank sales in the area.Market	t Information included herein is based up	on the research conducted.		
	Dimensions Mostly Rectangular			stly Rectangular View	N;Res;
	Specific Zoning Classification R1		otion Single Family Reside		
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Nonco	onforming (Grandfathered Use)	No Zoning Illegal (desc		f No, describe.
	is the highest and best use of subject property as i	improved (or as proposed per piar	ns and specifications, the presen	iit use: [X]Tes []NO II	i ivo, describe.
ш	Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public Other	(describe) Off	f-site ImprovementsType	Public Private
SITE	Electricity X	Water X	Street	Asphalt	X
0)	Gas X	Sanitary Sewer X	Alley	None	
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typica	No FEMA Flood Zone X	FEMA Map # 060	53CU3U8H FEMAI	Map Date 06/21/2017
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external fa			nd uses etc.)? Yes X	No If Yes, describe.
	The more any develope the contained of external to	actoro (cacomonio, chereacimon	to, orivirorimontal contationo, ian	100 21	11100, 00001100.
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro	perty Appraisal Files X N	MLS X Assessment and Tax I		
	Other (describe) General Description G	General Description	Data Source(s) for Gross Liv Heating / Cooling	Ing Area Amenities	FARES/MLS
		Concrete Slab X Crawl Space	X FWA HWBB	X Fireplace(s) # 1	Car Storage None
		Full Basement Finished	Radiant	Woodstove(s) # 0	X Driveway # of Cars 1
		Partial Basement Finished	Other		Driveway Surface Concrete
	X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Exteri	ior Walls Stc/Wd/Avg	Fuel Gas	X Porch Brick	X Garage # of Cars 1
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Surface Comp. Shingle/Avg		Pool None	Carport # of Cars 0
		ers & Downspouts Alum/Avg	_	X Fence Wood	Attached Detached
10	Effective Age (Yrs) 33 Windown Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven	ow Type Dual Pane/Avg Dishwasher Disposal	X Other None Microwave Washer/Dryer	Other None Other (describe)	X Built-in
ENTS	Finished area above grade contains: 10	Rooms 3 Bedroom			Gross Living Area Above Grade
画	Additional features (special energy efficient items,				
PROVEM	information.	·			
Ö	Describe the condition of the property and data so	urce(s) (including apparent neede	ed repairs, deterioration, renovat	ions, remodeling, etc.). C4;	See comments - SUBJECT
IMP	CONDITION				
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ad	Iverse conditions that affect the liv	ability, soundness, or structural	integrity of the property?	Yes X No
	If Yes, describe No functional or physical inac	dequacies noted. There are r	no apparent adverse enviror	nmental conditions indica	ited. The appraiser is not an
	expert in the field of environmental analys	sis and/or inspection. There	e was no environmental re	eport provided to the app	praiser.
	Does the property generally conform to the neighb	norhood (functional utility style as	andition use construction etc.\?	X Yes No If No, de	scribe
	2000 the property generally comothic to the heighb		a.aoii, aoo, ooiistraottoti, Etc.)!	[73] 100 JINO ILINO, UE	331100

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC

Case No.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

			rrently offered for sale in t								2,73		
			ubject neighborhood with			nonth:							7,000 .
	FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE				COMPAI			COMPAR	<u> </u>	<u>ALE</u>	# 3
	Address 124 \	Via Gayuba	770 Dry C				25	Via Cl	nualar	70 8	San Ber	nab	e Dr
	Monterey,	CA 93940-4321	Monterey, C	CA S	93940		Mont	erey, C	A 93940	Mon	terey, C)A (3940
	Proximity to Subject		0.57 mil	les	W		0.	28 mile	s NE		0.16 mil	es l	E
	Sale Price	\$	\$		1,895,000			\$	1,510,000		\$	1	1,425,000
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.00 sq.	t. \$ 897.25 s	sq. ff	t.	\$	855.5	52 s	q. ft.	\$ 803.2	27 s	q. ft.	
	Data Source(s)		MLSListings#ML81			ML			947843;DOM 16				
	Verification Source(s)		Doc#2023						002839		c#2024		
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION		-) \$ Adjustment	П	ESCRIPT		+(-) \$ Adjustment) \$ Adjustmer
	Sale or Financing	BECOIL HOIL	ArmLth	Τ,	, w r tajaotinont		ArmLt		, y y rajasanon	ArmL		Γ,	γγιαjaotinoi
	Concessions		Conv;0				Conv;			Conv		\top	
	Date of Sale/Time		s03/24;c02/24		0	90	03;1 7 01/24;c1		C			t	
	Location	A;Res;BsyRd	A;Res;BsyRd				;Res;So		C			\vdash	-50,00
	Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple				Fee Sim			Fee Sin		+	-50,00
		7800 sf	11654 sf		-19,500		10608	•	-14,000			\vdash	+3,00
	Site	N;Res;	N;Res;		-19,500		N;Res		- 14,000	N;Re		₩	
	View	 				-		•				\vdash	
	Design (Style)		ry DT1;Contemporary	у		L	OT1;Cot	lage	C		lage	\vdash	
	Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4	+			Q4			Q4		\vdash	
	Actual Age	66	60	+	450,000		73		C			\vdash	
	Condition	C4	C2		-150,000		C4	- ·		C4		₩	
	Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths		+		Tota		Baths		Total Bdrms	Baths	\vdash	. 5.00
	Room Count	10 3 2.1	9 3 2.0	+	+5,000			2.0	+5,000		2.0	\vdash	+5,000
	Gross Living Area	2,113 sq.			0	1	1,765	sq. ft.	+52,000		sq. ft.	—	+51,00
	Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf				0sf			0sf			
<u>S</u>	Rooms Below Grade											<u> </u>	
.≺S	Functional Utility	Average	Average	-			Avera			Avera	-	\vdash	
¥	Heating/Cooling	FWA/None	FWA/None				FWA/N			FWA/N		₩	
A	Energy Efficient Items	None	None				None			None		₩	
Z	Garage/Carport	1gbi1dw	2ga2dw		-5,000		1gd1d		C			₩	
SC	Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio/Porch	Patio/Porch	+		_	Patio/Po			Patio/Po		\vdash	
N.	Fireplaces	1 Fireplace	1 Fireplace			I N	lo Firep		+5,000			\vdash	
₽	Pool/Spa	No Pool	No Pool	+			No Po			No Po		\vdash	
COMPARISON ANALYSIS	ADU	No ADU	No ADU	_	400 500	[V	No AD	0	A 40 000	ADL		<u>_</u>	-60,00
	Net Adjustment (Total)		+ X -	\$	-169,500	X			\$ 48,000	+ X		\$	-51,000
ES	Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj: -9%	Φ.	1 705 500		Adj: 3%		¢ 1 550 000	Net Adj: -49		r.	1 274 000
SAL	of Comparables I X did did not re		Gross Adj: 9% nsfer history of the subjec		1,725,500				\$ 1,558,000	Gross Auj.	1270	Þ	1,374,000
	Data source(s) MLSL/F	REALIST did not reveal any p	ior sales or transfers of the										
	Report the results of the r		of the prior sale or transfe	r his	story of the subi	iect nr	onerty an	d compa	rahle sales (renort	additional prior	sales or	 າ ກລເ	ne 3)
	ITEM		UBJECT		OMPARABLE S				PARABLE SALE #				SALE # 3
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfe		OBOLOT		JIVII AINADEL C		7 1	OOW	I AIRABLE OALL #	72 00	JIVII AIXA	DLL	OALL # 0
	Price of Prior Sale/Transf												
	Data Source(s)		k Knight Black Knig			ght Black Knight		Black Knight		iaht			
	Effective Date of Data So		30/2024 04/30/202				04/30/2024						
	Analysis of prior sale or tr			arab			ect has	not bee		with MLS wi			
	Comparables 1-3 do												
	·												
	Summary of Sales Compa assumption that the i		e appraiser used the f the subject is simila							appraiser m	akes th	e ex	traordinary
	Indicated Value by Sales											_	
	Indicated Value by: Sales (The market approach				t Approach (if de			1,559,1		oproach (if deve			0 considered
Z	viable indicators for s			etei	mining une sc	ibjec	LSIIIaik	ervalue	. THE COSTAINT	псотпе аррго	acmare	ΠΟι	considered
E	VIADIC IIIUICALOIS IOI S	single family reside	, noes.										
I₹	This appraisal is made	X "as is " sub	ject to completion per pla	ns a	and specification	ns on	the basis	of a hyp	othetical condition	that the improv	ements t	nave	heen
등			or alterations on the basis										pjecttothe
O	following required inspect	• .			• •			•		•			•
RECONCILIATION	report.	The state of the s					. , 200011				,		
œ	Based on a visual inspe	ection of the exterior	areas of the subject pro	per	ty from at leas	t the	street, de	fined so	ope of work, stat	ement of assu	mptions	anc	l limiting
	conditions, and apprais												J

, which is the date of inspection and the effective date of this appraisal.

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

HIGHEST AND BEST USE ANALYSIS: THE EXISTING USE SUPPORTS T	
	LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE, FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE AND IS THE MOST
PRODUCTIVE USE OF THE SITE.	
ABSTRACTION METHOD USED, VALUE OF PROPERTY INDICATED BY DEPRECIATION PLUS SITE VALUE.	ESTIMATING REPRODUCTION OF NEW IMPROVEMENTS MINUS THE
considered typical for this type of property. The figures below are estimated conversation with local builders. I, the appraiser am not a licensed contract	used for estimating land value, as actual land sales are not available. This is figures based on analysis of the Marshall & Swift Cost Handbook and some or and reserve the right to revise this section of the report if some figures are s appraisal and not to be used for home insurance or any other purposes
	(not required by Fannie Mae.)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca	Iculations.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other met	lculations. lods for estimating site value) Information used to determine the cost approach
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methodule is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebu	lculations. lods for estimating site value) Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metro value is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value in	lculations. lods for estimating site value) Information used to determine the cost approach
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrical value is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value.	loulations. lods for estimating site value) Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cast Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method use is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW	lculations. lods for estimating site value) Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,090,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cast Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method use is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders	loulations. lods for estimating site value) Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,090,000 Dwelling 2,113 Sq. Ft. @\$ 327.00 =\$ 690,951
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrical value is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebuint a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value in marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024	loulations. lods for estimating site value) Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,090,000 Dwelling 2,113 Sq. Ft. @\$ 327.00 =\$ 690,951 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and case Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrical value is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebuilders availed method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value in marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Information used to determine the cost approach Information used
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrical value is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebuilders availed method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value is marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION ON X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Collations Col
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method use is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebuilders and insurance companies estimates of rebuilders and value in the California market area. Land-to-value in marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local	Information used to determine the cost approach
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrical value is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebuilders availed method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value is marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION ON X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Coulations Cou
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and case. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrical value is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebuilders and insurance companies estimates of rebuilders and value in the California market area. Land-to-value in marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the	Coulations Cou
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrovalue is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio	Information used to determine the cost approach Information used to determine the cost appro
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrovalue is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvements to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65%	Information used to determine the cost approach Information used to determine the cost appro
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrovalue is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year	Information used to determine the cost approach
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrovalue is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area.	Information used to determine the cost approach
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0	Information used to determine the cost approach Indicated Value By Cost Approach Indicated Value by Income Approach Indicated Value In
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrovalue is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approach in the subject including support for market rent and GRM) The income approach income approach income approach income approach in the subject including support for market rent and GRM) The income approach income	Information used to determine the cost approach Indicated Value By Fannie Mae. Indicated Value By Cost Approach Indicated Value By Fannie Mae. Indicated Value By Cost Approach Indicated Value By Fannie Mae. Indicated Value By Cost Approach Indicated Value By Fannie Mae. Indicated Value By Cost Approach Indicated
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrovalue is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approacupied area.	Information used to determine the cost approach Information used to determine the cost appro
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cost Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebuilders and valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value in marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approach production and contractions are producted area. PROJECT INFORMATION	Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the subjects have a subject on the subjects
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebuilders are all to a companies estimates of rebuilders. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approach occupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on DPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,090,000 Dwelling 2,113 Sq. Ft. @\$ 327.00 =\$ 690,951 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 1,0400 N/A Garage/Carport 388 Sq. Ft. @\$ 50.00 =\$ 19,400 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 710,351 Less Physical 41 Functional 0 External 0 Depreciation 291,244 0 0 0 =\$ (291,244 Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 419,107 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 50,000 Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$ 50,000 Indicated Value By Cost Approach Total required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ 0 Indicated Value by Income Approach or oach is not applicable as the neighborhood is predominantly an owner for PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cost Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebuilders and value in the California market area. Land-to-value in marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approccupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control	Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on DPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,090,000 Dwelling 2,113 Sq. Ft. @\$ 327.00 =\$ 690,951 Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 1,0400 N/A Garage/Carport 388 Sq. Ft. @\$ 50.00 =\$ 19,400 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 710,351 Less Physical 41 Functional 0 External 0 Depreciation 291,244 0 0 0 =\$ (291,244 Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 419,107 "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 50,000 Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$ 50,000 Indicated Value By Cost Approach Total required by Fannie Mae.) =\$ 0 Indicated Value by Income Approach or oach is not applicable as the neighborhood is predominantly an owner for PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and case Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method value is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approccupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project	Industrial Industrial Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on Dept. Industrial Indus
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approach area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total	Industrial Industrial Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on Dyllion of Site Value
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approach of income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approach area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	Information used to determine the cost approach and so restimating site value) Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the lender only and is atio is common impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cast Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method use is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approacupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?	Information used to determine the cost approach and so restimating site value) Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the subject of the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Inumber of units sold source Indicated Value of Site Improvements Detached Attached
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method use is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approacupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on the subject on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on i
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method use is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approacupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	Information used to determine the cost approach and so restimating site value) Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the lender only and is atio is common impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method use is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approacupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on the subject on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on i
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method use is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approacupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on the subject on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on impact on the subject smarket. Ratio has no impact on i
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method value is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approacupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes 1	Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on indicated on the subject property is an attached determine the cost approach and is atio is common and typical in the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Inumber of units sold source No. If No, describe the status of completion.
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method value is based on local builders and insurance companies estimates of rebunot a valid method of value in the California market area. Land-to-value marketability and value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Local Builders Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 04/01/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Reproduction cost of the improvements are based on the Marshall & Swift Residential cost handbook, as well as input from local building contractors & developers. Land value is derived from the abstraction method. The subject improvement to land value ratio is approximately 25-35 percent improvements to land 55 - 65% is typical for the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 47 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ 0 X Gross Multiplier 0 Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) The income approacupied area. PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes 1	Information used to determine the cost approach ild costs. The cost approach was completed below for the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on the lender only and is atio is common and typical in the subjects market. Ratio has no impact on indicated on the subject property is an attached determine the cost approach and is atio is common and typical in the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Inumber of units sold source No. If No, describe the status of completion.

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC Property Address 124 Via Gayuba CA Zip Code 93940-4321 City Monterey State Lender/Client Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Wedgewood Inc

SUBJECT CONDITION

and, or control dollors to an	pach by the Age Life M verage. Kitchen or bath	Tomodolo or apadime	in the phot to years	are arminemi.	

SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

Michael Yaklin EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC
Property Address 124 Via Gayuba

City Monterey County Monterey State CA Zip Code 93940-4321

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

FEATURE SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #		SALE# 4	COMPARABLE SALE # 5 COMPARABLE SALE #			6				
Address 124 \	/ia Gayuba	40 Cuesta \	/ista Drive		1100 Pac	cific St				
	CA 93940-4321	Monterey,	CA 93940	Mo	Monterey, CA 93940					
Proximity to Subject	07100010 1021	0.48 mil			0.40 mile					
Sale Price	\$	\$			\$	2,240,000		\$		
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.00 sq. ft		sq. ft.	\$ 728		g. ft.	\$		q. ft.	
Data Source(s)	φ 0.00 sq. ii	MLSListings#ML8		T'			Ψ		ų. π.	
Verification Source(s)	DECODIDATION	Doc#4		DECOD	REALI		DEC	COUDTION	() () () ()	4 4
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment			+(-) \$ Adjustment		CRIPTION	+(-) \$ Ad	justment
Sale or Financing		ArmLth		List		0				
Concessions		Conv;0		Nor		C				
Date of Sale/Time		s12/23;c11/23	0			C)			
Location	A;Res;BsyRd	N;Res;	-50,000							
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple			Simple					
Site	7800 sf	23000 sf	-76,000		97 sf	-22,000				
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;F						
Design (Style)	DT1;Contemporar	y DT1;Cottage	0	DT1;S	panish	C				
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q	4					
Actual Age	66	65	0	9	6	C				
Condition	C4	C3	-75,000	C	3	-75,000)			
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths	0	Total Bdrn	ns. Baths	C	Total E	Bdrms. Baths		
Room Count	10 3 2.1	10 4 3.0	-5,000			-50,000				
Gross Living Area	2,113 sq. f	. 2,597 sq. f			sq. ft.	-144,000		sq. ft.		
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf	, , ,	0:		,				
Rooms Below Grade										
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Ave	rage					
Heating/Cooling	FWA/None	FWA/None		Rad/l	_	-5,000				
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		No		0,000				
Garage/Carport	1gbi1dw	3gd3dw	-10,000			+5,000				
Porch/Patio/Deck	Patio/Porch	Patio/Porch	-10,000			1 3,000				
Fireplaces	1 Fireplace	1 Fireplace		Patio/Porch 1 Fireplace						
Pool/Spa	No Pool	No Pool		No Pool						
ADU	No ADU	No ADU		No ADU						
Net Adjustment (Total)	NO ADO	+ X -	\$ -288,500			\$ -291,000	+	Π.	\$	
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj: -19%	φ -200,300	+ X - Net Adj: -13%		φ - <u>2</u> 91,000	Net Ac		ļΨ	
of Comparables		Gross Adj : 19%	\$ 1,221,500				Adj: 0%	\$		
of Comparables		G1055 Auj . 1970	φ 1,221,300	GIUSS Au	. 13/0	j 1,949,000	GIUSS	Auj. 0 /6	Ψ	
Donart the regulte of the r	accords and analysis a	f the prior cale or transf	ar history of the sub	icat property	and same	arabla aalaa				
Report the results of the r		IBJECT	COMPARABLE SA			ARABLE SALE#	5	COMPARABI	E CALE #	+ 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfe		IDJECT	CONFARABLE SA	<u> </u>	COIVIE	ARADLE SALE #	<u> </u>	COMPARADI	LE SALE #	, 0
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe		k Knight	Black Knig	aht		Plack Knight				
Data Source(s)		30/2024	04/30/202							
Effective Date of Data Sou	(- /)			24 04/30/2024 parables 4 and 5 were noted to have		no prio	raalaa in tha r	rior 10 m	ontho	
Comp 5 pending sale		oject property and comp	arable sales Con	iparables ²	ranuswe	re noted to have	ΠΟΡΠΟΙ	salesiiille) O Z	10111115.
Comp 5 pending sale	} .									
0		. A alala w -l								
Summary of Sales Compa	arison Approach See	Addendum								

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Page

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No.

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER /// //	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)					
//////////////////////////////////////						
Signature // ///// // // // // // // // // // //	Signature					
Name Michael A. Yaklin	Name					
Company Name Michael Yaklin	Company Name					
Company Address PO Box 311	Company Address					
Redwood Estates, CA 95044						
Telephone Number 4086659686	Telephone Number					
Email Address yaklinconsulting@gmail.com	Email Address					
Date of Signature and Report 04/30/2024	Date of Signature					
Effective Date of Appraisal 04/30/2024	State Certification #					
State Certification # AR038712	or State License #					
or State License #	State					
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License					
State CA						
Expiration Date of Certification or License 10/27/2025						
	SUBJECT PROPERTY					
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED						
124 Via Gayuba	Did not inspect exterior of subject property					
Monterey, CA 93940-4321	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street					
	Date of Inspection					
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,558,000						
LENDER/CLIENT						
Name Clear Capital	COMPARABLE SALES					
Company Name Wedgewood Inc						
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from stree					
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street					
Email Address	Date of Inspection					

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	124 Via Gayuba						
City Monterey		County	Monterey	State	CA	Zip Code	93940-4321
Lender/Client W	edgewood Inc	.,	Address 2015 M	lanhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100,	Redondo Be	ach, CA 90278

As per your request I have completed a DRIVE-BY ANALYSIS of the property described herein for the purpose of offering my opinion of its current estimated market value.

The appraiser has completed a limited physical inspection of the exterior of the subject property, and the report is only a brief synopsis of the data compiled during the evaluation process.

The market analysis is limited to an exterior inspection of the subject and an external inspection of the comparables, available public record information and/or the information available through the appraiser's various published data sources. This report will determine the estimated Market Value of the Fee Simple interest of the subject as described herein. There does not appear to be any adverse influences or environmental concerns.

Function:

This report function is to assist the client in determining the Market Value of the subject property for Trust Deed Financing purposes.

Purpose & Intended Users:

The purpose of the appraisal is to estimate the market value of the subject property as of a specific date for use in a mortgage or finance transaction only. There are no other intended uses. The intended users are the employees and/or agents of Wedgewood Inc

Highest & Best Use Analysis:

For the purposes of completing this report the appraiser has assumed that the subject's highest and best use is its current use as a residential development.

The report DOES NOT comply with all the guidelines set forth by, and is considered a departure from, the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), which is issued by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation. The client should take this into consideration reviewing the report.

DIGITAL SIGNATURE:

This appraisal is digitally signed. This digital signature requires a security password known only by me, Michael A. Yaklin. No changes can be made to any portion of the appraisal once it has been digitally signed. The digital signature used on this appraisal is an accurate representation of my signature.

SCOPE OF THE APPRAISAL

The appraisal process involves the collection, verification, and analysis of specific and general data related to the subject property and the residential real estate market. The following steps were taken in the completion of this report.

- 1. I made a preliminary inspection of the property to determine the appraisal problem.
- 2. I inspected the subject property and studied recorded parcel and plat maps to determine its physical characteristics, including site configuration, condition, topography, access, utilities, etc.
- 3. The land use controls of residential uses within the City of Monterey were researched.
- 4. The Monterey County Assessor's records were researched for transfers of the subject property.
- 5. Sale comparables were obtained through searches of public records, MLS, and published sources of real estate data. The comparable data was screened for comparability to the subject.
- 6. Interviews were conducted with brokers, buyers, and sellers involved in the selected comparable properties.
- 7. I completed an analysis of the subject property in relation to the selected comparables.
- 8. I organized the data and completed the appraisal report.

MARKET APPROACH

Comments on Sales Comparison

The sales comparison approach is based on the principle of substitution which is defined by the Appraisal Institute as follows: "The principle of substitution as applied in the sales comparison approach holds that thevalue of a property that is replaceable in the market tends to be set by the cost of acquiring an equally similar substitute property." This principle is applied using accepted methods of paired sales analysis, when possible, and by applying adjustments to generally accepted units of comparison.

NO ADJUSTMENT WAS MADE FOR AGE OR TOTAL ROOM COUNT. AGE OR TOTAL ROOM COUNT, PER SE, ARE NOT FACTORS CONSIDERED IN THE NEGOTIATION OF THE SALES PRICE. RATHER THE EXTENT OF REMODELING, QUALITY OF FINISH, AND GROSS LIVING AREA ARE THE PREDOMINATE FACTORS. FURTHER ADJUSTMENTS WERE MADE AS NEEDED.

QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION:

Comparables were not given adjustments due to being similar in quality of construction to the subject property. It was indicated to the appraiser through market analysis, matched pair study, a review of available MLS information and the external viewing of the comparables that properties having a lower improvement price per square foot are inferior in quality of construction compared to properties having a higher improvement price per square foot (everything else being equal). Based on this information the appraiser made the appropriate adjustments.

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	124 Via Gayuba						
City Monterey		County	Monterey	State	CA	Zip Code	93940-4321
Lender/Client W	/edgewood Inc	-	Address 2015 N	lanhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 10	0. Redondo Be	ach. CA 90278

CONDITION:

Comparables 1, 4 and 5 were given negative adjustments due to their condition and/or upgrades. It was indicated to the appraiser through market analysis, matched pair study, a review of MLS information, interviews with local real estate brokers and the external viewing of each comparable that properties having a higher improvement price per square foot are superior in condition and/or upgrades in comparison to properties having a lower improvement price per square foot (everything else being equal). Adjustments based on research conducted.

t was noted that comps# 4 and 5 are over 20% larger in GLA than the subject. Comps are within the subjects market area.

A methodical twelve month search of the subject's market area was performed for recent comparable sales that have occurred. This data is then analyzed to determine which of the properties are deemed to be the most similar to the subject in terms of location, age, GLA, lot size, amenities and room configuration. The search produced a sufficient amount of data to provide for a reasonable analysis and determination of a value indication that can be applied to the subject property.

Due to the lack of comparables, the appraiser comparable search parameters used for the sales comparison was within the prior 12 months from the effective date, within a 1.00 mile radius for comps 1-5 within 25+% GLA difference for comp with similar style and utility. (See comments above) Comparables in report were best and most comparable properties with least amount of adjustments available at time of effective date of appraisal, any other more recent comparable properties available at time of appraisal would have been included in report.

SALES FINAL RECONCILIATION: Comp 2 is given the most weight with a similar GLA, location and similar lot size, with Comp 5 listing providing further support to value showing current market conditions as of the effective date. All the comps are considered the best available and most similar to the subject. All the comps support final reconciled value well. There is a very limited number of similar properties as the subject which have sold within the previous 12 months in the subject's neighborhood. Comps included in the appraisal are the most similar and best available properties at the time of the report.

Comp 1 is noted to be similar location, similar quality and superior condition, noted to have superior lot size, inferior bath count and similar GLA and superior garage count. Improvements or remodeling noted per MLS "home has been renovated, with upgraded fixtures and gourmet kitchen." Comp is given less weight due to having superior charecteristics, comparable used to bracket the subject.

Comp 2 was noted to be similar quality and condition as noted per MLS photos, superior lot size with inferior bath count and inferior GLA. No Improvements or remodeling noted per MLS, comparable noted to be similar to the subject from front exterior inspection. Comp was noted as having minimal amount of NET ADJUSTMENTS. Comparable was given the most weight.

Comp 3 was noted to be superior location with similar quality and condition as noted per MLS photos noted the property to have inferior lot size, inferior bath count, inferior GLA and superior ADU amenity. No Improvements or remodeling noted per MLS, comparable noted to be similar to the subject from front exterior inspection. Comp is given less weight due to having superior charecteristics, comparable used to bracket the subject.

Comp 4 was noted to be superior location with similar quality and superior condition as noted per MLS photos noted the property to have superior lot size, superior bath count, superior GLA and superior garage count. No Improvements or remodeling noted per MLS, comparable noted to be similar to the subject from front exterior inspection. Comp is given less weight due to having superior charecteristics, comparable used to bracket the subject.

Salient market factors most important to the typical buyer in purchasing were lot size, GLA, and condition and was the most considered factors in the final determination of value.

The comparables are adjusted as follows:

LOCATION:

Comparables #3 and 4 are given negative adjustments due to their slightly superior locations. It was indicated to the appraiser through market analysis and matched pair study, as well as interviews with local real estate brokers that properties located adjacent to or in close proximity to schools, siding or backing busy neighborhood thoroughfares or freeways or RR Tracks, or backing commercial properties suffer from external obsolescence due to increased traffic noise and demand lower prices on the open market. The subject and comp #1, 2 and 5 suffer slightly from external obsolescence due to their properties located adjacent to or in close proximity to schools a busy neighborhood thoroughfare or freeway or RR Tracks. Based on this information the appraiser made the appropriate adjustment.

LOT SIZE

It was indicated to the appraiser through a market analysis, that properties having larger lot areas demand higher prices on the open market. Based on this information the appraiser made the appropriate adjustments at \$5.00 per square foot of additional lot area. Data was taken from MLS data. Adjustments based on Extraction (deduct depreciated value of improvement from total property value). (Adjustments rounded to the nearest \$500.00).

ROOM #:

The appraiser has taken variances in total room count and bedroom count into consideration in the SIZE section of this report. The appraiser has done so as to not make duplicate adjustments when determining additional size value. (Bath = \$10,000, 1/2 Bath = \$5,000)

SIZE:

Adjustments based on \$150.00 per additional square foot of gross living area determined by market analysis and matched pair study. (Adjustments rounded to the nearest \$500).

ADU:

Comparable 3 is given a negative adjustment due to having ADU. It was indicated to the appraiser through a market analysis, extraction method as well as interviews with local real estate brokers that properties having an ADU demand higher prices on the open market. Based on this information the appraiser made the appropriate adjustments.

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Borrower	Redwood	Holdings	LLC

Property Address 1	24 Via Gayuba					
City Monterey	County	Monterey	State	CA	Zip Code	93940-4321
Lender/Client Wed	gewood Inc	Address 2	015 Manhattan E	Beach Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Be	each, CA 90278

LISTING RATIO:

Comparable 5 was given no adjustment due to the sales to listing ratio being 100%. Adjustment based on 1004MC, market analysis and matched pair study which included interviews with local real estate brokers to determine the difference in median sales price as a percentage of listing price.

OTHER ADJUSTMENTS:

All other improvement adjustments are based on Market Analysis. All sales verified through public records, First American Real Estate Solutions, Fidelity National Title, NDC Data and/or MLS as per USPAP requirements. This is considered a complete appraisal report.

Other amenities/features adjustments were applied as appropriate and are self-evident; such as pool amenities and levels of updating/remodeling. These adjustments are based on paired sales analysis whenever possible.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE

Market Value:

The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus.

Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- 1. buyer and seller are typically motivated;
- 2. both parties are well informed or well advised; and acting in what they consider their best interests;
- 3. a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
- 4. payment is made in terms of cash in United States dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- 5. the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

The above definition is based on an example indicated in the 1996 edition of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practices by compiled by The Appraisal Foundation.


I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

Michael Yaklin LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	124 Via Gayuba					
City Monterey	County	Monterey	State	CA	Zip Code	93940-4321
Lender/Client Wed	gewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Bea	ch Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Bea	ch, CA 90278




Michael Yaklin AERIAL MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Redwood Holdings LLC Borrower Property Address 124 Via Gayuba

93940-4321


City Monterey County Monterey State CA Zip Code Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	124 Via Gayuba						
City Monterey		County	Monterey	State	CA	Zip Code	93940-4321
Lender/Client W	/edgewood Inc	·	Address	2015 Manhattan	Beach Blvd Suite	100, Redondo E	Beach, CA 90278



File

File No.

35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report Case No. The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with a clear and accurate understanding of the market trends and conditions prevalent in the subject neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraisal reports with an effective date on or after April 1, 2009. ZIP Code 93940-4321 Property Address 124 Via Gayuba Monterey State City Redwood Holdings LLC Borrower Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding housing trends and overall market conditions as reported in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. The appraiser must fill in all the information to the extent it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as indicated below. If any required data is unavailable or is considered unreliable, the appraiser must provide an explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to provide data for the shaded areas below; if it is available, however, the appraiser must include that data in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required information as an average instead of the median, the appraiser should report the available figure and identify it as an average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property, determined by applying the criteria that would be used by a prospective buyer of the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the data, such as seasonal markets, new construction, foreclosures, etc. Prior 7-12 Months | Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months **Inventory Analysis** Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) 8 Χ Declining 3 Increasing Stable 1.33 1.33 ΙX Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) 1 Increasing Stable Declining 1 ΙX Total # of Comparable Active Listings 1 1 Declining Stable Increasing 0.75 Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Declining | X 0.75 1.00 Stable Increasing Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend 1,542,500 1,551,000 1,564,000 Increasing X Median Comparable Sales Price Stable Declining Median Comparable Sales Days on Market 9 14 8 Declining || X Stable Increasing Median Comparable List Price 1.547.000 1.557.000 1.569.500 Х Stable Increasing Declining 35 Χ Stable Median Comparable Listings Days on Market 74 Declining Increasing Increasing X 100% 100% 100% Stable Median Sale Price as % of List Price Declining Declining | X Seller-(developer, builder, etc,) paid financial assistance prevalent? Yes No Stable Increasing Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the past 12 months (e.g. seller contributions increased from 3% to 5%, increasing use of buydowns, closing costs condo fees, options, etc.) Seller concession trends in the past 12 months are 1% to 3% of the buyers closing costs. This is typical for the area and does not affect the market value X Yes No If yes, explain (including the trends in listings and sales of foreclosed properties). Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market? Their are a few Foreclosure sales in the market neighborhood, which has some effect on the market value of currently listed properties. The marketing and exposure time appear to be at an average rate of 1 day to 6 months if listed at market price. Cite data sources for above information. Data Sources: MLS ID = 76, State = California, MLS Board = ProMLS - Matrix Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report form. If you used any additional information, such as an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to formulate your conclusions, provide both an explanation and support for your conclusions. There were a total of 15 Comparable Settled Sales in the past 12 months. The Median Sales Price for the prior 7-12 months was \$1,542,500 and for the current to prior 3 months is \$1,564,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 0.75 and 1 for the current to prior 3 month period. The Median Days on Market for the prior 7-12 months was 9 and 8 for the current to prior 3 month period. The statistics above were generated from an exported MLS market search. Details regarding the calculations and process can be found online at http://www.bradfordsoftware.com/1004mc/calc.shtml If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, complete the following: Project Name: Prior 7-12 Months Prior 4-6 Months Current - 3 Months Overall Trend Subject Project Data Increasing Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Stable Declining Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Increasing Stable Declining Total # of Active Comparable Listings Declining Stable Increasing Stable Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Declining Yes Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? No If yes, indicate the number of REO listings and explain the trends in listings and sales of foreclosed properties Summarize the above trends and address the impact on the subject unit and project Signature Signature Appraiser Name Michael A Supervisor Name Michael klin Company Name Company Name PO Box 311, Redwood Estates, CA 95044 Company Address Company Address AR038712 State License/Certification # State License/Certification # State **Email Address** yaklinconsulting@gmail.com **Email Address**

MARKET RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS


Michael Yaklin

FLOOD MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	124 Via Gayuba					
City Monterey	County	Monterey	State	CA	Zip Code	93940-4321
Lender/Client Wed	gewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan E	Beach Blvd Suite	100, Redondo E	Beach, CA 90278



Flo	Flood Map Legends					
Floo	d Zones					
	Areas inundated by 100-year flooding					
	Areas inundated by 500-year flooding					
	Areas of undetermined but possible flood hazards					
	Floodway areas with velocity hazard					
1///	Floodway areas					
***	COBRA zone					

Flood Zone Determination							
In Specia	l Flood Ha	azard Area (F	Flood Zone):	Out			
Within 25	0 ft. of mι	ıltiple flood z	ones?	Not within 250 feet			
Community:				060200			
Commun	ity Name:		MONTEREY, CITY OF				
Map Num	ber:		06	6053C0308H			
Zone:	Χ	_ Panel:	0308H	Panel Date:	06/21/2017		
FIPS Coo	le:	06053	Census Ti	ract:	0128.00		

This Report is for the sole benefit of the Customer that ordered and paid for the Report and is based on the property information provided by that Customer. That Customer's use of this Report is subject to the terms agreed to by that Customer when accessing this product. THE SELLER OF THIS REPORT MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES TO ANY PARTY CONCERNING THE CONTENT, ACCURACY, OR COMPLETENESS OF THIS REPORT INCLUDING ANY WARRANTY OR MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. The seller of this Report shall not have any liability to any third party for any use or misuse of this Report.

Michael Yaklin SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

 Borrower
 Redwood Holdings LLC

 Property Address
 124 Via Gayuba

 City
 Monterey
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 93940-4321

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 124 Via Gayuba Monterey, CA 93940-4321

REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

Photo Not Required



STREET SCENE

Michael Yaklin SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

 Borrower
 Redwood Holdings LLC

 Property Address
 124 Via Gayuba

 City
 Monterey
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 93940-4321

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Front View



Subject Address

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	124 Via Gayuba						
City Monterey		County	Monterey	State	CA	Zip Code	93940-4321

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE #
770 Dry Creek Rd
Monterey, CA 93940



COMPARABLE SALE # 25 Via Chualar Monterey, CA 93940



COMPARABLE SALE #
70 San Bernabe Dr
Monterey, CA 93940

Michael Yaklin COMPARABLES 4-5-6

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 124 Via Gayuba

City Monterey County Monterey State CA Zip Code 93940-4321

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 40 Cuesta Vista Drive Monterey, CA 93940



COMPARABLE SALE # 5 1100 Pacific St Monterey, CA 93940

COMPARABLE SALE # 6

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. Case No 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. Case No 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No. Case No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC

Λ	on Full Name	May Appear in These Fields
4	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sales or Financing Concessions
	-	-
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
В	Beneficial	Location & View
oa	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
or	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
		Location
BsyRd	Busy Road	
	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
	,	
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
OOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
OT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway	Garage/Carport
	•	Date of Sale/Time
-	Expiration Date	
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
3	Garage	Garage/Carport
	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
ga 		
gbi	Built-In Garages	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
ℲR	High Rise	Design (Style)
n	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
nd	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sales or Financing Concessions
_ndfl	Landfill	Location
_tdSght	Limited Sight	View
MR .	Mid Rise	Design (Style)
Vitn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
)	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
)	Other	Design (Style)
ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
	Power Lines	
PwrLn		View
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
r	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
5	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
qm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Jnk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
/A	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
N	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
VO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Noods	Woods View	View
Ntr	Water View	View
NtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
vu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
	'	

File	No).
Cas	20	Nic

35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC

			APPRAISAL	COMPLIANCE	Ca	se No.	
Owner	Jeannine I	Faull					
Address	124 Via Ga	ayuba				Unit No.	
City M	onterey		County Mo	onterey S	tate <u>CA</u>	Zip Code	93940-4321
Client V	Vedgewood	Inc					
			IDENTIFICATION				
		is one of th	e following types:				
	aisal Report		This report was prepared in accordance with the require				• •
Rest	ricted Appraisa	al Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the require	ements of the Restricted Appraisal F	Report option of	of USPAP Stand	dards Rule 2-2(b). The
			intended user of this report is limited to the identified cli	lient. This is a Restricted Appraisal F	Report and the	rationale for ho	ow the appraiser arrived
			at the opinions and conclusions set forth in the report ma	ay not be understood properly withou	t the additiona	al information in	the appraiser's workfile.
ADDITI	ONAL CER	TIFICAT	IONS				
certify th	at, to the best	of my know	wledge and belief:				
•		•	ined in this report are true and correct.				
			ons, and conclusions are limited only by the reported as	sumptions and are my personal imp	artial and unl	niased professio	onal analyses
	nions, and cond	•	one, and considered and minical only by the reported as			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	•		have no present or prospective interest in the property t	that is the subject of this report and r	no nersonal in	terest with resn	ect to narties involved
			the property that is the subject of this report or the parti		o personal in	Crost With rospi	sol to parties involved
		•	nment was not contingent upon developing or reporting p	<u> </u>			
-		_	ting this assignment is not contingent upon the developr		value or direc	ation in value th	at favore the equal
		mount of tr	ne value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or	the occurrence of a subsequent eve	nt directly rela	ted to the inten	ded use of
	appraisal.				01 1 1	(5 ())	
-	•		conclusions were developed and this report has been pre	epared, in conformity with the Unifori	n Standards d	if Professional A	Appraisal Practice that
			report was prepared.				
			pared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of	FIRREA and any implementing regu	ilations.		
RIUR	SERVICES				•		
LX.		-	d services, as an appraiser or in another capacity, regard	ding the property that is the subject o	if the report w	thin the three-y	ear period
imn			otance of this assignment.				
			ces, as an appraiser or in another capacity, regarding the		eport within th	ie three-year pe	eriod immediately
			assignment. Those services are described in the comm	nents below.			
	RTY INSPE						
. 1			sonal inspection of the property that is the subject of this				
. [a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of	of this report.			
	ISAL ASSIS						
		-	ovided significant real property appraisal assistance to the		anyone did p	rovide significa	nt assistance, they
are hereb	y identified alo	ong with a s	summary of the extent of the assistance provided in the r	report.			
ADDITI	ONAL COM	IMENTS					
Additiona	I USPAP relate	ed issues r	equiring disclosure and/or any state mandated requirement	ents:			
MARKE	TING TIME	AND EX	(POSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT PROPE	ERTY			
_				ing market conditions pertinent to the	annraical ac	sianment	
				ing market conditions pertinent to the	, appraisar as	significant.	
A A IE	asoriable expo	osure ume	for the subject property is 90 day(s).				
APPRA	ISER			SUPERVISORY APPRAISER	ONLY IF	REQUIRED	
-	IOLIC		-	COI ERVICORT AIT RAIGE	. (ONET II	REGUIRED	
		. 1					
	1/	11 1					
	. ///	11, //	. // // ,				
0: .	- [[]]		M/V/V	0: .			
Signatur	*/	yes ye		Signature			
Name	Mi € hael A						
	-	4/30/202		Date of Signature			
	ertification # A	R038712		State Certification #			
or State	License #			or State License #			
State	CA			State			
Expiration	n Date of Certi	tification or	License 10/27/2025	Expiration Date of Certification or Lie	cense		
				Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of		rty:	
Effective	Date of Appra	aisal <u>04/</u> 3		Did Not Exterior Only			nd Exterior
	• •						

File No. 35349759RedwoodHoldingsLLC Case No.

Appraisal Independence Certification

pp-a-oan maopo					
Subject Property Address:					
124 Via Gayuba					
Monterey, CA 93940-4321					
Legal Description: TR 219 LA GRANDE VISTA LOT 6BLK 4					
Effective Date of Appraisal: 04/30/2024					
The undersigned appraiser(s) certifies that the ordering and delivery of the above refer workflow and policies of an acceptable appraisal management platform. The following	the state of the s				
An Appraiser Selection System was used to autom management system with the ordering Broker have					
Double-Blind communication was used which rest appraiser and person placing the order. All status The identity of the appraiser was concealed until the status of the appraiser was concealed until the status of the appraiser.	s messages are restricted to pre-written, system supplied text.				
with USPAP standards; And, at no time did any employee, director, officer, or agent of the	by certify that the report was completed and the opinion of value developed in accordance the broker, or any other third party acting as joint venture partner, independent contractor, seer, influence or attempt to influence the development, reporting, result, or review of the				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of any estimate regarding the Subject Property's value including but not limited to a in case of purchase transactions where according to USPAP Standards Rule 1-5(a) the ject property as of the effective date of the appraisal.				
This form may not be reproduced or modified without written consent from Bradford Te not have any obligations with respect to the certifications made by the appraiser(s).	echnologies, Inc. Bradford Technologies Inc. is not a party to this agreement and does				
<u>^</u>					
APPRAISER:	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED):				
Mh Mas M					
Signature:	Signature:				
Name: Michael A. Yaklin Company Name: Michael Yaklin	Name:				
Company Address: PO Box 311	Company Name: Company Address:				
Redwood Estates, CA 95044	Company Addicas.				
Date of Report/Signature: 04/30/2024	Date of Report/Signature:				
State Certification #: AR038712	State Certification #:				
or State License #:	or State License #:				

State:

Expiration Date of Certification or License:

State: CA

Expiration Date of Certification or License: 10/27/2025

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 124 Via Gayuba

County State CA City Monterey Monterey Zip Code Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

HUDSON INSURANCE COMPANY

100 William Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10038



27

Page

26

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY DECLARATIONS

NOTICE: THIS IS A "CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED" POLICY. THIS POLICY REQUIRES THAT A CLAIM BE MADE AGAINST THE INSURED DURING THE POLICY PERIOD AND REPORTED TO THE INSURER, IN WRITING, DURING THE POLICY PERIOD OR AUTOMATIC EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD.

THIS POLICY MAY CONTAIN PROVISIONS WHICH LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF CLAIM EXPENSES THE INSURER IS RESPONSIBLE TO PAY IN CONNECTION WITH CLAIMS. CLAIM EXPENSES SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ANY DEDUCTIBLE AMOUNT. THE PAYMENT OF CLAIM EXPENSES WILL REDUCE THE LIMITS OF LIABILITY STATED IN ITEM 4. OF THE DECLARATIONS. PLEASE READ YOUR POLICY CAREFULLY.

PLEASE READ THIS POLICY CAREFULLY.

Policy Number: PRA-2AX-1015615 Renewal of: PRA-2AX-1007565

Named Insured: Michael A Yaklin

Address: PO Box 311

Redwood Estates, CA 95044

3. Policy Period: From: January 22, To: January 22, 2024

12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Number 2 above

4. Limit of Liability Each Claim Policy Aggregate

Damages Limit of Liability \$1,000,000 B. \$1,000,000

Claims Expense Limit of

\$1,000,000 **D.** \$1,000,000 Liability

5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claims Expenses):

\$ 500 Each Claim Aggregate \$1,000

Policy Premium: State Taxes/Surcharges: 6. \$680.00 \$0.00

7. **Retroactive Date:** January 22, 2009

8. Notice to Company: Notice of a Claim or Potential Claim should be sent to:

> **Hudson Insurance Group** 100 William Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10038 Fax: 646-216-3786

Email: hudsonclaims300@hudsoninsgroup.com

9. A. Program Administrator: Riverton Insurance Agency Corp.

B. Agent/Broker: **OREP Insurance Services, LLC**

(888) 347-5273

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, We have caused this policy to be executed by our President and our Corporate Secretary at New York, New York

President Secretary

PRA100 (01/20) Page | 1

Appraiser License Certificate

