File # **2405-05** 

### **APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY**



### **LOCATED AT**

11643 Otsego St

North Hollywood, CA 91601-3628 TRACT #10323 LOT 28

### **FOR**

WEDGEWOOD INC

2015 MANHATTAN BEACH BL, SUITE 100 REDONDO BEACH, CA 90276

### **OPINION OF VALUE**

1,500,000

### **AS OF**

05/04/2024

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

USPAP Identification Addendum	1
Exterior-Only	
Additional Comparables 4-6	
Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report	9
Appraiser Independence Certification	10
General Text Addendum	
Subject Photos	
Comparable Photos 1-3	13
Comparable Photos 4-6	
UAD Definitions Addendum	15
LICENSE	
E & 0 - Page 1	19
PUBLIC RECORDS	
PLAT MAP	
CMA - Page 1	22
CMA - Page 2	23
Location Map	24
AERIAL PHOTO	25

### USPAP ADDENDUM

orrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS				2400 00
roperty Address	11643 Otsego St				
ity	North Hollywood	County	LOS ANGELES	State CA	Zip Code <b>91601-3628</b>
ender	WEDGEWOOD INC				
This report	t was prepared under the	following USPAP reporting option	:		
Apprais	sal Report	This report was prepared in accor	rdance with USPAP Standards Rule 2	-2(a).	
Restric	ted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accor	rdance with USPAP Standards Rule 2	-2(h)	
	tod Appraiodi Hoport	Time report was propared in accor		2(8).	
Reasonabl	e Exposure Time				
My opinion	of a reasonable exposure tim	ne for the subject property at the mark	et value stated in this report is:	THE REASO	NABLE EXPOSURE TIME
IS 1 TO 3 M	IONTHS				
Additional	Cartifications				
	Certifications , to the best of my knowledg	se and helief:			
		an appraiser or in any other capacity, i	regarding the property that is the subj	ect of this report	within the
three-ye	ear period immediately prece	eding acceptance of this assignment.			
☐ I HAVE	performed services, as an a	ppraiser or in another capacity, regard	ing the property that is the subject of	this report within	the three-year
period i	mmediately preceding accep	otance of this assignment. Those servi	ces are described in the comments b	elow.	
- The statem	ents of fact contained in this re	eport are true and correct.			
		clusions are limited only by the reported a	ssumptions and limiting conditions and	are my personal, in	mpartial, and unbiased
1 '	analyses, opinions, and conclu				
	erwise indicated, I have no pres	sent or prospective interest in the property	that is the subject of this report and no	personal interest v	with respect to the parties
involved.	ica with respect to the property	, that is the authiost of this report or the p	artice involved with this accignment		
1		y that is the subject of this report or the p not contingent upon developing or reportir	<u> </u>		
, , , ,	-	ignment is not contingent upon the develo	• .	value or direction in	value that favore the cause of
1 .		the attainment of a stipulated result, or the			
		vere developed, and this report has been (			
1 ' '	t at the time this report was pre		orcpared, in comorning with the ormorni	otanuarus or i rott	בייטוטוומו אף דמוטמו דומטווטט נוומנ
		a personal inspection of the property that	is the subject of this report.		
	· ·	ed significant real property appraisal assis	·	fication (if there are	e exceptions, the name of each
1		appraisal assistance is stated elsewhere i			
Additional	Comments				
/ taaitionai	Comments				
APPRAISE	R: 🔥 📗	$1 \cap III$ .	SUPERVISORY APPRAIS	SER: (only if i	required)
		1/4/10/2011			
Signaturo:	(Augh) IL.	, Nacional	Signature:		
Signature:	PH P/BALDINO		Name:		
Date Signed:			Data Cianad:		
			0 0		
or State License			or State License #:		
State: CA			State:		
	of Certification or License:	2/12/2025	Expiration Date of Certification or I	License:	
Effective Date or	_		Supervisory Appraiser Inspection		
	,,		Did Not Exterior-only		

# **Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report**

57407 File# 2405-05

The purpose of this summary appraisal repor	rt is to provide the lender/client with an	accurate, and adequately supported, o	pinion of the market value	of the subject property.
Property Address 11643 Otsego St		City North Hollywood	State CA	Zip Code 91601-3628
Borrower <b>REDWOOD HOLDINGS</b>	Owner of Public Reco	d ROBERT MOORE	County Los A	NGELES
Legal Description TRACT #10323 LOT 28				
Assessor's Parcel # 2354-005-043		Tax Year 2023		1,702
Neighborhood Name NORTH HOLLYWOOD  Occupant Owner Tenant Vaca		Map Reference 562-H-3	Census Tract	
		\$ <b>0</b> P	UD HOA\$ o	per year per month
Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)	(de-e-de-e)		
Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transaction Other	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Lender/Client WEDGEWOOD INC		MANHATTAN BEACH BL, SUITE 100, F		
Is the subject property currently offered for sale of Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and				Yes 🗶 No
ricport data source(s) used, oriening price(s), and	CRMLS THE SUBJECT HA	S NOT BEEN LISTED IN THE PAST 12	MIUNIHS	
I did did not analyze the contract for s	sale for the subject purchase transaction. Expla	in the results of the analysis of the contra	et for sale or why the analysis	was not
performed.	sale for the subject purchase transaction. Expire	and the results of the analysis of the contract	of for said of with the analysis	was not
Contract Price \$ Date of Cont	ract Is the property seller	the owner of public record?	No Data Source(s)	
Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa	ale concessions, gift or downpayment assistan	ce, etc.) to be paid by any party on behalf	of the borrower?	Yes No
If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe		, , , , , , , ,		
Note: Race and the racial composition of the r				
Neighborhood Characteristics	One-Un	it Housing Trends	One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
Location Urban Suburban	Rural Property Values X Increasin	g Stable Declining	PRICE AGE	One-Unit 98 %
Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75%	Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortage	In Balance Over Supply	\$ (000) (yrs)	2-4 Unit %
Growth Rapid Stable	Slow Marketing Time Vunder 3	mths 3-6 mths Over 6 mths	500 Low 2	Multi-Family 1 %
Neighborhood Boundaries THE SUBJECT IS	S LOCATED NORTH OF 101 FREEWAY, SO	UTH OF CHANDLER, EAST OF	3,500 High 90	Commercial 1 %
COLDWATER CANYON & WEST OF THE 170	FREEWAY		1,500 Pred. 75	Other %
	located in a suburban area. It is within	1 5 miles of many diversified financ	ial, industrial, service &	movie industry centers.
Neighborhood shows average to good ma	intenance. All conveniences & suppo	rting services( markets, gas station	s, etc) are within 2 miles	& are along the
traffic arteries of Magnolia and Laurel Ca	anyon. The subject is 30 to 60 minutes	from downtown Los Angeles.		
Market Conditions (including support for the above	e conclusions) <b>CONVENTIONAL FINA</b>	NCING IS PREDOMINANT IN THE AREA.	DEMAND IS BEGINNING TO S	TABILIZE THE RATE OF
INFLATION HAS BEEN DECREASING, BUT VALUES	S ARE STILL HOLDING STABLE. FUNDS ARE ST	ILL AVAILABLE BUT SALES ACTIVITY IS B	EGINNING TO WAIT FOR INT	EREST RATES TO DECLINE
BUYER ARE BEGINNING TO BE MORE WILLING	TO WAIT FOR RATES TO DECLINE BEFORE TH			
Dimensions <b>53.9 X 135</b>	Area 7276 sf	Shape <b>rectangle</b>	View N;	Res;
Specific Zoning Classification LAR1		SFR RESIDENTIAL		
	conforming (Grandfathered Use) No Zo		<b>2</b>	,
Is the highest and best use of subject property as	improved (or as proposed per plans and spec	inications) the present use?	Yes No If No, des	scribe
Hailiaina Dublin Oabou (donoriba)	Public Other	(describe) Off site loon	warranta Trus	Public Private
Utilities Public Other (describe)  Electricity	Water Public Other	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rovements - Type	Public Private
Gas	Sanitary Sewer	Street ase Alley ase	PHALT	X
	No FEMA Flood Zone x	FEMA Map # 06037C1320F	FEMA Map	
Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical to		No If No, describe	. =	03/20/2000
Are there any adverse site conditions or external fa		,	Yes X No	If Yes, describe
THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE REPORT				,
For flood information discrepancy with lender's certific				
LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP				
Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro	operty Appraisal Files 🗶 MLS		Prior Inspection	Property Owner
Other (describe)			PARCEL QUEST	
General Description	General Description	Jr J	Amenities	Car Storage
Units One One with Accessory Unit	Concrete Slab 🗶 Crawl Space	🗶 FWA 🗌 HWBB 🔀 Firep	lace(s) # 1 None	
# of Stories 1	Full Basement Finished		dstove(s) # o 🔀 Drive	
Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Partial Basement Finished		Driveway	
			h <b>none</b> Gara	•
0 ( ) / 11111211101111			NONE Carp	
Year Built 1947	Gutters & Downspouts METAL		e CHAIN LINK Attac	
Effective Age (Yrs) 30	Window Type VINYL		r <b>none</b> Built	in
Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven			(describe)	
Finished area <b>above</b> grade contains:	6 Rooms 2 Bedrooms		87 Square Feet of Gross Li	ving Area Above Grade
Additional features (special energy efficient items,	etc.) DRIVEWAY, LANDSCAPING, (	CHAIN LINK FENCE		
	() (1 )			
Describe the condition of the property and data so		- , ·		WELL MAINTAINED
PROPERTY THERE IS A CARPORT & SOME	E OTHER BUILDING IN THE REAR I COU	LD NOT DETERMINE IF IT WAS AN A	DU OR NOT. IF IT IS AN	ADU THE FINAL VALUE
COULD BE HIGHER				
Are there any engagest shortest deficients	lyaran conditions that affect the Pro-ERE.	Innon or obvious links with a set the same of	,0	<b>■</b> No
Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ad	iverse conditions that affect the livability, soun	uness, or structural integrity of the property	y? Yes	I IVO
If Vac describe				
If Yes, describe.				
If Yes, describe.				
If Yes, describe.				
	parhaad (functional utility, abula condition year	construction etc.\2	Vac No. If No. decer	10
Does the property generally conform to the neighb	porhood (functional utility, style, condition, use	, construction, etc.)?	Yes No If No, descrit	ne.
	porhood (functional utility, style, condition, use	, construction, etc.)?	Yes No If No, descrit	De.

# Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 57407 2405-05

57407

There are 4 comparable	e oronemes currently	offered for sale in t	he subject neighborhd	ood ranging in price	from \$ 1,699,000	to \$ 1.79	99.000
	e sales in the subject						-,
							.,250,000 ·
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABL	LE SALE # 1	CUMPARABI	LE SALE # 2	CUMPARABI	LE SALE # 3
Address 11643 Otsego St		11750 Hesby St		12128 Huston St		12432 La Maida St	
North Hollywood, CA	A 91601-3628	Valley Village, CA 9	1607-3209	Valley Village, CA 9	1607-3615	Valley Village, CA 9	1607-3507
Proximity to Subject		0.17 miles SW		0.66 miles SW		0.95 miles SW	
Sale Price	\$	0.17 miles ow	\$ 1,654,500		\$ 1,300,000	0.33 miles 5W	\$ 1.550.000
	l'	Δ4	\$ 1,654,500		\$ 1,300,000	Δ	\$ 1,550,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ <b>886.66</b> Sq.ft.		\$ <b>785.97</b> sq.ft.		\$ <b>997.43</b> Sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)		CRMLSMLS#23339	713;DOM 7	CRMLSMLS#SR231	43737;DOM 40	CRMLSMLS#P1-15	427;DOM 48
Verification Source(s)		PARCEL Q#147715	562-H-3	PARCEL Q#690901	562-G-3	PARCEL Q#17290	562-F-3
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing	BECOMM HON		1 ( ) \$ riajabanone		1 ( ) \$ rtajacanone		1 ( ) \$ / tajaotinone
· ·		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth	
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;0		Conv;0	
Date of Sale/Time		s03/24;c02/24		s10/23;c09/23		s01/24;c12/23	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	1 ' '	-					
·	Fee Simple	FEE		FEE		FEE	0
Site	7276 sf	8794 sf	0	5979 sf	0	6243 sf	0
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Design (Style)	DT1;TRADITIONAL	DT1:TRADITIONAL		DT1;TRADITIONAL		DT1;RANCH	0
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4	
Actual Age	i -		_		_	•	_
	77	85	U	69		71	0
Condition	C3	C3		C4	+50,000		-50,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	6 2 2.0	6 2 2.0		6 3 2.0	0	6 3 2.0	0
Gross Living Area	1,687 Sq.ft.	1,866 Sq.ft.	-26,900	-	+5,000	1,554 Sq.ft.	+20,000
•			-20,900		+5,000		+20,000
Basement & Finished	Osf	Osf		Osf		Osf	
Rooms Below Grade							
Functional Utility	AVERAGE	AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE	
Heating/Cooling	FAU/CENT	FAU/CENT		FAU/CENT		FAU/CENT	
Energy Efficient Items						-	
	NONE	NONE		NONE		NONE	
Garage/Carport	2cp2dw	2gd2dw	+1,000	2ga2dw	+10,000	2ga2dw	+10,000
Porch/Patio/Deck	NONE	OPEN PATIO	-5,000	OPEN PATIO	-5,000	OPEN PATIO	-5,000
POOL-SPA	NONE	NONE		POOL	-20,000	NONE	
Net Advetored (Tetal)			•		Φ.		Φ.
Net Adjustment (Total)			\$ -30,900		\$ 40,000		\$ -25,000
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 1.9 %		Net Adj. 3.1 %		Net Adj. 1.6 %	
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 2.0 %	\$ 1,623,600	Gross Adj. 6.9 %	\$ 1,340,000	Gross Adj. 5.5 %	\$ 1,525,000
I X did did not research t	the sale or transfer histo				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,,
ala mot roscaron i	ino outo or transfer moto	ory or the eabject proper	ity and comparable can	oo. II Hot, oxpiaiii			
			hiect property for the th	ree years prior to the ef	ffective date of this appr	aisal.	
My research  did  did  did	not reveal any prior sale	es or transters of the sui	ojoot proporty for allo al				
		es or transfers of the sui	ojoot proporty for the th				
Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE	ST			year prior to the date of	sale of the comparable	cala	
Data Source(s)  My research did did did	est not reveal any prior sale			year prior to the date of	sale of the comparable	sale.	
Data Source(s)  My research  Data Source(s)  PARCEL QUE  did  A did  PARCEL QUE	est not reveal any prior sale est	es or transfers of the co	mparable sales for the				
Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE  My research did did did	est not reveal any prior sale est	es or transfers of the co	mparable sales for the				
Data Source(s)  My research  Data Source(s)  PARCEL QUE  did  A did  PARCEL QUE	est not reveal any prior sale est and analysis of the prior	es or transfers of the co	mparable sales for the	and comparable sales		sales on page 3).	RABLE SALE #3
Data Source(s)  My research  Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research and an arrangement of the research and arrangement of the research arrange	est not reveal any prior sale est and analysis of the prior	es or transfers of the co	mparable sales for the y	and comparable sales	(report additional prior	sales on page 3).  COMPA	RABLE SALE #3
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	est not reveal any prior sale est and analysis of the prior	es or transfers of the co	mparable sales for the y	and comparable sales	(report additional prior	sales on page 3). 2	RABLE SALE #3
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did did did did did di	est not reveal any prior sale est and analysis of the prior	es or transfers of the co	mparable sales for the y	and comparable sales	(report additional prior	sales on page 3).  COMPA	RABLE SALE #3
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did did did did did di	est not reveal any prior sale est and analysis of the prior	es or transfers of the color r sale or transfer history JBJECT	mparable sales for the y	and comparable sales	(report additional prior	sales on page 3). 2	
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did did did did did di	not reveal any prior sale  ST  and analysis of the prior  SU	es or transfers of the contraction of the contracti	of the subject property  COMPARABLE S.	and comparable sales	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	sales on page 3).  COMPA 02/11/2022 \$0	
Data Source(s)  My research  Data Source(s)  PARCEL QUE  My research  Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior sale  ST  and analysis of the prior  SU  PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024	es or transfers of the contraction of the contracti	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST 05/05/2024	and comparable sales ALE #1 (  PARCE 05/05/	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	sales on page 3). 2	ST
Data Source(s)  My research  Data Source(s)  PARCEL QUE  Report the results of the research of	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024 istory of the subject prop	es or transfers of the course sale or transfer history JBJECT  r	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST 05/05/2024 ales COR	and comparable sales ALE #1 C	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	sales on page 3). 2	ST
Data Source(s)  My research  Data Source(s)  PARCEL QUE  My research  Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a  ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024 istory of the subject prop	es or transfers of the course sale or transfer history JBJECT  r	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST 05/05/2024 ales COR	and comparable sales ALE #1 (  PARCE 05/05/	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	sales on page 3). 2	ST
Data Source(s)  My research  Data Source(s)  PARCEL QUE  Report the results of the research of	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024 istory of the subject prop	es or transfers of the course sale or transfer history JBJECT  r	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST 05/05/2024 ales COR	and comparable sales ALE #1 (  PARCE 05/05/	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	sales on page 3). 2	ST
Data Source(s)  My research  Data Source(s)  PARCEL QUE  Report the results of the research of	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024 istory of the subject prop	es or transfers of the course sale or transfer history JBJECT  r	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST 05/05/2024 ales COR	and comparable sales ALE #1 (  PARCE 05/05/	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	sales on page 3). 2	ST
Data Source(s)  My research  Data Source(s)  PARCEL QUE  Report the results of the research of	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024 istory of the subject prop	es or transfers of the course sale or transfer history JBJECT  r	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST 05/05/2024 ales COR	and comparable sales ALE #1 (  PARCE 05/05/	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	sales on page 3). 2	ST
Data Source(s)  My research  Data Source(s)  PARCEL QUE  Report the results of the research of	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024 istory of the subject prop	es or transfers of the course sale or transfer history JBJECT  r	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST 05/05/2024 ales COR	and comparable sales ALE #1 (  PARCE 05/05/	(report additional prior #2 COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024	sales on page 3). 2	ST
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024 istory of the subject propriate of the subject	r sale or transfers of the course of the cou	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST 05/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	sales on page 3).  COMPA  02/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  05/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI	ST ECENT TRANSFER
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  OCCURRED ON UNKNOWN (GR	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024 istory of the subject properties.  Oproach  SALE#1	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history JBJECT  r  perty and comparable s 91501 RECORDED OI	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE	sales on page 3).  COMPA  02/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  05/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024 istory of the subject properties.  Oproach  SALE#1	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history JBJECT  r  perty and comparable s 91501 RECORDED OI	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE	sales on page 3).  COMPA  02/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  05/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  OCCURRED ON UNKNOWN (GR	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024 istory of the subject proportion of the subje	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history JBJECT  I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST 05/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  DESSER CONDITION.  DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSED AND ADDRESSED ADD	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER  TMENTS ARE
Data Source(s)  My research  did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024  istory of the subject proportion of the subj	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history  JBJECT  T  J  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D	mparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  DESSER CONDITION.  DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSED AND ADDRESSED ADD	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER  TMENTS ARE
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  OCCURRED ON UNKNOWN (GR	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024  istory of the subject proportion of the subj	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history  JBJECT  T  J  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D  D	mparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  DESSER CONDITION.  DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSED AND ADDRESSED ADD	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER  TMENTS ARE
Data Source(s)  My research  did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024  istory of the subject properation of the subject	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history  JBJECT  T  I  Perty and comparable s  91501 RECORDED OF  I LARGER THAN THE  ABLE SEARCH PARAL  S ANALYSIS. \$150 P	of the subject property COMPARABLE S  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOMES PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  DESSER CONDITION.  DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSED AND ADDRESSED ADD	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER  TMENTS ARE
Data Source(s)  My research  did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024  istory of the subject properation of the subject	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history  JBJECT  T  I  Perty and comparable s  91501 RECORDED OF  I LARGER THAN THE  ABLE SEARCH PARAL  S ANALYSIS. \$150 P	of the subject property COMPARABLE S  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOMES PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  DESSER CONDITION.  DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSED AND ADDRESSED ADD	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER  TMENTS ARE
Data Source(s)  My research  did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024  istory of the subject properation of the subject	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history  JBJECT  T  I  Perty and comparable s  91501 RECORDED OF  I LARGER THAN THE  ABLE SEARCH PARAL  S ANALYSIS. \$150 P	of the subject property COMPARABLE S  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOMES PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  DESSER CONDITION.  DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSED AND ADDRESSED ADD	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER  TMENTS ARE
Data Source(s)  My research  did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024  istory of the subject properation of the subject	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history  JBJECT  T  I  Perty and comparable s  91501 RECORDED OF  I LARGER THAN THE  ABLE SEARCH PARAL  S ANALYSIS. \$150 P	of the subject property COMPARABLE S  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOMES PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  DESSER CONDITION.  DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSED AND ADDRESSED ADD	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER  TMENTS ARE
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  OCCURRED ON UNKNOWN (GR  Summary of Sales Comparison Ap  THAN THE SUBJECT, THE AI  DERIVED FROM THE MARKET  CONDITION \$50,000 GARAGE  SALE#1,3 ARE THE MOST RI	PARCEL QUEST  05/05/2024 istory of the subject proproach PPRAISER COMPARA WITH PAIRED SALE SPACE \$10,000 \$50  ECENT & SALE#2 N	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history  JBJECT  T  I  Perty and comparable s  91501 RECORDED OF  I LARGER THAN THE  ABLE SEARCH PARAI  S ANALYSIS. \$150 P  DOO CARPORT SPAC	of the subject property COMPARABLE S  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOMES PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  DESSER CONDITION.  DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSED AND ADDRESSED ADD	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER  TMENTS ARE
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject propoach PPRAISER COMPARA WITH PAIRED SALE SPACE \$10,000 \$50  ECENT & SALE#2 N	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history JBJECT  T I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	of the subject property COMPARABLE S  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000	PARCE 05/05/2 ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR CONDITION, \$500	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 2200 O CENTRAL HEAT \$	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  DESSER CONDITION. O SQ FT THE ADJUS'  5000 CENTRAL A/C	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER IMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL
Data Source(s)  My research  did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject propoach PPRAISER COMPARA WITH PAIRED SALE SPACE \$10,000 \$50  ECENT & SALE#2 N	es or transfers of the color sale or transfer history JBJECT  T	of the subject property COMPARABLE S  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOMES PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR CONDITION, \$500	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 2200 O CENTRAL HEAT \$	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  DESSER CONDITION.  DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSED AND ADDRESSED ADD	ST  ECENT TRANSFER  SALE#3 SMALLER IMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject proportion of the subje	r sale or transfer history r sale or transfer hi	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,  ZE ARE GIVEN THE	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500  MOST WEIGHT	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 220 O CENTRAL HEAT \$	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  D SQ FT THE ADJUS  5000 CENTRAL A/C	SALE#3 SMALLER TIMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject proposach  PPRAISER COMPARA WITH PAIRED SALE#1 SPACE \$10,000 \$50  ECENT & SALE#2 N  SON Approach \$ 1,1  arison Approach \$ 1,1	I LARGER THAN THE ABLE SEARCH PARAIS ANALYSIS. \$150 POOD CARPORT SPACE MOST SIMILAR IN SI	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,  ZE ARE GIVEN THE	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500  MOST WEIGHT	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 220 O CENTRAL HEAT \$	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  D SQ FT THE ADJUS  5000 CENTRAL A/C	SALE#3 SMALLER TIMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  OCCURRED ON UNKNOWN (GR  Summary of Sales Comparison Ap  THAN THE SUBJECT, THE AI  DERIVED FROM THE MARKET  CONDITION \$50,000 GARAGE  SALE#1,3 ARE THE MOST RI  Indicated Value by Sales Comparis  Indicated Value by: Sales Comp  THE MARKET APPROACH BEST	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject proposach  PPRAISER COMPARA WITH PAIRED SALE#1 SPACE \$10,000 \$50  ECENT & SALE#2 N  SON Approach \$ 1,1  arison Approach \$ 1,1	I LARGER THAN THE ABLE SEARCH PARAIS ANALYSIS. \$150 POOD CARPORT SPACE MOST SIMILAR IN SI	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,  ZE ARE GIVEN THE	PARCE 05/05/: ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500  MOST WEIGHT	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 2024 ORDS INDICATE THE SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 220 O CENTRAL HEAT \$	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  D SQ FT THE ADJUS  5000 CENTRAL A/C	SALE#3 SMALLER TIMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject proposach  PPRAISER COMPARA WITH PAIRED SALE SPACE \$10,000 \$50  ECENT & SALE#2 N  SON Approach \$ 1,4  Approach	r sale or transfer history r sale or transfer hi	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,  ZE ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if developmenty). The CO	PARCE 05/05// ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN SIN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500  MOST WEIGHT  Eloped) \$ 1,273,1 ST APPROACH IS ME	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2  EL QUEST 2024  ORDS INDICATE THE  SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 220  O CENTRAL HEAT \$  62 Income App ERELY SUPPORTIVE.	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  D SQ FT THE ADJUS  5000 CENTRAL A/C  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  COMPA	SALE#3 SMALLER TMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  OCCURRED ON UNKNOWN (GR  Summary of Sales Comparison Ap  THAN THE SUBJECT, THE AI  DERIVED FROM THE MARKET  CONDITION \$50,000 GARAGE  SALE#1,3 ARE THE MOST RI  Indicated Value by Sales Comparis  Indicated Value by: Sales Comp  THE MARKET APPROACH BEST  THE LACK OF RENTAL DATA OI  This appraisal is made "as in the search and the same	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject proposach  PPRAISER COMPARA WITH PAIRED SALE SPACE \$10,000 \$50  ECENT & SALE#2 N  SON Approach \$ 1,1  Approach \$ 1,1  FOR THE PAIRED SALE  FOR THE PAIRED SALE  SON Approach \$ 1,1  THE PAIRED SALE  THE PAIRED SALE  SON Approach \$ 1,1  THE PAIRED SALE  THE PAIRE	r sale or transfer history JBJECT  I perty and comparable s 91501 RECORDED OI  I LARGER THAN THE ABLE SEARCH PARAI IS ANALYSIS. \$150 P 000 CARPORT SPAC  MOST SIMILAR IN SI  500,000  1,500,000  CUE OF THIS TYPE OF EA.  Completion per plans	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,  ZE ARE GIVEN THE  Cost Approach (if development). The CO	PARCE 05/05// ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500  MOST WEIGHT  eloped) \$ 1,273,1 ST APPROACH IS ME	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2  EL QUEST 2024  ORDS INDICATE THE  SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 220  O CENTRAL HEAT \$  62 Income App ERELY SUPPORTIVE. 1	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  D SQ FT THE ADJUS  5000 CENTRAL A/C  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  COMPA	SALE#3 SMALLER IMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  OCCURRED ON UNKNOWN (GR  Summary of Sales Comparison Ap  THAN THE SUBJECT, THE AI  DERIVED FROM THE MARKET  CONDITION \$50,000 GARAGE  SALE#1,3 ARE THE MOST RI  Indicated Value by Sales Comparis  Indicated Value by: Sales Comp  THE MARKET APPROACH BEST  THE LACK OF RENTAL DATA OI  This appraisal is made "as completed, subject to the	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject proportion of the subject of the subject of the subject to following repairs or a subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject subject to subject s	r sale or transfer history JBJECT  r sale or transfer history JBJECT  r i  perty and comparable s 91501 RECORDED OI  LARGER THAN THE ABLE SEARCH PARAI S ANALYSIS. \$150 P 000 CARPORT SPAC  MOST SIMILAR IN SI  500,000  1,500,000  C UE OF THIS TYPE OF EA.  completion per plans alterations on the basi	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,  ZE ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if development). The CO and specifications of a hypothetical of	PARCE 05/05// ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500  MOST WEIGHT  eloped) \$ 1,273,1 ST APPROACH IS ME	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2  EL QUEST 2024  ORDS INDICATE THE  SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 2200  O CENTRAL HEAT \$  CONTRAL HEAT \$  CONTRAL SUPPORTIVE. 1	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  D SQ FT THE ADJUS  5000 CENTRAL A/C  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  COMPA	SALE#3 SMALLER IMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject proportion of the subject of the subject of the subject to following repairs or a subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject to subject to following repairs or a subject to subject subject to subject s	r sale or transfer history JBJECT  r sale or transfer history JBJECT  r i  perty and comparable s 91501 RECORDED OI  LARGER THAN THE ABLE SEARCH PARAI S ANALYSIS. \$150 P 000 CARPORT SPAC  MOST SIMILAR IN SI  500,000  1,500,000  C UE OF THIS TYPE OF EA.  completion per plans alterations on the basi	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,  ZE ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if development). The CO and specifications of a hypothetical of	PARCE 05/05// ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500  MOST WEIGHT  eloped) \$ 1,273,1 ST APPROACH IS ME	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2  EL QUEST 2024  ORDS INDICATE THE  SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 2200  O CENTRAL HEAT \$  CONTRAL HEAT \$  CONTRAL SUPPORTIVE. 1	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  D SQ FT THE ADJUS  5000 CENTRAL A/C  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  COMPA	SALE#3 SMALLER IMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did did did did did di	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject proportion of the subject of the subject of the subject to following repairs or a sed on the extraordina subject to	r sale or transfer history JBJECT  I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,  ZE ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if development) Approach (i	PARCE 05/05// ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500  MOST WEIGHT  Ploped) \$ 1,273,1 ST APPROACH IS ME In the basis of a hyperondition that the reparency does not require	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2  EL QUEST 2024  ORDS INDICATE THE  SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 220  O CENTRAL HEAT \$  CONTROL	sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  D SQ FT THE ADJUS  5000 CENTRAL A/C  TOACH (if developed) \$  THE INCOME APPROA  at the improvements of been completed, or	SALE#3 SMALLER TIMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL  ACH IS N/A DUE TO  have been  subject to the
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did did Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  OCCURRED ON UNKNOWN (GR  Summary of Sales Comparison Ap  THAN THE SUBJECT, THE AI  DERIVED FROM THE MARKET  CONDITION \$50,000 GARAGE  SALE#1,3 ARE THE MOST RI  Indicated Value by Sales Comparis  Indicated Value by: Sales Comp  THE MARKET APPROACH BEST  THE LACK OF RENTAL DATA OF	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject proportion of the subject of the subject of the subject to following repairs or a sed on the extraordina subject to	r sale or transfer history JBJECT  I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOME: PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,  ZE ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if development) Approach (i	PARCE 05/05// ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500  MOST WEIGHT  Ploped) \$ 1,273,1 ST APPROACH IS ME In the basis of a hyperondition that the reparency does not require	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2  EL QUEST 2024  ORDS INDICATE THE  SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 220  O CENTRAL HEAT \$  CONTROL	sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  D SQ FT THE ADJUS  5000 CENTRAL A/C  TOACH (if developed) \$  THE INCOME APPROA  at the improvements of been completed, or	SALE#3 SMALLER TIMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL  ACH IS N/A DUE TO  have been  subject to the
Data Source(s)  My research did did did did Data Source(s)  Report the results of the research a ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi  OCCURRED ON UNKNOWN (GR  Summary of Sales Comparison Ap  THAN THE SUBJECT, THE AI  DERIVED FROM THE MARKET  CONDITION \$50,000 GARAGE  SALE#1,3 ARE THE MOST RI  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Indicated Value by: Sales Comp  THE MARKET APPROACH BEST  THE LACK OF RENTAL DATA OI  This appraisal is made "as completed, subject to the	PARCEL QUEST  O5/05/2024 istory of the subject proportion of the subject of the subject of the subject to following repairs or a sed on the extraordina subject to	r sale or transfer history JBJECT  r sale or transfer history JBJECT  r i  perty and comparable s  91501 RECORDED OI  LARGER THAN THE ABLE SEARCH PARAI S ANALYSIS. \$150 P  DOO CARPORT SPAC  MOST SIMILAR IN SI  500,000  1,500,000  C UE OF THIS TYPE OF EA.  completion per plans alterations on the basi ary assumption that the as of the subject p  o) opinion of the ma	of the subject property COMPARABLE S.  PARCEL QUEST D5/05/2024 ales COR N 2/1/1993)  SUBJECT, SALE#2 METERS ARE HOMES PER SQ FT \$100,000 E,  ZE ARE GIVEN THE Cost Approach (if deverage) Approach (if deverage) Approach (if deverage) Cost Approach (if deverage) Approach (if deverage) Cost Approach (if deverage)	PARCE 05/05// ELOGIC PUBLIC REC  MOST SIMILAR IN S IN THE NEIGHBOR D CONDITION, \$500  MOST WEIGHT  Ploped) \$ 1,273,1 ST APPROACH IS ME In the basis of a hyperondition that the reparency does not require	(report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2  EL QUEST 2024  ORDS INDICATE THE  SIZE, HAS A POOL I HOOD 1300 TO 220  O CENTRAL HEAT \$  CONTROL	Sales on page 3).  COMPA  O2/11/2022 \$0  PARCEL QUE  O5/05/2024  SUBJECT'S MOST RI  D SQ FT THE ADJUS  5000 CENTRAL A/C  TOACH (if developed) \$  THE INCOME APPROA  at the improvements of been completed, or  atternent of assumpt subject of this repo	SALE#3 SMALLER TIMENTS ARE \$20,000 POOL  ACH IS N/A DUE TO  have been  subject to the

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Page 2 of 6

# Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 57407 2405-05

57407

THE INTENDED USER OF THIS APPRAISAL IS THE LENDER/CLIENT & IT SUCCESSORS 8	ASSIGNS. THE INTENDED USER	IS TO EVALUA	TE THE PROPERTY	THAT IS	THE
SUBJECT OF THIS APPRAISAL FOR A MORTGAGE FINANCE TRANSACTION SUBJECT TO REQUIREMENTS OF THIS APPRAISAL REPORT FORM & DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE					<b>G</b>
THE EFFECTIVE AGE IS LOWER THAN THE ACTUAL AGE DUE TO ROUTINE MAINTENANCE	E & UPKEEP PROLONGING THE I	ESTIMATED R	EMAINING ECONOM	C LIFE.	
The address reported on the appraisal form is according to US Postal Service records and the title report may or may not match to USPS records".	as required by UAD format. Th	e title compa	ny reports the city o	r county	address
I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the preceding acceptance of this assignment.	property that is the subject of t	this report wit	thin the three-year p	eriod im	ımediately
GOOT ADDROAGUTO VALUE	(red construction of the Property Man)				
	(not required by Fannie Mae)				
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	NS.	factors deriv	ed from Marshall-S	wift	The
	ns. nating site value) Cost		ed from Marshall-St	vift.	The
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimates).	ns. nating site value) Cost		ed from Marshall-St	vift.	The
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements).	nating site value)  Cost actions process) the comparable		ed from Marshall-St		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements).	ns. mating site value)  Cost actions process) the comparable  OPINION OF SITE VALUE	es used.		_=\$	1,100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical	ns. mating site value) Cost actions process) the comparable  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,687	s used.	ed from Marshall-St	_=\$	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements).	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,687	Sq.Ft. @ \$		_ =\$ _ =\$	1,100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to i	ns.  mating site value) Cost  actions process) the comparable  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,687  Garage/Carport 360	s used.		=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	1,100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using	ns.  mating site value) Cost  actions process) the comparable  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,687  Garage/Carport 360  Total Estimate of Cost-New	es used.  y Sq.Ft. @ \$ y Sq.Ft. @ \$ y Sq.Ft. @ \$	325.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical  Cost  Cost	Sq.Ft. @ \$	325.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Descriptions  Process  Cost  Cost	es used.  y Sq.Ft. @ \$ y Sq.Ft. @ \$ y Sq.Ft. @ \$	325.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical  Cost  Cost	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	325.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used  NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Depreciation  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	325.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements of cost data of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost data of	DPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Depreciation  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	325.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements of cost data of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost data of cost data improvements of cost data of	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  480,513  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	325.00 15.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data marshall-swift  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data marshall-swift  Quality rating from cost service average Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from marshall-swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used  NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  30 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE SET TO VALUE AND TO	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Depreciation  Depreciation  Tas-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	325.00 15.00 External	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data marshall-swift  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data marshall-swift  Quality rating from cost service average Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from marshall-swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used  NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  30 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE SET TO VALUE AND TO	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  480,513  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	325.00 15.00 External	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used  NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  30 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOMINATION INCOMINAT	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Depreciation  Depreciation  Tas-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	325.00 15.00 External	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used no external or functional depreciation  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  Incominator of the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?	DPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Depreciation  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE  FOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Destached	Sq.Ft. @ \$ COACH  EDUE TO LAC	15.00  External  Indicated Val	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used no external or functional depreciation  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  ago Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOMINATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as	DPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Depreciation  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE  FOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Destached	Sq.Ft. @ \$ COACH  EDUE TO LAC	15.00  External  Indicated Val	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used no external or functional depreciation  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  ago Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOMINATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project	DPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  A80,513  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached and the subject property is an attached	Sq.Ft. @ \$ COACH  EDUE TO LAC	15.00  External  Indicated Val	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data MARSHALL-SWIFT  Quality rating from cost service AVERAGE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used  NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  30 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION (Stimated Monthly Market Rent Summary of Project Total number of phases  Total number of units	Depreciated Cost of Improvements    Notice   Cost	Sq.Ft. @ \$ COACH  EDUE TO LAC	15.00  External  Indicated Val	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost data improvements of cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used no external or functional depreciation  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  ago Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOMINATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project	DPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New  Less  Physical  Depreciation  A80,513  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached and the subject property is an attached	Sq.Ft. @ \$ COACH  EDUE TO LAC	15.00  External  Indicated Val	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data MARSHALL-SWIFT  Quality rating from cost service AVERAGE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used  NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  30 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier (Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multipl	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical Depreciation  TAS-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE  FOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached and the subject property is an attached  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)	Sq.Ft. @ \$ COACH  EDUE TO LAC	15.00  External  Indicated Val	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data improvements or cost data improvements or cost data improvements or cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used  NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including Support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented  Total number of units for sale  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units?  Yes No Data Source(s)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical Depreciation  TAS-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE  FOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached and the subject property is an attached  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Concional  Coach  E DUE TO LAC  Attach  d dwelling unit.	15.00  External  Indicated Val	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data improvements or cost data improvements or cost data improvements or cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used  NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Including Support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented  Total number of units for sale  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units?  Yes No Data Source(s)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Depreciation  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached the subject property is an attached  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Concional  Coach  E DUE TO LAC  Attach  d dwelling unit.	15.00  External  Indicated Val	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstration of cost data MARSHALL-SWIFT  Quality rating from cost service AVERAGE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used  NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  30 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME HAVE SOLD  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project  Total number of units for sale  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Yes  Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units?  Yes  No Data Source(s)  Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete?  Yes  No	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical Depreciation  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE  FOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached the subject property is an attached  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion  If No, describe the status of comple	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Attach d dwelling unit.	15.00  External  Indicated Val	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data marshall-swift  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data marshall-swift  Quality rating from cost service AVERAGE Effective date of cost data 2/1/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used  NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project  Total number of units for sale  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Data Source(s)  Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Depreciation  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO  E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached the subject property is an attached  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Attach d dwelling unit.	15.00  External  Indicated Val	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION.  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by (using the abstractions process) the comparables used  NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (Income Approach (	OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  Garage/Carport  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical Depreciation  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPRO E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE  FOR PUDS (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached the subject property is an attached  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion  If No, describe the status of comple	Sq.Ft. @ \$ Attach d dwelling unit.	15.00  External  Indicated Val	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$( =\$ =\$	1,100,000 548,275 5,400 553,675 480,513) 73,162 100,000 1,273,162

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

### Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 2

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

## Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 57407

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

### Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Fil

- 57407 File # 2405-0
- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER A P R	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Joseph /P BALDINO	Name
Company Name REAL ESTATE APPRAISER	Company Name
Company Address 3101 MESA VERDE DR	Company Address
BURBANK, CA 91504	
Telephone Number (818) 768-6147	Telephone Number
Email Address <u>Joeappraiser11@aol.com</u>	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 05/05/2024	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/04/2024	State Certification #
State Certification # AR001957	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State ca	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/12/2025	SUBJECT PROPERTY
	Did not inapport outgries of authiest property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
11643 Otsego St	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
North Hollywood, CA 91601-3628	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 1,500,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name wedgewood inc	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach BL, Suite 100, REDONDO	Date of Inspection
BEACH, CA 90276	
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

**Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report** 

57407

File # 2405-05 FEATURE COMPARABLE SALE # 4 COMPARABLE SALE # 6 Address 12353 Hesby St 11851 Otsego St 11643 Otsego St 11538 Hartsook St Valley Village, CA 91607-3017 North Hollywood, CA 91601-3628 North Hollywood, CA 91601-3613 Valley Village, CA 91607-3222 Proximity to Subject 0.90 miles W 0.20 miles E 0.27 miles W Sale Price \$ 1,290,000 1,760,000 1,925,000 Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area sa.ft. \$ 1\$ **691.32** Sq.ft. 973.45 Sq.ft. 919.29 Sq.ft. Data Source(s) CRMLSMLS#23291587;DOM 9 CRMLSMLS#22350333;DOM 11 CRMLSMLS#23302907;DOM 9 Verification Source(s) PARCEL 0#47508 562-F-3 PARCEL 0#331611 562-H-2 PARCEL 0#638236 562-G-3 VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment Sales or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth Concessions Conv;0 Conv;1000 Conv;0 Date of Sale/Time s11/23;c10/23 s05/23;c04/23 s09/23;c08/23 Location N:Res: N:Res: N:Res: N:Res: Leasehold/Fee Simple 0 FEE Fee Simple 0 FEE Site 6070 sf 0 6752 sf 0 8105 sf 0 7276 sf View N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; Design (Style) DT1:TRADITIONAL DT1:MID CENTURY 0 DT2;TRADITIONAL 0 DT1;TRADITIONAL Quality of Construction 04 04 04 04 Actual Age 69 0 88 0 88 77 Condition C3 C3 C5 +100,000 C3 Above Grade Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Room Count 4 2.0 3 2.0 Gross Living Area 1,808 sq.ft. 2,094 Sq.ft. 1.687 sq.ft. 1.866 sq.ft. -26.900 -18.200 -61.100 Basement & Finished 0sf 0sf 0sf Osf Rooms Below Grade Functional Utility **AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE** Heating/Cooling FAU/CENT FAU/CENT **Energy Efficient Items** NONE NONE NONE NONE Garage/Carport 2cp2dw 2gd2dw 0 2gd3dw +10,000 2ga2dw +10,000 Porch/Patio/Deck NONE OPEN PATIO -5,000 OPEN PATIO -5,000 NONE POOL-SPA NONE POOL -20,000 POOL -20,000 NONE Net Adjustment (Total) **X** + X \$ 48,100 -33,200 \$ -51,100 Adjusted Sale Price Net Adi. 3.7 % Net Adi. 1.9 % Net Adi 2.7 % 1,338,100 Gross Adj. of Comparables Gross Adj. 11.8 % |\$ 3.0 % |\$ 1.726.800 Gross Adj 3.7 % | \$ 1.873.900 Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITFM COMPARABLE SALE # 4 COMPARABLE SALE # 5 COMPARABLE SALE # 6 **SUBJECT** Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) PARCEL QUEST PARCEL QUEST **PARCEL QUEST** PARCEL OUEST Effective Date of Data Source(s) 05/05/2024 05/05/2024 05/05/2024 05/05/2024 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales THESE SALES HAVE TRANSFERRED AS STATED ABOVE Analysis/Comments SALE#4 LARGER THAN THE SUBJECT HAS POOL LESSER CONDIGN. SALE#5 LARGER THAN THE SUBJECT, HAS POOL SALE#6 LARGER THAN THE SUBJECT THE APPRAISER HAS ATTEMPTED TO MEET LENDER GUIDELINES FOR ADJUSTED RANGE OF COMP VALUE OF LESS THAN 25% OF THE FINAL VALUE OF THE SUBJECT. DUE TO THE LACK OF SUITABLE COMPS CAUSED BY THE SLOW REAL ESTATE MARKET THE OVERALL RANGE OF ADJUSTED COMPS IS WIDER THAT DESIRED THE SEARCH AREA WAS WIDENED TO 2 MILES & GONE BACK 18 MONTHS TO FIND SUITABLE SALES WITHIN THE GUIDELINES BUT WAS UNABLE TO DO SO. WE HAVE PROVIDED THE BEST COMPS AVAILABLE FOR THIS SUBJECT MAKING THE RANGE OF VALUE UNAVOIDABLE

**Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report** 

**57407** File No. **2405-05** 

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl				prevalent in th	subje	ect		
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraid Property Address  11643 Otsego St	isal reports with an effective	e date on or after April 1, 2 City North Holl		State CA		ZIP Code 916	01 2	600
Borrower REDWOOD HOLDINGS		Only North Holl	ywooa	Olale CA		Zii 0000 <b>916</b>	U1-3	628
<b>Instructions:</b> The appraiser must use the information req	uired on this form as the b	pasis for his/her conclusion	ns, and must provide support	for those cor	clusion	ns, regarding		
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported	in the Neighborhood secti	ion of the appraisal report t	form. The appraiser must fill	in all the infor	nation	to the extent		
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as ir					•			
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will								
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required inform	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	_	-	-		
average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal				sed by a prosp	ective	buyer of the		
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months	1		Overall Trend		
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	17	8	4	Increa	ing	Stable	X	Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	2.83	2.67	1.33	Increa	ing [	Stable	_	Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	3	0	4	Declin	ng [	Stable	X	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	1.1	0.7	3.0	Declin	ng [	Stable	X	Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months	<u> </u>		Overall Trend	_	
Median Comparable Sale Price	1350000	1,220 ,000	1,291,000	Increa:	_	Stable	Щ	Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market  Median Comparable List Price	11	36	9	➤ Declin		Stable Stable	H	Increasing Declining
Median Comparable List Price  Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	1,595,000 57	N/A N/A	1,772,000 6	➤ Declin		Stable		Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	100	97	101	Increa		Stable Stable	H	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p			101	Declin	_	➤ Stable	H	Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas			n 3% to 5%, increasing use o	f buydowns,				
fees, options, etc.). <b>CONCESSIONS HAVE BEEN</b>	MINIMAL IN THE PAST	YEAR & HAVE NOT CHA	ANGED MUCH. BUYERS V	WANT A LOW	ER PR	RICE RATHER	ГНАМ	ANY
CONCESSIONS. CONCESSIONS ARE MORE PREVA	LENT IN THE LOWER VA	LUE RANGES.						
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?	? Yes 🗶 No	o If you evaluin (inclue	ding the trends in listings and	calco of force	locod	nronortios)		
,				Sales of fore	10560	properties).		
REO/FORECLOSURE SALES ARE NOT A FACTOR IN	THE MARKET AT THIS I	IIWE. UVERALL PERGEN	VIAGE LESS THAN 1/2%					
Cite data sources for above information. CRMLS.	, CLAW 1300 TO 2200	SQ FT						
Cite data sources for above information.	, CLAW 1300 TO 2200	SQ FT						
Summarize the above information as support for your co	nclusions in the Neighborh	nood section of the apprais						
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo	th an explanation and suppor	t for your cor	clusion	18.		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you CORY ANALYSIS GRID &	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST	th an explanation and suppor	t for your cor <b>Ratio Gri</b>	clusior OS IND	IS. Dicate a st <i>i</i>		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN TH	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you ORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo Average Sale & List T are comparable to	th an explanation and suppor  Price Dom & List Sale  The Subject Propert	t for your cor Ratio Gri Y. Howevei	clusion S IND THIS	NS. DICATE A STA IS NOT CONC		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you ORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo Average Sale & List T are comparable to	th an explanation and suppor  Price Dom & List Sale  The Subject Propert	t for your cor Ratio Gri Y. Howevei	clusion S IND THIS	NS. DICATE A STA IS NOT CONC		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN TH	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you ORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo Average Sale & List T are comparable to	th an explanation and suppor  Price Dom & List Sale  The Subject Propert	t for your cor Ratio Gri Y. Howevei	clusion S IND THIS	NS. DICATE A STA IS NOT CONC		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN TH	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you ORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo Average Sale & List T are comparable to	th an explanation and suppor  Price Dom & List Sale  The Subject Propert	t for your cor Ratio Gri Y. Howevei	clusion S IND THIS	NS. DICATE A STA IS NOT CONC		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN TH	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you ORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo Average Sale & List T are comparable to	th an explanation and suppor  Price Dom & List Sale  The Subject Propert	t for your cor Ratio Gri Y. Howevei	clusion S IND THIS	NS. DICATE A STA IS NOT CONC		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN TH	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you ORY ANALYSIS GRID & IE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo Average Sale & List T are comparable to	th an explanation and suppor  Price Dom & List Sale  The Subject Propert	t for your cor Ratio Gri Y. Howevei	clusion S IND THIS	NS. DICATE A STA IS NOT CONC		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent in the past 12 months for properties in the evidence that all other properties in the invention of the properties in the properties in the invention of the properties in th	inclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are m	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale ) The subject propert Of Property That is C	t for your cor E ratio Gri Y. Howevei Omparable	clusion S IND THIS	NS. DICATE A STA IS NOT CONC		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent in the past 12 months for properties in the evidence that all other properties is a unit in a condominium or cooperative properties.	inclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are m	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE O THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C	t for your cor E ratio Gri Y. Howevei Omparable	clusion S IND THIS	NS. DICATE A STA IS NOT CONC		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent in the past 12 months for properties in the evidence that all other properties in the invention of the properties in the properties in the invention of the properties in th	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are M oroject, complete the follow	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale ) The subject propert Of Property That is C	t for your cor E ratio Gri Y. Howevei Omparable	clusion DS IND THIS TO TI	DICATE A STA IS NOT CONC HE SUBJECT		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE INTERPROPERTIES INTERPROPERTIES INTERPROPERTIES INTERPROPERTIES INTERPROPERTIES INTERPROPERTIES INTERPROPERTIES INTERPROPERTIES INTERPROPERTIES INTERP	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are M oroject, complete the follow	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE O THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C	t for your cor E RATIO GRII Y. HOWEVEI OMPARABLE	ing [	DICATE A STA IS NOT CONC HE SUBJECT  Overall Trend		VE
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE SUBJECT STATE OF ACTION OF THE SUBJECT Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are M oroject, complete the follow	nood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo AVERAGE SALE & LIST T ARE COMPARABLE TO MIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE O THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C	RATIO GRII Y. HOWEVEI OMPARABLE  Name: Increa Increa Declin	ing [	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Froject Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are m  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Froject Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are m  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE O THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Froject Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are m  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Froject Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are m  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Froject Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are m  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Froject Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are m  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Froject Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are m  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Froject Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood that neighborhood are m  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Froject Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project?	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & le neighborhood that neighborhood are M  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & le neighborhood that neighborhood are M  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & le neighborhood that neighborhood are M  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & le neighborhood that neighborhood are M  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & le neighborhood that neighborhood are M  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & le neighborhood that neighborhood are M  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & le neighborhood that neighborhood are M  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & le neighborhood that neighborhood are M  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENTIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE SUBject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood than neighborhood are m  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No	wing:  Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the nut.	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE ) THE SUBJECT PROPERT OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature  Appraiser Name  JOSEPH P BALDINO  Company Name  REAL ESTATE APPRAISER	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & ie neighborhood than neighborhood are m  project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  Yes No	wing: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the number of the supervisory of Company Na	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months  umber of REO listings and ex  Appraiser Name me	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature  Appraiser Name  JOSEPH P BALDINO  Company Name  REAL ESTATE APPRAISER  Company Address  3101 MESA VERDE DR, BUR	project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months  The subject unit and project and project with a subject unit and project subject subject unit and project subject sub	wing: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the number of the supervisory of Company Address	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months  umber of REO listings and ex  Appraiser Name me dress	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable stable stable stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)  Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact on  Signature  Appraiser Name  OSEPH P BALDINO  Company Name  REAL ESTATE APPRAISER	nclusions in the Neighborh vn listings, to formulate you complete the follow prior 7–12 Months  The subject unit and project the sub	wing: Prior 4–6 Months  If yes, indicate the number of the supervisory of Company Address	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE D THE SUBJECT PROPERTY OF PROPERTY THAT IS C  Project N Current – 3 Months  umber of REO listings and ex  Appraiser Name me	Name:  Increa  Increa  Declin  Declin	ing [ ing [ ]	Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing

### **Appraiser Independence Certification**

I do hereby certify, I have followed the appraiser independence safeguards in compliance with Appraisal Independence and any applicable state laws I may be required to comply with. This includes but is not limited to the following:

- I am currently licensed and/or certified by the state in which the property to be appraised is located My license is the appropriate license for the appraisal assignment(s) and is reflected on the appraisal report.
- I certify that there have been no sanctions against me for any reason that would impair my ability to perform appraisals pursuant to the required guidelines.

	to perform appraisals pursuant to the required guidelines.
or any compa to influ	t that no employee, director, officer, or agent of
	er assert that <u>Clear Capital</u> has never participated in any of the grohibited behavior in our business relationship:
1)	Withholding or threatening to withhold timely payment or partial payment for an appraisal report
2)	Withholding or threatening to withhold future business with me, or demoting or terminating o threatening to demote or terminate me;
3)	Expressly or impliedly promising future business, promotions, or increased compensation fo myself;
4)	Conditioning the ordering of my appraisal report or the payment of my appraisal fee or salary o bonus on the opinion, conclusion, or valuation to be reached, or on a preliminary value estimate requested from me;

- 5) Requesting that I provide an estimated, predetermined, or desired valuation in an appraisal report prior to the completion of the appraisal report, or requesting that I provide estimated values or comparable sales at any time prior to my completion of an appraisal report;
- 6) Provided me an anticipated, estimated, encouraged, or desired value for a subject property or a proposed or target amount to be loaned to the borrower, except that a copy of the sales contract for purchase transactions may be provided;
- 7) Provided to me, or my appraisal company, or any entity or person related to me as appraiser, appraisal company, stock or other financial or non-financial benefits;
- 8) Any other act or practice that impairs or attempts to impair my independence, objectivity, or impartiality or violates law or regulation, including, but not limited to, the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) and Regulation Z, or the USPAP.

augh P. Balchia		
Nullo I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	05/05/2024	
Signature 7	Date	
JOSEPH P BALDINO	AR001957	
Appraiser's Name	State License or Certification #	
IFA	02/12/2025	CA
State Title or Designation	Expiration Date of License or Certification	State
44040 Oberes Ob North Hellewood OA 04004 0000		

11643 Otsego St, North Hollywood, CA 91601-3628

Address of Property Appraised

### **Supplemental Addendum**

		ouppionionital Addonadin	1110	No. <b>2403-03</b>
Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	11643 Otsego St			
City	North Hollywood	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91601-3628
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			

File No 2405 OF

THIS IS AN APPRAISAL REPORT NOT A SUMMARY REPORT AS STATED ON THE PREPROGRAMMED APPRAISAL FORM NO PERSONAL PROPERTY WAS INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT

I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

HIGHEST & BEST USE WAS DETERMINED AS IMPROVED BASED ON ITS CURRENT USE BEING LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE. PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE & MOST PROFITABLE

EXPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT IS 1 TO 3 MONTHS. EXPOSURE TIME IS THE LENGTH OF TIME THE PROPERTY INTEREST BEING APPRAISED WOULD HAVE BEEN OFFERED ON THE MARKET PRIOR TO THE HYPOTHETICAL CONSUMMATION OF A SALE AT THE VALUE ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL. IT IS A RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS BASED ON AN ANALYSIS OF PAST EVENTS ASSUMING A COMPETITIVE & OPEN MARKET. EXPOSURE TIME IS ALWAYS PRESUMED TO OCCUR PRIOR TO EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL.

THE APPRAISER'S OFFICE IS WITHIN 15 MILES OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. IN THE PAST 5 YEARS I HAVE COMPLETED 10 TO 15 APPRAISALS A YEAR IN THIS AREA. I HAVE ACCESS TO CLAW MLS & CRMLS THE 2 DOMINANT MLS SERVICES IN THE AREA. I LIVE IN THE SUBJECT COUNTY & HAVE PERFORMED APPRAISALS IN THE ARE FOR OVER 45 YEARS.

NO EMPLOYEE, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OR AGENT OF THE LENDER, OR ANY OTHER THIRD PARTY ACTING AS A JOINT VENTURE PARTNER, INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR, APPRAISAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY, OR PARTNER ON BEHALF OF THE LENDER, SHALL INFLUENCE OR ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DEVELOPMENT, REPORTING RESULT OR REVIEW OF AN APPRAISAL THROUGH COERCION, EXTORTION, COLLUSION, COMPENSATION, INSTRUCTION, INDUCEMENT, INTIMIDATION, BRIBERY OR IN ANY OTHER MANNER.

I HAVE NOT BEEN CONTACTED BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE INTENDED USER(LENDER/CLIENT AS IDENTIFIED ON THE FIRST PAGE OF THE REPORT), BORROWER, OR DESIGNATED CONTACT TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO ENTER THE PROPERTY. I AGREE TO IMMEDIATELY REPORT ANY UNAUTHORIZED CONTACTS EITHER PERSONALLY BY PHONE OR ELECTRONICALLY TO CLEAR CAPITAL

RELATIONSHIP TO PREDOMINANT VALUE HAS NO RELATION TO MARKETABILITY. THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR IS THE CONDITION & THEN SIZE OF THE PROPERTY NO MATTER HOW DIFFERENT IT IS FROM THE PREDOMINANT. CONDITION & SIZE OF THE PROPERTY IS WHAT MATTERS

### **Subject Photo Page**

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS					·		
Property Address	11643 Otsego St							
City	North Hollywood	County	LOS ANGELES	State	CA	Zip Code	91601-3628	
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC							



### **Subject Front**

11643 Otsego St

Sales Price

 Gross Living Area
 1,687

 Total Rooms
 6

 Total Bedrooms
 2

 Total Bathrooms
 2.0

 Location
 N;Res;

 View
 N;Res;

 Site
 7276 sf

 Quality
 Q4

 Age
 77



### **Subject Rear**



### **Subject Street**

### **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	11643 Otsego St			
City	North Hollywood	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91601-3628
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



### **Comparable 1**

### 11750 Hesby St

Prox. to Subject 0.17 miles SW Sale Price 1,654,500 Gross Living Area 1,866 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 2 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 8794 sf Quality Q4 Age 85



### Comparable 2

### 12128 Huston St

Prox. to Subject 0.66 miles SW Sale Price 1,300,000 Gross Living Area 1,654 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 5979 sf Quality Q4 Age 69



### Comparable 3

### 12432 La Maida St

Prox. to Subject 0.95 miles SW Sale Price 1,550,000 Gross Living Area 1,554 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6243 sf Quality Q4 Age 71

### **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS							
Property Address	11643 Otsego St			<u> </u>				
City	North Hollywood	County	LOS ANGELES	State	CA	Zip Code	91601-3628	
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC							



### Comparable 4

### 12353 Hesby St

Prox. to Subject 0.90 miles W Sales Price 1,290,000 Gross Living Area 1,866 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6070 sf Quality **Q**4 Age 69



### Comparable 5

### 11538 Hartsook St

Prox. to Subject 0.20 miles E Sales Price 1,760,000 Gross Living Area 1,808 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6752 sf Quality Q4 Age 88



### Comparable 6

### 11851 Otsego St

Prox. to Subject 0.27 miles W Sales Price 1,925,000 Gross Living Area 2,094 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 8105 sf Quality Q4 Age 88

**57407** File No. **2405-05** 

### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

### Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C/

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

### **Quality Ratings and Definitions**

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

### Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

### Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

### Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

### Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and ungrades.

### Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

### Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

### Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

### Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

### Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

### **Explanation of Bathroom Count**

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

### Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

### Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
Α	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk AdjPwr	Adjacent to Park	Location
ArmLth	Adjacent to Power Lines  Arms Length Sale	Location Sale or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
В	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
С	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash Commercial Influence	Sale or Financing Concessions Location
Comm	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
Ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway Series Pete	Garage/Carport
e Fototo	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate FHA	Estate Sale Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
	Garage	Garage/Carport
g ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-in Garage	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
MR Mtn	Mid-rise  Mountain View	Design (Style) View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
0	Other	Design (Style)
ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res RH	Residential USDA - Rural Housing	Location & View Sale or Financing Concessions
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
Woods	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade View
Woods Wtr	Woods View Water View	View
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
- · · ·		



# BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE

Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency

# Joseph P. Baldino

California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title: has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of

"Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser"

This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and

Certification Law.

BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:

AR 001957

Effective Date:
Date Expires:

February 13, 2023 February 12, 2025

Loretta Dillon, Deputy Bureau Chief, BREA





### **LIA Administrators & Insurance Services**

### APPRAISAL AND VALUATION PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICY

DECLARATIONS

### ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

(A stock insurance company herein called the "Company") 499 Washington Blvd, 8th Floor Jersey City, NJ 07310

Date Issued	Policy Number	Previous Policy Number		
02/16/2024	AAI006008-09	AAI006008-08		

THIS IS A **CLAIMS** MADE AND REPORTED POLICY. COVERAGE IS LIMITED TO LIABILITY FOR ONLY THOSE **CLAIMS** THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE **INSURED** DURING THE **POLICY PERIOD** AND THEN REPORTED TO THE COMPANY IN WRITING NO LATER THAN SIXTY (60) DAYS AFTER EXPIRATION OR TERMINATION OF THIS POLICY, OR DURING THE **EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD**, IF APPLICABLE, FOR A **WRONGFUL ACT** COMMITTED ON OR AFTER THE **RETROACTIVE DATE** AND BEFORE THE END OF THE **POLICY PERIOD**. PLEASE READ THE POLICY CAREFULLY.

Item

1. Customer ID: 168108	
Named Insured:	
BALDINO, JOSEPH P.	
3101 Mesa Verde Dr.	
Burbank, CA 91504	
Burbank, CA 91304	
2 Delias Deviado Escar 04/04/2024 Tec. 04/04/2025	
2. Policy Period: From: 04/04/2024 To: 04/04/2025	
12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address stated in 1 above.	
3. Deductible: \$1,000 Each Claim	1
3. Deductible, \$1,000 Each Claim	
4. Retroactive Date: 06/05/2000	
5. Inception Date: 04/04/2016	
6. Limits of Liability: A. \$1,000,000 Each Claim	
<b>B.</b> \$2,000,000 Aggregate	
D. \$2,000,000 Aggregate	
7. Mail all notices, including notice of Claim, to:	
LIA Administrators & Insurance Services	
1600 Anacapa Street	
Santa Barbara, California 93101	
(800) 334-0652; Fax: (805) 962-0652	
(800) 534-0032, Fax. (803) 902-0032	
8. Annual Premium: \$1,087.00	
V2/00/100	
9. Forms attached at issue: LIA002 (12/14) LIA CA (11/1	4) LJA012 (12/14) LJA018 (10/14)
LIA021 (10/14) LIA143 (10/14)	, 201012 (1011)
EHIO21 (10/14) EHII40 (10/14)	
This Declarations Page, together with the completed and signed Policy A	application including all attachments and exhibits thereto, and
the Policy shall constitute the contract between the Named Insured and t	
are a streng state constitute the contract octives the radica matter and t	
02/16/2024 By (	Klie
Date	Authorized Signature
LIA-001 (12/14)	Aspen American Insurance Company

### **PUBLIC RECORDS**

5/4/24, 3:38 PM Property Detail Printout



County Last Updated: 04/19/2024

**Property Location** 

 Address:
 11643 OTSEGO ST
 City:
 NORTH HOLLYWOOD
 Zip:
 91601-3628

 APN#:
 2354-005-043
 Use Code:
 Single Family
 County:
 Los

APN#: 2354-005-043

Use Code: Single Family Residence

County: Los Angeles

Tract: 10323

Census Tract: 1252.00

Zone: LAR1

Map Page/Grid: 562/ H3 Legal Desc: TRACT # 10323 LOT 20

 Total Assessed Value:
 361,569
 Tax Amount:
 4,701.80

 Percent Improvement:
 0.19
 Tax Year / Assessor Year:
 2023 / 2023

**Current Owner Information** 

Current Owner: ROBERT MOORE Owner Address: 11643 OTSEGO ST

City, State, Zip: NORTH HOLLYWOOD, CA, 91601–3628 Owner Occupied: Yes

 Last Transaction:
 08/23/2007
 Deed Type:
 deed of trust

 Amount:
 100,000
 Document:
 0001980464

Last Sale Information

Transferred From: HOUSEHOLD FINANC Seller Address:

Recording / Sale Date: 02/01/1993 / Prior Recording / Sale Date:

Most Recent Sale Price: 180,000 Prior Sale Price:

Document Number: 0000191501 Prior Document No.:

Document Type: grant deed/deed of trust Type:

Lender Information

Lender: SELLER Full/Partial: F

Loan Amount / 2nd Trust Deed: 171,000 / Loan Type: conventional fix

Physical Information

 Building Area:
 1,687
 # of Bedrooms:
 2
 Lot Size Sqft / 7,290 / Acreage:
 0.17

 Additional:
 0
 # of Bathrooms:
 2.00
 Year Built / Effective:
 1947 / 0

 Garage:
 0
 # of Stories:
 1
 Heating:
 Floor/Wall


 First Floor:
 0
 Total Rooms:
 9
 Cooling:

 Second Floor:
 0
 # of Units:
 0
 Roof Type:

©2024 Copyright All Rights Reserved. ParcelQuest www.parcelquestappraise.com

https://www.parcelquestappraise.com/Search/Property\_Detail\_Report.aspx?PID=30809855&FIPS=06037

### **PLAT MAP**



### CMA - Page 1

				Br/Ba	Sqft	LSqft	List I	Price	Sold Pric	e \$/Sq	ft SP/LP
Total Listings: 33 Total on Market: 2 Sold/Exp Ratio: 0.00		Mini	imum: mum: rage: ian:	2/2 3/3	2,154 1,406 1,831 1,828	9,905 1,195 5,796 6,480	\$2,249 \$999 \$1,438 \$1,349	9,000 3,121	\$2,250,00 \$1,040,00 \$1,399,27 \$1,300,00	00 \$588.: 79 \$787.:	24 51
Active Address	City	YeRuilt	Sale Type	List Dat	e Bı	Bath	СДОМ	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Pric
12237 Hesby ST 11726 Huston ST	VVL VVL	1963 1937	STD STD	04/02/20	24 3	2 2	32/32	1,737 2,128	7,293 7,959	\$1,006.91 \$843.52	\$1,749,00 \$1,795,00
				Maxim	um: 3/	2	32	2,128	7,959	\$1,006.91	\$1,795,00
				Minimu		2	2	1,737	7,293	\$843.52	\$1,749,00
				Averag Median		2	17 17	1,933 1,933	7,626 7,626	\$925.21 \$925.21	\$1,772,00 \$1,772,00
Pending											
Address	City	YrBuilt	Sale Type	Contract D	Date Br	Bath	CDOM	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Pri
12325 Addison ST 4945 Morella AV	VVL VVL	1956 1937	STD STD	04/07/20 04/19/20		2 2	3/3 8/8	1,929 2,154	6,502 9,905	\$880.77 \$835.19	\$1,699,0 \$1,799,0
				Maxim	um: 3/	2	8	2,154	9,905	\$880.77	\$1,799,0
				Minimu		2	3	1,929	6,502	\$835.19	\$1,699,0
				Averag Median		2	6 6	2,042 2,042	8,204 8,204	\$857.98 \$857.98	\$1,749,0 \$1,749,0
Closed											
Address	City	YrBuilt	Sale Type	COE Dat	te Br	Bath	CDOM	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Pri
12112 Otsego ST	VVL	2020	STD	12/12/20	23 2	3	9/9	1,687	1,462	\$616.48	\$1,040,0
4744 Farmdale AV	NHLW	1936	STD	08/22/20			35/35	1,406	6,749	\$753.91	\$1,060,0
5334 Irvine AV	VVL	1939	STD	12/05/20		3	53/53	1,727	6,480	\$628.26	\$1,085,0
4928 Whitsett AV	VVL	1976	STD	12/13/20		2	11/11	1,472	6,399	\$784.60	\$1,154,9
4809 Gentry AV	VVL	2015	STD	02/22/20		3	59/59	1,820	1,444	\$634.62	\$1,155,0
11653 Weddington ST 12009 Milan DR	NHLW VVL		STD STD	09/15/20			97/97	1,537	5,999		\$1,160,0
11590 Gallery LN	NHLW	2016 2018	STD	01/11/20 03/07/20		4 4	98/98 3/3	2,006 1,649	1,952 1,262	\$588.24 \$716.80	\$1,180,0 \$1,182,0
12004 Emery Ln	VVL	2016	STD	10/18/20		4	11/11	1,914	2,006	\$619.12	\$1,185,0
4809 Carpenter AV	VVL	2017	STD	10/16/20		4	18/18	1,828	1,195	\$656.46	\$1,200,0
11543 Addison ST	VVL	1938	STD	07/21/20		2	0/0	1,611	6,750	\$744.88	\$1,200,0
12406 La Maida ST	VVL	1953	STD	08/21/20		2	87/87	1,694	6,244	\$737.90	\$1,250,0
4803 Carpenter AV	VVL	2017	STD	01/26/20		4	23/23	1,920	1,332	\$656.77	\$1,261,0
12353 Hesby ST	VVL	1955	STD	11/16/20	23 3	2	9/9	1,866	6,070	\$691.32	\$1,290,0
12128 Huston ST	VVL	1955	PRO	10/11/20	23 3	2	40/112	1,654	5,979	\$785.97	\$1,300,0
4828 Radford AV	VVL	1938	PRO	11/01/20	23 2	2	68/68	1,787	6,999	\$744.82	\$1,331,0
12002 Milan DR	VVL	2016	STD	08/28/20	23 3	4	7/7	2,051	1,941	\$658.22	\$1,350,0
12317 Hartsook ST	VVL	1940	STD	09/29/20	23 4	2	7/7	1,940	7,604	\$706.70	\$1,371,0
4700 Vantage AV	VVL	1949	STD	07/14/20		2	6/13	1,505	7,114	\$913.62	\$1,375,0
12440 Addison ST	VVL	1967	STD	02/22/20		3	10/10	1,994	6,474	\$702.11	\$1,400,0
12335 Otsego ST	VVL	1938	STD	10/10/20		2	75/75	2,117	7,897	\$675.48	\$1,430,0
12432 La Maida ST	VVL	1953	STD	01/09/20		2	48/48	1,554	6,243	\$997.43	\$1,550,0
11750 Hesby ST	VVL	1939	STD	03/06/20		2	7/7	1,866	8,794	\$886.47	\$1,654,1
12222 Morrison ST	VVL	1941	STD	05/22/20		2	7/7	1,741	9,800	\$976.45	\$1,700,0
11538 Hartsook ST	VVL	1936	STD	05/19/20	23 4	2	11/11	1,808	6,752	\$973.45	\$1,760,0

### CMA - Page 2

4921 Agnes AV	VVL	1935	STD	06/27/2023	3	2	49/49	2,030	7,152	\$899.01	\$1,825,000
11851 Otsego ST	VVL	1936	STD	09/22/2023	3	2	9/9	2,094	8,105	\$919.29	\$1,925,000
4935 Agnes AV	VVL	1935	STD	01/03/2024	5	4	57/57	2,107	7,152	\$927.86	\$1,955,000
12324 La Maida	VVL	1940	STD	10/26/2023	4	3	1/1	2,102	6,275	\$1,070.41	\$2,250,000
				Maximum:	5	4	112	2,117	9,800	\$1,070.41	\$2,250,000
				Minimum:	2	2	0	1,406	1,195	\$588.24	\$1,040,000
				Average:	3	3	34	1,810	5,504	\$773.15	\$1,399,279
				Median:	3	2	13	1,820	6,399	\$744.82	\$1,300,000

**Criteria:**Property Type is 'Residential' Standard Status is one of 'Active', 'Act Under Contract', 'Pending' Standard Status is 'Closed' Contract Status Change Date is 05/04/2024 to 05/05/2023 Property Sub Type is 'Single Family Residence' Living Area is 1300 to 2200 Latitude, Longitude is around 34.16, -118.39

Residential Quick CMA Page 2 of 2

Printed By Joseph Baldino CalBRE: AR001957 on 5/04/24

### **Location Map**

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	11643 Otsego St			
City	North Hollywood	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91601-3628
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



### **AERIAL PHOTO**

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	11643 Otsego St			
City	North Hollywood	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91601-3628
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			

