File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	The purpose of this summery energical read	art is to provide the lander/elient with a	accurate, and adequately suppo	arted eninion of the market value	of the aubicat property
	The purpose of this summary appraisal reports Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St	Off IS to provide the lender/chem with a	City Playa Del R		
	• •	LLC Owner of Dublic December			
	Borrower Redwood Holdings I		REDWOOD HOLDI	NGS LLC County	Los Angeles
	Legal Description TRACT NO 9671 LC	J1 140	- .,	0000 0.5.7	* 45 500
H	Assessor's Parcel # 4114-017-023		Tax Year		es \$ 15,586
М	Neighborhood Name Playa Del Rey		Map Reference	55/F1 Census T	
굸		Vacant Special Assessments \$	0 PUD	HOA\$ 0	per year per month
5	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simp				
ဟ	Assignment Type Purchase Transacti		Other (describe) Loan Service		
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc			d Suite 100, Redondo Beac	<u> </u>
	Is the subject property currently offered for	r sale or has it been offered for sale in t	ne twelve months prior to the effe	ctive date of this appraisal? X	Yes No
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price	e(s), and date(s). DOM 14;Subject	was listed on 04/18/2024 f	for \$1,597,500. It sold on 06	/05/2024 for
	\$1,850,000;CRMLS#24380683;				
	I did did not analyze the contra	act for sale for the subject purchase tra	nsaction. Explain the results of th	e analysis of the contract for sale	or why the analysis was not
H	performed.				
S A					
₽	Contract Price \$ Date or	of Contract Is the propert	y seller the owner of public record	d? Yes No Data Source	ce(s)
Ξ	Is there any financial assistance (loan char	• •	•		
Ö	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and do				
J					
	Note: Race and the racial composition of	of the neighborhood are not appraisa	I factors		
	Neighborhood Characteristics		Unit Housing Trends	One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
	Location Urban X Suburban			Declining PRICE AGE	One-Unit 85 %
	Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75%	i			2-4 Unit 00 %
ŏ				OverSupply \$ (000) (yrs)	
Y	Growth Rapid X Stable	Slow Marketing Time X U		Over6mths 950 Low 17	Multi-Family 5 %
ö	Neighborhood Boundaries Culver Blvd		is to the south. Lincoln Bl		Commercial 5 %
m	the east. Pershing Dr is to the wes			1,950 Pred. 17	Other Vac/Prk 5 %
工	Neighborhood Description Subject neigh	nborhood is within 1-2 miles from educ	ational, retail, and employment	districts and all consumer suppo	rt facilities including public
$\ddot{}$	transportation, and freeway. Neighborho	ood employment stability, property co	mpatibility and the protection fro	om detrimental conditions as wel	l as the adequacy of public
Z	utilities, including police and fire protect	tion, are in the marketplace.			
	Market Conditions (including support for th	ne above conclusions) Values in the	market area were relativel	y stable at the time of inspe	ction. There was
	fluctuation of median prices. Howe	ever, this was typical in the mark	et area.		
	Dimensions 45x10	09 Area	4905 sf Shape	Rectangular View	N;Res;
	Specific Zoning Classification	R1 Zoning Desc	ription Single Family Reside	ential-5K sqft min lot	
		al Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use)	No Zoning Illegal (des	cribe)	
	Is the highest and best use of subject prope	erty as improved (or as proposed per p			describe. The highest
	and best use meets legal permissib				
	Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public Othe	•	ff-site ImprovementsType	Public Private
ш	Electricity X	Water X		Pvd	X
S	Gas X	Sanitary Sewer X	Alley	None	
		es X No FEMA Flood Zone X	FEMA Map # 060		Date 09/26/2008
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvement				
	Are there any adverse site conditions or ex			nd uses, etc.)? Yes X No	If Yes, describe.
	There were no apparent adverse e				
	south but has no affect on value or				
		atota.bj.			
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	s of Property Appraisal Files X	MLS X Assessment and Tax	Records Prior Inspection	Property Owner
	X Other (describe)	Realist	Data Source(s) for Gross Liv	•	Records
	General Description	General Description	Heating / Cooling	Amenities	Car Storage
	Units X One One with Accessory Unit	X Concrete Slab Crawl Space	X FWA HWBB	X Fireplace(s) # 1	None
	# of Stories 2	Full Basement Finished	Radiant	Woodstove(s) # 0 X	
	# C: C(C::CC				
	Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit		Other None		eway Surface Concrete
	X Existing Proposed UnderConst.		Fuel FAU	X Porch Cov X	
	Design (Style) Traditional	Roof Surface Tile	X Central Air Conditioning	X Pool Pool	Carport # of Cars 0
	Year Built 1985	Gutters & Downspouts Alum	Individual	X Fence Concrete X	<u> </u>
	Effective Age (Yrs) 35	Window Type Metal	Other None	Other None	Built-in
Ë	Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ov	<u> </u>	Microwave X Washer/Dryer	Other (describe)	
듮	Finished area above grade contains:	8 Rooms 5 Bedroo	ms 3.0 Bath(s) 2	,545 Square Feet of Gross	Living Area Above Grade
≥	Additional features (special energy efficient	t items, etc.) None			
5					
0	Describe the condition of the property and	data source(s) (including apparent nee	led repairs, deterioration, renova	tions, remodeling, etc.). C4;See	comments -
<u></u>	SUBJECT CONDITION				
≥					
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies			J, , ,	es X No
	If Yes, describe There are no apparer	nt physical deficiencies or adve	se conditions that affect the	e livability, soundness, or st	ructural integrity.
	Please note that the appraiser is no	ot a licensed building contractor	or professional building ins	spector, and is therefore not	qualified to survey,
	analyze, or comment on physical it			•	•
	mechanical or structural physical p				
	Does the property generally conform to the	•			e The subject
		le conforms the homes in the im		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Prodigy Appraisal Services

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report There are comparable properties currently offered for sale in the subject neighborhood ranging in price from \$ 0 to \$ 0 1,595,000 There are comparable sales in the subject neighborhood within the past twelve months ranging in sale price from \$ 2,145,000 to\$ **FFATURE** SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE #3 Address 7538 W 82Nd St 7526 W 81st St 8320 Zitola Ter 7920 W 79th St Playa Del Rey, CA 90293 Playa Del Rey, CA 90293-8813 Playa Del Rey, CA 90293 Playa Del Rey, CA 90293 0.78 miles W 0.05 miles N 0.34 miles W Proximity to Subject Sale Price 2,055,000 \$ 2,145,000 1,595,000 0.00 709.11 892.26 750.94 Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area \$ sq. ft. \$ sq. ft. sq. ft. sq. ft. CRMLS#SB23165934;DOM 55 CRMLS#24362535;DOM 50 CRMLS#CV23172572;DOM 121 Data Source(s) DOC#24-0063878, RealQuest DOC#324499, RealQuest DOC#24-0148002, RealQuest Verification Source(s) VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION **DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION** +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment Sale or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth Conv:0 Conv:0 Conv:0 Concessions Date of Sale/Time s01/24;c10/23 0 s05/24;c04/24 0 s02/24;c01/24 +159,500 N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; Location Fee Simple Leasehold/Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple o Site 4905 sf 5600 sf 5460 sf 0 5002 sf N;Res; N;Res; B;Ocean; -214,500 N;Res; View Design (Style) DT2;Traditional DT2;Traditional DT1;Traditional 0 DT2;Traditional Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 **Quality of Construction** Actual Age 39 38 0 65 0 62 Condition C4 C4 C4 C4 Total Bdrms. Total Bdrms. O Total Bdrms. O Total Bdrms. Above Grade Baths Baths Baths Baths +5,000 Room Count 5 3.0 7 4 3.0 6 3 2.1 +5.000 6 3 2.1 Gross Living Area 2,545 2.898 2.404 sq. ft. +39,000 2,124 +116,000 sa. fl sa. ft sa. ft ANALYSIS Basement & Finished 0sf 0sf 0sf 0sf Rooms Below Grade **Functional Utility** Average <u>Average</u> <u>Average</u> Average +10,000 FAU/CAC Heating/Cooling FAU/CAC FAU/CAC **FAU Energy Efficient Items** None None None None ES COMPARISON, -10,000 Garage/Carport 2ga2dw 3ga3dw 2ga2dw 2gd2dw Porch/Patio/Deck Patio/Porch Patio/Porch Patio/Porch Patio/Porch Fireplaces 1 Fireplace 2 Fireplaces -5,000 2 Fireplaces -5,000 None +5,000 Other Pool/Spa +25,000 Pool None None +25.000 + X] + X --112.000 -150,500 |x|\$ 320,500 Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price Net Adj: -5% Net Adj: -7% Net Adj: 20% Gross Adj: 13% Gross Adj: 20% of Comparables Gross Adj: 5% 1,943,000 1,915,500 I X did did not research the sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales. If not, explain My research | X | did | did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property for the three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal. Data source(s) CRMLS, RealQuest.com did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale My research X did Data source(s) CRMLS, RealQuest.com Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM **SUBJECT** COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE #3 Date of Prior Sale/Transfer 06/05/2024 Price of Prior Sale/Transfer \$1,850,000 RealQuest.com RealQuest.com RealQuest.com RealQuest.com Data Source(s) 06/09/2024 Effective Date of Data Source(s) 06/09/2024 06/09/2024 06/09/2024 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales The prior transfer of the subject was an arms length transaction based on the CRMLS#24380683. The appraiser is not aware of any recent updates or improvements. Based on the comparable sales data, the prior sale was below market and area predominate values as reflected in the Sales Comparison Approach The estimated value is based on the most recent similar sales, support of value marketability, and Summary of Sales Comparison Approach indication of value based on the principles of substitution. There are no similar active listings in the immediate market area...pulling from an adjacent market would be non-competitive listings 1,940,000 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 1,940,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$ Income Approach (if developed) \$ Value is based on principles of substitution & opportunity costs as they apply to the market data approach Due to the lack of relevant lot sales in the subject's area and the age of the subject improvements, the cost approach has been deemed unreliable and, therefore, unnecessary to be included in this report. The majority of the home within the subject's neighborhood are owner occupied, not income producing, and therefore, the income approach is unnecessary. This appraisal is made X "as is," subject to completion per plans and specifications on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair: Based on a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and appraiser's certification, my (our) opinion of the market value, as defined, of the real property that is the subject of this report is 1,940,000 , as of 06/08/2024 , which is the date of inspection and the effective date of this appraisal

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	Exterior only inoposition resolution report
	The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Fitle XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12
	J.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the
	appraisal certification.
ď	ppraisal certification.
	This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Appraisal Report option of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).
ı	
-	
	Fannie Mae Definition: Market value is the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all
	conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by
	ındue stimulus.
	MC Degistration # for ClearCapital com. Inc. California #1956
4	AMC Registration # for ClearCapital.com, Inc: California #1256
0	
3 8	
a .	
ij	
╡	
₹-	
31	
Ί.	
7 -	
>	
5	
3	
₹	
₹	
-	
ı	
-	
-	
-	
-	
-	
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.)
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Depinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000
LOKON I	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Definion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$
LOKON I	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Depinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Definion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Sq. Ft. @\$ \$\text{q}\$\$ \$\text{q}\$ \$\text{q}\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Definion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Sq. Ft. @\$ \$\text{q}\$\$ \$\text{q}\$ \$\text{q}\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Definition of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 1,075,000 County of the service of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 1,075,000 County of the service of cost data S
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 1,075,000 County of the service of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 1,075,000 County of the service of cost data S
ME COST ATTROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 2 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 5 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1 Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$ (Depreciation =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Depreciated Cos
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprice of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. Securce of cost data September 1,075,000 Source of cost data Depreciated Cost data Depreciation (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ Setimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$ INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 2 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 5 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1 Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$ (Depreciation =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Depreciated Cos
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprice of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. Securce of cost data September 1,075,000 Source of cost data Depreciated Cost data Depreciation (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ Setimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$ INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value by Income Approach
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Diphion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$(Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$(Depreciated Cost Approach =\$(Destimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$(Destimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$(Discount Indicated Value By Cost Approach Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new = \$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation = \$(Depreciation = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)
INCOME COST APPROACT	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive it at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE —\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ —\$ Loss Physical Functional External Depreciation —\$ (Depreciation Cost of Improvements —\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements —\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements —\$ (Depreciated Cost of Site Improvements —\$ (Depreciated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach —\$ (Indicated Value by Income Approach —\$ (Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDs (if applicable) s the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached
INCOME COST APPROACT	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new = \$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation = \$(Depreciation = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)
INCOMIE COS AFTROACI	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Definion of site value for the subject property was sterived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive that reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Developed Support Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new = \$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation Depreciation Depreciation Site Improvements = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) st he developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.
INCOME COOL APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was berived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation = \$ Peppreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDs (if applicable) st the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.
INCOME COOL APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Definion of site value for the subject property was sterived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive that reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Developed Support Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new = \$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation Depreciation Depreciation Site Improvements = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) st he developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was berived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation = \$ Peppreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDs (if applicable) st the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Develling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost of Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ T
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Dopinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive that a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Develling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total cess PROPORCH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Setimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) Stemmary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) Step and not required by the abstracted from site improvements in the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No If Yes, date of conversion.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Develling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost of Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of St. Improvements \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ T
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) All of the opinion of site value for the subject property was supported to derive the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to site value of site value for the subject property was supported to derive the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was subject property and the subject property and the subject property was subject to derive and the subject property was subject property was subject to derive and the subject property was and attached was subject property was subject property was subj
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Dopinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive that a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Develling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total cess PROPORCH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Setimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 65 PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) Stimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) Stemmary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) Step and not required by the abstracted from site improvements in the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No If Yes, date of conversion.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) All of the opinion of site value for the subject property was supported to derive the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to site value of site value for the subject property was supported to derive the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was subject property and the subject property and the subject property was subject to derive and the subject property was subject property was subject to derive and the subject property was and attached was subject property was subject property was subj
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) All of the opinion of site value for the subject property was supported to derive the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to site value of site value for the subject property was supported to derive the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was subject property and the subject property and the subject property was subject to derive and the subject property was subject property was subject to derive and the subject property was and attached was subject property was subject property was subj
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was betrived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject are very experienced with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive it a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) All of the opinion of site value for the subject property was supported to derive the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to site value of site value for the subject property was supported to derive the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to site value for the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was supported to derive at a case of the subject property was subject property and the subject property and the subject property was subject to derive and the subject property was subject property was subject to derive and the subject property was and attached was subject property was subject property was subj
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was betrived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject are very experienced with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive it a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was betrived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject are very experienced with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive it a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$ 1,075,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Dwelling 2,545 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Prodigy Appraisal Services EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St

City Playa Del Rey County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90293-8813

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

	FEATURE		<u>SUBJEC</u>	;T	(COMPA	RABLE	SALE# 4	(<u>COMPA</u>	RABLE S	SALE# 5	C	COMPAR	RABLE SA	ALE#	6
	Address 7538	W 821	Nd St			75	32 W 8	2nd St									
	Playa Del Re	y, CA	90293	-8813	Р	Playa D	el Rey	, CA 90293									
	Proximity to Subject						0.01 mil										
	Sale Price	\$					\$	2,200,000			\$				\$		
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area		0.00	sq. ft.	Φ.	818.1		q. ft.	\$			~ 4	\$			~ 4	
		a a	0.00	Sq. II.					φ		5	sq. ft.	φ		5	q. ft.	
	Data Source(s)							534;DOM 124									
	Verification Source(s)							RealQuest				1					
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DE	SCRIPT	ION		SCRIP		+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIP	TION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	: DE	ESCRIP	TION	+(-) \$ Adju	stment
	Sale or Financing					ArmLt	th										
	Concessions					Conv;	0										
	Date of Sale/Time				s05	5/23;c0)4/23	0									
	Location		N;Res	;;		N;Res	 S;										
	Leasehold/Fee Simple		ee Sim		Fe	ee Sin	·										
	Site		4905 s			4906	•	0									
	View		N;Res			N;Res											
	Design (Style)		2;Tradit		DTS	2;Tradi	-										
		בוט	2, 11auii Q4	luliai	DIZ		ilionai										
	Quality of Construction					Q4											
	Actual Age		44			38		0									
	Condition	—	C4			C3		-110,000	_	_					1		
	Above Grade		Bdrms.	Baths	Total		Baths	0	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		Total	Bdrms	. Baths		
	Room Count	8	5	3.0	8	4	3.0										
	Gross Living Area	2	,545	sq. ft.	2,	,689	sq. ft.	-39,500			sq. ft.				sq. ft.		
	Basement & Finished		0sf			0sf											
	Rooms Below Grade																
YSIS	Functional Utility	,	Averag	ie		Avera	ge										
ΥS	Heating/Cooling		AU/CA			AU/C	_										
	Energy Efficient Items		None			None											
NAL	Garage/Carport		2ga2dv			2ga2d											
⋖	Porch/Patio/Deck		atio/Po			atio/Po											
NO																	
S	Fireplaces		Firepla	ice	I	Firepl		.05.000									
ARIS	Other		Pool		None		+25,000										
⋖							1										
OMP/	Net Adjustment (Total)					+ X		\$ -124,500		+	-	\$		+	-	\$	
Ö	Adjusted Sale Price					.dj: -6%				Adj: 0%				Adj: 0%			
ပ	of Comparables				Gross	s Adj:	8%	\$ 2,075,500	Gros	s Adj: (0%	\$	Gros	s Adj:	0%	\$	
ES											nd	arable sales					
ALES	Report the results of the re	esearch	and ana	alysis of	the pric	or sale o	<u>r transfe</u>	r history of the sub	ject pro	operty ar	na compa	arabio caroc					
ES		esearch	and ana		the prio	or sale o		r history of the sub COMPARABLE SA				ARABLE SALE #	5	CON	MPARABI	E SALE #	6
ALES			and and	SUE					LE#				5	CON	MPARABI	E SALE#	6
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	er	and ana	SUE 06/0	BJECT 05/2024	4		COMPARABLE SA	LE#				5	CON	MPARABI	E SALE#	6
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe	er		SUE 06/0 \$1,8	BJECT 05/2024 050,000	4 0		COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0	LE# 23				5	CON	MPARABI	LE SALE#	6
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	er er		906/0 \$1,8 RealQ	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c	4 0 om		COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest.	LE# 23 com				5	CON	MPARABI	E SALE#	6
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c 09/2024	4 0 om 4	(03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202	LE # 23 com 24	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#					
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	er er urce(s)		\$UE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c 09/2024	4 0 om 4	(03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202	LE # 23 com 24	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#					
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c 09/2024	4 0 om 4	(03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202	LE # 23 com 24	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#					
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c 09/2024	4 0 om 4	(03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202	LE # 23 com 24	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#					
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c 09/2024	4 0 om 4	(03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202	LE # 23 com 24	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#					
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c 09/2024	4 0 om 4	(03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202	LE # 23 com 24	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#					
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c 09/2024	4 0 om 4	(03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202	LE # 23 com 24	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#					
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c 09/2024	4 0 om 4	(03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202	LE # 23 com 24	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#					
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c 09/2024	4 0 om 4	(03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202	LE # 23 com 24	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#					
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c 09/2024	4 0 om 4	(03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202	LE # 23 com 24	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#					
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s)		\$UE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0	BJECT 05/2024 050,000 uest.c 09/2024	4 0 om 4	(03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202	LE # 23 com 24	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE#					
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT :5/202- :50,000 uest.c :9/202- ject pro	4 0 om 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	com 24 prior	transfe	COMP.	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	Iriven tra	ansaction.	
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT :5/202- :50,000 uest.c :9/202- ject pro	4 0 om 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	com 24 prior	transfe	COMP.	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	Iriven tra	ansaction.	
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT 15/2024 150,000 uest.c 19/2024 ject prop	4 0 om 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	com 24 prior	transfe	COMP.	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	Iriven tra	ansaction.	
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT 15/2024 150,000 uest.c 19/2024 ject prop	4 0 om 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	com 24 prior	transfe	COMP.	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	Iriven tra	ansaction.	
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa of value based on the	er er urce(s) ansfer h	pproach	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT 5/202- 550,000 uest.c 19/202- ject prop	4 0 oom 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	LE# 23 com 24 prior	transfe	er of cor	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	riven tra	ansaction.	
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er er urce(s) ansfer h	pproach	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT 5/202- 550,000 uest.c 19/202- ject prop	4 0 oom 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	LE# 23 com 24 prior	transfe	er of cor	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	riven tra	ansaction.	
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa of value based on the	er er urce(s) ansfer h	pproach	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT 5/202- 550,000 uest.c 19/202- ject prop	4 0 oom 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	LE# 23 com 24 prior	transfe	er of cor	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	riven tra	ansaction.	
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa of value based on the	er er urce(s) ansfer h	pproach	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT 5/202- 550,000 uest.c 19/202- ject prop	4 0 oom 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	LE# 23 com 24 prior	transfe	er of cor	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	riven tra	ansaction.	
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa of value based on the	er er urce(s) ansfer h	pproach	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT 5/202- 550,000 uest.c 19/202- ject prop	4 0 oom 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	LE# 23 com 24 prior	transfe	er of cor	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	riven tra	ansaction.	
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa of value based on the	er er urce(s) ansfer h	pproach	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT 5/202- 550,000 uest.c 19/202- ject prop	4 0 oom 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	LE# 23 com 24 prior	transfe	er of cor	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	riven tra	ansaction.	
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa of value based on the	er er urce(s) ansfer h	pproach	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT 5/202- 550,000 uest.c 19/202- ject prop	4 0 oom 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	LE# 23 com 24 prior	transfe	er of cor	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	riven tra	ansaction.	
ALES	ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra Summary of Sales Compa of value based on the	er er urce(s) ansfer h	pproach	SUE 06/0 \$1,8 RealQ 06/0 f the subj	BJECT 5/202- 550,000 uest.c 19/202- ject prop	4 0 oom 4 perty ar	nd compa	COMPARABLE SA 03/21/202 \$0 RealQuest. 06/09/202 arable sales The	LE# 23 com 24 prior	transfe	er of cor	mp four was no	t a ma	arket d	riven tra	ansaction.	

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Page

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 3550936

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	info 1	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Marie A	Signature
Name	Antonio Anderson	Name
Company Name	Prodigy Appraisal Services	Company Name
Company Address	P. O. Box 4609	Company Address
_	West Hills, CA 91308	
Telephone Number	8186188081	Telephone Number
Email Address	antonio@prodigyappraisal.com	Email Address
Date of Signature ar	nd Report06/09/2024	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Ap	praisal06/08/2024	State Certification #
State Certification #	035678	or State License #
or State License #		State
or Other (describe)	State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State	CA	
Expiration Date of C	Pertification or License11/23/2024	
		SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PRO	PERTY APPRAISED	
	7538 W 82Nd St	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
F	Playa Del Rey, CA 90293-8813	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
		Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE	E OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,940,000	
LENDER/CLIENT		
Name	ClearCapital	COMPARABLE SALES
	Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address	2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
_	Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address		Date of Inspection

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St				
City Playa Del Rey	State	CA	Zip Code	90293-8813
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 1	00. Redondo Bea	ach. CA 90278

SUBJECT CONDITION

Per exterior inspection, age, location, the subject appears to be in overall average condition with an average quality of construction. The appraiser makes the extraordinary assumption that the interior of the subject is also in average condition with no need for any repairs or deferred maintenance issues not seen from the exterior inspection. The right to modify this report is reserved if the above noted information is found to be inaccurate which could affect value and the outcome of this assignment.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE: The subject as improved is a legally permissible use based on its current zoning. The lot size, shape, physical condition and land to building ratio allow the present structure and indicate a good utilization of the improvements. Based upon the current market conditions, the present use as a single family residence is its financially feasible and maximally productive use. The highest and best use is its present use. However, it should be noted the subject lot size is considered legal non-conforming as it does not meet the minimum requirement of 5,000 sqft based on the current zoning R1. It should be noted that, if the subject is ever destroyed by fire or other natural disasters, it can be rebuilt. There are no adverse affects on value or marketability. Comp four shares the same legal non-conforming lot size issue.

There's no apparent damage to the subject or neighborhood from any recent heavy rains, flooding, mud-slides or any other natural disasters.

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St						
City Playa Del Rey	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	90293-8813
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	•	Address 2015 Ma	anhattan Beac	h Blvd Suite 100,	Redondo Be	each, CA 90278

This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Appraisal Report option of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).

This report was completed in full compliance with the appraiser independence regulations.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

PURPOSE AND INTENDED USERS:

The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for an asset valuation, loan servicing, subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, reporting requirements of the appraisal report form, and Definition of Market Value. No additional Intended Users are identified by the appraiser. The report will not be used for mortgage lending purposes.

COMPETENCY PROVISION:

The appraiser has the appropriate knowledge and experience to complete this assignment competently. Appraiser qualifications are maintained in Prodigy Appraisal Services files and can be provided upon request.

SELF CONTAINMENT:

This appraisal report is intended to be a report containing the information necessary to enable the reader to understand the appraiser's opinion. Any third party studies referred to, such as pest, hazardous materials, or structural reports have been verified by the appraiser to the extent of the assumptions and conclusions used.

PERSONAL PROPERTY:

Any personal property involved in the transaction has been excluded from the valuation of the real property. Should a transaction, which includes personal property of sufficient value to affect the market value of the real property, be evident, a separate assessment of the personal property, fixtures, or intangible items will be identified and included in the report as a separate valuation.

DIGITAL SIGNATURE:

This appraisal is digitally signed. This digital signature requires a security password known only by the appraiser. No changes can be made to any portion of this appraisal report once it has been digitally signed. The digital signature used in this report is an accurate representation of the appraiser's signature.

LIMITING CONDITIONS:

The appraiser is not a licensed building contractor or a professional building inspector. I am not qualified to survey or analyze physical items that are not readily visible. If any of the parties in this transaction have questions or concerns regarding any mechanical or structural physical problems, conditions, infestation, contamination, or other issues regarding the subject property, an expert in that field or specialty should be consulted.

Prodigy Appraisal Services PLAT MAP


File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St

City Playa Del Rey County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90293-8813

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278




Prodigy Appraisal Services

FLOOD MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	7538 W 82Na St					
City Playa Del Rey	C	county Los Ar	ngeles State	CA	Zip Code	90293-8813
Lender/Client Wedg	ewood Inc		Address 2015 Manh	attan Beach Blvd S	uite 100, Redondo	Beach, CA 90278



Flo	od Map Legends
Floo	d Zones
	Areas inundated by 100-year flooding
	Areas inundated by 500-year flooding
	Areas of undetermined but possible flood hazards
	Floodway areas with velocity hazard
1///	Floodway areas
***	COBRA zone

Flood 2	Zone E)eterminatio	n		
In Specia	l Flood	Hazard Area (F	lood Zone):		Out
Within 25	60 ft. of 1	multiple flood zo	nes?	Not withi	n 250 feet
Commun	ity:			060137	
Commun	ity Nam	e:	LOS	ANGELES, CIT	Y OF
Map Nun	nber:		06	037C1760F	
Zone:	Χ	Panel:	1760F	Panel Date:	09/26/2008
FIPS Co	de:	06037	Census Tr	act:	2766.01

This Report is for the sole benefit of the Customer that ordered and paid for the Report and is based on the property information provided by that Customer. That Customer's use of this Report is subject to the terms agreed to by that Customer when accessing this product. THE SELLER OF THIS REPORT MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES TO ANY PARTY CONCERNING THE CONTENT, ACCURACY, OR COMPLETENESS OF THIS REPORT INCLUDING ANY WARRANTY OR MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. The seller of this Report shall not have any liability to any third party for any use or misuse of this Report.

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St						
City Playa Del Rey	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	90293-8813
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	,	Address 2015 M	anhattan Beach	h Blvd Suite 100	0. Redondo Be	each. CA 90278

GRID ADJUSTMENTS:

Market Grid adjustments are deemed to be self-explanatory and adjustments to the comparable sales reflect the appraiser's best estimate of market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparables. Paired sales analysis is conducted to a limited degree based on the market data in the area and may not only be limited to the information included in the sales comparison grid. This approach is deemed most reliable and reflective of the typical buyer reaction to or lack thereof, certain amenities, room count, condition, quality of construction, location, view, etc...

MARKET CONDITIONS: None warranted due to the stable trend in the neighborhood based on the 1004MC, CRMLS CMA data, neighborhood value trend charts, and market area analysis over the last 12 months. SEE EXHIBIT AND CHARTS BELOW. The list to sale price ratios is 103.5%+.

LOCATION: Adjustments applied at 2.5% increments (2.5%, 5%, 7.5%, or 10%) to reflect market reaction. The adjustment is the best estimated market reaction and the paired sales analysis was conducted to a limited degree of comps two and three.

SITE: Based on a review of Los Angeles County Tax Assessor plat map, the subject has a site area of 4906 Sqft. The Google Aerial maps and 3D measuring tools were utilized to estimate the overall usable area for the subject and comparables. Even though the comparable lots differ in size from the subject, they still can only be used to build one home, so their larger or smaller site areas are worth only a marginal difference, not the full overall value of land. That being noted, there were no adjustments warranted due to similar actual utility and difference of less than 800 sqft.

VIEW: None warranted.

DESIGN/STYLE: None warranted.

GLA: Adjustments made at \$275.00 per square foot of difference for differences greater than 100 square feet and then rounded to the nearest \$500.

ROOM COUNT: Bedroom count was absorbed in the GLA adjustments. Bathroom adjustments were made at \$5,000 per half bath and \$10K per full bathroom differences. NOTE: the upper end of the subject bedroom count could bot be bracketed within any recent sales over the last 24 months. Therefore, the prior sale or th subject on 06/05/2024 was used for paired sales analysis purposes. Based on that data, there's no evidence of premiums being paid for a bedroom count above 3-4 which is most common for the market area.

QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION AND CONDITION: Adjustments made at 2.5% increments (2.5%, 5%, 7.5%, or 10%) based on level of difference and the paired sales analysis of comparables one and four. Comp four has completely remodeled the kitchen in the last several years.

AGE: None warranted. Age was considered in the quality and condition ratings.

GUEST HOUSE: None warranted.

AUTO STORAGE: None warranted.

It should be noted that the subject's market area reflects a broad range of sale prices and values for properties with similar characteristics within the subject's immediate neighborhood. This was evident to some degree by the comparables considered in this report. For this reason, the range of non-adjusted and adjusted sale prices are greater than the preferred 20% and 10% ratios. That being noted, the net and/or gross ratios for some comps may exceed a 15% net and 25% which are the preferred guild lines. This was unavoidable due to the wide spread in sale prices for similar homes in the market area.

SUMMARY OF SALES COMPARISON APPROACH:

A diligent effort was made to find comparables sales that were similar to the subject in age, style, lot size, quality of construction, close proximity, and condition. The initial search criteria were 12 months prior to inspection, 20% GLA difference, and 1 mile radius. Based on the above noted search criteria the comparables included in the report bracket the major characteristics of the subject and considered the best indication of value.

Comp one was given dominate weight due to close proximity, similar condition, and least amount in gross adjustments. Comps two, three, and four were given secondary and supportive weight. Comps two and three were considered due to close proximity, very recent sale date, similar GLA, and overall condition. Comp four sold more than 12 months prior but had to be added to bracket the subject lot size and legal non-conforming lot size issue. The photo had to be pulled from the CRMLS due to kids out front at inspection.

Prodigy Appraisal Services AERIAL MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Lender/Client

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St

Wedgewood Inc

City Playa Del Rey County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90293-8813

allona Wetlands Ecological R allona Subject 7538 W 82Nd St Playa Del Rey, CA 90293-8813 APN: 4114-017-023 PLAYA DEL REY

Prodigy Appraisal Services LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St

City Playa Del Rey County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90293-8813

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Prodigy Appraisal Services SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Wedgewood Inc

Lender/Client

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St

City Playa Del Rey County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90293-8813

Address



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 7538 W 82Nd St Playa Del Rey, CA 90293-8813



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St

City Playa Del Rey County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90293-8813

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 7526 W 81st St Playa Del Rey, CA 90293



COMPARABLE SALE # 2 8320 Zitola Ter Playa Del Rey, CA 90293



COMPARABLE SALE # 7920 W 79th St Playa Del Rey, CA 90293

3

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMPARABLES 4-5-6

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Wedgewood Inc

Lender/Client

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St

City Playa Del Rey County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90293-8813



COMPARABLE SALE # 7532 W 82nd St Playa Del Rey, CA 90293

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278


cc

OMPARABLE SALE # 5

COMPARABLE SALE # 6


Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360


The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lende	r/client with a clear and	d accurate understar	nding of the market tren	nds ar	nd conditions of	reval	ent in the s	ubiect	
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all ap								,	
Property Address 7538 W 82N	•		Playa Del Rey	St	ate CA		ZIP Code	90)293-8813
Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC		-							
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information	required on this form	as the basis for his/l	her conclusions and m	ıst pr	ovide support	for th	nse conclus	ions	regarding
housing trends and overall market conditions as repo	•								•
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis a	-		•						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•							
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources	-								
in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required		-					-		-
average. Sales and listings must be properties that co	•					ed by	a prospect	ive bu	iyer of the
subject property. The appraiser must explain any ano				oreclo					
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months				Trend		
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	1	2	3		Increasing		Stable	Щ	Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	0.17	0.67	1		Increasing	X	Stable		Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	1	1	0	X	Declining		Stable		Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	6	1.5	0	X	Declining		Stable		Increasing
Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months		0	veral	Trend		
Median Comparable Sales Price	2027933	1825000	2070000		Increasing		Stable		Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	46	88	14		Declining	Х	Stable	П	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	1595000	2195000	0		Increasing	X	Stable		Declining
Median Comparable List i nee Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	126	50	0	┢	Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
	94.32	105.54	103.55			X			
Median Sale Price as % of List Price				_	Increasing	-	Stable	\vdash	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc,) paid financial assistar		Yes X	No Sold Fold		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the pas	t 12 months (e.g. selle	r contributions increa	ased from 3% to 5%, in	creas	ing use of buy	down	s, closing c	osts	
condo fees, options, etc.)									
The data used in the grid above does not in									
a mandatory reporting field for agents and the	nere may be some	transactions tha	t do include conce	ssio	ns, but have	not	been rep	orte	d. It is
beyond the scope of this assignment to con	firm each sale use	d in the Market (Conditions Report.						
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the mark	ket? Yes X	No If ves. expl	ain (including the trend	s in li	stings and sale	es of	foreclosed r	rope	ties).
The data used in the grid above does not in									
reported transactions. However, this is not a									
reported. It is beyond the scope of this assig						,cu c	dies triat	WCI	J HOL
reported. It is beyond the scope of this assig	Jillient to commit	each sale used i	ii tile ivialket Collu	ILIOIT	з глероп.				
Cite data sources for above information.									
CRMLS was the data source used to complete	ete the Market Cor	าditions Addendเ	ım. 6/9/2024						
Summarize the above information as support for your		ighborhood section o	of the appraisal report f	orm. I	f you used any	/ add	itional inforr	natior	n, such as
	conclusions in the Ne	-							n, such as
Summarize the above information as support for your	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form	ulate your conclusior	ns, provide both an exp	lanati	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ulate your conclusior	ns, provide both an exp	lanati	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ulate your conclusior	ns, provide both an exp	lanati	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ulate your conclusior	ns, provide both an exp	lanati	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ulate your conclusior	ns, provide both an exp	lanati	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ulate your conclusior	ns, provide both an exp	lanati	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ulate your conclusior	ns, provide both an exp	lanati	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m	ulate your conclusior	ns, provide both an exp	lanati	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ulate your conclusior arket search. De	ns, provide both an exp tails regarding the	lanati	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ulate your conclusior arket search. De	ns, provide both an exp tails regarding the Project Name:	lanati	on and suppor	t for y	your conclu cess can	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ulate your conclusior arket search. De	ns, provide both an exp tails regarding the	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for y	your conclu cess can	sions.	ound
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ulate your conclusior arket search. De	ns, provide both an exp tails regarding the Project Name:	lanati	on and suppor	t for y	your conclu cess can	sions.	
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ulate your conclusior arket search. De	ns, provide both an exp tails regarding the Project Name:	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for y	your conclu cess can	sions.	ound
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ulate your conclusior arket search. De	ns, provide both an exp tails regarding the Project Name:	lanati	on and supportulations and one of the original o	t for y	your conclu cess can	sions.	Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form n exported MLS m nc/calc.shtml.	ulate your conclusior arket search. De	ns, provide both an exp tails regarding the Project Name:	lanati	on and supportulations and on and supportulations and supportunity and sup	t for y	your conclu cess can Trend Stable Stable	sions.	Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS mac/calc.shtml.	arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS mac/calc.shtml.	arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an exp tails regarding the Project Name:	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS mac/calc.shtml.	arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS mac/calc.shtml.	arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS mac/calc.shtml.	arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS mac/calc.shtml.	arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS mac/calc.shtml.	arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS mac/calc.shtml.	arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS mac/calc.shtml.	arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to formin exported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to formin exported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to formin exported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to formin exported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to formin exported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to formin exported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to formin exported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to formin exported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to formin exported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to formin exported MLS moc/calc.shtml.	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	Project Name: Current - 3 Months	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form nexported MLS mac/calc.shtml.	arket search. De e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	Project Name: Current - 3 Months licate the number of Ri	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in exported MLS mandicalc.shtml. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and inderson	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind d project. Signature Supervisor	Project Name: Current - 3 Months licate the number of Ri	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Antonio Antonio Antompany Name Prodigy Appraise	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to forming exported MLS mandicalc.shtml. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and derson sal Services	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind d project. Signature Supervisor Company N	Project Name: Current - 3 Months licate the number of Ri Name Name	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Antonio Antompany Name Prodigy Appraise Company Address P. O. Box 4609, West Company New Prodigy Appraise Company Address P. O. Box 4609, West	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to forming exported MLS mandicalc.shtml. e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and derson sal Services st Hills, CA 91308	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind d project. Signature Supervisor Company M	Project Name: Current - 3 Months licate the number of Ri Name Name Address	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be for	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing s and sales
Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with The statistics above were generated from an online at http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004m If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Antonio Arcompany Name Prodigy Appraise	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to forming exported MLS mandical candidates and the prior 7-12 Months e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and the subject while subject	e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind d project. Signature Supervisor Company M	Project Name: Current - 3 Months licate the number of RE Name Name Address Ise/Certification #	lanati	on and supportulations and	t for	cess can Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	be f	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing s and sales



ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales


BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Sales






ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Listings

BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Listings




32



ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales and Listings

BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Sales and Listings






ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales Price

BELOW: Neighborhood - Median Sales Price






ABOVE: Competing Market - Median List Price


BELOW: Neighborhood - Median List Price






ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and List Price

BELOW: Neighborhood - Median Sales and List Price





ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and Listings DOM







ABOVE: Competing Market - Average Sale and List Price Per SqFt





UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

AD) File No. 57415

Finitions Case No. 35509360

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C:1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No.

Case No.

57415

35509360

Abbreviatio		May Appear in These Fields		
٩	Adverse	Location & View Area, Site		
ac	Acres			
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location		
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location		
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sales or Financing Concessions		
Δ Τ	Attached Structure	Design (Style)		
3	Beneficial	Location & View		
oa	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade		
or	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade		
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location		
Dayrtu D	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time		
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions		
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location		
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions		
р	Carport	Garage/Carport		
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions		
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View		
CtyStr	City Street View	View		
;V	Covered	Garage/Carport		
OOM	Days On Market	Data Sources		
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)		
lw	Driveway	Garage/Carport		
)	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time		
state	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions		
-HA	Federal Housing Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions		
	Garage	Garage/Carport		
]				
ja 	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport		
gbi	Built-In Garages	Garage/Carport		
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport		
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location		
Slfvw	Golf Course View	View		
GR	Garden	Design (Style)		
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)		
	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad		
<u>n</u>	-			
<u>nd</u>	Industrial	Location & View		
isting	Listing	Sales or Financing Concessions		
_ndfl	Landfill	Location		
_tdSght	Limited Sight	View		
MR .	Mid Rise	Design (Style)		
Иtn	Mountain View	View		
VI				
	Neutral	Location & View		
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions		
)	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad		
)	Other	Design (Style)		
р	Open	Garage/Carport		
Prk	Park View	View		
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View		
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location		
	·			
PwrLn	Power Lines	View		
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions		
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions		
Res	Residential	Location & View		
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions		
r	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad		
<u>'</u> ?Т	Row or Townhouse			
		Design (Style)		
3	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time		
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)		
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions		
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement		
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site		
Jnk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time		
/A	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions		
V	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time		
VO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad		
Voods	Woods View	View		
Vtr	Water View	View		
VtrFr	Water Frontage	Location		
vu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad		
v u	vvain up Dasement	Pasement & Linished Moonis Delow Glad		
	1	1		

Prodigy Appraisal Services

COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM File No. 57415

	APPRAISA	L CON	IPLIANCE	ADDENDUM	Case No. 3550)9360
Borrower/Client Redwood Ho	oldings LLC					
Address 7538 W 82Nd St					Unit No.	
City Playa Del Rey		County	Los Angeles	State <u>C</u>	Zip Code	90293-8813
Lender/Client Wedgewood I	nc					
This App	raisal Compliance Addendum is incli	uded to ens	sure this appraisal r	enort meets all USPAP	2014 requirements	
APPRAISAL AND REPOR		adda to one	saro ano appraisar r	oport mooto an oor 7 a	2011 Toquilottionto	
This Appraisal Report is one of the						
X Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance	e with the re	quirements of the Apr	oraisal Report option of US	SPAP Standards Rule	2-2(a).
Restricted Appraisal Report						
	intended user of this report is limited to	the identifie	ed client. This is a Res	stricted Appraisal Report a	and the rationale for h	ow the appraiser arrived
	at the opinions and conclusions set fort	th in the repo	rt may not be understo	ood properly without the ac	ditional information in	the appraiser's workfile.
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT						
I certify that, to the best of my kno						
	ained in this report are true and correct.	. 41	d			and makes
	ions, and conclusions are limited only by	y tne reporte	a assumptions and are	e my personai, impaπiai, a	ina unbiasea professi	onal analyses,
opinions, and conclusions.	I have no present or presentitive interes	t in the prese	arty that is the subject	of this report and no nero	anal interact with rear	and to portion involved
	I have no present or prospective interest		•	·	-	•
	I have performed no services, as an app ag acceptance of this assignment.	naisei oi iii a	any other capacity, reg	garding the property that is	the subject of this rep	Jort within the three-year
	to the property that is the subject of this r	report or the	narties involved with t	his assignment		
	gnment was not contingent upon develop	•		-		
	eting this assignment is not contingent u				or direction in value th	at favors the cause
• •	he value opinion, the attainment of a stip	-		•		
this appraisal.			.,	4	.,	
	conclusions were developed and this rep	oort has beer	n prepared, in conform	nity with the Uniform Stand	dards of Professional	Appraisal Practice that
were in effect at the time this				•		
· Unless otherwise indicated,	I have made a personal inspection of the	e property th	at is the subject of this	s report.		
· Unless otherwise indicated,	no one provided significant real property	y appraisal a	ssistance to the person	on(s) signing this certificat	ion (if there are excer	otions, the name of each
	int real property appraisal assistance is s		. ,			
	ed in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA	A as amende	ed, and any implement	ting regulations.		
PRIOR SERVICES						
	ed services, as an appraiser or in another	r other capa	city, regarding the pro	perty that is the subject of	the report within the t	hree-year period
immediately preceding acce	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	rices, as an appraiser or in another capa			the subject of this report w	ithin the three-year p	eriod immediately
PROPERTY INSPECTION	s assignment. Those services are descri	bed in the co	omments below.			
	sonal inspection of the property that is the	ne subject of	this report			
	a personal inspection of the property that is the	-	•			
APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE	a personal inspection of the property the	at is the subj	ect of this report.			
	rovided significant real property appraisa	al assistance	to the person signing	this certification. If anyon	e did provide significa	ant assistance, they
	summary of the extent of the assistance			,,,	-	•
,		•				
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS						
Additional USPAP related issues	requiring disclosure and/or any state ma	indated requi	irements:			
MARKETING TIME AND E	XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUB	JECT PR	OPERTY			
	e for the subject property is 1-90 Day			ons pertinent to the appra	isal assignment	
	for the subject property is 1-90 Day			one portinent to the appro	ioar aooigriinont.	
The state of the s	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
APPRAISER			SUPERVISOR	RY APPRAISER (ON	LY IF REQUIRED)
	, ,					
$\alpha \wedge l \cdot l$	· //					
Y JAAC						
Signature Complete	<u>'// </u>					
Name Antonio Anderso			Name			
Date of Signature 06/09/202	<u>24 </u>		Date of Signature			
State Certification # 035678				າ#		
			or State License	#		
State <u>CA</u>	11/00/0001		State			
Expiration Date of Certification o	r License 11/23/2024			f Certification or License		
E# # D	00/0004			aiser Inspection of Subject		
Effective Date of Appraisal 06/	J012U24		Did Not	Exterior Only from s	treet Interior a	and Exterior


File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St

City Playa Del Rey County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90293-8813

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



File No. 57415 Case No. 35509360

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 7538 W 82Nd St

City Playa Del Rey County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90293-8813

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



DECLARATIONS

for

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

□ Great American Assurance Company

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP4113647-23 Renewal of: RAP4113647-22

Program Administrator: Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc.

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Item 1. Named Insured: Antonio D. Anderson

Item 2. Address: P.O. Box 4609

City, State, Zip Code: West Hills, CA 91308

Item 3. **Policy Period**: From 11/29/2023 To 11/29/2024

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)

(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ 1,000,000 Damages Limit of Liability – Each Claim

B. \$ 1,000,000 Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Each Claim

C. \$ ______ Damages Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

D. \$ 1,000,000 Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$ 500 Each Claim

B. \$ **1,000** Aggregate

Item 6. **Premium**: \$ 895.00

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 11/29/2005

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (07/21)

D42402 (05/13) D42408 (05/13) D42412 (03/17) D42413 (06/17)

D42414 (08/19)

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1