File # **2405-13**

APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY



LOCATED AT

13500 Borden Ave Sylmar, CA 91342-2113 TRACT NO 19273 LOT 5

FOR

WEDGEWOOD INC 2015 MANHATTAN BEACH BL, SUITE 100 REDONDO BEACH, CA 90276

OPINION OF VALUE

825,000

AS OF

05/11/2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents/Cover Page	1
USPAP Compliance Addendum	2
Exterior-Only	3
Additional Comparables 4-6	9
Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report	10
Appraiser Independence Certification	11
Supplemental Addendum	12
UAD Definitions Addendum	13
Subject Photos	16
Comparable Photos 1-3	17
Comparable Photos 4-6	18
PUBLIC RECORDS	19
PLAT MAP	20
CMA	21
LICENSE	22
E & O - Page 1	23
E & O - Page 2	24
E & O - Page 3	
Location Map	
AERIAL PHOTO	

USPAP Compliance Addendum

Loan # 57472

		001711 0	omphanoo Maaonaam	File # 24	105-13
Borrower	REDWOOD HO	LDINGS			
Property Address	13500 Border	Ave			
City	Sylmar		County LOS ANGELES	State CA	Zip Code 91342-2113
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD	INC			
ADDD ALCAL AND		ENTIFIC ATION			
APPRAISAL AND					
This Appraisal Repor	t is one of the to	llowing types.			
Appraisal Repo	rt	This report was prepared in accordance with the	e requirements of the Appraisal Report option of	of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2	2(a).
Restricted Appr	aisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the	e requirements of the Restricted Appraisal Rep	ort option of USPAP Standar	ds Rule 2-2(b), and is
		intended only for the use of the client and any of	her named intended user(s). Users of this repo	ort must clearly understand th	nat the report may not
		contain supporting rationale for all of the opinion	ns and conclusions set forth in the report.		
A DOUTION ALL OF	DTIFICATION	0			
ADDITIONAL CE					
I certify that, to the b	•	·			
The statements	of fact contained	d in this report are true and correct.			
The report analy	/ses, opinions, a	nd conclusions are limited only by the reported a	assumptions and are my personal, impartial, a	nd unbiased professional ana	alyses,
opinions, and c	onclusions.				
		and a second section to the second to the second section to the	he subject of this area of and a consequent		LL. Ib.
*		ent or prospective interest in the property that is t	ne subject of this report and no (or specified)	personal interest with respect	t to the
parties involved					
I have no bias w	vith respect to the	e property that is the subject of this report or the	parties involved with this assignment.		
- 14	. :		tion and the marine of many life		
• iviy engagemen	t in this assignm	ent was not contingent upon developing or repo	ting predetermined results.		
My compensati	on for completin	g this assignment is not contingent upon the dev	elopment or reporting of a predetermined valu	e or direction in value that fav	ors the cause
of the client, the	amount of the v	alue opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result	, or the occurrence of a subsequent event dire	ctly related to the intended us	se of
this appraisal.					
■ My analyses or	ninione and con	clusions were developed and this report has bee	n propaged in conformity with the Uniform Sta	andards of Professional Appr	nical Practice
- IVIY arranyses, up	JIIIIOIIS, aliu coli	ciusions were developed and this report has bee	n prepared, in comornity with the officinity sta	ilualus di Fidiessidilai Appia	aisai riactice.
 This appraisal re 	eport was prepai	red in accordance with the requirements of Title 2	KI of FIRREA and any implementing regulations	3.	
PRIOR SERVICE	c				
		as an appraisar or in any other canacity regardi	ag the property that is the subject of this report	t within the three year period	
		as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regardince of this assignment.	ig the property that is the subject of this report	. Within the three-year period	
		an appraiser or in another capacity, regarding the	property that is the subject of this report within	n the three-vear period imme	diately
		signment. Those services are described in the c		ii iic iiicc-ycai period iiiiiic	uiatory
PROPERTY INSP			Similario Bolow.		
		pection of the property that is the subject of this	report.		
_		ion of the property that is the subject of this repo	•		
APPRAISAL ASS		, , ,			
		ded significant real property appraisal assistanc	e to the person signing this certification. If any	one did provide significant as	ssistance, they
are hereby identified	along with a sun	nmary of the extent of the assistance provided in	the report.		-
ADDITIONAL CO	MMENTS				
Additional USPAP rel	lated issues requ	iring disclosure and/or any state mandated requ	irements:		
		SURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT PROP			
	-	for the subject property is	day(s) utilizing market conditions per	tinent to the appraisal as	signment.
	exposure time	for the subject property is 30 TO 90	day(s).	1055 (01) 1/15 5501 15	FD)
APPRAISER			SUPERVISORY APPRA	ISER (UNLY IF REQUIR	ILU)
	Λ				
	III	I / Kayalatt			
Signature	MUN	JU , 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Signature		
	EPH P BALDIN	0	Name		
Date of Signature	05/12/20		Date of Signature		
State Certification			State Certification #		
or State License #			or State License #		
State <u>CA</u>			State		
Expiration Date of	Certification or L	icense <u>02/12/2025</u>	Expiration Date of Certification	n or License	
			Supervisory Appraiser Inspec	ction of Subject Property	
Effective Date of A	ppraisal 05 /	11/2024	Did Not Exterio	or-only from Street	Interior and Exterior

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

57472 File # 2405-13

D 1 A 1 1						Taido 01 1110	subject property.
Property Address 13500 Borden Ave			City Sylmar		State C#		V . V . L . L V
Borrower REDWOOD HOLDINGS	0	wner of Public Recor	d 13500 BORDEN LLC	;	County _L	LOS ANGELES	
Legal Description TRACT NO 19273 LOT 5							
Assessor's Parcel # 2502-010-050			Tax Year 2023		R.E. Taxes	,	
Neighborhood Name SYLMAR Occupant X Owner Tenant Vaca		:-! A		I-J-3		ract 1064.06	
		pecial Assessments (0	PU	D HOA\$ 0	per ye	ar per month
Property Rights Appraised Fee Simple		Other (describe)	(doporibo)				
Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	Refinance Transa						
Lender/Client WEDGEWOOD INC Is the subject property currently offered for sale o	r has it heen offered for so		MANHATTAN BEACH BL,				No
Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and	11()		AS NOT BEEN LISTED IN			103	NO
risport data source(e) deed, enoming priso(e), and	CRIVILS	THE SUBJECT HA	AS NOT BEEN LISTED IN	INE PASI 12	WONTHS		
I did did not analyze the contract for s	sale for the subject purcha	ase transaction, Expla	in the results of the analysis	of the contract	for sale or why the an	nalvsis was not	
performed.		,	,		, ,	.,	
5							
Contract Price \$ Date of Cont	ract	Is the property seller	the owner of public record?	Yes	No Data Source	e(s)	
Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sa	le concessions, gift or do	wnpayment assistan	ce, etc.) to be paid by any p	arty on behalf of	f the borrower?		Yes No
If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe	the items to be paid.						
Note: Race and the racial composition of the r	neighborhood are not ap						
Neighborhood Characteristics			it Housing Trends		One-Unit Housir	J .	sent Land Use %
	Rural Property Va			Declining		GE One-Ur	
	Under 25% Demand/Su			Over Supply	\$ (000) (yı		
	Slow Marketing 1			Over 6 mths	400 LOW	1 Multi-F	
	S LOCATED SOUTH & V	VEST OF THE 210	FREEWAY, EAST OF SAN	FERNANDO		120 Comm	
RD & NORTH OF HUBBARD	pooted in a cultural co	roo It is with Fig. 11	on to many discountification	onoid !		30 Other	% toro
Neighborhood Description The subject is look Neighborhood shows average to good maint			es to many diversified fin				
Foothill & Roxford The subject is located with							anic arteries on
Market Conditions (including support for the above			ICING IS PREDOMINANT IN				TUE DATE OF
INFLATION HAS BEEN DECREASING, BUT VALUES							
BUYER ARE BEGINNING TO BE MORE WILLING						. Hereiteor in	ALLO TO DECEME
Dimensions 72 X 134		Area 9648 sf		RECTANGLE		W N;Res;	
Specific Zoning Classification LARS			RESIDENTIAL SFR			,,	
Zoning Compliance 🗶 Legal 🗌 Legal Nonc	conforming (Grandfathered						
Is the highest and best use of subject property as	improved (or as proposed	d per plans and speci	fications) the present use?	X	Yes No If N	No, describe	
Utilities Public Other (describe)							
FI	147 1		describe)	Off-site Impro			blic Private
Electricity \(\)	Water	X	describe)	Street ASPH	IALT		blic Private
Gas 🔀	Sanitary Sew	ver 🗶	,	Street ASPH Alley NONE	IALT		
Gas	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo	ver X	FEMA Map # 06037	Street ASPH	IALT		
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area?	ver X Done X Yes	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G	IALT E FEM/	A Map Date	06/02/2021
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external fa	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa	ver X one x Yes achments, environme	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, e	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)?	IALT E FEM/		06/02/2021
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external for the SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa	ver X one X Yes achments, environme	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, e	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)?	IALT E FEM/	A Map Date	06/02/2021
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external fa	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa	ver X one X Yes achments, environme	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, e	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)?	IALT E FEM/	A Map Date	06/02/2021
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external for THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encro REPORT HAS NOT BEE ler's certification, lend	ver X	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, each are no adverse inferenced appraisal flood	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information	IALT E FEM/	A Map Date	06/02/2021 describe
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms. THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro- Other (describe)	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa	ver X	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, each are no adverse inference appraisal flood Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Li	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? ELUENCES I information K Records iving Area P	FEM/ Yes Yes Prior Inspection	A Map Date No If Yes, o	06/02/2021 Describe Owner
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external fithe Subject is above grade. The TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc	ver X one X Yes achments, environme N REVIEWED. THEI Her certification su al Files X MLS	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions, e	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? ELUENCES I information Records iving Area P	Yes Yes Prior Inspection Parcel Quest Mentities	A Map Date No If Yes, o	06/02/2021 describe
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical Are there any adverse site conditions or external from the Subject Is Above Grade. The TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab	ver X one X Yes achments, environme N REVIEWED. THEI Her certification su al Files X MLS cription Crawl Space	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions, ental conditions, ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions, ental conditions, ental conditions, ental conditions, ental conditions, ental conditions, ental con	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? ELUENCES Information Records iving Area Ai	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST menities acc(s) # 0	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S	De/02/2021 Describe Owner Storage
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external from the Subject Is above grade. The TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Promotion (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encro REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement	ver X one X Yes achments, environme N REVIEWED. THEI ler certification su al Files X MLS cription Crawl Space Finished	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, early a series appraisal flood Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Literating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? ELUENCES I information Records iving Area AI Firepla Woods	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST menities ace(s) # 0 Stove(s) # 0	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Cars None Driveway	De/02/2021 Describe Owner Storage # of Cars 2
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms. THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend to size take from PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Program Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement	ver X one X Yes achments, environme N REVIEWED. THEI Ier certification su al Files Crawl Space Finished Finished	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions,	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area P Firepla Woods Patio/I	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST menities ace(s) # 0 Stove(s) # 0 Deck NONE FEM/ PARCEL PRIOR FEM/ DECK PRIOR FEM/ PARCEL PRIOR FEM/ P	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Cars None Driveway Veway Surface	De/02/2021 describe Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms. THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const.	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Exterior Walls S	ver X Yes achments, environments, environments, environments N REVIEWED. THEI der certification su al Files MLS cription Crawl Space Finished Finished Stucco	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions, ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions, ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions, ental conditions, ental conditions, ental conditions, ental conditions, ental conditions, ental con	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area Firepla Woods Patio/I Porch	FEM/ Yes Prior Inspection ARCEL QUEST menities ace(s) # 0 Stove(s) # 0 Deck NONE None	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway Veway Surface Garage	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms and the subject is above grade. The TITLE for flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface	ver X ve	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditioning ental conditioning. FIMA ASSESSMENT and Tax Data Source for Gross Literating/Cooling FIMA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information R Records iving Area Firepla Woods Patio/I Porch Pool	FEM/ Yes X Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities Patove(s) # 0 Deck NONE None None	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms. THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1963	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts	ver X	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditioning ental conditioning ental conditioning landividual	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area P	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 0 Deck NONE None None CHAIN LINK	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms and any adverse site conditions or external forms and adverse site conditions or external for external forms and adverse site conditions or external forms and adverse site conditions and adverse site conditions and adverse site conditions and adverse site conditions and adverse site co	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! Ier's certification, lend Operty Appraisa General Desc General Desc Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type A	ver X ve	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditioning Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Literating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning Individual Other	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area P	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 0 Deck NONE None None CHAIN LINK NONE	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms and information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Product (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1963 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encro REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher	ver X	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditioning Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Literating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Drye	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area Pricepla Woods Patio/I Pool Fence Other (r	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities Acc(s) # 0 Stove(s) # 0 Deck NONE None CHAIN LINK NONE describe)	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms and information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Product (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1963 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains:	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher Rooms	ALUMINUM Disposal Mer X Yes Yes A Yes A Yes A Yes MAS A Yes MAS A Hiles MAS MAS MAS MAS MAS MAS MAS MA	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions appraisal flood Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Linder Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryel 3.0 Bath(s)	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area Pricepla Woods Patio/I Pool Fence Other (r	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 0 Deck NONE None None CHAIN LINK NONE	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms are there any adverse site conditions or external forms are there any adverse site conditions or external forms are there any adverse site conditions or external forms are there are any adverse site conditions or external forms are the forms and adverse site conditions or external forms are the forms and adverse site conditions or external forms are the forms and adverse and adverse and a state of the forms are the forms and a state of the forms are the forms are the forms and a state of the forms are the	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher Rooms	ver X	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions appraisal flood Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Linder Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryel 3.0 Bath(s)	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area Pricepla Woods Patio/I Pool Fence Other (r	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities Acc(s) # 0 Stove(s) # 0 Deck NONE None CHAIN LINK NONE describe)	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms and information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Product (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1963 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains:	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Window Type Rooms etc.) DRIVEWAY,	ALUMINUM Disposal Micro AREVIEWED. THEI Ber certification su A Files MLS Cription Crawl Space Finished Stucco Somp METAL ALUMINUM Disposal Micro 7 Bedrooms LANDSCAPING, F	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, early a service appraisal flood Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Literating/Cooling FWA Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryel 3.0 Bath(s) ENCE PATIO.	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area Pricepla Woods Patio/I Pool Fence Other (2,25	FEM/ Yes	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms are there any adverse site conditions or external forms are there any adverse site conditions or external forms are there are any adverse site conditions or external forms are there are a sove grade contains: Are there any adverse and off-site improvements typical are above grade contains: Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are and off-site improvements typical are and off-site improvements typical forms are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are and off	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! Iter's certification, lend Operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls SROof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher ROOMS etc.) DRIVEWAY, Durce(s) (including apparent)	ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su Micr 8 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su Micr 9 Bedroom	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, early a service appraisal flood Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Literating/Cooling FWA Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryel 3.0 Bath(s) ENCE PATIO.	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area Pricepla Woods Patio/I Pool Fence Other (2,25	FEM/ Yes	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in OSS Living Area	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms and any adverse site conditions or external forms and adverse site conditions or external for external forms and adverse site conditions or external	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! Iter's certification, lend Operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls SROof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher ROOMS etc.) DRIVEWAY, Durce(s) (including apparent)	ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su Micr 8 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su Micr 9 Bedroom	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, early a service appraisal flood Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Literating/Cooling FWA Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryel 3.0 Bath(s) ENCE PATIO.	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area Pricepla Woods Patio/I Pool Fence Other (2,25	FEM/ Yes	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in OSS Living Area	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms and any adverse site conditions or external forms and adverse site conditions or external for external forms and adverse site conditions or external	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! Iter's certification, lend Operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls SROof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher ROOMS etc.) DRIVEWAY, Durce(s) (including apparent)	ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su Micr 8 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su Micr 9 Bedroom	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, early a service appraisal flood Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Literating/Cooling FWA Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryel 3.0 Bath(s) ENCE PATIO.	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area Pricepla Woods Patio/I Pool Fence Other (2,25	FEM/ Yes	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in OSS Living Area	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external forms and any adverse site conditions or external forms and adverse site conditions or external for external forms and adverse site conditions or external	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! Iter's certification, lend Operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls SROof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher ROOMS etc.) DRIVEWAY, Durce(s) (including apparent)	ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su Micr 8 Bedrooms 1 Ler Certification Su Micr 9 Bedroom	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, early a service appraisal flood Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Litheating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryel 3.0 Bath(s) ENCE PATIO.	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area Pricepla Woods Patio/I Pool Fence Other (2,25	FEM/ Yes	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in OSS Living Area	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external for the SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1963 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY EXTERIOR APPEARS TO LACK	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! Ier's certification, lend Operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher ROOMS etc.) DRIVEWAY, DUICE(S) (including apparel REGULAR MAINTENAN	ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, F 1, achments, environments, delice MLS 2 Yes A Yes A Yes A Yes MLS MLS MLS MLS Cription Crawl Space Finished Finished METAL ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, F Int needed repairs, delice	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions, ental condition	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area P	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 0 Deck None None CHAIN LINK NONE describe) 2 Square Feet of Gro	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in OSS Living Area	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical: Are there any adverse site conditions or external fit THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1963 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY EXTERIOR APPEARS TO LACK Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or add	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! Ier's certification, lend Operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher ROOMS etc.) DRIVEWAY, DUICE(S) (including apparel REGULAR MAINTENAN	ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, F 1, achments, environments, delice MLS 2 Yes A Yes A Yes A Yes MLS MLS MLS MLS Cription Crawl Space Finished Finished METAL ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, F Int needed repairs, delice	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions, ental condition	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area P	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 0 Deck None None CHAIN LINK NONE describe) 2 Square Feet of Gro	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in OSS Living Area	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical are there any adverse site conditions or external for the SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Production Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1963 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY EXTERIOR APPEARS TO LACK	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! Ier's certification, lend Operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher ROOMS etc.) DRIVEWAY, DUICE(S) (including apparel REGULAR MAINTENAN	ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, F 1, achments, environments, delice MLS 2 Yes A Yes A Yes A Yes MLS MLS MLS MLS Cription Crawl Space Finished Finished METAL ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, F Int needed repairs, delice	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions, ental condition	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area P	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 0 Deck None None CHAIN LINK NONE describe) 2 Square Feet of Gro	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in OSS Living Area	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical: Are there any adverse site conditions or external fit THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1963 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY EXTERIOR APPEARS TO LACK Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or add	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! Ier's certification, lend Operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher ROOMS etc.) DRIVEWAY, DUICE(S) (including apparel REGULAR MAINTENAN	ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, F 1, achments, environments, delice MLS 2 Yes A Yes A Yes A Yes MLS MLS MLS MLS Cription Crawl Space Finished Finished METAL ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, F Int needed repairs, delice	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions, ental condition	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area P	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 0 Deck None None CHAIN LINK NONE describe) 2 Square Feet of Gro	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in OSS Living Area	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical: Are there any adverse site conditions or external fit THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1963 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY EXTERIOR APPEARS TO LACK Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or add	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! Ier's certification, lend Operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Dishwasher ROOMS etc.) DRIVEWAY, DUICE(S) (including apparel REGULAR MAINTENAN	ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, F 1, achments, environments, delice MLS 2 Yes A Yes A Yes A Yes MLS MLS MLS MLS Cription Crawl Space Finished Finished METAL ALUMINUM Disposal Micr 7 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, F Int needed repairs, delice	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions, ental condition	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area P	FEM/ Prior Inspection PARCEL QUEST Menities ace(s) # 0 Deck None None CHAIN LINK NONE describe) 2 Square Feet of Gro	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in OSS Living Area	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical: Are there any adverse site conditions or external fithe Subject Is Above Grade. The TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1963 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY EXTERIOR APPEARS TO LACK Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ad If Yes, describe.	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Rooms etc.) DRIVEWAY, DURCE(S) (including apparent REGULAR MAINTENAN Exterior Walls Source(S) (including apparent REGULAR MAINTENAN Exterior Walls Source(S) (including apparent Source(S) (includ	ALUMINUM Disposal Micro Table Managements Mere Managements Mere Mere Mere Mere Mere Mere Mere Mere Mere	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions appraisal flood Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Literating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Towave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) ENCE PATIO. Therefore the conditions, remains and the conditions and the conditions are conditions.	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area P	FEM/ Yes Prior Inspection ARCEL QUEST Menities ACC(S) # 0 Deck NONE None CHAIN LINK NONE describe) 2 Square Feet of Gro C4;GENER	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway Veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in OSS Living Area RALLY WELL Yes No	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade
Gas FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical: Are there any adverse site conditions or external fit THE SUBJECT IS ABOVE GRADE. THE TITLE For flood information discrepancy with lend LOT SIZE TAKE FROM PLAT MAP Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pro Other (describe) General Description Units One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) TRADITIONAL Year Built 1963 Effective Age (Yrs) 30 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient items, Describe the condition of the property and data so PROPERTY EXTERIOR APPEARS TO LACK Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or add	Sanitary Sew No FEMA Flood Zo for the market area? actors (easements, encroa REPORT HAS NOT BEE! ler's certification, lend operty Appraisa General Desc Concrete Slab Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Rooms etc.) DRIVEWAY, DURCE(S) (including apparent REGULAR MAINTENAN Exterior Walls Source(S) (including apparent REGULAR MAINTENAN Exterior Walls Source(S) (including apparent Source(S) (includ	ALUMINUM Disposal Micro Table Managements Mere Managements Mere Mere Mere Mere Mere Mere Mere Mere Mere	FEMA Map # 06037 No If No, describe ental conditions, land uses, ental conditions appraisal flood Assessment and Tax Data Source for Gross Literating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other GAS Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Towave Washer/Dryer 3.0 Bath(s) ENCE PATIO. Therefore the conditions, remains and the conditions and the conditions are conditions.	Street ASPH Alley NONE C1075G etc.)? EUENCES I information Records iving Area P	FEM/ Yes Prior Inspection ARCEL QUEST Menities ACC(S) # 0 Deck NONE None CHAIN LINK NONE describe) 2 Square Feet of Gro C4;GENER	A Map Date No If Yes, of Property Car S None Driveway Veway Surface Garage Carport Attached Built-in OSS Living Area RALLY WELL Yes No	Owner Storage # of Cars 2 CONCRETE # of Cars 0 # of Cars 2 Detached Above Grade

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

57472 File # 2405-13

			the subject neighborho				99,000	
			the past twelve mont				,450,000	
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 1	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 2	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 3	
Address 13500 Borden Ave		14073 Beaver St		15074 Rosales St		14804 Ararat St		
Sylmar, CA 91342-2	2113	Sylmar, CA 91342-4	4106	Sylmar, CA 91342-2	2761	Sylmar, CA 91342-2	2003	
Proximity to Subject		1.41 miles SE		0.61 miles SW		0.23 miles N		
Sale Price	\$		\$ 940,000		\$ 700,000		\$ 773,000	
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 421.34 Sq.ft.		\$ 353.36 Sq.ft.		\$ 404.29 Sq.ft.		
Data Source(s)		CRMLSMLS#23158	780;DOM 7	CRMLSMLS#SR230		CRMLSMLS#SR231	36129;DOM 17	
Verification Source(s)		PARCEL Q#685669	482-A-5	PARCEL Q#143754	14 481-H-4	PARCEL Q#650885	481-J-2	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth		
Concessions		Conv;27000	-27,000			Conv;10000	-10,000	
Date of Sale/Time		s10/23;c09/23		s05/23;c04/23		s09/23;c08/23	10,000	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;		
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	FEE	0	FEE	0	FEE	0	
Site	9648 sf	7587 sf		9379 sf		6213 sf	+30,000	
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	+ 30,000	
Design (Style)	DT1;TRADITIONAL	DT1;TRADITIONAL		DT1;TRADITIONAL		DT2;TRADITIONAL	0	
Quality of Construction							U	
Actual Age	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4		
Condition	61	83		60		45	0	
Above Grade	C4	C3	-75,000		+75,000			
	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths				
Room Count	9 7 3.0	9 7 6.0	-30,000		0		+5,000	
Gross Living Area	2,252 sq.ft.	2,231 sq.ft.	+1,600		+20,300		+25,500	
Basement & Finished	Osf	Osf		Osf		Osf		
Rooms Below Grade								
Functional Utility	AVERAGE	AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		
Heating/Cooling	FAU/CENT	WALL/WALL	+15,000	WALL/EVAP	+15,000	FAU/CENT		
Energy Efficient Items	NONE	NONE		NONE		NONE		
Garage/Carport	2cp2dw	3dw	+10,000	2ga2dw	-10,000	2ga2dw	-10,000	
Porch/Patio/Deck	NONE	OPEN PATIO	-5,000	OPEN PATIO	-5,000	OPEN PATIO	-5,000	
POOL-SPA	NONE	NONE		NONE		POOL-SPA	-20,000	
Net Adjustment (Total)		+ X -	\$ -110,400	X +	\$ 95,300	X +	\$ 15,500	
` '		Net Adj. 11.7 %	-,	Net Adj. 13.6 %	'	Net Adj. 2.0 %		
■ Muiusieu oaie Mice								
Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables		Gross Adi. 17.4 %			\$ 795,300	Gross Adj. 13.6 %		
of Comparables	the sale or transfer histo	,	\$ 829,600	Gross Adj. 17.9 %	\$ 795,300	Gross Adj. 13.6 %		
of Comparables	the sale or transfer histo			Gross Adj. 17.9 %	\$ 795,300	Gross Adj. 13.6 %		
of Comparables	the sale or transfer histo		\$ 829,600	Gross Adj. 17.9 %	\$ 795,300	Gross Adj. 13.6 %		
of Comparables I did did not research t		ory of the subject prope	\$ 829,600 erty and comparable sale	Gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain				
of Comparables I did did not research to did did did did did did did d	not reveal any prior sale	ory of the subject prope	\$ 829,600	Gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain				
of Comparables I did did not research i My research did did id Data Source(s) PARCEL QUE	not reveal any prior sale	ory of the subject prope	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the sale sale sale sale sale sale sale sal	Gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the e	ffective date of this appi	raisal.		
of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did did did did did d	not reveal any prior sale EST not reveal any prior sale	ory of the subject prope	\$ 829,600 erty and comparable sale	Gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the e	ffective date of this appi	raisal.		
of Comparables I did did not research to did did did did did did did d	not reveal any prior sale IST not reveal any prior sale	ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the sale sale sale sale sale sale sales for the sale sale sale sale sale sale sale sal	Gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er	ffective date of this app	raisal.		
of Comparables I did did not research 1 My research did did did did did did did d	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior	ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co	\$ 829,600 Introduction and comparable sale bject property for the the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the subject property.	Gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of	ffective date of this application of the comparable (report additional prior	raisal. sale. sales on page 3).	\$ 788,500	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior	ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the sale sale sale sale sale sale sales for the sale sale sale sale sale sale sale sal	Gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of	ffective date of this app	raisal. sale. sales on page 3).		
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did not re	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior	ory of the subject prope es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co	\$ 829,600 Introduction and comparable sale bject property for the the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the subject property.	Gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of	ffective date of this application of the comparable (report additional prior	raisal. sale. sales on page 3).	\$ 788,500	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research did did not not did not not did not not did not not did not did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SL	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co es or transfers of the co r sale or transfer history JBJECT	\$ 829,600 Introduction and comparable sales Intro	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1	ffective date of this approximate of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA	\$ 788,500	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research did did not research to did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co es or transfers of the co r sale or transfer history JBJECT	\$ 829,600 Introduction and comparable sale bject property for the the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the subject property.	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1	ffective date of this application of the comparable (report additional prior	raisal. sale. sales on page 3).	\$ 788,500	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research to did did not research to did not not not did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co er sale or transfer history JBJECT	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the sales for the supparable sales for the s	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1	ffective date of this approximate of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA	\$ 788,500	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research did did not not not did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co er sale or transfer history JBJECT	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the sales for the supparable sales for the s	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (ffective date of this approximate of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE	\$ 788,500	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research to did did not research to did not not not did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co er sale or transfer history JBJECT	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (ffective date of this approximate of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE	\$ 788,500	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research to did did not research to did not not not did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co er sale or transfer history JBJECT	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (ffective date of this approximate of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE	\$ 788,500	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research to did did not research to did not not not did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co er sale or transfer history JBJECT	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (ffective date of this approximate of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE	\$ 788,500	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research to did did not research to did not not not did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co er sale or transfer history JBJECT	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (ffective date of this approximate of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE	\$ 788,500	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research to did did not research to did not not not did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co r sale or transfer history JBJECT	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (ffective date of this approximate of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE	\$ 788,500	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research to did did not research to did not not not did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 story of the subject pro	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co es or transfers of the co es or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s	\$ 829,600 Introductive and comparable sales bject property for the the support of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 PARCE 05/12/	ffective date of this approximate of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024	\$ 788,500 RABLE SALE #3	
of Comparables I did did not research to did not rese	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 story of the subject pro	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co es or transfers of the co r sale or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the sales for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A N SIZE, BETTER COM	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/	ffective date of this approximate of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024	RABLE SALE #3	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research did did not research to did not research t	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 story of the subject pro	es or transfers of the su es or transfers of the co es or transfers of the co es or transfers of the co es or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s en transfer history perty and comparable s	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the sales for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A N SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLE S.	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/	ffective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 1/2024 THS, NO CENTRAL HE 1, T THE APPRAISI	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S	RABLE SALE #3 ST ST SMALLER THAN EARCH	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research to did not researc	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 story of the subject pro proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALLI THE NORTH EAST P	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the correct or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s The most similar is the correct of the correct	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A N SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLE S. N SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLE S.	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ IDITION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM	ffective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 12024 THS, NO CENTRAL HE A, T THE APPRAISI THE MARKET WIT	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S H PAIRED SALES AN	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research did did not research to did not research t	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior SU PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 story of the subject pro proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALLI THE NORTH EAST P	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the correct or transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable s The most similar is the correct of the correct	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A N SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLE S. N SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLE S.	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ IDITION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM	ffective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 12024 THS, NO CENTRAL HE A, T THE APPRAISI THE MARKET WIT	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S H PAIRED SALES AN	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER	
of Comparables I did did not research to did not did not research to	proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALLI THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the sures or transfers of the correct of transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable sures of the correct of	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 SaleS N/A N SIZE, BETTER COM ECT, FEWER BATH THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ IDITION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM	ffective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 12024 THS, NO CENTRAL HE A, T THE APPRAISI THE MARKET WIT	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S H PAIRED SALES AN	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research to did not researc	proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALLI THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the sures or transfers of the correct of transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable sures of the correct of	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 SaleS N/A N SIZE, BETTER COM ECT, FEWER BATH THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ IDITION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM	ffective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 12024 THS, NO CENTRAL HE A, T THE APPRAISI THE MARKET WIT	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S H PAIRED SALES AN	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER	
of Comparables I did did not research to did not did not research to	proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALLI THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the sures or transfers of the correct of transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable sures of the correct of	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 SaleS N/A N SIZE, BETTER COM ECT, FEWER BATH THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ IDITION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM	ffective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 12024 THS, NO CENTRAL HE A, T THE APPRAISI THE MARKET WIT	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S H PAIRED SALES AN	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER	
of Comparables I did did not research to did not did not research to did not did not research to did not did not research to did not research to did not research to did	proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALL THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the sures or transfers of the correct of transfer history JBJECT The perty and comparable sures of the correct of the correc	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 SaleS N/A N SIZE, BETTER COM ECT, FEWER BATH THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ IDITION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM	ffective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 12024 THS, NO CENTRAL HE A, T THE APPRAISI THE MARKET WIT	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S H PAIRED SALES AN	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER	
of Comparables I did did not research to did not did not research to did not did not research to did not did not research to did not	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Story of the subject prop PROACH SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALL THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 82	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the sures or transfers of the correct of transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable sures of the correct of transfer history AT MOST SIMILAR II FOR THAN THE SUBJICART OF SYLMAR TISIZE MORE THAN	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property comparable sales for the subject property comparable sales for the subject property comparable sales not subject property comparable sales for the subject property comparable sales not subject property comp	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the effect of the effect of the date of the effect of th	ffective date of this application of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 THS, NO CENTRAL HEA, T THE APPRAISING THE MARKET WITHINDITION, \$10,000	raisal. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S H PAIRED SALES AN FULL BATH, \$5,000	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER D 1/2 BATH	
of Comparables I did did not research to did not did not research to did not did not research to did not did not research to did not research to did not research to did	not reveal any prior sale ST not reveal any prior sale ST and analysis of the prior PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Story of the subject prop PROACH SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALL THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 82	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the sures or transfers of the correct of transfer history JBJECT The perty and comparable sures of the correct of the correc	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 SaleS N/A N SIZE, BETTER COM ECT, FEWER BATH THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ IDITION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM BENCE. \$75,000 CO	ffective date of this application of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 THS, NO CENTRAL HEA, T THE APPRAISING THE MARKET WITHINDITION, \$10,000	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S H PAIRED SALES AN	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER D 1/2 BATH	
of Comparables I did did not research to did not did not research to did not did not research to did not did not research to did not	proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALLI THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SIGN Approach \$ 82 arison Approach \$ 82 arison Approach \$ 82 arison Approach \$ 82	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the sures or transfers of the correct of transfer history JBJECT perty and comparable sures of the correct of transfer history perty and comparable sures of the correct	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the sales for the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A IN SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLES OST FEWER BATH THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER ST WEIGHT Cost Approach (if device the sales is the sales	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ IDITION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM BENCE. \$75,000 CO	ffective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST Y2024 HS, NO CENTRAL HE A, T THE APPRAISI THE MARKET WIT DIDITION, \$10,000	raisal. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S H PAIRED SALES AN FULL BATH, \$5,000	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER D 1/2 BATH	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did not r	proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALL THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SIGN Approach \$ REFLECTS THE VALI ROTO TO SALE REFLECTS THE VALI STATE ROTO TO SALE REFLECTS THE VALI ROTO SALE REFLECTS THE VALI ROTO SALE REFLECTS THE VALI STATE ROTO SALE REFLECTS THE VALI ROTO SALE	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the cortansfers of the cortansfer history JBJECT Perty and comparable services	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the sales for the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A IN SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLES OST FEWER BATH THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER ST WEIGHT Cost Approach (if device the sales is the sales	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ IDITION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM BENCE. \$75,000 CO	ffective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST Y2024 HS, NO CENTRAL HE A, T THE APPRAISI THE MARKET WIT DIDITION, \$10,000	raisal. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S H PAIRED SALES AN FULL BATH, \$5,000	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER D 1/2 BATH	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did did not research did did not research did did not research to did did not research did did not research did did not research did not res	proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALL THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ REFLECTS THE VALIN HOMES IN THE ARI	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the correct of the correct of transfer history JBJECT Perty and comparable services of the correct of the cor	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the sales for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A IN SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLES OSTITUTE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER ST WEIGHT Cost Approach (if development). The CO	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ DITION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM BENCE. \$75,000 CO eloped) \$ 860,00 ST APPROACH IS ME	frective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 THS, NO CENTRAL HEA, T THE APPRAISING THE MARKET WITH INDITION, \$10,000 Income Apprentive.	raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 EAT OR A/C. SALE#2 ERS COMPARABLE S H PAIRED SALES AN FULL BATH, \$5,000 Droach (if developed) S THE INCOME APPROA	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER 1/2 BATH	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did not r	proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALL THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 Approach SALE#1 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 Approach SALE#1 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ 12 THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON APPROACH PO PER SQ	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the correct of the correct of the correct of the correct of transfer history JBJECT The perty and comparable services of the correct of the	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the sales for the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A N SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLES NSIZE, BETTER COMPARABLES THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER ST WEIGHT Cost Approach (if devo	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ DITION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM BENCE. \$75,000 CO ST APPROACH IS ME	ffective date of this application of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 2024 THS, NO CENTRAL HEA, T THE APPRAISING THE MARKET WITH DINDITION, \$10,000 Income Apperely Supportive.	raisal. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER 1/2 BATH ALYSIS. \$75 PER ACH IS N/A DUE TO have been	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did not r	proach SALE#3 SMALLI THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ REFLECTS THE VALI N HOMES IN THE ARI SIT SUBJECT to following repairs or a	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the contract of transfer history JBJECT The perty and comparable sures of the contract of the co	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the the subject property of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A IN SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLES NOSTER BATH THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER ST WEIGHT Cost Approach (if devices the subject property) Cost Approach (if devices the subject property) Cost Approach (if devices the subject property) So and specifications of is of a hypothetical cost of the subject property. The cost property is of a hypothetical cost property and specifications of is of a hypothetical cost property and specifications of is of a hypothetical cost property.	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ DITTION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM BENCE. \$75,000 CO ST APPROACH IS ME In the basis of a hypondition that the reparations of the sales on the basis of a hypondition that the reparations of the sales on the basis of a hypondition that the reparations of the sales of the sale	frective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 12024 THS, NO CENTRAL HEA, T THE APPRAISING THE MARKET WITH INDITION, \$10,000 Income Apprendiction of the properties of alterations have	raisal. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER 1/2 BATH ALYSIS. \$75 PER ACH IS N/A DUE TO have been	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did not r	proach SALE#3 SMALLI THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ REFLECTS THE VALI N HOMES IN THE ARI SIT SUBJECT to following repairs or a	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the contract of transfer history JBJECT The perty and comparable sures of the contract of the co	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the the subject property of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A IN SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLES NOSTER BATH THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER ST WEIGHT Cost Approach (if devices the subject property) Cost Approach (if devices the subject property) Cost Approach (if devices the subject property) So and specifications of is of a hypothetical cost of the subject property. The cost property is of a hypothetical cost property and specifications of is of a hypothetical cost property and specifications of is of a hypothetical cost property.	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ DITTION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM BENCE. \$75,000 CO ST APPROACH IS ME In the basis of a hypondition that the reparations of the sales on the basis of a hypondition that the reparations of the sales on the basis of a hypondition that the reparations of the sales of the sale	frective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 12024 THS, NO CENTRAL HEA, T THE APPRAISING THE MARKET WITH INDITION, \$10,000 Income Apprendiction of the properties of alterations have	raisal. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER 1/2 BATH ALYSIS. \$75 PER ACH IS N/A DUE TO have been	
of Comparables I did did not research to did not did not research to did not did not research to did not	proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALLI THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ Arison	es or transfers of the sures or transfers of the contract of transfer history JBJECT The perty and comparable sures of the contract of the co	\$ 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the the subject property of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 Sales N/A IN SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLES NOSTER BATH THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER ST WEIGHT Cost Approach (if device the subject property) Cost Approach (if device the subject property) Cost Approach (if device the subject property) So and specifications of its of a hypothetical content of the condition or deficite the subject property.	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (PARCE 05/12/ DITTION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FRON BENCE. \$75,000 CO ST APPROACH IS ME In the basis of a hypondition that the repaincy does not require	frective date of this apprint of sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST 12024 THS, NO CENTRAL HEA, T THE APPRAISING THE MARKET WITH INDITION, \$10,000 Income Apprentice of the sale o	raisal. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 PARCEL QUE 05/12/2004 PARCEL QUE 05/12/2004 PARCEL QUE 05/12/2004 THE INCOMPARABLE SANE SANE SANE SANE SANE SANE SANE SAN	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER 1/2 BATH have been subject to the	
of Comparables I did did not research to did did not research did not r	proach SALE# IGN SALE#3 SMALL THE NORTH EAST PO PER SQ FT FOR LO IZE & MOST RECENT SON Approach \$ REFLECTS THE VALU N HOMES IN THE ARI SIST, Subject to following repairs or a sed on the extraordina of the exterior are.	perty and comparable some strains of the subject property and some strains on the basing assumption that the subject property and subject property	# 829,600 Introduction of the subject property for the the subject property of the subject property COMPARABLE S. PARCEL QUEST 05/12/2024 SaleS N/A N SIZE, BETTER COMPARABLES THE ADJUSTMENTS 2000 SQ FT DIFFER ST WEIGHT Cost Approach (if device property the condition of deficie property from at lease property f	gross Adj. 17.9 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the er year prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 (0 PARCE 05/12/ DITTION, MORE BAT S, HAS POOL & SPA ARE DERIVED FROM BENCE. \$75,000 CO ST APPROACH IS ME on the basis of a hyperondition that the reparency does not require	frective date of this application of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 EL QUEST (2024 HS, NO CENTRAL HE A, T THE APPRAISI THE MARKET WITH DINDITION, \$10,000 Income Application of the comparation of the comparation of repair: d scope of work, store of the comparable of the comparation	raisal. sales on page 3). COMPA PARCEL QUE 05/12/2024 PARCEL QUE 05/12/2	RABLE SALE #3 ST SMALLER THAN EARCH ALYSIS. \$75 PER D 1/2 BATH ALYSIS. \$75 PER D 1/2 BATH SACH IS N/A DUE TO have been Subject to the	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

57472 File # 2405-13

THE INTENDED USER OF THIS APPRAISAL IS THE LENDER/CLIENT, FHA/HUD & SUCCES	SORS & ASSIGNS. THE INTENDE	D USER IS TO	EVALUATE THE PRO	PERTY TH	IAT IS THE
SUBJECT OF THIS APPRAISAL FOR A MORTGAGE FINANCE TRANSACTION SUBJECT TO	THE STATED SCOPE OF WORK,	PURPOSE OF	THE APPRAISAL , RE	PORTING	
REQUIREMENTS OF THIS APPRAISAL REPORT FORM & DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE	. NO ADDITIONAL INTENDED USE	RS ARE IDEN	TIFIED BY THE APPI	RAISER.	
THE EFFECTIVE AGE IS LOWER THAN THE ACTUAL AGE DUE TO ROUTINE MAINTENANCE	F & IIPKEEP PROLONGING THE	FSTIMATED R	FMAINING FCONOMI	C LIFE	
THE ETTEOTIVE AGE TO COVER THAN THE ACTORE AGE DOE TO HOOTHE MAINTENANCE	or a or acci i noconana inc	LOTHINATED III	LINAMINA LOGICANI	O LII L.	
The address reported on the appraisal form is according to US Postal Service records	as required by HAD format. Th	o title compa	ny ronarte tha aity a	r county :	addrace
	as required by OAD Tormat.	ie titie compa	ily reports the city o	i county a	auui 633
and the title report may or may not match to USPS records".					
I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the	property that is the subject of	this report wit	thin the three-year p	eriod imm	nediately
preceding acceptance of this assignment.					
COST ADDDOACH TO VALUE	(not required by Eannia Man)				
	(not required by Fannie Mae)				
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns.				
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the comparable land sales or other methods.	ns. mating site value) Cos t		ed from Marshall-Sv	vift.	The
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns. mating site value) Cos t		ed from Marshall-Sv	vift.	The
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the provided in the control of the comparable land sales or other methods for estimate the control of	ns. mating site value) Cos t		ed from Marshall-Sv	vift.	The
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction) improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction) in the content of the co	ns. mating site value) Cost actions process) the comparable		ed from Marshall-Sv		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements).	ns. mating site value) Cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE	es used.		_ =\$	500,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements).	ns. mating site value) Cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252	es used.	ed from Marshall-Sv	_ =\$ _ =\$	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstrict lands of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstrict lands of lands to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstrict lands of lands to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstrict lands of lands o	ns. mating site value) Cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252	es used.		=\$ =\$ =\$	500,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the	ns. mating site value) Cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	500,000 563,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements of land to improvements on Cost Adam of Marshall-Swift of the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements on Cost Adam of land to improvements or Cost Adam of land to improvements or Cost Adam of land to improvements or service as a service of land to improvements or Cost Adam of land to	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 395	es used.		=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	500,000 563,000 7,980
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area.	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New	2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	500,000 563,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data object of	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using land to improvements is typical for the area.	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Functional	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements or land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements or land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Functional	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Functional	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements of cost data i	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Functional	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements of cost data i	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Functional	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data of	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	250.00 20.00 External	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimated of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data of	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation January Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	250.00 20.00 External	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data o	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ E APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	250.00 20.00 External	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements in typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) INCOME PROJECT INFORMATION	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ E APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABLE I FOR PUDS (if applicable)	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft.	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimation of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data marshall-swift ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data marshall-swift Quality rating from cost service ave Effective date of cost data 08/01/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALL Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) INCOMI	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ E APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL IFOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Attach	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements of cost data indicated of cost d	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ E APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL IFOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Attach	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) INCOMI PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project	mating site value) cost cactions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ E APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL I FOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Indicated the subject property is an attached	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Attach	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction of cost data improvements date of cost data improvements on cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Solvens INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) INCOME PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units	mating site value) cost cost cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cos	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Attach	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data in the abstraction of cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE in the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA as Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Depreciation "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ EAPPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL IFOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached the subject property is an attached the subject property is an attached Data source(s)	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Attach	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) INCOME PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	mating site value) cost cost cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cos	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Attach	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) INCOME PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ E APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached nd the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 9 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 9 Sq.Ft.	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) INCOME PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Depreciation "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ EAPPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL IFOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached the subject property is an attached the subject property is an attached Data source(s)	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 9 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 9 Sq.Ft.	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estination of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstration of cost data indicated by(using area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) INCOME PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA at Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ E APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached nd the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 9 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 9 Sq.Ft.	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the provided in the	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ E APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL IFOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of complete	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. & \$ 8 Sq.Ft.	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the provided in the	ns. mating site value) cost actions process) the comparable OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 2,252 Garage/Carport 399 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation 310,980 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ E APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached nd the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. & \$ 8 Sq.Ft.	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the project for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Are the common elements leased to or by the Homeowners' Association? Yes Are the common elements leased to or by the Homeowners' Association? Yes Are the common elements leased to or by the Homeowners' Association? Yes Are the common elements leased to or by the Homeowners' Association? Yes No Externance of the project or building support or market rent and project or sale was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the common elements leased to or by the Homeowners' Association? Yes No Data Source(s)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ E APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL IFOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of complete	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. & \$ 8 Sq.Ft.	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estinated of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstraction cost service ave Effective date of cost data 08/01/2021 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used NO EXTERNAL OR FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 30 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) INCOMI PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Total number of units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPR IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ E APPROACH IS NOT APPLICABL IFOR PUDS (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of complete	es used. 2 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 3 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 4 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 5 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 6 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 7 Sq.Ft. @ \$ 8 Sq.Ft. & \$ 8 Sq.Ft.	250.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==	500,000 563,000 7,980 570,980 310,980) 260,000 100,000

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # 240

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

A	
APPRAISER A P R	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Joseph P BALDINO	Name
Company Name REAL ESTATE APPRAISER	Company Name
Company Address 3101 MESA VERDE DR	Company Address
BURBANK, CA 91504	
Telephone Number (818) 768-6147	Telephone Number
Email Address <u>Joeappraiser11@aol.com</u>	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 05/12/2024	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/11/2024	State Certification #
State Certification # AR001957	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License <u>02/12/2025</u>	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDDECC OF DDODEDTY ADDDAIGED	☐ Did not inspect exterior of subject property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
13500 Borden Ave	Date of Inspection
Sylmar, CA 91342-2113	
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 825,000	COMPARABLE SALES
LENDER/CLIENT	CUIVIPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name <u>wedgewood inc</u>	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach BL, Suite 100, REDONDO	Date of Inspection
BEACH, CA 90276	
Fmail Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 57472 File # 2405-13

FEATURE	SUBJ	ECT		COMF	PARAB	LE S	SALE # 4	CO	MPARABI	LE SALE # 5		COM	PARABL	E SALE # 6
Address 13500 Borden Ave			1364	1 Glenoa	aks Bl	vd				-				
Sylmar, CA 91342-2	113		Ranci	ho Casc	ades,	CA	91342-1317							
Proximity to Subject				miles W										
Sale Price	\$					\$	1,100,000			\$				\$
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$	sq.ft.	\$	455.86	sq.ft.	_	-,,	\$	sq.ft.		\$		sq.ft.	
Data Source(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					860;DOM 84		· ·					
Verification Source(s)				EL Q#4										
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRI	IPTION	1	SCRIPTI		_	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRII	PTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing			ArmLt			\top	. () +			() +				() + 1.0,000
Concessions			Conv;											
Date of Sale/Time				3;c06/2	2									
Location	NiDooi				<u>. </u>	+	-25,000							
Leasehold/Fee Simple	N;Res;		A;Bsy	nu,		+	-25,000							
Site	Fee Simple	<u>e</u>	FEE			+								
View	9648 sf		2054			+	-100,000							
Design (Style)	N;Res;		N;Res			-								
	DT1;TRAD	ITIONAL		RADITI	DNAL	+								
Quality of Construction	Q4		Q4			+								
Actual Age	61		46			+	0							
Condition	C4		C4			+								
Above Grade	Total Bdrn	ns. Baths	Total	Bdrms.	Baths	_		Total Bdrm	s. Baths		Total	Bdrms.	Baths	
Room Count	9 7		9	5	4.0	_	-10,000							
Gross Living Area	2,2	252 sq.ft.		2,413	sq.ft.	4	-12,100		sq.ft.				sq.ft.	
Basement & Finished	0sf		0sf											
Rooms Below Grade														
Functional Utility	AVERAGE		AVER	AGE		\perp								
Heating/Cooling	FAU/CENT		FAU/C											
Energy Efficient Items	NONE		NONE											
Garage/Carport	2cp2dw		3ga30	dw			-20,000							
Porch/Patio/Deck	NONE		NONE				•							
POOL-SPA	NONE		NONE											
Net Adjustment (Total)				+ 5	K -	\$	-167,100	+	П-	\$		+ [٦.	\$
Adjusted Sale Price			Net Ac		15.2 %			Net Adj.	%		Net A		%	*
of Comparables			Gross		15.2 %			Gross Adj.		\$	Gross		%	\$
Report the results of the research a	and analysis	of the prio												Ψ
ITEM	and undryolo		JBJECT		1110101	y 01	COMPARABLE SA		_	OMPARABLE SALE #			<u>, </u>	ABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer		- 00	JDULUI				OOWII AITABLE OA	LL # 4		OWN ANADEL OALL #	J		OWII AII	ADEL OALL # 0
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer														
Data Source(s)			_											
E(()' D (D 0 ()		EL QUES	Ī				RCEL QUEST							
		2/2024					12/2024							
Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	story of the s	subject pro	perty ar	na comp	arable s	sale	S THE	COMPARA	BLES HA	VE TRANSFERRED AS	STAT	ED ABO	VE.	
Analysis/Comments #4 IS 0	NLY ON TH	IE REPOR	RT BEC	AUSE Y	OU RE	EQU	IRE A SALE THE	IS LARGER	THAN T	HE SUBJECT				

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

57472

File No. 2405-13

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl				nevai	ent in the Sub	Ject			
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all apprair Property Address 13500 Borden Ave	isai reports with an enectiv	City Sylmar	:009.	St	ate ca	7IP (Code 9134	2_2	112
Borrower REDWOOD HOLDINGS		Only Symman			uio GA		0000 9134	-2	113
Instructions: The appraiser must use the information req housing trends and overall market conditions as reported it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as in explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will in the analysis. If data sources provide the required informaverage. Sales and listings must be properties that comp	d in the Neighborhood secti ndicated below. If any requi I be able to provide data for mation as an average instea bete with the subject proper	on of the appraisal report f ired data is unavailable or i the shaded areas below; it ad of the median, the appra ty, determined by applying	orm. The appraiser must fill s considered unreliable, the a f it is available, however, the aiser should report the availa the criteria that would be us	in all t apprai appra ble fig	the information ser must provoliser must included ture and identi	n to the ride an lude the ify it as	e extent ne data s an		
subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal	•		ruction, foreclosures, etc. Current – 3 Months	_		Over	rall Trand		
Inventory Analysis Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	O O	╁	Increasing		rall Trend Stable	Y	Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	0.17	1.00	N/A	╁	Increasing	1			Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	0	0	4		Declining	X S			Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	5.9	1.0	6.1		Declining			X	Increasing
Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months	+			rall Trend		D !: :
Median Comparable Sale Price Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	1300000	1,150,000	N/A	╬	Increasing Declining	X S		1	Declining Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	200 N/A	7 N/A	N/A 1,374,000	┢	Increasing	_		_	Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	N/A	N/A	23	X	Declining	1	Stable		Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	98	110	N/A		Increasing	X S	Stable	Ī	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p		X No			Declining	X S			Increasing
Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas	st 12 months (e.g., seller co	ontributions increased from	3% to 5%, increasing use o	f buy	downs, closin	g costs	s, condo		
fees, options, etc.). THE AVERAGE IS USED NO			NOT PREVALENT. THERE	ARE S	OME BUT N	IOSTL	Y ONLY RE	0 S	ALES. NO
INCREASE OR DECREASE IN SELLER CONCESSION	IS IN THE PAST 12 MON	THS							
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?	? Yes 🗶 No	o If yes, explain (includ	ing the trends in listings and	sales	of foreclosed	prope	erties).		
FORECLOSURE & REO SALES ARE NOT A FACTO	OR IN THE MARKET AT T	HIS TIME. % OF REO &	FORECLOSURE SALES L	ESS	THAN 1/2%				
%.									
Cite data sources for above information.	-CI AW								
Cite data sources for above information. CRMLS	-CLAW								
Cite data sources for above information. CRMLS	-CLAW								
Summarize the above information as support for your co	onclusions in the Neighborh	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	-			such as		
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	ur conclusions, provide bot	th an explanation and suppor	t for y	our conclusio	ns.			
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID &	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List	th an explanation and suppor	t for y	our conclusion	DICAT	TE A STABI		TREND IN
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale He Subject Property. I	t for y RAT	our conclusion of the conclusi	DICAT	TE A STABI		TREND IN
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale He Subject Property. I	t for y RAT	our conclusion of the conclusi	DICAT	TE A STABI		TREND IN
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrav THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE I	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale He Subject Property. I	t for y RAT	our conclusion of the conclusi	DICAT	TE A STABI		FREND IN
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent the past 12 months for properties in the periodence that all other properties in the propert	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale He Subject Property. I	t for y RAT	our conclusion of the conclusi	DICAT	TE A STABI		FREND IN
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent the past 12 months for properties in the periodence that all other properties in the propert	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale He Subject Property. I	t for y RAT	our conclusion of the conclusi	DICAT	TE A STABI		TREND IN
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent the past 12 months for properties in the periodence that all other properties in the propert	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M	ur conclusions, provide bot Average sale & List Re comparable to th	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale He Subject Property. I	t for y RAT	our conclusion of the conclusi	DICAT	TE A STABI		TREND IN
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent the past 12 months for properties in the evidence that all other properties in the evi	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale He subject property. I Of property that is c	t for y	our conclusion of the conclusi	DICAT	TE A STABI		FREND IN
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent the past 12 months for properties in the periodence that all other properties in the propert	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND	th an explanation and suppor Price Dom & List Sale He Subject Property. I	t for y	our conclusion of the conclusi	DICAT S NOT	TE A STABI		TREND IN
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray the overall trends reported on the invent the past 12 months for properties in the evidence that all other properties is a unit in a condomination of the evidence that all other properties is a unit in a condomination of the evidence that all other properties is a unit in a condomination of the evidence that all other properties is a unit in a condomination of the evidence that all other properties is a unit in a condomination of the evidence that all other properties is a unit in a condomination of the evidence that all other properties is a unit in a condomination of the evidence that all other properties is a unit in a condomination of the evidence that all other properties is a unit in a condomination o	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND project, complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing:	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C	t for y	our conclusion of the conclusi	Ons. DICAT S NOT THE SI	FE A STABI CONCLUS UBJECT	IVE	TREND IN
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE PEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PEVIDENCE THA	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND project, complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing:	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C	t for y	OUT CONCLUSION OUT OF THIS IS OUT OF THIS IS	Over	re a stabi conclus ubject		Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE PEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PEVIDENCE THA	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you FORY ANALYSIS GRID & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND project, complete the follow	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing:	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C	t for y	Increasing Declining	Over	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE PEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PEVIDENCE THAT ALL O	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE TO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE OF TOOL OF THE EVIDENCE OF THE EV	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE PEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PEVIDENCE THAT ALL O	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE TO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE OF TOOL OF THE EVIDENCE OF THE EV	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE TO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE OF TOOL OF THE EVIDENCE OF THE EV	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE TO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE OF TOOL OF THE EVIDENCE OF THE EV	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE TO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE OF TOOL OF THE EVIDENCE OF THE EV	onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you rory analysis grid & NEIGHBORHOOD THAT A NEIGHBORHOOD ARE M JE TREND project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	ur conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT TOO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. NOT A CONDO	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes _ Yes _ No	ar conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months Of If yes, indicate the number of the second s	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE EVIDENCE TO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE OF TOOL OF THE EVIDENCE OF THE EV	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes _ Yes _ No.	ar conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months Of If yes, indicate the number of the second s	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT TOO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. NOT A CONDO	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes _ Yes _ No.	ar conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months Of If yes, indicate the number of the second s	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT TOO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. NOT A CONDO	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes _ Yes _ No.	ar conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months Of If yes, indicate the number of the second s	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT TOO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. NOT A CONDO	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes _ Yes _ No.	ar conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months Of If yes, indicate the number of the second s	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT TOO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. NOT A CONDO	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes _ Yes _ No.	ar conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months Of If yes, indicate the number of the second s	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT TOO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. NOT A CONDO	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes _ Yes _ No.	ar conclusions, provide bot AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months Of If yes, indicate the number of the second s	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT TOO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE of Few Sales To DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of foreclosed properties. NOT A CONDO Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes _ Yes _ No.	AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months D If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE PEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE INTOO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project? foreclosed properties. NOT A CONDO Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Signature	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes _ Yes _ No.	AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months D If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months Imber of REO listings and ex	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE INVENT TOO FEW SALES TO DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE of Few Sales To DETERMINE A DEFINITE VALUE of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project of foreclosed properties. NOT A CONDO Summarize the above trends and address the impact on	project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Yes _ Yes _ No.	AVERAGE SALE & LIST RE COMPARABLE TO TH IIRRORING THE TREND Wing: Prior 4–6 Months D If yes, indicate the nu	th an explanation and suppor PRICE DOM & LIST SALE IE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE PEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE PE	project , complete the followard Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project	wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of Supervisory A Company Additional Company Addits Additional Company Additional Company Additional Company Addit	PRICE DOM & LIST SALE HE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name me dress	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE INVENT THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR PROPERTIES IN THE PEVIDENCE THAT ALL OTHER PROPERTIES IN THE PE	project , complete the followard Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project	wing: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the number of Supervisory A Company Additional Company Addits Additional Company Additional Company Additional Company Addit	PRICE DOM & LIST SALE HE SUBJECT PROPERTY. I OF PROPERTY THAT IS C Project N Current – 3 Months Appraiser Name me	t for y	Increasing Declining Declining	Over S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	rall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable		Declining Declining Increasing

Appraiser Independence Certification

I do hereby certify, I have followed the appraiser independence safeguards in compliance with Appraisal Independence and any applicable state laws I may be required to comply with. This includes but is not limited to the following:

- I am currently licensed and/or certified by the state in which the property to be appraised is located My license is the appropriate license for the appraisal assignment(s) and is reflected on the appraisal report.
- I certify that there have been no sanctions against me for any reason that would impair my ability to perform appraisals pursuant to the required guidelines.

or any compa to influ	rt that no employee, director, officer, or agent of other third party acting as joint venture partner, in ny, or partner on behalf of wedgewood uence the development, reporting, result, or review on, compensation, inducement, intimidation, bribery, o	dependent contractor, appraisal management of the contractor, influenced, or attempted of my appraisal through coercion, extortion,
I furthe	er assert that xome valuation llc ng prohibited behavior in our business relationship:	·
1)	Withholding or threatening to withhold timely paymen	nt or partial payment for an appraisal report;
2)	Withholding or threatening to withhold future busine threatening to demote or terminate me;	ess with me, or demoting or terminating or
3)	Expressly or impliedly promising future business,	promotions, or increased compensation for

- 4) Conditioning the ordering of my appraisal report or the payment of my appraisal fee or salary or bonus on the opinion, conclusion, or valuation to be reached, or on a preliminary value estimate requested from me;
- 5) Requesting that I provide an estimated, predetermined, or desired valuation in an appraisal report prior to the completion of the appraisal report, or requesting that I provide estimated values or comparable sales at any time prior to my completion of an appraisal report;
- 6) Provided me an anticipated, estimated, encouraged, or desired value for a subject property or a proposed or target amount to be loaned to the borrower, except that a copy of the sales contract for purchase transactions may be provided;
- 7) Provided to me, or my appraisal company, or any entity or person related to me as appraiser, appraisal company, stock or other financial or non-financial benefits;
- 8) Any other act or practice that impairs or attempts to impair my independence, objectivity, or impartiality or violates law or regulation, including, but not limited to, the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) and Regulation Z, or the USPAP.

Augh P. Balchia	05/40/9004	
	05/12/2024	
Signat <mark>ure /</mark>	Date	
JOSEPH P BALDINO	AR001957	
Appraiser's Name	State License or Certification #	
IFA	02/12/2025	CA
State Title or Designation	Expiration Date of License or Certification	State
13500 Borden Ave. Svimar. CA 91342-2113		

Address of Property Appraised

myself;

05/13

Supplemental Addendum

		ouppicincintal Addendam	1 116	NU. 2405-13
Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	13500 Borden Ave			
City	Sylmar	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91342-2113
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			

File No. 0405 40

THIS IS AN APPRAISAL REPORT NOT A SUMMARY REPORT AS STATED ON THE PREPROGRAMMED APPRAISAL FORM NO PERSONAL PROPERTY WAS INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT

I have performed no (or the specified) services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

• Exterior-Only : Neighborhood - Description

The subject is located in a mature stable area. It is in good proximity to many diversified financial, industrial, service & movie industry centers. Neighborhood shows average to good maintenance. All conveniences & supporting services(markets, gas stations, etc) are within 2 miles & are along the traffic arteries on Glenoaks & Van Nuys. The subject is less than one mile east of the 210 fwy. The subject is located within 20 minutes from major retail, entertainment and employment opportunities in Burbank, Glendale & Pasadena.

The subject is 30 to 60 minutes from downtown Los Angeles

The subject is 30 to 60 minutes from downtown Los Angeles.

EXPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT IS 1 TO 3 MONTHS. EXPOSURE TIME IS THE LENGTH OF TIME THE PROPERTY INTEREST BEING APPRAISED WOULD HAVE BEEN OFFERED ON THE MARKET PRIOR TO THE HYPOTHETICAL CONSUMMATION OF A SALE AT THE VALUE ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL. IT IS A RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS BASED ON AN ANALYSIS OF PAST EVENTS ASSUMING A COMPETITIVE & OPEN MARKET. EXPOSURE TIME IS ALWAYS PRESUMED TO OCCUR PRIOR TO EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE APPRAISAL.

NO EMPLOYEE, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OR AGENT OF THE LENDER, OR ANY OTHER THIRD PARTY ACTING AS A JOINT VENTURE PARTNER, INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR, APPRAISAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY, OR PARTNER ON BEHALF OF THE LENDER, SHALL INFLUENCE OR ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DEVELOPMENT, REPORTING RESULT OR REVIEW OF AN APPRAISAL THROUGH COERCION, EXTORTION, COLLUSION, COMPENSATION, INSTRUCTION, INDUCEMENT, INTIMIDATION, BRIBERY OR IN ANY OTHER MANNER.

I HAVE NOT BEEN CONTACTED BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE INTENDED USER(LENDER/CLIENT AS IDENTIFIED ON THE FIRST PAGE OF THE REPORT), BORROWER, OR DESIGNATED CONTACT TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO ENTER THE PROPERTY. I AGREE TO IMMEDIATELY REPORT ANY UNAUTHORIZED CONTACTS EITHER PERSONALLY BY PHONE OR ELECTRONICALLY TO CONSOLIDATED ANALYTICS

THE APPRAISER S OFFICE IS WITHIN 15 MILES OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. IN THE PAST 5 YEARS I HAVE COMPLETED 10 TO 15 APPRAISALS A YEAR IN THIS AREA. I HAVE ACCESS TO CLAW MLS & CRMLS THE 2 DOMINANT MLS SERVICES IN THE AREA. I LIVE IN THE SUBJECT COUNTY & HAVE PERFORMED APPRAISALS IN THE ARE FOR OVER 40 YEARS.

HIGHEST & BEST USE WAS DETERMINED AS IMPROVED BASED ON ITS CURRENT USE BEING LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE. PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE & MOST PROFITABLE

57472 File No. **2405-13**

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C/

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and ungrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
А	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk AdjPwr	Adjacent to Park	Location
ArmLth	Adjacent to Power Lines Arms Length Sale	Location Sale or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
В	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash Commercial Influence	Sale or Financing Concessions Location
Comm	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
Ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway Evoiration Data	Garage/Carport
Estate	Expiration Date Estate Sale	Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Estate Sale Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
g	Garage	Garage/Carport
ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-in Garage	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Lndfl	Landfill	Location View
LtdSght MR	Limited Sight Mid-rise	Design (Style)
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
0	Other	Design (Style)
ор	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location Solo or Financing Concessions
Relo REO	Relocation Sale REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown Veterana Administration	Date of Sale/Time
VA w	Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date	Sale or Financing Concessions Date of Sale/Time
wo	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Woods	Woods View	View
Wtr	Water View	View
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade

Subject Photo Page

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	13500 Borden Ave			
City	Sylmar	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91342-2113
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



Subject Front

13500 Borden Ave

Sales Price Gross Living Area 2,252 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 9648 sf Quality Q4 Age 61





Subject Street



Comparable Photo Page #1-3

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	13500 Borden Ave			
City	Sylmar	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91342-2113
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



Comparable 1

14073 Beaver St

Prox. to Subject 1.41 miles SE Sale Price 940,000 Gross Living Area 2,231 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 7 Total Bathrooms 6.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 7587 sf Quality Q4 Age 83



Comparable 2

15074 Rosales St

Prox. to Subject 0.61 miles SW Sale Price 700,000 Gross Living Area 1,981 Total Rooms 9 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 9379 sf Quality Q4 Age 60



Comparable 3

14804 Ararat St

Prox. to Subject 0.23 miles N Sale Price 773,000 Gross Living Area 1,912 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 4 Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6213 sf Quality Q4 Age 45

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	13500 Borden Ave			
City	Sylmar	County Los Angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91342-2113
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



Comparable 4

13641 Glenoaks Blvd

Prox. to Subject 0.58 miles W Sale Price 1,100,000 Gross Living Area 2,413 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 5 Total Bathrooms 4.0 A;BsyRd; Location View N;Res; Site 20547 sf Quality Q4 Age 46

Comparable 5

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

Comparable 6

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age

PUBLIC RECORDS

5/10/24, 3:41 PM Property Detail Printout



County Last Updated: 04/30/2024

Property Location

Zip: 91342-Address: 13500 BORDEN AVE City: SYLMAR

Use Code: Single Family County: Los Angeles APN#: 2502-010-050

Tract: 19273 Census Tract: 1064.06 Zone: LARS

Legal Desc: TRACT NO 19273 LOT 5 Map Page/Grid: 481/ J3 Total Assessed Value: 669,306 Tax Amount: 8,562.90

Tax Year / Assessor Year: 2023 / 2023 Percent Improvement: 0.49

Current Owner Information

Current Owner: 13500 BORDEN LLC Owner Address: PO BOX 36095

City, State, Zip: LOS ANGELES, CA, 90036-95 Owner Occupied: No

Last Transaction: 08/31/2018 Deed Type: deed of trust Amount: 495,000 Document: 0000885265

Last Sale Information

Transferred From: BENAGE LLC Seller Address:

Prior Recording / Sale Date: 05/03/2013 / 04/24/2013 Recording / Sale Date: 09/22/2016 / 09/06/2016

Most Recent Sale Price: 600,000 Prior Sale Price: 345,000 Document Number: 0001152820 Prior Document No.: 0000669495

Document Type: grant deed/deed of trust Prior Document Type: grant deed/deed of trust

Lender Information

Lender: COMMUNITY BK Full/Partial: F

Loan Amount / 2nd Trust 780,000 / Loan Type: conventional variable Deed:

Physical Information

Basement Unfinished: 0

Lot Size Sqft / 9,601 / Building Area: 2,252 # of Bedrooms: 7 Acreage: 0.22

of Bathrooms: 3.00 Additional: 0 Year Built / Effective: 1963 / 0 Garage: 0 # of Stories: 1 Heating: Central First Floor: 0 Total Rooms: 11 Cooling: yes Second Floor: 0 # of Units: 0 Roof Type: Garage/Carport: Garage Third Floor: 0 Construction/Quality: / 0 Basement Finished: 0 Fireplaces: 0 **Building Shape:**


Pool/Spa: Yes

©2024 Copyright All Rights Reserved. ParcelQuest www.parcelquestappraise.com

https://www.parcelquestappraise.com/Search/Property_Detail_Report.aspx?PID=30869535&FIPS=06037

View:

PLAT MAP



CMA

Quick	CMA	Report
-------	-----	--------

			Br/Ba	Sqft	LSqft	List Price	Sold Price	\$/Sqft	SP/LP%
Total Listings:	16	Maximum:	7/6	2,413	167,375	\$1,399,999	\$1,450,000	\$783.36	
Total on Market:	2	Minimum:	3/2	1,834	6,004	\$650,000	\$685,000	\$353.36	
Sold/Exp Ratio:	0.00	Average:	4/3	2,018	44,384	\$908,072	\$895,214	\$466.23	
		Median:	4/3	1,980	20,275	\$847,250	\$797,500	\$414.21	

Active											
Address	City	YrBuilt	Sale Type	List Date	Br	Bath	CDOM	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Price
14448 Tyler ST	SYL	1938	STD	02/03/2024	3	2	27/27	1,956	21,815	\$587.93	\$1,150,000
13201 Dronfield AV	SYL	1957	STD	04/07/2024	4	3	33/179	2,292	20,002	\$610.82	\$1,399,999
				Maximum:	4/	3	179	2,292	21,815	\$610.82	\$1,399,999
				Minimum:	3	2	27	1,956	20,002	\$587.93	\$1,150,000
				Average:	4	3	103	2,124	20,909	\$599.38	\$1,275,000
				Median:	4	3	103	2,124	20,909	\$599.38	\$1,275,000

								COST MYTERIA	CONTROVE NO	DOMESTICAL COLUMN	ABATTERS CONTRACTOR
Closed											
Address	CIA.	V-DIIA	Cala Tuna	COE D-1-		Bath	СДОМ	C-6	16-6	+15-5	Dut-
Audress	City	YrBuilt	Sale Type	COE Date	Br	Datii	CDOM	Sqft	LSqft	\$/Sqft	Pric
14682 Forest Edge DR	SYL	2007	STD	01/11/2024	3	3	8/8	1,884	28,639	\$363.59	\$685,00
15074 Rosales ST	SYL	1964		05/18/2023	5	3	6/6	1,981	9,379	\$353.36	\$700,00
13723 Sproule AV	SYL	1954	STD	03/19/2024	6	3	83/83	1,834	6,802	\$381.68	\$700,00
15115 Roxford ST #123	SYL	2003	STD	11/01/2023	4	3	76/76	1,840	96,750	\$383.15	\$705,00
14701 Forest Edge DR	SYL	2007	STD	07/21/2023	4	3	63/99	1,932	43,192	\$364.91	\$705,00
14804 Ararat ST	SYL	1979	STD	09/27/2023	4	3	17/17	1,912	6,213	\$404.29	\$773,00
13626 Dronfield AV	SYL	1993	STD	09/12/2023	4	3	66/66	2,019	167,321	\$386.33	\$780,00
13638 Dronfield AV	SYL	1993	STD	01/11/2024	4	3	19/19	2,002	167,375	\$407.09	\$815,00
14073 Beaver ST	SYL	1941	STD	10/10/2023	7	6	7/7	2,231	7,387	\$421.34	\$940,00
13157 Herrick AV	SYL	1955	STD	06/21/2023	6	3	4/4	2,133	6,004	\$468.82	\$1,000,00
15475 Roxford ST		1960	STD	12/18/2023	4	2	3/3	1,978	18,579	\$540.95	\$1,070,00
13641 Glenoaks BL		1978	PRO	06/30/2023	5	4	84/84	2,413	20,547	\$455.86	\$1,100,00
14921 Drell ST	SYL	1980	STD	04/08/2024	4	3	1/1	2,032	17,497	\$546.26	\$1,110,00
13460 Dronfield AV	SYL	1950	PRO	07/26/2023	3	3	17/17	1,851	72,644	\$783.36	\$1,450,00
				Maximum:	7	6	99	2,413	167,375	\$783,36	\$1,450,000
				Minimum:	3	2	1	1,834	6,004	\$353.36	\$685,00
				Average:	5	3	35	2,003	47,738	\$447.21	\$895,21
				Median:	4	3	17	1,980	19,563	\$405.69	\$797,500

Criteria:

Property Type is 'Residential'
Standard Status is one of 'Active', 'Act Under Contract',
'Pending'
Standard Status is 'Closed'
Contract Status Change Date is 05/10/2024 to 05/11/2023
Property Sub Type is 'Single Family Residence'
Living Area is 1800 to 2600
Latitude, Longitude is around 34.31, -118.45

Residential Quick CMA Page 1 of 1

Printed By Joseph Baldino CalBRE: AR001957 on 5/10/24



BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE

Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency

Joseph P. Baldino

California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title: has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of

"Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser"

This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and

Certification Law.

BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:

AR 001957

Effective Date:
Date Expires:

February 13, 2023 February 12, 2025

Loretta Dillon, Deputy Bureau Chief, BREA





LIA Administrators & Insurance Services

APPRAISAL AND VALUATION PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICY

DECLARATIONS

ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

(A stock insurance company herein called the "Company") 499 Washington Blvd, 8th Floor Jersey City, NJ 07310

Date Issued	Policy Number	Previous Policy Number
02/16/2024	AAI006008-09	AAI006008-08

THIS IS A **CLAIMS** MADE AND REPORTED POLICY. COVERAGE IS LIMITED TO LIABILITY FOR ONLY THOSE **CLAIMS** THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE **INSURED** DURING THE **POLICY PERIOD** AND THEN REPORTED TO THE COMPANY IN WRITING NO LATER THAN SIXTY (60) DAYS AFTER EXPIRATION OR TERMINATION OF THIS POLICY, OR DURING THE **EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD**, IF APPLICABLE, FOR A **WRONGFUL ACT** COMMITTED ON OR AFTER THE **RETROACTIVE DATE** AND BEFORE THE END OF THE **POLICY PERIOD**. PLEASE READ THE POLICY CAREFULLY.

Item

1. Customer ID: 168108	
Named Insured:	
BALDINO, JOSEPH P.	
3101 Mesa Verde Dr.	
Burbank, CA 91504	
Burbank, CA 91304	
2 Bolley Boyled, From 04/04/2024 To: 04/04/2025	
2. Policy Period: From: 04/04/2024 To: 04/04/2025	
12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address stated in 1 above.	
3. Deductible: \$1,000 Each Claim	
3. Deductible, \$1,000 Each Claim	
4. Retroactive Date: 06/05/2000	
15 TEM PONIE 15 TEMBER 15	
5. Inception Date: 04/04/2016	
	-
6. Limits of Liability: A. \$1,000,000 Each Claim	
B. \$2,000,000 Aggregate	
7 Mail all nations including nation of Claim to:	
7. Mail all notices, including notice of Claim, to:	
LIA Administrators & Insurance Services	
1600 Anacapa Street	
Santa Barbara, California 93101	
(800) 334-0652; Fax: (805) 962-0652	
8. Annual Premium: \$1,087.00	
	N
9. Forms attached at issue: LIA002 (12/14) LIA CA (11/1-	4) LIA012 (12/14) LIA018 (10/14)
LIA021 (10/14) LIA143 (10/14)	
TE DILLE DI LA MARIE LA LA LORDE	
This Declarations Page, together with the completed and signed Policy A	
the Policy shall constitute the contract between the Named Insured and the	ne company.
02/16/2024 p./	Klilie
DY 1	, 0 - 0 - 0
Date	Authorized Signature
LIA-001 (12/14)	Aspen American Insurance Company

Appraisal and Valuation Professional Liability Insurance Policy

Named Insured: BALDINO, JOSEPH P. Policy Number: AAI006008-09
Effective Date: 04/04/2024
Customer ID: 168108

THIS ENDORSEMENT CHANGES THE POLICY. PLEASE READ IT CAREFULLY.

ADDITIONAL COVERED APPRAISERS ENDORSEMENT

Ü	In consideration of t	he premium	charged, i	it is agreed	that Section	IV, DEFINITI	ONS (I)	'Insured''	is amended to i	nclude

"Insured" means:

The persons identified below, but only while acting on behalf of the Named Insured:

Name Coverage Principal/Owner,
Effective Date Appraiser or Trainee

Joseph Baldino 04/04/2024 Principal/Owner

All other terms, conditions, and exclusions of this Policy remain unchanged.

Aspen American Insurance Company LIA012 (12/14)

Page 1 of 1

CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE Producer: Issue Date: 02/16/2024 This Certificate is issued as a matter of information only and LIA ADMINISTRATORS & INSURANCE SERVICES confers no rights upon the Certificate Holder. This Certificate P.O. Box 1319 does not amend, extend or alter the coverage afforded by the Santa Barbara, CA 93102-1319 policy below. COMPANY AFFORDING COVERAGE Insured: 168108 BALDINO, JOSEPH P. 3101 Mesa Verde Dr. Aspen American Insurance Company Burbank, CA 91504 Fax Number: 818-567-1495 Authorized Representative This is to certify that the policy of insurance listed below has been issued to the Insured named above for the policy period indicated. Notwithstanding any requirement, term of condition of any contract or other document with respect to which this Certificate may be issued or may pertain, the insurance afforded by the policy described herein is subject to all the terms, exclusions and conditions of such policy. Limits shown may have been reduced by paid claims. DISCLAIMER: This certificate of insurance does not affirmatively or negatively amend, extend, or alter the coverage afforded by the insurance policy. TYPE OF INSURANCE POLICY NUMBER EFFECTIVE DATE EXPIRATION DATE LIMITS Professional Liability AAI006008-09 04/04/2024 04/04/2025 Each Claim \$ 1,000,000 \$ 2,000,000 General Aggregate Description of Operations/Locations/Special Items: REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE Certificate Holder: BALDINO, JOSEPH P. SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES 3101 Mesa Verde Dr. BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE Burbank, CA 91504 THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS.

LIA0001 (11/97)

Insured Copy

Location Map

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	13500 Borden Ave			
City	Sylmar	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91342-2113
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			



AERIAL PHOTO

Borrower	REDWOOD HOLDINGS			
Property Address	13500 Borden Ave			
City	Sylmar	County Los angeles	State CA	Zip Code 91342-2113
Lender/Client	WEDGEWOOD INC			

