APPRAISAL REPORT

OF



404 Silver Grove Street Las Vegas, NV 89144

PREPARED FOR

Clear Capital Wedgewood Inc 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100 Redondo Beach, CA 90278

AS OF

05/25/2024

PREPARED BY

Art Valdovinos 1341 Cadence St Henderson, NV 89052

35459812 57580

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	ort is to provid	e the lender/client	With an acce			on or the market	value e	tile subject propi	0.1.
	Property Address 404 S	ilver Grove	Street	City	Las Vega	as	State NV	Zip C	ode 8914	44
	Borrower Catamount Properties 20	018 LLC	Owner of Public Re	ecord	Catamount Prope	rties LLC	County	•	Clark	
	Legal Description	Cł	nardonnay #51 l	By Lewis I	Homes Plat Book 78	Page 78 L	ot 41 Block B			
	Assessor's Parcel #		7-25-315-003		Tax Yea			E. Taxes	s \$ 2,80	7
与	Neighborhood Name	Chardon			Map Reference	29820		nsus Tra		
Ш			•					ISUS IT		
B		$\overline{}$	cial Assessments \$		0 X PUD	HOA \$	65		per year X p	er montn
SUBJECT	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simp	$\overline{}$		describe)						
U)	Assignment Type Purchase Transact	ion Re	finance Transaction	n X Othe	r (describe)		Market V	alue		
	Lender/Client Wedgew	ood Inc	Add	ress	2015 Manhattan Bea	ch Blvd, S	Suite 100, Red	ondo E	Beach, CA 902	278
	Is the subject property currently offered for	sale or has it	been offered for sa	ale in the two	elve months prior to the eff	ective date	of this appraisal?		Yes X No	
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price						o app. a		100 [11] 110	
	report data source(s) used, offerings price	(S), and date(s). OLVAINILL							
										 .
	I did did not analyze the contra	act for sale for	r the subject purcha	ase transact	ion. Explain the results of	he analysis	of the contract fo	r sale o	r why the analysis	s was not
E.	performed.									
CONTRACT										
2	Contract Price \$ Date o	of Contract	Is the r	ronerty sell	er the owner of public reco	rd2 V	es No Data	Source	2(9)	
┝										- Na
ō	Is there any financial assistance (loan char	-	-	wnpayment	assistance, etc.) to be par	a by any pai	ty on benail of th	e porto	wer?Yes	No
ပ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and d	escribe the ite	ms to be paid.							
	Note: Race and the racial composition of	of the neighbo	orhood are not an	nraisal fact	ors					
	Neighborhood Characteristics		au are not ap		Housing Trends		One-Unit Hou	eina	Present Land Us	o %
		1	Draw and Maria			D"				
Ω	Location Urban X Suburban	Rural	Property Values	Increas	- = =	Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	70 %
RHOOD	Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75%	Under 25%	Demand/Supply			OverSupply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	0 %
오	Growth Rapid X Stable	Slow	Marketing Time	X Under 3	mths 3-6 mths	Over6mths	403 Low	11	Multi-Family	0 %
丞	Neighborhood Boundaries Summerlin F	arkwav to				ne South	700 High	29	Commercial	30 %
BO	and 215 Beltway to the West.		, , , , , , , , ,	·, ·	, = . 10 1		625 Pred.	24	Other	%
涺	Neighborhood Description Subject is Ic	neated anni	ovimatlov 14 m	ilac from -	lowntown business -	etrict 9 10				/0
<u>ত</u>										
Ä	employment centers with declining							vithin :	2 miles. Subje	ect
~	competes well with similar propertie	<u>es located i</u>	n market area.	Acceptan	ice with marketing tim	es under	90 days.			
	Market Conditions (including support for th	e above conc	lusions) Values	are stable	to increasing due to	a shortag	e of properties	on th	e market. Mar	rketing
	time per MLS (05/01/2024) for subj					_				
	Assumptions. Concessions include									
	Dimensions No Survey See					Rectand			B;Res;	
	Dilliensions INO Survey See	Achai wap	Alta	413	2 sf Shape		guiai vie	N	D,NES,	
	0	D.C.	7 1 1	. D	_	Diama	. d. C : t	(D C)	· · · · ·	
	Specific Zoning Classification	P-C		g Description			ed Community	(P-C)	, ,	
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Lega	al Nonconform	ing (Grandfathered	Use)	No Zoning Illegal (de	scribe)		(P-C)	, ,	
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Lega	al Nonconform	ing (Grandfathered	Use)	No Zoning Illegal (de	scribe)			describe.	
		al Nonconform	ing (Grandfathered	Use)	No Zoning Illegal (de	scribe)			describe.	
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal ls the highest and best use of subject proportion	al Nonconform	ing (Grandfathered red (or as proposed	Use) I per plans a	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the pres	scribe) ent use?	X Yes No	If No, o		rivate
삗	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal ls the highest and best use of subject proputities Public Other (describe)	al Nonconform erty as improv	ing (Grandfathered red (or as proposed Public	Use)	No Zoning Illegal (de und specifications) the presscribe)	scribe) ent use? [X Yes No	If No, o	Public P	rivate
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal ls the highest and best use of subject properties. Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	al Nonconform erty as improv Water	ing (Grandfathered red (or as proposed Public	Use) I per plans a	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe)	scribe) sent use? [Off-site Imp	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt	If No, o		rivate
SITE	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proputation Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X	al Nonconform erty as improv Water Sanita	ing (Grandfathered red (or as proposed Public X ary Sewer X	Other (de	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley	scribe) ent use? Off-site Imp	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None	If No, o	Public P	
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed. Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X Special Flood Hazard Area Year	Water Sanita	red (or as proposed Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone	Other (de	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map #	scribe) sent use? [Off-site Imp	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None	If No, o	Public P	
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proputation Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X	Water Sanita es X No F	red (or as proposed Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone	Other (de	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley	scribe) ent use? Off-site Imp	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None	If No, o	Public P	
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed. Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X Special Flood Hazard Area Year	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the	red (or as proposed Public X ary Sewer EMA Flood Zone ne market area?	Other (de	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None	If No, o	Public P	
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest propose	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr	red (or as proposed Public X ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone ne market area? (easements, encro	Other (de: X X Yes achments, e	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, I	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA	If No, o	Public P	2002
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proportions. Vilities Public Other (describe)	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors assements, s	Public Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone ne market area? (easements, encro	Other (de: X X Yes achments, e	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, I	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA	If No, o	Public P	2002
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest propose	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors assements, s	Public Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone ne market area? (easements, encro	Other (de: X X Yes achments, e	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, I	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA	If No, o	Public P	2002
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest propose	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper	Public Public X Ary Sewer EMA Flood Zone The market area? (easements, encrooppecial assessmenty.	Other (de X X Yes achments, enents or er	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp st 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA c.)? Yes X	If No, o	Public P	2002 adverse
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest propose	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper	Public Public X Ary Sewer EMA Flood Zone The market area? (easements, encrooppecial assessmenty.	Other (de: X X Yes achments, enents or er	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA cc.)? Yes X illity easement	If No, o	Public Property Owner Property Owner	2002 adverse
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest propose	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper	Public Public Ray Sewer X EMA Flood Zone The market area? (easements, encro special assessmenty. Appraisal Files	Other (de: X X Yes achments, enents or er	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. S X Assessment and Ta Data Source(s) for Gross L	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut c Records [iving Area	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEM/ ic.)? Yes X illity easement	If No, o	Public P	2002 adverse
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proportions. Vilities Public Other (describe)	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) X X Yes achments, enents or er	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and TaData Source(s) for Gross L Heating / Cooling	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area An	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEM/ ic.)? Yes X illity easement Prior Inspect Claimenities	If No, o	Public Property Owner Property Owner	2002 adverse
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest and best use of subject proposed by the highest propose	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) X X Yes achments, enents or er	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and TaData Source(s) for Gross L Heating / Cooling	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area An	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEM/ ic.)? Yes X illity easement Prior Inspect Claimenities	If No, of	Public Post No. 1 Property Owner nty Records	2002 adverse
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper s of Property General X Concrete	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) X X Yes achments, enents or enents	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Ta Data Source(s) for Gross L Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area An X Firep	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 2150E FEMA c.)? Yes X illity easement Prior Inspect Claimenities lace(s) # 1	If No, of	Public Post	2002 adverse
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proportions. Vilities Public Other (describe)	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the tternal factors asements, s bject proper s of Property General X Concret Full Ba	Public Pu	Other (description) X X Yes achments, enents or er Space X led	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and TaData Source(s) for Gross Leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant	scribe) sent use? Off-site Impet 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA c.)? Yes X cility easement Prior Inspect Claimenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0	If No, of the No	Public Paragram X State O9/27/2 If Yes, describe. There is no a state Property Owner Property Owner One Car Storage None Driveway # of Car Storage Driveway # of Car Storage	2002 adverse
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proportion	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the tternal factors asements, s bject proper s of Property General X Concret Full Ba Partial E	Public Pu	Other (de XX X Yes achments, enents or er	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and TaData Source(s) for Gross Leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp st 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA c.)? Yes X iility easement Prior Inspect Clanenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Cov	If No, of the second of the se	Public Property Owner of Car Storage None Driveway # of Caway Surface Co.	2002 adverse ars 3 ancrete
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest propose	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper s of Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Be market area? (easements, encro Expecial assessmenty. Appraisal Files al Description te Slab Crawl Sement Finish Basement Finish	Other (de XX X Yes achments, en ents or er S X MLS Space X ed shed ood Fu	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tacota Source(s) for Gross Legal Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut K Records iving Area Wood X Patio X Porcl	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEM/ ic.)? Yes X ility easement Prior Inspect Claimenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Cov	If No, of the second of the se	Public Property Owner of Car Storage None Oscillators of Carage #	2002 adverse r ars 3 oncrete ars 3
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest propose	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper s of Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) A yes A yes A chments, enents or er S X MLS Space X led shed Good X	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and TaData Source(s) for Gross L Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Porch X Pool	A Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEM/ ic.)? Yes D illity easement Prior Inspect Clanenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Cov In ground	If No, of the second of the se	Public Position X	2002 adverse r ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest propose	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper S of Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & D	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) A yes Section of the section	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tacota Source(s) for Gross Legal Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Porch X Pool	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEM/ ic.)? Yes X ility easement Prior Inspect Claimenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Cov	If No, of the second of the se	Public Position X	2002 adverse r ars 3 oncrete ars 3
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Is the highest propose	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper S of Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & D	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) A yes Section of the section	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and TaData Source(s) for Gross L Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Porch X Pool	A Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA ic.)? Yes X illity easement Prior Inspect Claimenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Cov In ground e Conc Block	If No, of the second of the se	Public Position X	2002 adverse r ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0
TIS SIT	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed. Variable Vari	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & D Window Typ	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) Other (descriptions) X X	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tacota Source(s) for Gross Leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other	scribe) sent use? Off-site Impet 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Pool X Pool X Fenc Othe	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA c.)? Yes X ility easement Prior Inspect Claimenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Cov In ground e Conc Block r None	If No, of the No, of t	Public Pi X	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 concrete ars 0 detached
HS SH	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed. Vilities Public Other (describe)	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the tternal factors asements, s bject proper S of Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & D Window Typ yen Dishy	Public Pu	Other (de X X X Yes achments or er S X MLS Space X Shed Shed Sood X One Good Microsoft Sal Microsoft	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Ta Data Source(s) for Gross L Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other owave Washer/Dryer	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut X Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Porcl X Pool X Fenc Other X Other	A Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA 150E FEMA 150E SEMA 150E FEMA 150E SEMA 150E SEMA 150E Colar 150E Cov Cov In ground Cov Block None 16describe) Unk	If No, of the No, of t	Public Pi X X	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal
HS SH	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Legal Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the tternal factors asements, s bject proper S of Property C General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & De Window Typ ven Dishy 7 Roo	Public Public Public X Public P	Other (de XX X Yes achments, enents or er Space X MLS Space X MLS Good X One Good Microsed Mi	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and TaData Source(s) for Gross Legal Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s)	scribe) sent use? Off-site Impet 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Pool X Pool X Fenc Othe	A Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA 150E FEMA 150E SEMA 150E FEMA 150E SEMA 150E SEMA 150E Colar 150E Cov Cov In ground Cov Block None 16describe) Unk	If No, of the No, of t	Public Pi X	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal
HS SH	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed. Vilities Public Other (describe)	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the tternal factors asements, s bject proper S of Property C General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & De Window Typ ven Dishy 7 Roo	Public Public Public X Public P	Other (de XX X Yes achments, enents or er Space X MLS Space X MLS Good X One Good Microsed Mi	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and TaData Source(s) for Gross Legal Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s)	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut X Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Porcl X Pool X Fenc Other X Other	A Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA 150E FEMA 150E SEMA 150E FEMA 150E SEMA 150E SEMA 150E Colar 150E Cov Cov In ground Cov Block None 16describe) Unk	If No, of the No, of t	Public Pi X X	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 Detached aisal
HS SH	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Legal Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & Di Window Typ ven Dishw 7 Roo t items, etc.)	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Be market area? (easements, encro Expecial assessmenty. Appraisal Files al Description Be Slab Crawl Sement Finish Basement Finis	Other (de XX X Yes achments, en ents or er S X MLS Space X MLS Spa	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tactorian Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s)	scribe) sent use? Off-site Imp et 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut K Records iving Area Wood X Patio X Porcl X Pool X Pool X Fenc Other 2,057	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA c.)? Yes X illity easement Prior Inspect Clar nenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Cov In ground e Conc Block r None (describe) Unk Square Feet of	If No, of the second of the se	Public Property National Property Owner of Car Storage None Driveway # of Caway Surface Cor Garage # of Car Carport # of Car Attached Drive By Apprairuing Area Above	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal a Grade
OVEMENTS SIT	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Subject proposed Utilities Public Other (describe)	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper S of Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & Di Window Typical Ven Dishv 7 Roo t items, etc.)	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) A yes achments, enents or er Space X Space X Bed achments, enents or er Bed achments, enents or er Space X Bed achments, enents or er Bed achments or er Bed achme	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tacorda Source(s) for Gross Leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Idendum.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Import 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Pool X Pool X Pool X Other (2,057	Asphalt None Asphalt Asphalt None Asphalt	If No, of the second of the se	Public Property Nate 09/27/2 If Yes, describe. There is no an	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Legal Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper S of Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & Di Window Typical Ven Dishv 7 Roo t items, etc.)	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) A yes achments, enents or er Space X Space X Bed achments, enents or er Bed achments, enents or er Space X Bed achments, enents or er Bed achments, enents or er Bed achments, enents or er Space X Bed achments, enents or er Bed achments	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tacorda Source(s) for Gross Leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Idendum.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Import 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Pool X Pool X Pool X Other (2,057	Asphalt None Asphalt Asphalt None Asphalt	If No, of the second of the se	Public Property Nate 09/27/2 If Yes, describe. There is no an	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
OVEMENTS SIT	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Legal Legal Is the highest and best use of subject proposed Subject proposed Utilities Public Other (describe)	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surface Gutters & Di Window Typ ven Dishv 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s at the time	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) Other (descriptions) X X X Yes achments, enents or	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Ta Data Source(s) for Gross Leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Jendams. Epairs, deterioration, renovalepreciation associated	scribe) sent use? Off-site Import 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Pool X Pool X Pool X Other (2,057	Asphalt None Asphalt Asphalt None Asphalt	If No, of the second of the se	Public Property Nate 09/27/2 If Yes, describe. There is no an	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surface Gutters & Di Window Typ ven Dishv 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s at the time	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) Other (descriptions) X X X Yes achments, enents or	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Ta Data Source(s) for Gross Leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Jendams. Epairs, deterioration, renovalepreciation associated	scribe) sent use? Off-site Import 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Pool X Pool X Pool X Other (2,057	Asphalt None Asphalt Asphalt None Asphalt	If No, of the second of the se	Public Property Nate 09/27/2 If Yes, describe. There is no an	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surface Gutters & Di Window Typ ven Dishv 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s at the time	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) Other (descriptions) X X X Yes achments, enents or	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Ta Data Source(s) for Gross Leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Jendams. Epairs, deterioration, renovalepreciation associated	scribe) sent use? Off-site Import 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Pool X Pool X Pool X Other (2,057	Asphalt None Asphalt Asphalt None Asphalt	If No, of the second of the se	Public Property Nate 09/27/2 If Yes, describe. There is no an	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surface Gutters & Di Window Typ ven Dishv 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s at the time	Public Pu	Other (descriptions) Other (descriptions) X X X Yes achments, enents or	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. environmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Ta Data Source(s) for Gross Leating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Jendams. Epairs, deterioration, renovalepreciation associated	scribe) sent use? Off-site Import 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Pool X Pool X Pool X Other (2,057	Asphalt None Asphalt Asphalt None Asphalt	If No, of the second of the se	Public Property Nate 09/27/2 If Yes, describe. There is no an	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 cotached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics Other (describe)	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & Di Window Typ ven Dishw 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s) at the time epairs or me	Public Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone The market area? (easements, encro special assessmenty. Appraisal Files	Other (de XX X Yes achments, en ents or er S X MLS Space X Spa	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tactorate Source(s) for Gross Legal Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Illendum. Repairs, deterioration, renovelepreciation associated exterior view.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Impet 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area Wood X Patio X Porcl X Pool X Pool X Fenc Other 2,057	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA 150E FE	If No, of the second of the se	Public Pi X	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & Di Window Typ ven Dishw 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s) at the time epairs or me	Public Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone The market area? (easements, encro special assessmenty. Appraisal Files	Other (de XX X Yes achments, en ents or er S X MLS Space X Spa	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tactorate Source(s) for Gross Legal Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Illendum. Repairs, deterioration, renovelepreciation associated exterior view.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Impet 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area Wood X Patio X Porcl X Pool X Pool X Fenc Other 2,057	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA 150E FE	If No, of the second of the se	Public Property Nate 09/27/2 If Yes, describe. There is no an	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics Other (describe)	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & Di Window Typ ven Dishw 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s) at the time epairs or me	Public Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone The market area? (easements, encro special assessmenty. Appraisal Files	Other (de XX X Yes achments, en ents or er S X MLS Space X Spa	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tactorate Source(s) for Gross Legal Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Illendum. Repairs, deterioration, renovelepreciation associated exterior view.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Impet 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area Wood X Patio X Porcl X Pool X Pool X Fenc Other 2,057	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA 150E FE	If No, of the second of the se	Public Pi X	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & Di Window Typ ven Dishw 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s) at the time epairs or me	Public Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone The market area? (easements, encro special assessmenty. Appraisal Files	Other (de XX X Yes achments, en ents or er S X MLS Space X Spa	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tactorate Source(s) for Gross Legal Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Illendum. Repairs, deterioration, renovelepreciation associated exterior view.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Impet 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area Wood X Patio X Porcl X Pool X Pool X Fenc Other 2,057	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA 150E FE	If No, of the second of the se	Public Pi X	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & Di Window Typ ven Dishw 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s) at the time epairs or me	Public Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone The market area? (easements, encro special assessmenty. Appraisal Files	Other (de XX X Yes achments, en ents or er S X MLS Space X Spa	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tactorate Source(s) for Gross Legal Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Illendum. Repairs, deterioration, renovelepreciation associated exterior view.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Impet 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area Wood X Patio X Porcl X Pool X Pool X Fenc Other 2,057	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA 150E FE	If No, of the second of the se	Public Pi X	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for tr tternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surfac Gutters & Di Window Typ ven Dishw 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s) at the time epairs or me	Public Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone The market area? (easements, encro special assessmenty. Appraisal Files	Other (de XX X Yes achments, en ents or er S X MLS Space X Spa	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and Tactorate Source(s) for Gross Legal Heating / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Illendum. Repairs, deterioration, renovelepreciation associated exterior view.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Impet 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area Wood X Patio X Porcl X Pool X Pool X Fenc Other 2,057	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA 150E FE	If No, of the second of the se	Public Pi X	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surface Gutters & De Window Type Ven Dishv 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s at the time epairs or me	Public Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Remarket area? Reasements, encro Repecial assessmenty. Appraisal Files Appraisal	Other (de XX X Yes Achments, en ents or er ents or er ents or er ents or er ents ents ents ents ents ents ents ents	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and TaData Source(s) for Gross Lesting / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Cel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Cenaris, deterioration, renovale preciation associated exterior view.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Impet 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Porcl X Pool X Fenc Other C2,057 ations, remoded with notes	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA 150E FEMA 150E SEMA 150E FEMA 150E SEMA	If No, of the second of the se	Public Pi X X	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade
PROVEMENTS SIT	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	Water Sanita es X No F ts typical for the ternal factors asements, s bject proper Sof Property General X Concret Full Ba Exterior Wa Roof Surface Gutters & De Window Type Ven Dishv 7 Roo t items, etc.) data source(s at the time epairs or me	Public Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Remarket area? Reasements, encro Repecial assessmenty. Appraisal Files Appraisal	Other (de XX X Yes Achments, en ents or er ents or er ents or er ents or er ents ents ents ents ents ents ents ents	No Zoning Illegal (de and specifications) the presseribe) Stree Alley FEMA Map # No If No, describe. Provironmental conditions, Incroachments noted. X Assessment and TaData Source(s) for Gross Lesting / Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Cel Gas Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Owave Washer/Dryer 2.1 Bath(s) Cenaris, deterioration, renovale preciation associated exterior view.	scribe) sent use? Off-site Impet 32003C2 and uses, et Typical ut Records iving Area X Firep Wood X Patio X Porcl X Pool X Fenc Other C2,057 ations, remoded with notes	X Yes No rovementsTyp Asphalt None 150E FEMA 150E FEMA 150E SEMA 150E FEMA 150E SEMA	If No, of the second of the se	Public Pi X X	adverse ars 3 concrete ars 3 ars 0 detached aisal e Grade

35459812 57580

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	nparable properties curr	•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,000 .	
	nparable sales in the sul					03,000 to\$	699,867 .	
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE		COMPARABLES		COMPARABLE S		
	er Grove Street	10676 Blue N		608 Jade CI		10605 Gum 1		
	jas, NV 89144	Las Vegas, I		Las Vegas, I		Las Vegas, I		
Proximity to Subject	I .	0.12 mile		0.23 mile		0.41 mil		
Sale Price	\$	\$	620,000	\$	650,000	\$ 645,000		
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.00 sq. ft.		q. ft.		sq. ft.		sq. ft.	
Data Source(s)		GLVARMLS #257		GLVARMLS #25		GLVARMLS #25		
Verification Source(s)		Tax Records;DOC#						
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment		+(-) \$ Adjustment		+(-) \$ Adjustment	
Sale or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth		
Concessions		Cash;750	-750	·	-1,000		-895	
Date of Sale/Time		s05/24;c04/24		s04/24;c03/24		s03/24;c01/24		
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;		
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple		
Site	4792 sf	7841 sf	-12,000		C	00000.	C	
View	B;Res;	B;Res;		B;Res;		B;Res;		
Design (Style)	DT2.0;Southwest	DT1.0;Southwest	0			DT2.0;Southwest		
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4		
Actual Age	26	25	0			25	C	
Condition	C3	C3		C3		C3		
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths		
Room Count	7 4 2.1	6 3 2.0	+3,000			7 4 3.0	-3,000	
Gross Living Area	2,057 sq. ft.	. 2,072 sq. ft.	0	2,393 sq. ft.	-20,000	2,280 sq. ft	13,500	
Basement & Finished	0sf	0sf		0sf		0sf		
Rooms Below Grade								
Functional Utility	Typical	Typical		Typical		Typical		
Heating/Cooling	FWA/Central	FWA/Central		FWA/Central		FWA/Central		
Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None		None		
Garage/Carport	3ga3dw	2ga2dw	+8,000	2ga2dw	+8,000	3ga3dw		
Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Patio	Porch/Patio		Porch/Patio		Porch/Patio		
Fireplaces	1 Fireplace	1 Fireplace		1 Fireplace		1 Fireplace		
Pool	Pool/Spa	No Pool/No Spa	+25,000	No Pool/No Spa	+25,000			
Amenities	None	None		None		None		
Net Adjustment (Total)		X + -	\$ 23,250	X + -	\$ 12,000	+ X -	\$ -17,395	
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj: 4%		Net Adj: 2%		Net Adj: -3%		
of Comparables		Gross Adj : 8%	\$ 643,250	Gross Adj: 8%	\$ 662,000	Gross Adj: 3%	\$ 627,605	
	esearch the sale or trans				plain	•		
My research X did Data source(s) GLVAR My research did X Data source(s) GLVAR	MLS;Taxstar did not reveal any pric	or sales or transfers of th		· 				
Report the results of the r	esearch and analysis of	the prior sale or transfe	r history of the subj	ect property and compa	arable sales (report	additional prior sales or	n page 3).	
ITEM		BJECT	COMPARABLE S	SALE # 1 COM	IPARABLE SALE #	2 COMPARA	BLE SALE #3	
Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	er 05/2	23/2024						
Price of Prior Sale/Transf	er \$44	45,000						
Data Source(s)	GLVAR	MLS;Taxstar	GLVARMLS;	Taxstar Tax F	Records;GLVRN	ILS Tax Record	ds;GLVRMLS	
Effective Date of Data So	urce(s) 05/2	25/2024	05/25/202	24	05/25/2024	05/2	05/25/2024	
Analysis of prior sale or tr	ansfer history of the sub	ject property and compa	arable sales The	subject property pr	eviously had a	Bargain & Sale Dee	ed recorded on	
05/23/2024 in the am	ount of \$445,000.							
Summary of Sales Compa the comparable sales size and aged homes	were required in ar	eas of dissimilarity t	o produce the b	est indicated value	of the subject.	Subject competes v	well with similar	
Indicated Value by Sales	Comparison Approach \$	630,000						
Indicated Value by: Sales (222 222	Cost Approach (if d	eveloped) \$ 621,4	159 Income Ar	pproach (if developed) \$		
The sales compariso		<u> </u>					aht in the	
determining of value.							,	
	Jour approach	qualory ouppor	Journale		- zz io iio uppi			
This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspect	the following repairs or		of a hypothetical co	ondition that the repairs o	or alterations have l	been completed, or	subjecttothe	
completed as is.					-			
Based on a visual inspe	ction of the exterior ar	eas of the subject pro	perty from at leas	t the street, defined so	cope of work, stat	ement of assumptions	and limiting	
	er's certification, my (J	
\$ 630,000 a		5/25/2024		e date of inspection an				

RECONCILIATION

SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS

35459812 57580

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	This report is intended for use only by the client named on this report o	or their assigns. Use of the	nis report by othe	ers is not inte	nded by the			
	appraiser.							
	Subject Property Characteristic:							
	The subject is a Two Story 4 bedroom, 2.1 bathroom, SFR home with a 2 car attached garage on a 4,792 square foot lot per the Clark Count Assessor's office. Due to the appraisal report being done as a drive by appraisal photos of the front and street were taken.							
	Exterior Features Include:							
	Covered Porch, Covered Patio, In ground Pool and Spa							
(0	Interior Features Include:							
COMMENTS	None noted due to the appraisal is completed as a 2055 Exterior							
Z	Market Area:							
	Subject is located in the Western portion of the Las Vegas Valley in a scommunity centers and shopping facilities are within typical, market ex							
NOE	adverse locational factors which might adversely affect marketing or va		o no apparont an	ia modelata	0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
ADDITIONAL	Sale Comparison Analysis:							
	All sales are from the subject's competitive market area and have simil	ar market influences.						
	Sale 1: Was listed for \$660,000 on the market for 32 CDOM and sold size. It was adjusted inferior for bath count, garage count and no pool		concessions. It	was adjusted	superior for lot			
	Sale 2: Was listed for \$650,000, on the market for 36 CDOM and sold for \$650,000 with \$1,000 in concessions. It was adjusted superior for gross living area. It was adjusted inferior for garage count and no pool and spa.							
	Sale 3: Was listed for \$649,000, on the market for 39 CDOM and sold bath count and gross living area.	for \$645,000 with \$895 in	n concessions. It	t was adjuste	d superior for			
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie M	20)					
		(Hot roquirou by runnio in	ae.)					
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cal Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods)	culations.	,	hed addendu	ım			
_		culations.	,	hed addendu	ım			
АСН	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods)	culations. ods for estimating site value)	,					
годсн	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW	ods for estimating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Please see attac	:	=\$ 160,000			
PROACH	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods)	culations. ods for estimating site value)	Please see attac	: 275.00				
APPROACH	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift	ods for estimating site value) OPINION OF SITE VALUE	Please see attac	: 275.00	-\$ 160,000 -\$ 565,675			
IST APPROACH	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057	Please see attac	: 275.00 :	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$			
COST APPROACH	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum	Please see attac Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	275.00 = 30.00 =	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000			
COST APPROACH	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	275.00 = 30.00 = External 0	-\$ 160,000 -\$ 565,675 -\$ 30,000 -\$ 6,270 -\$ 601,945			
COST APPROACH	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	275.00 = 30.00 = External 0 0 = 30.00	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945			
COST APPROACH	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve	Sq. Ft. @\$	275.00 = 30.00 = External 0 0 = 30.00	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459			
COST APPROACH	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486	Sq. Ft. @\$	275.00 = 30.00 = External 0 0 = 30.00	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945			
COST APPROACH	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values.Not to be used for insurance purposes.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$	275.00 : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values. Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ ments ments	275.00 : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459			
	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values.Not to be used for insurance purposes.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ ments ments	275.00 = 30.00 = External 0 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values.Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie Nature 1)	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ in O ments ments roach flae.)	275.00 = 30.00 = External 0 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values.Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improve) Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie National Cost of Improve) FOR PUDs (if applicable)	Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional 0 E ments ments roach flae.) adicated Value by Inc.	275.00 : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values.Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie Name of Cost apple) (not required by Fannie Name of Cost apple) FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) X Definition of Site Improve	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq	275.00 = 275.00 = 30.00 = 275.	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
INCOME	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values.Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie Name of Cost apple) (not required by Fannie Name of Cost apple) FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) X Definition of Site Improve	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq	275.00 = 275.00 = 30.00 = 275.	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
INCOME	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values. Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie Name of Cost apple) (not required by Fannie Name of Cost apple) FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) X Definition of Site Improve	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq	275.00 = 275.00 = 30.00 = 275.	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
ATION INCOME COST APPROACH	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values. Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Appreciated Value By Cost Appreciated Cost of Improve Indicated Value By Cost Appreciated Value By Cost Appreciated Cost of Improve FOR PUDS (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) X Defit the HOA and the subject programmer of units sold source	Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional O ments ments roach flae.) adicated Value by Inc. cached Attached of the stached of the stache	275.00 = 275.00 = 30.00 = 275.	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
INCOME	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values.Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "Indicated Value By Cost Applicated Value By Cost Applicated Cost of Improve Indicated Value By Cost Applicated Value By Co	Sq. Ft. @\$ Functional O ments ments roach flae.) adicated Value by Inc. cached Attached of the stached of the stache	275.00 = 275.00 = 30.00 = 275.	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
INCOME	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values.Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units Total Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) X Defect the HOA and the subject proportions of units sold source No If Yes, date of converged to the source of the source of the source of the sold sold sold source of the sold sold sold sold sold sold sold sold	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. Et. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq	275.00 = 275.00 = 30.00 = 275.	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
INCOME	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values.Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units Total Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Appreciated Value By Cost Appreciated Cost of Improve Indicated Value By Cost Appreciated Value By Cost Appreciated Cost of Improve The Indicated Value By Cost Appreciated Value By Cost	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. Et. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq	275.00 = 275.00 = 30.00 = 275.	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
INCOME	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values.Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units Total Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) X Defect the HOA and the subject proportions of units sold source No If Yes, date of converged to the source of the source of the source of the sold sold sold source of the sold sold sold sold sold sold sold sold	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. Et. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq	275.00 = 275.00 = 30.00 = 275.	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values. Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes N	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Appreciated Value By Cost Appreciated Cost of Improve Indicated Value By Cost Appreciated Value By Cost Appreciated Cost of Improve Indicated Value By Cost Appreciated Value By Co	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. Et. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq	275.00 = 275.00 = 30.00 = 275.	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
INCOME	ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values.Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units Total Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Apple (not required by Fannie N =\$ Ir FOR PUDs (if applicable) X No Unit type(s) X Defect the HOA and the subject proportions of units sold source No If Yes, date of converged to the source of the source of the source of the sold sold sold source of the sold sold sold sold sold sold sold sold	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. Et. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq	275.00 = 275.00 = 30.00 = 275.	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			
INCOME	Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods) ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 05/26/2024 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Land values have been derived using the allocation method. There were no proximate or current sales of 4,792 square foot lots to use determining land values. Not to be used for insurance purposes. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 55 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes N	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 2,057 Exterior Lump Sum Garage/Carport 209 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 25 Depreciation 150,486 Depreciated Cost of Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve "As-is" Value of Site Improve Indicated Value By Cost Appreciated Value By Cost Appreciated Cost of Improve Indicated Value By Cost Appreciated Value By Cost Appreciated Cost of Improve Indicated Value By Cost Appreciated Value By Co	Sq. Ft. @\$ 2 Sq. Ft. @\$ Sq. Ft. Et. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq. Sq	275.00 = 275.00 = 30.00 = 275.	=\$ 160,000 =\$ 565,675 =\$ 30,000 =\$ 6,270 =\$ 601,945 =\$ (150,486 =\$ 451,459 =\$ 10,000			

Art Valdovinos COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 404 Silver Grove Street						
City Las Vegas	County	Clark	State	NV	Zip Code	89144
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	;	Address 2015	Manhattan Beach	Blvd. Suite 10	0, Redondo Bea	ch. CA 90278

I have not performed services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

Highest & Best use Analysis

The subject site was analyzed as if vacant and as currently improved in order to establish the highest & best use. Both analyses have proven that the site meets the four basic criteria:

legally permitted, financially feasible, physically possible, and most profitable. The present use of the subject site is considered to be the highest & best use

The physical characterisitics utilized in the report were provided by the GLVARMLS and Clark County Tax Records. I have included a copy of the Tax Records in the report.

GLA adjustments were made at \$60.00 per square foot for differences over 100 square feet Bathroom adjustments were made at \$3,000 for half bathroom differences Lot adjustments were made at \$3.00 per square foot for differences over 1,500sf.

The adjustments were estimated after historic paired sales analysis and market extraction.

Although the GLA is not bracketed on the lower end, comparable sale 1 is within 15sf of the subject's GLA and therefore no adjustment was made for GLA to comparable sale 1.

Adjustments:

Adjustments were made only for significant and supportable items. Not all dissimilarities require adjustment because not all dissimilarities achieve price differentials in the market. If no adjustment was made for differences, either an adjustment was not warranted as the differences were minimal or an adjustment was not made because there was no market evidence found to support one.

A market conditions adjustment was not made to the comparables as they are all recent sales. This is sometimes referred to as a 'time' adjustment, however it is not time that necessitates the adjustment but rather shifts in the market. An adjustment was not made to the listings and / or pendings and / or contingent sales as the list price to sale price ratio is 100% for the area.

If a property that has not closed (active, contingent, or pending sale) has been included as a comparable, the sales price reported is actually the most recent list price. Concessions have been adjusted for on a dollar for dollar basis. Adjustments have been derived via one or more of the following methodologies: paired sales analysis, sensitivity analysis, interviews with market participants, group data analysis and historical paired sales analysis.

COST APPROACH (Pg. 5, Cert. 4): Is applicable when improvements are new, near new or are of an unusual construction method. This method is appropriate when sufficient land or building sites, etc. are available to a potential purchaser to make construction of improvements similar to the subject, a viable alternative to purchasing the subject. In areas where vacant sites (similar to the subject property in location, zoning, use and utility) are not available to a potential purchaser, use of the cost approach and reliability on the same as a value indicator could be misleading. When the Cost Approach is not required (per USPAP) or deemed necessary to the development of a reliable value opinion, the cost approach is not appropriate, excluded and such exclusion has been so stated with the body of the report.

If the cost approach was used, it represents the "replacement cost estimate", and is for "valuation purposes only" and should not be relied upon for insurance purposes. The definition of "market value" on page 4 of this report is not consistent with the definition of "insurable value". If the cost approach was presented, a service such as "Marshall & Swift" (or similar source) was used to develop the estimate. The site value opinion results from extraction, allocation, the developmental method or from a review & analysis of sales of similar sites within the market area.

INCOME APPROACH (Pg. 5, Cert. 4): Is applicable when sufficient investor owned properties exist with the subject's immediate area or neighborhood and when investors regularly acquire such properties that are similarly marketable to the subject for the express purpose of the income they provide. While rentals may exist in any area, their presence alone is not proof of a viable rental and investor marketplace. As such, in areas dominated by "owner occupied" units, it may be inappropriate to employ the income approach, unless the approach clearly represents the motivations and actions of investors in the marketplace as it relates to the subject property. If the approach is included, available data supported conclusions by the appraiser(s) that it was meaningful to the analysis and value opinion. If the approach was not included, it was the appraiser's opinion that the data was insufficient to provide a meaningful conclusion.

Nevada Mandatory AMC Appraisal Fee Disclosure Requirement:

The Nevada Legislature passsed A.B. 287, which was signed by Govenor Gibbons on May 29, 2010. Effective April 20, 2010, Regulation 091-09 (codified as am amendment to Chapter 645C of Nevada Administrative Code) requires disclosure within the body of the appraisal report, as a dollar ammount, the total compensation paid to the appraiser who performs the appraisal services and the total compensation retained by the Appraisal Management Company for its services associated with the management of the appraisal process.

Art Valdovinos COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 404 Silver Grove Street						
City Las Vegas	County	Clark	State	NV	Zip Code	89144
Lender/Client Wedgewo	od Inc	Address 2015	Manhattan Beach	Blvd, Suite 10	0, Redondo Bea	ch, CA 90278

The appraiser's fee is \$220.00. The AMC fee is \$440.00. ClearCapital AMC.0000143

Exposure Time:

Per USPAP, is the estimated length of time that the property interest being appraised would have been offered on the market prior to the hypothetical consummation of a sale at market value on the effective date of the appraisal.

Subject's Exposure Time: Under 3 Months.

Correlation:

With most consideration placed on the sales comparison approach, I have correlated the final market value for the subject property is \$630,000 which indicates \$306.27 per square foot of living area, which is within the range as indicated by the sales in this report.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. Case No 35459812 57580

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. Case No 35459812 57580

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No.

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	hot aldurah	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	My (100000 1)	Signature
Name	Arturo Valdovinos Jr	Name
Company Name	Art Valdovinos	Company Name
Company Address	1341 Cadence St	Company Address
	Henderson, NV 89052	
Telephone Number	7024699500	Telephone Number
Email Address	avaldovinos@cox.net	Email Address
Date of Signature ar	nd Report	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Ap	praisal05/25/2024	State Certification #
State Certification #		or State License #
or State License #	A.0005988-RES	State
or Other (describe)	State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State	NV	
Expiration Date of C	Sertification or License08/31/2025	
		SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PRO	PERTY APPRAISED	
	404 Silver Grove Street	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
	Las Vegas, NV 89144	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
		Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUI	E OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$630,000	
LENDER/CLIENT		
Name	Clear Capital	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name	Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address	2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
	Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address		Date of Inspection

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C₁

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

Abbreviation	Full Name	May Appear in These Fields
1	Adverse	Location & View
С	Acres	Area, Site
\djPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
.djPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
.rmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sales or Financing Concessions
.Τ	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
}		- , - ,
	Beneficial	Location & View
a	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
r	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
syRd	Busy Road	Location
	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
p .	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
tySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
V	Covered	Garage/Carport
OOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
W	Driveway	Garage/Carport
	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
state	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
HA .	Federal Housing Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
	Garage	Garage/Carport
0	-	
a 	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
bi	Built-In Garages	Garage/Carport
d	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
SIfCse	Golf Course	Location
Slfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
		- , - ,
IR	High Rise	Design (Style)
1	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
nd	Industrial	Location & View
isting	Listing	Sales or Financing Concessions
ndfl	Landfill	Location
tdSght	Limited Sight	View
1R	Mid Rise	Design (Style)
<u>Itn</u>	Mountain View	View
I	Neutral	Location & View
IonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
)	Other	Design (Style)
		- , - ,
p	Open	Garage/Carport
rk	Park View	View
estrl en la companyation de la c	Pastoral View	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
-	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
.D		
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
f	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
qm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Jnk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
'A	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
1	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
<u> </u>	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
/0		View
	Woods View	
vo Voods		View
vo Voods Vtr	Water View	View
vo Voods Vtr VtrFr	Water View Water Frontage	Location
vo Voods	Water View	
vo Voods Vtr VtrFr	Water View Water Frontage	Location
vo Voods Vtr VtrFr	Water View Water Frontage	Location
o /oods /tr /trFr	Water View Water Frontage	Location

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report Case No.

File No.

35459812 57580

	The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lende			-	iius aii	a conditions p	levai		abjoo	•
	neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all approperty Address 404 Silver Grov		City	aπer April 1, 2009. Las Vegas	Sta	ate NV		ZIP Code		89144
	Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC		Oity	Las vegas	010	ale IV		ZII 0000		00144
	Instructions: The appraiser must use the information		as the basis for his/	her conclusions and m	ust pro	vide support	or the	se conclus	ions.	regarding
	housing trends and overall market conditions as repo									
	it is available and reliable and must provide analysis a	•		•						
	explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources	will be able to provide	data for the shaded	areas below; if it is ava	ailable,	however, the	appra	aiser must i	nclud	e that data
	in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required		-			•		-		-
	average. Sales and listings must be properties that co	•					ed by	a prospect	ive bu	uyer of the
	subject property. The appraiser must explain any ano				forecto I			T .		
	Inventory Analysis Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	Prior 7-12 Months 54	Prior 4-6 Months 15	Current - 3 Months 25		Increasing		Trend Stable		Declining
	Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	9	5	8.33		Increasing	X	Stable	Н	Declining
	Total # of Comparable Active Listings	11	12	13		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
	Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	1.22	2.4	1.56		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
	Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months				Trend		
	Median Comparable Sales Price	535,000	536,500	545,000		Increasing	X	Stable		Declining
က္ဆ	Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	11	19	8		Declining	Х	Stable		Increasing
LYS	Median Comparable List Price	579,999	565,000	565,000		Increasing	$\overline{}$	Stable		Declining
& ANALYSIS	Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	29	33	27		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
≪	Median Sale Price as % of List Price	99%	97%	100%		Increasing	X	Stable		Declining
RESEARCH	Seller-(developer, builder, etc.) paid financial assistar		Yes X	No 20/ to E0/ in		Declining of how	X	Stable	ooto	Increasing
¥	Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the pas condo fees, options, etc.)	t 12 months (e.g. selle	r contributions increa	ased IIOIII 5% to 5%, II	icreasi	ng use of buy	uown	s, closing c	OSIS	
ES	IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS CONCESSIONS WERE PRE	SENT IN FEWER TRA	NSACTIONS IN THE	EARLIER MONTHS A	ND WE	RE TYPICAL I	ν ΔΤ	3% MORE	REC	ENTI V
	CONCESSIONS ARE APPEALING IN NEARLY ALL OF									
\checkmark	BEING DIRECTED TOWARD CLOSING COSTS. IN NE									
₹	AND UPGRADE OPTIONS. A MINOR PERCENTAGE O									
	Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the mark	ket? Yes X	No If yes, expl	lain (including the trend	ds in lis	stings and sale	s of f	oreclosed p	rope	rties).
	FORECLOSURE SALES HAVE DECLINED IN THE LAS	VEGAS VALLEY.								
	Cite data sources for above information.									
	One data sources for above information.									
	Data Sources: MLS ID = 476 State = Nevada MLS Board = GLVAR - Greater	Las Vegas MLS Matrix								
	Data Sources: MLS ID = 476, State = Nevada, MLS Board = GLVAR - Greater	Las Vegas MLS, Matrix								
	Data Sources: MLS ID = 476, State = Nevada, MLS Board = GLVAR - Greater Summarize the above information as support for your		ighborhood section of	of the appraisal report	form. If	f you used any	v addi	tional inforr	natio	n, such as
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form	ulate your conclusion	ns, provide both an ex	olanati	on and suppor	t for y	our conclu	sions	
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale	ns, provide both an ex es Price for the prior 7	olanatio 7-12 m	on and support onths was \$5	t for y 35,0	our conclu 00 and for	sions the c	urrent to prio
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months w	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fo	ns, provide both an ex es Price for the prior 7 or the current to prior	olanatio 7-12 m 3 mor	on and support onths was \$5 onth period. Th	t for y 35,0 ne Me	our conclu 00 and for edian Days	sions the c s on N	urrent to prio Market for the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months w rior 3 month period. T	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fo The statistics above	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior of the current to prior experse were generated from	olanatio 7-12 m 3 mor	on and support onths was \$5 onth period. Th	t for y 35,0 ne Me	our conclu 00 and for edian Days	sions the c s on N	urrent to prio Market for the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months w rior 3 month period. T	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fo The statistics above	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior of the current to prior experse were generated from	olanatio 7-12 m 3 mor	on and support onths was \$5 onth period. Th	t for y 35,0 ne Me	our conclu 00 and for edian Days	sions the c s on N	urrent to prio Market for the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months w rior 3 month period. T	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fo The statistics above	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior of the current to prior experse were generated from	olanatio 7-12 m 3 mor	on and support onths was \$5 onth period. Th	t for y 35,0 ne Me	our conclu 00 and for edian Days	sions the c s on N	urrent to prio Market for the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months w rior 3 month period. T	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fo The statistics above	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior of the current to prior experse were generated from	olanatio 7-12 m 3 mor	on and support onths was \$5 onth period. Th	t for y 35,0 ne Me	our conclu 00 and for edian Days	sions the c s on N	urrent to prio Market for the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months w rior 3 month period. T	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fo The statistics above	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior of the current to prior experse were generated from	olanatio 7-12 m 3 mor	on and support onths was \$5 onth period. Th	t for y 35,0 ne Me	our conclu 00 and for edian Days	sions the c s on N	urrent to prio Market for the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months w rior 3 month period. T at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusion s. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fo The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior of the current to prior experse were generated from	olanatio 7-12 m 3 mor	on and support onths was \$5 onth period. Th	t for y 35,0 ne Me	our conclu 00 and for edian Days	sions the c s on N	urrent to prio Market for the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months w rior 3 month period. T at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusion s. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fo The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 or the current to prior be were generated from 204mc/calc.shtml	olanatio 7-12 m 3 mor	on and support onths was \$5 onth period. The xported MLS	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days	sions the c s on N	urrent to prio Market for the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months w rior 3 month period. T at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusion s. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior or the current to prior the were generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name:	olanatio 7-12 m 3 mor	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The exported MLS	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days cet search.	sions the c s on N	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months w rior 3 month period. T at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusion s. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior or the current to prior the were generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name:	olanatio 7-12 m 3 mor	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu on and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable	sions the c s on N	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months w rior 3 month period. T at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusion s. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior or the current to prior the were generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name:	olanatio 7-12 m 3 mor	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions the c s on N	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The past 12 month period at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M Deta	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
213	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The past 12 month period at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior or the current to prior the were generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name:	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M Deta	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
JUECTS TO THE PROPERTY OF THE	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The past 12 month period at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M Deta	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The past 12 month period at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M Deta	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The past 12 month period at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M Deta	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The past 12 month period at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M Deta	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
00/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The past 12 month period at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
ONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The past 12 month period at http://www.bradfo	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 months wrior 3 month period. The project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 months wrior 3 month period. The project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 months wrior 3 month period. The project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 months wrior 3 month period. The project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 months wrior 3 month period. The project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 months wrior 3 month period. The project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 months wrior 3 month period. The project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 months wrior 3 month period. The project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months prior 7-12 Months	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 fc The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months on the subject unit and on the subject unit an	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 for the statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, income described as the statistics above rdsoftware.com/10	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior 7 for the current to prior ewere generated from 204mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Arturo Valdo	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months on the subject unit and povinos Jr	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 for the statistics above redsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, income displayed a project. Signature Supervisor	Project Name: Current - 3 Months Current - 10 Months	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Arturo Valdo Company Name Art Valdo	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The prior 7-12 months we project, complete the Prior 7-12 months prior 7-12 months. The prior 7-12 months we project? The prior 7-12 months we project? The prior 7-12 months we prior 7-12 months.	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 for The statistics above rdsoftware.com/10 e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incompany If the supervisor Company II the Supervisor Co	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior of the current to prior the current to prior the were generated from 1004mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of R Name Name	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	urrent to prio Market for the ails regarding Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
APPRAISER CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with There were a total of 94 Comparable Settled Sales 3 months is \$545,000. The Months Supply for the prior 7-12 months was 11 and 8 for the current to p the calculations and process can be found online If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Arturo Valdo	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form in the past 12 month prior 7-12 months wrior 3 month period. The prior 7-12 months we project, complete the Prior 7-12 months prior 7-12 months prior 7-12 months. On the subject unit and prior on the	ulate your conclusions. The Median Sale as 1.22 and 1.56 for The statistics above redsoftware.com/10 Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, incompany I group of Company I gro	ns, provide both an expess Price for the prior of the current to prior the current to prior the were generated from 1004mc/calc.shtml Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of R Name Name	olanation 7-12 m 3 more m an e	on and support on the was \$5 on the period. The period of	t for y 35,0 ne Me mark	our conclu 00 and for edian Days set search. Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	sions on M	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing Sand sales

Art Valdovinos AERIAL PLAT VIEW

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

 Borrower
 Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

 Property Address
 404 Silver Grove Street

 City
 Las Vegas
 County
 Clark
 State
 NV
 Zip Code
 89144

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278




Art Valdovinos LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

35459812 File No. 57580 Case No.

Catamount Properties 2018 LLC Borrower

Property Address	404 Silver Grove Street					
City Las Vegas	County	Clark	State	NV	Zip Code	89144
Lender/Client Wedg	gewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Beach	Blvd, Suite	100, Redondo Beach,	CA 90278




Art Valdovinos

FLOOD MAP ADDENDUM

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address	404 Silver Grove Street					
City Las Vegas	County	Clark	State	NV	Zip Code	89144
Lender/Client Wed	gewood Inc	Addres	s 2015 Manhatta	n Beach Blvd, S	uite 100, Redondo I	Beach, CA 90278



Floo	od Map Legends
Floor	d Zones
	Areas inundated by 100-year flooding
	Areas inundated by 500-year flooding
	Areas of undetermined but possible flood hazards
	Floodway areas with velocity hazard
1///	Floodway areas
***	COBRA zone

Flood Zone Determination									
In Specia	al Flood Ha	azard Area (F	lood Zone):		Out				
Within 25	0 ft. of mu	Itiple flood zo	ones?	Not withi	n 250 feet				
Commun	ity:			325276					
Commun	ity Name:		LAS	LAS VEGAS, CITY OF					
Map Nun	nber:		32	2003C2150E					
Zone:	Χ	Panel:	2150E	Panel Date:	09/27/2002				
FIPS Coo	de:	32003	Census T	ract:	0032.50				

This Report is for the sole benefit of the Customer that ordered and paid for the Report and is based on the property information provided by that Customer. That Customer's use of this Report is subject to the terms agreed to by that Customer when accessing this product. THE SELLER OF THIS REPORT MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES TO ANY PARTY CONCERNING THE CONTENT, ACCURACY, OR COMPLETENESS OF THIS REPORT INCLUDING ANY WARRANTY OR MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. The seller of this Report shall not have any liability to any third party for any use or misuse of this Report.

Art Valdovinos

SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

 Borrower
 Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

 Property Address
 404 Silver Grove Street

 City
 Las Vegas
 County
 Clark
 State
 NV
 Zip Code
 89144

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 404 Silver Grove Street Las Vegas, NV 89144

REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE
View to the West

Art Valdovinos **EXTERIOR PHOTOS**

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 404 Silver Grove Street

Lender/Client

City Las Vegas County Clark State NV Zip Code 89144

Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Address Verification




Exterior Side of Subject



Street View to the East



Exterior Side of Subject



35459812 57580

 Borrower
 Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

 Property Address
 404 Silver Grove Street

 City
 Las Vegas
 County
 Clark
 State
 NV
 Zip Code
 89144

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE # 1 10676 Blue Nile Court Las Vegas, NV 89144



COMPARABLE # 2 608 Jade Cliffs Lane Las Vegas, NV 89144



COMPARABLE # 3 10605 Gum Tree Court Las Vegas, NV 89144

Appraiser License Certificate

File No. Case No.

35459812 57580

APPRAISER LICENSE

STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY

NOT TRANSFERABLE

REAL ESTATE DIVISION NOT TRANSFERABLE

This is to Certify That: ARTURO VALDOVINOS JR

License Number: A.0005988-RES

Is duly authorized to act as a LICENSED RESIDENTIAL APPRAISER from the issue date to the expiration date at the business address stated here in, unless the license is sooner revoked, cancelled, withdrawn, or invalidated.

Issue Date: August 15, 2023

Expire Date: August 31, 2025

In witness whereof, THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, by virtue of the authority vested in it by Chapter 645C of the Nevada Revised Statues, has caused this license to be issued with its Seal printed thereon. This license must be conspicuously displayed in place of business.

FOR: VALDOVINOS APPRAISAL SERVICES 1341 CADENCE ST HENDERSON, NV 89052

REAL ESTATE DIVISION

SHARATH CHANDRA

35459812 57580

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 404 Silver Grove Street

City Las Vegas County Clark State NV Zip Code 89144

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Accelerant National Insurance Company

(A Stock Company) 400 Northridge Road, Suite 800 Sandy Springs, GA 30350

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY DECLARATIONS

NOTICE: THIS IS A "CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED" POLICY. THIS POLICY REQUIRES THAT A CLAIM BE MADE AGAINST THE INSURED DURING THE POLICY PERIOD AND REPORTED TO THE INSURER, IN WRITING, DURING THE POLICY PERIOD OR EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD.

PLEASE READ YOUR POLICY CAREFULLY.

Policy Number: NAX40PL107246-00 Renewal of: New

1. Named Insured: Art Valdovinos

Address: 1341 Cadence St Henderson, NV 89052

3. Policy Period: From: December 22, 2023 To: December 22, 2024

12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in item 2. Above.

4. Limit of Liability: Each Claim Policy Aggregate
Damages Limit of Liability 4A. \$1,000,000 4C. \$1,000,000
Claim Expenses Limit of Liability 4B. \$1,000,000 4D. \$1,000,000

5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claims Expenses):

Each Claim Aggregate 5A. \$500 5B. \$1,000

6. Policy Premium: \$ 618

7. Retroactive Date: December 22, 2014

8. Notice to Company: Notice of a Claim or Potential Claim should be sent to:

OREP Insurance Services: info@orep.org 6353 El Cajon Blvd, Suite 124-605 San Diego, CA 92115

9. Program Administrator: OREP Insurance Services, LLC - appraisers@orep.org

10. Forms and Endorsements Attached at Policy Inception: See Schedule of Forms

If required by state law, this policy will be countersigned by an authorized representative of the Company.

Date: December 27, 2023

By: Asaac Peck

Authorized Representative

N DEC 40000 04 22 Page 1 of 1

APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE

Owner Catamount Properties LLC

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

Address 404 Silver Grove S	treet		Unit N	lo
City Las Vegas	County	<u>Clark</u> State	NV Zip Co	de <u>89144</u>
Client Wedgewood Inc				
APPRAISAL AND REPORT				
This Appraisal Report is one of the	• • •			
X Appraisal Report Restricted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the re This report was prepared in accordance with the re intended user of this report is limited to the identifie at the opinions and conclusions set forth in the repo	quirements of the Restricted Appraisal Repo ed client. This is a Restricted Appraisal Repo	ort option of USPAP S ort and the rationale fo	Standards Rule 2-2(b). The or how the appraiser arrived
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT				
I certify that, to the best of my kno				
	ined in this report are true and correct. ions, and conclusions are limited only by the reporte	d assumntions and are my nersonal, impartic	al and unhiased profe	essional analyses
opinions, and conclusions.	o, a oo a a o, o, a o.po	a december of the december, imposite	, aa aa.aa p. a	, co.o. a. a. a. j coo,
	I have no present or prospective interest in the proper to the property that is the subject of this report or the		ersonal interest with r	espect to parties involved
	nment was not contingent upon developing or repor			
	eting this assignment is not contingent upon the deve		ue or direction in valu	e that favors the cause
	ne value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated resul	t, or the occurrence of a subsequent event di	irectly related to the ir	ntended use of
this appraisal. My analyses, opinions, and o	conclusions were developed and this report has bee	n prepared, in conformity with the Uniform St	tandards of Professio	nal Appraisal Practice that
were in effect at the time this	• • •			
This appraisal report was pre	epared in accordance with the requirements of Title 2	⟨I of FIRREA and any implementing regulation	ons.	
	d services, as an appraiser or in another capacity, re	garding the property that is the subject of the	e report within the thre	ee-year period
immediately preceding acce	ptance of this assignment. ices, as an appraiser or in another capacity, regardir	ng the property that is the subject of this repo	ort within the three-ve:	ar neriod immediately
preceding acceptance of this	s assignment. Those services are described in the co			ponou minouator,
PROPERTY INSPECTION HAVE made a pers	sonal inspection of the property that is the subject of	this report		
	a personal inspection of the property that is the subj	·		
	rovided significant real property appraisal assistance	to the person signing this certification. If any	yone did provide signi	ificant assistance, they
	summary of the extent of the assistance provided in	the report.		
N/A				
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				
Additional USPAP related issues r	requiring disclosure and/or any state mandated requ	rements:		
MARKETING TIME AND E	XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT PR	OBERTY		
X A reasonable marketing time		utilizing market conditions pertinent to the app	praisal assignment.	
X A reasonable exposure time			praida: addig:d	
APPRAISER		SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (C	ONLY IF REQUIR	FD)
				,,
11 11 11	1 11 11 0/1			
hit	11 VA 11 V 9h			
Signature	10-100	Signature		
Name	Arturo Valdovinos Jr 05/26/2024			
Date of Signature State Certification #		Date of Signature State Certification #		
or State License #		or State License #		
State	NV	State		
Expiration Date of Certification or	License	Expiration Date of Certification or Licens		
Effective Date of Appraisal	05/25/2024	Supervisory Appraiser Inspection of Subj Did Not Exterior Only from		ior and Exterior
				=

TAX RECORDS

File No. **35459812** Case No. **57580**

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 404 Silver Grove Street

City Las Vegas County Clark State NV Zip Code 89144

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Tax Property Tax - One Page

Property

Parcel #: 137-25-315-003 Address: 404 Silver Grove ST Census Tract:003250
TN-RG-SE: 20 - 59 - 25 PropCity: Las Vegas Zip Code: 89144-4105

Tot Value: \$134,073 Land Use: Sfr

GEO ID: SW 20-59-25

Assessor Description

File-Page: 78-78 Subdivision: Chardonnay #51 By Lewis Homes

Assr Lot: 41 Block: B Area: 404 Bldg: Unit: Tract:

Assr Desc: CHARDONNAY #51 BY LEWIS HOMES PLAT BOOK 78 PAGE 78 LOT 41 BLOCK B

Owner & Doc Information

Owner Name: **Behrman Clayton T**DOC DATE

DOC NUMBER

DV

2nd Owner: **07/23/2014 140723002203**

Address: 404 Silver Grove Street -

City: Las Vegas State: NV Zip Code: 89144

Prev Owner: Tess Investment I Llc

Land & Building Information

Land Value: \$162,000 Impr Value: \$221,066 Schools: **Clark County** FrontxDpth: Topography: Cost Class: Fair Zoning: P-C 0.110 Act Yr Blt: 1998 Foundation: Concrete Tot Rooms: 7 Acres: Lot SqFt: Eff Yr Blt: 1998 4,792 Basement: Bedrooms: # of Buildings: 1 Construction: Garage Cap: Bathrooms: 3 Ext Wall: Frame/StuccoGarage Type:Built-In Full Baths: Type Style: 2 Stories: Flooring: Parking Sp: 2.00 Half Baths: 1 Roof Matrl: Concrete Tile Heat Systm: Forced Air Pool YN: Fireplace YN: Yes

Roof Type: Air Cond: Central Porch: Patio/Porch Fireplaces: 1

Property Sub-Areas SqFt

Property Sub-Areas Sqr

Living Area: **2,057** First Flr: **836** Porch 1: **64** Grg/Prkg: **545** Building Sq Ft: **2,057** Second Flr: **1,221** Porch 2: **88** Carport:

Total Bldg: 2,602 Upper Area Sq Ft Deck: 250
Prim Addition: Basement Area: 2nd Patio/Deck:
Above Grade: 2.057 Basement F: Basement U:

Above Grade: **2,057** Basement F: Basement U: Sales Information

	PRICE	DATE	DEED TYPE	
		07/08/20	Quit Claim Deed	
	\$319,000	06/23/14	Bargain & Sale Deed	
		08/24/12	Bargain & Sale Deed	
County:		08/24/12	Quit Claim Deed	
<i>y</i>	\$185,000	07/26/12	Bargain & Sale Deed	
	\$470,000	04/25/05	Bargain & Sale Deed	
			Deed (Reg)	
	\$222,500	08/14/01	Grant Deed	

Tax & Assessment

TOTAL TAX TAX YEAR TOTAL ASSD **IMPRV** LAND ASSD YEAR EXEMPTION Curr: \$2,807.11 2024 \$134,073 \$77,373 \$56,700 2024 Prev: \$2,725.49 2023 \$121,922 \$71,872 \$50,050 2023 \$107,855 \$2,646.15 2022 \$65,855 \$42,000 2022

Deling: Tot SA Bal:

INFORMATION DEEMED RELIABLE BUT NOT GUARANTEED

LVR DEEMS INFORMATION RELIABLE BUT NOT GUARANTEED. IT IS A VIOLATION TO PROVIDE DETAIL PRINTOUTS TO A CUSTOMER/CLIENT.

Art Valdovinos MARKET ANALYSIS CHARTS

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

 Borrower
 Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

 Property Address
 404 Silver Grove Street


 City
 Las Vegas
 County
 Clark
 State
 NV
 Zip Code
 89144

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278




TOTAL SALES AND LISTINGS

There were 38 sales prior 7-12 months and 35 sales current to 3 months ago. There were 23 listings prior 7-12 months and 17 listings current to 3 months ago.



MEDIAN SALES PRICE

Prior 7-12 months the median was \$365,000. Current to 3 months ago the median was \$385,000.



MONTHS-SUPPLY-OF-INVENTORY (MSI)

Prior 7-12 months the month's supply was 3.63. Current to 3 months ago the month's supply was 1.46.

Art Valdovinos MEDIAN PRICE - BROKEN DOWN

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

 Borrower
 Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

 Property Address
 404 Silver Grove Street


 City
 Las Vegas
 County
 Clark
 State
 NV
 Zip Code
 89144

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

MEDIAN PRICE BROKEN INTO MARKETING TIME (DAYS ON MARKET)

Days On Market	Median Price		
0-50 Days	\$540,000		
51-100 Days	\$520,000		
101-150 Days	\$495,000		
151-200 Days	\$0		
201-250 Days	\$0		
251-300 Days	\$0		
301-350 Days	\$0		
350+ Days	\$0		

The table displays the market's change in median sales price over the past year.



This chart shows the relationship between marketing time (how long a property is left on the market) and sales price.

This chart is especially useful for determining typical marketing times and how long it will take to sell for a given price.

Art Valdovinos TIME ADJUSTMENT FACTOR

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

 Borrower
 Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

 Property Address
 404 Silver Grove Street

 City
 Las Vegas
 County
 Clark
 State
 NV
 Zip Code
 89144


 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

TIME ADJUSTMENT FACTOR BY MONTH FOR THE LAST 12 MONTHS

Time Period	Median Sales Price	Current Month's Median	Time Adjustment Factor
40 Mantha Ana	Φ540.050	Φ500 000	007
12 Months Ago	\$518,250	\$520,000	0%
11 Months Ago	\$529,500	\$520,000	-2%
10 Months Ago	\$580,000	\$520,000	-10%
9 Months Ago	\$565,000	\$520,000	-8%
8 Months Ago	\$535,000	\$520,000	-3%
7 Months Ago	\$527,000	\$520,000	-1%
6 Months Ago	\$562,000	\$520,000	-7%
5 Months Ago	\$500,000	\$520,000	4%
4 Months Ago	\$520,000	\$520,000	0%
3 Months Ago	\$530,000	\$520,000	-2%
2 Months Ago	\$570,000	\$520,000	-9%
Current Month	\$520,000	\$520,000	0%

The table displays the market's change in median sales price on a monthly basis.

TIME ADJUSTMENT FACTOR BY MONTH FOR THE LAST 12 MONTHS




Notes:

- (*) Data is not available for this period. The time adjustment factor of the previous month will be applied.
- (**) When the time adjustment factor is greater than 100%, the value on the graph is limited at 100%.
- (***) When the time adjustment factor is less than -100%, the value on the graph is limited at -100%.
- (****) The Current Month's Median has been derived from 2 and 3 current months.

35459812 57580


Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address	404 Silver Grove Street					
City Las Vegas	County	Clark	State	NV	Zip Code	89144
Lender/Client W	edgewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan B	Beach Blvd, Suite 1	00, Redondo Beach,	CA 90278




TOTAL SALES AND LISTINGS

There were 14 sales twelve months ago and 9 sales last month. There were 16 listings twelve months ago and 13 listings last month.




MEDIAN SALES PRICE

The median price trends shown here may differ from the 1004MC due to the difference in reported time periods. One month ago the median was \$520,000. Twelve months ago the median was \$518,250.




SALES TO LISTING PRICE RATIO

One month ago the median was 99%. Twelve months ago the median was 99.5%.



SALES DAYS ON MARKET TREND

The median was 19 one month ago and was 8.5 twelve months ago.



MONTH OF SUPPLY OF INVENTORY

One month ago the month's supply was 1. Twelve months ago the month's supply was 1.

Art Valdovinos MARKET RESEARCH DATA

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

Borrower: Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address: 404 Silver Grove Street

 City:
 Las Vegas
 County:
 Clark
 State: NV
 Zip Code:
 89144

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address: 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

SALES

SALES PRICE	LIST PRICE	SALE DATE	DAYS ON MARKET	LIST DATE	PENDING DATE	STATUS	STATUS DATE
530000	515000	1/4/2024	17	11/17/2023	12/4/2023	sold	
565000	569000	8/10/2023	57	5/12/2023	7/8/2023	sold	
590000	599900	6/8/2023	4	5/4/2023	5/8/2023	sold	
595000	624900	8/1/2023	37	6/4/2023	7/11/2023	sold	
500000	549900	12/29/2023	6	12/15/2023	12/21/2023	sold	
509000	519000	7/13/2023	23	5/19/2023	6/11/2023	sold	
545000	550000	5/13/2024	16	4/1/2024	4/17/2024	sold	
575000	574900	7/10/2023	12	5/18/2023	5/30/2023	sold	
615000	610000	3/29/2024	1	3/7/2024	3/8/2024	sold	
403000	414999	12/19/2023	36	10/29/2023	12/4/2023	sold	
405000	420000	1/11/2024	4	12/3/2023	12/7/2023	sold	
421500	410000	6/30/2023	5	6/3/2023	6/8/2023	sold	
455000	468500	10/17/2023	60	8/1/2023	9/30/2023	sold	
470000	485000	11/14/2023	56	8/31/2023	10/26/2023	sold	
480000	445000	4/8/2024	4	3/11/2024	3/15/2024	sold	
511000	519999	5/24/2024	35	4/8/2024	5/13/2024	sold	
515000	529000	5/17/2024	22	4/7/2024	4/29/2024	sold	
529000	575000	7/17/2023	33	6/2/2023	7/5/2023	sold	
530000	565000	3/6/2024	10	1/23/2024	2/2/2024	sold	
535000	539000	6/23/2023	54	3/20/2023	5/13/2023	sold	
562000	600000	12/5/2023	4	10/28/2023	11/1/2023	sold	
584000	590000	5/17/2024	25	3/21/2024	4/15/2024	sold	
587000	600000	11/7/2023	9	9/27/2023	10/6/2023	sold	
611000	629000	12/15/2023	19	11/8/2023	11/27/2023	sold	
455000	459888	8/29/2023	115	4/7/2023	7/31/2023	sold	
467000	489000	6/21/2023	16	5/24/2023	6/9/2023	sold	
479000	479000	8/31/2023	20	7/8/2023	7/28/2023	sold	
499999	499999	3/6/2024	21	1/26/2024	2/16/2024	sold	
520000	547300	4/29/2024	60	1/20/2024	3/20/2024	sold	
535000	535000	11/3/2023	123	6/8/2023	10/9/2023	sold	
540000	535000	7/3/2023	4	6/1/2023	6/5/2023	sold	
560000	579999	12/26/2023	80	9/19/2023	12/8/2023	sold	

Art Valdovinos MARKET RESEARCH DATA

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

Borrower: Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address: 404 Silver Grove Street

 City:
 Las Vegas
 County:
 Clark
 State: NV
 Zip Code:
 89144

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address: 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

SALES

SALES PRICE	LIST PRICE	SALE DATE	DAYS ON MARKET	LIST DATE	PENDING DATE	STATUS	STATUS DATE
560000	589999	12/12/2023	89	8/15/2023	11/12/2023	sold	
561000	548000	4/25/2024	12	3/15/2024	3/27/2024	sold	
565000	580000	9/13/2023	13	8/11/2023	8/24/2023	sold	
573000	599500	5/30/2023	2	5/20/2023	5/22/2023	sold	
575000	599900	4/17/2024	30	1/17/2024	2/16/2024	sold	
585000	585000	4/26/2024	7	3/20/2024	3/27/2024	sold	
600000	610000	11/9/2023	9	9/25/2023	10/4/2023	sold	
600000	599999	6/9/2023	43	3/27/2023	5/9/2023	sold	
638000	650000	7/14/2023	21	5/15/2023	6/5/2023	sold	
645000	664990	12/22/2023	61	10/4/2023	12/4/2023	sold	
680000	685000	8/16/2023	6	7/21/2023	7/27/2023	sold	
682000	659900	12/28/2023	13	10/25/2023	11/7/2023	sold	
699867	699867	6/28/2023	6	5/13/2023	5/19/2023	sold	
430000	430000	7/7/2023	6	6/7/2023	6/13/2023	sold	
444000	464000	6/21/2023	12	5/12/2023	5/24/2023	sold	
469000	469900	1/24/2024	64	10/20/2023	12/23/2023	sold	
490000	488000	2/27/2024	8	1/6/2024	1/14/2024	sold	
490000	520000	7/12/2023	32	5/15/2023	6/16/2023	sold	
500000	519900	6/23/2023	87	2/20/2023	5/18/2023	sold	
510000	499999	11/17/2023	4	10/12/2023	10/16/2023	sold	
510250	499900	10/31/2023	4	10/9/2023	10/13/2023	sold	
514000	519900	6/21/2023	11	5/8/2023	5/19/2023	sold	
520000	520000	4/29/2024	2	4/6/2024	4/8/2024	sold	
522500	525000	6/20/2023	1	5/24/2023	5/25/2023	sold	
525000	549900	8/31/2023	34	7/5/2023	8/8/2023	sold	
530000	530000	3/22/2024	2	2/25/2024	2/27/2024	sold	
536500	544999	1/26/2024	15	12/19/2023	1/3/2024	sold	
540000	540000	10/2/2023	3	8/17/2023	8/20/2023	sold	
580000	599900	3/25/2024	5	2/22/2024	2/27/2024	sold	
580000	600000	7/17/2023	4	6/22/2023	6/26/2023	sold	
603500	625000	8/1/2023	16	7/6/2023	7/22/2023	sold	
620000	660000	5/17/2024	14	4/15/2024	4/29/2024	sold	

Art Valdovinos MARKET RESEARCH DATA

File No. Case No. 35459812 57580

Borrower: Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address: 404 Silver Grove Street

 City:
 Las Vegas
 County:
 Clark
 State: NV
 Zip Code:
 89144

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address: 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd, Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

SALES

SALES PRICE	LIST PRICE	SALE DATE	DAYS ON MARKET	LIST DATE	PENDING DATE	STATUS	STATUS DATE
620000	620000	5/17/2024	6	3/30/2024	4/5/2024	sold	
635000	649999	7/21/2023	5	6/17/2023	6/22/2023	sold	
645000	649000	3/1/2024	5	1/22/2024	1/27/2024	sold	
650000	669900	9/12/2023	37	7/5/2023	8/11/2023	sold	
664000	664900	3/25/2024	70	12/10/2023	2/18/2024	sold	
519000	519000	11/14/2023	1	10/26/2023	10/27/2023	sold	
520000	549900	2/16/2024	90	11/7/2023	2/5/2024	sold	
535000	548888	10/11/2023	22	8/31/2023	9/22/2023	sold	
552600	573899	8/17/2023	19	7/18/2023	8/6/2023	sold	
565000	565000	4/2/2024	0	1/30/2024	1/30/2024	sold	
580000	580000	5/31/2023	45	3/15/2023	4/29/2023	sold	
625000	599000	8/25/2023	5	7/28/2023	8/2/2023	sold	
650000	650000	4/26/2024	4	3/21/2024	3/25/2024	sold	
445000	429990	7/14/2023	5	6/8/2023	6/13/2023	sold	
460000	460000	6/7/2023	5	5/3/2023	5/8/2023	sold	
475000	475000	3/28/2024	4	2/27/2024	3/2/2024	sold	
475000	474900	6/22/2023	3	6/1/2023	6/4/2023	sold	
484590	484590	5/20/2024	19	4/5/2024	4/24/2024	sold	
530000	519000	7/14/2023	3	6/8/2023	6/11/2023	sold	
530000	530000	5/30/2023	4	4/13/2023	4/17/2023	sold	
455000	439000	8/16/2023	6	7/11/2023	7/17/2023	sold	
455000	458000	7/24/2023	8	6/8/2023	6/16/2023	sold	
470000	469900	3/8/2024	4	2/3/2024	2/7/2024	sold	
517000	534000	1/30/2024	55	11/17/2023	1/11/2024	sold	
546000	559900	6/20/2023	11	5/25/2023	6/5/2023	sold	
585000	623000	5/31/2023	15	4/29/2023	5/14/2023	sold	
590000	610000	8/31/2023	13	8/1/2023	8/14/2023	sold	
599000	599000	2/23/2024	5	1/4/2024	1/9/2024	sold	
620000	625000	11/13/2023	10	10/7/2023	10/17/2023	sold	
584900	584900	6/5/2023	2	5/11/2023	5/13/2023	sold	