Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 57606 Side # 35481418

| | The purpose of this summary appraisal repo | ort is to provide the lender/client with an | accurate, and adequately supported, or | pinion of the market value | of the subject property. |
|------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Property Address 7125 Higher Ridges | · | City Colorado Springs | State CO | Zip Code 80919 |
| | Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 | | | • • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | OVERY SUB FIL NO 1 | | , | |
| | Assessor's Parcel # 73121-01-003 | | Tax Year 2022 | R.E. Taxes \$ 2 | 2.133 |
| H | Neighborhood Name Discovery | | Map Reference 17820 | Census Tract (| |
| SUBJECT | Occupant 🔀 Owner 🗌 Tenant 🔲 Vac | ant Special Assessments | | | per year per month |
| g | Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple | Leasehold Other (describe) | | | |
| S | Assignment Type Purchase Transaction | Refinance Transaction X Other | (describe) Servicing | | |
| | Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc | | Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 10 | | A 90278 |
| | Is the subject property currently offered for sale of | | | | |
| | Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | was offered for sale on 04/26/20 | 24 for \$550,000, per P | PMLS #8499107. |
| | The listing is now classified as closed | | | | |
| | | sale for the subject purchase transaction. Expl | ain the results of the analysis of the contrac | t for sale or why the analysis | was not |
| L | performed. | | | | |
| βĊ | Contract Price \$ Date of Con | ntract Is the property cells | r the owner of public record? | No Data Source(s) | |
| CONTRACT | Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, s | 1 1 2 | | | Yes No |
| ģ | If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe | | ice, etc., to be paid by any party on benan | or the borrower: | 103 NO |
| | 11 100; 10port the total deliar amount and december | s the items to be paid. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Note: Race and the racial composition of the | neighborhood are not appraisal factors. | | | |
| | Neighborhood Characteristics | | nit Housing Trends | One-Unit Housing | Present Land Use % |
| | Location Urban Suburban | Rural Property Values Increasi | | PRICE AGE | One-Unit 85 % |
| | Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75% | Under 25% Demand/Supply X Shortage | | \$ (000) (yrs) | 2-4 Unit % |
| 덛 | Growth Rapid Stable | Slow Marketing Time Vunder 3 | mths 3-6 mths Over 6 mths | 445 Low 11 | Multi-Family 5 % |
| 뚩 | Neighborhood Boundaries Bounded not | rth by W Woodman Rd, east by I-2 | 5, south by W Rockrimmon | 1,820 High 55 | Commercial 5 % |
| BORHOOD | Blvd and west by Centennial Blvd | | | 562 Pred. 47 | Other 5 % |
| NEIGH | Neighborhood Description The subject in | is located the Discovery subdivision | n, a suburban neighborhood of (| Colorado Springs cons | isting of single |
| 囙 | family homes of average quality. The | e neighborhood is comprised mostly | y of traditional ranch, split level, t | ri-level and bi-level ard | chitecture built in |
| | the 1960's - 1990's. Located near E | | | | |
| | Market Conditions (including support for the above | ve conclusions) See attached a | ddendum (form 1004MC) / Unde | r the "Present Land U | se %", the 5% |
| | "Other" is Open Space. | | | | |
| | Dimensions 4001 001 0401 4551 | Area 40000 f | Chana M. U. D. | View N | 1 |
| | Dimensions 133'x80'x216'x155' | Area 18000 sf | | | ;Res; |
| | Specific Zoning Classification PUD HS Zoning Compliance | zoning Description nconforming (Grandfathered Use) No Zo | Residential/Planned Unit Develoning Illegal (describe) | opment | |
| | Is the highest and best use of subject property as | , | <u> </u> | Yes No If No, des | ecribe Soc attached |
| | addendum | s improved (or as proposed per plans and spec | Ellications) the present use: | Tes INO II NO, des | scribe See attached |
| | Utilities Public Other (describe) | Public Other | (describe) Off-site Imp | rovements - Type | Public Private |
| ш | Electricity | Water 🔀 🗌 | Street Asp | • | X 🗆 |
| SIT | Gas 🗙 | Sanitary Sewer 🔀 | Alley No | | |
| | FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes | No FEMA Flood Zone X | FEMA Map # 08041C0512G | FEMA Map | Date 12/07/2018 |
| | Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical | I for the market area? X Yes | No If No, describe | | |
| | Are there any adverse site conditions or external | factors (easements, encroachments, environm | ental conditions, land uses, etc.)? | Yes 🔀 No | If Yes, describe |
| | See attached addendum | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Course (a) Head for Dhysical Obersatoristics of Dr | vananti. Namuraisal Files NII (| Assessment and Tay Decords | Drien Inspection | Dunandi Orrinan |
| | Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of Pr Other (describe) Exterior drive-by | roperty Appraisal Files MLS | | | Property Owner |
| | Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description | General Description | | Realist-Public Records | Car Storage |
| | Units One One with Accessory Unit | Concrete Slab Crawl Space | | lace(s) # 1 None | |
| | # of Stories 1 | Full Basement Finished | | dstove(s) # 0 🔀 Drive | |
| | Type 🔀 Det. 🗌 Att. 📗 S-Det./End Unit | Partial Basement Finished | | /Deck Dk Driveway | |
| | Existing Proposed Under Const. | Exterior Walls Frame | | Porch/Deck X Gara | |
| | Design (Style) Ranch | Roof Surface Composition | Central Air Conditioning Pool | None Carp | ort # of Cars 0 |
| | Year Built 1973 | Gutters & Downspouts Aluminum | ☐ Individual | e Wood 🔲 Attac | |
| | Effective Age (Yrs) 25 | Window Type Dual Pane | | None X Built | in |
| | Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven | Dishwasher Disposal Mic | | (describe) | |
| TS | Finished area above grade contains: | 5 Rooms 2 Bedrooms | * | 56 Square Feet of Gross Liv | ring Area Above Grade |
| PROVEMENTS | Additional features (special energy efficient items | s, etc.) The subject has average | e energy efficiency for the neighb | orhood and its age. | |
| É | December the condition of the consent and data | | -t-d-o-th-o-o-o-o-t-h-o-o-o-d-th-o-o-t-) | | |
| 80 | Describe the condition of the property and data s | | | | der specified, only an |
| MP | exterior inspection was performed, a | nd the overall condition rating is ba | ised on areas of the subjects site | e and structure viewad | ie from the street. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a | dverse conditions that affect the livability, sour | dness, or structural integrity of the property | ? Yes > | ▼ No |
| | If Yes, describe. | | | | |
| | No physical deficiencies or adverse | conditions were noted affecting liva | bility, soundness or structural int | egrity of subject. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Does the property generally conform to the neigh | , | <u> </u> | Yes No If No, describ | De. |
| | The subject generally conforms to the | e neighborhood in style, utility and | construction. | | |
| | | | | | |

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 57606 File # 35481418

| There are | | affauad fau aala in | والمواوات والمارون والمواوات | | f | 1.10 // OO+O1+10 | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | | the subject neighborho | | | | 75,000 |
| There are 54 comparable | e sales in the subject | neighborhood within | the past twelve mont | hs ranging in sale p | rice from \$ 445,80 | o to \$ | 810,000 . |
| FEATURE | SUBJECT | | LE SALE # 1 | | BLE SALE # 2 | | BLE SALE # 3 |
| | | GUIVIPANAD | DLE SALE # 1 | | | GUIVIPANA | DLE SALE # 3 |
| Address 7125 Higher Ridg | nes Ct | 552 Anaconda D |)r | 6426 Hawkeye | Cir | 115 Fox Hill Ln | |
| - | = | Calarada Carina | - 00 00010 | Colorado Spring | | | ~~ CO 90010 |
| Colorado Springs | s, CO 80919 | Colorado Spring | s, CO 80919 | | S, CO 809 19 | Colorado Sprin | gs, CO 80919 |
| Proximity to Subject | | 0.65 miles SW | | 0.75 miles S | | 0.58 miles SE | |
| Sale Price | \$ | | \$ 513,050 | | \$ 610,000 | | \$ 605,000 |
| | | Δ | | | | | |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ sq.ft. | \$ 249.90 sq.ft. | | \$ 318.87 sq.ft | | \$ 365.34 sq.1 | t. |
| Data Source(s) | | PPMLS #562939 | 08·DOM 187 | PPMLS #85064 | 37·DOM 34 | PPMLS# 56034 | 132-DOM 3 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Verification Source(s) | | Doc #12128;Rea | alist | Realist/Public R | ecords | Realist/Public F | Records |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DESCRIPTION | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment |
| | 22001111 11011 | | . () + / (a)ao amone | | · () + / lujuounone | | . () \$ 1 tajastinont |
| Sales or Financing | | ArmLth | | ArmLth | | ArmLth | |
| Concessions | | VA;16000 | -16 000 | Conv;22500 | -22 500 | Conv;8245 | -8,245 |
| | | • | 10,000 | | 22,000 | | 0,240 |
| Date of Sale/Time | | s02/24;c01/24 | | s03/24;c01/24 | | s05/24;c04/24 | |
| Location | N;Res; | N;Res; | | N;Res; | | N;Res; | |
| | | | | | | <u> </u> | |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple | Fee Simple | Fee Simple | | Fee Simple | | Fee Simple | |
| Site | 18000 sf | 13823 sf | +12,531 | 9100 sf | +26 700 | 18199 sf | 0 |
| View | | | 12,001 | | | | |
| | N;Res; | N;Res; | | B;Open Space; | -25,000 | B;Open Space; | -25,000 |
| Design (Style) | DT1;Ranch | DT2;cont. tri lvl | 0 | DT1;Ranch | | DT2;cont. tri Ivl | 0 |
| - , - , | | - | | | | | |
| Quality of Construction | Q4 | Q4 | | Q4 | | Q4 | |
| Actual Age | 51 | 46 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 43 | 0 |
| Condition | C3 | C3 | | C3 | | | 1 |
| | | | - | | | C3 | |
| Above Grade | Total Bdrms. Baths | Total Bdrms. Baths | | Total Bdrms. Baths | | Total Bdrms. Bath | S |
| Room Count | 5 2 2.1 | 6 3 2.1 | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | | +5,000 | | |
| Gross Living Area | 1,956 sq.ft. | 2,053 sq.ft. | -7,760 | 1,913 sq.ft | . 0 | 1,656 sq.1 | t. +24,000 |
| Basement & Finished | 1164sf1047sfin | 681sf667sfin | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 828sf828sfin | |
| | | | | 1900sf1843sfin | 1 | | +14,385 |
| Rooms Below Grade | 1rr2br1.0ba0o | 1rr3br1.0ba0o | 0 | 1rr1br1.0ba0o | | 0rr2br1.0ba0o | 0 |
| Functional Utility | | | | Adequate | | | |
| • | Adequate | Adequate | | | | Adequate | |
| Heating/Cooling | FWA;None | FWA;CAC | -4,000 | FWA;CAC | -4,000 | HWBB/CAC | -4,000 |
| Energy Efficient Items | | | , | | , | | , |
| | Adequate | Adequate | | Adequate | | Adequate | |
| Garage/Carport | 2gbi2dw | 2gbi2dw | | 2ga2dw | 0 | 2ga2dw | 0 |
| Porch/Patio/Deck | _ | | | | | | |
| | Pch;Dk | 2Dk | 0 | Pch;Dk;Pt | -5,000 | | 0 |
| Fireplace | 1 F/P | 1 F/P | | 1 F/P | | 2 F/P | -4,000 |
| | Avorago | | 0 | Similar | 0 | Superior | |
| Upgrades/Updates | Average | Similar | 0 | SIIIIIIIIII | 1 | Superior | -50,000 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | X + | \$ 7,731 | _ + 🗶 - | \$ -67,380 | _ + 🗶 - | \$ -42,860 |
| | | | | | | | , |
| | | Net Adj. 1.5 % | | Net Adj. 11.0 % | | Net Adj. 7.1 | % |
| Adjusted Sale Price | | INOL Auj. 1.0 /0 | | 11.0 / | ' I | | |
| of Comparables | the sale or transfer histo | Gross Adj. 12.3 % | | Gross Adj. 21.4 % | | Gross Adj. 23.1 | |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property es or transfers of the subject or transfers of the co | \$ 520,781 Brity and comparable sale Abject property for the the comparable sales for the comparable sales. | Gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the experience of the experience of the date | \$ 542,620 offective date of this app f sale of the comparable | Gross Adj. 23.1 ° | |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property es or transfers of the subject or transfers of the co | \$ 520,781 Brity and comparable sale Abject property for the the comparable sales for the comparable sales. | Gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the experience of the experience of the date | \$ 542,620 offective date of this app f sale of the comparable | Gross Adj. 23.1 ° | |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject or transfers of the corr sale or transfer history. | \$ 520,781 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the supparable sales for the sylventy of the subject property | Gross Adj. 21.4 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales | sffective date of this app f sale of the comparable g (report additional prior | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). | % \$ 562,140 |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property of the subject property or transfers of the subject or transfers of the co | \$ 520,781 Brity and comparable sale Abject property for the the comparable sales for the comparable sales. | Gross Adj. 21.4 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 | sffective date of this app f sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). | |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject or transfers of the corr sale or transfer history. | \$ 520,781 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the supparable sales for the sylventy of the subject property | Gross Adj. 21.4 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 | sffective date of this app f sale of the comparable g (report additional prior | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). | % \$ 562,140 |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject or transfers of the corr sale or transfer history. | \$ 520,781 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the supparable sales for the sylventy of the subject property | Gross Adj. 21.4 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 | sffective date of this app f sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). | % \$ 562,140 |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research TEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject or transfers of the corr sale or transfer history. | \$ 520,781 Brity and comparable sales Subject property for the the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE S. | Gross Adj. 21.4 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/29 \$0 | effective date of this app f sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1 | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). | % \$ 562,140 |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research tresults of the research tresults of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St Realist | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject properties or transfers of the subject or transfers of the corr sale or transfer history. | \$ 520,781 erty and comparable sale ubject property for the the supparable sales for the sylventy of the subject property | Gross Adj. 21.4 % es. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/25 | effective date of this app f sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1 | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMF | % \$ 562,140 |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research TEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St | Gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the subject properties or transfers of the contract of the subject properties or transfer history JBJECT | \$ 520,781 Brity and comparable sales Subject property for the the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the supparable sales for the subject property COMPARABLE S. | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/25 \$0 Real | effective date of this app f sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1 | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). | % \$ 562,140 |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St Realist 05/30/2024 | Gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject property of the subject or transfers of the correct property of the subject of transfer history JBJECT | \$ 520,781 Brity and comparable sales Subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/2: \$0 Real 05/34 | f sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #15/2024 | raisal. sale. Sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 | % \$ 562,140 PARABLE SALE #3 |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer him | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property and comparable | \$ 520,781 Both property and comparable sales Solution of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realist 05/30/2024 Sales Per | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/2: \$0 Real 05/3/ public records, t | f sale of the comparable c (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1 5/2024 dist 0/2024 he Subject has no | raisal. sale. Sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont | % \$ 562,140 PARABLE SALE #3 24 The prior transfer |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property and comparable | \$ 520,781 Both property and comparable sales Solution of the subject property COMPARABLE S Realist 05/30/2024 Sales Per | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/2: \$0 Real 05/3/ public records, t | f sale of the comparable c (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1 5/2024 dist 0/2024 he Subject has no | raisal. sale. Sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont | % \$ 562,140 PARABLE SALE #3 24 The prior transfer |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi history. 360 Silver Spring | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr | \$ 520,781 Entry and comparable sales Subject property for the the comparable sales for the com | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | f sale of the comparable (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #15/2024 (ist 0)/2024 (he Subject has no no known 12-mon | raisal. sale. Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer | ARABLE SALE #3 24 h prior transfer history. 6426 |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi history. 360 Silver Spring Hawkeye Cr transferred o | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for \$6.000 | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Door | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Seffective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi history. 360 Silver Spring | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for \$6.000 | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Door | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Door | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi history. 360 Silver Spring Hawkeye Cr transferred o | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Door | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research did did did did did did did di | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Door | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research did did did did did did did di | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % Dry of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Doctransfer history. 5 | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Door | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % Dry of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Doctransfer history. 5 | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research did did did did did did did di | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % Dry of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Doctransfer history. 5 | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research did did did did did did did di | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % Dry of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Doctransfer history. 5 | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research did did did did did did did di | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % Dry of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Doctransfer history. 5 | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % Dry of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Doctransfer history. 5 | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % Dry of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Doctransfer history. 5 | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research did did did did did did did di | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % Dry of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Doctransfer history. 5 | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % Dry of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Doctransfer history. 5 | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **ARABLE SA |
| of Comparables I did did not research did did did did did did did di | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for sin 12-month prior to | Gross Adj. 12.3 % Dry of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Doctransfer history. 5 | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **PARABLE SAL |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for son 12-month prior to transfer history. proach See at | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior transfer history. 5 tached addenda | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **PARABLE SAL |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior to transfer history. proach See at | Gross Adj. 12.3 % Dry of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior tr \$0 (Affidavit - Doctransfer history. 5 | states by the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 ct #21365). 190 E | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | step of the comparable of the | raisal. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi | **XARABLE SALE #3 **PARABLE SAL |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior to transfer history. proach See at | Gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior transfer history. 5 tached addenda | \$ 520,781 Introduction of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Per ansfer history. 170 5 #21365). 190 E 52 Anaconda Dr h | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/2: \$0 Real 05/30 public records, to Fox Hill Ln has Mikado Dr has no known 12- | offective date of this app of sale of the comparable of (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1 of 2024 ist of 2024 he Subject has no no known 12-mont of known 12-mont of known 12-mont of known 17-month of transf | raisal. sales on page 3). Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 |
| of Comparables I did did not research My research did did not research My research did did did did did did did d | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for \$ n 12-month prior the transfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ arison Approach \$ 5- arison Approach \$ | gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable and a | \$ 520,781 Introduction of the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 Sales Per ansfer history. 170 2 #21365). 190 E 52 Anaconda Dr h | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the explain read comparable sales ALE #1 03/25 \$0 Real 05/30 public records, to proceed the explain of the exp | income App | raisal. sales on page 3). Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for \$ n 12-month prior the transfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ arison Approach \$ 5- arison Approach \$ | gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable and a | \$ 520,781 Introduction of the subject property for the the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 Sales Per ansfer history. 170 2 #21365). 190 E 52 Anaconda Dr h | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the explain read comparable sales ALE #1 03/25 \$0 Real 05/30 public records, to proceed the explain of the exp | income App | raisal. sales on page 3). Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did not research and did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior teransfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ 5 arison Approach \$ n is considered the | gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior transfer history. 5 tached addenda 48,000 548,000 e most reliable in | sty and comparable sales before the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Peransfer history. 17(c): #21365). 190 E. S2 Anaconda Dr h. Cost Approach (if devidicator of value ar | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the explain read comparable sales ALE #1 03/2: \$0 Real 05/3: public records, to Fox Hill Ln has Mikado Dr has no known 12- and comparable sales ALE #1 Description of the explain of the ex | iffective date of this app f sale of the comparable c (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 5/2024 ist D/2024 he Subject has no no known 12-month month prior transf | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did not research and did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior terransfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ arison Approach \$ n is considered the utilized because | gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior transfer history. 5 tached addenda 48,000 548,000 e most reliable in | sty and comparable sales before the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Peransfer history. 17(c): #21365). 190 E. S2 Anaconda Dr h. Cost Approach (if devidicator of value ar | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the explain read comparable sales ALE #1 03/2: \$0 Real 05/3: public records, to Fox Hill Ln has Mikado Dr has no known 12- and comparable sales ALE #1 Description of the explain of the ex | iffective date of this app f sale of the comparable c (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 5/2024 ist D/2024 he Subject has no no known 12-month month prior transf | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did not research and did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior teransfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ arison Approach \$ n is considered the utilized because | gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior transfer history. 5 tached addenda 48,000 548,000 e most reliable in the subject is located. | \$ 520,781 Introduction of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S Realist 05/30/2024 Sales Per ansfer history. 170 2 #21365). 190 E 52 Anaconda Dr h Cost Approach (if device dicator of value are atted in a predomine) | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/2: \$0 Real 05/3: public records, to Fox Hill Ln has Mikado Dr has no known 12- and was given the nately owner occur. | iffective date of this app f sale of the comparable c (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 5/2024 ist D/2024 he Subject has no no known 12-month month prior transf Income App greatest weight in upied area, and the | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did not research and did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior teransfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ arison Approach \$ n is considered the utilized because | gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior transfer history. 5 tached addenda 48,000 548,000 e most reliable in the subject is located. | \$ 520,781 Introduction of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property COMPARABLE S Realist 05/30/2024 Sales Per ansfer history. 170 2 #21365). 190 E 52 Anaconda Dr h Cost Approach (if device dicator of value are atted in a predomine) | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/2: \$0 Real 05/3: public records, to Fox Hill Ln has Mikado Dr has no known 12- and was given the nately owner occur. | iffective date of this app f sale of the comparable c (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 5/2024 ist D/2024 he Subject has no no known 12-month month prior transf Income App greatest weight in upied area, and the | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE SALE #3 |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did not research and did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior teransfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ arison Approach \$ n is considered the utilized because se, se, subject to | gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable to transfer history. 5 tached addenda 48,000 548,000 e most reliable in the subject is loc- completion per plans | sty and comparable sales between the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Peransfer history. 17(2:#21365). 190 E. 52 Anaconda Dr h. Cost Approach (if develocities of value arated in a predominate sand specifications of sand | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/2: \$0 Real 05/3: public records, to Fox Hill Ln has Mikado Dr has no known 12-2: and was given the nately owner occion the basis of a hy | iffective date of this app f sale of the comparable f (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #1 5/2024 ist D/2024 he Subject has no no known 12-month month prior transf Income App greatest weight in upied area, and the | raisal. sale. Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did not research and did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior teransfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ arison Approach \$ n is considered the utilized because sis, subject to following repairs or a | gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior transfer history. 5 tached addenda 48,000 548,000 e most reliable in the subject is local completion per plana alterations on the bas alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations are property and completion per pla | sty and comparable sales between the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Peransfer history. 170 at #21365). 190 E 52 Anaconda Dr h | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/2: \$0 Real 05/3: public records, to Fox Hill Ln has Mikado Dr has no known 12- and was given the nately owner occur in the basis of a hypondition that the representations of the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations in the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representation of the production of the p | iffective date of this app f sale of the comparable c (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 5/2024 ist D/2024 he Subject has no no known 12-month month prior transf Income App greatest weight in upied area, and the pothetical condition the airs or alterations have | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did not research and did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior teransfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ arison Approach \$ n is considered the utilized because sis, subject to following repairs or a | gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable 12-month prior transfer history. 5 tached addenda 48,000 548,000 e most reliable in the subject is local completion per plana alterations on the bas alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations on the bas are property and completion per plana alterations are property and completion per pla | sty and comparable sales between the subject property for the the subject property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Peransfer history. 170 at #21365). 190 E 52 Anaconda Dr h | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/2: \$0 Real 05/3: public records, to Fox Hill Ln has Mikado Dr has no known 12- and was given the nately owner occur in the basis of a hypondition that the representations of the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations in the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representations are supported by the production of the basis of a hypondition that the representation of the production of the p | iffective date of this app f sale of the comparable c (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #3 5/2024 ist D/2024 he Subject has no no known 12-month month prior transf Income App greatest weight in upied area, and the pothetical condition the airs or alterations have | raisal. sale. sales on page 3). COMF Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did not research and did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior terransfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ arison Approach \$ n is considered the utilized because sed on the extraordina | gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable and the subject property and comparable and the subject property and comparable and the subject is locally and the subject is locally assumption that the subject is locally as the subject is lo | sty and comparable sales and specifications of a hypothetical che condition or deficie | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/24 \$0 Real 05/30 public records, to Fox Hill Ln has Mikado Dr has no has no known 12-beloped) \$ and was given the nately owner occurs the basis of a hypondition that the repncy does not require | income App greatest weight in upied area, and the pothetical condition tha airs or alterations have a alteration or repair: | raisal. sale. Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F proach (if developed the final concluse e lack of data dir at the improvements been completed, of This appraisal re | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did not research and did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Standard analysis of the prior Standard analysis of the prior Standard and Standard analysis of the prior Standard analysis of the prior Standard analysis of the prior Standard analysis of the subject pro Cr has no known no 3/25/2024 for Standard analysis of the subject prior Standard analysis of the prior Standard analysis | gross Adj. 12.3 % bry of the subject property of the subject property and comparable and the subject property and comparable and the subject property and comparable and the subject is location of the subject is location of the subject is location on the basing assumption that the subject is not intended. | sty and comparable sales for the the omparable sales for the the comparable sales property COMPARABLE S. Realist 05/30/2024 sales Peransfer history. 17(c): #21365). 190 E 52 Anaconda Dr he condition of value are the condition of value are the condition of deficience sand specifications of the condition of deficience deed for any other the condition of the condition of the condition of the condition of deficience deed for any other the condition of the condition | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the expear prior to the date of and comparable sales ALE #1 03/24 \$0 Real 05/34 public records, to Fox Hill Ln has Mikado Dr has no has no known 12-beloped) \$ and was given the nately owner occurs of the basis of a hypondition that the repncy does not require use. This report is | income Appropriates tweight in upied area, and the pothetical conditions that is a complete sum is a c | raisal. Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F proach (if developed the final concluse le lack of data dir at the improvements be been completed, of This appraisal. | ARABLE SALE #3 |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did not research and did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior teransfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ n is considered the utilized because sed on the extraordina action only. This re of the exterior are | gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property of the subject property and comparable at the subject property and comparable at the subject is location of the subject is location on the basing assumption that the subject is not interest as of the subject is not interest. | sty and comparable sales and specifications of a hypothetical content of any other Leads | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the service of the date of the service of | income Appropriate the supplied area, and the alterations have alterations have alteration for potential conditions and the supplied area, and the alteration or repair: In supplied area and the supplied area. | raisal. sales on page 3). Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F proach (if developed the final concluse e lack of data dir at the improvements be been completed, of This appraisal. atement of assum | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE |
| of Comparables I did did not research and did did not research and did did not research and did did did did did did did | not reveal any prior sale Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior Realist 05/30/2024 story of the subject pro Cr has no known n 03/25/2024 for s n 12-month prior teransfer history. proach See at on Approach \$ n is considered the utilized because sed on the extraordina action only. This re of the exterior are | gross Adj. 12.3 % ory of the subject property of the subject property and comparable at the subject property and comparable at the subject property and comparable at the subject is location of the subject is location of the subject is not intended and of the subject in the su | sty and comparable sales and specifications of a hypothetical content of any other Learner for any other Learn | gross Adj. 21.4 % as. If not, explain ree years prior to the date of the date | income Appropriate the supplied area, and the alterations have alterations have alteration for potential conditions and the supplied area, and the alteration or repair: In supplied area and the supplied area. | raisal. sales on page 3). Realist 05/30/202 known 36-mont th prior transfer hi er history. 115 F proach (if developed the final concluse lack of data dir at the improvements be been completed, of This appraisal. atement of assum subject of this rep | ARABLE SALE #3 PARABLE |

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 2 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 57606 File # 35481418

| FEATURE | SUBJECT | COMPARAB | LE SALE # 4 | COMPARA | ABLE SALE # 5 | COMPARABLE SALE # 6 | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Address 7125 Higher Ridg | | 190 Mikado Dr E | | 170 Fox Hill Ln | | 6302 Mesedge D | | | |
| Colorado Springs | s, CO 80919 | Colorado Spring | s, CO 80919 | Colorado Sprin | ngs, CO 80919 | Colorado Springs | s, CO 80919 | | |
| Proximity to Subject | | 0.51 miles SE | | 0.61 miles SE | | 0.89 miles S | | | |
| | \$ | | \$ 579,000 | | \$ 610,000 | | \$ 555,000 | | |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ sq.ff | . \$ 257.22 sq.ft. | | \$ 296.55 sq. | .ft. | \$ 271.93 sq.ft. | | | |
| Data Source(s) | | PPMLS #312910 | 67;DOM 87 | PPMLS #57015 | 583;DOM 24 | PPMLS #918240 | 4;DOM 48 | | |
| Verification Source(s) | | Doc #17116;Rea | | Realist/Public F | | Doc #16011;Rea | | | |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DESCRIPTION | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | | |
| Sales or Financing | 2200111111111 | ArmLth | · () ψ / tagastinone | ArmLth | · () \$ riajasansni | ArmLth | . () + 1 ajaoanone | | |
| Concessions | | | | | 6 000 | | 12.000 | | |
| Date of Sale/Time | | VA;0 | | Conv;6000 | -0,000 | Conv;13000 | -13,000 | | |
| | | s03/24;c03/24 | | s05/24;c04/24 | | s03/24;c01/24 | | | |
| Location | N;Res; | N;Res; | | N;Res; | | N;Res; | | | |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple | Fee Simple | Fee Simple | | Fee Simple | | Fee Simple | | | |
| Site | 18000 sf | 11400 sf | | 8500 sf | +28,500 | | +24,600 | | |
| View | N;Res; | B;Open Space; | | B;Open Space; | | | | | |
| Design (Style) | DT1;Ranch | DT2;cont. tri lvl | 0 | DT2;cont. tri lvl | 1 0 | DT2;Contemp | 0 | | |
| Quality of Construction | Q4 | Q4 | | Q4 | | Q4 | | | |
| Actual Age | 51 | 52 | 0 | 51 | | 51 | | | |
| Condition | C3 | C3 | | C3 | | C3 | | | |
| Above Grade | Total Bdrms. Baths | | | Total Bdrms. Bath | ns | Total Bdrms. Baths | | | |
| Room Count | 5 2 2.1 | 7 4 3.0 | -5,000 | | | | 0 | | |
| Gross Living Area | 1,956 sq.ff | | | | | | -6,800 | | |
| Basement & Finished | 1,956 sq.n | 711sf661sfin | | 2,057 sq. | | 943sf94sfin | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | +37,775 | | |
| Rooms Below Grade | 1rr2br1.0ba0o | 1rr2br0.0ba0o | +10,000 | 1rr1br1.0ba0o | 0 | 0rr0br0.0ba1o | +10,000 | | |
| Functional Utility | Adequate | Adequate | | Adequate | | Adequate | | | |
| Heating/Cooling | FWA;None | FWA;CAC | -4,000 | FWA;None | | FWA;None | | | |
| Energy Efficient Items | Adequate | Adequate | | Adequate | | Adequate | | | |
| Garage/Carport | 2gbi2dw | 2ga2dw | 0 | 3ga3dw | -10,000 | 2ga2dw | 0 | | |
| Porch/Patio/Deck | Pch;Dk | Pch;Pt | | Pch;Dk | | Pch;Pt | 0 | | |
| Fireplace | 1 F/P | 1 F/P | | 1 F/P | | 1 F/P | | | |
| Upgrades/Updates | Average | Superior | -25 000 | Superior | -50 000 | Superior | -50,000 | | |
| opgrados, opadios | rtvorago | Сиропот | 20,000 | Сиропог | 00,000 | Guperior | 00,000 | | |
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | _ + X - | \$ -30,230 | + X - | \$ -49,045 | X + | \$ 2,575 | | |
| Adjusted Sale Price | | Net Adj. 5.2 % | | Net Adj. 8.0 | | Net Adj. 0.5 % | Ψ 2,575 | | |
| = | | | | | | | ¢ | | |
| of Comparables | and analysis of the mi | Gross Adj. 23.3 % | | Gross Adj. 24.4 | | Gross Adj. 25.6 % | \$ 557,575 | | |
| Report the results of the research a | | | | | | | ADI F 0.41 F # 0 | | |
| ITEM | 3 | UBJECT | COMPARABLE SA | LE # 4 | COMPARABLE SALE # ; | O COMPAR | ABLE SALE # 6 | | |
| D : (D: 0: /T (| | | | | | | | | |
| Date of Prior Sale/Transfer | | | | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer | | | | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) | Realist | | Realist | Rea | alist | Realist | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | alist 30/2024 | Realist 05/30/2024 | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | | | | | |

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 57606 35481418

| FEATURE | SUBJECT | COMPARABLE SALE # 7 | | COMPARABLE SALE # 8 | | | COMPARABLE SALE # 9 | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|
| Address 7125 Higher Ridg | | 360 Silver Spring | | 185 Raver | | | | | 71117101 | LE ONLE III 9 |
| Colorado Springs | | Colorado Spring | - | | | s, CO 80919 | | | | |
| Proximity to Subject | 5, 00 00919 | | 5, 00 00919 | | | 5, 00 00919 | | | | |
| | • | 0.60 miles S | | 0.44 miles | SE | l | | | | • |
| Sale Price | \$ | | \$ 599,000 | | | \$ 579,000 | | | | \$ |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ sq.ft | \$ 262.26 sq.ft. | | \$ 368.7 | '9 sq.ft. | | \$ | | sq.ft. | |
| Data Source(s) | | PPMLS #826206 | 60;DOM 3 | PPMLS#5 | 55000 | 7;DOM 51 | | | | |
| Verification Source(s) | | Realist/Public Re | ecords | Realist/Pu | blic Re | ecords | | | | |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DESCRIPTION | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIPT | | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DE | SCRIPTION | ON | +(-) \$ Adjustment |
| Sales or Financing | | Listing | (/ - / | Listing | | (, . , | | | | (/ - / |
| Concessions | | Listing | | Listing | | | | | | |
| Date of Sale/Time | | c05/24 | | A ative | | | | | | |
| | | | | Active | | | | | | |
| Location | N;Res; | N;Res; | | N;Res; | | | | | | |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple | Fee Simple | Fee Simple | | Fee Simpl | e | | | | | |
| Site | 18000 sf | 8719 sf | +27,843 | 9200 sf | | +26,400 | | | | |
| View | N;Res; | N;Res; | | N;Res; | | | | | | |
| Design (Style) | DT1;Ranch | DT2;cont. tri lvl | 0 | DT1;Ranc | h | | | | | |
| Quality of Construction | Q4 | Q4 | | Q4 | | | | | | |
| Actual Age | 51 | 46 | 0 | 53 | | 0 | | | | |
| Condition | C3 | C3 | | C3 | | 0 | | | | |
| Above Grade | Total Bdrms. Baths | Total Bdrms. Baths | | Total Bdrms. | Baths | | Total | Bdrms. | Baths | |
| | | | | | | | | Dulliis. | Dallis | |
| Room Count | 5 2 2.1 | 6 3 2.1 | 0 | | 2.0 | +5,000 | | | | |
| Gross Living Area | 1,956 sq.ft | 2,284 sq.ft. | | | O sq.ft. | +30,880 | | | sq.ft. | |
| Basement & Finished | 1164sf1047sfin | 780sf616sfin | +22,765 | 1766sf169 | 8sfin | -34,825 | | | | |
| Rooms Below Grade | 1rr2br1.0ba0o | 1rr1br1.0ba0o | 0 | 1rr1br1.0b | a0o | 0 | | | | |
| Functional Utility | Adequate | Adequate | | Adequate | | | | | | |
| Heating/Cooling | FWA;None | FWA;None | | FWA;None | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| Energy Efficient Items | Adequate | Adequate | | Adequate | | | | | | |
| Garage/Carport | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| | 2gbi2dw | 2ga2dw | 0 | 2ga2dw | | 0 | | | | |
| Porch/Patio/Deck | Pch;Dk | Pch;Dk | | Pch;Pt | | 0 | | | | |
| Fireplace | 1 F/P | 1 F/P | | 1 F/P | | | | | | |
| Upgrades/Updates | Average | Superior | -50,000 | Superior | | -50,000 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | <u> </u> | \$ -25,632 | + | X - | \$ -22,545 | | + | ٦- | \$ |
| Adjusted Sale Price | | Net Adj. 4.3 % | | Net Adj. | 3.9 % | | Net Ad | i. | | |
| of Comparables | | Gross Adj. 21.2 % | | Gross Adj. | 25.4 % | | | | % | \$ |
| Report the results of the research a | and analysis of the pric | | | | ole calec | (report additional prior | cales or | nage 3) | | Ψ |
| ITEM | | UBJECT | COMPARABLE SA | | | OMPARABLE SALE # { | | | | ABLE SALE # 9 |
| | 3 | ODJEGI | GUIVIF ANABLE SA | LL # / | 00 | UNIFARABLE SALE # (|) | - 00 | UIVIFAN | ADLE SALE # 9 |
| Date of Prior Sale/Transfer | | | | | | | | | | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| Data Source(s) | Realist | | Realist | | Realis | st | | | | |
| Effective Date of Data Source(s) | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30/2024 | | 05/30 | /2024 | | | | |
| Analysis of prior sale or transfer his | story of the subject pro | perty and comparable s | sales | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | | | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis/Comments | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | - | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 57606 File # 35481418

| See Attached Addenda | | |
|---|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| COST APPROACH TO VALUE | (not required by Fannie Mae) | |
| COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation | (not required by Fannie Mae) | |
| | IS. | n begins by subtracting the depreciated cost of the |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated | IS. Mating Site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price | , the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimulating(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- | IS. Mating Site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site | t, the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimate building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated | IS. Mating Site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site | t, the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data | IS. Mating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S | , the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are e Improvements, the %. =\$ 165,000 \$ =\$ |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data | IS. Mating Site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%- 32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE | ### ### ### ### ### ### #### #### ###### |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) | nating site value) site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S | ### ### ### ### #### ################# |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data | IS. Mating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S | ### ### ### ### #### ################# |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of | nating site value) site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ S Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Site value extraction Sq.Ft. @ S Functional | ### ### #### ######################### |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation | nating site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ S Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of | nating site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ S Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements | ### ### #### ######################### |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of | nating site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ S Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) | nating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ S Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years | nating site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estill building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resiste value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier | nating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ S Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years | nating site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) | nating site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%- 32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) | nating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ Site value at the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site Indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ Site Indicate Sq.Ft. | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a | nating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ Site value at the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site Indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ Site Indicate Sq.Ft. | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) | nating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ Site value at the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site Indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ Site Indicate Sq.Ft. | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale | nating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attended Indicated Attended Indicated Indi | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes | nating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site Sq.Ft. @ Sq.Ft. @ Site Sq.Ft. @ Sq.Ft. Sq.Ft. @ Site Sq.Ft. @ Si | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Provide contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) | nating site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) No Unit type(s) Detached Attand the subject property is an attached dwelling unit of the subject property is an atta | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esting building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Provide contain any multi-dwelling units? Prose IND Data Source(s) | nating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attended Indicated Attended Indicated Indi | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No | nating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attante of the subject property is an attached dwelling u Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion. | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? | nating site value) Site value extractio cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) No Unit type(s) Detached Attand the subject property is an attached dwelling unit of the subject property is an atta | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$165,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No | nating site value) Site value extraction cost of the buildings are subtracted from the sale price placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is" Value of Site indicate typical land to value ratios range from 28%-32 OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Sq.Ft. @ S Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attante of the subject property is an attached dwelling u Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion. | the "As-is" Value of Site Improvements are |

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

57606 File # 35481418

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 4 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 57606 File # 35481418

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011 Page 5 of 6

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

57606 File # 35481418

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

| APPRAISER | SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED) |
|--|--|
| Signature | Signature |
| Name Christopher Frohardt | Name |
| Company Name Frohardt Appraisals | Company Name |
| Company Address <u>1888 Avery Way</u> | Company Address |
| Castle Rock, CO 80109 | |
| Telephone Number <u>720-224-8387</u> | Telephone Number |
| Email Address <u>chrisfrohardt@yahoo.com</u> | Email Address |
| Date of Signature and Report 06/01/2024 | Date of Signature |
| Effective Date of Appraisal 05/30/2024 | State Certification # |
| State Certification # CR100029526 | or State License # |
| or State License # | State |
| or Other (describe) State # | Expiration Date of Certification or License |
| State CO | |
| Expiration Date of Certification or License 12/31/2025 | SUBJECT PROPERTY |
| ADDRESS OF DROBERTY ADDRAIGED | ☐ Did not inspect exterior of subject property |
| ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED | Did inspect exterior of subject property from street |
| 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | Date of Inspection |
| Colorado Springs, CO 80919 | |
| APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 548,000 | COMPARABLE SALES |
| LENDER/CLIENT | COMPARABLE SALES |
| Name Clear Capital | Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street |
| Company Name Wedgewood Inc | Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street |
| Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, | Date of Inspection |
| Redondo Beach, CA 90278 | |
| Email Address | |

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

UAD Version 9/2011

Page 6 of 6

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

57606 File No. 35481418

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C/

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q-

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

0.3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω 6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

| Full Name | Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear |
|---------------------------|--|
| Acres | Area, Site |
| Adjacent to Park | Location |
| Adjacent to Power Lines | Location |
| Adverse | Location & View |
| Arms Length Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| ` ' | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| | Location & View |
| | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | View |
| | View |
| | Location |
| | Date of Sale/Time |
| | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | Data Sources |
| | Date of Sale/Time |
| ' | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | |
| | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | Location |
| | View |
| | Location & View |
| | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| | Location |
| | View |
| | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | View |
| | Location & View |
| | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| Busy Road | Location |
| Other | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| Park View | View |
| Pastoral View | View |
| Power Lines | View |
| Public Transportation | Location |
| Recreational (Rec) Room | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| Relocation Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| REO Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| Residential | Location & View |
| USDA - Rural Housing | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| Settlement Date | Date of Sale/Time |
| Short Sale | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | Area, Site, Basement |
| | Area, Site |
| · | Date of Sale/Time |
| | Sale or Financing Concessions |
| | Date of Sale/Time |
| | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| Walk Up Basement | Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade |
| | המספווופווג מ ו ווווסוופע היסטוווס שפוטש מומעפ |
| Water Frontage | Location |
| Water Frontage Water View | Location View |
| | Acres Adjacent to Park Adjacent to Power Lines Adverse Arms Length Sale Bathroom(s) Bedroom Beneficial Cash City View Skyline View City Street View Commercial Influence Contracted Date Conventional Court Ordered Sale Days On Market Expiration Date Estate Sale Federal Housing Authority Golf Course Golf Course View Industrial Interior Only Stairs Landfill Limited Sight Listing Mountain View Neutral Non-Arms Length Sale Busy Road Other Park View Pastoral View Power Lines Public Transportation Recreational (Rec) Room Relocation Sale REO Sale Residential USDA - Rural Housing Settlement Date Short Sale Square Feet Square Meters Unknown Veterans Administration Withdrawn Date Walk Out Basement |

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Full Name | Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear |
|------------------------|---|---|
| Adq | Adequate | Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials |
| Bck -bsy St / thrgh St | Backs Busy Street / Backs Through Street | Sales Comparison Grid |
| CI-d-sc or cds | Cul-de-sac | Sales Comparison Grid |
| Cnc | Concrete | Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials |
| Crpt | Carpet | Interior & Exterior Materials |
| Cv | Covered | Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials |
| Dck or dk | Deck | Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials |
| Elv res | Elevated Residential (Rooftop) | Sales Comparison Grid |
| GFWA or FWA | Gas forced warm air | Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials |
| HWBB | Hot water base board | Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials |
| Pvr | Paver | Sales Comparison Grid |
| S c cnc or sc cn | Stamped & colored concrete | Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials |
| Tp wind / Dp wind | Tri pane windows / Dual pane windows | Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials |
| Xtsv Is | Professional landscape w/ extensive hardscape (well maintained) | Sales Comparison Grid |
| | | |

USPAP ADDENDUM

57606 File No. 35481418

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2 | | - 110110 | 0. 33461416 |
|-----------------------|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | JIO LLC | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County El Paso | State CO | Zip Code 80919 |
| Lender | Wedgewood Inc | County LIFASO | 0.000 CO | 7:b 0000 80919 |
| London | wedgewood inc | | | |
| This report | was prepared under the fo | llowing USPAP reporting option: | | |
| ■ Appraisa | l Report | This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards R | ule 2-2(a). | |
| | | | | |
| Restricte | ed Appraisal Report | This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards R | ule 2-2(b). | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Reasonable | Exposure Time | | | |
| | | for the subject property at the market value stated in this report is: | 0 to 30 D | Javs |
| l l | | parable homes in the neighborhood is 8 DOM | 0 10 00 2 | aye |
| The averag | o expectate time for comp | arable florified in the florighborhood to 0 DOM | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Additional C | ertifications | | | |
| | to the best of my knowledge | and belief: | | 1 |
| | | | aubiant of this reserve | within the |
| l | | appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the | subject of this report | within the |
| three-yea | ir period immediately precedi | ng acceptance of this assignment. | | |
| │ | erformed services, as an ann | raiser or in another capacity, regarding the property that is the subje | ct of this report within | n the three-year |
| | | nce of this assignment. Those services are described in the comme | | Talo alloo you. |
| | | | illo bolow. | |
| | nts of fact contained in this repo | | | inna addial and code in a d |
| | - | sions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions | and are my personal, i | impartial, and unblased |
| I | nalyses, opinions, and conclusio | | | |
| | wise indicated, I have no presen | t or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report an | id no personal interest | with respect to the parties |
| involved. | | | | |
| I | | at is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this assignmen | t. | |
| - My engagem | ent in this assignment was not | contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results. | | |
| - My compens | ation for completing this assign | ment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermin | ned value or direction ir | n value that favors the cause of |
| the client, the a | amount of the value opinion, the | attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event | directly related to the in | tended use of this appraisal. |
| - My analyses | opinions, and conclusions wer | e developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Un | iform Standards of Prof | iessional Appraisal Practice that |
| were in effect a | at the time this report was prepa | red. | | |
| I | | ersonal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report. | | |
| | The state of the s | significant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing this | certification (if there ar | re exceptions, the name of each |
| | | praisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this report). | | o oncopiono, and manno or outer |
| marviddai prov | iding digililodin roal proporty ap | stated accidance to classe discounters in this reports. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Additional C | omments | | | |
| / taditional o | on monto | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ADDDAICED | | CURERWICORY ARR | DAICED. (omb.: if | |
| APPRAISER: | | SUPERVISORY APP | NAISEN: (ONLY IT | requireu) |
| | 14. | | | |
| Signature: | (le fatt | Signature: | | |
| | topher Frohardt | Name: | | |
| | | Data Cianad: | | |
| Date Signed: <u>C</u> | #: OD40000500 | | | |
| State Certification | # <u>CR100029526</u> | | | |
| UI STATE LICENSE | # | or State License #: | | |
| State: CO | O-arr-ar | State: | | |
| | Certification or License: 12/ | | | |
| Effective Date of | Appraisal: <u>05/30/2024</u> | Supervisory Appraiser Insper | | |
| | | Did Not Exteric | ir only from Ctroot | Interior and Exterior |

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

57606 File No. 35481418


| The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/cl neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appra | | | | | • | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------|---|
| Property Address 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | isai reports with air effective | City Colorado | | State CO | ZIP Code 80 | 919 | |
| Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | ; | Colorado | Сриндо | | | 010 | |
| Instructions: The appraiser must use the information rec | | asis for his/her conclusion | s, and must provide support | or those conclus | sions, regarding | | |
| housing trends and overall market conditions as reported | = | | • • | | | | |
| it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as in | - | | | | | | |
| explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will in the analysis. If data sources provide the required infor | | | | | | | |
| average. Sales and listings must be properties that comp | | | | | | | |
| subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomal | | | | | | | |
| Inventory Analysis | Prior 7–12 Months | Prior 4–6 Months | Current – 3 Months | | Overall Trend | | |
| Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) | 33 | 10 | 11 | Increasing | | | Declining |
| Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) | 5.50 | 3.33 | 3.67 | Increasing | = | | Declining |
| Total # of Comparable Active Listings Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) | 5 0.9 | 0.9 | 9 2.5 | Declining Declining | Stable Stable | | Increasing Increasing |
| Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List % | Prior 7–12 Months | Prior 4–6 Months | Current – 3 Months | Documing | Overall Trend | | inoroasing |
| Median Comparable Sale Price | \$567,500 | \$516,525 | \$600,000 | Increasing | | | Declining |
| Median Comparable Sales Days on Market | 7 | 12 | 4 | X Declining | Stable | | Increasing |
| Median Comparable List Price | \$515,000 | \$559,900 | \$599,000 | Increasing | X Stable | | Declining |
| Median Comparable Listings Days on Market | 22 | 79 | 8 | Declining | Stable | | Increasing |
| Median Sale Price as % of List Price Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance p | 100% revalent? Yes | 99% No | 100% | Increasing Declining | Stable Stable | _ | Declining Increasing |
| Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the pas | | | 1.3% to 5% increasing use of |] | | | ilicitasiliy |
| fees, options, etc.). An analysis was performed and the particles of the particles and the particles are the particles. | | | | | | vere | |
| reported to have seller concessions. This | | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 10.170 | 10.0 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Are fareelessing cales (DEO cales) a feater in the market | O Voo No | If you symbols (include | ling the trande in listings and | anian of formulan | ad aranastica) | | |
| Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market | | | ling the trends in listings and | | | | |
| An analysis was performed on 54 competi | ng sales over the pa | St 12 Months. For tr | iose saies, a total of u | .0% were rej | ported to be R | EU. | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Cite data sources for above information. Inform | nation reported in the | PPMLS system (us | sing an effective date of | of 05/30/2024 | 1) was utilized | l to a | rrive at |
| _ | | | _ | | • | i to u | |
| the results noted on this addendum. Any p | ercent change resul | ts noted in these co | _ | | • | 100 | |
| | | | mments are based on | simple regre | ession. | 100 | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co | onclusions in the Neighborh | ood section of the apprais | mments are based on all report form. If you used any | simple regre | nation, such as | 110 4 | |
| | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you | ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide bo | mments are based on al report form. If you used and th an explanation and support | simple regree / additional infor for your conclus | ession. mation, such as sions. | 100 | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you count of research to ana | ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo lyze this information is | mments are based on al report form. If you used any th an explanation and support consistent with 'normal co | simple regree y additional infor- for your conclusions ourse of busine | mation, such as sions. | | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray This data is considered to be reliable and the am | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you count of research to ana analysis in this form is | ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo lyze this information is limited by the data or re | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal conservations of the Miles. | simple regree y additional infor for your conclus ourse of busine S system and | mation, such as sions. | | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competing | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you count of research to and analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measur | ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide bo lyze this information is limited by the data or re users of this MLS. In c | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consearch features of the Milorder to have meaningful report of the Mil | simple regree y additional infor for your conclus ourse of busine _S system and esults, it is | mation, such as sions. | | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competit would limit the sample and could provide mislead | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you arount of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurding results. | ood section of the apprais or conclusions, provide both lyze this information is limited by the data or re- users of this MLS. In contemporary | mments are based on all report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with 'normal consecution features of the Millorder to have meaningful retime. Using substitute professional p | simple regree / additional infor for your conclus burse of busine LS system and esults, it is operties only | mation, such as sions. | | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competitivould limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered study. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you count of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measur ding results. dy of comparable prope | ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide both lyze this information is limited by the data or re- users of this MLS. In one e market changes over | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | simple regree / additional infor for your conclus ourse of busine S system and esults, it is operties only earch criteria: | mation, such as sions. | | |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competit would limit the sample and could provide mislead | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you count of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ang properties to measur ding results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwee | ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide both lyze this information is limited by the data or re- users of this MLS. In concerning the emarket changes over | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | simple regree / additional infor for your conclus ourse of busine _S system and esults, it is operties only earch criteria: arket area sta | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is seed on pg. 1 of t | he UF | RAR not |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competitivould limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you count of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ang properties to measur ding results. dy of comparable properties to measur ding results. | ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide both lyze this information is limited by the data or re- users of this MLS. In one e market changes over rities to the subject and even 1950-1995 and looks increasing market co | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | simple regree / additional infor for your conclus ourse of busine _S system and esults, it is operties only earch criteria: arket area sta | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is seed on pg. 1 of t | he UF | RAR not |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of t important to have an ample sampling of competit would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 15 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative | onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you count of research to an analysis in this form is the data provided by the mg properties to measur ding results. dy of comparable properties to measur ding results. dy of comparable properties to measur ding results. | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide boralyze this information is limited by the data or recusers of this MLS. In commarket changes over the stother subject and the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | simple regree / additional infor for your conclus ourse of busine _S system and results, it is opperties only rearch criteria: arket area sta s showing trend | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' Lis ded on pg. 1 of the day in terms of present the second control of th | he UF | RAR not |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competitivould limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered stude detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 15 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data | onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you count of research to analysis in this form is the data provided by the right properties to measure ding results. dy of comparable properties to measure of the comparable properties to measure of the comparable properties of the comparable propert | ood section of the appraisa ur conclusions, provide both lyze this information is limited by the data or re- users of this MLS. In one e market changes over rities to the subject and even 1950-1995 and looks increasing market co- of the URAR. | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | y additional infor for your conclustourse of busines. S system and results, it is experties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' Lis ded on pg. 1 of the distinction of proverall Trend | he UF | RAR not er sqft, |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competitivould limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1st exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) | onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you count of research to an analysis in this form is the data provided by the mg properties to measur ding results. dy of comparable properties to measur ding results. dy of comparable properties to measur ding results. | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide boralyze this information is limited by the data or recusers of this MLS. In commarket changes over the stother subject and the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | y additional infor for your conclustourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: | mation, such as sions. ess' is ted on pg. 1 of t ds in terms of pr Overall Trend Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1st exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relif the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) | onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you count of research to an analysis in this form is the data provided by the mg properties to measur ding results. dy of comparable properties to measur ding results. dy of comparable properties to measur ding results. | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide boralyze this information is limited by the data or recusers of this MLS. In commarket changes over the stother subject and the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing | mation, such as sions. ess' is ted on pg. 1 of t ds in terms of pr Overall Trend Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competitivould limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1st exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) | onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you count of research to an analysis in this form is the data provided by the mg properties to measur ding results. dy of comparable properties to measur ding results. dy of comparable properties to measur ding results. | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide boralyze this information is limited by the data or recusers of this MLS. In commarket changes over the stother subject and the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | y additional infor for your conclustourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ted on pg. 1 of the ds in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdraw. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of t important to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relif the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you tount of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurding results. dy of comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties for the comparable properties price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bounded by the data or required by the data or requi | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you tount of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurding results. dy of comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties for the comparable properties price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bounded by the data or required by the data or requi | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competitivould limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you tount of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurding results. dy of comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties for the comparable properties price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bounded by the data or required by the data or requi | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competitivould limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you tount of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurding results. dy of comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties for the comparable properties price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bounded by the data or required by the data or requi | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competitivould limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you tount of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurding results. dy of comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties for the comparable properties price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bounded by the data or required by the data or requi | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competitivould limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you tount of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurding results. dy of comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties to measurd of the comparable properties for the comparable properties price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bounded by the data or required by the data or requi | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competitivould limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you tount of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurding results. dy of comparable properties to measurd he comparable properties to measurd he comparable properties to measurd he comparable properties for the comparable properties price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bounded by the data or required by the data or requi | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competitivould limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you account of research to an an analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurating results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwees price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound by the data or recusers of this MLS. In conclusions of the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of t important to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relif the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you account of research to an an analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurating results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwees price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound by the data or recusers of this MLS. In conclusions of the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of t important to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relif the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you account of research to an an analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurating results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwees price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound by the data or recusers of this MLS. In conclusions of the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of t important to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relif the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you account of research to an an analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurating results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwees price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound by the data or recusers of this MLS. In conclusions of the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of t important to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relif the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you account of research to an an analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurating results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwees price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound by the data or recusers of this MLS. In conclusions of the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of t important to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relif the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you account of research to an an analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurating results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwees price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound by the data or recusers of this MLS. In conclusions of the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of t important to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relif the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you account of research to an an analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurating results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwees price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound by the data or recusers of this MLS. In conclusions of the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of t important to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered studetached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relif the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you account of research to an an analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurating results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwees price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound by the data or recusers of this MLS. In conclusions of the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent of the Million | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdray. This data is considered to be reliable and the am of the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered study detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the Appraiser Name Christopher Frohardt | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you account of research to an an analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurating results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwees price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound by the data or recusers of this MLS. In conclusions of the subject and | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrax. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered study detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Christopher Frohardt Company Name Christopher Frohardt Company Name Frohardt Appraisals | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you sount of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurding results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwees price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project | ood section of the appraisur conclusions, provide bound by the data or required by the data or require | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrax. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered study detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on summarize the above trends and address the impact on foreclosed properties. Signature Appraiser Name Christopher Frohardt Company Name Frohardt Appraisals Company Address 1888 Avery Way, Castletic Appraisals Company Address 1888 Avery Way, Castletic Appraisals Company Address 1888 Avery Way, Castletic Appraisals | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you sount of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurating results. dy of comparable properties as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project the subject unit and project as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months | ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo lyze this information is limited by the data or re users of this MLS. In ce market changes over rties to the subject and een 1950-1995 and loc is increasing market co of the URAR. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the nu Signature Supervisory A Company Na Company Ad | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the design terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Istings and sales | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |
| Summarize the above information as support for your coan analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrax. This data is considered to be reliable and the amof the local peer group. The data required for the dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of timportant to have an ample sampling of competing would limit the sample and could provide mislead Results shown above are based on a filtered study detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 19 exceeding a 1 mile radius. Movement of the sale indicated property values as stable, therefore, relifthe subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative is Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Summarize the above trends and address the impact on Christopher Frohardt Company Name Christopher Frohardt Company Name Frohardt Appraisals | onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you sount of research to ana analysis in this form is the data provided by the ng properties to measurding results. dy of comparable prope 565-2347 sf / built betwees price median indicate ported as such on pg. 1 project, complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months The subject unit and project | ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo lyze this information is limited by the data or re users of this MLS. In ce market changes over rties to the subject and een 1950-1995 and loc is increasing market co of the URAR. ving: Prior 4–6 Months If yes, indicate the nu Signature Supervisory A Company Na Company Ad | al report form. If you used any than explanation and support consistent with 'normal consistent with ' | y additional infor for your conclust ourse of busine. S system and esults, it is opperties only earch criteria: arket area states showing trendame: Increasing Increasing Declining Declining | ession. mation, such as sions. ess' is ded on pg. 1 of the das in terms of proverall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable | he UF | RAR not er sqft, Declining Declining Increasing |

Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

Page 1 of 1


Market Conditions Charts - Page 1

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|-------|----|----------|-------|--|
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | | | | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County | El Paso | State | СО | Zip Code | 80919 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | | | | |



Median \$

An analysis was performed on 124 competing sales over the past 24 months. The sales within this group had a median sale price of \$582,500 with a low of \$390,000 and a high of \$950,000. This analysis shows a change of -0.3% per month. The same analysis was performed on 237 sales from the broader defined neighborhood. The sales within this group had a median sale price of \$605,000 with a low of \$378,200 and a high of \$1,819,117. This analysis shows a change of 0% per month.



Median \$



Med \$/SqFt

A monthly analysis was also performed on 54 competing sales over the past 12 months. The sales within this group had a median sale price per sqft of \$278.97 with a low of \$151.87 and a high of \$408.64. Monthly, the median sale price per sqft was reported as (oldest to most recent): \$299.75, \$334.58, \$283.11, \$327.27, \$238.43, \$291.62, \$263.68, \$276.01, \$249.90, \$295.66, N/A, \$266.71. This analysis, based on data grouped monthly, shows a change of -1.3% per month. The same analysis was performed on 114 sales from the broader defined neighborhood. The sales within this group had a median sale price per sqft of \$284.45 with a low of \$151.87 and a high of \$472.37. Monthly, the median sale price per sqft was reported as (oldest to most recent): \$297.55, \$311.29, \$288.73, \$292.51, \$256.52, \$292.18, \$249.28, \$276.01, \$260.65, \$304.70, \$334.34, \$267.69. This analysis, based on data grouped monthly, shows a change of



Med \$/SqFt


Market Conditions Charts - Page 2

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|-------|----|----------|-------|--|
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | | | | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County | El Paso | State | СО | Zip Code | 80919 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | | | | |




Med \$/SqFt

A monthly analysis was also performed on 124 competing sales over the past 24 months. The sales within this group had a median sale price per sqft of \$277.58 with a low of \$151.87 and a high of \$579.27. Monthly, the median sale price per sqft was reported as (oldest to most recent); \$303.21, \$325.86, \$260.16, \$338.38, \$332.80, \$260.07, \$260.61, NIA, \$291.57, \$293.93, \$267.57, \$255.93, \$299.75, \$334.56, \$283.11, \$327.27, \$238.43, \$291.62, \$283.88, \$276.01, \$249.90, \$295.66, NIA, \$266.71. This analysis, based on data grouped monthly, sho a change of -0.5% per month. The same analysis was performed on 237 sales from the broader defined neighborhood. The sales within this group had a median sale price per sqft of \$277.03 with a low of \$151.87 and a high of \$579.27. Monthly, the median sale price per sqft was reported as (oldest to most recent); \$299.97, \$297.34, \$283.04, \$269.40, \$321.99, \$267.67, \$252.64, \$255.95, \$261.60, \$283.91, \$281.93, \$252.27, \$297.55, \$311.2 \$288.73, \$292.51, \$256.52, \$292.18, \$249.26, \$276.01, \$280.65, \$304.70, \$334.34, \$267.69. This analysis, bar on data grouped monthly, shows a change of 0% per month.




Housing Supply

In addition, an analysis was performed on 54 sales plus all active listings that are competing properties, over the past 12 months. Based on this entire set of data there is a 2.0 month supply. This analysis shows a change of +4.7% per month.



Sales DOM

These sales had a median DOM of 8. This analysis shows a change of +16.9% per month.




Concession % - Contribution %


An analysis was performed on 54 competing sales over the past 12 months. For those sales, a total of 48.1% were reported to have seller concessions. This analysis shows a change of +10% per month.

Market Conditions Charts - Page 3


| _ | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|-------|----|----------|-------|--|
| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | | | | |
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | | | | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County | El Paso | State | СО | Zip Code | 80919 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | | | | |



Concession % - Contribution %



Concession % - Contribution %



Foreclosure Analysis

An analysis was performed on 54 competing sales over the past 12 months. For those sales, a total of 0.0% were reported to be REO.

| Supp | lemental Addendum | File No. | 35481418 | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------|--|
| Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | |
| 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | | |
| Colorado Springs | County El Paso | State CO | 7in Code 80010 | |

Highest And Best Use

Colorado Springs

Wedgewood Inc

Borrower Property Address

Lender/Client

City

Due to the nature of the area, the present zoning regulations, conversion cost including demolition or the need to remodel, and return on investment, it was determined by the appraiser that the highest and best use is the subject's present use. Highest and Best Use is that reasonable and probable use that will support the highest present value, as defined, as of the effective date of the appraisal. Alternatively, it is that use, from among legally permissible, physically and reasonably possible uses, found to be economically and financially feasible, and which results in the most profitable of the alternatives. Given the current zoning, location and size of the site, surrounding land uses (recognizing the principle of conformity) and development characteristics of the market area, my opinion of the highest and best use of the property is for continued present single family residential use.

Scope of Work

The appraiser was engaged to appraise the subject. The appraiser initially used county records and MLS data to identify the subject property. The appraiser followed this research up with an exterior inspection of the subject and comparables. The appraiser is not a property inspector or engineer, nor an expert in construction, plumbing, electrical or HVAC. This appraisal is not a home inspection. Appraiser is making an extraordinary assumption that there are no specific or detailed defects in the subject.

The appraiser used MLS and county records resources for information regarding the subject, the neighborhood, and the comparables, including those not used in the report. The appraiser did not research criminal activity in neighborhood or the presence of sex offenders in the neighborhood.

The appraiser used the information obtained from the resources above to develop the sales comparison approach. From this, an opinion of value was formed.

Type of Value

The type of value determined in the this appraisal is market value. No personal property has been included in the opinion of value.

Adverse Site Conditions

There are no known adverse easements or encroachments. No survey was provided to the appraiser. The site size is documented in county records. The dimensions were estimated based on the site size and visual inspection of the property. It was not measured as the appraiser is not a surveyor.

PDC public records may not provide a complete legal description for subject. Appraiser assumes the legal to be adequate. Appraisal user is advised to verify the legal description using title work and/or survey.

An internet generated FEMA map is included in this appraisal report and the reliability is not guaranteed. This map approximates the location of the subject. It is not intended as a survey. The appraisal user may elect to have a flood certification done to verify the FEMA zone.

Quality and Condition of Property

The assumed physical condition of the subject property was limited to an exterior observation of the subject from the street. From the street the subject appears to have been well maintained. Based on these findings, the subject was given a Q4 and a C3 rating with comparable sales selected accordingly. If interior information of the subject property is available on the MLS from a previous listing, such photos or agent narrative, this information was taken into consideration if the listing was within 1 year of the effective date of this report. Without a current interior inspection made by the appraiser, it can not be determined if any recent updates have been made or any excelled depreciation has occurred from its time of sale to the effective date of this report. A drive-by inspection of many recent sales in the neighborhood was performed, and I gave good consideration to the quality and condition of the exteriors in my selection of the most appropriate sales to include.

Comments on Sales Comparison

All comparable sales were taken from the subject's immediate market area and selected with good favor to similar features such as location, design/architecture, size and utility.

The subject and included comps. are PUD's within the Discovery hoa. Association dues are \$100 per year. Community Features: Hiking or Biking Trails, Parks or Open Space, Playground Area, Tennis

Concessions were adjusted dollar for dollar where applicable as this is the market's reaction to concessions.

6302 Mesedge Dr differences result in a gross adjustment that exceeds 25%, however, without the adjustment for concessions, the gross adjustment would only be 23.3%.

Because of limited inventory of relevant listings, it was necessary to expand search parameters in terms of gross living area for the inclusion 185 Raven Hills Rd (comp. 8). The large difference in gross living area resulted in a gross adjustment that exceeds

All of the sales list the closed date. Market adjustments were not applied because the median sales price and the average price per square foot in the subject's neighborhood has not shown signs of decline for the last 6 months (see above under "Market Conditions").

View adjustments were based on the appraisers observation at the site and quantified by a comparison of like properties in the area with residential view vs. those with a more beneficial view such as open space and/or mountains.

GLA was adjusted \$80 per square foot, bathrooms at \$5,000 per half. Total basement area was adjusted at \$20 per sqft, an additional adjustment for finished basement area was applied at \$35 per sqft and basement bathrooms at \$5,000 per half. Lot sizes were adjusted \$3 per square foot for differences exceeding 1,500 sqft. Garage utility was adjusted at \$10,000 per car. Porches, patios and decks were adjusted at \$5,000 each. These adjustments are based the following analyses/methods: depreciated replacement cost method, aggregate differences analysis, paired sales & sensitivity analysis, which were then reconciled by the appraiser identifying consistencies through experience and peer consensus.

Supplemental Addendum

| File No | 3548 | 1418 |
|---------|------|------|
|---------|------|------|

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|--|
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County El Paso | State CO | Zip Code 80919 | |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | | |

No bedroom count adjustments were made as some buyers may prefer larger rooms, and others quantity.

All quality and condition determinations are limited to an exterior drive-by and MLS photos & narrative descriptions. 360 Silver Spring Cir, 115 Fox Hill Ln, 185 Raven Hills Rd & 170 Fox Hill Ln were each given a superior \$50,000 adjustment for the following reasons: newly remodeled kitchen and bathrooms.

190 Mikado Dr E was given a superior \$25,000 adjustment for the following reasons: newly remodeled kitchen.

Comparable Summary

Comparables Summary & Estimated Indicated Value

| | Sale Price | Net Adj % | Grs Adj % | Ind Value | Weight |
|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| Comp #1: | 513,050 | 1.5 | 12.3 | 520,781 | 18.11 |
| Comp #2: | 610,000 | 11 | 21.4 | 542,620 | 16.71 |
| Comp #3: | 605,000 | 7.1 | 23.1 | 562,140 | 16.45 |
| Comp #4: | 579,000 | 5.2 | 23.3 | 548,770 | 16.42 |
| Comp #5: | 610,000 | 8 | 24.4 | 560,955 | 16.25 |
| Comp #6: | 555,000 | 0.5 | 25.6 | 557,575 | 16.06 |
| Comp #7: | 599,000 | 4.3 | 21.2 | 573,368 | |
| Comp #8: | 579,000 | 3.9 | 25.4 | 556,455 | |

ESTIMATED INDICATED VALUE OF THE SUBJECT: 548,000

• Indicated Weight Value

Estimated indicated value is determined by using the Gross Adjustment of sale price for each comparable as a measure of the relative quality of the comp. The Indicated Value is derived by multiplying the weight of each comp by the Adjusted Sale Price of that comp, repeating for each property, then adding them all together. This weighted average is used as the indicated value of the subject. No weight was given to comps. 7 & 8 as they are not closed sales, rather they are listings included solely to demonstrate that the principle of substitution was used.

As with any method, this technique is not perfect. However, it does do a very good job of giving more weight to the most similar comps while at the same time minimizing values near the extremes of the indicated value range.

The subject's current market value indicated in this report is higher than its previous sales price of \$525,000 on 5/29/2024 (1 day prior to the effective date of this report). Interior photos and descriptions from its mls listing were taken into consideration, however, a physical inspection of the interior may have resulted appropriate adjustments for physical condition indicating a market value closer to its prior sale price. Nevertheless, properly adjusted sale price indicators of most recent and similar sales is the best indication of the subject's current marketability. This report is completed utilizing recognized appraisal theories, methods, and practices and is within all guidelines. The contract value basis theory and methods of the subject's prior sale are unknown to this appraiser.

Subject Photo Page

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County El Paso | State CO | Zip Code 80919 |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | |



Subject Front

7125 Higher Ridges Ct

Sales Price

G.L.A. 1,956
Tot. Rooms 5
Tot. Bedrms. 2
Tot. Bathrms. 2.1
Location N;Res;
View N;Res;
Site 18000 sf
Quality Q4
Age 51





Subject Street Facing South



Form PIC4X6.SR - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Subject's Exterior Photos

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County El Paso | State CO | Zip Code 80919 |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | |





South Side North Side



Address

Comparable Photo Page

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County El Paso | State CO | Zip Code 80919 |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | |



Comparable 1

552 Anaconda Dr

Prox. to Subject 0.65 miles SW Sale Price 513,050 Gross Living Area 2,053 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; N;Res; View Site 13823 sf Quality Q4 46 Age



Comparable 2

6426 Hawkeye Cir

 Prox. to Subject
 0.75 miles S

 Sale Price
 610,000

 Gross Living Area
 1,913

 Total Rooms
 8

 Total Bedrooms
 3

 Total Bathrooms
 2.0

 Location
 N;Res;

View B;Open Space; Site 9100 sf

Quality Q4 Age 47



Comparable 3

115 Fox Hill Ln

0.58 miles SE Prox. to Subject Sale Price 605,000 Gross Living Area 1,656 Total Rooms 4 Total Bedrooms 1 **Total Bathrooms** 1.1 Location N;Res; B;Open Space; View

Site 18199 sf Quality Q4 Age 43

Comparable Photo Page

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County El Paso | State CO | Zip Code 80919 |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | |



Comparable 4

190 Mikado Dr E

0.51 miles SE Prox. to Subject Sale Price 579,000 Gross Living Area 2,251 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 4 Total Bathrooms 3.0 Location N;Res;

B;Open Space; View Site 11400 sf Quality

Q4 52 Age



Comparable 5

170 Fox Hill Ln

Prox. to Subject 0.61 miles SE Sale Price 610,000 Gross Living Area 2,057 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms **Total Bathrooms** 2.1 Location N;Res;

View B;Open Space;

8500 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 51



Comparable 6

6302 Mesedge Dr

0.89 miles S Prox. to Subject Sale Price 555,000 Gross Living Area 2,041 Total Rooms 8 Total Bedrooms 4 Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 9800 sf Quality Q4 Age 51

Comparable Photo Page

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County El Paso | State CO | Zip Code 80919 |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | |



Comparable 7

360 Silver Spring Cir

Prox. to Subject 0.60 miles S Sale Price 599,000 Gross Living Area 2,284 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.1 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 8719 sf Quality Q4 46 Age



Comparable 8

185 Raven Hills Rd


Prox. to Subject 0.44 miles SE Sale Price 579,000 Gross Living Area 1,570 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 9200 sf Site Quality Q4 Age 53

Comparable 9

Prox. to Subject
Sale Price
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location
View
Site
Quality
Age


Location Map

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County El Paso | State CO | Zip Code 80919 |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | |



Aerial Map

| Borrower | Catamount Properties 2018 LLC | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| Property Address | 7125 Higher Ridges Ct | | | |
| City | Colorado Springs | County El Paso | State CO | Zip Code 80919 |
| Lender/Client | Wedgewood Inc | | | |



Accelerant National Insurance Company

(A Stock Company) 400 Northridge Road, Suite 800 Sandy Springs, GA 30350

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY DECLARATIONS

NOTICE: THIS IS A "CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED" POLICY. THIS POLICY REQUIRES THAT A CLAIM BE MADE AGAINST THE INSURED DURING THE POLICY PERIOD AND REPORTED TO THE INSURER. IN WRITING, DURING THE POLICY PERIOD OR EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD.

PLEASE READ YOUR POLICY CAREFULLY.

Policy Number: NAX40PL108151-00 Renewal of: New

1. Named Insured: Christopher Mark Frohardt

2. Address: 1888 Avery Way

Castle Rock, CO 80109

From: February 5, 2024 To: February 5, 2025 3. Policy Period:

12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in item 2. Above.

Policy Aggregate 4C. \$ 1,000,000 4. Limit of Liability: Each Claim 4A. \$1,000,000 Damages Limit of Liability Claim Expenses Limit of Liability 4B. \$ 1,000,000 4D. \$ 1,000,000

5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claims Expenses):

Fach Claim Aggregate 5A. \$500 5B. \$1,000

6. Policy Premium: \$515

7. Retroactive Date: February 5, 2023

8. Notice to Company: Notice of a Claim or Potential Claim should be sent to: OREP Insurance Services: info@orep.org

6353 El Cajon Blvd, Suite 124-605

San Diego, CA 92115

Program Administrator: OREP Insurance Services, LLC – <u>appraisers@orep.org</u>

10. Forms and Endorsements Attached at Policy Inception: See Schedule of Forms

If required by state law, this policy will be countersigned by an authorized representative of the Company.

Asaac Peck Date: February 2, 2024 Bv: Authorized Representative

N DEC 40000 04 22 Page 1 of 1

License

Christopher Mark Frohardt 1888 Avery Way Castle Rock, CO 80109

Marcu Waters

Director: Marcia Waters

State of Colorado
Department of Regulatory Agencies
Division of Real Estate



Board of Real Estate Appraisers

Christopher Mark Frohardt

Certified Residential Appraiser

License #: CR100029526

Status: Active Expires: 12/31/2025

For the most up to date information regarding this credential, visit http://dora.colorado.gov/dre