Huibin Lan

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No.	35490599
Case No.	57652

	The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	ort is to provid	le the lender/client w	vith an acci	irate, and adequa	tely suppor	rted (oninion of the marke	et value o	f the subject pror	ertv
	Property Address 872 Corte De Blanco		io tilo loridol/oliorit i	City		an Jose	itou,	State C			
	Borrower Redwood Holdings L		Owner of Public Re		BYE PATRIC		RUS		•	Santa Clar	
	Legal Description LOT:78 CITY:SAN J					<i></i>					
	Assessor's Parcel # 458-05-089	002 11(//.	00 10 11(00 10 2	0170		Tax Year		2023 F	P F Taye	s\$ 2,489	
5	Neighborhood Name FRUITDALE MAN	JOR			Map Reference	Tux Tuu	48		ensus Tra		24
Щ			cial Assessments \$		0	PUD		DA\$ 0	elisus III		per month
四	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simp				0	FUD	110	он о		per year	Jei IIIOIIIII
S			finance Transaction		r (describe) Com	vioina/Ma	orko	t Value)			
,	Assignment Type Purchase Transacti	on Re							Dooob	CA 00279	
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	11 34						ite 100, Redondo			
	Is the subject property currently offered for										
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price					d for sale	e.;La	itest Price \$950,	000;Lat	est Date	
	05/25/2024;Original Price \$950,000										
	I did did not analyze the contra	act for sale fo	r the subject purcha	se transact	ion. Explain the re	sults of the	e ana	lysis of the contract	for sale o	r why the analysi	s was not
5	performed.										
₹											
ピ	Contract Price \$ Date of	Contract	Is the pr	roperty sell	er the owner of pu	blic record	?	Yes No Da	ita Source	e(s)	
z	Is there any financial assistance (loan char	ges, sale con	cessions, gift or dov	vnpayment	assistance, etc.) t	o be paid b	by an	y party on behalf of	the borro	wer? Yes	No
Ö	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and de	escribe the ite	ems to be paid.		•	•	-				
_	·		•								
	Note: Race and the racial composition o	f the neighb	orhood are not app	raisal fact	ors.						
	Neighborhood Characteristics	<u> </u>	.,		Housing Trends			One-Unit Ho	ousina	Present Land Us	se %
		Rural	Property Values	_			eclin		AGE	One-Unit	95 %
0	Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75%	Under 25%	Demand/Supply	Shortag			verSu		(yrs)	2-4 Unit	2 %
O		Slow	Marketing Time				ver6n		38	Multi-Family	2 %
T.	·										
Ō	Neighborhood Boundaries The north bou			สรเ DOUNG	ıary is tπe Hwy8i	r, ine sou	ıΠ	2,000 High	93	Commercial	1 %
單	boundary is the Hwy85 and the West bo							1,427 Pred		Other	%
5	Neighborhood Description The subject p										
Ш	centers and other community services v				e general quality	and cond	dition	in the area. The s	ubject's	neighborhood is	S
Z	located within 5 -10 miles from employn										
	Market Conditions (including support for the	e above conc	lusions) The neigh	nborhood 1	rend is increasir	ng for the	last	12 months with i	noderate	sales rates. th	ere are
	some seller concessions.										
	Dimensions 32.8 X 1		Area		0 sf Sha			tangular V	ew	N;Res;	
	Specific Zoning Classification	R1	Zoning		n Single Family	y Reside	nce				
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Lega	I Nonconform	ing (Grandfathered								
			9 \ 0	Use)	No Zoning III	egal (desc	ribe)				
	Is the highest and best use of subject prope		•			-		e? X Yes N	lo If No, o	describe. See	
			•			-		e? X Yes N	lo If No, o	describe. See	
	Is the highest and best use of subject prope Comment Utilities Public Other (describe)		ved (or as proposed		and specifications)	the preser	nt use	e? X Yes N		Public F	Private
ᄪ	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X		Public	per plans a	and specifications)	the preser	nt use	ImprovementsTy			Private
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X	erty as improv Wate	Public x X Arry Sewer X	Other (de	and specifications)	Off Street Alley	i-site As _l	ImprovementsTy phalt ne	pe	Public F	
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea	Wate Sanita	Public T X ary Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone [Other (de	scribe) FEMA Ma	Off Street Alley p# 0603	i-site As _l	ImprovementsTy phalt ne	pe	Public F	
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	Water Sanitars X No Its stypical for the	Public T X Arry Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone Ine market area?	Other (de	scribe) FEMA Ma No If No, descr	Off Street Alley up # 0603 ibe.	-site Asj No	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN	pe //A Map D	Public F X vate 05/18/200	
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea	Water Sanitars X No Its stypical for the	Public T X Arry Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone Ine market area?	Other (de	scribe) FEMA Ma No If No, descr	Off Street Alley up # 0603 ibe.	-site Asj No	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN	pe //A Map D	Public F	
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	Water Sanita S No Is typical for the ternal factors	Public T X ary Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone Ine market area? X (easements, encroa	Other (de	FEMA Ma No If No, descretory describer of the servironmental control of the servironmental contr	Off Street Alley up # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan	F-site Asp No 349-	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes	pe //A Map D	Public F X Date 05/18/200	09
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	Water Sanita S X No Its typical for the ternal factors or due to the B	Public Yeary Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone International Transport International I	Other (de	FEMA Ma No If No, descrenvironmental consatellite map: Park/	Off Street Alley up # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan	F-site Asi No 349- id use	ImprovementsTy phalt ne .0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th	MA Map D	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so)9 e are
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	Water Sanitates X No Its stypical for the ternal factors or due to the B factor(see sality signalDi	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Ine market area? (easements, encroausy Rd(Please see thes grid), the housing pome of the comparable of the c	Other (de	FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the local this factor are sentenced and the local thi	Off Street Alley up # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adjussimilar).	F-site Asj No 349- ad use	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accord	MA Map D No e net resu	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no	09 are any
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	Water Sanita s X No Is stypical for the ternal factors or due to the B factor(see sality signalDe of Property	Public Public X Any Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone Ine market area? (easements, encroausy Rd(Please see thes grid), the housing pom for the comparable Appraisal Files	Other (de	FEMA Ma No If No, descrenvironmental consatellite map: Park/impacted and the loout this factor are s	Off Street Alley up # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac cation adju similar). t and Tax F	F-site Asj No 349- ad use b/BsyF	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Inspe	MA Map D No e net resu pridingly in	Public F X Date 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no	09 are any
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea Are the utilities and/or off-site improvement Are there any adverse site conditions or exist the subject has the NOISE adverse site factor some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketabil Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive	Water Sanitates X No Isternal factors or due to the B factor(see sality signal—Description of Property	Public Public X Any Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone Ine market area? (easements, encroadusy Rd(Please see thes grid), the housing pomonth of the comparable Appraisal Files or Inspection	Other (de	FEMA Ma No If No, descrenvironmental consatellite map: Park/impacted and the loout this factor are servironata Source(s) for	Off Street Alley up # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adju similar). t and Tax F	F-site Asj No 349- ad use b/BsyF	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insper	MA Map D No e net resu pridingly in	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no	09 are any
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea Are the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or ext. The subject has the NOISE adverse site facts some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed(i.e. The marketability	Water Sanita S X No If S typical for the sternal factors or due to the B factor(see sality signal	Public Public X Ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Ine market area? (easements, encroausy Rd(Please see thes grid), the housing pom for the comparable Appraisal Files or Inspection al Description	Other (de	FEMA Ma No If No, describent on the local tribing and tr	Off Street Alley up # 0603 iibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adju similar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling	As No 3349- duse: Asy Issue As Issue Asy Issue As Issue Asy Issue	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperee	MA Map D No e net resu ordingly in Ction X Rea	Public F X Date 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd), so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag	o are any
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea Are the utilities and/or off-site improvement Are there any adverse site conditions or exist the subject has the NOISE adverse site factor some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketabil Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Ine market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the grid), the housing pomorphism of the comparable Appraisal Files or Inspection al Description te Slab X Crawl S	Other (de	FEMA Ma No If No, describent on the local tribing and tr	Off Street Alley up # 0603 iibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adju similar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling	Asj No 349- d use Recor	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperee Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1	No e net resu ordingly in Ction X Rea	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag None	o are any
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Year the utilities and/or off-site improvement Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the subject has the NOISE adverse site factor some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The market	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone Ine market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see thes grid), the housing pomorphism of the comparable Appraisal Files or Inspection al Description te Slab X Crawl Susement Finisher	Other (de	FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the local this factor are so that Source(s) for Heating / Court Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	Off Street Alley up # 0603 iibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adju similar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling	Asj No 349- d use Recor	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperee	No e net resu ordingly in Ction X Rea	Public F X Date 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd), so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag	o are any
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea Are the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the subject has the NOISE adverse site factor some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketabil Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the the segrid), the housing poment of the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description te Slab X Crawl Sesement Finishers Basement Finishers	Other (de Other (de)	FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the local this factor are set of	Off Street Alley up # 0603 iibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adju similar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling	Asponential Market Mark	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concre	No e net resu critical X Rea X Drive	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Coway Surface Co	o are anny er er ars 2 ncrete
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea Are the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the subject has the NOISE adverse site factor some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketabil Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the the segrid), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description the Slab X Crawl Sesement Finisher Basement Finisher Inspection Inspection Finisher Inspection Inspect	Other (de Other (de)	FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the local this factor are set of	Off Street Alley up # 0603 iibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adju similar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling	nt use As No 349- d use Recorring A	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete	No e net resurred in the continuity in the conti	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Co way Surface Co Garage # of C	o are any er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2
	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea Are the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the subject has the NOISE adverse site factor some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketabil Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the the segrid), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description the Slab X Crawl Sesement Finisher Basement Finisher Inspection Inspection Finisher Inspection Inspect	Other (de	FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the local this factor are set of	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac coation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling B	nt use As No 349- d use Recorring A	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concre	No e net resurred in the continuity in the conti	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Coway Surface Co	o are any er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Year the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the subject has the NOISE adverse site factor some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketability of Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst.	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the the segrid), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description the Slab X Crawl Sesement Finisher Basement Finisher Inspection Inspection Finisher Inspection Inspect	Other (de Other (de)	FEMA Ma No If No, descrenvironmental consatellite map: Park/impacted and the loout this factor are so X Assessment Data Source(s) for Heating / Cool FWA HWB Radiant Other el Gas	Off Street Alley up # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac coation adju similar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B	As No 349- d use Recording Al	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete	No e net resurction X Rea	Public F X Date 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Co way Surface Co Garage # of C Carport # of C	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0
SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone Intermeted area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the grid), the housing pomon of the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description te Slab X Crawl Statement Finisher Basement Finisher	Other (de Other (de) Other (de)	FEMA Ma No If No, descriptions Sacribe) FEMA Ma No If No, descriptions Satellite map: Park/simpacted and the location out this factor are selected and the loca	Off Street Alley up # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac coation adju similar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B	nt use As No 349- d use Recorring A X F X F	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood	No e net resurction X Rea	Public F X	o are any er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2
SITE SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the grid), the housing public points of the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description te Slab X Crawl Seement Finisher Basement Finisher	Other (de Other (de)	FEMA Ma No If No, descr environmental consatellite map: Park/ impacted and the loout this factor are s X Assessment Data Source(s) for Heating / Cou FWA HWB Radiant Other Other Rone	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adju- similar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling B	nt use As No 349- d use c/Bsyf sistmen X F X X	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes ed/School/Comm: The nt will be applied according Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None	No e net resurction X Rea	Public F X Date 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Co way Surface Co Garage # of C Carport # of C	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0
NTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea Are the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended in the subject has the NOISE adverse site factors some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketability issue noticed (i.e. T	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroase usy Rd(Please see the se grid), the housing poment of the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description the Slab X Crawl Sement Finisher Basement Finisher Basement Finisher Finisher Finisher Finisher Sement Finisher F	Other (de Other (de) Other (de)	FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the Impacted and I	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling B ditioning er/Dryer	nt use As No 349- d use c/Bsyf sistmen X F X X	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accord rds Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net result	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of C way Surface Co Garage # of C Carport # of C Attached Built-in	er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached
MENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yeare the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the subject has the NOISE adverse site factor some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketabil Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) Drive General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1975 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Overside Finished area above grade contains:	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the the serior), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description al Description te Slab X Crawl Series Basement Finisher	Other (de Other (de) Other (d	FEMA Ma No If No, descr environmental consatellite map: Park/ impacted and the loout this factor are s X Assessment Data Source(s) for Heating / Cou FWA HWB Radiant Other Other Rone	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling B ditioning er/Dryer	nt use As No 349- dd use C/Bsyfristmen Recorring An No	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accord rds Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net result	Public F X	er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached
EMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea Are the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended in the subject has the NOISE adverse site factors some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketability issue noticed (i.e. T	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the the serior), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description al Description te Slab X Crawl Series Basement Finisher	Other (de Other (de) Other (d	FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the Impacted and I	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling B ditioning er/Dryer	nt use As No 349- dd use C/Bsyfristmen Recorring An No	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accord rds Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net result	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of C way Surface Co Garage # of C Carport # of C Attached Built-in	er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached
	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Year the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or exit The subject has the NOISE adverse site facts some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketabil Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) General Description Units X One Onewith Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1975 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ov Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the test grid), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection the Slab X Crawl Sement Finisher Basement Finisher Baseme	Other (de Other (de)Other (de)	FEMA Ma No If No, descrence of the local satellite map: Park/impacted and the local satellite map: Park/impacted and the local this factor are so X Assessment Data Source(s) for Heating / Cool FWA HWB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Cond Individual Other None owave X Wash 2.0 Bath(s	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac coation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling ditioning er/Dryer s) 1,	As No 349- d use Recording Al V F F X F Y Y O 056	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe) Square Feet	No e net resurrence returned in the control of Gross in the control of the control of Gross in the con	Public F X Date 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owner IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Coway Surface Coogarage # of Coorport # of	er er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
ROVEMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yeare the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the subject has the NOISE adverse site factor some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketabil Source (s) Used for Physical Characteristics X Other (describe) General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 1 Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit X Existing Proposed UnderConst. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1975 Effective Age (Yrs) 40 Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Over Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient of the property and contains)	Water Sanita s X No Is s typical for the ternal factors or due to the B factor(see sality signal——De s of Property by Exterior Concre Full Ba Partial Exterior Wa Roof Surface Gutters & D Window Typen X Dishu 4 Roof items, etc.)	Public Public X Any Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the ses grid), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection to Slab X Crawl Sesement Finishes Basement Finishes Basem	Other (de Other (de) Other (d	FEMA Ma No If No, descrenvironmental consatellite map: Park/impacted and the loout this factor are six Assessment Data Source(s) for Heating / Cool FWA HWB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conlindividual Other None owave X Wash 2.0 Bath(sepairs, deterioration)	Off Street Alley up # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac coation adju similar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1,	As No 349- d use Recording Al X F	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe) Square Feet	No e net result ordingly in ction X Rea X X Drive X X Coff Gross C4;The	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd), so the sales grid.no Property Owner IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Coway Surface Coogarage # of Coording Carport # of Coording Carport # of Coording Attached Built-in Living Area Above	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
MPROVEMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yeare the utilities and/or off-site improvement Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the action of the subject has the NOISE adverse site factors some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketability issue noticed	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Tary Sewer X FEMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the se grid), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description te Slab X Crawl Seement Finisher Basement Finisher B	Other (de Other (de) Other (FEMA Ma No If No, descrentions at the local transfer of the local	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, land Cul-de-Sac ocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1,	nt use As No 349- d use c/Bsyfrestmen Recorring Al X F X F X F X F X F X F X F X X	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net resu ordingly in ction X Rea X Drive X X C4;The S Listin	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd), so the sales grid.no Property Owner IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Control of Carport # of Carp	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea Are the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the example of the subject has the NOISE adverse site factors some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketability issue noti	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Ine market area? (easements, encroasusy Rd(Please see thes grid), the housing pomous for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description te Slab X Crawl Seement Finishese Finishese Seement Finishese Se	Other (de Other (de) Other (FEMA Ma No If No, descrentions at the local triangle of triangle of the local triangle of triangle of the local triangle of triangle	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, land Cul-de-Sac ocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1,	nt use As No 349- d use c/Bsyfrestmen Recorring Al X F X F X F X F X F X F X F X X	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net resu ordingly in ction X Rea X Drive X X C4;The S Listin	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd), so the sales grid.no Property Owner IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Control of Carport # of Carp	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yeare the utilities and/or off-site improvement Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the action of the subject has the NOISE adverse site factors some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketability issue noticed	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Ine market area? (easements, encroasusy Rd(Please see thes grid), the housing pomous for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description te Slab X Crawl Seement Finishese Finishese Seement Finishese Se	Other (de Other (de) Other (FEMA Ma No If No, descrentions at the local triangle of triangle of the local triangle of triangle of the local triangle of triangle	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, land Cul-de-Sac ocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1,	nt use As No 349- d use c/Bsyfrestmen Recorring Al X F X F X F X F X F X F X F X X	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net resu ordingly in ction X Rea X Drive X X C4;The S Listin	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd), so the sales grid.no Property Owner IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Control of Carport # of Carp	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
IMPROVEMENTS SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yea Are the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the example of the subject has the NOISE adverse site factors some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The marketability issue noti	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Ine market area? (easements, encroasusy Rd(Please see thes grid), the housing pomous for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description te Slab X Crawl Seement Finishese Finishese Seement Finishese Se	Other (de Other (de) Other (FEMA Ma No If No, descrentions at the local triangle of triangle of the local triangle of triangle of the local triangle of triangle	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, land Cul-de-Sac ocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1,	nt use As No 349- d use c/Bsyfrestmen Recorring Al X F X F X F X F X F X F X F X X	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperea Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net resu ordingly in ction X Rea X Drive X X C4;The S Listin	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd), so the sales grid.no Property Owner IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Control of Carport # of Carp	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
IMPROVEMENTS SITE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Year the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the subject has the NOISE adverse site factors some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The mark	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the test grid), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection all Description the Slab X Crawl Statement Finished Basement Finished Basem	Other (de Other (de) Other (FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the Idea out this factor are services and the Idea out this factor are services. Assessment out a Source(s) for Heating / Cool FWA HWB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conlindividual Other None owave X Wash 2.0 Bath(sepairs, deterioration and the Figuracies were	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac pocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1, on, renovati PUBLIC E noted at	The second of th	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net resurdingly in the ction X Rea X X Drive X X C4;The S Listin in. The	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owner IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Control of Carport # of Carport	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the test grid), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection all Description the Slab X Crawl Statement Finished Basement Finished Basem	Other (de Other (de) Other (FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the Idea out this factor are services and the Idea out this factor are services. Assessment out a Source(s) for Heating / Cool FWA HWB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conlindividual Other None owave X Wash 2.0 Bath(sepairs, deterioration and the Figuracies were	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac pocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1, on, renovati PUBLIC E noted at	The second of th	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net resurdingly in the ction X Rea X X Drive X X C4;The S Listin in. The	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd), so the sales grid.no Property Owner IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Control of Carport # of Carp	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
IMPROVEMENTS STE	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Year the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the subject has the NOISE adverse site factors some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The mark	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the test grid), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection all Description the Slab X Crawl Statement Finished Basement Finished Basem	Other (de Other (de) Other (FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the Idea out this factor are services and the Idea out this factor are services. Assessment out a Source(s) for Heating / Cool FWA HWB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conlindividual Other None owave X Wash 2.0 Bath(sepairs, deterioration and the Figuracies were	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac pocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1, on, renovati PUBLIC E noted at	The second of th	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net resurdingly in the ction X Rea X X Drive X X C4;The S Listin in. The	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owner IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Control of Carport # of Carport	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the test grid), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection all Description the Slab X Crawl Statement Finished Basement Finished Basem	Other (de Other (de) Other (FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the Idea out this factor are services and the Idea out this factor are services. Assessment out a Source(s) for Heating / Cool FWA HWB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conlindividual Other None owave X Wash 2.0 Bath(sepairs, deterioration and the Figuracies were	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac pocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1, on, renovati PUBLIC E noted at	The second of th	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net resurdingly in the ction X Rea X X Drive X X C4;The S Listin in. The	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owner IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Control of Carport # of Carport	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	Water Sanita San	Public Public X Any Sewer X EMA Flood Zone In the market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the test grid), the housing poom for the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection all Description the Slab X Crawl Statement Finished Basement Finished Basem	Other (de Other (de) Other (FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the Idea out this factor are services and the Idea out this factor are services. Assessment out a Source(s) for Heating / Cool FWA HWB Radiant Other el Gas Central Air Conlindividual Other None owave X Wash 2.0 Bath(sepairs, deterioration and the Figuracies were	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac pocation adjustimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi coling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1, on, renovati PUBLIC E noted at	The second of th	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	No e net resurdingly in the ction X Rea X X Drive X X C4;The S Listin in. The	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owner IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of Control of Carport # of Carport	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X	Water Sanita San	Public Public X ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Ine market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the es grid), the housing poment of the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description al Description te Slab X Crawl See Trile/Goo ownspouts Gal. All one Sliding/Goowasher X Disposations 2 Bear Dual pane wind of the conditions that affectional or externis.	Other (de Other (de) Other (d	FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the Idea out this factor are soon at a Source (s) for Heating / Control Heating / Contr	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adjusimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1,	nt use As No 349- d use Recording Ar V	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	MA Map D No e net result ordingly in the condition of th	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of C way Surface Co Garage # of C Carport # of C Attached Built-in Living Area Abov subject is in a g and Zillow.c Remaining	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade
IMPROVEMENTS	Is the highest and best use of subject proper Comment Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yeare the utilities and/or off-site improvement. Are there any adverse site conditions or extended the subject has the NOISE adverse site factors some other comparables with similar adverse marketability issue noticed (i.e. The mar	Water Sanita San	Public Public X ary Sewer X EMA Flood Zone Ine market area? (easements, encroad usy Rd(Please see the es grid), the housing poment of the comparable Appraisal Files for Inspection al Description al Description te Slab X Crawl See Trile/Goo ownspouts Gal. All one Sliding/Goowasher X Disposations 2 Bear Dual pane wind of the conditions that affectional or externis.	Other (de Other (de) Other (d	FEMA Ma No If No, descreption of the Idea out this factor are soon at a Source (s) for Heating / Control Heating / Contr	Off Street Alley p # 0603 ibe. ditions, lan (Cul-de-Sac ocation adjusimilar). t and Tax F Gross Livi oling B ditioning er/Dryer s) 1,	nt use As No 349- d use Recording Ar V	ImprovementsTy phalt ne -0263H FEN es, etc.)? X Yes Rd/School/Comm: Th nt will be applied accords Prior Insperse Amenities Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # 0 Patio/Deck Concrete Pool None Fence Wood Other None ther (describe)	MA Map D No e net result ordingly in the condition of th	Public F X Pate 05/18/200 If Yes, describe. Its is Busy Rd) ,so the sales grid.no Property Owne IQuest Car Storag None Driveway # of C way Surface Co Garage # of C Carport # of C Attached Built-in Living Area Abov subject is in a g and Zillow.c Remaining	er er ars 2 ncrete ars 2 ars 0 Detached e Grade

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	nparable prope													5,000 .
	nparable sales			-							'	· '		2,000,000 .
FEATURE 972 Co.	SUBJ				RABLE					RABLE S			RABLE S	
	rte De Blanc		· '		Eagle L						es Drive			gton Way
Proximity to Subject	se, CA 9513	o			Jose, C .13 mil					0.23 mile	A 95136		.49 mile	CA 95136
Sale Price	\$				\$		280,000			\$	1,415,500		\$	1,429,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.00	sq. ft.	\$	1,212.		q. ft.	200,000	\$	1,187		g. ft.	\$ 1,209		iq. ft.
Data Source(s)	y 3.00				81959		DOM 8	- T			527;DOM 7			869;DOM 4
Verification Source(s)							629949				# 25618496			# 25615695
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIP	TION	DE	SCRIP	TION	+(-)	\$ Adjustmen	DI	ESCRIP	TION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIF	TION	+(-) \$ Adjustmen
Sale or Financing				ArmL	th		-		ArmL	th		Arml	_th	
Concessions				Conv					Conv			Conv	•	
Date of Sale/Time				1/24;c(()4/24;c(C	s03/24;c		(
Location	A;Res;Bs			N;Re:	-	-	-30,000		N;Re		-30,000			-30,000
Leasehold/Fee Simple Site	Fee Sin 3280	•		<u>ee Sin</u> 3485			(ee Sin 6098	•	-70,500	Fee Si 6534		-81,500
View	N;Re:			N;Re:				1	N;Re		-70,500	N;Re		-01,500
Design (Style)	DT1;Ra			T1;Ra					DT1;Ra			DT1;Ra		
Quality of Construction	Q4			Q4					Q4			Q4		
Actual Age	49			49					54		C	72		+4,500
Condition	C4			C3			-30,000		C4			C3		-30,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms.		Total	Bdrms.	Baths			Total	Bdrms.	Baths	-3,000	Total Bdrms		-3,000
Room Count	4 2	2.0	4	2	2.0			6	3	2.0		6 3	2.0	
Gross Living Area	1,056	sq. ft	1,	,056	sq. ft.				1,192	sq. ft.	-75,000		sq. ft.	-69,000
Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade	0sf			0sf					0sf			0s	Ī	
TROUTIO BOIOTI GIAGO	۸۰۰۰	20		Λνα		-			Λ.,σ==	go.		A	200	-
Functional Utility Heating/Cooling	Averag			Avera VA/Ce	_		-3,000	, E	Average WA/Ce	_	-3,000	Avera		-3,000
Energy Efficient Items	Dual Pane \				Vindow		-5,000		I Pane \		-3,000	Dual Pane		-5,000
Garage/Carport	2ga2d			2ga2c				Daa	2ga2d			2ga2		
	Porch/Cor				ncrete			Po	rch/Coi			Porch/Co		
Porch/Patio/Deck Fireplaces	1 Firepl	ace	1	Firepl	ace				None	Э	+3,000	1 Firep	lace	
Pool	None			None					None			Non		
Listing Price \$	None)		999,90			()	1,199,9		C	12000		(
Net Adjustment (Total)				+ X		\$	-63,000		+ X		\$ -178,500	+ X		\$ -212,000
Adjusted Sale Price			Net A			· ·	1 247 000		Adj: -13		¢ 4.007.000	Net Adj: -1		¢ 4 047 000
of Comparables I X did did not re	Lesearch the sale										\$ 1,237,000	Gross Auj.	15%	\$ 1,217,000
Data source(s) RealQu My research did X Data source(s) RealQu Report the results of the results	did not revea	ee sale	s grid								e date of sale of the			n nage 3)
ITEM	escaron and an		BJECT	i sale c	i ii di isio		MPARABLE S				PARABLE SALE #			BLE SALE # 3
Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	er													
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe	er													
Data Source(s)			alquest				Realque				Realquest			lquest
Effective Date of Data Sou			1/2023				02/01/20				02/01/2023			1/2023
Analysis of prior sale or tr	•			•	-			rch th	ne data	base, ı	no prior sale of	the subject	within	last 36
months and no prior	sale of the c	compara	ables	tor the	e last 12	2 mo	ntns.							
Summary of Sales Compa	arison Approacl	n _Al	I Comp	os are	closed	sale	s within la	st6 r	nonths	of simil	ar design and a	age, and sir	nilar qua	ality,
condition and appeal														
Adjustments are mad														
\$550/SF(For GLA diff														
difference more than the contract date diffe														
adjustment are obtain													uotor,	1110 05000
,														
Indicated Value by Sales	Comparison Ap	proach \$	5 1	,225,0	000									
,	Comparison App			,225,0			pproach (if d			1,226,7		proach (if dev		
Indicated Value by: Sales (la	ders s	sales of simi						approach	
Indicated Value by: Sales (
Indicated Value by: Sales (applicable: As	s the sul	oject is	used a	ıs a prim	nary r	esident and						are purch	nased for
Indicated Value by: Sales (applicable: A	s the sul	oject is is repor	used a t are p	is a prim assword	nary re	esident and ected. They	are tr	ue and e	exactly s	ame as original c	nes.		
Indicated Value by: Sales (applicable: As digital signatur X as is,"	s the sul es on th subje	oject is is repor ect to cor	used a t are p mpletio	is a prim assword n per pla	nary re d prote ns an	esident and ected. They d specificatio	are tro	ue and e	exactly said of a hypo	ame as original cothetical condition	nes. that the impro	vements h	nave been
Indicated Value by: Sales (applicable: Assignatur X as is," the following references	s the sul es on th subje epairs or	oject is is repor ect to cor alteratio	used a t are p mpletio ons on t	is a prim assword n per pla he basis	nary rote d prote ns and of a h	esident and ected. They d specification ypothetical co	are tro ns on to ondition	ue and e the basis n that the	exactly sa of a hypo repairs o	ame as original conticon othetical condition or alterations have l	that the impro	vements h	nave been subjecttothe
Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The distribution of This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspect intended use for the	applicable: As digital signatur X "as is," bothe following rition based on the intended length.	es the sul es on th subjections or ee extraoder/clie	oject is is reported to corted alteration of the corted alteration of the corted alteration of the corted and the corted alteration of the corted	used and the are properties on the area of	assword assword n per pla he basis tion that assigns	nary roted proteins and of a hythe constant of a hythe constant of a for	esident and ected. They d specification ypothetical condition or de use in mo	are trons on to ondition of the trons of the	ue and e the basis of that the ey does n of transa	exactly so of a hypo repairs o ot require action**	ame as original contestion or alterations have alteration or repa	ones. that the impro been complete ir: **This A	vements hed, or	nave been subjecttothe I Report is
Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The different This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspect intended use for the inspect of Based on a visual inspector.	applicable: As digital signatur X "as is," to the following retion based on the intended lengeration of the exection of the exection of the exection and the section of the exection of the execution of the exection of the execution of the execution of the exec	es the sul es on th subjecteris or the extraorder/cliecterior are	oject is is reported to correct t	used and the are properties on the assumption of the substitute of the substitute of the assumption of the substitute of	as a primassword n per pla he basis tion that assigns	nary rod ns and of a hy the co s for perty	esident and ected. They d specification ypothetical condition or de use in mod from at leas	are trong on the condition of the condit	ue and e the basis in that the by does n transa street, de	exactly so of a hypo repairs o ot require action** efined sc	ame as original on othetical condition or alterations have less alteration or repa	that the impropeen complete ir: **This A	vements hed, or	nave been subjecttothe I Report is
Indicated Value by: Sales of Most emphasis is on the Income approach is not owner occupancy The of This appraisal is made completed, subject to following required inspect intended use for the intended of th	applicable: As digital signatur X "as is," to the following retion based on the intended lengeration of the exection of the exection of the exection and the section of the exection of the execution of the exection of the execution of the execution of the exec	es the sul es on th subjecteriars or the extraoder/cliecterior ar con, my (o	oject is is reported to correct t	used a t are p mpletio ons on t assump /or its the sub nion of	as a primassword n per pla he basis tion that assigns	nary roted proteins and of a hythe cost s for perty rket v	esident and ected. They d specification ypothetical co- ondition or de- use in mon from at leas alue, as defi	are truens on tondition officience tgage at the series.	the basis that the y does n transa treet, de	exactly says of a hyporoper repairs of a hyporoper require action** efined scal property	ame as original on othetical condition or alterations have less alteration or repa	that the improper complete ir: **This A ement of assisted of this rej	vements hed, or	nave been subjecttothe I Report is

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

Comparable selection:All the comps are arm leng						
R1=Single family Residence: the minimum lot size						
acres.But for much newer single family the lot siz					ordiar	nce:
http://library.municode.com/HTML/16425/level2/T	_		_	JSI)		
This appraisal was ordered in compliance with Ap		"AIR" and Mortgage Lett	er 2009-28.			
No any personal property is included in this trans	action.					
The condition of the interior of the improvements	are from PUBLIC DA	TA (Realquest,MLS Listin	g and Zillow.co	om) and VE	RIFIEI	D by the
property owner.						
In order to support the final market value of the su	ubject by the pre-adjus	sted comps, I have to ext	end the guideli	ine of the di	stance	e to use
comp4 in the competing neighborhood.						
Due to the difference of CLA condition style and	llaastiam tha mus adi			d 46	نا مامان	
Due to the difference of GLA,condition ,style and						
The condition adjustment for comp1,comp3,com						
counter top and newer cabinet),Bathrooms(newer has less upgraded kitchen(older laminate/tile co						_
laminate/tile/carpet flooring).The good condition h	•					
pairing analysis of the comparables(comp1 vs co		grier sales price, the condi	illori aujustinei	it was obtain	ieu by	r tile
pairing analysis of the comparables(comp r vs co	πρε).					
The age ,lot size ,GLA,location adjustments were	obtained by the pair a	analysis of the comparable	es in the subje	ct's neiahboi	rhood.	Note that
the age difference is within 35 years and the lot s						
are needed in this case.		•				
All the comps are in the same or competing neigh	nborhood (As the hous	sing price are mainly impa	acted by the so	chool's rating	j, all th	ne
comparables and the subject have the same or s						
similar condition and location. Most emphasis are						
the same model) and comp2(also similar condition	n) (30% for comp1 a	nd comp2 respectively, 20	0% each for th	ne remained	sold c	comp).
Nada da ad da a sulcia del Escal de adres de la como	46			41	. ! 4	
Note that the subject's final market value is lower						
smaller GLA, smaller lot size with less update. No			the DOM of no	ousing value	nigne	r than the
predominant value is similar to the housing value	lower than the predon	ninani value).				
COST A	PPROACH TO VALUE ((not required by Fannie Ma	ne.)			
COST A Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate		(not required by Fannie Ma	ne.)			
	e your cost figures and calc	culations.	•	based on M	arsha	II & swift
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate	e your cost figures and calc e land sales or other metho	culations. ods for estimating site value)	Cost estimates			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable	e your cost figures and calc e land sales or other metho alue arrived at by absti	culations. Inds for estimating site value) Craction method. The higher	Cost estimates er Land to impr	ovement rat		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site.	e your cost figures and calce e land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstr te over total value as t	culations. Index for estimating site value) Craction method. The higher the demand in the neighbor	Cost estimates er Land to impr	ovement rat	io is ty	/pical . No
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site stimulation. REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACE	e your cost figures and calc e land sales or other metho alue arrived at by absti	culations. Indications of the state of the	Cost estimates er Land to impr orhood is still h	ovement rat	io is ty	ypical . No 700,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site and the state of th	e your cost figures and calce e land sales or other metho alue arrived at by absti te over total value as the MENT COST NEW	culations. Inds for estimating site value) Traction method. The higher the demand in the neighbor OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,056	Cost estimates er Land to improrhood is still h	ovement rat	io is ty =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site stimulation of the summarketability issue due to this high ratio of site stimulation. REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACE Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of the summarketability issue due to this high ratio of site stimulations.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the MENT COST NEW	culations. Indications of the state of the	Cost estimates er Land to impr orhood is still h	ovement rat	io is ty	ypical . No 700,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations).	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as total method with the cost data. Current depreciation, etc.)	culations. Inds for estimating site value) Contraction method. The higher the demand in the neighbor opinion of SITE VALUE. Dwelling 1,056 Bsmt	Cost estimates er Land to improrhood is still h Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	ovement ratingh.	=\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site section of the subject's effective adequation of the subject's effective date of the section of th	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the MENT COST NEW of cost data Current depreciation, etc.) ffective age. Cost	culations. Index for estimating site value) Contraction method. The higher than the demand in the neighborhood open site of the site of t	Cost estimates er Land to improrhood is still h	ovement rat	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site sections. The section of the subject of the section of the	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as total. MENT COST NEW of cost data Current depreciation, etc.) ffective age. Cost ce and observed	culations. Index for estimating site value) Contraction method. The higher he demand in the neighbor of the the nei	Cost estimates er Land to improrhood is still h	550.00 110.00	=\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site stimulations. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACE Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective later typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction in the subject of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction in the subject of typical cost.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the entry of cost data Current, depreciation, etc.) ffective age. Cost ce and observed method. Land to	culations. Index for estimating site value) Index for estimating site value) Index for estimating site value) Index for estimating site value Index for estimati	Cost estimates er Land to improrhood is still h Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	550.00 110.00 External 5	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference and a swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the over total value a	culations. Index for estimating site value) Contraction method. The higher he demand in the neighbor opinion of the demand in the neighbor opinion opinion of the demand in the neighbor opinion op	Cost estimates er Land to improrhood is still h	550.00 110.00	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site stimulations. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACE Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective later typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction in the subject of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction in the subject of typical cost.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the over total value a	culations. Index for estimating site value) Index for estimating site value) Index for estimating site value) Index for estimating site value Index for estimati	Cost estimates er Land to improrhood is still h	550.00 110.00 External 5	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference and a swift cost reference and service. Good a summary of comparable cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference and comparable comparable cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference and comparable cost approach (gross living area calculations). Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional cost.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the over total value a	culations. Index for estimating site value) Contraction method. The higher he demand in the neighbor open site value in the n	Cost estimates er Land to improrhood is still h	550.00 110.00 External 5	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site source of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service. Good Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the enterminant of the cost data. Current depreciation, etc.) Iffective age. Cost calce and observed method. Land to exhibe method is used obsolescence or	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximation Site value) Contraction method. The higher than the neighbor of the demand in the neighbor of the	Cost estimates er Land to improrhood is still h	550.00 110.00 External 5	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (=\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site source of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good. Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 INCOME.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the over total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approfusion in the property of the propert	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service. Good Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations). Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 INCOME A Estimated Monthly Market Rent.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the ever total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approfusion in the property of the propert	Cost estimates er Land to improrhood is still h	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 INCOME.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the ever total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approfusion in the property of the propert	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site source of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service. Good Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 INCOME A Summary of Income (including support for market rent and Comments of Income (including support for market rent and Comments of Income (including support for market rent and Comments of Income (including support for market rent and Comments of Income (including support for market rent and Comments of Income (including support for market rent and Comments of Income (including support for market rent and Comments of Income (including support for market rent and Comments of Income (including support for market rent and Comments of Income (including support for market rent and Comments of Income (including support for market rent and Comments of Income (including support for Income In	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the ever total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximation Site value) Copinion method. The higher the demand in the neighbor open site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,056 Bsmt Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 50 Depreciation 312,400 Depreciated Cost of Improven "As-is" Value of Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approven the control of the	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site source of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good. Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of the cost of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of the cost of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of the cost of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the ever total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approfunction of Service of Se	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional 0 onents nents bach ae.) dicated Value by I	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site source of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good. Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 INCOME A Summary of Income (including support for market rent and Compared to Control of the Homeowner's Associated Support (including support for market rent and Control of the Homeowner's Associated Support for Market Rent Support for market rent and Control of the Homeowner's Associated Support for Market Rent Support for for Market Rent Support for Market Rent Support for	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as total cost data. Current depreciation, etc.) Iffective age. Cost ce and observed method. Land total cost data by absolescence or alue of the cost data of th	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M =\$ No Unit type(s) Ode restimating site value) Or site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,056 Bsmt 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 312,400 Depreciated Cost of Improven "As-is" Value of Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Attacked Attack	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approached	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site source of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good. Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of the cost of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of the cost of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of the cost of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction are typical cost	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other metho alue arrived at by abstrate over total value as total v	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M =\$ No Unit type(s) Ode restimating site value) Or site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,056 Bsmt 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 312,400 Depreciated Cost of Improven "As-is" Value of Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Attacked Attack	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approached	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site source of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good. Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 INCOME A Summary of Income (including support for market rent and Compared to Control of the Homeowner's Associated the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Associated to the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer in the subject is the developer in control of the Homeowner's Associated the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer in the subject is the developer in control of the Homeowner's Associated the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer in the subject is to subject to the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer in the subject is the developer in the subject is the subject to the subject in the subject is the subject in the subject in the subject is the subject in the subject in the subject in the subject is the subject in the	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the over total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M =\$ No Unit type(s) Ode restimating site value) Or site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,056 Bsmt 400 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 312,400 Depreciated Cost of Improven "As-is" Value of Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M =\$ Indicated Value Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven Indicated Value By Cost Approf (not required by Fannie M Site Improven Detail Site Improven	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Attacked Attack	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approached	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of cost data. REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACE Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service. Good Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 INCOME A Summary of Income (including support for market rent and Compared the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Associated Name of Project.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the over total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approfunction of unit type(s) POR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Indicated Value side of the HoA and the subject proper to the hoad of the highest proper to the highest proper to the highest proper to the highest proper to the hoad and the subject proper to the hoad and	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Attacked Attack	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approached	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference Quality rating from cost service. Good Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations). Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 INCOME A Summary of Income (including support for market rent and Compared the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Associated Name of Project. Total number of phases. Total number of unit	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the over total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximated	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional O onents nents oach ae.) dicated Value by I	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approached	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site source of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of cost depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 INCOME A Summary of Income (including support for market rent and Comment of Income (including support for market rent and Comment of Income (including support for market rent and Comment of Income (including information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Associated Project Total number of units rented.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as total v	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximated	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional 0 0 nents nents dicated Value by I ached Atta erty is an attached	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approached	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good. Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of cost data. Warshall & swift cost reference of cost depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of comments and cost improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 INCOME A Estimated Monthly Market Rent. Estimated Monthly Market Rent. Estimated Monthly Market Rent. Yellow A only of the Homeowner's Associated the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Associated the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer International Total number of unit Total number of units rented. Total number of existing building was the project created by the conversion of existing building the conversion of existing building was the project created by the conversion of existing building was the project created by the conversion of existing building was the project created by the conversion of existing building was the project created by the conversion of existing building was the project created by the conversion of existing building was the project created by the conversion of existing building was the project created by the conversion of existing building was the project.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as total v	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximated Cost Indicated Value By Cost Indicated Value	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional 0 0 nents nents dicated Value by I ached Atta erty is an attached	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approached	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good. Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of cost data. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 INCOME A Summary of Income (including support for market rent and of Summary of Income (including support for market rent and of Summary of Project. Total number of phases. Total number of units rented. Yes.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as total v	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximated	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional 0 0 nents nents dicated Value by I ached Atta erty is an attached	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approached	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of the subject of the	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the over total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approfunction of units sold source To PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) No If Yes, date of converge of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of control of the No.	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional 0 0 nents nents cach ae.) dicated Value by I	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approar	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of cost data. Marshall & swift cost reference. Quality rating from cost service. Good. Effective date of Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations. Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective date of cost data. Land value arrived at by abstraction improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age to calculate physical depreciation. No functional of major deferred maintenance noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 INCOME A Summary of Income (including support for market rent and of Summary of Income (including support for market rent and of Summary of Project. Total number of phases. Total number of units rented. Yes.	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the over total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approximated	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional 0 0 nents nents cach ae.) dicated Value by I	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approar	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of the subject of the	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the over total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approfunction of units sold source To PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) No If Yes, date of converge of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of control of the No.	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional 0 0 nents nents cach ae.) dicated Value by I	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approar	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value any marketability issue due to this high ratio of site states of the subject of the	e your cost figures and calce land sales or other methodalue arrived at by abstrate over total value as the over total value a	culations. Indicated Value By Cost Approfunction of units sold source To PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) No If Yes, date of converge of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of converge control of the No, describe the status of control of the No.	Sq. Ft. @ \$ Functional 0 0 nents nents cach ae.) dicated Value by I	550.00 110.00 External 5 15,620 ncome Approar	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	700,000 580,800 0 44,000 624,800 328,020) 296,780 230,000

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 35490599

	market 90								
	The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lende			•	nds an	d conditions pr	evalent in the	subjec	ct
	neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all ap	praisal reports with an	effective date on or a	after April 1, 2009.					
	Property Address 872 Corte De	Blanco	City	San Jose	Sta	te CA	ZIP Code)	95136
	Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC		•						
	Instructions: The appraiser must use the information	required on this form	as the hasis for his/	her conclusions and m	uet nro	vide support fo	or those conc	ueione	regarding
		•			-				
	housing trends and overall market conditions as repo	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
	it is available and reliable and must provide analysis a		•						
	explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources	will be able to provide	data for the shaded	areas below; if it is ava	ailable,	however, the	appraiser mus	t inclu	de that data
	in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required	d information as an ave	erage instead of the	median, the appraiser	should	report the ava	ilable figure a	nd idei	ntify it as an
	average. Sales and listings must be properties that co		-			-	-		-
	subject property. The appraiser must explain any ano		· · ·				a b) a proopt	0.110 .0	ayor or the
					ioi ecio		anall Transi		
	Inventory Analysis	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months			erall Trend	1	1
	Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	108	50	62		Increasing	Stable	X	Declining
	Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	18.00	16.67	20.67		Increasing	Stable	X	Declining
	Total # of Comparable Active Listings	1	0	45		Declining	Stable	X	Increasing
	Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	0.06	0.00	2.18		Declining	Stable	X	Increasing
								^	Increasing
	Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months			erall Trend		1
ANALYSIS	Median Comparable Sales Price	1,365,000.00	1,438,000.00	1,561,944.00	X	Increasing	Stable	4	Declining
7	Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	7	7	7		Declining	X Stable		Increasing
¥	Median Comparable List Price	1,199,999.00	N/A	1,398,000.00	Х	Increasing	Stable		Declining
Ž	Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	131	N/A	9	X	Declining	Stable	╁	Increasing
									1
I	Median Sale Price as % of List Price	107.00	115.00	113.00	X	Increasing	Stable	4	Declining
ರ್ಷ	Seller-(developer, builder, etc,) paid financial assistar	ice prevalent?	Yes X	No		Declining	X Stable		Increasing
ESEARCH &	Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the pas	t 12 months (e.g. seller	r contributions increa	ased from 3% to 5%, ir	creasi	ng use of buyo	lowns, closing	costs	
밇	condo fees, options, etc.)	, ,							
Щ	The concession were not seen as often as b	efore the supply a	nd demand is in	halance and the	huvar	s are oftern	compete for	or the	good deal
	in the current market, this is especilly true fo	or the recent 6 mor	iths, the multiple	offers are compet	ing to	r the house	s in the nei	ghboi	rhood and
	the broad bay area.								
¥									
_	Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the man	ket? Yes X	No If yes expl	ain (including the trend	ls in lis	tings and sale	s of foreclose	d prope	erties)
	No, as there is only few distressed propert								
					omps	and none	JI 40 active	penc	iiig
	comps within last 12 months are distressed	sales), the prices v	will NOT be affect	cted.					
	0:1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
	Cite data sources for above information.								
	MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net) :	and Realquest(Co	elogic:www.real	quest.com)					
	MLS Database:Bayeast(www.maxmls.net)	and Realquest(Co	relogic:www.real	quest.com)					
					form. If	you used any	additional info	ormatic	on, such as
	Summarize the above information as support for your	conclusions in the Nei	ghborhood section of	of the appraisal report					
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu	ghborhood section o	of the appraisal report t ns, provide both an exp	olanatio	n and support	for your cond	lusions	S
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing	ghborhood section on Sulate your conclusion for the last 12 i	of the appraisal report of this, provide both an exp months (Comparin	olanation g the	n and support most recer	for your cond nt 6 months	lusions data	s. to the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing	ghborhood section on Sulate your conclusion for the last 12 i	of the appraisal report of this, provide both an exp months (Comparin	olanation g the	n and support most recer	for your cond nt 6 months	lusions data	s. to the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing	ghborhood section on Sulate your conclusion for the last 12 i	of the appraisal report of this, provide both an exp months (Comparin	olanation g the	n and support most recer	for your cond nt 6 months	lusions data	s. to the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing	ghborhood section on Sulate your conclusion for the last 12 i	of the appraisal report of this, provide both an exp months (Comparin	olanation g the	n and support most recer	for your cond nt 6 months	lusions data	s. to the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu nood is increasing time adjustment ra	ghborhood section on ulate your conclusion for the last 12 is ate will be (1561)	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the an expension of the appraisal report of the appra	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for th	for your cond nt 6 months	lusions data	s. to the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu nood is increasing time adjustment ra	ghborhood section on ulate your conclusion for the last 12 is ate will be (1561)	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the an expension of the appraisal report of the appra	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for th	for your cond nt 6 months	lusions data	s. to the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu nood is increasing time adjustment ra	ghborhood section on ulate your conclusion for the last 12 is ate will be (1561)	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the an expension of the appraisal report of the appra	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for th	for your cond nt 6 months	lusions data	s. to the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu nood is increasing time adjustment ra	ghborhood section on ulate your conclusion for the last 12 is ate will be (1561)	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the an expension of the appraisal report of the appra	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for th	for your cond nt 6 months	lusions data	s. to the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu nood is increasing time adjustment ra	ghborhood section on ulate your conclusion for the last 12 is ate will be (1561)	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the an expension of the appraisal report of the appra	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for th	for your cond nt 6 months	lusions data	s. to the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing time adjustment rations.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion for the last 12 is ate will be (1561) 4-6 months, thus	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the an expension of the appraisal report of the appra	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for th	for your cond nt 6 months	lusions data	s. to the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing time adjustment rations and the control les in the previous	ghborhood section on ulate your conclusion for the last 12 in ate will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following:	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the second of the appraisal report in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the s	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for the	for your cond at 6 months ne contract	lusions data	s. to the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing time adjustment rations.	ghborhood section of ulate your conclusion for the last 12 is ate will be (1561) 4-6 months, thus	of the appraisal report the sprovide both an expression of the appraisal report the sprovide both an expression of the sprovide both and the sprovide both an expression of the sprovide both and the sprovide both and the sprovide both an expression of the sprovide both and the sprovide both and the sprovide both an expression of the sprovide both an expression of the sprovide both and the sprovide b	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for th bove table.	for your cond at 6 months ne contract	lusions data	to the difference
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing time adjustment rations and the control les in the previous	ghborhood section on ulate your conclusion for the last 12 in ate will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following:	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the second of the appraisal report in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the s	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over the control of the cont	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable	lusions data	to the difference Declining
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborly previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing time adjustment rations and the control les in the previous	ghborhood section on ulate your conclusion for the last 12 in ate will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following:	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the second of the appraisal report in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the s	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over the line of the line	for your cond at 6 months ne contract erall Trend Stable Stable	lusions data	to the difference Declining Declining
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing time adjustment rations and the control les in the previous	ghborhood section on ulate your conclusion for the last 12 in ate will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following:	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the second of the appraisal report in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the s	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over the control of the cont	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable	lusions data	to the difference Declining
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing time adjustment rations and the control les in the previous	ghborhood section on ulate your conclusion for the last 12 in ate will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following:	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the second of the appraisal report in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the s	g the 2*100	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable	lusions data	to the difference Declining Declining Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the second of the appraisal report in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the second of the appraisal in the second of the s	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	ghborhood section of culate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s) 4-6 months, thus be following: Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ghborhood section of collate your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ghborhood section of collate your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ghborhood section of collate your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ghborhood section of collate your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ghborhood section of collate your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ghborhood section of collate your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ghborhood section of collate your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ghborhood section of collate your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ghborhood section of collate your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data. Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled). Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months). Total # of Active Comparable Listings. Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate). Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ghborhood section of late your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus a following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, indicate the project.	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative of Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	ghborhood section of collate your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus e following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and	ghborhood section of plate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus the prior 4-6 Months. No If yes, indicate the project. Signature	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact.	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and	ghborhood section of late your conclusion for the last 12 mate will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus a following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, indicate the project.	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formu- nood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and	ghborhood section of plate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus the prior 4-6 Months. No If yes, ind the project. Signature Supervisor	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
PRAISER CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact. Signature Appraiser Name Huibin Company Name Bluebay App	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and raisal Inc.	ghborhood section of plate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, ind the project. Signature Supervisor Company N	of the appraisal report of the appraisal report of the sprovide both an expression of	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing
PRAISER CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Huibin Company Name Bluebay App Company Address 41041 Trimboli Way #149	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and analysis analysis and analysis analysis and analysis analysis and analysis analysis and analysis analysis and analysis and analysis analysis and analysis and analysis analysis and analysis analysis and analysis analysis analysis and analysis analysis analysis and analysis analysis analysis analysis and analysis an	ghborhood section of plate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, indicate your company in the project. Signature Supervisor Company in the company in the project.	of the appraisal report of the	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date of the control of the cont	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing gs and sales
PRAISER CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighborl previous 7-12 months data and the monthly more than 3 months.C 1.1 As there is no any active/pending comparable. If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative. Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact. Signature Appraiser Name Huibin Company Name Bluebay App	conclusions in the Nei drawn listings, to formunood is increasing time adjustment ra les in the previous e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and and raisal Inc. 2, Fremont, CA 94 State C	ghborhood section of plate your conclusion for the last 12 in the will be (1561s). 4-6 months, thus the following: Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, indicate your company in the project. Signature Supervisor Company in the company in the project.	of the appraisal report of the	the a	on and support most recer =1.2% for the bove table. Over Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	for your cond at 6 months are contract erall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	data date	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing gs and sales

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC


 Property Address
 872 Corte De Blanco

 City
 San Jose
 County
 Santa Clara
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 95136

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 872 Corte De Blanco San Jose, CA 95136



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6**

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 872 Corte De Blanco

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95136

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

	FEATURE		SUBJEC	T	(COMPA	RABLE	SA	LE# 4	(COMPA	RABLE S	ALE# 5	C	OMPAR	ABLE SA	ALE#	6
	Address 872 Co	rte De	Blanco)		320	06 Calz	zar	Dr									
	San Jos	se, CA	95136	j		San .	Jose, C	CA	95118									
	Proximity to Subject					2.	.05 mil	es	W									
	Sale Price	\$					\$		1,220,000			\$				\$		
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$	0.00	sq. ft.	\$	1,176.4	47 s	g. f		\$		S	q. ft.	\$			q. ft.	
	Data Source(s)								6;DOM 6				•					
	Verification Source(s)								25588294									
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DF	SCRIPT	ION		SCRIP		-	-) \$ Adjustment	DF	SCRIP	TION	+(-) \$ Adjustmen	t DF	SCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adj	ustment
	Sale or Financing					ArmLt		Τ'	/ 				() 4 / lajacanon				() 4 /	
	Concessions					Conv;												
	Date of Sale/Time					/24;c1			+73,000									
	Location	Δ-ι	Res;Bsy	vRd		Res;Bs			. 70,000									
	Leasehold/Fee Simple		ee Sim			e Sim												
	Site		3280 s			5083 s	•		-45,000									
	View		N;Res			N;Res			-40,000									
	Design (Style)		T1;Ran			T1;Rar	-											
	Quality of Construction		Q4	CII		Q4	ICII											
			49			71			+4,500									
	Actual Age		49			C3			-30,000									
	Condition	T-4-1		D - 41	T-4-1		D - 41				DI	D-41		T-4-1	D.I	D-41		
	Above Grade	10tai 4	Bdrms.	Baths	Total		Baths		-6,000		Barms.	Baths		lotal	Bdrms.	Baths		
	Room Count		2	2.0	6	4	1.0	\vdash	+8,000							0		
	Gross Living Area	1	,056	sq. ft.	1,	037	sq. ft.		+10,500			sq. ft.				sq. ft.		
	Basement & Finished		0sf			0sf												
S	Rooms Below Grade																	
ANALYSIS	Functional Utility		Averag			Averag												
₹	Heating/Cooling		WA/No			WA/No												
₹	Energy Efficient Items		Pane W			Pane V												
4	Garage/Carport		2ga2dv			2ga2d												
Z	Porch/Patio/Deck		ch/Con		Porc	ch/Con												
RISON	Fireplaces	1	Firepla			None			+3,000									
2	Pool		None			None												
⋖	Listing Price \$		None			,200,0	00		0					 				
븧	Net Adjustment (Total)				X	+	-	\$	18,000		+		\$		+ -		\$	
	Adjusted Sale Price					dj: 1%					Adj: 0%				Adj: 0%			
OMP					Gross	Adj :	15%	\$	1,238,000	Gros	s Adj: (0%	\$	Gros	s Adj: 0	1%	\$	
Ö	of Comparables																	
ES CO	of Comparables																	
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of the results o	esearch	and ana			r sale o	- 1											
LES C	of Comparables Report the results of the results o		n and ana		the prio	r sale o	- 1		story of the subj				rable sales ARABLE SALE #	5	COM	PARABL	E SALE #	6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Prior Sale/Transfer	er	and ana			r sale o	- 1							5	COM	PARABL	E SALE #	6
ALES C	Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior	er	n and ana	SUI	BJECT		- 1		MPARABLE SA	LE#				5	СОМ	PARABL	E SALE #	6
ALES C	Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior	er er	and ana	SUI	BJECT alquest	i	- 1		MPARABLE SA Realques	LE# st				5	COM	PARABL	E SALE #	6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Trans	er er urce(s)		Rea 02/0	BJECT alquest	t 3	(COI	Realques	LE # st 23	4	COMPA	ARABLE SALE#					6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transferenc	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	Rea 02/0	BJECT alquest 01/2023	t 3 perty an	d compa	arab	Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	LE # st 23	4	COMPA						6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Trans	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	Rea 02/0	BJECT alquest 01/2023	t 3 perty an	d compa	arab	Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	LE # st 23	4	COMPA	ARABLE SALE#					6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transferenc	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	Rea 02/0	BJECT alquest 01/2023	t 3 perty an	d compa	arab	Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	LE # st 23	4	COMPA	ARABLE SALE#					6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transferenc	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	Rea 02/0	BJECT alquest 01/2023	t 3 perty an	d compa	arab	Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	LE # st 23	4	COMPA	ARABLE SALE#					6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transferenc	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	Rea 02/0	BJECT alquest 01/2023	t 3 perty an	d compa	arab	Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	LE # st 23	4	COMPA	ARABLE SALE#					6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transferenc	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	Rea 02/0	BJECT alquest 01/2023	t 3 perty an	d compa	arab	Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	LE # st 23	4	COMPA	ARABLE SALE#					6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transferenc	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	Rea 02/0	BJECT alquest 01/2023	t 3 perty an	d compa	arab	Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	LE # st 23	4	COMPA	ARABLE SALE#					6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transferenc	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	Rea 02/0	BJECT alquest 01/2023	t 3 perty an	d compa	arab	Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	LE # st 23	4	COMPA	ARABLE SALE#					6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transferenc	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	Rea 02/0	BJECT alquest 01/2023	t 3 perty an	d compa	arab	Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	LE # st 23	4	COMPA	ARABLE SALE#					6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transferenc	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	Rea 02/0	BJECT alquest 01/2023	t 3 perty an	d compa	arab	Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal	LE # st 23	4	COMPA	ARABLE SALE#					6
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transferenc	er er urce(s) ansfer h	nistory of	Rea 02/0 the sub ompara	BJECT alquest 11/2023 ject propables	t 3 perty an for the	d compa	arak 2 m	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sear	st 23 rch th	e data	base, I	no prior sale o	f the s	ubject	within I	ast 36	
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transferenc	er er urce(s) ansfer h sale c	nistory of of the co	Rea 02/0 the sub ompara	BJECT alquest 11/2023 ject propables	t 3 perty an for the	d compa	arak 2 m	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sear	st 23 rch th	e data	base, I	ARABLE SALE#	f the s	ubject	within I	ast 36	
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Prior Sales of Prior Sales Compared of S	er er urce(s) ansfer t sale c	nistory of of the co	Rea 02/0 the sub ompara	BJECT alquest 11/2023 ject prop ables Comp	s are	d compa last 12	arak 2 m	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Seamonths.	st 23 rch th	e data	base, I	no prior sale o	f the s	ubject	within I	ast 36	ity,
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sales of Prior Sales of Prior Sales Office of Prior Sale	er er urce(s) ansfer t sale c	nistory of of the co	Rea 02/0 the sub ompara	BJECT alquest 11/2023 ject prop ables Comp	s are	d compa last 12	arak 2 m	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Seamonths.	st 23 rch th	e data	base, I	no prior sale o	f the s	ubject	within I	ast 36	ity,
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Prior Sales of Prior Sales Compared of S	er er urce(s) ansfer h sale c arison A al froi	istory of the co	Rea 02/0 the sub ompara All ect's r	BJECT alquest 11/2023 ject propables Comp market . Site:	s are t area \$25/S	d compa last 12 closec	arab 2 m	Realques 02/01/202 ole sales Seal nonths. ales within I	ast 6	e data	base, this of s	no prior sale o	and a	ubject age, ar	within I	ast 36 lar qual	ity,
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Prior Sale of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sales of Prior Sales of Prior Sales of Prior Sales Compared of Sales	er er urce(s) ansfer h sale c	approach m subjes follow	Rea 02/0 the sub compara All ect's r vs: 1).nce m	BJECT alquest 11/2023 ject propables Compenarket Site: ore this	s are t area \$25/San 50	d compa last 12 closed: SF(For sqft);	d s	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Seal nonths. ales within I t size differe. Bedroom:	ast 6	e data	base, this of s	no prior sale on the sult of the s	and a	ubject age, ar	within I and simi be it is a simi control of the simi	ast 36 lar qual Gross I 5). Age	ity,
ALES C	of Comparables Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Prior Sale or transference	er er urce(s) ansfer h sale co arison A al froi ade a GLA differ	approach m subjes s follow differer ence n	Rea 02/0 the sub ompara All ect's r ws: 1).	Comp market Site:	s are t area \$25/San 50 year	closed GF(For sqft);	d s	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean nonths. ales within I t size differe Bedroom: re place: \$3	ast 6	e data	ths of standard from; 4 lace; 7)	no prior sale on	and a	age, ars lot siz	within I nd simi e); 2). nroom;	ast 36 lar qual Gross I 5). Age	ity,
ALES C	Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source of Prior sale or transmonths and no prior months and no prior Summary of Sales Compacton and appears Adjustments are materia: \$550/SF(For \$200/Year(For age)	er urce(s) ansfer h sale co	approach m subjes follow difference nonthly	All ect's rws: 1). nce more the for the	Comp narket Site: ore than 20	es are t area \$25/S an 50 year ract d	closed: GF(For sqft); (s); 6).	d s lo 3)	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean nonths. ales within I t size differe Bedroom: re place: \$3 rence more	ast 6 ence \$300 than	e data	ths of s than 1 room; 4 lace;7)	no prior sale on	and a	age, ar s lot siz 00/Bath 000/car IC Data	within I nd simi e); 2). nroom; 7.8) Th	lar qual Gross I 5). Age	ity, iving
ALES C	Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Prior Sale or transference	arison A al froi ade a GLA differ 2% m	approach m subjes follow difference nonthly	All ect's rws: 1). nce more the for the sub-	Comp market Site: ore the	s are t area \$25/S an 50 year tract ductor;	closed: SF(For sqft); rs); 6). ate dif	d s lo 3)	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean nonths. ales within I t size differe Bedroom: re place: \$3 rence more	ast 6 ence \$300 than	e data	ths of s than 1 room; 4 lace;7)	no prior sale on	and a	age, ar s lot siz 00/Bath 000/car IC Data	within I nd simi e); 2). nroom; 7.8) Th	lar qual Gross I 5). Age	ity, iving
ALES C	Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sales Compared or Sales Compar	arison A al froi ade a GLA differ 2% m	approach m subjes follow difference nonthly	All ect's rws: 1). nce more the for the sub-	Comp market Site: ore the	s are t area \$25/S an 50 year tract ductor;	closed: SF(For sqft); rs); 6). ate dif	d s lo 3)	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean nonths. ales within I t size differe Bedroom: re place: \$3 rence more	ast 6 ence \$300 than	e data	ths of s than 1 room; 4 lace;7)	no prior sale on	and a	age, ar s lot siz 00/Bath 000/car IC Data	within I nd simi e); 2). nroom; 7.8) Th	lar qual Gross I 5). Age	ity, iving
ALES C	Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sales Compared or Sales Compar	arison A al froi ade a GLA differ 2% m	approach m subjes follow difference nonthly	All ect's rws: 1). nce more the for the sub-	Comp market Site: ore the	s are t area \$25/S an 50 year tract ductor;	closed: SF(For sqft); rs); 6). ate dif	d s lo 3)	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sean nonths. ales within I t size differe Bedroom: re place: \$3 rence more	ast 6 ence \$300 than	e data	ths of s than 1 room; 4 lace;7)	no prior sale on	and a	age, ar s lot siz 00/Bath 000/car IC Data	within I nd simi e); 2). nroom; 7.8) Th	lar qual Gross I 5). Age	ity, iving

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Page

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 57652

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.


f -	
APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Huibin Lan	Name
Company Name Bluebay Appraisal Inc.	Company Name
Company Address 41041 Trimboli Way #1492	Company Address
Fremont, CA 94538	
Telephone Number 5106736733	Telephone Number
Email Address appraiserlan@yahoo.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 05/23/2024	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/23/2024	State Certification #
State Certification # AR030132	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/18/2025	
	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	
872 Corte De Blanco	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
San Jose, CA 95136	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,225,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	
Name Clear Capital	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

Page

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Property Address	872 Corte De Blanco					
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95136
Lender/Client Wed	lgewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Bea	ch Blvd Suite 10	00, Redondo Beac	h, CA 90278



Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **PLAT MAP**

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	872 Corte De Blanco					
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95136
Lender/Client We	edgewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan I	Reach Blvd Suit	e 100 Redondo Bo	each CA 90278



File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 872 Corte De Blanco
City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95136

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 1 4762 Eagle Lake Drive San Jose, CA 95136



COMPARABLE SALE # 2 4728 Corrales Drive San Jose, CA 95136



COMPARABLE SALE # 3 974 Normington Way San Jose, CA 95136

Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **COMPARABLES 4-5-6**

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 872 Corte	De Blanco					
City San Jose	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95136

City Carr 30	30	County	Garita Giara	State	<u> </u>	Zip Code	30100
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		Address	2015 Manhattan B	each Blvd Suite	100, Redondo Be	each, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 3206 Calzar Dr San Jose, CA 95118

COMPARABLE SALE # 5

COMPARABLE SALE # 6


File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 872 Corte De Blanco

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95136

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Insurance

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 872 Corte De Blanco

City San JoseCountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95136Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



DECLARATIONS

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP3367375-23 Renewal of: RAP3367375-22

Program Administrator: Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc.

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Item 1. Named Insured: Huibin Lan

Item 2. Address: 41526 Carmen St
City, State, Zip Code: Fremont, CA 94539

Item 3. Policy Period: From ____09/08/2023 _____ To ____09/08/2024

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)

(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ 500,000 Damages Limit of Liability – Each Claim

B. \$ _____ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Each Claim

C. \$ ______ Damages Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

D. \$ 1,000,000 Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$ 500 Each Claim

B. \$ **1,000** Aggregate

Item 6. **Premium**: \$ 835.00

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 09/08/2006

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (07/21)

 $D42402\ (05/13)\ \ D42408\ (05/13)\ \ D42412\ (03/17)\ \ D42413\ (06/17)$

D42414 (08/19)

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1

Aerial Map


File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 872 Corte De Blanco

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95136

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 872 Corte De Blanco

City San Jose County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95136 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

6/3/24, 10:05 AM

□ 872 Corte De Blanco, San Jose, California 95136

View Comparable Properties

Listing



1/13

Report Listing CARSON BLOSSOM lobertsville ERIKSON MUIR

Cooole

💹 👫 🙋 🖂 拴 🚹 🚳 ▲ Market Trends & Stats from Aculist

ML81965930 MLS #: Baths (F/P): 2 (2/0) Primary SqFt Apprx Lot: 1,056 SqFt (Realist*) 3,049 SqFt (Realist*) Apprx Acr: 0.070 Acres Age/Yr Blt: Parcel#: 458-05-089

DOM: LA: I A Ph: (408) 497-4553

Walk Score: Recent:

05/28/2024 : PEND : A->P

872 Corte De Blanco , San Jose 95136 County: Santa Clara Area: 12 - Blossom Valley

Res. Single Family / Detached Class: Land Use: Comm:

L.Type/Service: Special Info: Ownership: Fin Terms:

Public:

Private:

SFR 2.5% Dual Variable Exclusive Right to Sell, Not Applicable Status: Pending Orig Price: List Price: \$950,000 \$950,000 Sale Price: \$/Primary SqFt: \$/Total SqFt \$899.62

HOA Fee: Zoning: R1-8P **Dates**

Original: List: 05/16/2024 05/16/2024 05/25/2024 Sale: COE: 05/31/2024 Expires: 08/30/2024 Off Mrkt:

SYMBIUM ADU options

Incorp: City Limit:

Possession: COE
Golden opportunity to own and remodel this home! Light and sunny*Living room has cathedral ceilings and gas fireplace*Open kitchen with large dining area which overlooks the private backyard*Two bedrooms and two full bathrooms*Primary bedroom has 2 closets including a large walk-in closet*New carpet and LVT flooring-freshly painted*Attached two-car garage*Zero-lot line*Minutes to major shopping - Costco, Almaden Plaza, Oakridge and more-easy access to Highways 87 and 85. There are lots of possibilities to put your personal touch and design in this home -

Show home between 8:30 am to 7:30 pm -house has deferred maintenance but nice alternative to a townhome. All offers to include signed disclosures, proof of funds, your AVID, completed contract - read Offer Instructions carefully in disclosure package. Any questions, please contact me. Disclosures at:https://app.disclosures.io/link/872-Corte-de-Blanco-9sp4xt17 Offers due on Thursday, May 23, by 11 am. No Preemptive offers.

Showing & Location

Showing Information

Occupied By: Show Contact Owner: Show type: Bye Patricia M (Te) Occupant Ph:

Occupant Nm: Add Instruct: Lockbox - Supra iBox Bluetooth LE, Restricted Hours

Instructions: School Elem: <u>Map</u> X Street

Directions: Middle: Prop Faces: Building #:

Features Accessibility: Horse: Interior: Bathroom: Bedroom:

Communication: Construct Type: Cooling: None Dining Rm: Eat in Kitchen

Energy Sav: Ext. Amenities:

Garage:

Family Room: No Family Room Fence Fireplace: #1 / Gas Log

Flooring: Foundation: Concrete Slab Central Forced Air Heating: <u>Garage/Parking</u>

Carport: Open Parking: Attached Garage Features:

Gt.Code:

/ San Jose Unified / San Jose Unified / San Jose Unified

No

Kitchen:

Laundry: Lot Desc: In Garage Other Rooms: Pool YN:

Pool / Spa: Fixer Upper Prop Condition: Roof: Composition

Security: Soil Condition: Stories: Style: View: Structure(s)

Type: O.S. Desc:

https://search.mlslistings.com/Matrix/Results.aspx?c=H4sIAAAAAAAEAltWMrWwMFPSUTICYksgNjQxNgFRBiBgYg4UyivNyYEQSig8lgglI1OgWQZQ...

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Abbreviation	Full Name	May Appear in These Fields
1	Adverse	Location & View
ıc	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	
		Sales or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
3	Beneficial	Location & View
oa	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
or	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
;	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
p	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
_		
V	Covered	Garage/Carport
OOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
)T	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
lw	Driveway	Garage/Carport
	•	Date of Sale/Time
)	Expiration Date	
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
-HA	Federal Housing Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
1	Garage	Garage/Carport
	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
ja :	-	
ıbi	Built-In Garages	Garage/Carport
jd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Slfvw	Golf Course View	View
SR	Garden	Design (Style)
I R	High Rise	Design (Style)
n	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
nd	Industrial	Location & View
	Listing	Sales or Financing Concessions
isting		
.ndfl	Landfill	Location
.tdSght	Limited Sight	View
//R	Mid Rise	Design (Style)
∕ltn	Mountain View	View
V		Location & View
	Neutral	
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
)	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
)	Other	Design (Style)
		- ' ' '
pp	Open	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
r -	` '	
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
;	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
f	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
qm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Jnk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
/A	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
V	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
VO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
Voods	Woods View	View
Vtr	Water View	View
VtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
VIII	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grad
VU	Walk up basement	
	walk op basement	
	waiк ор ваѕенені	
	waiк ор ваѕешеш	
	waik up basement	

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	872 Corte De Blanco						
City San Jose	C	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95136
Lender/Client V	Vedgewood Inc		Address 2015 M	anhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100). Redondo Beac	h. CA 90278

The appraiser's competency for completing work order assignments within the subject's market area:

The appraiser is very familiar with the market area of the subject, approximate about 300 of appraisals completed in this market, there is about 10 miles that the appraiser traveled to the subject. The appraisaler has about 10 years of field work experience and has access to the MLS data of the subject's neighborhood. The appraiser reside in the neighbor county of Alameda county.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF THE SUBJECT: The subject has typical lot size, GLA, style ,construction quality and similar condition as a single family house(physically possible) and conform to the neighborhood with almost all the similar single family houses(The reasonable,probable and Legal use of the lot as the the single family home zoning of the neighborhood----Legally allowable), the single family house demand is still high in all the bay area and the subject's neighborhood(financially feasible and Maximu Productive), thus its current use is in its highest and best use.

The Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak has had a significant impact on local, national and global economies. Financial markets worldwide are experiencing unprecedented volatility. In some areas of the United States, there are current Shelter-in-Place orders and other restrictions on daily activities. These events are likely to impact real estate values in the short term but, as of the date of this appraisal, there is not enough data to substantiate that position. I have researched all available local sources and, as of this date, I have not found any data suggesting that significant changes in local real estate market have occurred. The market data presented in this appraisal report is considered the most recent and relevant available and the resulting analysis best reflects market conditions as of the effective date of appraisal.

No employee, director, officer, or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner, independent contractor, appraisal management company, or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted toinfluence the development, reporting, result, or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or in any other manner.

I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client as identified on the first page of thereport), borrower, or designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report anyunauthorized contacts either personally by phone or electronically to the Clear Capital.

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address	872 Corte De Blanco						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95136
Lender/Client W	Vedgewood Inc		Address 2015	Manhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100,	Redondo Beach	, CA 90278

Appraiser searched out 2.5 miles from the subject ,within 12 months GLA 739-1478 sqft and city of San Jose of and found the following 220 comparables: Street Address (Full) Sale Sq Ft Total Price 4133 Ross Park DR 1472 Fairhaven CT 1514 Willowgate DR 3299 Woodcrest DR 1083 Wallace DR 1544 Arbutus DR 499 Paiute LN 1418 Melwood DR 921 Redbird DR 3783 Cefalu DR 1503 Jarvis CT 5668 Croydon AVE 1429 Sprucewood DR 5023 Severance DR 1412 Sieta CT 1609 Babero AVE 4465 Kirk RD 6276 Lillian WAY 1338 Cherry CT 4684 Holycon CIR 5819 Arapaho DR 928 Kingfisher DR 1318 Montmorency DR 5311 Birch Grove DR 5273 Joseph LN 6186 Ocho Rios DR 3652 Sorci DR 2408 Rinconada DR 4691 Holycon CIR 4762 Eagle Lake DR 4883 Rue Tours CT 1252 Sierra Mar DR 2098 Jonathan AVE 379 Costa Mesa DR 3923 Malvini DR 4062 Luneta DR 1581 Silvercrest DR 4048 San Ysidro WAY 4728 Corrales DR 1400 Bouret DR 5298 Garwood DR 5859 Blossom AVE 5359 Beech Grove CT 5924 Mabie CT 5637 Holland LN 745 Cahalan CT 3672 Marks AVE 2085 Ellen AVE

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 872 Corte De Blanco

City San Jos		County	Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95136
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc		Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278
248 C	heris DR	1375000	1399
5131	Poston DR	1512000	1409
1395	Boysea DR	1435000	1180
5358	Calderwood LN	1450000	1080
5899	Garces AVE	1575000	1465
892 R	edbird DR	1500000	1376
5579	Playa Del Rey	1541000	1450
1513	Hallcrest DR	1830000	1407
5939	Blossom AVE	1610000	1321
1437	Scossa AVE	1535000	1360
974 N	ormington WAY	1428888	1181
1531	Kooser Rd	1625000	1272
4837	Rue Lyon CT	1550000	1313
1529	Jacob AVE	1625000	1213
1459	Kimberly DR	1620000	1198
987 F	ranquette AVE	1999999	957
1623	Hillsdale AVE	1330000	1140
1512	Foxworthy AVE	1402000	1071
1324	Kimberly DR	1780001	1360
1667	Jacob AVE	1810000	1275
945 K	ingfisher DR	1400000	1212
6022	Jacques DR	1470000	1321
1434	Myrtle AVE	1550000	1200
4505	Hollowgate LN	1540000	1159
1364	Bouret DR	1675000	1080
3867	Jarvis AVE	1775000	1233
5688	Enning AVE	1410000	1286
1345	Carlsen WAY	1450000	1438
113 M	loundhaven CT	1400000	1350
3415	Julio AVE	1710000	1370
3116	Haga DR	1250000	1152
5842	Blossom AVE	1302500	1037
5535	Starcrest DR	1470000	1170
3764	Quinlan LN	1537000	1326
4147	Mira Loma Way	1111000	1123
4079	San Simeon WAY	950000	1308
891 F	urlong	1325000	1369
1242	Willo Mar DR	1426000	1368
5588	Dartmouth DR	1570000	1189
422 M	lignot LN	1035000	1113
3403	Meridian AVE	1240000	1280
4425	Lonardo AVE	1550000	1120
1495	Bryan AVE	1660000	1346
	Trenary WAY	1325000	1080
	Lansing AVE	1385000	1232
	unpark LN	1258000	1442
	Moraga AVE	1402000	1228
	offey CT	1483800	1325
	Willowmont AVE	1600000	1243
	Rose Anna DR	1660000	1400
	Morton WAY	1270000	1283
5826	Hillview AVE	1525000	1398

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Property Address	872 Corte De Blanco						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95136
Lender/Client We	edgewood Inc	,	Address 2015 Mar	nhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100,	Redondo Beac	h, CA 90278

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278
1642 Matson DR	1370000	1172
3071 Wall ST	875000	1296
4120 San Ramon WAY	960000	1308
5390 Meridian AVE	1275000	1212
4648 Rotherhaven WAY	1262000	1226
4848 Rue Nice CT	1490000	1446
1471 Dentwood DR	1510000	1370
1275 Madrona AVE	1575000	1440
3206 Calzar Dr	1220000	1140
1472 Willowmont AVE	1780000	1282
3536 Willowpark DR	1350000	1180
3254 Vistamont DR	1515000	1262
2236 Parkwood WAY	1450000	1151
5373 Estrade DR	1360000	1304
560 Edelweiss DR	1410000	1424
1554 Jacob AVE	1310000	1316
921 Kingfisher DR	1450000	1376
1111 Mayette AVE	1350000	1043
4538 Crocus DR	1281000	1330
3462 Gavota AVE	1400000	1155
5586 Harvard DR	1460000	1198
5298 Garwood	1200000	1276
975 Curtner AVE	1288000	1020
3434 Picadilly DR	1525000	1259
5718 Pontiac DR	1250000	1037
1448 Branham Lane	1350000	1232
2081 Ardis DR	1428000	891
5248 Barron Park DR	1150000	1245
4313 Monet PL	1310000	1442
3952 Ezie ST	965000	1308
5731 Holland LN	1538000	1189
1527 Santa Monica AVE	1461000	1214
5959 Cahalan AVE	1340000	1321
4089 San Ysidro WAY	1050000	1123
1362 Lansing AVE	1075000	1232
4262 Meg DR	1320000	1424
5645 Rotterdam LN	1520000	1189
5941 Moraga AVE	1265000	1072
1433 Glacier DR	1410000	1359
1324 Kimberly DR	1260000	1360
13080 Haga DR	1057000	1410
2254 Richland AVE	1400000	1437
352 Grandpark CIR	1420000	1303
4001 Rivoir DR	1475000	1271
6104 Maree CT	1180000	1400
541 Yurok CIR	1440000	1345
3071 Wall ST	700000	1296
471 Chinook LN	1340000	1461
1449 Willowmont AVE	1400000	1202
1544 San Joaquin AVE	1301000	1214
1445 Kooser RD	1230000	1079
583 Albion CT	1325000	1424

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Property Address	872 Corte De Blanco						
City San Jose	(County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95136
Lender/Client We	edgewood Inc	,	Address 201	5 Manhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 10	00, Redondo Beac	h, CA 90278

Lender/Client vveagewood inc		Address 2015 Mannattan Beach Bivd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278
1390 Santa Fe DR	1610000	1408
5368 Beech Grove CT	1100000	1155
491 Shawnee LN	1300000	1470
4644 Mia CIR	1422000	1442
1156 Husted AVE	1855000	1425
1136 Lincoln CT	1706000	1257
4010 Hastings AVE	1650000	1316
1481 Kooser RD	1230000	1080
5737 Holland LN	1535000	1252
1531 Kooser RD	1105000	1272
152 Santa Rosa DR	960000	1123
1613 Fairlawn AVE	1850000	1408
4581 Rotherhaven WAY	1260000	1372
5425 Fraschini CIR	1282000	1123
1479 Maxine AVE	1701000	1300
743 Windell CT	1275000	1283
354 Avenida Del Roble	1250000	1283
1456 Lupine CT	1700000	1266
3141 Franela DR	1310000	1140
404 Lyoncross WAY	1288000	1342
5959 Cahalan AVE	1050000	1321
5099 Tifton WAY	1350000	1408
5360 Hansell DR	1370000	1399
1519 Hallcrest DR	1660000	1407
2584 Lansford AVE	1820000	1250
728 Natoma DR	1280000	1347
5247 Carter AVE	1430000	1225
3337 Overbrook DR	1525000	1307
4176 Kingspark DR	1400000	1442
5909 Mohawk DR	1425182	1453
5921 Garces AVE	1500000	1321
4692 Mia CIR	1405000	1442
5787 Indian AVE	1355000	1095
5567 Starcrest DR	1255000	1354
1543 Princeton DR	1360000	1185
1422 Ridgewood DR	1580000	1080
3129 Woodmont DR	1590000	1284
495 Shawnee LN	1400000	1409
4475 Houndshaven WAY	1265500	1350
1659 York ST	1360000	1172
4525 Thousand Oaks CT	1410000	1453
3332 Gavota AVE	1500000	1302
482 Cheyenne LN	1200000	1345
4077 Malvini DR	1570000	1359
5956 Cabral AVE	1422000	1321
1633 York ST	1451000	1363
1573 Ferndale DR	1605000	1476
352 Avenida Manzanos	1400000	1283
1463 Hillsdale AVE	1550000	1269
1059 Pascoe AVE	1560000	1248

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Property Address	872 Corte De Blanco						
City San Jose		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95136
Lender/Client W	edgewood Inc	,	Address 2015 Ma	ınhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100	, Redondo Bead	ch, CA 90278

_			
	1601 Dell CT	1565000	1476
	878 Hummingbird DR	1300000	1302
	957 Kingfisher DR	1280000	1388
	5998 Jacques DR	1330000	1228
	3902 Jerabek CT	1145000	1218
	3389 Kirk RD	1400000	1248
	5683 Morton WAY	1420000	1283
	553 Shawnee LN	1426000	1345
	388 Ezie ST	925000	1215
	733 El Sereno DR	1345000	1072
	895 Mulcaster CT	1432000	1232
	1407 Santa Fe DR	1400000	1188
	1433 Lansing AVE	1305000	1080
	1476 Kooser RD	1300000	1002
	5968 Cabral AVE	1295000	1072
	5645 Enning AVE	1385000	1342
	3416 Kilo AVE	1602000	1281
	290 Sposito CIR	1250000	1305
- 1			

Bluebay Appraisal Inc.

APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

D (0): 1 Dh	Idia and 110		Case	; NO. 37032
Borrower/Client Redwood Ho				
Address 872 Corte De Blan				Unit No
City San Jose		Santa Clara	_ State _CA	_ Zip Code <u>95136</u>
Lender/Client Wedgewood In	nc			
	raisal Compliance Addendum is included to ensi	ure this appraisal report meets al	I USPAP 2014 red	quirements.
APPRAISAL AND REPOR	I IDENTIFICATION			
This Appraisal Report is one of the	ne following types:			
X Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the req	uirements of the Appraisal Report of	otion of USPAP Star	ndards Rule 2-2(a).
Restricted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the rec	quirements of the Restricted Apprais	al Report option of l	JSPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b). The
	intended user of this report is limited to the identified	d client. This is a Restricted Appraisa	al Report and the ra	ationale for how the appraiser arrived
	at the opinions and conclusions set forth in the report	t may not be understood properly wit	nout the additional ir	nformation in the appraiser's workfile
	·			
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT	IONS			
I certify that, to the best of my kno				
-	ained in this report are true and correct.			
	ions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported	accumptions and are my personal	magatial and unhia	and professional analyses
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported	assumptions and are my personal,	ilipartial, allu ulibias	seu professional analyses,
opinions, and conclusions.	1 h			
	I have no present or prospective interest in the proper	-	•	
	I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in ar	ny otner capacity, regarding the prop	erty that is the subje	ect of this report within the three-year
	g acceptance of this assignment.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o the property that is the subject of this report or the p	_		
	gnment was not contingent upon developing or reporti			
	eting this assignment is not contingent upon the devel	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	he value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result,	or the occurrence of a subsequent	event directly related	d to the intended use of
this appraisal.				
	conclusions were developed and this report has been	prepared, in conformity with the Uni	form Standards of P	Professional Appraisal Practice that
were in effect at the time this				
 Unless otherwise indicated, 	I have made a personal inspection of the property tha	at is the subject of this report.		
 Unless otherwise indicated, 	no one provided significant real property appraisal as	ssistance to the person(s) signing thi	s certification (if the	ere are exceptions, the name of each
individual providing significal	nt real property appraisal assistance is stated elsewhere	ere in this report).		
	ed in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA as amended	d, and any implementing regulations.		
PRIOR SERVICES				
. X I have NOT performe	ed services, as an appraiser or in another other capaci	ity, regarding the property that is the	subject of the repor	rt within the three-year period
immediately preceding acce	ptance of this assignment.			
	ices, as an appraiser or in another capacity, regarding	the property that is the subject of the	is report within the t	three-year period immediately
	s assignment. Those services are described in the cor		·	, ,
PROPERTY INSPECTION				
· I X HAVE made a per	sonal inspection of the property that is the subject of t	this report.		
	a personal inspection of the property that is the subje			
APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE		ot of this report.		
	rovided significant real property appraisal assistance	to the person signing this certification	. If anyone did prov	vide significant assistance, they
	summary of the extent of the assistance provided in the		i. ii ariyono ala prov	vide digrimodrit addictation, triey
none	summary of the extent of the assistance provided in the	пе тероп.		
none				
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				
			tion I did not d	a any a amina a familia a sylain at
	requiring disclosure and/or any state mandated requir	ements: External only inspec	ion. I ala not a	o any services for the subject
within the last 3 years.				
	XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT PRO			
		tilizing market conditions pertinent to	the appraisal assig	nment.
X A reasonable exposure time	for the subject property is 20-40 day(s).			
APPRAISER		SUPERVISORY APPRAIS	ER (ONLY IF R	EQUIRED)
2				
. (0			
00/	1			
Signature		Signature		
Name Huibin Lan		M =		
Date of Signature 05/23/202	24			
State Certification # AR030133	2	Date of Signature		
01.1.1: "		State Certification #		
State <u>CA</u>	-1: 00/40/0005	_ State	. 1 :	
Expiration Date of Certification or	r License 02/18/2025	_ Expiration Date of Certification o		
	20/2024	Supervisory Appraiser Inspection		
Effective Date of Appraisal 05/2	23/2024	Did Not Exterior C	Only from street	Interior and Exterior

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC

Property Address 872 Corte De Blanco

CA City San Jose County Santa Clara State Zip Code 95136 Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



ENHANCED REPORT 2.0

Subject Property:



Site Address 872 CORTE DE BLANCO SAN JOSE, CA 95136-2620





Amy Zhang (510) 552-1058 ng@yahoo.com

Document Contents



- Profile Cover Sheet Property Overview Property History Page Property Comparables (Detailed) Property Comparables (Summary)

Provided By

Richard Chen 3340 Walnut Ave 116 Fremont, CA 94538 Richard.chen@ctt.com

PROPERTY OVERVIEW

872 CORTE DE BLANCO, SAN JOSE, CA 95136-2620

Owner and Geographic Information



Primary Owner: BYE PATRICIA M (TRUSTEE)

Site Address:

872 CORTE DE BLANCO, SAN JOSE, CA 95136-2620

Housing Tract Number: 5545

Legal Description: Lot Code: Tract Number:

Legal Brief Description:

Secondary Owner:

Mail Address:

1940 HOMESTEAD RD, SANTA CLARA, CA 95050-6936

LOT:78 CITY:SAN JOSE TR#:5545 TR 5545 LOT 78

Property Details

Bedrooms: Total Rooms: War Built: Garage: Fireplace:

淮 Pool:

78

5545

1975 Garage 2 Square Feet: 1,056 **Number of Units:**

Use Code:

Single Family Residential

Sale Information

Zoning:



Transfer Date:
Transfer Value: Cost/Sq Feet:

11/25/1997 \$0.00

R1-8P

Seller:

, STOUKY HELENE M 13954170

Assessment and Taxes



Assessed Value: Improvement Value:

Market Improvement Value: Market Value:

\$84,771.00 \$65,258.00

Percent Improvement: Tax Status: Market Land Value:

76.98% Current

Tax Rate Area: Tax Account ID: Tax Year:

wner Exemption:

2023

File No. 35490599 Case No. 57652

Borrower Redwood Holdings LLC
Property Address 872 Corte De Blanco

CitySan JoseCountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95136Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



PROPERTY HISTORY			872 CORTE DE BLANCO, SAN JOSE, CA 95136-2620
Prior Transfer - 11/25/19	97		
Recording Date:	11/25/1997	Document#:	13954170
Price:	\$0.00	Document Type:	Intra-family Transfer or Dissolution
First TD:		Type of Sale:	
Lender Name:			
Buyer Name:	STOUKY, HELENE MULA; THE HELENE MULA STOUKY TRUST	Buyer Vesting:	TR
Seller Name:	STOUKY HELENE M		
Legal Description:	Legal Brief Description: TR 5545 LOT 78		