Frohardt Appraisals

		Exterior	-Only Insp	Dection H	Residential Ap	praisal Re	eport _{File ;}	57894 35661 #	863			
	The purpose of this summary appraisal rep	ort is to prov	vide the lender/c	lient with an	accurate, and adequat	ely supported, o	pinion of the ma	rket value	of the subject	property.		
	Property Address 6325 W Portland PI				City Littleton		State	CO	Zip Code 801	28		
	Borrower Catamount Properties 2018	LLC	Owner	of Public Reco	rd Walker Julie		Coun	ty Jeffer	rson			
	Legal Description SECTION 25 TOWNSHIP 05 F	RANGE 69 QTR I	NW SUBDIVISIONCI	D 150800 SUBD	VISIONNAME COLUMBINE	KNOLLS FLG 1 BLC	OCK 008 LOT 0012 S	ZE: 11204 T	RACT VALUE: .257	,		
	Assessor's Parcel # 59-252-08-017				Tax Year 2023			Taxes \$ 3	,			
ï	Neighborhood Name Columbine Knolls				Map Reference	19740	Cens	us Tract C)120.51			
Я	Occupant 🗙 Owner 🗌 Tenant 🗌 Va	cant	Special	Assessments	\$ O	P	UD HOA\$O] per year	per month		
SUBJ	Property Rights Appraised 🛛 🗙 Fee Simple	Leaseho		(describe)								
S	Assignment Type Durchase Transaction	Refin	ance Transaction	🗙 Other	(describe) Servicin	g						
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc				Manhattan Beach			each, CA	4 90278			
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale	or has it been	offered for sale in	the twelve mo	nths prior to the effective	date of this apprai	isal?		Yes 🗌 No			
	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), ar	d date(s).	DOM 0;Th	e subject v	as offered for sale	on 12/31/196	9 for \$555,000), per RE	Ecolorado			
	#7221614. The listing is now classif	ed as close	ed with an ML	S sale date	e of 07/08/2024 and	d sale price of	\$555,000.					
	I did did not analyze the contract for	sale for the su	ıbject purchase tra	ansaction. Expl	ain the results of the anal	lysis of the contrac	ct for sale or why t	ne analysis	was not			
	performed.											
СT												
CONTRACT	Contract Price \$ Date of Co			,	r the owner of public reco			ource(s)				
INC	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges,			yment assistar	nce, etc.) to be paid by ar	ny party on behalf	of the borrower?		Yes	No		
ຬ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describ	e the items to	be paid.									
			<u>.</u>									
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the	e neighborhoo	d are not apprais									
	Neighborhood Characteristics			One-Ur	nit Housing Trends		One-Unit He	ousing	Present Lar			
	Location 🗌 Urban 🛛 🗙 Suburban 🗌	Rural	Property Values	Increasi	ng 🗙 Stable	Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	85 %		
~	Built-Up 🗙 Over 75% 🗌 25-75% 🗌	Under 25%	Demand/Supply	🗙 Shortag	e 🛛 🗌 In Balance	Over Supply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	%		
DOD	Growth 🗌 Rapid 🔀 Stable 🗌	Slow	Marketing Time	🗙 Under 3	mths 3-6 mths	Over 6 mths	322 Low	-1	Multi-Family	5 %		
ž	Neighborhood Boundaries Bounded no	orth by W C	oal Mine Ave,	east by S	Platte Canyon Rd,	south by W	1,799 High	69	Commercial	5 %		
ЮË	Mineral Ave and west by S Wadswo		,		<u> </u>		625 Pred.	52	Other	5 %		
ШIJ			umbine Knolls ar	ea, a suburba	n neighborhood of Littlet	on consisting of s		s of averag	e to good guality			
Ē	appeal. The neighborhood is comprised most						-			anamantot		
Z	near W Coal Mine Ave, it has typical suburbar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-					iony lange le	2004.04			
	Market Conditions (including support for the abo				ddendum (form 100)4MC) / Unde	er the "Present	Land Us	se %", the 59	6		
	"Other" is Open Space.		,					Lana O		•		
_	Dimensions Approx. 98'x118'		Area	11204 sf	Sha	^{ipe} Mostly Re	ctangular	View N;	Res:			
	Specific Zoning Classification R-1A			-	Residential (Sing		otan igunai	,				
		nconformina (G		_								
		3(1		Zoning Compliance 🗙 Legal 🗌 Legal Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use) 🗌 No Zoning 🗌 Illegal (describe)								
Is the highest and best use of subject property as improved (or as proposed per plans and specifications) the present use? Xes 🗌 No If No, describe See attach									cribe See a	ttached		
	addendum	is improved (or	as proposed per			,	Yes 🗌 No	lf No, des	cribe See a	ttached		
	5 , 11 ,	is improved (or		plans and spec		se?	Yes No	lf No, des	cribe See a	ttached Private		
TE	addendum		P	plans and spec	cifications) the present us	se?	rovements – Type	lf No, des				
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Gas		P Water	plans and spec	cifications) the present us	Ge? Deffective Imp	rovements - Type ohalt	lf No, des	Public			
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity A Gas A FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer EMA Flood Zone	ublic Other	(describe)	Off-site Imp Street Asp	rovements - Type ohalt ne		Public	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Image: Comparison of the comp	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area?	ublic Other	(describe) (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F	rovements - Type ohalt ne	FEMA Map	Public Date 02/05/2	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity A Gas A FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area?	ublic Other	(describe) (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F	rovements - Type ohalt ne	FEMA Map	Public	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Image: Comparison of the comp	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area?	ublic Other	(describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F	rovements - Type ohalt ne	FEMA Map	Public Date 02/05/2	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Image: Comparison of the state of the st	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area?	ublic Other	(describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F	rovements - Type ohalt ne	FEMA Map	Public Date 02/05/2	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Image: Comparison of the state of the st	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm	plans and spec ublic Other X X X Yes ents, environm	(describe) (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F es, etc.)?	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes	FEMA Map	Public	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Image: Comparison of the state of the st	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area?	plans and spec ublic Other X X X Yes ents, environm	(describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use Assessment and	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F es, etc.)? Tax Records	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes Prior Inspectio	FEMA Map No n _ F	Public Public Public Public Property Owner	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Image: Comparison of the state of the st	No FE I for the marke	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm	plans and spec ublic Other X X X Yes ents, environm S MLS	(describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use Assessment and Data Source for Gros	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes Prior Inspectio Realist-Public	FEMA Map No n _ F	Public Public Public Public Property Owner S	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Image: Comparison of the second se	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic	plans and spec ublic Other X X X Yes ents, environm s MLS	(describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes Prior Inspectio Realist-Public Amenities	FEMA Map	Public Public Property Owner Car Storage	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Image: Comparison of the second se	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw	plans and spece ublic Other Control Contect Other Other Other Other Other Contect Other Other Other Other Other Other Contect Other Contect	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA □ HWBB	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area	rovements - Type ohalt ne Ves Prior Inspectio Realist-Public Amenities lace(s) # 1	FEMA Map FEMA Map Image: No n Image: Feedback Records Image: None	Public Public Property Owner Car Storage	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Image: Comparison of the structure of the str	I for the marke factors (easer roperty	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptio e Slab Craw ement Fil	plans and spece ublic Other X X X Yes ents, environm s MLS Dn // Space nished	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA □ HWBB Radiant	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area	rovements - Type ohalt ne Ves Prior Inspectio Realist-Public Amenities place(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0	FEMA Map	Public Public Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ▲ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ▲ ▲ One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ▲ Det. Att.	I for the marke factors (easer roperty	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement Fil asement Fil	plans and spec ublic Other X X X Yes ents, environm s MLS on d Space nished inished	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # OB No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area	rovements - Type ohalt ne Ves Prior Inspectio Realist-Public Amenities vlace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 v/Deck Pt	FEMA Map	Public Public Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Ca	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ▲ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ▲ One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ▲ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ▲ Existing Proposed Under Const.	Property G Concret Property Partial B Protection Concret Property Partial B Exterior Wall	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement Fi asement Fi S Fram	plans and spec ublic Other X X X Yes ents, environm s MLS on d Space nished inished he;Brick	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F as, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities place(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 y/Deck Pt h Pch	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface C. ge # of Ca	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ▲ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ▲ One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ▲ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ▲ Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Contemp	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement Fi asement Fi S Fram Com	plans and spec ublic Other X X X Yes ents, environm s MLS on d Space nished inished ne;Brick position	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas X Central Air Conditior	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F as, etc.)? Tax Records iss Living Area ↓ Firep ↓ Wop ↓ Patic ↓ Porc hing ↓ Pool	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Iace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 Deck Pt h Pch None	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface C, ge # of Ca property # of Ca	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ▲ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ▲ One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ▲ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ▲ Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 1967	No FE I for the marke factors (easer roperty GConcret Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Do	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement Fil asement Fil s Fram Com wnspouts Alum	plans and spec ublic Other X X X Yes ents, environm s M Space nished inished inished ne;Brick position inum	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling ★ FWA ▲ HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas ▲ Central Air Condition	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area Tax Records ss Living Area Woo Patic Pool Pool Fenc	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes Prior Inspectio Realist-Public Amenities Iace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 b/Deck Pt h Pch None % Wood	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cd ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ▲ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ▲ One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ▲ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ▲ Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 25	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement Fil asement Fil asement Fil s Fram Com wnspouts Alum e Dual	plans and spec ublic Other X X X Yes ents, environm a MLS on A Space nished inished inished ne;Brick position inum Pane	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling ★ FWA ▲ HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas ▲ Central Air Condition Individual Other	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area Tax Records Ss Living Area Woo Street S	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes Prior Inspectio Realist-Public Amenities Iace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 i/Deck Pt h Pch None @ Wood r None	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cd ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det	Private		
SITE	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity Image: Constraint of the second s	roperty G Concret Froperty G Concret Froperty G Concret Froperty G Concret Concr	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab □ Craw ement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Fil s Fram b Com wmspouts Alum e Dual asher	plans and spec ublic Other X X X Yes ents, environm s M Space nished inished inished inished ne;Brick position ninum Pane psal X Mic	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling ▼ FWA ▲ HWBB Radiant ○ Other Fuel Gas ▲ Central Air Condition Individual ○ Other Individual ○ Other	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F es, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area X Firep Woo X Patic Pool X Pool C fenc Other Oryer Other	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Iace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 D/Deck Pt h Pch None Wood r None (describe)	FEMA Map FEMA Map Records Records FEMA Map Carpa Carpa Carpa Attac Built-	Public Public Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cu ge # of Ca bedDet in	Private		
	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ▲ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ▲ One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ▲ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ▲ Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator ℝ Range/Over Finished area above grade contains: 1940 1940 1940	I for the marke factors (easer roperty G Concret Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Typ Dishwa 8 Rooms	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement S Fram S Fram C Com wmspouts Alum e Dual asher Dual	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm s MLS on // Space nished inished ne;Brick position ninum Pane posal X Mic Bedrooms	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling ▼ FWA □ HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas ▲ Central Air Condition Individual Other Individual Other Isolar	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F as, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area X Firep Woo X Patic Porc ning Pool X Fenc Othe Othe Dryer Other) 2,3-4	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes Prior Inspectio Realist-Public Amenities Vace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 VDeck Pt h Pch None Wood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of	FEMA Map FEMA Map Records Records FEMA Map Records FEMA Map Records FEMA Map Records FEMA Map	Public Public Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cu ge # of Ca bedDet in	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ✓ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ✓ One Øne One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ✓ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator ✓ Range/Over Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item	I for the marke factors (easer roperty G Concret Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Typ Dishwa 8 Rooms	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement S Fram S Fram C Com wmspouts Alum e Dual asher Dual	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm s MLS on // Space nished inished ne;Brick position ninum Pane posal X Mic Bedrooms	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling ▼ FWA ▲ HWBB Radiant ○ Other Fuel Gas ▲ Central Air Condition Individual ○ Other Individual ○ Other	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F as, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area X Firep Woo X Patic Porc ning Pool X Fenc Othe Othe Dryer Other) 2,3-4	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes Prior Inspectio Realist-Public Amenities Vace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 VDeck Pt h Pch None Wood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of	FEMA Map FEMA Map Records Records FEMA Map Records FEMA Map Records FEMA Map Records FEMA Map	Public Public Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cu ge # of Ca bedDet in	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ✓ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ✓ One Øne One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ✓ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator ✓ Range/Over Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item	I for the marke factors (easer roperty G Concret Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Dc Window Typ Dishwa 8 Rooms s, etc.)	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachmu Appraisal Files eneral Description e Slab □ Craw ement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Fil s Fram e Dual asher ∑ Dispo s 4 The subject h	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm b y Yes ents, environm b y Yes ents, environm b s MLS b n y Space nished inished he;Brick position ninum Pane bsal X Mic Bedrooms ias average	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling ▼FWA □ HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas ▲ Central Air Condition Individual Other Individual Other 2.1 Bath(s) e energy efficiency	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F as, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area X Firep Woo X Patic Porc ing Pool X Fenc Othe Dryer Other) 2,3 ² for the neighb	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Values Amenities Vock Pt h Pch None Vocd r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Det in in	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units One One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ✓ ersuit 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item Describe the condition of the property and data	No FE I for the marke factors (easen roperty G Concret ✓ Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Typ M Dishwa 8 Rooms s, etc.) Source(s) (inclu	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement Fil asement Fil asement Fil s Fram c Com winspouts Alum e Dual asher M Dispo s 4 The subject h uding apparent nee	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm S MLS Dn A Space nished inished inished ne;Brick position inum Pane Da Bedrooms as average	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas X Central Air Condition Individual Other rowave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s e energy efficiency eterioration, renovations,	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F as, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area ↓ Firep ↓ Woo ↓ Patic ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc 0 the Dryer ↓ Other) 2,34 for the neighb remodeling, etc.).	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Idace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Pt h Pch None Vood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Ca ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det in ing Area Above (ler specified,	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ✓ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ✓ One Øne One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ✓ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator ✓ Range/Over Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item	No FE I for the marke factors (easen roperty G Concret ✓ Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Typ M Dishwa 8 Rooms s, etc.) Source(s) (inclu	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement Fil asement Fil asement Fil s Fram c Com winspouts Alum e Dual asher M Dispo s 4 The subject h uding apparent nee	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm S MLS Dn A Space nished inished inished ne;Brick position inum Pane Da Bedrooms as average	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas X Central Air Condition Individual Other rowave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s e energy efficiency eterioration, renovations,	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F as, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area ↓ Firep ↓ Woo ↓ Patic ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc 0 the Dryer ↓ Other) 2,34 for the neighb remodeling, etc.).	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Idace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Pt h Pch None Vood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Ca ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det in ing Area Above (ler specified,	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units One One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ✓ ersuit 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item Describe the condition of the property and data	No FE I for the marke factors (easen roperty G Concret ✓ Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Typ M Dishwa 8 Rooms s, etc.) Source(s) (inclu	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement Fil asement Fil asement Fil s Fram c Com winspouts Alum e Dual asher M Dispo s 4 The subject h uding apparent nee	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm S MLS Dn A Space nished inished inished ne;Brick position inum Pane Da Bedrooms as average	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas X Central Air Condition Individual Other rowave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s e energy efficiency eterioration, renovations,	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F as, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area ↓ Firep ↓ Woo ↓ Patic ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc 0 the Dryer ↓ Other) 2,34 for the neighb remodeling, etc.).	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Idace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Pt h Pch None Vood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Ca ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det in ing Area Above (ler specified,	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units One One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ✓ ersuit 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item Describe the condition of the property and data	No FE I for the marke factors (easen roperty G Concret ✓ Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Typ M Dishwa 8 Rooms s, etc.) Source(s) (inclu	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement Fil asement Fil asement Fil s Fram c Com winspouts Alum e Dual asher M Dispo s 4 The subject h uding apparent nee	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm S MLS Dn A Space nished inished inished ne;Brick position inum Pane Da Bedrooms as average	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas X Central Air Condition Individual Other rowave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s e energy efficiency eterioration, renovations,	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F as, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area ↓ Firep ↓ Woo ↓ Patic ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc 0 the Dryer ↓ Other) 2,34 for the neighb remodeling, etc.).	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Idace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Pt h Pch None Vood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Ca ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det in ing Area Above (ler specified,	Private		
	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units One One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ✓ ersuit 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item Describe the condition of the property and data	No FE I for the marke factors (easen roperty G Concret ✓ Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Typ M Dishwa 8 Rooms s, etc.) Source(s) (inclu	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab Craw ement Fil asement Fil asement Fil s Fram c Com winspouts Alum e Dual asher M Dispo s 4 The subject h uding apparent nee	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm S MLS Dn A Space nished inished inished ne;Brick position inum Pane Da Bedrooms as average	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas X Central Air Condition Individual Other rowave Washer/D 2.1 Bath(s e energy efficiency eterioration, renovations,	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F as, etc.)? Tax Records ss Living Area ↓ Firep ↓ Woo ↓ Patic ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc ning ↓ Porc 0 the Dryer ↓ Other) 2,34 for the neighb remodeling, etc.).	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Idace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 //Deck Pt h Pch None Vood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Ca ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det in ing Area Above (ler specified,	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units One One one with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ✓ erising Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built Year Built 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Additional features (special energy efficient item Describe the condition of the property and data is exterior inspection was performed, a	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer image: Appraisal Files and paperaisal Files and p	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm S MLS on // Space nished ini	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Noi 059C0404F Ss ass, etc.)? Ss Tax Records Ss iss Living Area Image: Specific Stress Sis Living Area Image: Specific Stress Image: Specific Stress Image: Specific Str	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 b/Deck Pt h Pch None Wood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A e and structure	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cd ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det in ler specified, le from the si	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ▲ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ▲ ▲ One One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ▲ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ▲ off-responsed Under Const. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator ℝ Range/Over Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item Describe the condition of the property and data sexterior inspection was performed, ac ac Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ac ac	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer image: Appraisal Files and paperaisal Files and p	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm S MLS on // Space nished ini	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S Assessment and Data Source for Gros Heating/Cooling FWA	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Noi 059C0404F Ss ass, etc.)? Ss Tax Records Ss iss Living Area Image: Specific Stress Sis Living Area Image: Specific Stress Image: Specific Stress Image: Specific Str	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities lace(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 b/Deck Pt h Pch None Wood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A e and structure	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cd ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det in ler specified, le from the si	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ▲ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ▲ ▲ One One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ▲ Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator ▲ Paperion Gate a above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item Describe the condition of the property and data is exterior inspection was performed, a Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a ff Yes, describe.	I for the marke factors (easern roperty G Concrete Froperty G Concrete Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Typ Dishwa 8 Rooms s, etc.) Source(s) (inclu and the ove	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachmu Appraisal Files eneral Description e Slab □ Craw ement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Dual asher Dual asher Dual asher Dual asher Dual ons that affect the	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm S MLS Dn // Space nished inished ne;Brick position ninum Pane Dosal X Mic Bedrooms as average eded repairs, d rating is ba livability, sour	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling ▲ FWA ▲ HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas ▲ Central Air Condition Individual Other se energy efficiency eterioration, renovations, ised on areas of the dness, or structural integ	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F Asp ass, etc.)? Alley Tax Records String ass, etc.)? Alley Tax Records String String Area Alley Yes Patic Woo Patic Yes Other Oryer Other Oryer Other premodeling, etc.). e subject's site rity of the property Street's site	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes Prior Inspectio Realist-Public Amenities Value(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 VDeck Pt h Pch None Wood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A a and structure	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cd ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det in ler specified, le from the si	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ▲ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ▲ ▲ One One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ▲ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ▲ off-responsed Under Const. Design (Style) Contemp Year Built 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator ℝ Range/Over Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item Describe the condition of the property and data sexterior inspection was performed, ac ac Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or ac ac	I for the marke factors (easern roperty G Concrete Froperty G Concrete Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Typ Dishwa 8 Rooms s, etc.) Source(s) (inclu and the ove	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachmu Appraisal Files eneral Description e Slab □ Craw ement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Dual asher Dual asher Dual asher Dual asher Dual ons that affect the	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm S MLS Dn // Space nished inished ne;Brick position ninum Pane Dosal X Mic Bedrooms as average eded repairs, d rating is ba livability, sour	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling ▲ FWA ▲ HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas ▲ Central Air Condition Individual Other se energy efficiency eterioration, renovations, ised on areas of the dness, or structural integ	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F Asp ass, etc.)? Alley Tax Records String ass, etc.)? Alley Tax Records String String Area Alley Yes Patic Woo Patic Yes Other Oryer Other Oryer Other premodeling, etc.). e subject's site rity of the property Street's site	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes Prior Inspectio Realist-Public Amenities Value(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 VDeck Pt h Pch None Wood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A a and structure	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cd ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det in ler specified, le from the si	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ▲ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ▲ ▲ One One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ▲ Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator ▲ Paperion Gate a above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item Describe the condition of the property and data is exterior inspection was performed, a Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a ff Yes, describe.	I for the marke factors (easern roperty G Concrete Froperty G Concrete Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Do Window Typ Dishwa 8 Rooms s, etc.) Source(s) (inclu and the ove	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachmu Appraisal Files eneral Description e Slab □ Craw ement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Fil asement □ Dual asher Dual asher Dual asher Dual asher Dual ons that affect the	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm S MLS Dn // Space nished inished ne;Brick position ninum Pane Dosal X Mic Bedrooms as average eded repairs, d rating is ba livability, sour	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling ▲ FWA ▲ HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas ▲ Central Air Condition Individual Other se energy efficiency eterioration, renovations, ised on areas of the dness, or structural integ	Off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F Asp ass, etc.)? Alley Tax Records String ass, etc.)? Alley Tax Records String String Area Alley Yes Patic Woo Patic Yes Other Oryer Other Oryer Other premodeling, etc.). e subject's site rity of the property Street's site	rovements - Type ohalt ne Yes Prior Inspectio Realist-Public Amenities Value(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 VDeck Pt h Pch None Wood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A a and structure	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cd ge # of Ca ort # of Ca hed Det in ler specified, le from the si	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units One One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type Det. Att. Seign (Style) Contemp Year Built 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator Range/Over Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item Describe the condition of the property and data are exterior inspection was performed, are Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or adverse If Yes, describe. No physical deficiencies or adverse Secoribe	No FE I for the marke factors (easern roperty G Concret Full Bas Partial B Exterior Wall Roof Surface Gutters & Dc Window Typ Dishwa 8 Rooms s, etc.) source(s) (inclu and the ove	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptio e Slab □ Craw ement □ Fi asement □ Fi asement □ Fi s Fram c Com winspouts Alum e Dual asher Dual asher Dual asher Dual asher Dual constitut affect the were noted affect	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm s MLS on N S MLS on N S N S N S N S N S N S N S S N S S N S S S S S S S S S S	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S ▲ Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA △ HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas X Central Air Condition Individual Other rowave Washer/E 2.1 Bath(s e energy efficiency eterioration, renovations, used on areas of the dness, or structural integ billity, soundness of	off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F Asp as, etc.)? Alley Tax Records Firep Stiving Area Imp Imp Proc Imp Pool Imp Pool Imp Other Imp Imp Imp Other Imp Imp Imp Other Imp Imp Imp Imp </th <th>rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Values Amenities Vood r None Wood r None Wood r None Wood r None Wood r None C4;A and structure (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A and structure</th> <th>FEMA Map</th> <th>Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Lef rom the si Iter specified, Ite from the si</th> <th>Private</th>	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Values Amenities Vood r None Wood r None Wood r None Wood r None Wood r None C4;A and structure (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A and structure	FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Lef rom the si Iter specified, Ite from the si	Private		
ENTS	addendum Utilities Public Other (describe) Electricity ▲ □ Gas ▲ □ FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typica Are there any adverse site conditions or external See attached addendum Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F ▲ Other (describe) Exterior drive-by General Description Units ▲ ▲ One One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 Type ▲ Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit 1967 Effective Age (Yrs) 25 Appliances Refrigerator ▲ Paperion Gate a above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient item Describe the condition of the property and data is exterior inspection was performed, a Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or a ff Yes, describe.	No FE	P Water Sanitary Sewer MA Flood Zone t area? nents, encroachm Appraisal Files eneral Descriptic e Slab □ Craw ement □ Fi asement □ Fi s Fram c Com wnspouts Alum e Dual asher	plans and spec ublic Other X X Yes ents, environm S MLS on A Space nished inished	ifications) the present us (describe) FEMA Map # 08 No If No, describe ental conditions, land use S X Assessment and Data Source for Gross Heating/Cooling FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Gas X Central Air Condition Individual Other rowave Washer/L 2.1 Bath(see energy efficiency eterioration, renovations, issed on areas of the bility, soundness of bility, soundness of a, construction, etc.)?	off-site Imp Street Asp Alley Nor 059C0404F Asp as, etc.)? Alley Tax Records Firep Stiving Area Imp Imp Proc Imp Pool Imp Pool Imp Other Imp Imp Imp Other Imp Imp Imp Other Imp Imp Imp Imp </th <th>rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Value(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Pt h Pch None Wood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A e and structure /? [</th> <th>FEMA Map</th> <th>Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Lef rom the si Iter specified, Ite from the si</th> <th>Private</th>	rovements - Type phalt ne Yes Yes Prior Inspection Realist-Public Amenities Value(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 1 dstove(s) # 0 /Deck Pt h Pch None Wood r None (describe) 42 Square Feet of porhood and its C4;A e and structure /? [FEMA Map	Public Public Date 02/05/2 If Yes, describe Property Owner Car Storage way # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Surface Cr ge # of Ca Lef rom the si Iter specified, Ite from the si	Private		

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

57894 35661863 Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Filo

	e properties currently						
There are 02 comparable					ce from \$ 684,000		75,000 .
					price from \$ 525,00		,375,000 .
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARAB	LE SALE # 1	COMPAR	ABLE SALE # 2	COMPARABL	E SALE # 3
Address 6325 W Portland	PI	5151 W Fremon	t Dr	5311 W Gedde	es Pl	6269 W Roxbury	PI
Littleton, CO 801	28	Littleton, CO 801	28	Littleton, CO 8	0128	Littleton, CO 801	28
Proximity to Subject		0.77 miles E		0.73 miles SE		0.18 miles S	
Sale Price	\$		\$ 525,000		\$ 680,000		\$ 672,500
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 245.10 sq.ft.		\$ 264.59 sq		\$ 305.96 sq.ft.	
	• • • • • • • •						
Data Source(s)		REcolorado #25			3014307;DOM 3	REcolorado #597	
Verification Source(s)		Realist / Public F		Realist / Public		Realist/Public Re	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth		ArmLth	
Concessions							500
		Cash;0		Cash;0		Conv;500	-500
Date of Sale/Time		s10/23;c10/23		s10/23;c08/23		s03/24;c02/24	
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	
Site	11204 sf	-	10.020	10287 sf			-29,562
		13024 sf	-10,920		0	16131 sf	-29,302
View	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;		N;Res;	
Design (Style)	DT2;Contemp	DT2;Contemp		DT2;Contemp		DT2;Contemp	
Quality of Construction	Q4	Q4		Q4		Q4	
Actual Age	57	54	0	56	0	60	0
			0				-
Condition	C4	C4		C4	-40,000		-40,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Baths	Total Bdrms. Baths		Total Bdrms. Batl		Total Bdrms. Baths	
Room Count	8 4 2.1	8 4 2.1		8 4 2.	1	8 4 2.1	
Gross Living Area	2,342 sq.ft.	2,142 sq.ft.	+18,000				+12,960
Basement & Finished	1036sf0sfin	858sf0sfin		1092sf0sfin		798sf0sfin	+6,664
	100051051111	0003103111	+4,904	10023103111		1 303105111	+0,004
Rooms Below Grade							
Functional Utility	Adequate	Adequate		Adequate		Adequate	
Heating/Cooling Energy Efficient Items Garage/Carport Porch/Patio/Deck Fireplace Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables I X did d d not research	FWA;None	FWA;None		FWA;None		Basebrd;HotWtr;None	0
8 Energy Efficient Items	None	None		None		None	
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	2ga2dw		2ga2dw		2ga2dw	
Porch/Patio/Deck	Pch;Pt	Pch;Pt		Pch;Pt		Pch;Pt	
Ç Fireplace	1 F/P	1 F/P		1 F/P		1 F/P	
SN							
AF							
			•				•
S Net Adjustment (Total)		X + 🗌 -	\$ 12,064				\$ -50,438
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 2.3 %		Net Adj. 8.9	%	Net Adj. 7.5 %	
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 6.5 %	\$ 537,064			Gross Adj. 13.3 %	\$ 622,062
I X did did not research	the cale or transfer hists		rty and comparable sale		010,400	10.0 /	+ 022,002
			ary and comparable said	5. Il liot, explain			
My research 🔀 did 🗌 did	not reveal any prior sale	s or transfers of the su	bject property for the th	ree years prior to the	e effective date of this app	raisal.	
		s or transfers of the su	bject property for the th	ree years prior to the	e effective date of this app	raisal.	
Data Source(s) MetroList/	Public Records						
Data Source(s) MetroList/ My research X did did	Public Records not reveal any prior sale				e effective date of this app e of sale of the comparable		
Data Source(s)MetroList/fMy researchImage: Construction of the second	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records	s or transfers of the co	mparable sales for the y	year prior to the date	e of sale of the comparable	sale.	
Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research 🔀 did 🗌 did	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records	s or transfers of the co	mparable sales for the y	year prior to the date	e of sale of the comparable	sale.	
Data Source(s)MetroList/fMy researchImage: Construction of the second	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior	s or transfers of the co	mparable sales for the y	year prior to the date and comparable sal	e of sale of the comparable	sale. sales on page 3).	RABLE SALE #3
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history	mparable sales for the y y of the subject property	year prior to the date and comparable sal	of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI	
Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history	mparable sales for the y y of the subject property	year prior to the date and comparable sal	of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023	
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So	year prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0	
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) MetroList/I	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT	mparable sales for the y y of the subject property	year prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1	of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023	
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT	omparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE So	year prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0	
Data Source(s) MetroList/F My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/F Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT	of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024	year prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024	
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hit	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per	year prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on	07/08/2024 for
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know	year prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject properior transfer history.	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct	07/08/2024 for has no known
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h swland St has no	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 e, the subject prope fior transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler Set	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h swland St has no	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 e, the subject prope fior transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro- ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativ	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history BJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc s	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer ITEM Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's left 12-month prior transfer in \$2/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Place	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro- ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativ	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history BJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc s	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history.	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativa atte Canyon Dr ha	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer ITEM Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's left 12-month prior transfer in \$2/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Place	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativa atte Canyon Dr ha	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history BJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc s	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history.	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativa atte Canyon Dr ha	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history.	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativa atte Canyon Dr ha	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history.	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativa atte Canyon Dr ha	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history.	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativa atte Canyon Dr ha	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history.	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativa atte Canyon Dr ha	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history.	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativa atte Canyon Dr ha	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history.	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativa atte Canyon Dr ha	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer h 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Persc Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Ap	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior St 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativ atte Canyon Dr ha	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history.	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativ atte Canyon Dr ha	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope for transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on ntative's Deed -
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer hi 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison App Indicated Value by Sales Comparison	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro- ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representativ atte Canyon Dr ha oproach See at	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject here wand St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r tached addenda	imparable sales for the y cof the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr nonth prior transfe	/ear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1 er history. 6935	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 5, the subject properior transfer history. history. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has	sale. sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferre Personal Represe no known 12-mo	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer Mistory. Doc #75262). 7162 S Platransfer transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Appendic Sales Comparison Appendic Sales Comparison Indicated Value by Sales Comparison	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr ha oproach See at son Approach \$ 56 parison Approach \$	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h waland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r tached addenda	rmparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr nonth prior transfe	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h cansferred on 1 er history. 6935	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope ior transfer history. nistory. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has 5 S Webster St has	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred Personal Represe no known 12-mo	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research ✓ did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's left 12-month prior transfer history. Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by Sales Comparis Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr ha oproach See at son Approach \$ 58 parison Approach \$ h is considered the	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h waland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r tached addenda	imparable sales for the y cof the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr nonth prior transfe	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h cansferred on 1 er history. 6935	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope ior transfer history. nistory. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has 5 S Webster St has Income App e greatest weight in	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred Personal Represe no known 12-mo proach (if developed) \$ the final conclusic	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research M did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer h 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Sales Comparison	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr ha oproach See at son Approach \$ 58 parison Approach \$ h is considered the	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h waland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r tached addenda	imparable sales for the y cof the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr nonth prior transfe	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h cansferred on 1 er history. 6935	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope ior transfer history. nistory. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has 5 S Webster St has Income App e greatest weight in	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred Personal Represe no known 12-mo proach (if developed) \$ the final conclusic	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research M did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer h 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Sales Comparison	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr ha oproach See att son Approach \$ 58 marison Approach \$ h is considered the is utilized because	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h waland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r tached addenda	imparable sales for the y cof the subject property COMPARABLE S/ CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr nonth prior transfe	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h cansferred on 1 er history. 6935	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject prope ior transfer history. nistory. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has 5 S Webster St has Income App e greatest weight in	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred Personal Represe no known 12-mo proach (if developed) \$ the final conclusic	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research M did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer h 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Sales Comparison	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr ha oproach See att son Approach \$ 58 parison Approach \$ h is considered the t utilized because	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h waland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r tached addenda	imparable sales for the y of the subject property COMPARABLE Sy CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr nonth prior transfer cost Approach (if deve dicator of value an ated in a predomin	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h cansferred on 1 er history. 6935	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 e, the subject prope ior transfer history. nistory. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has 5 S Webster St has norme App e greatest weight in cupied area, and the	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred rooknown 12-mo Personal Represe no known 12-mo the final conclusic e lack of data dimi	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research M did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer h 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Sales Comparison	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr ha oproach See att son Approach \$ 58 marison Approach \$ h is considered the t utilized because is",	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h waland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r tached addenda 39,000 589,000 (a most reliable ind the subject is local completion per plans	imparable sales for the y i of the subject property COMPARABLE S CoreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per nas no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr nonth prior transfe Cost Approach (if deve dicator of value an ated in a predomir and specifications o	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h cansferred on 1 er history. 6935 eloped) \$ ad was given the nately owner occ n the basis of a	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 e, the subject prope ior transfer history. nistory. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has between the subject prope ior transfer history. be greatest weight in cupied area, and the hypothetical condition the	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred roach (if developed) \$ the final conclusic e lack of data dimi at the improvements I	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research M did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer h 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Sales Comparison	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr ha oproach See at son Approach \$ 58 parison Approach \$ h is considered the t utilized because is",	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h waland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r tached addenda 39,000 589,000 (a most reliable ind the subject is local completion per plans uterations on the bas	coreLogic core core	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h cansferred on 1 er history. 6935 eloped) \$ ad was given the nately owner occ n the basis of a l ondition that the re	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 e, the subject prope ior transfer history. 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has 5 S Webster St has 6 S Webster St has 6 S Webster St has 6 S Webster St has 7 S S Webster St has 7 S Webster St has 7 S Webster St has 7 S Webster St has 7 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred no known 12-mo Personal Represe no known 12-mo the final conclusic e lack of data dimite at the improvements I e been completed, or	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research M did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's ler 12-month prior transfer h 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Appendix Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Sales Comparison	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro- ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr hat pproach See att son Approach \$ 58 parison Approach \$ h is considered the tutilized because is",	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h wland St has no ve's Deed - Doc ; as no known 12-r tached addenda 39,000 589,000 c most reliable ind the subject is loca completion per plans uterations on the bas ry assumption that th	rmparable sales for the y comparable sales for the y comparable sales for the y comparable sales for the y comparable sales property compared sales property coreLogic 07/11/2024 sales Per has no other know known 12-month #75262). It also tr nonth prior transfe month prior transfe cont Approach (if deve dicator of value an ated in a predomin s and specifications o is of a hypothetical c ne condition or deficie	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ansferred on 1 er history. 6935 eloped) \$ ad was given the nately owner occ n the basis of a l ondition that the re ncy does not requi	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 e, the subject proper ior transfer history. 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has S Webster St has Income App e greatest weight in cupied area, and the hypothetical condition the epairs or alterations have ire alteration or repair.	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred no known 12-mo roach (if developed) \$ the final conclusic e lack of data dimi at the improvements I e been completed, or This appraisal rep	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research M did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's left 12-month prior transfer history. Doc #75262). 7162 S Plat transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Vap	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro- ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr hat pproach See att son Approach \$ 54 parison Approach \$ h is considered the tutilized because is", subject to following repairs or a sed on the extraordina action only. This re	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h waland St has no ve's Deed - Doc i as no known 12-r tached addenda 39,000 589,000 589,000 completion per plans literations on the bas ry assumption that the eport is not intend	coreLogic coreLogic	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1 er history. 6935 eloped) \$ rd was given the nately owner occ n the basis of a l ondition that the ran ncy does not requi use. This report	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 e, the subject proper ior transfer history. nistory. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has 5 S Webster St has hypothetical condition the epairs or alterations have ire alteration or repair:	sales on page 3). COMPAI 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred no known 12-mo roach (if developed) \$ the final conclusic e lack of data dimi at the improvements I e been completed, or This appraisal rep mary appraisal.	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research M did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer in \$555,000 as an arm's left 12-month prior transfer history. Doc #75262). 7162 S Platransfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Ap The market data approach Income approach was noth reliability of this approach This appraisal is made "as completed, subject to the following required inspection bas a mortgage finance transa	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro- ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr ha pproach See att son Approach \$ 58 parison Approach \$ h is considered the tutilized because is", subject to following repairs or a sed on the exterior are n of the exterior are	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h waland St has no ve's Deed - Doc a as no known 12-r tached addenda 39,000 589,000 589,000 (a most reliable ind the subject is loca completion per plans literations on the bas ry assumption that the eport is not intend as of the subject parts	coreLogic coreLogic	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1 er history. 6935 du was given the nately owner occ n the basis of a l ondition that the re nodition that the re	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject properior transfer history. nistory. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has between the second second second second lincome App e greatest weight in cupied area, and the hypothetical condition the epairs or alterations have ire alteration or repair: t is a complete summ ned scope of work, st	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred roach (if developed) \$ the final conclusic e lack of data dimi at the improvements I e been completed, or This appraisal rep mary appraisal. atement of assumpt	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research M did did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi \$2555,000 as an arm's left 12-month prior transfer hi 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Person Doc #75262). 7162 S Plate transfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Approach Summary of Sales Comparison Approach Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach The market data approach The market data approach "as completed,	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro- ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr hat pproach See att son Approach \$ 58 parison \$ 58 parison Approach \$ 58 parison \$ 5	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h waland St has no ve's Deed - Doc s as no known 12-r tached addenda 39,000 589,000 (a most reliable ind the subject is local completion per plans literations on the bas ry assumption that th eport is not intend as of the subject p	coreLogic corection corection	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1 er history. 6935 du was given the hately owner occ n the basis of a l ondition that the re ncy does not requi use. This report at the street, defin ned, of the real	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject proper ior transfer history. nistory. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has 5 S Webster St has because the set of the set o	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred rooknown 12-mo Personal Represe no known 12-mo the final conclusic e lack of data dimi at the improvements I e been completed, or This appraisal rep mary appraisal. atement of assumpt subject of this repo	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior
Data Source(s) MetroList/I My research M did did Data Source(s) MetroList/I Report the results of the research ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Drice of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer h 12/14/2023 for \$0 (Persoc Doc #75262). 7162 S Platransfer history. Summary of Sales Comparison Ap Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Ap Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Ap The market data approach income approach was not reliability of this approach This appraisal is made "as completed, subject to the following required inspection bas a mortgage finance transa Based on a visual inspection	Public Records not reveal any prior sale Public Records and analysis of the prior SL 07/08/2024 \$555,000 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 istory of the subject pro- ngth cash purchas istory. 7757 S Ne onal Representative atte Canyon Dr ha pproach See att son Approach \$ 58 parison Approach \$ h is considered the tutilized because is", subject to following repairs or a sed on the exterior are n of the exterior are	s or transfers of the co sale or transfer history IBJECT perty and comparable s se. The Subject h waland St has no ve's Deed - Doc s as no known 12-r tached addenda 39,000 589,000 (a most reliable ind the subject is local completion per plans literations on the bas ry assumption that th eport is not intend as of the subject p	coreLogic corection corection	vear prior to the date and comparable sal ALE #1 Cor 07/ public records vn 36-month pr prior transfer h ransferred on 1 er history. 6935 du was given the hately owner occ n the basis of a l ondition that the re ncy does not requi use. This report at the street, defin ned, of the real	e of sale of the comparable les (report additional prior COMPARABLE SALE #2 reLogic 11/2024 s, the subject properior transfer history. nistory. 6269 W Roz 2/14/2023 for \$0 (F 5 S Webster St has between the second second second second lincome App e greatest weight in cupied area, and the hypothetical condition the epairs or alterations have ire alteration or repair: t is a complete summ ned scope of work, st	sales on page 3). 2 COMPAI 12/14/2023 \$0 CoreLogic 07/11/2024 rty transferred on 7174 S Teller Ct xbury PI transferred rooknown 12-mo Personal Represe no known 12-mo the final conclusic e lack of data dimi at the improvements I e been completed, or This appraisal rep mary appraisal. atement of assumpt subject of this repo	07/08/2024 for has no known ed on intative's Deed - onth prior

	Ext	erior–Only Ins	pection Resid	ential Ap	prai	sal Report		57894 35661863	
FEATURE	SUBJECT		BLE SALE # 4			_E SALE # 5	File #	COMPARABL	ESALE# 6
Address 6325 W Portland		7162 S Platte C		6935 S Wet		-	7757	S Newland	-
Littleton, CO 801		Littleton, CO 80	,	Littleton, CC			-	on, CO 801	
Proximity to Subject		0.94 miles E	120	0.60 miles V		20		niles S	20
Sale Price	\$		\$ 610,000			\$ 600,000			\$ 650,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sc	ı.ft. \$ 293.27 sq.ft		\$ 296.74	. sq.ft.			332.31 sq.ft.	,
Data Source(s)			08116;DOM 102			004858;DOM 2			62296;DOM 2
Verification Source(s)		Realist/Public R	ecords	Realist/Pub	lic Re	ecords	Realis	st/Public Re	cords
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTIC	ON	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	DES	SCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		ArmLth		ArmLth			Listing	g	
Concessions		Conv;0		Conv;3000		-3,000			
Date of Sale/Time		s02/24;c01/24		s04/24;c03/	24		c06/2		
Location	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Res		
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple				Simple	
Site	11204 sf	12371 sf	0	8173 sf		+18,186			+10,458
View Design (Style)	N;Res;	N;Res;		N;Res;			N;Res		
	DT2;Contemp	DT2;cont. tri lvl	0	DT2;cont. tr	ri ivi	0		Contemp	
Quality of Construction Actual Age	Q4	Q4		Q4 47		0	Q4 47		0
Condition	57 C4	60 C3	-80,000			-80,000			0 -80,000
Above Grade	Total Bdrms. Bat				Baths	-00,000		Bdrms. Baths	-00,000
Room Count	8 4 2		+6,000		2.0	+6,000		3 2.1	0
Gross Living Area	2,342 su			· · · · · ·		+8,000			+34,740
Basement & Finished	2,342 30 1036sf0sfin	0sf	+29,008		59.11.	+29,008			+34,740
Rooms Below Grade			. 23,000			. 23,000			0
Functional Utility	Adequate	Adequate		Adequate			Adequ	uate	
Heating/Cooling	FWA;None	FWA;CAC	-4.000	FWA;Evap		-2,000			-4,000
Energy Efficient Items	None	D.P.Windows		D.P.Windov	NS			Vindows	-10,000
Garage/Carport	2ga2dw	2ga2dw		2ga2dw			2ga2o		
Porch/Patio/Deck	Pch;Pt	Pt	+4,000	Pch;Dk		0	Pch;E		-8,000
Fireplace	1 F/P	1 F/P		1 F/P			1 F/P		
Net Adjustment (Total)		□ + X -	\$ -31,412			\$ -13,006		+ 🗙 -	\$ -56,802
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 5.1 %			2.2 %		Net Adj	-	
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 25.7 %			<u>29.5 %</u>				\$ 593,198
Report the results of the research a	and analysis of the p								
ITEM		SUBJECT	COMPARABLE SA	LE # 4	C	OMPARABLE SALE #	5	COMPAR	ABLE SALE # 6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	07/08/202	4							
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	\$555,000								
Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	CoreLogic							CoreLogic	
Analysis of prior sale or transfer hi	07/11/202		07/11/2024	(07/11	/2024		07/11/2024	
Analysis of phor sale of transfer m	story of the subject	property and comparable	Sales						
Analysis/Comments									
reddie Mac Form 2055 March	2005		UAD Version 9/2	011			Eapr	nio Moo Eoro	n 2055 March 2005

			E	xteri	ior-C				ction Resid	entia	al Ap	oprai	sal Report	File #	5789 \$3566		
	FEATURE		SUBJEC	Т		CON	/IPARAB	LE	SALE # 7		COM	PARABL	_e sale # 8		COM	PARABL	.e sale # 9
	Address 6325 W Portland						eller Ct										
	Littleton, CO 8012	28			Littlet	on, C	CO 801	12	8								
	Proximity to Subject				0.65 r	niles	SW						1.				Γ.
		\$						\$	620,000				\$				\$
		\$		sq.ft.			98 sq.ft.			\$		sq.ft.		\$		sq.ft.	
	Data Source(s)								3724;DOM 3								
	Verification Source(s)						blic R										
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	D	ESCRIPT	ION		SCRIP	TION		+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIPT	ION	+ (-) \$ Adjustment	D	ESCRIPTI	ON	+(-) \$ Adjustment
	Sales or Financing				Listing	g											
	Concessions																
	Date of Sale/Time				c06/2			+									
I		N;Re			N;Res			_									
AC	Leasehold/Fee Simple		Simple	9	Fee S		е	_									
R C	Site)4 sf		7840				+20,184								
АРР		N;Re			N;Res												
N	Design (Style)		;Conte	mp	DT2;0	Conte	emp	+									
liso	Quality of Construction	Q4			Q4			+									
PAF		57			49			-	0								
IMO	Condition	C4			C3			+	-80,000	.		D 11		.		D //	
ES COMPARISON APPROACH	Above Grade		Bdrms.			Bdrms		-		Total	Bdrms.	Baths		Total	Bdrms.	Baths	
Щ	Room Count	8	4	2.1	7	3	2.0	+	+6,000								
SALI	Gross Living Area	100		<u>2</u> sq.ft.		1,93	7 sq.ft.	-	+36,450			sq.ft.				sq.ft.	
	Basement & Finished	1036	6sf0sfir	า	0sf				+29,008								
	Rooms Below Grade	A ·			• •			+									
			quate		Adequ			-									
	Heating/Cooling		A;None)	FWA;			+	-4,000								
	Energy Efficient Items Garage/Carport	Non			D.P.V		Solar	+	-23,000								
	Porch/Patio/Deck	2ga2			2ga2d			+									
		Pch;			Dk;Pt			+	0								
	Fireplace	1 F/I	J		1 F/P			+									
								+									
	Net Adjustment (Total)					+	Χ-	\$	15 250		+ [_	\$]+[٦.	\$
	Adjusted Sale Price				Net Adj.		2.5 %			Net Ad		%	Ψ	Net A		%	Ψ
	of Comparables				Gross A		32.0 %				-	%	¢	Gross	-	%	¢
	Report the results of the research a	ind and	alvsis of														Ψ
	ITEM				JBJECT	aunor		, .	COMPARABLE SA				OMPARABLE SALE #			,	ABLE SALE # 9
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfer		07/08/2		DOLOT				CONT ATTACE OF					5			
	Price of Prior Sale/Transfer		\$555,0														
JR)	Data Source(s)		CoreL					С	oreLogic								
SALE HISTORY	Effective Date of Data Source(s)		07/11/2						7/11/2024								
н	Analysis of prior sale or transfer his	story o	f the sub	ject pro	perty and	d com	parable :	sale	es								
ALE	· · · · ·						-										
S																	
	Analysis/Comments																
ŝ																	
ĹΝ																	
ΞM																	
NO N																	
0																	
SIS																	
ALY																	
ANALYSIS / COMMENTS																	

See Attached Addenda				
Ø				
Ξ				
ő				
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	E (not required by Fannie Mae)			
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio				
	ns.	value extraction b	egins by subtracting the depreciate	ed cost of the
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation	ns. mating site value) _{Site}			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated	ns. mating site value) Site	n the sale price, th	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvemer	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re	nS. mating site value) Site I cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvemer	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood	NS. mating site value) Site I cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvemer	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvemer provements, the =\$	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood	NS. mating site value) Site I cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. 	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvemer provements, the =\$ =\$	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the =\$ =\$ =\$	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood	NS. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. 	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the =\$ \$ \$ \$	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estin building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estite building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the =\$ \$ \$ \$	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculation Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estil building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-its indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ External	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ External =\$(nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-ite indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ External =\$(=\$	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report.	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the ====================================	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ ==\$ External =\$(=\$	nts are
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estil building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-iti indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the ====================================	nts are 289,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estil building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the ====================================	nts are 289,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-iti indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the ====================================	nts are 289,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estil building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-iti indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the ====================================	nts are 289,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-iti indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae)	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the ====================================	nts are 289,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estil building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF JE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a <th>ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache</th> <th>n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional</th> <th>e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the </th> <th>nts are 289,000)</th>	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attache	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF JE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache ind the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s)	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF JE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No In Yes, date of conversion	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional S ROACH d Attach ad dwelling unit.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciation Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache ind the subject property is an attached Total number of units sold Data source(s)	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional S ROACH d Attach ad dwelling unit.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No In Yes, date of conversion	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional S ROACH d Attach ad dwelling unit.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0uality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the f	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No In Yes, date of conversion	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional S ROACH d Attach ad dwelling unit.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Quality rating from cost service in the value collection, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total numb	ns. mating site value) site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of complete	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional B ROACH d Attach ad dwelling unit. letion.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Quality rating from cost service in the value collection, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total numb	ns. mating site value) Site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ I FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No In Yes, date of conversion	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional B ROACH d Attach ad dwelling unit. letion.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$289.000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of complete	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional B ROACH d Attach ad dwelling unit. letion.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti- building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, re- site value calculates as: \$289,000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data 0 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Quality rating from cost service in the value calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data	ns. mating site value) site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of complete	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional B ROACH d Attach ad dwelling unit. letion.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$289.000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of complete	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional B ROACH d Attach ad dwelling unit. letion.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculatio Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for esti building(s) from the sale price. Depreciation is calculated from the Effective Age estimate. After the depreciated deducted. Site improvements are anything other the buildings that add value to the site. Given the sale price, resite value calculates as: \$289.000. The extraction method used on comparable properties in the neighborhood ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) The cost approach was not developed as it is not considered reliable due to the high level of subjectivity involved in estimating depreciation for improvements constructed over 1 year prior to the effective date of the report. INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35 Years Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA a Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s)	ns. mating site value) site d cost of the buildings are subtracted from placement cost, Effective Age, and "As-is indicate typical land to value ratios range OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Garage/Carport Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPF IE (not required by Fannie Mae) = \$ IFOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detache nd the subject property is an attache Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of complete	n the sale price, th s" Value of Site Im from 46%- 50%. Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Functional B ROACH d Attach ad dwelling unit. letion.	e "As-is" Value of Site Improvement provements, the 	nts are 289,000

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.

2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.

4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.

2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.

3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.

8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.

9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.

10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.

11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.

12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.

13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.

14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.

15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.

16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.

17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).

19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.

23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.

24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.

4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Christopher Frohardt	Name
Company Name Frohardt Appraisals	Company Name
Company Address 1888 Avery Way	Company Address
Castle Rock, CO 80109	
Telephone Number <u>720-224-8387</u>	Telephone Number
Email Address chrisfrohardt@yahoo.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 07/12/2024	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 07/10/2024	State Certification #
State Certification # CR100029526	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CO	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 12/31/2025	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
6325 W Portland Pl	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Littleton, CO 80128	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 589,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	 Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

СЗ

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM (Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
B	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
C	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
e	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location View
LtdSght Listing	Limited Sight	-
-	Listing Mountain View	Sale or Financing Concessions View
Mtn N		
	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road Other	Location
0		Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
Adq	Adequate	Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials
Bck -bsy St / thrgh St	Backs Busy Street / Backs Through Street	Sales Comparison Grid
CI-d-sc or cds	Cul-de-sac	Sales Comparison Grid
Cnc	Concrete	Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials
Crpt	Carpet	Interior & Exterior Materials
Cv	Covered	Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials
Dck or dk	Deck	Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials
Elv res	Elevated Residential (Rooftop)	Sales Comparison Grid
GFWA or FWA	Gas forced warm air	Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials
HWBB	Hot water base board	Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials
Pvr	Paver	Sales Comparison Grid
S c cnc or sc cn	Stamped & colored concrete	Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials
Tp wind / Dp wind	Tri pane windows / Dual pane windows	Sales Comparison Grid/Interior & Exterior Materials
Xtsv Is	Professional landscape w/ extensive hardscape (well maintained)	Sales Comparison Grid

UAD Version 9/2011 (Updated 4/2012)


USPAP ADDENDUM

Borrower	Catamount Properties 2	018 LLC	THE NO. 3300 1803
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl		
City ∟ender	Littleton	County Jefferson	State CO Zip Code 80128
	Wedgewood Inc		
This report v	vas prepared under the fo	llowing USPAP reporting option:	
🗙 Appraisa	Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Star	ndards Rule 2-2(a).
Restricte	d Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Star	ndards Rule 2-2(b).
Reasonable	Exposure Time		
		for the subject property at the market value stated in this rep	port is: <u>0 to 30 days</u>
The average	e exposure time for com	parable homes in the neighborhood is 6 DOM	
Additional C	ertifications		
	o the best of my knowledge	and belief:	
I have NC	T performed services, as ar	appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property th	nat is the subject of this report within the
three-yea	r period immediately preced	ing acceptance of this assignment.	
I HAVE p	erformed services, as an app	raiser or in another capacity, regarding the property that is t	the subject of this report within the three-year
		nce of this assignment. Those services are described in the	
	ts of fact contained in this rep		
	analyses, opinions, and conclu alyses, opinions, and conclusi	isions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting c	conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased
		it or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this	report and no personal interest with respect to the parties
involved.	····· ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···		
		hat is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this as	ssignment.
1 2 2 2	•	contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results. Iment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a pr	redetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of
		attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subseque	
		re developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity wi	
	It the time this report was prepa		
		ersonal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report significant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) sig	
		praisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this report).	
Additional C	omments		
APPRAISER:	\frown	SUPERVISOR	Y APPRAISER: (only if required)
Signatura	(ett	0:	
Signature: Name: Christ	opher Frohardt	Signature: Name:	
Date Signed: O	7/12/2024	Date Signed:	
State Certification	#: <u>CR100029526</u>	State Certification #	#:
or State License #	¥:	or State License #	ft
State: <u>CO</u> Expiration Date of	Certification or License: 12	State: /31/2025 Expiration Date of (Certification or License:
	Appraisal: <u>07/10/2024</u>	Supervisory Apprai	iser Inspection of Subject Property:
		Did Not	Exterior-only from Street Interior and Exterior
		Form ID14AP - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc	

Market	Conditions Add	endum to the <i>l</i>	Appraisal Report	t,		57894 35661863	
The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/c			11 1				
neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appra	isal reports with an effective	e date on or after April 1, 2	2009.				
Property Address 6325 W Portland PI		City Littleton		State C	0	ZIP Code 801	28
Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC Instructions: The appraiser must use the information real		asis for his/her conclusion	as and must provide support	for those c	conclusion	ne regarding	
housing trends and overall market conditions as reported	•						
it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as i							
explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources wil							
in the analysis. If data sources provide the required infor			•	-		-	
average. Sales and listings must be properties that com subject property. The appraiser must explain any anoma				ed by a pro	ospective	buyer of the	
Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–6 Months	Current – 3 Months			Overall Trend	
Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	39	24	20	Incr	reasing	X Stable	Declining
Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	6.50	8.00	6.67	lncr		X Stable	Declining
Total # of Comparable Active Listings	10	6	9		-	X Stable	Increasing
Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	1.5 Prior 7–12 Months	0.8 Prior 4–6 Months	1.3 Current – 3 Months	L Dec	lining	Stable Overall Trend	Increasing
Median Comparable Sale Price	\$725,000	\$716,000	\$762,450		reasing	Stable	Declining
Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	9	7	4	X Dec		Stable	Increasing
Median Comparable List Price	\$717,500	\$824,500	\$815,000			🗙 Stable	Declining
Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	75	18	52	Dec	lining	Stable	Increasing
Median Sale Price as % of List Price	99%	100%	100%			Stable	Declining
Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the par		N0	n 3% to 5% increasing use of			Stable	Increasing
fees, options, etc.). An analysis was perfo							/ere
reported to have seller concessions. This					<u>, a tota</u>		
Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market	? 🗌 Yes 🗙 No) If yes explain (includ	ding the trends in listings and	cales of fo	reclosed	nronerties)	
An analysis was performed on 83 competi							FO
An analysis was performed on so compete				.070 000			_0.
Cite data sources for above information.	4:		m (using an effective d			204)	
one uata sources for above information. Inform	nation reported in the	e Recolorado systel	m (lising an effective g	late of u			
arrive at the results noted on this addendu						-	
arrive at the results noted on this addendu						-	
arrive at the results noted on this addendu Summarize the above information as support for your co	im. Any percent char	nge results noted in	these comments are t	based or	n simpl	e regression	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support	y additiona for your c	n simpl al informa	e regression tion, such as	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent	these comments are the al report form. If you used and than explanation and support with 'normal course of busin	y additiona y additiona for your c ess'	n simpl al informa	e regression tion, such as	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea	these comments are to al report form. If you used any th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system and	y additiona y additiona for your c ess'	n simpl al informa	e regression tion, such as	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users o	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea f this MLS. In order to ha	these comments are to al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is	y additiona y additiona for your c ess'	n simpl al informa	e regression tion, such as	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anal- dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the data	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users o operties to measure marke	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea f this MLS. In order to ha	these comments are to al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is	y additiona y additiona for your c ess'	n simpl al informa	e regression tion, such as	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the dat important to have an ample sampling of competing pro-	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure marke esults.	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent by the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha ot changes over time. Usin	these comments are to al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only	y additiona for your o ess' d is	n simpl al informa	e regression tion, such as	
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anal dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pre- would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t t810 sf / built between 195	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha at changes over time. Usin he subject and are within io-1980 and located withi	these comments are to al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area sta	additiona y additiona for your c ess' d is	n simpl al informa conclusior	e regression tion, such as 1s.	eeding a 1 mile
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anal- dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pr would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of opperties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t t810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha at changes over time. Usin he subject and are within io-1980 and located withi	these comments are to al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area sta	additiona y additiona for your c ess' d is	n simpl al informa conclusior	e regression tion, such as 1s.	eeding a 1 mile
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anal dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pre- would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of opperties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t t810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR.	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha at changes over time. Usin he subject and are within iso-1980 and located within g market conditions, how	these comments are to al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area sta	additiona y additiona for your c ess' d is ated on pc in terms c	n simpl al informa conclusior	e regression tion, such as 1s.	eeding a 1 mile
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pre would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of opperties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t t810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR.	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha at changes over time. Usin he subject and are within iso-1980 and located within g market conditions, how	these comments are to al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ing substitute properties only the following search criteria: in the defined market area sta ever, graphs showing trends	additiona y additiona for your c ess' d is ated on pc in terms c	n simpl al informa conclusior	e regression tion, such as 1s.	eeding a 1 mile
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the l If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of opperties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t t810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the folloo	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent by the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha the subject and are within iso-1980 and located within g market conditions, howe	these comments are to al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N	ated on pc in terms c	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe	e regression tion, such as is. URAR not exc er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable	eeding a 1 mile d property values
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the dat important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the I If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of opperties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t t810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the folloo	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent by the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha the subject and are within iso-1980 and located within g market conditions, howe	these comments are to al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N	ated on pg in terms of ame:	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing reasing	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exc er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the dat important to have an ample sampling of competing pre- would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the to If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of opperties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t t810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the folloo	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent by the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha the subject and are within iso-1980 and located within g market conditions, howe	these comments are to al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N	ated on pc in terms of ame:	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exc er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile d property values
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the dat important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the I If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea f this MLS. In order to ha et changes over time. Usin he subject and are within 50-1980 and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are to al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anal- dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pre- would limit the sample and could provide misleading m Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price m as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea f this MLS. In order to ha et changes over time. Usin he subject and are within 50-1980 and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anal- dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pre- would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the l If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea f this MLS. In order to ha et changes over time. Usin he subject and are within 50-1980 and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anal- dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pre- would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the l If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea f this MLS. In order to ha et changes over time. Usin he subject and are within 50-1980 and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anal- dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pre- would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the l If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea f this MLS. In order to ha et changes over time. Usin he subject and are within 50-1980 and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anal- dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pre- would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the l If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea f this MLS. In order to ha et changes over time. Usin he subject and are within 50-1980 and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anal- dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pre- would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the l If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea f this MLS. In order to ha et changes over time. Usin he subject and are within 50-1980 and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anal- dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pre- would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the l If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the to If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the to If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the to If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the to If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the to If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS. In order to ha of this MLS and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading re Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the I If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent y the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha at changes over time. Usin he subject and are within 60-1980 and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area st ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the to If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent by the data or research feat of this MLS. In order to ha the subject and are within 50-1980 and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months Signature	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area str ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price ro as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the to If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh win listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure market esults. comparable properties to t 810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months ? Yes No	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent by the data or research feat of this MLS. In order to ha the subject and are within 00-1980 and located within g market conditions, however wing: Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months Description of the market of the market prior 4–6 Months Description of the market of the market Signature Supervisory in	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ng substitute properties only the following search criteria: n the defined market area sta ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and exp	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anali- dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da- important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the l If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure marked esults. comparable properties to t 1810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months the subject unit and project	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent by the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha et changes over time. Usin he subject and are within 30-1980 and located within g market conditions, hower ving: Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months Design of the subject and are within prior 4–6 Months Design of the subject and are within so If yes, indicate the number of the subject and are within so If yes, indicate the number of the subject and are within so If yes, indicate the number of the subject and so the subject so If yes, indicate the number of the subject and so the subject of the subject and so the subject and so the subject of the subject and are within so the subject and are wit	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ing substitute properties only the following search criteria: in the defined market area sta ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months University of REO listings and exp University of REO listings and exp Mathematical and exp University of REO listings and exp Mathematical and exp University of REO listings and exp University of REO listings and exp Mathematical and exp University of REO listings and exp University of REO li	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the analy dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price ro as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the to If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure marked esults. comparable properties to t 1810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months the subject unit and project	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent by the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha et changes over time. Using the subject and are within 30-1980 and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months Description Prior 4–6 Months Description Signature Supervisory A Company Ad	these comments are b al report form. If you used an th an explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system an ve meaningful results, it is ing substitute properties only the following search criteria: in the defined market area sta ever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months University of REO listings and exp University of REO listings and exp Mathematical and exp University of REO listings and exp Mathematical and exp University of REO listings and exp University of REO listings and exp Mathematical and exp University of REO listings and exp University of REO li	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression tion, such as is. e URAR not exco er sqft, indicated Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable Stable	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Summarize the above information as support for your co an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdra This data is considered to be reliable and the amount of the local peer group. The data required for the anali- dependent upon the accuracy and timeliness of the da- important to have an ample sampling of competing pro- would limit the sample and could provide misleading ro Results shown above are based on a filtered study of detached sfr's with a gross living ranging from 1874-2 radius from the subject. Movement of the sales price r as stable, therefore, reported as such on pg. 1 of the l If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate) Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the project foreclosed properties.	Im. Any percent char onclusions in the Neighborh wn listings, to formulate you of research to analyze this ysis in this form is limited b ata provided by the users of operties to measure marked esults. comparable properties to t 1810 sf / built between 195 median indicates increasing JRAR. project , complete the follow Prior 7–12 Months Prior 7–12 Months Prior 2000 Note Prior 20	nge results noted in ood section of the apprais ur conclusions, provide bo information is consistent by the data or research fea of this MLS. In order to ha et changes over time. Using the subject and are within 30-1980 and located within g market conditions, however ving: Prior 4–6 Months Prior 4–6 Months Description Prior 4–6 Months Description Signature Supervisory A Company Ad	these comments are to al report form. If you used any than explanation and support with 'normal course of busin atures of the MLS system any ve meaningful results, it is ing substitute properties only the following search criteria: in the defined market area streever, graphs showing trends Project N Current – 3 Months umber of REO listings and exp subset of REO listings and exp subser of REO listings	ated on pc in terms of ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition ame: Decomposition Decomposit	n simpl al informa conclusion g. 1 of the of price pe reasing lining lining	e regression	eeding a 1 mile property values Declining Declining Increasing Increasing

57894

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC			
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl			
City	Littleton	County Jefferson	State CO	Zip Code 80128
Lender	Wedgewood Inc			



Median \$

An analysis was performed on 160 competing sales over the past 24 months. The sales within this group had a median sale price of \$722,500 with a low of \$465,000 and a high of \$1,375,000. This analysis shows a change of +1% per month. The same analysis was performed on 329 sales from the broader defined neighborhood. The sales within this group had a median sale price of \$685,000 with a low of \$322,500 and a high of \$1,850,000. This analysis shows a change of +0.4% per month.



Median \$



Med \$/SqFt

A monthly analysis was also performed on 83 competing sales over the past 12 months. The sales within this group had a median sale price per sqft of \$331.53 with a low of \$258.37 and a high of \$693.49. Monthly, the median sale price per sqft was reported as (oldest to most recent): \$344.95, \$383.65, \$307.13, \$323.00, \$333.87, \$334.24, \$325.37, \$332.38, \$332.20, \$373.55, \$382.82, \$321.10. This analysis, based on data grouped monthly, shows a change of +0.2% per month. The same analysis was performed on 162 sales from the broader defined neighborhood. The sales within this group had a median sale price per sqft was reported as (oldest to most recent): \$393.69, \$385.12, \$357.31, \$322.90, \$331.49, \$342.92, \$360.39, \$362.12, \$366.12, \$417.86, \$404.99, \$317.41. This analysis, based on data grouped monthly, shows a change of +0.1% per month.



Med \$/SqFt


Market Conditions Charts - Page 2

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl				
City	Littleton	County Jefferson	State CO	Zip Code 80128	
Lender	Wedgewood Inc				




Med \$/SqFt

A monthly analysis was also performed on 160 competing sales over the past 24 months. The sales within this group had a median sale price per sqft or \$328.13 with a low of \$211.30 and a high of \$603.40. Monthly, the median sale price per sqft was reported as (oldest to most recent); \$312.66, \$330.56, \$321.44, \$336.93, \$319.27, \$307.62, \$313.01, \$285.96, \$300.08, \$313.18, \$399.07, \$327.49, \$344.95, \$383.65, \$307.13, \$323.00, \$333.8 \$334.24, \$325.37, \$332.38, \$332.20, \$373.55, \$382.62, \$321.10. This analysis, based on data grouped monthly shows a change of +0.5% per month. The same analysis was performed on 329 sales from the broader defined neighborhood. The sales within this group had a median sale price per sqft of \$355.12 with a low of \$148.75 and a high of \$603.40. Monthly, the median sale price per sqft of \$355.12 with a low of \$148.75 and a high of \$603.40. Monthly, the median sale price per sqft of \$356.12 with a low of \$148.75 and a high of \$603.40, \$336.93, \$370.61, \$311.44, \$335.15, \$324.12, \$363.55, \$347.56, \$368.87, \$352.23, \$303.60, \$385.11 high of \$403.93, \$370.61, \$311.44, \$336.15, \$324.12, \$363.55, \$347.56, \$368.87, \$352.23, \$303.60, \$385.12 high of \$403.93, \$370.61, \$311.44, \$336.15, \$324.12, \$365.12, \$417.86, \$404.90, \$317.41. This analysis, bar on data grouped monthly, shows a change of +0.2% per month.




Housing Supply

In addition, an analysis was performed on 83 sales plus all active listings that are competing properties, over the past 12 months. Based on this entire set of data there is a 1.3 month supply. This analysis shows a change of -5.4% per month.



Sales DOM

These sales had a median DOM of 6. This analysis shows a change of -4.5% per month.




Concession % - Contribution %


An analysis was performed on 83 competing sales over the past 12 months. For those sales, a total of 55.4% were reported to have seller concessions. This analysis shows a change of +1.1% per month.

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl				
City	Littleton	County Jefferson	State CO	Zip Code 80128	
Lender	Wedgewood Inc				




Concession % - Contribution %





Concession % - Contribution %



Foreclosure Analysis

An analysis was performed on 83 competing sales over the past 12 months. For those sales, a total of 0.0% were reported to be REO.

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl				
City	Littleton	County Jefferson	State CO	Zip Code 80128	
Lender	Wedgewood Inc				

Highest And Best Use

Due to the nature of the area, the present zoning regulations, conversion cost including demolition or the need to remodel, and return on investment, it was determined by the appraiser that the highest and best use is the subject's present use. Highest and Best Use is that reasonable and probable use that will support the highest present value, as defined, as of the effective date of the appraisal. Alternatively, it is that use, from among legally permissible, physically and reasonably possible uses, found to be economically and financially feasible, and which results in the most profitable of the alternatives. Given the current zoning, location and size of the site, surrounding land uses (recognizing the principle of conformity) and development characteristics of the market area, my opinion of the highest and best use of the property is for continued present single family residential use.

Scope of Work

The appraiser was engaged to appraise the subject. The appraiser initially used county records and MLS data to identify the subject property. The appraiser followed this research up with an exterior inspection of the subject and comparables. The appraiser is not a property inspector or engineer, nor an expert in construction, plumbing, electrical or HVAC. This appraisal is not a home inspection. Appraiser is making an extraordinary assumption that there are no specific or detailed defects in the subject.

The appraiser used MLS and county records resources for information regarding the subject, the neighborhood, and the comparables, including those not used in the report. The appraiser did not research criminal activity in neighborhood or the presence of sex offenders in the neighborhood.

The appraiser used the information obtained from the resources above to develop the sales comparison approach. From this, an opinion of value was formed.

Type of Value

The type of value determined in the this appraisal is market value. No personal property has been included in the opinion of value.

Adverse Site Conditions

There are no known adverse easements or encroachments. No survey was provided to the appraiser. The site size is documented in county records. The dimensions were estimated based on the site size and visual inspection of the property. It was not measured as the appraiser is not a surveyor.

PDC public records may not provide a complete legal description for subject. Appraiser assumes the legal to be adequate. Appraisal user is advised to verify the legal description using title work and/or survey.

An internet generated FEMA map is included in this appraisal report and the reliability is not guaranteed. This map approximates the location of the subject. It is not intended as a survey. The appraisal user may elect to have a flood certification done to verify the FEMA zone.

Quality and Condition of Property

The assumed physical condition of the subject property was limited to an exterior observation of the subject from the street. From the street the subject appears to have been well maintained. Based on these findings, the subject was given a Q4 and a C3 rating with comparable sales selected accordingly. If interior information of the subject property is available on the MLS from a previous listing, such photos or agent narrative, this information would not be taken into consideration as without a current interior inspection made by the appraiser, it can not be determined if any recent updates have been made or any excelled depreciation has occurred from its time of sale to the effective date of this report. It is for this reason that adjustments based on interior photos and descriptions are omitted. A drive-by inspection of many recent sales in the neighborhood was performed, and I gave good consideration to the quality and condition of the exteriors in my selection of the most appropriate sales to include.

Comments on Sales Comparison

All comparable sales were taken from the subject's immediate market area and selected with good favor to similar features such as location, design/architecture, size and utility.

For the purpose of including most relevant sales, it was necessary to provide 2 comps with a sales date exceeding 180 days prior to the effective date of this report.

All of the sales list the closed date. Time adjustments were not applied because the median sales price and the average price per square foot in the subject's neighborhood has not shown signs of decline for the last 12 months (see above under "Market Conditions")

Both the subject's and comp. 1's exteriors show minimal maintenance and appear to best fit the C4 description. No structural or health issues cold be observed from the street.

Comps 4, 5, 6 & 7 all show to best fit the C3 description, and per depreciated replacement cost method and paired sales analysis, an \$80,000 adjustment was applied. This adjustment exceeds 10% of each of their respective sale prices and results in gross adjustments to exceed 25% for comps 4, 5 & 7.

Comps 2 & 3 appear to have no updates in recent years, yet show to have been maintained in superior condition relative to the subject. For this reason a superior condition adjustment of \$40,000 was applied to these 2 sales.

GLA was adjusted \$90 per square foot, bathrooms at \$6,000 per half. Total basement area was adjusted at \$28 per sqft, an additional adjustment for finished basement area was applied at \$44 per sqft and basement bathrooms at \$6,000 per half. Lot sizes were adjusted \$6 per square foot for differences exceeding 1,500 sqft. Garage utility was adjusted at \$10,000 per car. Porches, patios and decks were adjusted at \$4,000 each. Owned solar panels at \$13,000 These adjustments are based the following analyses/methods: depreciated replacement cost method, aggregate differences analysis, paired sales & sensitivity analysis, which were then reconciled by the appraiser identifying consistencies through experience and peer consensus.

No bedroom count adjustments were made as some buyers may prefer larger rooms, and others quantity.

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC			
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl			
City	Littleton	County Jefferson	State CO	Zip Code 80128
Lender	Wedgewood Inc			

Comparable Summary

Comparables Summary & Estimated Indicated Value

	Sale Price	Net Adj %	Grs Adj %	Ind Value	Weight
Comp #1:	525,000	2.3	6.5	537,064	23.06
Comp #2:	680,000	8.9	8.9	619,480	22.35
Comp #3:	672,500	7.5	13.3	622,062	21.04
Comp #4:	610,000	5.1	25.7	578,588	17.34
Comp #5:	600,000	2.2	29.5	586,994	16.21
Comp #6:	650,000	8.7	22.6	593,198	
Comp #7:	620,000	2.5	32	604,642	

ESTIMATED INDICATED VALUE OF THE SUBJECT: 589,000

Indicated Weight Value


Estimated indicated value is determined by using the Gross Adjustment of sale price for each comparable as a measure of the relative quality of the comp. The Indicated Value is derived by multiplying the weight of each comp by the Adjusted Sale Price of that comp, repeating for each property, then adding them all together. This weighted average is used as the indicated value of the subject.

As with any method, this technique is not perfect. However, it does do a very good job of giving more weight to the most similar comps while at the same time minimizing values near the extremes of the indicated value range.

The subject's current market value indicated in this report is higher than its previous sales price of \$555,000 on 7/8/2024. Updates since its prior sale are unknown to the appraiser, and stable market conditions does not justify such an increase. Nevertheless, limited to an exterior inspection of the subject property, properly adjusted sale price indicators of most recent, and what appear to be most similar sales, is the best indication of the subject's current marketability. This report is completed utilizing recognized appraisal theories, methods, and practices and is within all guidelines. The contract value basis theory and methods of the subject's prior sale are unknown to this appraiser.

The adjusted sale price indicators show a sizable range from \$537,064 -\$622,062. It is assumed that if an interior inspection of the subject had been performed, comparable sales could have perhaps been more appropriately selected and adjusted for, therefore, resulting in a smaller range.

Sales Comparison Adjustment Support



Subject Photo Page

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl
City	Littleton
l ender	Wedgewood Inc

County Jefferson

State CO Zip Code 80128



Subject Front 6325 W Portland Pl Sales Price G.L.A. 2,342 Tot. Rooms 8 Tot. Bedrms. 4 Tot. Bathrms. 2.1 N;Res; N;Res; 11204 sf Location View Site Quality Q4 Age 57





Form PIC4X6.SR - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Subject Street Facing South

Subject Street Facing North

Interior Photos

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC				
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl				
City	Littleton	County Jefferson	State CO	Zip Code 80128	
Lender	Wedgewood Inc				



Address

Rear



East Side

West Side

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC
	6325 W Portland Pl
City	Littleton
Lender	Wedgewood Inc

County Jefferson

State CO Zip Code 80128



Comparable 1

5151 W Fremont Dr		
Prox. to Subject	0.77 miles E	
Sale Price	525,000	
Gross Living Area	2,142	
Total Rooms	8	
Total Bedrooms	4	
Total Bathrooms	2.1	
Location	N;Res;	
View	N;Res;	
Site	13024 sf	
Quality	Q4	
Age	54	





	-
5311 W Geddes	PI
Prox. to Subject	0.73 miles SE
Sale Price	680,000
Gross Living Area	2,570
Total Rooms	8
Total Bedrooms	4
Total Bathrooms	2.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	10287 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	56



Comparable 3

6269 W Roxbury	/ PI
Prox. to Subject	0.18 miles S
Sale Price	672,500
Gross Living Area	2,198
Total Rooms	8
Total Bedrooms	4
Total Bathrooms	2.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	16131 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	60

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl
City	Littleton
l ender	Wedgewood Inc

County Jefferson

State CO Zip Code 80128



Comparable 4

7162 S Platte C	anyon Dr
Prox. to Subject	0.94 miles E
Sale Price	610,000
Gross Living Area	2,080
Total Rooms	7
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	12371 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	60



Comparable 5

6935 S Webster	St
Prox. to Subject	0.60 miles W
Sale Price	600,000
Gross Living Area	2,022
Total Rooms	7
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	8173 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	47



Comparable 6

-	
7757 S Newland	l St
Prox. to Subject	0.92 miles S
Sale Price	650,000
Gross Living Area	1,956
Total Rooms	7
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.1
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	9461 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	47

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl
City	Littleton
Lender	Wedgewood Inc

County Jefferson



Comparable 7

7174 S Teller Ct	
Prox. to Subject	0.65 miles SW
Sale Price	620,000
Gross Living Area	1,937
Total Rooms	7
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2.0
Location	N;Res;
View	N;Res;
Site	7840 sf
Quality	Q4
Age	49

Comparable 8


Prox. to Subject Sale Price Gross Living Area Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Location View Site Quality Age

Comparable 9

Prox. to Subject Sale Price Gross Living Area Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms Location View Site Quality Age


Location Map

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC					
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl					
City	Littleton	County Jefferson	State	СО	Zip Code	80128
Lender	Wedgewood Inc					



Aerial Map

Borrower/Client	Catamount Properties 2018 LLC					
Property Address	6325 W Portland Pl					
City	Littleton	County Jefferson	State	СО	Zip Code	80128
Lender	Wedgewood Inc					



Form SCNLGL - "TOTAL" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

Accelerant National Insurance Company (A Stock Company) 400 Northridge Road, Suite 800 Sandy Springs, GA 30350

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY DECLARATIONS

NOTICE: THIS IS A "CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED" POLICY. THIS POLICY REQUIRES THAT A CLAIM BE MADE AGAINST THE INSURED DURING THE POLICY PERIOD AND REPORTED TO THE INSURER. IN WRITING, DURING THE POLICY PERIOD OR EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD.

PLEASE READ YOUR POLICY CAREFULLY.

Policy Number: NAX40PL108151-00

Renewal of: New

- 1. Named Insured: Christopher Mark Frohardt
- 2. Address: 1888 Avery Way Castle Rock, CO 80109
- From: February 5, 2024 To: February 5, 2025 3. Policy Period: 12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in item 2. Above.
- 4. Limit of Liability: Each Claim 4A. \$ 1,000,000 Damages Limit of Liability Claim Expenses Limit of Liability 4B. \$ 1,000,000
- 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claims Expenses): Fach Claim 5A. \$500
- 6. Policy Premium: \$ 515
- 7. Retroactive Date: February 5, 2023
- 8. Notice to Company: Notice of a Claim or Potential Claim should be sentto: OREP Insurance Services: info@orep.org 6353 El Cajon Blvd, Suite 124-605 San Diego, CA 92115
- 9. Program Administrator: OREP Insurance Services, LLC appraisers@orep.org
- 10. Forms and Endorsements Attached at Policy Inception: See Schedule of Forms

If required by state law, this policy will be countersigned by an authorized representative of the Company.

Date: February 2, 2024

Bv:

Asaac Peck

Authorized Representative

N DEC 40000 04 22

Page 1 of 1

4D. \$ 1,000,000

Aggregate 5B. \$1,000

Policy Aggregate 4C. \$ 1,000,000

License

State of Colorado Christopher Mark Frohardt 1888 Avery Way Castle Rock, CO 80109 Department of Regulatory Agencies Division of Real Estate **Board of Real Estate Appraisers Christopher Mark Frohardt** License #: CR100029526 marcu Waters **Certified Residential Appraiser** Status: Active Director: Marcia Waters Expires: 12/31/2025 For the most up to date information regarding this credential, visit http://dora.colorado.gov/dre