File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	The accordance of this accordance consists and according	- to man ide the leadow/eliant with on a	and adamental common	ted eminion of the meanlest value	of the culticat management.
	The purpose of this summary appraisal report is		•		
	Property Address 3552 Kelton Ave		ity Los Angeles		
	Borrower Catamount Properties 2018	B LLC Owner of Public Record	Aguirre Norma P; Norma P Ag	uirre (Trustee) County	Los Angeles
	Legal Description TRACT # 10516 LOT 26	.66			
	Assessor's Parcel # 4252-023-028		Tax Year	2023 R.E. Ta	xes \$ 1,246
H	·				
Ш	Neighborhood Name Los Angeles		Map Reference	42/A6 Census	
<u> </u>		cant Special Assessments \$	0 PUD	HOA\$ 0	per year per month
쁵	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)			
S			ther (describe) Loan Servici	ng	
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		15 Manhattan Beach Blvd		ch CA 90278
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale		twelve months prior to the effect	tive date of this appraisal?	Yes X No
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), a	and date(s). CRMLS#			
	I did did not analyze the contract fo	for sale for the subject purchase trans	action. Explain the results of the	analysis of the contract for sale	or why the analysis was not
	, ;	of sale for the subject purchase trans	action. Explain the results of the	analysis of the contract for sale	e or writy the arialysis was not
5	performed.				
ă					
ď	Contract Price \$ Date of Con	ontract Is the property	seller the owner of public record	? Yes No Data Sou	ırce(s)
Ş	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges,	,	•		
ó	, , ,	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ent assistance, etc.) to be paid t	by any party on behalf of the bol	lower: resno
ŏ	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and descril	ibe the items to be paid.			
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the	e neighborhood are not appraisal f	actors		
	Neighborhood Characteristics		nit Housing Trends	One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
Ō	Location Urban X Suburban Rur		- = =	eclining PRICE AGI	
Ō	Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75% Und	nder 25% Demand/Supply Sho	rtage X In Balance O	verSupply \$ (000) (yrs	s) 2-4 Unit 00 %
<u>o</u>	Growth Rapid X Stable Slov			ver6mths 1,048 Low 0	
ᆽ	<u> </u>			·	-
片	Neighborhood Boundaries 10 Fwy is to the	north. Venice Blvd is to the s	outh. 10 Fwy is to the eas		
m	Fwy is to the west.			1,450 Pred. 84	Other Vac/Prk 5 %
Ī	Neighborhood Description Subject neighborh	hood is within 1-2 miles from educat	ional retail and employment o	listricts and all consumer supr	ort facilities including public
<u>ෆ</u>	-				
Ш	transportation, and freeways. Neighborhood		ompatibility and the protection	i irom detrimental conditions	as well as the adequacy of
_	public utilities, including police and fire prote				
	Market Conditions (including support for the abo	ove conclusions) Values in the r	narket area were relatively	v stable at the time of insp	ection. There was
	fluctuation of median prices. However,	r, this was typical in the market	area.		
	Dimensions See Site Map for Area	Calculation Area 6	014 sf Shape	Rectangular View	N;Res;
	•				N,Res,
		<u> </u>	<u>tion Single Family Reside</u>		
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Nor	onconforming (Grandfathered Use)	No Zoning Illegal (descri	ribe)	
	Is the highest and best use of subject property a	as improved (or as proposed per plan	ns and specifications) the preser	nt use? X Yes No If No	o, describe. The highest
	and best use meets legal permissibility				, accome
	9 .				Dublic Debugs
ш	Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public Other	•	-site ImprovementsType	Public Private
Ε	Electricity X	Water X	Street		X
S	Gas X .	Sanitary Sewer X	Alley	None	
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes	X No FEMA Flood Zone X	FEMA Map # 0603	37C1595G FEMA Maj	Date 12/21/2018
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typ		No If No. describe.		
	Are there any adverse site conditions or externa			duese etc.\2 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	o If Yes, describe.
	There were no apparent adverse easer		rironmental conditions note	ed. However, the subject	does back to a school
	which does have an adverse affect on	value and marketability.			
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of F	Property Appraisal Files X M	ALS X Assessment and Tax F	Records Prior Inspection	Property Owner
	X Other (describe)	Realist	Data Source(s) for Gross Livi		x Records
			•	· ·	
	General Description	General Description	Heating / Cooling	Amenities	Car Storage
	Units X One One with Accessory Unit	Concrete Slab X Crawl Space	FWA HWBB	X Fireplace(s) # 1	None
	# of Stories 1	Full Basement Finished	Radiant	Woodstove(s) # 0	Driveway # of Cars 2
	Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Partial Basement Finished	X Other Wall		iveway Surface Concrete
				X Porch Cov	_
		oof Surface Shingle	Central Air Conditioning	Pool None	Carport # of Cars 0
	Year Built 1939 Gui	utters & Downspouts Metal	Individual	X Fence Concrete	Attached Detached
	Effective Age (Yrs) 50 Wir	indow Type Metal	X Other None	Other None	Built-in
ഗ	Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Oven		/licrowave X Washer/Dryer	Other (describe)	
ţ					a Living Area Above Crade
ш	- married and a success of the continuous		s 2.0 Bath(s) 1,	357 Square Feet of Gros	ss Living Area Above Grade
≥	Additional features (special energy efficient item	ns, etc.) None			
Щ					
6	Describe the condition of the property and data	a source(s) (including apparent neede	d repairs, deterioration, renovati	ons, remodeling, etc.). C4;Se	e comments -
ď	SUBJECT CONDITION				
트					
€					
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or	adverse conditions that affect the live	ability soundness or structural	integrity of the property?	/as X No
	Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes X No
	If Yes, describe There are no apparent ph	hysical deficiencies or adverse	conditions that affect the li	ivability, soundness, or str	uctural integrity. Please
		hysical deficiencies or adverse	conditions that affect the li	ivability, soundness, or str	uctural integrity. Please
	If Yes, describe There are no apparent ph note that the appraiser is not a licensed	hysical deficiencies or adverse d building contractor or profess	conditions that affect the lisional building inspector, a	ivability, soundness, or str nd is therefore not qualifie	uctural integrity. Please ed to survey, analyze, or
	If Yes, describe There are no apparent ph note that the appraiser is not a licensed comment on physical items that are not	hysical deficiencies or adverse ed building contractor or profess ot immediately visible to the unt	conditions that affect the li sional building inspector, a rained eye. If the client ha	ivability, soundness, or str nd is therefore not qualifie	uctural integrity. Please ed to survey, analyze, or
	If Yes, describe There are no apparent ph note that the appraiser is not a licensed comment on physical items that are not physical problems, an expert in that fie	hysical deficiencies or adverse ad building contractor or profess at immediately visible to the untureled or specialty should be cons	conditions that affect the lisional building inspector, a rained eye. If the client ha ulted.	ivability, soundness, or str nd is therefore not qualifie s concerns regarding any	uctural integrity. Please ed to survey, analyze, or mechanical or structural
	If Yes, describe There are no apparent ph note that the appraiser is not a licensed comment on physical items that are not	hysical deficiencies or adverse ad building contractor or profess at immediately visible to the unt ald or specialty should be cons ghborhood (functional utility, style, co	conditions that affect the lisional building inspector, a rained eye. If the client haulted. Indition, use, construction, etc.)?	ivability, soundness, or str nd is therefore not qualifie s concerns regarding any	uctural integrity. Please ed to survey, analyze, or

File No. 57937

Case No. 35671908 Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report 1,299,000 There are comparable properties currently offered for sale in the subject neighborhood ranging in price from \$ 1,299,000 to\$ There are comparable sales in the subject neighborhood within the past twelve months ranging in sale price from \$ 1,180,000 2,000,000 to\$ **FFATURE** COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE #3 **SUBJECT** Address 3552 Kelton Ave 10816 Lawler St 3712 Tilden Ave 3156 S Bentley Ave Los Angeles, CA 90034 Los Angeles, CA 90034 Los Angeles, CA 90034 Los Angeles, CA 90034 0.19 miles N 0.34 miles S 0.65 miles NW Proximity to Subject Sale Price 1,350,000 \$ 1,600,000 1,775,000 0.00 1,193.63 sq. ft. 1,003.13 sq. ft. 1,148.12 Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area \$ sq. ft. \$ sq. ft. CRMLS#24398301;DOM 7 CRMLS#24367811;DOM 11 CRMLS#SB23168372;DOM 9 Data Source(s) DOC#428082, RealQUest DOC#365122, RealQuest DOC#23-0705619, RealQuest Verification Source(s) +(-) \$ Adjustment VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION **DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION** +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment Sale or Financing Estate ArmLth ArmLth Cash:0 Cash:0 Conv;10000 -10,000 Concessions Date of Sale/Time s07/24;c06/24 0 s06/24;c05/24 0 s10/23;c09/23 A;BackSch; A;BackSch; -80,000 A;FrontsSch; N:Res: Location Fee Simple Fee Simple Leasehold/Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Site 6014 sf 4916 sf +16,500 7902 sf -28,500 5500 sf N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; View Design (Style) DT1;Bungalow DT1;Bungalow DT1;Bungalow DT1;Bungalow Q4 -44,500 Q4 Q4 Q3 **Quality of Construction** Actual Age 85 77 0 74 0 72 C4 -89,000 Condition C4 C4 C3 Total Bdrms. +10,000 Total Bdrms. +10,000 Total Bdrms. Above Grade Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Baths Baths +10,000 Room Count 4 2.0 6 3 1.0 6 3 2.0 4 2.0 Gross Living Area 1.357 +60.000 1.595 sq. ft. -63,000 1.546 sa. ft -50,000 sa. fl 1,131 sa. ft Basement & Finished 0sf 0sf 0sf 0sf Rooms Below Grade **Functional Utility** Average Average Average Average -20,000 FAU 0 Heating/Cooling Wall/None Wall/None FAU/CAC **Energy Efficient Items** None None None None -10,000 -10,000 Garage/Carport 1ga2dw 2gd2dw 1ga2dw 2gd2dw Porch/Patio/Deck Patio/Porch Patio/Porch Patio/Porch Patio/Porch Fireplaces 1 Fireplace None +5,000 None +5,000 1 Fireplace Other None None None None X + + X -+ X 91,500 -156,500 \$ -223,500 Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price Net Adj: 7% Net Adj: -10% Net Adj: -13% Gross Adj: 13% of Comparables Gross Adj: 8% 1,441,500 Gross Adj: 12% 1 443 500 1,551,500 I X did did not research the sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales. If not, explain My research did X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property for the three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal. Data source(s) CRMLS, RealQuest.com did X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale My research Data source(s) CRMLS, RealQuest.com Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE #3 Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer RealQuest.com RealQuest.com RealQuest.com RealQuest.com Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) 07/15/2024 07/15/2024 07/15/2024 07/15/2024 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales The prior transfer of comp two was not a market driven transaction. The estimated value is based on the most recent similar sales, support of value marketability, and Summary of Sales Comparison Approach indication of value based on the principles of substituion. 1,442,000 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 1,442,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$ Income Approach (if developed) \$ Value is based on principles of substitution & opportunity costs as they apply to the market data approach Due to the lack of relevant lot sales in the subject's area and the age of the subject improvements, the cost approach has been deemed unreliable and, therefore, unnecessary to be included in this report. The majority of the home within the subject's neighborhood are owner occupied, not income producing, and therefore, the income approach is unnecessary. subject to completion per plans and specifications on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been This appraisal is made X "as is," subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair:

ANALYSIS

ES COMPARISON,

Based on a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and appraiser's certification, my (our) opinion of the market value, as defined, of the real property that is the subject of this report is 1,442,000 , as of 07/13/2024 , which is the date of inspection and the effective date of this appraisal

File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

	Exterior only inoposition resolution report
	The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of
- 1	Fitle XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12
- 1	
	J.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the
	appraisal certification.
	ppraisal certification.
	This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Appraisal Report option of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).
	Fannie Mae Definition: Market value is the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all
	conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue
	stimulus.
١,	
	AMC Registration # for ClearCapital.com, Inc: California #1256
_	
4	
z	
I	
₹	
⋝	
5	
5	
1	
1	
Ž.	
)	
3	
₹	
₹	
1	
١,	
ł	
i	
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae)
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.)
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Depinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000. Bource of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. Note affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000. Bource of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Sq. Ft. @\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprive the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Sq. Ft. @\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Depreciated by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. Notatifects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. SETIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 2 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 5 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1 Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Settimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$ (INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.)
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. Securce of cost data Depreciation REPRODUCTION REPRODUCTION REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Depleciation Sq. Ft. @ \$ \$ = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ = \$ Depreciation \$ = \$ (Depreciation \$ = \$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements \$ = \$ Securce of Site Improvements \$ = \$ INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Indicated Value by Income Approach
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. SETIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 2 Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 5 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1 Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ (Settimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$ (INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.)
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. SSTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$\frac{1}{3}\$, Ft. @\\$ \$\frac{1}{3}\$\$ =\frac{1}{3}\$ Comments on Cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\\$ \$\frac{1}{3}\$\$ =\frac{1}{3}\$ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\\$ \$\frac{1}{3}\$\$ =\frac{1}{3}\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical Depreciation \$\frac{1}{3}\$ Depreciated Cost of Improvements \$\frac{1}{3}\$ Depreciated Cost of Improvements \$\frac{1}{3}\$ Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) \frac{50}{3}\$ Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. Securce of cost data Depreciation REPRODUCTION REPRODUCTION REPRODUCTION REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Depleciation Sq. Ft. @ \$ \$ = \$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ = \$ Depreciation \$ = \$ (Depreciation \$ = \$ (Depreciated Cost of Improvements \$ = \$ Securce of Site Improvements \$ = \$ INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Indicated Value by Income Approach
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Quality rating from cost service Effective date of cost data Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation =\$ Depreciation =\$ Perpeciation =\$ "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable)
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Deprovements and then compared to the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject sestimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstracted from site improvements and then compared to lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstracted from site improvements and then compared to lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value for the subject property was lerived at the abstracted from site improvements and then compared to lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value for the subject property was lerived at a reasonable opinion of site value for the subject property by sall from site value for the subject property by sall for site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No leave the area of the area of the area of the area of the area. No leave the area of the area. No leave the area of the area of the area of the area. No leave the area of the area of the area of the area o
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Definion of site value for the subject property was sterived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to the site of the subject of the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,105,000 source of cost data Davelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ 2 Developed 1,357 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ 2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ 5 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1 Depreciation Depreciation External Depreciation Exter
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Definion of site value for the subject property was sterived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to the site of the subject of the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,105,000 source of cost data Davelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ 2 Developed 1,357 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ 2 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Garage/Carport Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ 5 Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1 Depreciation Depreciation External Depreciation Exter
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Definion of site value for the subject property was berived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to the rive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to the derive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject sestimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Definion of site value for the subject property was berived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to the rive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No affects on marketability. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Derived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No lifects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Develling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ 1,105,000 Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new Sq. Ft. @ \$ =\$ Stimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUBS (if applicable) st the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unittype(s) Detached Atlached Atlached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. Legal Name of Project Total number of units sold
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Dopinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject sestimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No lifects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE STIMATED OPINION OF SITE VALUE SQ. Ft. @\$ =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dowelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDs (if applicable) Stein and of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Was the project created by the conversion.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to the rive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No infects on marketability. SESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$\text{\$\text{\$1,105,000}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}}\$ \$\$\text{\$\text
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to the rive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No infects on marketability. SESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$\text{\$\text{\$1,105,000}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}}\$ \$\$\text{\$\text
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Dopinion of site value for the subject property was lerived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to lerive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject sestimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No lifects on marketability. STIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE STIMATED OPINION OF SITE VALUE SQ. Ft. @\$ =\$ 1,105,000 Source of cost data Dowelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDs (if applicable) Stein and of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Was the project created by the conversion.
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to the rive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No infects on marketability. SESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$\text{\$\text{\$1,105,000}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}}\$ \$\$\text{\$\text
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to the rive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No infects on marketability. SESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$\text{\$\text{\$1,105,000}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}}\$ \$\$\text{\$\text
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was betrived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to ferive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No fifects on marketability. STATION TO REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$\$1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$\$ Carage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation \$\$ Perpeciation \$\$ Stational Provided Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Similated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach \$\$ Statimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach \$\$ Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) s the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) S the developer/builder in control of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (If applicable) S the developer/builder in control of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No If Yes, date of
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to the rive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No infects on marketability. SESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$\text{\$\text{\$1,105,000}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$ \$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}}\$ \$\$\text{\$\text
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was betrived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to ferive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No fifects on marketability. STATION TO REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$\$1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$\$ Carage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation \$\$ Perpeciation \$\$ Stational Provided Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Similated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach \$\$ Statimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach \$\$ Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) s the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) S the developer/builder in control of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (If applicable) S the developer/builder in control of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No If Yes, date of
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was betrived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to ferive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No fifects on marketability. STATION TO REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$\$1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$\$ Carage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation \$\$ Perpeciation \$\$ Stational Provided Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Similated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach \$\$ Statimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach \$\$ Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) s the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) S the developer/builder in control of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (If applicable) S the developer/builder in control of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No If Yes, date of
TOPONI I TOP	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Opinion of site value for the subject property was betrived by the abstraction method. Recently closed sales in the subject area were considered with land abstracted from site improvements and then compared to ferive at a reasonable opinion of site value. Below indicates the subject's estimated site value. The land to value ratio greater than 30% is typical for the area. No fifects on marketability. STATION TO REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$\$1,105,000 Source of cost data Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Dwelling 1,357 Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$\$ Carage/Carport Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ Total Estimate of Cost-new \$\$ Less Physical Functional External Depreciation \$\$ Perpeciation \$\$ Stational Provided Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Similated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach \$\$ Statimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach \$\$ Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) s the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) S the developer/builder in control of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Attached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit. PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (If applicable) S the developer/builder in control of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No If Yes, date of

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. Case No. 35671908

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 3567190

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	info 1	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)		
Signature	Marie A	Signature		
Name	/ Antonio Anderson	Name		
Company Name	Prodigy Appraisal Services	Company Name		
Company Address	P. O. Box 4609	Company Address		
_	West Hills, CA 91308			
Telephone Number	8186188081	Telephone Number		
Email Address	antonio@prodigyappraisal.com	Email Address		
Date of Signature ar	nd Report07/15/2024	Date of Signature		
Effective Date of Ap	praisal07/13/2024	State Certification #		
State Certification #	AR035678	or State License #		
or State License #		State		
or Other (describe)	State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License		
State	CA			
Expiration Date of C	Certification or License11/23/2024			
		SUBJECT PROPERTY		
ADDRESS OF PRO	PERTY APPRAISED			
	3552 Kelton Ave	Did not inspect exterior of subject property		
	Los Angeles, CA 90034	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street		
		Date of Inspection		
APPRAISED VALUE	E OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,442,000			
LENDER/CLIENT				
Name	ClearCapital	COMPARABLE SALES		
	Wedgewood Inc			
Company Address	2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street		
_	Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street		
Email Address		Date of Inspection		

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 3552 Kelton Ave				
City Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	90034
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100), Redondo Beach,	CA 90278

SUBJECT CONDITION

Per exterior inspection, age, location, the subject appears to be in overall average condition with an average quality of construction. The appraiser makes the extraordinary assumption that the interior of the subject is also in average condition with no need for any repairs or deferred maintenance issues not seen from the exterior inspection. The right to modify this report is reserved if the above noted information is found to be inaccurate which could affect value and the outcome of this assignment.

There's no apparent damage to the subject or neighborhood from any recent heavy rains, flooding, mud-slides or any other natural disasters.

Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 3552 Kelt	on Ave					
City Los Angeles	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	90034
Lender/Client Wedgewood	Inc	Address 2015 N	/lanhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100,	Redondo Beach	, CA 90278

This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Appraisal Report option of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).

This report was completed in full compliance with the appraiser independence regulations.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

PURPOSE AND INTENDED USERS:

The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for an asset valuation, loan servicing, subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, reporting requirements of the appraisal report form, and Definition of Market Value. No additional Intended Users are identified by the appraiser. The report will not be used for mortgage lending purposes.

COMPETENCY PROVISION:

The appraiser has the appropriate knowledge and experience to complete this assignment competently. Appraiser qualifications are maintained in Prodigy Appraisal Services files and can be provided upon request.

SELF CONTAINMENT:

This appraisal report is intended to be a report containing the information necessary to enable the reader to understand the appraiser's opinion. Any third party studies referred to, such as pest, hazardous materials, or structural reports have been verified by the appraiser to the extent of the assumptions and conclusions used.

PERSONAL PROPERTY:

Any personal property involved in the transaction has been excluded from the valuation of the real property. Should a transaction, which includes personal property of sufficient value to affect the market value of the real property, be evident, a separate assessment of the personal property, fixtures, or intangible items will be identified and included in the report as a separate valuation.

DIGITAL SIGNATURE:

This appraisal is digitally signed. This digital signature requires a security password known only by the appraiser. No changes can be made to any portion of this appraisal report once it has been digitally signed. The digital signature used in this report is an accurate representation of the appraiser's signature.

LIMITING CONDITIONS:


The appraiser is not a licensed building contractor or a professional building inspector. I am not qualified to survey or analyze physical items that are not readily visible. If any of the parties in this transaction have questions or concerns regarding any mechanical or structural physical problems, conditions, infestation, contamination, or other issues regarding the subject property, an expert in that field or specialty should be consulted.

Prodigy Appraisal Services PLAT MAP

File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address	3552 Kelton Ave						
City Los Angeles		County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	90034
Lender/Client Wedg	gewood Inc		Address	2015 Manhattan B	Beach Blvd Suite	100, Redondo Be	each, CA 90278



Prodigy Appraisal Services COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 3552 Kelt	on Ave					
City Los Angeles	County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	90034
Lender/Client Wedgewood	Inc	Address 2015 N	/lanhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100,	Redondo Beach	, CA 90278

GRID ADJUSTMENTS:

Market Grid adjustments are deemed to be self-explanatory and adjustments to the comparable sales reflect the appraiser's best estimate of market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparables. Paired sales analysis is conducted to a limited degree based on the market data in the area and may not only be limited to the information included in the sales comparison grid. This approach is deemed most reliable and reflective of the typical buyer reaction to or lack thereof, certain amenities, room count, condition, quality of construction, location, view, etc...

MARKET CONDITIONS: None warranted due to the stable trend in the neighborhood based on the 1004MC, CRMLS CMA data, neighborhood value trend charts, and market area analysis over the last 12 months. SEE EXHIBIT AND CHARTS BELOW. The list to sale price ratios is 100%+.

LOCATION: Adjustments applied at 2.5% increments to reflect market reaction. The adjustment is the best estimated market reaction and the paired sales analysis was conducted to a limited degree of comps included in the report and historical data. Paired sales analysis is conducted to a limited degree based on the market data in the area and may not only be limited to the information included in the sales comparison grid.

SITE: Based on a review of Los Angeles County Tax Assessor plat map, the subject has a site area of 6014 Sqft. The Google Aerial maps and 3D measuring tools were utilized to estimate the overall usable area for the subject and comparables. Even though the comparable lots differ in size from the subject, they still can only be used to build one home, so their larger or smaller site areas are worth only a marginal difference, not the full overall value of land. That being noted adjustments warranted were applied at \$15 per sqft for differences greater than 1000 sqft and rounded to \$500.

VIEW: None warranted.

DESIGN/STYLE: None warranted.

GLA: Adjustments made at \$265.00 per square foot of difference for differences greater than 100 square feet and then rounded to the nearest \$500.

ROOM COUNT: Bathroom adjustments were made at \$5,000 per half bath and \$10K per full bathroom and bedroom differences

QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION AND CONDITION: Adjustments made at 2.5% increments based on level of difference and the paired sales analysis of comparables two and three. Comp three has been remodeled/updated in recent years: refinished wood flooring, kitchen cabinets granite counter tops, tile back splash, stainless steel appliances, vanities in the bathrooms, etc.

AGE: None warranted. Age was considered in the quality and condition ratings.

GUEST HOUSE: None warranted.

AUTO STORAGE: None warranted.

It should be noted that the subject's market area reflects a broad range of sale prices and values for properties with similar characteristics within the subject's immediate neighborhood. This was evident to some degree by the comparables considered in this report. For this reason, the range of non-adjusted prices are greater than the preferred 20%. This was unavoidable due to the wide spread in sale prices for similar homes in the market area.

SUMMARY OF SALES COMPARISON APPROACH:

A diligent effort was made to find comparables sales that were similar to the subject in age, style, lot size, quality of construction, close proximity, and condition. The initial search criteria were 12 months prior to inspection, 20% GLA difference, and 1 mile radius. Based on the above noted search criteria the comparables included in the report bracket the major characteristics of the subject and considered the best indication of value.


Comp one was given dominate weight due to close proximity, similar condition, and least amount in gross adjustments. Comps two and three were given secondary and supportive weight. Comp two was included due to close proximity, similar GLA, and overall condition. Comp three has sold more than six months prior but had to be added to bracket the upper end of the subject bedroom count.

Prodigy Appraisal Services LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address	3552 Kelton Ave						
City Los Angeles		County	Los Angeles	State	CA	Zip Code	90034
Lender/Client Wed	gewood Inc	,	Address	2015 Manhattan Bea	ach Blvd Suite 100	, Redondo Beach,	CA 90278



Prodigy Appraisal Services AERIAL MAP ADDENDUM


File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 3552 Kelton Ave

City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90034

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

	The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lende			•	nds	an	d conditions p	reva	lent in the s	ubjed	t			
	neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all approperty Address 3552 Keltor			<u> </u>		Cto	ate CA		ZIP Code		90034			
	Property Address 3552 Keltor Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC		City	Los Angeles		Sta	ile CA		ZIF Code		90034			
	Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this form as the basis for his/her conclusions and must provide support for those conclusions, regarding													
	housing trends and overall market conditions as repo	-		•		-								
	it is available and reliable and must provide analysis a		• •							-				
	explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources in the analysis. If data sources provide all the required	•												
	average. Sales and listings must be properties that co		•	· · ·			•		•		•			
	subject property. The appraiser must explain any ano			ets, new construction,	for	eclo								
	Inventory Analysis	Prior 7-12 Months		Current - 3 Months	L		1		l Trend		D 1: :			
	Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	8 1.33	3 1	3 1	H		Increasing Increasing	X	Stable Stable		Declining Declining			
	Total # of Comparable Active Listings	2	0	2			Declining	X	Stable		Increasing			
	Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	1.5	0	2			Declining	Χ	Stable		Increasing			
	Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months					l Trend		1			
ANALYSIS	Median Comparable Sales Price	1331250 9.5	1675000 15	1600000 11			Increasing	X	Stable		Declining			
₽ A	Median Comparable Sales Days on Market Median Comparable List Price	1609444	0	1299000			Declining Increasing	X	Stable Stable		Increasing Declining			
¥	Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	99	0	47			Declining	Х	Stable		Increasing			
∞ T	Median Sale Price as % of List Price	102.04	101.52	111.54			Increasing	Χ	Stable		Declining			
엃	Seller-(developer, builder, etc,) paid financial assistar		Yes X	No			Declining	Χ	Stable		Increasing			
ESEARCH &	Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the pas	t 12 months (e.g. selle	r contributions increa	ased from 3% to 5%, ir	ncre	easi	ng use of buy	dowr	is, closing o	osts				
RES	condo fees, options, etc.) CRMLS indicates there were 14 closed sale	s during the past 1	2 months and 3	of those sales cor	nta	ine	d seller con	ces	sions whi	ch is	21% of the			
	total transactions in this market area. Prior N													
	concessions; 33% of sales for this period. 0-													
¥	\$1,000 and \$10,000. The median concession													
	Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the mark The data used in the grid above does not in-			ain (including the trend							_			
	transactions. However, this is not a mandato													
	beyond the scope of this assignment to con									,	<u> </u>			
	07.11													
	Cite data sources for above information. CRMLS was the data source used to complete.	ete the Market Cor	nditions Addendu	ım 7/15/2024										
	or time was the data source door to complete	oto tiro markot cor	rationio / tagonia	1710/2021										
	Summarize the above information as support for your		•											
	an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with													
	The statistics above were generated from an http://bradfordsoftware.com/1004mc/calc.sh		rket search. Deta	alls regarding the d	aic	Sula	alions and p	TOCE	ess can b	e ioi	ind online at			
	ma, si autor accitivar c. cerii, 100 mile, care.cir													
	If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperativ		-	Project Name:										
	Subject Project Data	e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months	e following: Prior 4-6 Months	Project Name: Current - 3 Months				/eral	I Trend					
	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)		-	-			Increasing	/eral	Stable		Declining Proficing			
	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)		-	-			Increasing Increasing	/eral	Stable Stable		Declining			
S	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)		-	-			Increasing	/eral	Stable		1			
CTS	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	-	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listing	Declining Increasing Increasing			
OJECTS	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listinç	Declining Increasing Increasing			
PROJECTS	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listin	Declining Increasing Increasing			
OP PROJECTS	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listing	Declining Increasing Increasing			
<u>9</u>	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listino	Declining Increasing Increasing			
<u>9</u>	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listin	Declining Increasing Increasing			
<u>9</u>	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listinç	Declining Increasing Increasing			
	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listing	Declining Increasing Increasing			
<u>9</u>	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listing	Declining Increasing Increasing			
<u>9</u>	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listing	Declining Increasing Increasing			
<u>9</u>	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listing	Declining Increasing Increasing			
<u>9</u>	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listing	Declining Increasing Increasing			
<u>9</u>	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listing	Declining Increasing Increasing			
<u>9</u>	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes	Prior 4-6 Months No If yes, inc	Current - 3 Months	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listing	Declining Increasing Increasing			
CONDO/CO.OP	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact	Prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and	No If yes, inc	Current - 3 Months icate the number of R	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listing	Declining Increasing Increasing			
CONDO/CO.OP	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Antonio Ar	prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and	No If yes, income suppose the supervisor sup	Current - 3 Months icate the number of R	EEO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listing	Declining Increasing Increasing			
PRAISER CONDO/CO.OP	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Antonio Ar Company Name Prodigy Appraise	prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and derson sal Services	No If yes, income supervisor Company No If Sup	Current - 3 Months icate the number of R	EEO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	listino	Declining Increasing Increasing			
PRAISER CONDO/CO.OP	Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled) Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months) Total # of Active Comparable Listings Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate) Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties. Summarize the above trends and address the impact Signature Appraiser Name Antonio Ar	prior 7-12 Months ject? Yes on the subject unit and derson sal Services st Hills, CA 91308 State C	No If yes, incompany is a supervisor Company is Company is a company is a supervisor company in supervisor company is a superv	Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Name	EO	listi	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining		Stable Stable Stable Stable	Sta	Declining Increasing Increasing Is and sales			

Prodigy Appraisal Services SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC


 Property Address
 3552 Kelton Ave

 City
 Los Angeles
 Ca
 Zip Code
 90034

 Lender/Client
 Wedgewood Inc
 Address
 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 3552 Kelton Ave Los Angeles, CA 90034



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

3552 Kelton Ave Property Address

City Los Angeles Los Angeles State CA 90034 County Zip Code Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Lender/Client



COMPARABLE SALE# 10816 Lawler St Los Angeles, CA 90034

57937 Case No. 35671908

1


File No.



COMPARABLE SALE# 2 3712 Tilden Ave Los Angeles, CA 90034





COMPARABLE SALE# 3 3156 S Bentley Ave Los Angeles, CA 90034




ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales


BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Sales






ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Listings








ABOVE: Competing Market - Total Sales and Listings

BELOW: Neighborhood - Total Sales and Listings




29



ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales Price


BELOW: Neighborhood - Median Sales Price






ABOVE: Competing Market - Median List Price

BELOW: Neighborhood - Median List Price





ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and List Price








ABOVE: Competing Market - Median Sales and Listings DOM







ABOVE: Competing Market - Average Sale and List Price Per SqFt





UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD)

File No. 57937 **Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions** 35671908 Case No.

Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

Condition Ratings and Definitions

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Quality Ratings and Definitions

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No.

Case No.

57937

35671908

Abbreviation	Full Name	May Appear in These Fields
Α	Adverse	Location & View
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sales or Financing Concessions
AT	Attached Structure	Design (Style)
<u>B</u>	Beneficial	Location & View
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br D.	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
<u>C</u>	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
ср	Carport	Garage/Carport
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
CV	Covered	Garage/Carport
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
DT	Detached Structure	Design (Style)
dw	Driveway	Garage/Carport
е	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
g	Garage	Garage/Carport
ga	Attached Garage	Garage/Carport
gbi	Built-In Garages	Garage/Carport
gd	Detached Garage	Garage/Carport
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
GR	Garden	Design (Style)
HR	High Rise	Design (Style)
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
Listing	Listing	Sales or Financing Concessions
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
MR	Mid Rise	Design (Style)
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
<u>0</u> O	Other	
		Design (Style)
op Dela	Open Dody View	Garage/Carport
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
REO	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
RT	Row or Townhouse	Design (Style)
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
SD	Semi-detached Structure	Design (Style)
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
WO	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Woods	Woods View	View
Wtr	Water View	View
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
-		CITCOLO CONTO DOION OTAGO
	1	1

Prodigy Appraisal Services

COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM File No. 57937

	APPRAISAL	COMPLIA	ANCE ADDEN	DUM Cas	e No. 3567	1908
Borrower/Client Catamount F	Properties 2018 LLC					
Address 3552 Kelton Ave					Unit No.	
City Los Angeles		County Los Ar	ngeles	_ State <u>CA</u>	Zip Code	90034
Lender/Client Wedgewood I	nc					
- •						
	raisal Compliance Addendum is include	d to ensure this	appraisal report meets a	ill USPAP 2014 r	equirements.	
APPRAISAL AND REPOR						
This Appraisal Report is one of the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					• • ()
Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance wi	•		•		• •
Restricted Appraisal Report		•	• • •	•		` '
	intended user of this report is limited to the			-		* *
	at the opinions and conclusions set forth in	the report may no	t be understood properly wi	thout the additional	information in	the appraiser's workfile.
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT	IONS					
I certify that, to the best of my kno	sined in this report are true and correct.					
	ions, and conclusions are limited only by the	roported accumr	ations and are my nersonal	importial and uph	iaaad profossiy	anal analyses
opinions, and conclusions.	ions, and conclusions are inflited only by the	reported assump	nions and are my personal,	impartial, and unb	aseu professio	niai anaiyses,
•	I have no present or prospective interest in t	he property that is	the cubicat of this report a	and no noreonal inte	aract with room	act to partice involved
	I have performed no services, as an appraisa		-	•	•	•
	ng acceptance of this assignment.	er or in any other	capacity, regarding the pro	Jerty that is the suc	nject of this rep	ort within the three-year
	to the property that is the subject of this repo	rt or the narties in	volved with this assignmen	+		
	gnment was not contingent upon developing	-	-			
	eting this assignment is not contingent upon			ined value or direc	ion in value th	at favors the cause
• •	he value opinion, the attainment of a stipulat	•				
this appraisal.	The value opinion, the attainment of a supulation	ca result, or the o	countries of a subsequent	event directly relat		300 000 01
	conclusions were developed and this report	has been prepare	d in conformity with the Ur	iform Standards of	Professional /	Appraisal Practice that
were in effect at the time this		nao boon proparo	a, in comoning that are or		7 7070001071017	appraisar i rastiss trat
	I have made a personal inspection of the pro	operty that is the	subject of this report.			
	no one provided significant real property ap		-	nis certification (if th	nere are excep	tions, the name of each
	int real property appraisal assistance is state			`	,	,
	ed in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA as		• •	S .		
PRIOR SERVICES						
· X I have NOT performe	ed services, as an appraiser or in another oth	er capacity, regar	rding the property that is the	subject of the rep	ort within the th	ree-year period
immediately preceding acce						
· IHAVE performed serv	rices, as an appraiser or in another capacity,	regarding the pro	perty that is the subject of t	his report within the	e three-year pe	riod immediately
	s assignment. Those services are described	in the comments	below.			
PROPERTY INSPECTION						
	rsonal inspection of the property that is the su	-				
· I have NOT made	a personal inspection of the property that is	the subject of this	report.			
APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE						
	rovided significant real property appraisal as	-		on. If anyone did pr	ovide significa	nt assistance, they
are hereby identified along with a	summary of the extent of the assistance pro	vided in the repor	t.			
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS						
Additional USPAP related issues	requiring disclosure and/or any state mandat	red requirements:				
MARKETING TIME AND E	XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJE	CT DPODEDT	V			
					i avana avat	
	e for the subject property is 1-90 Days for the subject property is 1-90 Days		larket conditions pertinent to	o the appraisal ass	ignment.	
A reasonable exposure time	for the subject property is 1-90 Days	day(s).				
APPRAISER		SIIIS	PERVISORY APPRAIS	SER (ONLY IE	PEOLIPED	
AFFRAISER		301	LITTION AFFICAN	BEIX (ONET II I	VE GOUVED	
~/) //	' 1					
(Sint	\mathcal{L}^{V}					
Signature Signature	7 /	Cian	ature			
Name Antonio Anderso	 n	Signi Nam	ature			
Date of Signature 07/15/202			- (0 : t			
State Certification # AR03567						
OL 1 1: "		01	e Certification # ate License #			
State CA		or St State				
Expiration Date of Certification o						
Lyhiration Date of Certification 0	11/23/2024		ration Date of Certification or rvisory Appraiser Inspection			
Effective Date of Appraisal 07/	13/2024	Super		or Subject Proper Only from street		ind Exterior
Ellooning Date of Appliated Off	10,2021		PIG 1401 EXTRIBUTE	only nom succi	c.ii∪i d	TIG EAGHUI

File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 3552 Kelton Ave

City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90034

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



File No. 57937 Case No. 35671908

Borrower Catamount Properties 2018 LLC

Property Address 3552 Kelton Ave

City Los Angeles County Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90034

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



DECLARATIONS

for

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

□ Great American Assurance Company

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP4113647-23 Renewal of: RAP4113647-22

Program Administrator: Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc.

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Item 1. Named Insured: Antonio D. Anderson

Item 2. Address: P.O. Box 4609

City, State, Zip Code: West Hills, CA 91308

Item 3. **Policy Period**: From _____11/29/2023 _____ To ____11/29/2024

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)

(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ 1,000,000 Damages Limit of Liability – Each Claim

B. \$ 1,000,000 Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Each Claim

C. \$ ______ Damages Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

D. \$ 1,000,000 Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$ _____ Each Claim

B. \$ **1,000** Aggregate

Item 6. **Premium**: \$ 895.00

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 11/29/2005

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (07/21)

 $D42402\ (05/13)\ \ D42408\ (05/13)\ \ D42412\ (03/17)\ \ D42413\ (06/17)$

D42414 (08/19)

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1