Borrower	Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC			File No. <b>24-0816</b>
Property Address	7187 Lemur St			
City	Ventura	County	Ventura	State CA Zip Code 93003
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc			

# TABLE OF CONTENTS



Table of Contents1	
UAD Definitions Addendum	
Exterior-Only	j
Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report	1
General Text Addendum	2
USPAP Identification Addendum	3
Subject Photos	4
Comparable Photos 1-3	Ę
Location Map	6
Location Map	7
Scanned Document 1	8
Scanned Document 1	ć
Scanned Document	.(

### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Condition Ratings and Definitions

C1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

Note: Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have any significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

Note: The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

C3

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

Note: The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. Its estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

Note: The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability is somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

Note: Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

Note: Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

#### **Quality Ratings and Definitions**

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high-level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are of exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residence constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Quality Ratings and Definitions (continued)

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Q4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

Q5

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Q6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure

Definitions of Not Updated, Updated, and Remodeled

#### Not Updated

 $Little \ or \ no \ updating \ or \ modernization. \ This \ description \ includes, \ but \ is \ not \ limited \ to, \ new \ homes.$ 

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

### Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components to meet existing market expectations. Updates do not include significant alterations to the existing structure.

#### Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of) square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

## Explanation of Bathroom Count

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

### Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

# UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) DEFINITIONS ADDENDUM

(Source: Fannie Mae UAD Appendix D: UAD Field-Specific Standardization Requirements)

Abbreviations Used in Data Standardization Text

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear
ac	Acres	Area, Site
AdjPrk	Adjacent to Park	Location
AdjPwr	Adjacent to Power Lines	Location
A	Adverse	Location & View
ArmLth	Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
ba	Bathroom(s)	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
br	Bedroom	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
В	Beneficial	Location & View
Cash	Cash	Sale or Financing Concessions
CtySky	City View Skyline View	View
CtyStr	City Street View	View
Comm	Commercial Influence	Location
С	Contracted Date	Date of Sale/Time
Conv	Conventional	Sale or Financing Concessions
CrtOrd	Court Ordered Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
DOM	Days On Market	Data Sources
е	Expiration Date	Date of Sale/Time
Estate	Estate Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
FHA	Federal Housing Authority	Sale or Financing Concessions
GlfCse	Golf Course	Location
Glfvw	Golf Course View	View
Ind	Industrial	Location & View
in	Interior Only Stairs	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Lndfl	Landfill	Location
LtdSght	Limited Sight	View
Listing	Listing	Sale or Financing Concessions
Mtn	Mountain View	View
N	Neutral	Location & View
NonArm	Non-Arms Length Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
BsyRd	Busy Road	Location
0	Other	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Prk	Park View	View
Pstrl	Pastoral View	View
PwrLn	Power Lines	View
PubTrn	Public Transportation	Location
rr	Recreational (Rec) Room	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
Relo	Relocation Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
RE0	REO Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
Res	Residential	Location & View
RH	USDA - Rural Housing	Sale or Financing Concessions
S	Settlement Date	Date of Sale/Time
Short	Short Sale	Sale or Financing Concessions
sf	Square Feet	Area, Site, Basement
sqm	Square Meters	Area, Site
Unk	Unknown	Date of Sale/Time
VA	Veterans Administration	Sale or Financing Concessions
W	Withdrawn Date	Date of Sale/Time
W0	Walk Out Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
wu	Walk Up Basement	Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade
WtrFr	Water Frontage	Location
Wtr	Water View	View
Woods	Woods View	View

Other Appraiser-Defined Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name	Fields Where This Abbreviation May Appear

# **Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report**

35868881 File# 24-0816

	e of this summary	appraisal report	is to provi	de the le	ender/client with an	accurate, a	nd adequately	supported, op	pinion of the	market value	of the s	subject property.
Property Addre	ress 7187 Len	nur St				City \	/entura			State CA	Zip Code	93003
Borrower	Neighbor to Nei		LLC	01	wner of Public Record		lough			County Ventu	ıra	
Legal Descripti		175400 LOT:		R: 045MF	R 063							
Assessor's Pa	arcel # APN 1	131-0-041-055				Tax Year	2023			R.E. Taxes \$	,587	
Neighborhood	Name Ventu	ra				Map Ref	erence 492	2-E-5		Census Tract (	015.06	
_		nant Vacant	t	Sp	pecial Assessments \$	0		P	UD HOA\$	0	per year	per month
Property Right	ts Appraised	Fee Simple	Leasehold		Other (describe)							
Assignment Ty	ype Purchas	se Transaction	Refinanc	e Transaction	n 🔀 Other	(describe)	SERVICING	;				
Lender/Client	Wedgewoo							d , Suite 10	00, Redono	do Beach, CA	90278	
	property currently offered		n offered for sale i	n the twelve i	months prior to the effec	tive date of this a	appraisal?				Yes 🔀 1	lo
Report data so	ource(s) used, offering pri	ce(s), and date(s).		CLAW	MLS							
	did not cook as the		the earliest accepte		n Frankrin the mention of	the englished of th		arb the enels	ala waa aat			
l did performed.	uiù not analyze the	CONTRACT FOR SAIR FOR E	ile Subject purcha	ise ii alisaciio	n. Explain the results of	uie analysis oi u	IE CUITLIACT IUI SAIE	or willy tile allaly:	SIS WAS HUL			
,												
Contract Price	:\$	Date of Contract			Is the property seller the	owner of public	record?	Yes	No D	ata Source(s)		
Is there any fin	nancial assistance (loan cl	harges, sale concessi	ons, gift or downp	ayment assis	stance, etc.) to be paid b	y any party on b	ehalf of the borrow	er?				Yes No
8 If Yes, report to	the total dollar amount and	d describe the items to	be paid.								_	
Note: Race an	nd the racial composition	n of the neighborhoo	od are not apprai	sal factors.								
	Neighborhood C	haracteristics			One-	Unit Housing Tr	ends		One-U	Init Housing	Prese	nt Land Use %
Location	Urban 🔀			Property Valu	es Increasing			Declining	PRICE	AGE	One-Unit	80 %
Built-Up			Under 25%	Demand/Supp	oly Shortage	X I	n Balance	Over Supply	\$ (000)	(yrs)	2-4 Unit	5 %
Growth	<u> </u>	Stable	Slow	Marketing Tin	ne 🔀 Under 3 r	nths 3	-6 mths	Over 6 mths	500	Low 15	Multi-Family	5 %
Neighborhood	l Boundaries •	THE SUBJEC	T IS LOCAT	TED NOF	RTH OF THE 10	1 FWY, SO	UTH OF TH	E 126	1,100	High 80	Commercial	10 %
	ST OF VICTORIA	A AND WEST	OF PETIT.						800	Pred. <b>60</b>	Other	%
Neighborhood	1 Description	See attached	addenda.									
Z												
Mandack Occupies	None Cook die e e e e e e e e		1									
	tions (including support fo				CONVENTIONA							
	ONS ARE IMPRO					•						ULATIONS.
Dimensions	EA IS 100% BUIL		CONCESS	IONS AF		LENIINI	HE MARKE Shape			16		
	SEE PLAT MA				Area 6052 sf Zoning Description	CED DEC		RECTANG	ilt	view N;	Res;	
Zoning Compli	-	R1-6	forming (Grandfat	hered [Ise)	No Zo		egal (describe)					
	and best use of subject p						oga: (docorioo)	N	Yes	No If No, descr	ibe 🖚	a aubiaata
-	use as improve			-					<u> </u>	,,	11	ne subjects
Utilities	Public Other (de		uie iligiles	ot and be		describe)		Off-site Improv	vements - Type		Public	Private
Electricity	$\boxtimes$		Wa	ater	X $\square$			Street AS	PHALT		X	
Gas	X $\Box$		Sa	nitary Sewer	X $\Box$			Allan	NE		n	
FEMA Special	Flood Hazard Area	Yes	No FEMA	A Flood Zone	Х	FEMA Map	<sup>#</sup> 06111	C0765E		FEMA Map Da	ate <b>01</b> /	20/2010
	s and off-site improvemen				X Yes □		lescribe					
Are there any a	adverse site conditions or	external factors (ease	ements, encroachr	ments, enviro	nmental conditions, land	l uses, etc.)?				Yes 🔀 No	If Yes, describ	00
THE SUBJ	IECT IS ABOVE GR	ADE. TITLE REF	PORT HAS NO	OT BEEN	REVIEWED. THEF	RE ARE NO A	DVERSE INFI	LUENCES.				
Source(s) Use	ed for Physical Characteris	stics of Property		Appraisal	Files MLS	Asses	sment and Tax Rec	ords	Prior Inspe	ction F	Property Owner	
Other (de				]			e for Gross Living		PARCEL C			
	General Description	1	G	eneral Desc	ription		ig/Cooling		Amenities	ZOLO1	Car Sto	
Units 🔀 0	One One with Acci	essory Unit	Concrete Sia	ab 🗍	Crawl Space	<b>▼</b> FWA	HWBB	Firen	lace(s) #	O None		rage
# of Stories	1		Full Baseme	nt [		II		II I i ii chi				rage
Type 🔽 [	<u> </u>				Finished	Radiant			dstove(s) #		/ay # (	
Type 🗶 [	Det. Att. S	S-Det./End Unit	Partial Baser	ment [	Finished Finished				dstove(s) #	0 Drivev		of Cars 2
	Det. Att. S		Partial Baser Exterior Walls		Finished	Radiant	GAS	Wood	dstove(s) # /Deck OPI	0 Drivev	urface	of Cars 2
Existing  Design (Style)	Proposed	Under Const.		5	Finished Stucco/Ave	Radiant Other Fuel	GAS Conditioning	Wood Patio	dstove(s) #  /Deck OPI	0 Driveway S	urface e # (	of Cars 2  CONCRETE of Cars 2
Existing	Proposed	Under Const.	Exterior Walls		Finished Stucco/Ave Comp/Average	Radiant Other Fuel		Wood Patio	dstove(s) #  /Deck OPI	O Driveway S  Carag	urface e # 0 t # 0	of Cars 2  CONCRETE of Cars 2
Existing Design (Style)	Proposed Tradition	Under Const.	Exterior Walls Roof Surface	pouts p	Finished Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air		Wood Patio	dstove(s) #  /Deck OPI  h NONE  NONE  e WOOD	O Drivev EN Driveway S Garag Carpo	urface e # ( t # (	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0
Existing Design (Style) Year Built	Proposed Tradition	Under Const.	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs	s (pouts	Finished  Stucco/Ave  Comp/Average  Metal/Average  Aluminum/Av	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air	Conditioning	Wood Patio, Porch Pool Fence Other	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI h NONE NONE e WOOD	O Drivev EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attach	urface e # ( t # (	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances	Proposed Tradition 1966 (Yrs) 25	Under Const.	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs Window Type	s (pouts	Finished  Stucco/Ave  Comp/Average  Metal/Average  Aluminum/Av	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other rowave	NONE Washer/Dryer	Porch Pool Fence Other	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI h NONE NONE e WOOD f NONE (describe)	O Drivev EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attach	urface e # ( t # (	of Cars 2  CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0  Detached
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Finished area a	Proposed	Under Const.	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs Window Type Dishwashe Rooms	pouts p	Finished  Stucco/Ave Comp/Average  Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2	NONE Washer/Dryer  Bath(s)	Wood Patio, Porct Pool Fence Other  1,4	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI h NONE NONE e WOOD f NONE (describe)	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attach Built-ii	urface e # ( t # (	of Cars 2  CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0  Detached
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Finished area a	Proposed Tradition: 1966 (Yrs) 25 Refrigerator above grade contains:	Under Const.	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs Window Type Dishwashe Rooms	pouts p	Finished  Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic  Bedrooms	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2	NONE Washer/Dryer  Bath(s)	Wood Patio, Porct Pool Fence Other  1,4	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI h NONE NONE e WOOD f NONE (describe)	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attach Built-ii	urface e # ( t # (	of Cars 2  CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0  Detached
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Finished area a Additional feature	Proposed Tradition: 1966 (Yrs) 25 Refrigerator above grade contains:	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven ient items, etc.)	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs Window Type Dishwashe 6 Rooms D	pouts p	Finished Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 4, LANDSCAPING,	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIO	NONE Washer/Dryer  Bath(s) WOOD FENO	Wood Patio, Porct Pool Fence Other  1,4	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI h NONE NONE e WOOD // NONE (describe)	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attach Built-ii	urface  # (  t # (  ed   rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Finished area a Additional feath	Proposed  Tradition. 1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator above grade contains: tures (special energy effici	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs Window Type Dishwashe 6 Rooms D	pouts p	Finished Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 4, LANDSCAPING,	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIO	NONE Washer/Dryer  Bath(s) WOOD FENO	Wood Patio, Porct Pool Fence Other  1,4	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI h NONE NONE e WOOD // NONE (describe)	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attach Built-i	urface  # (  t # (  ed   rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Finished area a Additional feath	Proposed  Tradition.  1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator above grade contains: tures (special energy effici	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs Window Type Dishwashe 6 Rooms D	pouts p	Finished Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 4, LANDSCAPING,	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIO	NONE Washer/Dryer  Bath(s) WOOD FENO	Wood Patio, Porct Pool Fence Other  1,4	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI h NONE NONE e WOOD // NONE (describe)	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attach Built-i	urface  # (  t # (  ed   rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Finished area a Additional feath	Proposed  Tradition.  1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator above grade contains: tures (special energy effici	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs Window Type Dishwashe 6 Rooms D	pouts p	Finished Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 4, LANDSCAPING,	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIO	NONE Washer/Dryer  Bath(s) WOOD FENO	Wood Patio, Porct Pool Fence Other  1,4	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI h NONE NONE e WOOD // NONE (describe)	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attach Built-i	urface  # (  t # (  ed   rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Finished area a Additional feath	Proposed  Tradition.  1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator above grade contains: tures (special energy effici	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs Window Type Dishwashe 6 Rooms D	pouts p	Finished Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 4, LANDSCAPING,	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIO	NONE Washer/Dryer  Bath(s) WOOD FENO	Wood Patio, Porct Pool Fence Other  1,4	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI h NONE NONE e WOOD // NONE (describe)	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attach Built-i	urface  # (  t # (  ed   rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Additional feati	Proposed  Tradition. 1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator [above grade contains: tures (special energy effici	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)  nd data source(s) (inc.	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs; Window Type Dishwashe Rooms D	pouts n	Finished  Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, s, deterioration, renovation	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIC Ons, remodeling,	NONE Washer/Dryer O Bath(s) O, WOOD FEN(	Wood Patio, Porct Pool Fence Other  1,4	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI h NONE NONE e WOOD // NONE (describe)	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attact Built-in	urface e # 6 t1 # 6 ed  rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Finished area a Additional feating MAINTAL  Are there any a	Proposed  Tradition. 1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator [above grade contains: tures (special energy effici condition of the property a INED PROPERT	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)  nd data source(s) (inc.	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs; Window Type Dishwashe Rooms D	pouts n	Finished  Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, s, deterioration, renovation	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIC Ons, remodeling,	NONE Washer/Dryer O Bath(s) O, WOOD FEN(	Wood Patio, Porct Pool Fence Other  1,4	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI h NONE NONE e WOOD // NONE (describe)	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attact Built-in	urface  # (  t # (  ed   rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Additional feati  MAINTAL  Are there any a If Yes, describe	Proposed  Tradition. 1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator above grade contains: tures (special energy effici condition of the property a INED PROPERT  apparent physical deficien pe.	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)  ind data source(s) (inc.  Y.	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs; Window Type Dishwashe Rooms D Sluding apparent n	pouts pour pour pour pour pour pour pour pour	Finished  Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, s, deterioration, renovation	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIC Ons, remodeling,	NONE Washer/Dryer O Bath(s) O, WOOD FENCE etc.).	Wood Patio, Porch Pool Fence Other Other	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attact Built-in Set of Gross Living A	urface e # 6 tt # 6 ed  rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Additional feati  MAINTAL  Are there any a If Yes, describe	Proposed  Tradition. 1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator [above grade contains: tures (special energy effici condition of the property a INED PROPERT	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)  ind data source(s) (inc.  Y.	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs; Window Type Dishwashe Rooms D Sluding apparent n	pouts pour pour pour pour pour pour pour pour	Finished  Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, s, deterioration, renovation	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIC Ons, remodeling,	NONE Washer/Dryer O Bath(s) O, WOOD FENCE etc.).	Wood Patio, Porch Pool Fence Other Other	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attact Built-in Set of Gross Living A	urface e # 6 tt # 6 ed  rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Existing Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Additional feati  MAINTAL  Are there any a If Yes, describe	Proposed  Tradition. 1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator above grade contains: tures (special energy effici condition of the property a INED PROPERT  apparent physical deficien pe.	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)  ind data source(s) (inc.  Y.	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs; Window Type Dishwashe Rooms D Sluding apparent n	pouts pour pour pour pour pour pour pour pour	Finished  Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 1, LANDSCAPING, s, deterioration, renovation	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIC Ons, remodeling,	NONE Washer/Dryer O Bath(s) O, WOOD FENCE etc.).	Wood Patio, Porch Pool Fence Other Other	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI	O Driveway S EN Driveway S Garag Carpo Attact Built-in Set of Gross Living A	urface e # 6 tt # 6 ed  rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Finished area at Additional featt  MAINTAL  Are there any at If Yes, describe THERE A	Proposed  Tradition.  1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator above grade contains: tures (special energy effici condition of the property a INED PROPERT  apparent physical deficien be.  ARE NO PHYSIC.	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)  and data source(s) (incomplete or adverse conditions or adver	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs Window Type Dishwashe Rooms D Cluding apparent n	pouts pour pour pour pour pour pour pour pour	Finished Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 7, LANDSCAPING, s, deterioration, renovation	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIC Ons, remodeling,	NONE Washer/Dryer O Bath(s) O, WOOD FENCE etc.).	Wood Patio, Porch Pool Fence Other 1,4 CE.	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI	O Drivev  Driveway S  Garag  Carpo  Attach  Built-in  E4; GENERAL	urface e # 6 tt # 6 ed  rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Finished area a Additional feati MAINTAL  Are there any a If Yes, describe THERE A	Proposed  Tradition. 1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator above grade contains: tures (special energy effici condition of the property a INED PROPERT  apparent physical deficien pe.	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)  and data source(s) (incomplete or adverse conditions or adver	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs Window Type Dishwashe Rooms D Cluding apparent n	pouts pour pour pour pour pour pour pour pour	Finished Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 7, LANDSCAPING, s, deterioration, renovation	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIC Ons, remodeling,	NONE Washer/Dryer O Bath(s) O, WOOD FENCE etc.).	Wood Patio, Porch Pool Fence Other 1,4 CE.	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI	O Drivev  Driveway S  Garag  Carpo  Attach  Built-in  E4; GENERAL	urface e # 6 tt # 6 ed  rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached
Design (Style) Year Built Effective Age ( Appliances Finished area at Additional featt  MAINTAL  Are there any at If Yes, describe THERE A	Proposed  Tradition.  1966 (Yrs) 25  Refrigerator above grade contains: tures (special energy effici condition of the property a INED PROPERT  apparent physical deficien be.  ARE NO PHYSIC.	Under Const.  al  Range/Oven  ient items, etc.)  and data source(s) (incomplete or adverse conditions or adver	Exterior Walls Roof Surface Gutters & Downs Window Type Dishwashe Rooms D Cluding apparent n	pouts pour pour pour pour pour pour pour pour	Finished Stucco/Ave Comp/Average Metal/Average Aluminum/Av Disposal Mic 3 Bedrooms 7, LANDSCAPING, s, deterioration, renovation	Radiant Other Fuel Central Air Individual Other Towave 2 OPEN PATIC Ons, remodeling,	NONE Washer/Dryer O Bath(s) O, WOOD FENCE etc.).	Wood Patio, Porch Pool Fence Other 1,4 CE.	dstove(s) # //Deck OPI	O Drivev  Driveway S  Garag  Carpo  Attach  Built-in  E4; GENERAL	urface e # 6 tt # 6 ed  rea Above Gra	of Cars 2 CONCRETE of Cars 2 of Cars 0 Detached

35868881 File # 24-0816

There are 11 comparable	properties	curre	ently of	ffered fo	or sale	in t	he subject neighborhoo	d rang	jing in	price	from \$	399,950		t	to \$	899	,000	
There are 36 comparable	sales in	the	subject	neighbo	rhood w	vithin th	he past twelve months	rangir	ng in s	sale pri	ce from	550,000	)		to	\$ 9	15,000	
FEATURE	SU	JBJECT			CON	MPARABI	LE SALE # 1		COI	MPARAB	LE SALE # 2				COM	PARABI	E SALE # 3	
Address 7187 Lemur St				7591	Hende	rson	Rd	6841	Crowle	ey Av	е		8050	Der	nver	St		
Ventura, CA 9300	3			Ventu	ıra, CA	9300	)4	Vent	ura, CA	4 9300	03		Ventu	ura,	CA	9300	4	
Proximity to Subject				0.85 n	niles N	<b>IE</b>		0.29	miles S	SW			0.81 ı	mile	s N	E		
	\$						\$ 755,000				\$	796,000					\$	765,000
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$		sq.ft.	\$	530.57	sq.ft.		\$	625.79	sq.ft.			\$	537	7.60	sq.ft.		
Data Source(s)				CLAV	VMLS#	#V1-22	2056;DOM 50	CLA	WMLS#	#V1-2	3187;DO	M 68	CLAV	٧ML	LS#\	V1-21	1909;DO	M 4
Verification Source(s)					EL QL						#48195						<b>#20359</b>	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESC	CRIPTIO	N	DE	SCRIPTIO	N	+(-) \$ Adjustment	D	ESCRIPTIO	ON	+(-)\$	Adjustment	DE	ESCRI	IPTION	l	+(-) \$ A	djustment
Sales or Financing				ArmL	th			Arml	_th				ArmL	.th				
Concessions				Conv	;0			Conv	/; <b>0</b>				Conv	<b>;</b> 0				
Date of Sale/Time				s05/2	4;c04/2	24		s07/2	24;c07/	24			s04/2	4;c	02/2	4		
Location	N;Res;			N;Res	3;			N;Re	s;				A;Bs	yRd	l;			+10,000
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Sir	mple		Fee S	imple			Fee S	Simple				Fee S	Simp	ple			
Site	6052 st	f		6741	sf		0	6692	sf			0	5040	sf				+10,100
View	N;Res;			N;Res				N;Re					N;Re					
Design (Style)	DT1;Tr	raditi	onal	DT1;1	Γraditi	onal			Traditi	ional			DT1;	Trac	ditic	nal		
Quality of Construction	Q4			Q4				Q4					Q4					
Actual Age	58			41			0	66			1		41					0
Condition	C4			C4		_		C3	-	_		-39,800			- 1	_		
Above Grade		Bdrms.	Baths	Total	Bdrms.	Baths	+10,000		Bdrms.	Baths			Total	Bdrn	-+	Baths		
Room Count	6	3	2.0	5	2	2.0	0		3	1.1		+5,000		3		2.0		
Gross Living Area		1,402	sq.ft.		1,423	sq.ft.	-1,600		1,272	sq.ft.		+9,800		1,4	423	sq.ft.		-1,600
Basement & Finished	0sf			0sf				0sf					0sf					
Rooms Below Grade																		
Functional Utility	AVERA			Avera	_			Aver					Avera					
Heating/Cooling	FAU/N	ONE		FAU/					NONE				FAU/		NE			
Energy Efficient Items	NONE			NONE	<u> </u>			NON	E				NON					
Garage/Carport	2ga2dv	N		2ga2d	wb			2gd2	dw			0	2ga2	dw				
Porch/Patio/Deck	PATIO			PATIC	)			PATI	0				PATI					
POOL-SPA	NONE			NONE				NON					NON					
BONUS-GUEST-ADU	NONE			NONE				NON					NON					
ORIGINAL LISTING PRICE	NONE			\$766,			•	\$799					\$750,			,		0
Net Adjustment (Total)				X			<sup>\$</sup> 8,400	L L		₹ -	\$	-25,000		+			\$	18,500
Adjusted Sale Price				Net Adj.		1.1 %		Net Adj.		3.1 %			Net Adj.		- 2	2.4 %		
of Comparables	ala az transf	fau biata	an of the	Gross Ad		1.5 %	\$ 763,400	Gross A	iuj.	6.9 %	۳	771,000	uluss A	dj.		2.8 %	\$	783,500
of Comparables	sale or transf	fer histo	ry of the				\$ 763,400 rable sales. If not, explain	Gross A	iuj.	6.9 %		771,000	GIUSS AI	dj.			\$	783,500
of Comparables	sale or transf	fer histo	ry of the					Gross A	iuj.	6.9 %	Į v	771,000	GIUSS AI	dj.			\$	783,500
of Comparables  I				subject pr	roperty and	d compa	rable sales. If not, explain					771,000	GIUSS AI	dj.			\$	783,500
of Comparables  I did did not research the s  My research did did not did not research the s	ot reveal any			subject pr	roperty and	d compa						771,000	U1055 A1	dj.			\$	783,500
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did id	ot reveal any	y prior s	ales or tra	subject pr	the subje	d compa	rable sales. If not, explain	the effec	ctive date o	of this ap	praisal.	771,000	U1055 AI	dj.			\$	783,500
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any	y prior s	ales or tra	subject pr	the subje	d compa	rable sales. If not, explain	the effec	ctive date o	of this ap	praisal.	771,000	UIUSS AI	dj.			\$	783,500
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST	y prior s y prior s	ales or tra	subject pr ansfers of ansfers of	the subject	ct proper	rable sales. If not, explain ty for the three years prior to	the effect	ctive date o	of this ap omparabl	praisal. le sale.	771,000	uluss Al	dj.			\$	783,500
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST	y prior s y prior s	ales or tra ales or tra e or trans	subject programmer ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of ster history	the subject	ct proper	rable sales. If not, explain ty for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the o	the effect date of sa	ctive date o	of this ap omparabl	praisal. le sale. on page 3).		uluss Al	dj.		2.8 %		
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST	y prior s y prior s	ales or tra ales or tra e or trans	subject pr ansfers of ansfers of	the subject	ct proper	rable sales. If not, explain ty for the three years prior to	the effect date of sa	ctive date o	of this ap omparabl	praisal. le sale. on page 3).	771,000 BLE SALE #2	uluss Al	dj.		2.8 %	ARABLE SALE	
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST	y prior s y prior s	ales or tra ales or tra e or trans	subject programmer ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of ster history	the subject	ct proper	rable sales. If not, explain ty for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the o	the effect date of sa	ctive date o	of this ap omparabl	praisal. le sale. on page 3).		uluss Al	dj.		2.8 %		
of Comparables  I	UEST ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p	y prior s y prior s orior salo	ales or tra ales or tra e or trans	ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of unsfers of	the subject	ct proper	rable sales. If not, explain  ty for the three years prior to  ales for the year prior to the o  pperty and comparable sales  COMPARABLE SA	the effect date of sa	ctive date of the co	of this ap omparabl	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAE	BLE SALE #2	UIUSS AI			2.8 % COMPA	IRABLE SALE	
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p	y prior s	ales or tra ales or tra e or trans SL	ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of unsfers of	the subject	ct proper	rable sales. If not, explain ty for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the o perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA	the effect date of sa	ctive date c	omparable rior sales	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAL	BLE SALE #2	UIUSS AI	PA	\RCI	COMPA		
of Comparables  I	UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p	y prior s y prior s prior sale	ales or tra ales or tra e or trans SL	ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of under history under history under history under history under history	the subject the comp	ct proper	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the o perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024	the effect date of sa (report at LE #1	ctive date c	of this ap omparabl rior sales	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAL	BLE SALE #2		PA 08/	LRCE 1/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/	COMPA	IRABLE SALE	#3
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p	y prior s y prior s prior sale	ales or tra ales or tra e or trans SL L QUI	ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of sfer history UBJECT  EST  mparable s	the subject the comp	d compa	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the o perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024	the effect date of sa (report at LE #1	ctive date c	of this ap omparabl rior sales	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAL	BLE SALE #2		PA 08/	LRCE 1/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/	COMPA	IRABLE SALE	#3
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p	y prior s y prior s prior sale	ales or tra ales or tra e or trans SL L QUI	ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of sfer history UBJECT  EST  mparable s	the subject the comp	d compa	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the o perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024	the effect date of sa (report at LE #1	ctive date c	of this ap omparabl rior sales	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAL	BLE SALE #2		PA 08/	LRCE 1/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/	COMPA	IRABLE SALE	#3
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p	y prior s y prior s prior sale	ales or tra ales or tra e or trans SL L QUI	ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of sfer history UBJECT  EST  mparable s	the subject the comp	d compa	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the o perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024	the effect date of sa (report at LE #1	ctive date c	of this ap omparabl rior sales	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAL	BLE SALE #2		PA 08/	LRCE 1/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/	COMPA	IRABLE SALE	#3
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p	y prior s y prior s prior sale	ales or tra ales or tra e or trans SL L QUI	ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of sfer history UBJECT  EST  mparable s	the subject the comp	d compa	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the o perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024	the effect date of sa (report at LE #1	ctive date c	of this ap omparabl rior sales	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAL	BLE SALE #2		PA 08/	LRCE 1/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/	COMPA	IRABLE SALE	#3
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p	y prior s y prior s prior sale	ales or tra ales or tra e or trans SL L QUI	ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of sfer history UBJECT  EST  mparable s	the subject the comp	d compa	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the o perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024	the effect date of sa (report at LE #1	ctive date c	of this ap omparabl rior sales	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAL	BLE SALE #2		PA 08/	LRCE 1/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/23/	COMPA	IRABLE SALE	#3
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p	y prior s y prior s y prior s ARCE //23/2 property	ales or trans SL QUI O24 y and con D AS S	subject pri ansfers of ansfers of first history UBJECT  EST  mparable s	the subjective of the subjecti	d compa	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the operty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE	the effect that the effect tha	ctive date c	omparable omparable omparable parable	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAI EEL QUE //2024	ST	ED IN	PA 08/	ARCI 123/23 E PA	COMPA EL Q 2024 ST 3	UEST	#3
of Comparables  I	UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA  08a the subject	y prior s y prior s y prior s ARCE ARCE Property RREI	ales or trans sl. QUI O24 y and con D AS \$	subject put ansfers of ansfers of fer history UBJECT  EST mparable s STATE	the subjective of the subjecti	d compa  ct proper  aranable sa  libject pro  U  DVE.	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the operty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE	the effect that the effect tha	ctive date of the co	omparable omparable parce parce om/sales	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAI EEL QUE //2024 NOT TRA	ST  NSFERRE	ED IN '	PA 08/	ARCI /23/2 E PA	COMPA EL Q 2024 ST 3	UEST 6 MONT	#3 HS. THE
of Comparables  I	UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08a the subject   ANSFEI	y prior s y prior s y prior s y prior s ARCE //23/2	ales or trans SL QUI 024 y and con D AS \$	subject price subject price subject price subject price subject price subject	the subjective of the subjecti	d compa  ct proper  parable sc  libject pro  DVE.	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the or ales for the year prior to the or perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA  PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE  BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM	the effective that the effective	ile of the co	on this apomparable of this apomparable of this apomparable of the parable of the	praisal. ie sale. on page 3). COMPARAL CEL QUE //2024 NOT TRA	ST  NSFERRE ETTER COREET. SAI	ED IN TO	PA 08/ THE	ARCE /23/2 E PA	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3	STING S	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  PARCEL Q  My research  did  did not research and anal ITEM  Data Source(s)  PARCEL Q  Report the results of the research and anal ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of COMPARABLES HAVE TR  Summary of Sales Comparison Approach PROPERTY HAS SOME RE MOST WEIGHT DUE TO SA	UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08a the subject   ANSFEI	y prior s y prior s y prior s y prior s ARCE //23/2	ales or trans SL QUI 024 y and con D AS \$	subject price subject price subject price subject price subject price subject	the subjective of the subjecti	d compa  ct proper  parable sc  libject pro  DVE.	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the or ales for the year prior to the or perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA  PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE  BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM	the effective that the effective	ile of the co	on this apomparable of this apomparable of this apomparable of the parable of the	praisal. ie sale. on page 3). COMPARAL CEL QUE //2024 NOT TRA	ST  NSFERRE ETTER COREET. SAI	ED IN TO	PA 08/ THE	ARCE /23/2 E PA	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3	STING S	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I	UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08a the subject   ANSFEI	y prior s y prior s y prior s y prior s ARCE //23/2	ales or trans SL QUI 024 y and con D AS \$	subject price subject price subject price subject price subject price subject	the subjective of the subjecti	d compa  ct proper  parable sc  libject pro  DVE.	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the or ales for the year prior to the or perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA  PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE  BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM	the effective that the effective	ile of the co	on this apomparable of this apomparable of this apomparable of the parable of the	praisal. ie sale. on page 3). COMPARAL CEL QUE //2024 NOT TRA	ST  NSFERRE ETTER COREET. SAI	ED IN TO	PA 08/ THE	ARCE /23/2 E PA	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3	STING S	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I	UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08a the subject   ANSFEI	y prior s y prior s y prior s y prior s ARCE //23/2	ales or trans SL QUI 024 y and con D AS \$	subject price subject price subject price subject price subject price subject	the subjective of the subjecti	d compa  ct proper  parable sc  libject pro  DVE.	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the or ales for the year prior to the or perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA  PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE  BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM	the effective that the effective	ile of the co	on this apomparable of this apomparable of this apomparable of the parable of the	praisal. ie sale. on page 3). COMPARAL CEL QUE //2024 NOT TRA	ST  NSFERRE ETTER COREET. SAI	ED IN TO	PA 08/ THE	ARCE /23/2 E PA	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3	STING S	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I	UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08a the subject   ANSFEI	y prior s y prior s y prior s y prior s ARCE //23/2	ales or trans SL QUI 024 y and con D AS \$	subject price subject price subject price subject price subject price subject	the subjective of the subjecti	d compa  ct proper  parable sc  libject pro  DVE.	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the or ales for the year prior to the or perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA  PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE  BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM	the effective that the effective	ile of the co	on this apomparable of this apomparable of this apomparable of the parable of the	praisal. ie sale. on page 3). COMPARAL CEL QUE //2024 NOT TRA	ST  NSFERRE ETTER COREET. SAI	ED IN TO	PA 08/ THE	ARCE /23/2 E PA	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3	STING S	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I	UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08a the subject   ANSFEI	y prior s y prior s y prior s y prior s ARCE //23/2	ales or trans SL QUI 024 y and con D AS \$	subject price subject price subject price subject price subject price subject	the subjective of the subjecti	d compa  ct proper  parable sc  libject pro  DVE.	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the or ales for the year prior to the or perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA  PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE  BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM	the effective that the effective	ile of the co	on this apomparable of this apomparable of this apomparable of the parable of the	praisal. ie sale. on page 3). COMPARAL CEL QUE //2024 NOT TRA	ST  NSFERRE ETTER COREET. SAI	ED IN TO	PA 08/ THE	ARCE /23/2 E PA	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3	STING S	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  PARCEL Q  My research  did  did not research and anal ITEM  Data Source(s)  PARCEL Q  Report the results of the research and anal ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of COMPARABLES HAVE TR  Summary of Sales Comparison Approach PROPERTY HAS SOME RE MOST WEIGHT DUE TO SA	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08 the subject    ANSFEI	y prior s y prior s y prior s y prior s ARCE //23/2	ales or trans SL L QUI 024 y and con D AS \$	subject price subject prices and states of ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of the history under the subject of the su	the subjective the composition of the su	d compa  ct proper  parable sc  libject pro  DVE.	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the or ales for the year prior to the or perty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA  PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE  BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM	the effective that the effective	ile of the co	on this apomparable of this apomparable of this apomparable of the parable of the	praisal. ie sale. on page 3). COMPARAL CEL QUE //2024 NOT TRA	ST  NSFERRE ETTER COREET. SAI	ED IN TO	PA 08/ THE	ARCE /23/2 E PA	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3	STING S	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not not research the s  My research  did  did not not research the s  My research  did  did not	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08 the subject I ANSFEI	y prior s y prior sale  ARCE //23/2 properly RREI	ales or trans SL L QUI 024 y and con D AS \$	subject price subject prices and states of ansfers of ansfers of other history under the subject of the subject	the subjective the composition of the su	d compa ct proper arable sa biject pro	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to sales for the year prior to the operty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM ONDITION TO THI	the effect that the effect of sales and the effect of	le of the co	PARCO 08/23. HAS N	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAI  EEL QUE /2024 NOT TRA  ATHS, B FFIC STI SALE#2	ST  NSFERRE  ETTER CC  REET. SAI  BEING MO	DNDIT LE#1/	PA 08/ THE	N-ML N-ML SA	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3	STING S	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research and anal research and anal remains of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of COMPARABLES HAVE TR  Summary of Sales Comparison Approach  PROPERTY HAS SOME RE  MOST WEIGHT DUE TO SA  PROPERTY.  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08. the subject    ANSFEI  EMODEI ALE#1 E	y prior s y prior sale  ARCE /23/2 property  RREI	ales or trans SL L QUI 024 y and con D AS S	subject pri subjec	the subjective the composition of the su	d compa ct proper arable sa biject pro	rable sales. If not, explain the three years prior to the case of the year prior to the ye	the effective of sales of the effective of sales	ile of the co	PARCO 1885 PARCO 1885 PARCO 1887	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAL ZEL QUE ZO224 NOT TRA ATHS, B FFIC STI SALE#2	ST  ST  NSFERRE  ETTER CC  REET. SAI  BEING MG	ED IN TO THE STATE OF THE STATE	PA 08/ THE	ARCII 1/23/2 E PA	COMPA EL Q 2024 ST 3	UEST 6 MONT	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  PARCEL Q  My research  did  did not research and anal research and source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of COMPARABLES HAVE TR  Summary of Sales Comparison Approach PROPERTY HAS SOME REMOST WEIGHT DUE TO SAPPROPERTY.  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach research and anal resear	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA  08 the subject ANSFEI  EMODEI ALE#1 E	y prior s y prior sale  ARCE //23/2 properly RREI	ales or trans SL L QUI 024 a and con D AS S ALE# AND G IN T	subject pursuit subject pursuit subject pursuit subject pursuit subject pursuit subject subjec	the subjective the composition of the subjective the s	d compa  ct proper  arable s:  biject pro  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to sales for the year prior to the operty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM ONDITION TO THI	the effective of sales of the effective of sales	ile of the co	PARCO 1885 PARCO 1885 PARCO 1887	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAL ZEL QUE ZO224 NOT TRA ATHS, B FFIC STI SALE#2	ST  ST  NSFERRE  ETTER CC  REET. SAI  BEING MG	ED IN TO THE STATE OF THE STATE	PA 08/ THE	ARCII 1/23/2 E PA	COMPA EL Q 2024 ST 3	UEST 6 MONT	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research and anal research and anal remains of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of COMPARABLES HAVE TR  Summary of Sales Comparison Approach  PROPERTY HAS SOME RE  MOST WEIGHT DUE TO SA  PROPERTY.  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA  08 the subject ANSFEI  EMODEI ALE#1 E	y prior s y prior sale  ARCE //23/2 properly RREI	ales or trans SL L QUI 024 a and con D AS S ALE# AND G IN T	subject pursuit subject pursuit subject pursuit subject pursuit subject pursuit subject subjec	the subjective the composition of the subjective the s	d compa  ct proper  arable s:  biject pro  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C	rable sales. If not, explain thy for the three years prior to sales for the year prior to the operty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM ONDITION TO THI	the effective of sales of the effective of sales	ile of the co	PARCO 1885 PARCO 1885 PARCO 1887	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAL ZEL QUE ZO224 NOT TRA ATHS, B FFIC STI SALE#2	ST  ST  NSFERRE  ETTER CC  REET. SAI  BEING MG	ED IN TO THE STATE OF THE STATE	PA 08/ THE	ARCII 1/23/2 E PA	COMPA EL Q 2024 ST 3	UEST 6 MONT	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  PARCEL Q  My research  did  did not research and anal ITEM  Data Source(s)  PARCEL Q  Report the results of the research and anal ITEM  Date of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Price of Prior Sale/Transfer  Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source(s)  Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of COMPARABLES HAVE TR  Summary of Sales Comparison Approach  PROPERTY HAS SOME RE  MOST WEIGHT DUE TO SA  PROPERTY.  Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach  THE MARKET APPROACH  RENTAL DATA ON HOME	UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA  08a/ the subject ANSFEI  EMODEI ALE#1 E	y prior s y prior sale  ARCE //23/2 properly RREI	ales or trans SI  L QUI  024 OD AS S  AND G IN T	subject pur ansfers of ansfers of ansfers of sifer history URJECT  EST uparable s STATE UPGR THE MC 765 STHE COST A	the subjective the composition of the subjective t	ct proper ct pro	rable sales. If not, explain try for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the or sperty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM ONDITION TO THI	mall the effect of sales of sa	ile of the co	PARCONSIDER SESSES B., TRAINAND STATE I	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAI  CEL QUE //2024 NOT TRA  ATHS, B FFIC STI SALE#2	ST  ST  ETTER COREET. SAI BEING MO	ED IN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE P	PA 08/ THE	N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3	STING S ARE GIEDROOM	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  PARCEL Q  My research  did  did not research and anal	ot reveal any UEST of reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08/ 08/ the subject ANSFEI  Coach \$ Approach \$ Approac	ARCE //23/2 property RREI	ales or trans st. L QUI 024 y and con D AS \$	subject price subject price subject price subject price subject price subject price subject su	the subjective the composition of the subjective t	ct proper ct pro	rable sales. If not, explain  try for the three years prior to  ales for the year prior to the or  perty and comparable sales  COMPARABLE SA  PARCEL QUEST  08/23/2024  THE  BEDS, SALE#2 S  E#3 LARGER, SM  ONDITION TO THI	the effect of salate of sa	le of the co	PARCO 1882 SS B S TRAIN AND 1882 ST TRAIN AND 18	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAI  CEL QUE /2024 NOT TRA  ATHS, B FFIC STI SALE#2	ST  ST  INSFERRE  ETTER CC  REET. SAI  BEING MO  Income Approx  APPROA	DNDIT LE#1 / DST R	PA 08/ THE	NRCI 1/23/2 E PA N-ML D SA ENT	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3  S LI: LE#2 3 BE	JEST  6 MONT  STING S  2 ARE GI  EDROOM	#3  HS. THE  TATES VEN
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research the s  PARCEL Q  My research  did  did not research and anal	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08 the subject ANSFEI  ANSFEI  Coach \$  EMODEI ALE#1 E	y prior s y prior s y prior s y prior s prior sali	ales or trans st. L QUI 024 y and con D AS \$	subject pri subject pri ansfers of ansfers of fer history JBJECT  EST mparable s STATE  1 LAR UPGR HE MO 765 S THE COST A completi	the subjective the composition of the subjective the s	ct proper ct pro	rable sales. If not, explain try for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the or sperty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM ONDITION TO THI	the effect of salate of sa	JECT I	PARCO 18/25 PARCO	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAI  CEL QUE /2024 NOT TRA  ATHS, B FFIC STI SALE#2	ST  ST  ST  NSFERRI  ETTER CC  REET. SAI  BEING MC  Income Approx  APPROA  condition the terations have	DNDIT LE#1 / J DST R	PA 08/ THE	N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3  S LI: LE#2 3 BE	ARABLE SALE  UEST  6 MONT  STING S  2 ARE GI  EDROON	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research and and research and research and and research and resea	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA 08 the subject ANSFEI  ANSFEI  Coach \$  EMODEI ALE#1 E	y prior s y prior s y prior s y prior s prior sali	ales or trans st. L QUI 024 y and con D AS \$	subject pri subject pri ansfers of ansfers of fer history JBJECT  EST mparable s STATE  1 LAR UPGR HE MO 765 S THE COST A completi	the subjective the composition of the subjective the s	ct proper ct pro	rable sales. If not, explain try for the three years prior to ales for the year prior to the operty and comparable sales COMPARABLE SA  PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024 THE  BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM ONDITION TO THI  Cost Approach (if develope F THIS TYPE OF F IS SUPPORTIVE.  and specifications or is of a hypothetical	the effect of salate of sa	JECT I	PARCO 18/25 PARCO	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAI  CEL QUE /2024 NOT TRA  ATHS, B FFIC STI SALE#2	ST  ST  INSFERRE  ETTER CC  REET. SAI  BEING MO  Income Approx  APPROA	DNDIT LE#1 / J DST R	PA 08/ THE	NRCI 1/23/2 E PA N-ML D SA ENT	COMPA EL QI 2024 ST 3  S LI: LE#2 3 BE	JEST  6 MONT  STING S  2 ARE GI  EDROOM	#3 HS. THE TATES VEN
of Comparables  I  did  did not research the s  My research  did  did not research and and research and research and and research and resea	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA  08. the subject    ANSFEI  Coach \$  Approach \$  The poor of the p  Coach \$  The poor of the p  Coach \$  The poor of the p  Coach \$  The poor of the p  The poor of th	y prior s y prior sal  ARCE /23/2 /23/2 // Property  RREI  S S LING BEIN  REF IE AF    subje repairs e extr.	ales or trans SL L QUI 024 and con D AS S CALE# AND G IN T	subject price subject price subject price subject price subject price subject price subject su	the subjective the su	ct proper ct pro	rable sales. If not, explain  ty for the three years prior to sales for the year prior to the operty and comparable sales  COMPARABLE SA  PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024  THE  BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM ONDITION TO THI  Cost Approach (if develope F THIS TYPE OF F IS SUPPORTIVE.  and specifications or is of a hypothetical condition or deficien	the effect of sales o	JECT I	PARCO 1885 PARCO 1885 PARCO 1887	praisal. le sale. on page 3). COMPARAI  CEL QUE /2024 NOT TRA  ATHS, B FFIC STI SALE#2	ST  ST  NSFERRE  ETTER CO  REET. SAI  BEING MO  Income Approa  APPROA  condition the therations have or repair.	DNDIT LE#1 / J DST R	PA 08/	N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML N-ML	COMPA  EL QI 2.8 %  COMPA  ST 3  ST 3  JE TO	JEST  6 MONT  STING S  2 ARE GI  EDROOM	#3  HS. THE  TATES VEN  ACK OF
of Comparables  I	ot reveal any UEST ot reveal any UEST ysis of the p  PA  08. the subject    ANSFEI  Coach \$  Approach \$  The poor of the p  Coach \$  The poor of the p  Coach \$  The poor of the p  Coach \$  The poor of the p  The poor of th	y prior s y prior sale  ARCE //23/2 property RREI  S S LING BEING  REF repairs e extre exteriors	ales or trans ales or trans SL L QUII 024 y and con D AS S ALE# AND G IN T	subject price su	the subjection of the subjecti	ct proper ct pro	rable sales. If not, explain  ty for the three years prior to sales for the year prior to the operty and comparable sales  COMPARABLE SA  PARCEL QUEST 08/23/2024  THE  BEDS, SALE#2 S E#3 LARGER, SM ONDITION TO THI  Cost Approach (if develope F THIS TYPE OF F IS SUPPORTIVE.  and specifications or is of a hypothetical condition or deficien	MALL E SUB MALLE E SUB MALL Condition  The conditio	LER, LER LOT,  basis on that if the services on the services on the services of the services o	PARCO 08/23. HAS N  **G5,000 THE I  f a I  frequency require  definite and the representations of the representation of the represen	praisal.  le sale. on page 3). COMPARAI  CEL QUE /2024 NOT TRA  ATHS, B FFIC STI SALE#2  INCOME  hypothetical airs or al alteration  ed scope perty that	ST  ST  INSFERRE  ETTER CO  REET. SAI  BEING MO  Income Approa  APPROA  condition the literations have or repair: 1  of work,	ED IN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE P	PA 08/ THE  CION AND EECE	NRCI /23/23/2E PA N-ML D SA ENT	COMPA  EL Q  2.8 %  COMPA  EL Q  2.024  ST 3  S LI:  LE#2  3 BE	STING S ARE GI EDROOM  THE L have been subjections and	#3  HS. THE  TATES VEN  ACK OF

35868881 File# 24-0816

THE INTENDED USER OF THIS APPRAISAL IS THE LENDER/CLIENT. THE				
SUBJECT OF THIS APPRAISAL FOR MARKET VALUE OF THE SUBJECT T REPORTING REQUIREMENTS OF THIS APPRAISAL REPORT FORM & DEF		-		-
IDENTIFIED BY THE APPRAISER.	MITION OF MARKET VALO	L. NO ADDITIONAL II	TIENDED GOER	O AIL
AN ATTEMPT WAS MADE TO FIND OUT THE CONTRACT DATE OF THE SA AGENTS INVOLVED DID NOT RESULT IN ANY RESPONSE FROM THE AG ARE CLOSE OF ESCROW.				
ARE SESSE OF ESSIVOW.				
THE EFFECTIVE AGE IS LOWER THAN THE ACTUAL AGE DUE TO ROUTI	IE MAINTENANCE & UPKEI	EP PROLONGING THE	ESTIMATED	
REMAINING ECONOMIC LIFE.				
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)			
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.	(not required by Fannie Mae)			
		st factors derived fron	n Marshall-Swift.	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.	Cos			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)	Cos			
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(to the comparable land sales).	Cos sing the abstractions proce		used.	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(the ratio of land to improvements)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW	Cos sing the abstractions proce	ess) the comparables	used. =\$	525,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(size)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift	Cos sing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstraction of site value.    OPINION OF SITE VALUE	ess) the comparables	used. =\$	
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(to the sales)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift	Cos sing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstraction of SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,402  0	ess) the comparables	=\$ =\$	525,000 315,450
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,402  PATIO	ess) the comparables  2	=\$ =\$ =\$	525,000 315,450 20,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,402  PATIO	ess) the comparables  2	=\$ 0 =\$ =\$ =\$	525,000 315,450
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF	Cos   Sing the abstractions proces	ess) the comparables  2	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL	Cos   Sing the abstractions process	ess) the comparables 2	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables	Cos   Sing the abstractions process	ess) the comparables 2	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 143,450) 200,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL	Cos   Sing the abstractions process	ess) the comparables 2	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.	Cos   Sing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstraction of th	ess) the comparables 2	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 143,450 200,000 40,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)	Cos   Sing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstraction of th	ess) the comparables 2	= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 143,450) 200,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35 Years	Cos   Sing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstraction of th	ess) the comparables 2	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 143,450 200,000 40,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	Cos   Sing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstraction of th	2 Sq.Rt. @ \$ 225.00   Sq.Rt. @ \$ 20.00	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier	Cos   Sing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstraction of th	2 Sq.Rt. @ \$ 225.00   Sq.Rt. @ \$ 20.00	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  THE IN	Cos   Sing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstractions processing the abstraction of th	2 Sq.Rt. @ \$ 225.00   Sq.Rt. @ \$ 20.00	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  THE IN	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,402  0  PATIO  Garage/Carport  400  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical Depreciation  143,450 Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  COME APPROACH IS NOT	2 Sq.Rt. @ \$ 225.00   Sq.Rt. @ \$ 20.00	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  THE IN	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,402  PATIO  Garage/Carport  400 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical Depreciation  143,450 Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  COME APPROACH IS NOT  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  10 Unit type(s)	Pass) the comparables  2 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 225.00  3 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 20.00  Functional External  APPLICABLE FOR OV	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  THE IN  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject proper Legal Name of Project	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,402  PATIO  Garage/Carport  400 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical Depreciation  143,450 Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  COME APPROACH IS NOT  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  10 Unit type(s)	Pass) the comparables  2 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 225.00  3 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 20.00  Functional External  APPLICABLE FOR OV	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  THE IN  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject proper Legal Name of Project  Total number of phases  Total number of units	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,402  0  PATIO  Garage/Carport  400  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical  Depreciation  143,450  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  COME APPROACH IS NOT.  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  to Unit type(s)  Detached  / is an attached dwelling unit.	Pass) the comparables  2 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 225.00  3 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 20.00  Functional External  APPLICABLE FOR OV	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state of land to improvements of land to land land to	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,402 0  PATIO Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical I Depreciation 143,450 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  FOR PUDS (if applicable)  to Unit type(s) Detached is an attached dwelling unit.	Pass) the comparables  2 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 225.00  3 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 20.00  Functional External  APPLICABLE FOR OV	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35 Years  NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  THE IN  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject proper Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented  Total number of units rented  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Yes  Yes	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,402  0  PATIO  Garage/Carport  400  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical  Depreciation  143,450  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  COME APPROACH IS NOT.  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  to Unit type(s)  Detached  / is an attached dwelling unit.	Pass) the comparables  2 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 225.00  3 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 20.00  Functional External  APPLICABLE FOR OV	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  THE IN  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject proper Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented  Total number of units rented  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Ves No Data Source(s)	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,402  0  PATIO  Garage/Carport  A00  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical  Depreciation  143,450  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E(not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  COME APPROACH IS NOT  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  Io Unit type(s)  Detached  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion	Pass) the comparables  2 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 225.00  3 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 20.00  Functional External  APPLICABLE FOR OV	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35 Years  NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  THE IN  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject proper Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented  Total number of units rented  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Yes  Yes	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING 1,402 0  PATIO Garage/Carport 400 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical I Depreciation 143,450 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  FOR PUDS (if applicable)  to Unit type(s) Detached is an attached dwelling unit.	Pass) the comparables  2 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 225.00  3 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 20.00  Functional External  APPLICABLE FOR OV	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  THE IN  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject proper Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented  Total number of units rented  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Ves No Data Source(s)	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,402  0  PATIO  Garage/Carport  A00  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical  Depreciation  143,450  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E(not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  COME APPROACH IS NOT  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  Io Unit type(s)  Detached  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion	Pass) the comparables  2 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 225.00  3 Sq.Pt. @ \$ 20.00  Functional External  APPLICABLE FOR OV	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state of cost data	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,402  0  PATIO  Garage/Carport  A00  Total Estimate of Cost-New Less  Physical  Depreciation  143,450  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH  E(not required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  COME APPROACH IS NOT  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  Io Unit type(s)  Detached  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion	Sq.R. @ \$ 225.00  Sq.R. @ \$ 225.00  Sq.R. @ \$ 20.00  Functional External  APPLICABLE FOR OV	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,402  0 PATIO  Garage/Carport  Less  Physical  Depreciation  143,450  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  Total required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  COME APPROACH IS NOT.  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached  vis an attached dwelling unit.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion  If No, describe the status of completion.	Sq.R. @ \$ 225.00  Sq.R. @ \$ 225.00  Sq.R. @ \$ 20.00  Functional External  APPLICABLE FOR OV	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(state)  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPRODUCTION OR Source of cost data Marshall-Swift  Quality rating from cost service AVE Effective date of cost data 06/01/2024  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Cost factors derived from Marshall-Swift. AGE LIFE METHOD OF DEPRECIATION. The ratio of land to improvements is typical for the area, as indicated by(using the abstractions process) the comparables used. NO FUNCTIONAL DEPRECIATION. NO EXTERNAL DEPRECIATION.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only)  35 Years  INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Rent Multiplier  Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)  THE IN  PROJECT INFORMATION  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject proper Legal Name of Project  Total number of phases  Total number of units  Total number of units rented  Total number of units for sale  Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD?  Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units?  Provide the following information for PUDs and recreation facilities complete?  Yes No	Cos sing the abstractions proce  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  DWELLING  1,402  0 PATIO  Garage/Carport  Less  Physical  Depreciation  143,450  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements  Total required by Fannie Mae)  = \$  COME APPROACH IS NOT.  FOR PUDs (if applicable)  No Unit type(s)  Detached  vis an attached dwelling unit.  Total number of units sold  Data source(s)  No If Yes, date of conversion  If No, describe the status of completion.	Sq.R. @ \$ 225.00  Sq.R. @ \$ 225.00  Sq.R. @ \$ 20.00  Functional External  APPLICABLE FOR OV	= \$   = \$	525,000 315,450 20,000 8,000 343,450 200,000 40,000 765,000

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended user, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE:

The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

The appraiser's certification in this report is subject

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist.

  Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

 APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appra

The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal
  Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005 UAD Version 9/2011 Page 5 of 6 Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 200

35868881 File # 24-0816

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is ordered and will receive this appraisal report.	the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that
	port to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the nortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal ding, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public
22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appra- laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provision that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.	isal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain sions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice
23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrow insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or m	er, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part ore of these parties.
defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my sig	record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this nature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and livered containing my original hand written signature.
25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisor Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.	this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or ment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States
SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisor	ory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:
I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignmen analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraises.	
2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.	report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions,
3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a s appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is according to the control of the control	ub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the eptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
	of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal
defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my sign valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were de	cord" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this ature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and elivered containing my original hand written signature.
APPRAISER & Gall	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature	Signature
Name Joseph Baldino Jr. Company Name Baldino RE Services	NameCompany Name
Company Address Company Address 6355 Topanga Canyon Blvd, Suite 225	Company Address
Woodland Hills, CA 91367	
Telephone Number (818) 416-3913	Telephone Number
Email Address joe6677@aol.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 08/24/2024	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 08/24/2024	State Certification #
State Certification # AR029340 or State License #	or State License # State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State CA	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 08/29/2024	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF DOOR DTV ADDRASS	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED  7187 Lemur St	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Ventura, CA 93003	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 765,000  LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name Clear Capital	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd , Suite 100,	Date of Inspection
Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Email Address	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

# Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report

35868881 e No. 24-0816

	The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with a cl neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraisal reports w	ith an effective date on or after A	nril 1 2009								
	Property Address 7187 Lemur St	an encours date on or allow.		y Ventura		S	ate CA		ZIP Code 930	03	
	Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC	C									
	Instructions: The appraiser must use the information required on this		clusions, and r	must provide sup	port for those conclusions, regardi	ng					
	housing trends and overall market conditions as reported in the Neigh					ent					
	it is available and reliable and must provide analysis as indicated below	* '									
	explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources will be able to pr					a					
	in the analysis. If data sources provide the required information as an	-			-	20					
	average. Sales and listings must be properties that compete with the subject property. The appraiser must explain any anomalies in the dat					ie					
	Inventory Analysis	Prior 7–12 Months		-6 Months	Current – 3 Months	_		(	Overall Trend		
	Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	14		12	10	X	Increasing	T)	Stable		Declining
	Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	2.33		.00	3.33	Ŕ	Increasing	F	Stable	Ħ	Declining
	Total # of Comparable Active Listings	N/A		I/A	11	Ė	Declining	F	Stable	Ħ	Increasing
	Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab.Rate)	N/A	N	I/A	3.3		Declining		Stable		Increasing
	Median Sale & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7–12 Months	Prior 4–	-6 Months	Current – 3 Months			(	Overall Trend		
	Median Comparable Sale Price	776,000	855	5,000	825,000				Stable		Declining
	Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	13		7	13	Ļ	Declining	X	Stable	Ц	Increasing
	Median Comparable List Price  Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	N.A		I/A	800,000	L	Increasing Declining	H	Stable Stable	$\sqsubseteq$	Declining
YSIS	Madie Oak Die en Wattiek Die	N/A		I/A	23	╬	Increasing	H	Stable	H	Increasing Declining
EARCH & ANALY	Seller-(developer, builder, etc.)paid financial assistance prevalent?	99% Yes	10 ▼ No	14%	102%	╬	Declining	_	Stable	H	Increasing
8.A	Explain in detail the seller concessions trends for the past 12 months			5%, increasing u	ise of buydowns, closing costs, co	ndo				ш	
MARKET RESEAR	PRICE RATHER THAN ANY CONCESSIONS							_	VANT A LC	OWE	ER
Ž	Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the market?	Van Ma	16	unlain (inalisalisa	the trends in listings and sales of f	vaalaa	d nunnamina)				
	, ,	Yes No			the trends in listings and sales of fo	JIECIUSE	u properties).				
	FORECLOSURE AND REO SALES ARE NO	I A FACTOR IN THE	WARKEI	•							
	•	Data Quick, Local ne	wspapers	s, LA Times	s. WWW.NDCDATA.C	OM,	WWW.TI	HEN	ILS.COM,		
	WWW.TEMPO.SOCALMLS.COM, CLAW										
		the Neighborhood section of the	appraisal repor	t form. If you use	ed any additional information, such	as					
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to	=		•	-	as					
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in	formulate your conclusions, pro	vide both an ex	xplanation and su	ipport for your conclusions.		RATIO GI	RID	S INDICAT	ΕA	
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to	formulate your conclusions, pro	vide both an ex	xplanation and su	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S	ALE					
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONC	oformulate your conclusions, produced in the INVENTORY ANA FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE	vide both an ex Lysis gr In the Ni That AL	xplanation and su RID & LIST EIGHBORH	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM	ALE 1PAF	ABLE TO	тн	E SUBJEC	т	
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS	oformulate your conclusions, produced in the INVENTORY ANA FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE	vide both an ex Lysis gr In the Ni That AL	xplanation and su RID & LIST EIGHBORH	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM	ALE 1PAF	ABLE TO	тн	E SUBJEC	т	
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONC	oformulate your conclusions, produced in the INVENTORY ANA FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE	vide both an ex Lysis gr In the Ni That AL	xplanation and su RID & LIST EIGHBORH	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM	ALE 1PAF	ABLE TO	тн	E SUBJEC	т	
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONC	oformulate your conclusions, produced in the INVENTORY ANA FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE	vide both an ex Lysis gr In the Ni That AL	xplanation and su RID & LIST EIGHBORH	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM	ALE 1PAF	ABLE TO	тн	E SUBJEC	т	
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONC	oformulate your conclusions, produced in the INVENTORY ANA FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE	vide both an ex Lysis gr In the Ni That AL	xplanation and su RID & LIST EIGHBORH	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM	ALE 1PAF	ABLE TO	тн	E SUBJEC	т	
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONC	oformulate your conclusions, produced in the INVENTORY ANA FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE	vide both an ex Lysis gr In the Ni That AL	xplanation and su RID & LIST EIGHBORH	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE CON PROPERTIES IN THE I	ALE IPAF NEIG	ABLE TO	тн	E SUBJEC	т	
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCITHE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THE SUBJECT IS A UNIT IN A CONCINION OF THE SUB	of formulate your conclusions, profile INVENTORY ANALASE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SU	LYSIS GF IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and su RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER I	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I	ALE IPAF NEIG	ABLE TO	TH	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF	т	
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCITHE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THE SUBject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely subject Project Data	oformulate your conclusions, pro- HE INVENTORY ANAL IS FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SL	LYSIS GF IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and su RID & LIST EIGHBORH	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE CON PROPERTIES IN THE I	ALE IPAF NEIG	ABLE TO	TH	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF	т	RING
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCITHE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED TO THE SUBject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	of formulate your conclusions, profile INVENTORY ANALASE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SU	LYSIS GF IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and su RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER I	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I	ALE IPAF NEIG	RABLE TO HBORHO	TH	E SUBJEC ARE MIRE	т	<b>Declining</b>
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCITHE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED TO THE SUBJECT OF THE SUBJECT	of formulate your conclusions, profile INVENTORY ANALASE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SU	LYSIS GF IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and su RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER I	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I	ALE IPAF NEIG	Increasing Increasing	TH	E SUBJEC  ARE MIRF  Overall Trend  Stable  Stable	т	Declining Declining
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCIDENTED THAT IS COMPARISHED TO SALES AND SAL	of formulate your conclusions, profile INVENTORY ANALASE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SU	LYSIS GF IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and su RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER I	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I	ALE IPAF NEIG	Increasing Increasing Decilining	TH	E SUBJEC ARE MIRE	т	Declining Declining Increasing
	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCITHE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED TO THE SUBJECT OF THE SUBJECT	of formulate your conclusions, profile INVENTORY ANALASE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SU	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining
S	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THAT IS COMPUTED THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THE SUBject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a u	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALAS FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF T	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
JECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THAT IS COMPUTED THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THE SUBject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a u	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALAS FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF T	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
ROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THAT IS COMPUTED THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THE SUBject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a u	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALAS FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF T	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THAT IS COMPUTED THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THE SUBject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a u	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALAS FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF T	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
0/CO-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THAT IS COMPUTED THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THE SUBject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a u	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALAS FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF T	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
DNDO/CO-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THAT IS COMPUTED THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THE SUBject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a u	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALAS FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF T	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THAT IS COMPUTED THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPUTED THE SUBject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative project, completely a formation of the subject is a u	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONC THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONC THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONC THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONC THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONC THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONC THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS A COMPORTED THAT	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED TO THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY TH	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	xplanation and st. RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F	pport for your conclusions.  PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
_	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED TO THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED TO THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED TO THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY THA	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.	RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F  6 Months  dicate the number  Signature  Supervisory App	PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months  er of REO listings and explain the tr	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
_	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED TO THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED TO THE SUBJECT TO THE SUB	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALASE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF THE SUBJECT	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.  Prior 4-	RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F  6 Months  dicate the number  Signature Supervisory App Company Name	PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months  er of REO listings and explain the tr	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
CONDO/CO-OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED TO THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COM	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALASE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF THE SUBJECT	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.  Prior 4-	RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER I OTHER I OTHER I Signature Supervisory Api Company Name Company Addre	PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months  er of REO listings and explain the tr	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC  ARE MIRF  Overall Trend  Stable  Stable Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing
_	Summarize the above information as support for your conclusions in an analysis of pending sales and/or expired and withdrawn listings, to THE OVERALL TRENDS REPORTED ON THE STABLE TREND IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THIS IS NOT CONCINE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED THAT IS COMPORTED TO THE TREND OF PROPERTY THAT IS COMPORTED TO THE SUBJECT TO THE SUB	of formulate your conclusions, profee INVENTORY ANALASE FOR PROPERTIES CLUSIVE EVIDENCE PARABLE TO THE SUBJECT OF THE SUBJECT	vide both an et LYSIS GR IN THE NI THAT AL JBJECT.  Prior 4-	RID & LIST EIGHBORH L OTHER F  6 Months  dicate the number  Signature Supervisory App Company Name	PRICE DOM & LIST S HOOD THAT ARE COM PROPERTIES IN THE I  Project Na Current – 3 Months  er of REO listings and explain the tr	MEIG	Increasing Increasing Declining Declining	TH OD	E SUBJEC ARE MIRF  Overall Trend Stable Stable Stable	т	Declining Declining Increasing

Freddie Mac Form 71 March 2009

### **Supplemental Addendum**

Borrower	Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC							
Property Address	7187 Lemur St							
City	Ventura	County	Ventura	State	CA	Zip Code	93003	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							

File No. 24-0816

#### • Exterior-Only: Neighborhood - description

The subject is located in a stable area. It is in proximity to many financial, industrial, service & movie industry centers. The subject is located minutes from major traffic arteries on Ventura and Wooley. The subject is located less than 3 miles south of the 101 fwy. The subject is located within 20 miles from major retail, entertainment & employment opportunities in Oxnard, Ventura and Camarillo.

THE APPRAISER ADJUSTED FOR DISSIMILARITIES BETWEEN THE SUBJECT & COMPARABLES ACCORDING TO THE MARKET REACTION. THEREFORE DISSIMILARITIES THAT HAVE A 0 INDICATED IN THE ADJUSTMENT COLUMN MEANS THE APPRAISER HAS ACKNOWLEDGED THE DIFFERENCE, HOW EVER THE MARKET DOES NOT SUPPORT ANY ADJUSTMENT

THE APPRAISER HAS COMPLETED THIS APPRAISAL IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE APPRAISER INDEPENDENCE REQUIREMENT AND HAS NOT PERFORMED, PARTICIPATED IN, OR BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH ANY ACTIVITY IN VIOLATION OF CODE

NO EMPLOYEE, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OR AGENT OF THE LENDER, OR ANY OTHER THIRD PARTY ACTING AS A JOINT VENTURE PARTNER, INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR, APPRAISAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY, OR PARTNER ON BEHALF OF THE LENDER, SHALL INFLUENCE OR ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DEVELOPMENT, REPORTING RESULT OR REVIEW OF AN APPRAISAL THROUGH COERCION, EXTORTION, COLLUSION, COMPENSATION, INSTRUCTION, INDUCEMENT, INTIMIDATION, BRIBERY OR IN ANY OTHER MANNER.

I HAVE NOT BEEN CONTACTED BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE INTENDED USER(LENDER/CLIENT AS IDENTIFIED ON THE FIRST PAGE OF THE REPORT), BORROWER, OR DESIGNATED CONTACT TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO ENTER THE PROPERTY. I AGREE TO IMMEDIATELY REPORT ANY UNAUTHORIZED CONTACTS EITHER PERSONALLY BY PHONE OR ELECTRONICALLY TO CLEAR CAPITAL.

THE APPRAISER'S OFFICE IS WITHIN 15 MILES OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. IN THE PAST 5 YEARS I HAVE COMPLETED 10 TO 15 APPRAISALS A YEAR IN THIS AREA. I HAVE ACCESS TO CLAW MLS & CRMLS THE 2 DOMINANT MLS SERVICES IN THE AREA. I LIVE IN THE SUBJECT COUNTY & HAVE PERFORMED APPRAISALS IN THE ARE FOR OVER 10 YEARS

Use of the appraisal by any party stipulates to an agency relationship between that party and the appraiser whether through intermediaries or not; further that any party charging the borrower or collecting appraisal fees from the borrower(s)for the appraisal hereby agrees that they are responsible for insuring full payment is made to the appraiser for the appraisal

Range of values at the top of page two is reflective of the parameters entered in search for statistically similar neighborhood sales and often times results in a wider range of values due to the variances in quality and additional features that the system is unable to auto filter.

At the request of the client, the appraisal report has been prepared in compliance with the Uniform Appraisal Dataset (UAD) from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The UAD requires the appraiser to use standardized responses that include specific formats, definitions, abbreviations and acronyms. The appraiser attempted to obtain an adequate amount of information in the normal course of business regarding the subject and comparable properties. Some of the standardized responses required by the UAD, especially those in which the appraiser had not had the opportunity to verify personally or measure, could mistakenly imply greater precision and reliability in the data than is factually correct or typical in the normal course of business.

Examples include condition and quality ratings as well as comparable sales and listing data. Not every element of the subject

property was viewable and comparable property data was generally obtained from third party sources.

SALES FOUND ACROSS MAJOR ROADWAYS AND FREEWAYS ARE STILL CONSIDERED THE SAME AREA AND HAS NO EFFECT ON MARKETABILITY.

NO PERSONAL PROPERTY WAS INCLUDED IN THE VALUATION OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.

THE SUBJECT IS NOT LOCATED TO ANY COMMERCIAL PROPERTY THAT WOULD EFFECT THE MARKETABILITY OF THE SUBJECT

The state of California has recently experienced catastrophic wildfires. The subject and surrounding area have not been physically affected. The overall impact to the subject's housing market is not known at this time

The preparer assumes the interior condition of the improvements to be the same as the exterior condition of the property unless otherwise noted in the report. If the assumptions are found to be incorrect it may alter the results of the report.

NO ADJUSTMENT FOR AGE, ANY ADJUSTMENT FOR AGE, IF WARRANTED, WOULD BE FOUND IN THE QUALITY ADJUSTMENT.

35868881

			File No. <b>24-0816</b>
ron	Neighbor to Neighbor Ho	mes LLC	
	erty Address 7187 Lemur St		
City	Ventura	County Ventura	State CA Zip Code 93003
Lend	er Wedgewood Inc		
Г			
	This report was prepared under the following	JSPAP reporting option:	
	Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(a).	
	<u> </u>		
	Restricted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b).	
	_		
L			
_			
	Reasonable Exposure Time		
	My opinion of a reasonable exposure time for the sul	oject property at the market value stated in this report is:	90 DAYS
			3027110
L			
_			
	Additional Certifications		
	I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:		
	I have NOT performed services, as an appraise	or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report	within the
	three-year period immediately preceding accep	ance of this assignment.	
	I HAVE performed services, as an appraiser or	n another capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within	n the three-year
	period immediately preceding acceptance of thi	s assignment. Those services are described in the comments below.	
	- The statements of fact contained in this repo		
	·		a and are my naveenal importial and unbiased
		ions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions	s and are my personal, impartial, and unblased
	professional analyses, opinions, and conclusion		
	<ul> <li>Unless otherwise indicated, I have no present</li> </ul>	or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report ar	nd no personal interest with respect to the parties
	involved.		
	- I have no bias with respect to the property that	at is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this assignmen	nt.
		ontingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.	
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ined value or direction in value that foreign the course of
		nent is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predeterm	I
	the client, the amount of the value opinion, the	attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event	t directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
	- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were	developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Ur	niform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that
	were in effect at the time this report was prepar	ed.	
		rsonal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.	
	The state of the s	significant real property appraisal assistance to the person(s) signing this	c cartification (if there are exceptions, the name of each
	, ,		5 Certification (ii there are exceptions, the manie of each
	individual providing significant real property app	raisal assistance is stated elsewhere in this report).	
L			
Γ			
	Additional Comments		
-			
-			
1			
-			
-			
-			
	1 1	7.1	
A	PPRAISER:	SUPERVISORY APPRAI	ISER: (only if required)
A	IPPRAISER:	SUPERVISORY APPRAI	ISER: (only if required)
A	PPRAISER: Au Dau	SUPERVISORY APPRAI	ISER: (only if required)
	IPPRAISER: Au Gau	SUPERVISORY APPRAI	ISER: (only if required)
S	ignature:		ISER: (only if required)
S	ignature:  Joseph Baldino Jr.	Signature: Name:	ISER: (only if required)
S N D	ignature: ame: Joseph Baldino Jr. ate Signed: 08/24/2024	Signature: Name:  Date Signed:	ISER: (only if required)
S N D	ignature: ame: Joseph Baldino Jr. ate Signed: 08/24/2024 tate Certification #: AR029340	Signature: Name:  Date Signed: State Certification #:	ISER: (only if required)
S N D S	ignature: ame: Joseph Baldino /r. ate Signed: 08/24/2024 tate Certification #: AR029340 r State License #:	Signature:  Name:  Date Signed:  State Certification #:  or State License #:	ISER: (only if required)
S N D S	ignature: ame: Joseph Baldino Jr. ate Signed: 08/24/2024 tate Certification #: AR029340	Signature:   Name:	
S N D S	ignature: ame: Joseph Baldino Jr. ate Signed: 08/24/2024 tate Certification #: AR029340 r State License #: tate: CA	Signature:  Name:  Date Signed:  State Certification #:  or State License #:	
S N D S on S E	ignature: ame: Joseph Baldino Jr. ate Signed: 08/24/2024 tate Certification #: AR029340 r State License #: tate: CA	Signature: Name: Date Signed: State Certification #: or State License #: State:	License:

# **Subject Photo Page**

Borrower	Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC							
Property Address	7187 Lemur St							
City	Ventura	County	Ventura	State	CA	Zip Code	93003	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



# **Subject Front**

**7187 Lemur St** Sales Price

 Gross Living Area
 1,402

 Total Rooms
 6

 Total Bedrooms
 3

 Total Bathrooms
 2.0

 Location
 N;Res;

 View
 N;Res;

 Site
 6052 sf

 Quality
 Q4

 Age
 58

**Subject Rear** 



**Subject Street** 

# **Comparable Photo Page**

Borrower	Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC							
Property Address	7187 Lemur St							
City	Ventura	County	Ventura	State	CA	Zip Code	93003	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



# Comparable 1

### 7591 Henderson Rd

Prox. to Subject 0.85 miles NE Sale Price 755,000 Gross Living Area 1,423 Total Rooms 5 Total Bedrooms 2 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location N;Res; View N;Res; 6741 sf Site Q4 Quality Age 41



# Comparable 2

### 6841 Crowley Ave

Prox. to Subject 0.29 miles SW Sale Price 796,000 Gross Living Area 1,272 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 1.1 Location N;Res; View N;Res; Site 6692 sf Quality Q4 Age 66



## Comparable 3

## 8050 Denver St

Prox. to Subject 0.81 miles NE Sale Price 765,000 Gross Living Area 1,423 Total Rooms 6 Total Bedrooms 3 Total Bathrooms 2.0 Location A;BsyRd; N;Res; View Site 5040 sf Quality Q4 Age 41


# **Location Map**

Borrower	Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC							
Property Address	7187 Lemur St							
City	Ventura	County	Ventura	State	CA	Zip Code	93003	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							




# **Location Map**

Borrower	Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC							
Property Address	7187 Lemur St							
City	Ventura	County	Ventura	State	CA	Zip Code	93003	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							




## **PLAT MAP**

Borrower	Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC							
Property Address	7187 Lemur St							
City	Ventura	County	Ventura	State	CA	Zip Code	93003	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



### **LICENSE**

Borrower	Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC							
Property Address	7187 Lemur St							
City	Ventura	County	Ventura	State	CA	Zip Code	93003	
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc							



### **E & O INSURANCE**

Borrower	Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC						
Property Address	7187 Lemur St						
City	Ventura	County	Ventura	State	CA	Zip Code	93003
Lender/Client	Wedgewood Inc						

### **HUDSON INSURANCE COMPANY**

100 William Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10038



### REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS ERRORS AND OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY DECLARATIONS

NOTICE: THIS IS A "CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED" POLICY. THIS POLICY REQUIRES THAT A CLAIM BE MADE AGAINST THE INSURED DURING THE POLICY PERIOD AND REPORTED TO THE INSURER, IN WRITING, DURING THE POLICY PERIOD OR AUTOMATIC EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD.

THIS POLICY MAY CONTAIN PROVISIONS WHICH LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF CLAIM EXPENSES THE INSURER IS RESPONSIBLE TO PAY IN CONNECTION WITH CLAIMS. CLAIM EXPENSES SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ANY DEDUCTIBLE AMOUNT. THE PAYMENT OF CLAIM EXPENSES WILL REDUCE THE LIMITS OF LIABILITY STATED IN ITEM 4. OF THE DECLARATIONS. PLEASE READ YOUR POLICY CAREFULLY.

#### PLEASE READ THIS POLICY CAREFULLY.

Policy Number: PRA-1AX-1004156 Renewal of: PRA-1AX-1002851

 Named Insured: Joseph P. Baldino Jr
 Address: 7638 Linley Lane West Hills, CA, 91304

3. Policy Period: From: <u>01/16/2024</u> To: <u>01/16/2025</u>

12:01 A.M. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Number 2 above

4. Limit of Liability Each Claim Policy Aggregate

Damages Limit of Liability A. \$1,000,000 B. \$2,000,000

Claims Expense Limit of C. \$1,000,000 D. \$2,000,000

Liability

5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claims Expenses):

**5A.** \$500 Each Claim **5B.** \$1,000 Aggregate

6. Policy Premium: \$774.00 State Taxes/Surcharges: \$0.00

7. Retroactive Date: 01/16/2015

8. Notice to Company: Notice of a Claim or Potential Claim should be sent to:

Hudson Insurance Group 100 William Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10038

Fax: 646-216-3786

Email: hudsonclaims300@hudsoninsgroup.com

9. A. Program Administrator: Riverton Insurance Agency Corp.

B. Agent/Broker: ALIA (Riverton Insurance Agency Corp.)

Phone: (800) 882-4410

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, We have caused this policy to be executed by our President and our Corporate Secretary at New York, New York

President Secretary

PRA100 (01/20) Page | 1