If Yes, describe

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

			Bluebay	/ Appraisal l	nc.					
٠, .								File No.	35868684	
Hui	bin Lan E	xterior-Only	Inspection	Residen	tial Apprai	isal	Renort	Case No.	58295	
	The purpose of this summary appraisal repo							narket value o	f the subject prope	erty.
	Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique		(	City	Morgan Hi			e CA Zip (		
	Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Hon				KO JUNG J		-	County	Santa Clara	
	Legal Description LOT:1 CITY:MORGA	AN HILL SUBD:HI	DDEN CREEK	TR#:5677		1	0000	D.F. T.	<b></b>	
5	Assessor's Parcel # 767-25-015 Neighborhood Name San Jose			Map Refer	Tax Year	4	2023 8-D5	Census Tr	<u>s \$ 9,049</u> act 5030.0	<u></u>
SUBJECT		/acant Special Asse	ssments \$	0	PUD			0		er month
m M	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simpl		Other (describe	)			•		11:- 7:- 11-	
S	Assignment Type Purchase Transaction	on Refinance	Fransaction X							
	Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc				tan Beach Blv		•			
	Is the subject property currently offered for s Report data source(s) used, offerings price(			e tweive montr	is prior to the ene	cuve	date of this app	raisai?	Yes X No	
	Treport data source(s) asea, one migs price(	3), and date(3). The								
	I did did not analyze the contra	ct for sale for the sub	ject purchase tran	saction. Expla	in the results of th	ne an	alysis of the con	tract for sale o	or why the analysis	was not
15	performed.									
CONTRACT	Contract Price \$ Date of	Contract	lo the property	coller the own	er of public recor	40 <u>[</u>	Yes No	Data Source	0(0)	
E	Is there any financial assistance (loan charge									No
Ö	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and de			Torre addictario	o, oto., to be paid	<i>5</i> , a	ing party on bone			
	·		•							
	Note: Description of			fo oto we						
	Note: Race and the racial composition of Neighborhood Characteristics	the neighborhood		Init Housing	Trends		One-Ur	nit Housing	Present Land Use	: %
Δ		Rural Propert	y Values X Inc	reasing	Stable	Decli		AGE	One-Unit	95 %
OOC						OverS		(yrs)	2-4 Unit	2 %
ĭ		•	ing Time X Und			Over6		Low 1	Multi-Family	2 %
Ö	Neighborhood Boundaries The north boundary is the Middle Ave; a				is the Monterey	/ Ka.		High 94 Pred. 29	Commercial Other	1 %
<b>NEIGHBORHO</b>	Neighborhood Description The subject pr				City of Morgan	Hill;				
8	3-5 miles to schools, parks, shopping ce	enters and other cor	nmunity services	. The prope	rty fits into the g	ener	al quality and c	condition in the	ne area. The subj	ect's
Z	neighborhood is located within 5 -10 mile									
	Market Conditions (including support for the	above conclusions)	The neighborho	od trend is in	creasing overa	II for	the last 12 mo	onths		
	Dimensions 120.4 X 1			2040 sf	Shape		ctangular	View	N;Res;	
	Specific Zoning Classification Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal	R1 Nonconforming (Gra		ption Single No Zoning	Family Reside					
	Zoning Compliance   X   Legal     Legal   Is the highest and best use of subject prope							No. If No.	describe. See	
	Comment	ity de improvod (er di	s propossa por pie	and and opcom	oddonoj dio proce	one ac	70. [71]100	110 11110,	40001100.	
ш	Utilities Public Other (describe)		Public Other	(describe)			e Improvements	sType		ivate
느	Electricity X Gas X	Water	x X				sphalt		X	
U)	Gas X   Yes	Sanitary Sewe		FI	Alley EMA Map # 060		one 5-0607H	FFMA Man [	Date 05/18/2009	
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements				o, describe.			1 Lini (inap i	7410 007 107 200	
	Are there any adverse site conditions or exte	,							If Yes, describe.	
	The subject has the NOISE adverse site		•					•	•	stment
	will be applied accordingly in the sales g	ind,but no any mark	etability issue di	ie to this beca	ause with/withot	at this	s adverse iacio	r nas similar	DOM.	
	Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics	of Property App	raisal Files X	MLS X Ass	essment and Tax	Reco	ords Prior I	nspection X	Property Owner	
		by Exterior Inspe			ce(s) for Gross Liv	ving A		Rea	lQuest	
	General Description	General Desc		Heatin X FWA	g / Cooling		Amenities	1	Car Storage	
	Units X One One with Accessory Unit  # of Stories 2	Concrete Slab Full Basement	Finished	Radiant	HWBB	-	Fireplace(s) # Woodstove(s) #	1 X	None Driveway # of Ca	rs 3
	Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit	Partial Basemer		Other			Patio/Deck Col		eway Surface Con	
	X Existing Proposed UnderConst.	Exterior Walls Woo	dsidings/Good	Fuel Gas		Х	Porch Concre	ete X	Garage # of Ca	rs 3
	Design (Style) Contemp	Roof Surface	Tile/Good	_	Air Conditioning	\ <u>\</u>	Pool None		Carport # of Ca	
	Year Built 1977 Effective Age (Yrs) 40	Gutters & Downspot Window Type	<u>ıts Gal.Alum/Go</u> Bliding/Good	Individu Other		X	Fence Wood Other None	X	Attached D Built-in	etached
တ	Appliances X Refrigerator X Range/Ove						Other (describe)		Duiit-iii	
Z	Finished area <b>above</b> grade contains:	8 Rooms	5 Bedroor			2,670		eet of Gross	Living Area Above	Grade
Σ	Additional features (special energy efficient		ane windows.							
OVEMENT	Describe the Process of the Control				- d 0	4:		- \ O4 T'	b!+' '	
	Describe the condition of the property and d average condition The data source		·	_				_		
MPR	and VERIFIED by the owner . No ph									,0111)
	Economic Life for the subject is abo									

Does the property generally conform to the neighborhood (functional utility, style, condition, use, construction, etc.)? X Yes No If No, describe

Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions that affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property? Yes X No

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report

comparable properties currently offered for sale in the subject neighborhood ranging in price from \$ 1,000,000 There are to \$ 5,995,000 850,000 There are comparable sales in the subject neighborhood within the past twelve months ranging in sale price from \$ to\$ 3,520,000 **FFATURE** COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE #3 **SUBJECT** Address 15350 Calle Enrique 525 La Canada Court 15470 La Pala Court 15635 La Tierra Drive Morgan Hill, CA 95037 Morgan Hill, CA 95037 Morgan Hill, CA 95037 Morgan Hill, CA 95037 0.14 miles SW 0.18 miles NW 0.45 miles W Proximity to Subject Sale Price 1,382,000 \$ 1,655,000 1,640,000 \$ 0.00 sq. ft. \$ 569.43 sq. ft. 625.47 sq. ft. 585.92 Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area sq. ft. ML# ML81963458;DOM 10 ML# ML81967345;DOM 9 ML# ML81966707;DOM 8 Data Source(s) Realquest Doc# 25660520 Realquest Doc# 25646960 Realquest Doc# 25657073 Verification Source(s) VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment Sale or Financing ArmLth ArmLth ArmLth Concessions Conv;0 Conv;0 Conv;0 s06/24;c05/24 Date of Sale/Time s06/24;c05/24 0 s07/24;c06/24 0 -40,000 B;Res;Cul-de-Sac -40,000 -20,000 A;Res;School N:Res: Location B;Res;Cul-de-Sac Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Leasehold/Fee Simple 12040 sf +17,500 +72,500 9057 sf Site 10890 sf 7200 sf +44,500 View N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; N;Res; DT2;Contemp DT2;Contemp Design (Style) DT2;Contemp DT2;Contemp **Quality of Construction** Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Actual Age 47 48 39 36 -60,000 -60,000 -60,000 Condition C4 C3 C3 C3 Total Bdrms. Total Bdrms. Total Bdrms. Above Grade Baths Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Baths +10,000 Room Count 5 3.0 7 5 2.1 8 5 3.0 5 3.0 49,000 +9,000 Gross Living Area 2,670 2,427 sq. ft. 2,646 sq. ft. 2,799 sa. ft Basement & Finished 0sf 0sf 0sf 0sf Rooms Below Grade **Functional Utility** Average Average Average Average FWA/Central FWA/Central FWA/Central FWA/Central Heating/Cooling **Energy Efficient Items Dual Pane Window Dual Pane Window Dual Pane Window Dual Pane Window** COMPARISON +10.000 Garage/Carport 3gbi3dw 2gbi2dw 3gbi3dw 3gbi3dw Porch/Patio/Deck Porch/Concrete Porch/Concrete Porch/Concrete Porch/Concrete Fireplaces 1 Fireplace 1 Fireplace 1 Fireplace 1 Fireplace Pool None 1 Pool -20,000 1 Pool -20,000 None 1365,000 Listing Price \$ None 1,549,998 1,549,000 Net Adjustment (Total) X + + X -38,500 + X --104,500 30,000 SH Adjusted Sale Price Net Adj: 2% Net Adj: -2% Net Adj: -6% Gross Adj: 17% 1,412,000 Gross Adj: 12% 1 616 500 Gross Adj: 12% of Comparables 1,535,500 I X did did not research the sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales. If not, explain My research X did did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property for the three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal. Data source(s) RealQuest, MLS. did X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale My research Data source(s) RealQuest, MLS see sales grid Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITEM **SUBJECT** COMPARABLE SALE #1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 COMPARABLE SALE #3 Date of Prior Sale/Transfer 07/15/2024 Price of Prior Sale/Transfer \$0 DOC# 25664340 Data Source(s) Realquest Realquest Realquest 02/01/2023 02/01/2023 02/01/2023 Effective Date of Data Source(s) 02/01/2023 no prior sale of the comparables for the last 12 Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales Search the database, The previous transaction of the subject was a Notice of Sale another 7 Notice of sale at the date 7/6/2022 10/12/2022,11/29/2022,5/9/2023,8/9/2023,9/13/2023,3/22/2024 in the attached property profile from tilte report. The previous sale of the comp3 was lower because the subject's market has been incresing for the last 12 months The subject DOES NOT have Solar Panels. All Comps are closed sales within last 7 months of similar design and age, and similar quality, Summary of Sales Comparison Approach condition and appeal from subject's market area. Adjustments are made as follows: 1). Site: \$20/SF(For lot size difference larger than 10% of the subject's lot size); 2). Gross living area: \$380/SF(For GLA difference more than 20 sqft); 3). Bedroom: \$10000/Bedroom; 4). Bathroom: \$20000/Bathroom; 5). Age: \$700/Year(For age difference more than 50 years); 6). Fire place: \$3,000/Fireplace;7) Car storage: \$10,000/car.8). The monthly time adjustment used 0.4% for the contract date difference more than 3 months according to 1004MC Data, 9).Location:\$20000/per benefit/Adverse Factor; 10). Energy: \$20000/Solar Panels; The above adjustment are obtained by paired analysis of the comparables in the subject's neighborhood and is typical to the area. 1,545,000 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$ Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$ 1,545,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$ 1,546,135 Income Approach (if developed) \$ Most emphasis is on the market comparison approach which considers sales of similar properties within subject's neighbourhood. Cost approach is supportive Income approach is not applicable: As the subject is used as a primary resident and almost all the homes in the subject's neighborhood are purchased for owner occupancy The digital signatures on this report are password protected. They are true and exactly same as original ones. This appraisal is made X "as is," subject to completion per plans and specifications on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair: \*\*This Appraisal Report is intended use for the intended lender/client and/or its assigns for use in mortgage transaction\*\* Based on a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and appraiser's certification, my (our) opinion of the market value, as defined, of the real property that is the subject of this report is 1,545,000 , as of 08/23/2024 , which is the date of inspection and the effective date of this appraisal

DNA

**Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report** 

-	Extensi only inspection residential reprinted to both	
	Comparable selection:All the comps are arm length transactions.	
	R1=Single family Residence: the minimum lot size for single family is 5000 sqft or above, The Maximum Residential Density = 9 units p	per
	acres.But for much newer single family the lot size will be smaller according to the denisty allowed(Alameda county zoning ordiance:	
	http://library.municode.com/HTML/16425/level2/TIT17ZO_CH17.08DI.html#TIT17ZO_CH17.08DI_17.08.060BUSI)	
	This appraisal was ordered in compliance with Appraisal Independence "AIR" and Mortgage Letter 2009-28.	
	No any personal property is included in this transaction.	
	In order to bracket the condition of the subject, I have to extend the guideline of the sold time to use comp7 in the competing	
	neighborhood.	
	Note that the condition adjustment for comp1,comp2,comp3,comp5,comp6,comp4 are because These Comparables have a better	
	upgraded kitchen(newer granite counter top and newer cabinet),Bathrooms(newer Granite/corian counter top) and flooring(newer	
	hardwood/tile/carpet) while the Subject has less upgraded kitchen(older laminate/tile counter top,older cabinet),bathroom(older	
	tile/laminate counter top) and flooring(older laminate/tile/carpet flooring). The good condition houses usually with higher sales price, the	
n		-
Ě	condition adjustment was obtained by the pairing analysis of the comparables(comp3 vs comp7)	
ī		
Ĭ	Due to the difference of GLA,condition ,style and location, the Net adjustment of comp7 and the pre-adjusted comparables price rar	nge
≥	is beyond the usual guideline.	
Ò	,	
?	The age ,lot size ,GLA,location adjustments were obtained by the pair analysis of the comparables in the subject's neighborhood. Note	that
7		
2	the age difference is within 35 years and the lot size difference within 10% of the subject's lot size is seen as brackted as no adjusmen	nt
2	are needed in this case.	
		]
į	All the comps are in the same or competing neighborhood ( As the housing price are mainly impacted by the school's rating, all the	
i	comparables and the subject have the same or similar school ratings) within 1 miles with similar condition and location. Most emphasis	•
	·	
	are addressed in the near and similar condition comp7 and the overall most similar (The similar location and the almost least gross and	<u> </u>
	net adjustment) comp6 (30% for comp6 and comp7 respectively, 8% each for the 4 remained sold comp).	
	Note that the subject's final market value is higher than the predominant value of the neighborhood. This is because the subject has	а
	larger GLA and a larger lot size and in an increasing market for the last 12 months. No any marketability issue noticed due to this(i.e.	
	DOM of housing value higher than the predominant value is similar to the housing value lower than the predominant value).	
	Bow of flousing value higher than the predominant value is similar to the flousing value lower than the predominant value).	
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.)	
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.)  Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.	
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.	vift
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw	
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical	
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw	
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .	for
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 750,0	for ,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .	for ,000
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE =\$ 750,0	for ,000 1,600
ATTROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTION OR  X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  =\$ 750,4  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling  2,670  Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014	for ,000 1,600
D ATTROACE	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  =\$ 750,4  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	,000 1,600
DOL APPROACE	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  =\$ 750,1  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 =\$ 72,00	for ,000 1,600 )
COST ATTROOP	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  =\$ 750,1  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 =\$ 72,000 estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new  =\$ 1,086	for ,000 1,600 )
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw. cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  =\$ 750,0  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 =\$ 72,0  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new  =\$ 1,086  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5	for ,000 4,600 ) 000 8,600
COST ATTACACO	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw. cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good Effective date of cost data Current  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to  Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5  improvement ratio is typical for the area . The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 27,165 =\$ (570,4)	for ,000 4,600 ) 000 6,600
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw. cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 =\$ 72,00  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 improvement ratio is typical for the area . The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 27,165 =\$ ( 570, to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 516,	for ,000 4,600 ) 000 5,600 ,465 )
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw. cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good Effective date of cost data Current  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to  Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5  improvement ratio is typical for the area . The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 27,165 =\$ (570,4)	for ,000 4,600 ) 000 5,600 ,465 )
EDECKLE SOO	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw. cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 =\$ 72,00  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 improvement ratio is typical for the area . The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 27,165 =\$ ( 570, to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 516,	for ,000 4,600 ) 000 5,600 ,465 )
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & swc cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750,1 Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 = \$ 1,014 Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ = \$ 0 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 = \$ 72,0 estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new = \$ 1,086 typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 improvement ratio is typical for the area . The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 27,165 = \$ (570, to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ 516, major deferred maintenance noted.  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ 280, in the strand of the stra	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
GOOD ATTROACT	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750,1 \$  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 380.00 = \$ 1,014 \$  Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt Sq. Ft. @ \$ = \$ 0 \$  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 120.00 = \$ 72,0 \$  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new = \$ 1,086 \$  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 improvement ratio is typical for the area . The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 27,165 = \$ ( 570,100 to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ 516, major deferred maintenance noted. "As-is" Value of Site Improvements = \$ 280, major deferred maintenance noted. = \$ 1,546	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
MINIE COOL ATTROPOL	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & swc cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTIONOR  X  REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  =\$ 750,4  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling  2,670  Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00  =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good  Effective date of cost data  Current  Bsmt  Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00  =\$ 1,014  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost  Garage/Carport  600  Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00  =\$ 72,0  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed  Total Estimate of Cost-new  =\$ 1,086  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to  Less  Physical 50  Functional 0  External 5  improvement ratio is typical for the area . The age/life method is used  Depreciation  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  =\$ 516,  major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40  Years  Indicated Value By Cost Approach  -\$ 1,546	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
COME ATTROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & swe cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTION OR  X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  =\$ 750,1  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling  2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good  Effective date of cost data  Current  Bsmt  Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service Good  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to  Less  Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5  improvement ratio is typical for the area . The age/life method is used  Depreciation  Depreciation  Depreciation  Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 =\$ 72,00  \$\$ 1,086  \$\$	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
NCOME COS ATTACACA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & swc cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTIONOR  X  REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  =\$ 750,4  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling  2,670  Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00  =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good  Effective date of cost data  Current  Bsmt  Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00  =\$ 1,014  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost  Garage/Carport  600  Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00  =\$ 72,0  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed  Total Estimate of Cost-new  =\$ 1,086  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to  Less  Physical 50  Functional 0  External 5  improvement ratio is typical for the area . The age/life method is used  Depreciation  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  =\$ 516,  major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40  Years  Indicated Value By Cost Approach  -\$ 1,546	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
INCOME COST ATTROACT	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good Effective date of cost data Current  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed  Total Estimate of Cost-new  Total Estimate of Cost-new  Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 =\$ 72,0  Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 =\$ 120.00  Sq. Ft. @\$	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750.1 Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 380.00 = \$ 1,014 Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt Sq. Ft. @ \$ 120.00 = \$ 72.0 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 120.00 = \$ 72.0 estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new = \$ 1,086 typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 277,165 = \$ ( 570.7 Localculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ 516, major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach = \$ 1,546 INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.)  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
INCOME ATTROOP	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good Effective date of cost data Current  Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ =\$ 0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed  Total Estimate of Cost-new  Total Estimate of Cost-new  Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 =\$ 72,0  Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 =\$ 120.00  Sq. Ft. @\$	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
INCOME ATTROBO	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750.1 Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 380.00 = \$ 1,014 Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt Sq. Ft. @ \$ 120.00 = \$ 72.0 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 120.00 = \$ 72.0 estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new = \$ 1,086 typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 277,165 = \$ ( 570.7 Localculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or Depreciated Cost of Improvements = \$ 516, major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach = \$ 1,546 INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.)  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750, Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014 Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt Sq. Ft. @ \$ 9.0 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 120.00 =\$ 72,0 estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1,086 typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 27,165 =\$ (570, to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 516, major deferred maintenance noted.  "As-is" Value of Site Improvements =\$ 280, Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$ 1,546	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTIONOR  X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  -\$ 750,1 Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling  2,670  Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00  -\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good  Effective date of cost data  Current  Bsmt  Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00  -\$ 72.0  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed  Total Estimate of Cost-new  -\$ 1,086  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to  Less  Improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used  Despreciated Cost of Improvements  -\$ 280,1  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40  Years  Indicated Value By Cost Approach  Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDs (if applicable)  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Years  No Unit type(s)  Detached  Attached  Attached  Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is no control of the HOA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Legal Name of Project	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & swi cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area .  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750.0 Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 =\$ 1,014 Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt Sq. Ft. @\$ \$ 9.0 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 =\$ 72.0 estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new =\$ 1,086 typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 27,165 =\$ (570.0 to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or Depreciated Cost of Improvements =\$ 516.0 major deferred maintenance noted.  Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$ 1,546 Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$ 1,546 Indicated Value By Cost Approach =\$ 1,546 Indicated Value By Indicated Value V	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750.0 Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 380.00 -\$ 1,014 Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt Sq. Ft. @ \$ 120.00 -\$ 72.0 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 120.00 -\$ 72.0 estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new -\$ 1,086 typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 27,165 -\$ (570.0 to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or Depreciated Cost of Improvements -\$ 280.0 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach -\$ 1,546	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTIONOR  X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  =\$ 750, Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling  2,670  Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00  =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good  Effective date of cost data  Current  Besmt  Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00  =\$ 72,0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to  Less  Physical 50  Functional 0  External 5  improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  \$ 516, major deferred maintenance noted.  *As-is' Value of Site Improvements  *\$ 1,546  **NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.)  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier  Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  **PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable)*  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s)  Detached  Atlached  Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HoA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented  Total number of units rented  Total number of units rented  Total number of onits sold  Total number of onits sold  Total number of onits sold  Total number of units rented  Total number of onits sold	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
TORONIA MOLIMINA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE = \$ 750.0 Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 380.00 -\$ 1,014 Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data Current Bsmt Sq. Ft. @ \$ 120.00 -\$ 72.0 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 120.00 -\$ 72.0 estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new -\$ 1,086 typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to Less Physical 50 Functional 0 External 5 improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used Depreciation 543,300 0 27,165 -\$ (570.0 to calculate physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or Depreciated Cost of Improvements -\$ 280.0 Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only). 40 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach -\$ 1,546	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
PORIMATION INCOME COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTIONOR  X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  =\$ 750, Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling  2,670  Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00  =\$ 1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good  Effective date of cost data  Current  Besmt  Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00  =\$ 72,0  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to  Less  Physical 50  Functional 0  External 5  improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used  Depreciated Cost of Improvements  \$ 516, major deferred maintenance noted.  *As-is' Value of Site Improvements  *\$ 1,546  **NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.)  Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier  Summary of Income (including support for market rent and GRM)  **PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable)*  Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s)  Detached  Atlached  Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HoA and the subject property is an attached dwelling unit.  Legal Name of Project  Total number of units rented  Total number of units rented  Total number of units rented  Total number of onits sold  Total number of onits sold  Total number of onits sold  Total number of units rented  Total number of onits sold	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
INCOME CONTRACTOR	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & swords reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTIONOR  X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling  2,670  Sq. Ft. @\$  380.00  \$1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good  Effective date of cost data  Current  Bsmt  Sq. Ft. @\$  \$2,01  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to  Less  Physical 50  Functional 0  External 5  Improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used  Reproductive the standard preciation. No functional obsolescence or  Physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or  Roome Approach  Scitmated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40  Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach  Scitmated Monthly Market Rent \$  X Gross Multiplier  Scitmated Monthly Market Rent \$  X Gross Multip	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
COST ATTROPIES COST ATTROPIES	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & swords reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTIONOR  X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling  2,670  Sq. Ft. @\$  380.00  \$1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good  Effective date of cost data  Current  Bsmt  Sq. Ft. @\$  \$2,01  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to  Less  Physical 50  Functional 0  External 5  Improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used  Reproductive the standard preciation. No functional obsolescence or  Physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or  Roome Approach  Scitmated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40  Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach  Scitmated Monthly Market Rent \$  X Gross Multiplier  Scitmated Monthly Market Rent \$  X Gross Multip	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
COOLINTORING INCOME COOLINTORING COOLINTORIN	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$ \$75.01.  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 \$ \$ 1,014.  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 \$ \$ 72.00.  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ \$ 1,086. The physical of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ 1,086. The physical of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
TOD INTORING INCOME COST ATTROACT	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & swords reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED  REPRODUCTIONOR  X REPLACEMENT COST NEW  OPINION OF SITE VALUE  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference  Dwelling  2,670  Sq. Ft. @\$  380.00  \$1,014  Quality rating from cost service  Good  Effective date of cost data  Current  Bsmt  Sq. Ft. @\$  \$2,01  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed  typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. Land to  Less  Physical 50  Functional 0  External 5  Improvement ratio is typical for the area. The age/life method is used  Reproductive the standard preciation. No functional obsolescence or  Physical depreciation. No functional obsolescence or  Roome Approach  Scitmated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 40  Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach  Scitmated Monthly Market Rent \$  X Gross Multiplier  Scitmated Monthly Market Rent \$  X Gross Multip	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
TOD INTORMATION INCOME COST ATTROACT	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$ \$75.01.  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 \$ \$ 1,014.  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 \$ \$ 72.00.  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ \$ 1,086. The physical of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ 1,086. The physical of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.  Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)  Cost estimates based on Marshall & sw cost reference and observed typical cost. Land value arrived at by abstraction method. The higher Land to improvement ratio is typical the area.  ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE \$ \$75.01.  Source of cost data Marshall & swift cost reference Dwelling 2,670 Sq. Ft. @\$ 380.00 \$ \$ 1,014.  Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)  Physical depreciation is based on the subject's effective age. Cost Garage/Carport 600 Sq. Ft. @\$ 120.00 \$ \$ 72.00.  estimates based on Marshall & swift cost reference and observed Total Estimate of Cost-new \$ \$ 1,086. The physical of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ 1,086. The physical of Cost-new \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	for ,000 1,600 0 000 6,600 ,465 ),135

Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

	T1	/ P	1 1 1	P 60 1.00		i re				i
	The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lende			-	nds ar	a conditions p	reval	ent in the s	ubjec	Į.
	neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all ap			•						
	Property Address 15350 Calle E	Enrique	City	Morgan Hill	Sta	ate CA		ZIP Code		95037
	Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LL	.C								
	Instructions: The appraiser must use the information		as the basis for his/	her conclusions and m	ust pro	ovide support	for the	ose conclus	sions	regarding
	· ·	•								
	housing trends and overall market conditions as repo			•						
	it is available and reliable and must provide analysis		•						-	
	explanation. It is recognized that not all data sources	will be able to provide	data for the shaded	areas below; if it is ava	ailable	however, the	appr	aiser must	includ	e that data
	in the analysis. If data sources provide all the require	d information as an ave	erage instead of the	median, the appraiser	should	report the av	ailabl	e figure and	d iden	tify it as an
	average. Sales and listings must be properties that co		-			-		-		-
		•					eu by	a prospec	ive bu	iyei oi iile
	subject property. The appraiser must explain any and				torecio					
	Inventory Analysis	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months		0	<u>veral</u>	l Trend		
	Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	115	72	48		Increasing		Stable	X	Declining
	Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	19.17	24.00	16.00		Increasing		Stable	X	Declining
	Total # of Comparable Active Listings	4	6	56		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
					_				=	
	Months of Housing Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	0.21	0.25	3.50		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
	Median Sales & List Price, DOM, Sale/List %	Prior 7-12 Months	Prior 4-6 Months	Current - 3 Months		0	verall	l Trend		
<u>လ</u>	Median Comparable Sales Price	1,450,000.00	1,500,500.00	1,540,000.00	X	Increasing		Stable		Declining
YSIS	Median Comparable Sales Days on Market	11	10	9		Declining		Stable	X	Increasing
$\Box$				1,499,000.00				Stable		
ANAL	Median Comparable List Price	2,775,000.00	1,194,495.00	<i>'</i>		Increasing			X	Declining
	Median Comparable Listings Days on Market	104	104	58	X	Declining		Stable		Increasing
య	Median Sale Price as % of List Price	100.00	102.00	101.00		Increasing	x	Stable		Declining
RCH &	Seller-(developer, builder, etc,) paid financial assistar	nce prevalent?	Yes X	No		Declining	X	Stable		Increasing
×	Explain in detail seller concessions trends for the pas				orooo				ooto	moreachig
RESEA		t 12 months (e.g. selle	CONTINUUTIONS INCIDE	aseu IIOIII 3% to 3%, II	icieas	ng use or buy	uowii	is, closing c	0515	
S	condo fees, options, etc.)									
₩	The concession were not seen as often as b	pefore,the supply a	and demand is in	balance,						
霝										
MARKET										
¥										
≥			7							
	Are foreclosure sales (REO sales) a factor in the mar	ket? Yes X	No If yes, expl	ain (including the trend	ds in lis	stings and sale	es of t	foreclosed	orope	rties).
	No, as there is only few distressed propert	ies in the subject's	neighborhood( i	none of 235 sold c	omps	and none	of 6	6 active/r	end	ing
	comps within last 12 months are distressed									
	comps within last 12 months are distressed	Jaicaj, tric prioca	Will 1401 be alle	olou.						
	As there is no any active/pending comparab	les in the previous	s 4-12 months,th	us I entered 'N/A' i	n the	above table	е			
	Cite data sources for above information.									
	MIS Database: Rayoast( www.maymls.not)	and Paalauact/Ca	rologic:waxay rool	quest com)						
	MLS Database:Bayeast( www.maxmls.net)	and Realquest(Co	relogic:www.real	quest.com)						
	Summarize the above information as support for your	conclusions in the Ne	ighborhood section of	of the appraisal report						n, such as
		conclusions in the Ne	ighborhood section of	of the appraisal report						n, such as
	Summarize the above information as support for your	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form	ighborhood section outlier	of the appraisal report	olanati	on and suppor	t for	your conclu	sions	
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp he last 12 months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing th	t for y	your conclu edium pr	sions ice o	f most
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp he last 12 months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing th	t for y	your conclu edium pr	sions ice o	f most
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp he last 12 months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing th	t for y	your conclu edium pr	sions ice o	f most
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp he last 12 months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing th	t for y	your conclu edium pr	sions ice o	f most
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp he last 12 months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing th	t for y	your conclu edium pr	sions ice o	f most
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp he last 12 months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing th	t for y	your conclu edium pr	sions ice o	f most
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp he last 12 months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing th	t for y	your conclu edium pr	sions ice o	f most
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp he last 12 months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing th	t for y	your conclu edium pr	sions ice o	f most
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th	ighborhood section of ulate your conclusion overall for the	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp he last 12 months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing th	t for y	your conclu edium pr	sions ice o	f most
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a	of the appraisal report ns, provide both an exp he last 12 months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing th	t for y	your conclu edium pr	sions ice o	f most
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time and the monthly time and the following:	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the last 12 months indicate will be added to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months in th	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the 15025/1450	ne m	your conclu edium pr 1)/12*100	sions ice o	f most
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an exphe last 12 months adjustment rate will	olanati ( C	on and supporting the	ne m	your conclu edium pr 1)/12*100	sions ice o	f most % for the
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time and the monthly time and the following:	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the last 12 months indicate will be added to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months in th	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the street of the support o	ne m	your conclu edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable	sions ice o	f most % for the  Declining
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time and the monthly time and the following:	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the last 12 months indicate will be added to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months in th	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	ne m	your concluedium profit	sions ice o	f most % for the  Declining Declining
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time and the monthly time and the following:	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the last 12 months indicate will be added to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months in th	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	ne m	your conclu edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable	sions ice o	f most % for the  Declining
	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months of the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and th s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time and the monthly time and the following:	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the last 12 months indicate will be added to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months in th	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	ne m	your concluedium profit	sions ice o	f most % for the  Declining Declining
TS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
ECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report in the appraisal report in the last 12 months indicate will be added to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months indicate will be appropried to the last 12 months in th	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
) JECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
ROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
О	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
О	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
О	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
О	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP PROJECTS	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time a following:  Prior 4-6 Months	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
О	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time at the monthly time at the following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, income	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
О	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the pro-	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time at the monthly time at the following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, income	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Р	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time at the monthly time at the following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, income	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Р	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time at the monthly time at the following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, income	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Р	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time at the monthly time at the following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, income	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Р	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time at the monthly time at the following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, income	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Р	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time at the monthly time at the following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, income	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Р	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time at the monthly time at the following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, income	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Р	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time and section overall for the the monthly time and section overall for the the monthly time and section of the monthly time and section of the monthly time and section overall for the the the monthly time and section overall for the the the monthly time and section overall for the the the monthly time and section overall for	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  ject? Yes	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time at the monthly time at the following:  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, income	of the appraisal report ins, provide both an explicit he last 12 months adjustment rate will here.  Project Name:  Current - 3 Months	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  re project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  on the subject unit and	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time at the monthly time at the monthly time at the month section of the month section	Project Name: Current - 3 Months licate the number of R	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  re project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  on the subject unit and	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time and section overall for the monthly time and section o	Project Name:  Current - 3 Months  dicate the number of R	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  re project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  on the subject unit and	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time at the monthly time at the monthly time at the month section of the month section	Project Name:  Current - 3 Months  dicate the number of R	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
Р	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact of foreclosed properties.	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  on the subject unit and	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time and prior 4-6 Months  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, incompany If the supervisor company If the section of the se	Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of R	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	f most % for the  Declining Declining Increasing Increasing
CONDO/CO.OP	Summarize the above information as support for your an analysis of pending sales, and/or expired and with Overall the market in the subject's neighbor recent 6 months data to the previous 7-12 n contract date difference more than 3 months.  If the subject is a unit in a condominium or cooperative Subject Project Data  Total # of Comparable Sales (Settled)  Absorption Rate (Total Sales/Months)  Total # of Active Comparable Listings  Months of Unit Supply (Total Listings/Ab. Rate)  Are foreclosures sales (REO sales) a factor in the proof foreclosed properties.  Summarize the above trends and address the impact	conclusions in the Ne drawn listings, to form hood is increasing nonths data and the s,  e project, complete the Prior 7-12 Months  on the subject unit and	ighborhood section oulate your conclusion overall for the the monthly time and prior 4-6 Months  Prior 4-6 Months  No If yes, incompany in the supervisor Company in the super	Project Name: Current - 3 Months dicate the number of R	olanati ( C	on and support omparing the support of the support	rt for y ne m 1000-1	edium pr 1)/12*100 I Trend Stable Stable Stable	sions ice o =0.4	Declining Declining Increasing Increasing s and sales

# Bluebay Appraisal Inc. SUBJECT PHOTO ADDENDUM

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC


Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

City Morgan Hill County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95037

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 15350 Calle Enrique Morgan Hill, CA 95037



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

### Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6**

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

City Morgan Hill County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95037

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

FEATURE SUBJECT Address 15350 Calle Enrique				COMPARABLE SALE # 4 15375 Via De Ninos			COMPARABLE SALE # 5 14784 Excaliber Drive				С		ABLE SA					
																erra Court		
Morgan I	Hill, CA 9	5037		<u> </u>	Morga	an Hill, (	CA	95037				CA 95037				CA 95037		
Proximity to Subject					0	).09 mil				0	.55 mile			0	.39 mile			
	\$			\$ 1,639,000				\$ 1,650,000			\$			1,620,00				
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.0	)O s	sq. ft.				\$	7						q. ft.				
Data Source(s)				ML# ML81958049;DOM 11			ML# ML81962600;DOM 6			ML# ML819592								
Verification Source(s)				R	ealque	est Doca	# 2	25629287	F	Realque	st Doc#	<sup>‡</sup> 25642658	R	Realque	est Doca	# 25637753		
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION		N	DE	ESCRIP	TION	+(	(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	ESCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	ESCRIP1	TION	+(-) \$ Adjust		
Sale or Financing					ArmLt	th				ArmLt	h			ArmL	th			
Concessions				Conv;	0			Conv;0		Conv;0								
Date of Sale/Time			s04	4/24;c0	03/24		+33,000	s0	5/24;c0	)4/24	+26,500	s0	5/24;c0	)4/24	+26			
A;Res;School		ol		N;Res	s;	-20,000	A	;Res;BsyRd	0	A;	Res;So	chool						
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee	Fee Simple	F	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		ıple	Fee Sir		nple								
Site	120	)40 sf			6970	sf		+76,000		19602	sf	-113,500		11761	sf			
View	N;	Res;			N;Res	s;		,		N;Res	3;			N;Res				
Design (Style)	DT2;C		np	DT	2;Con				D.	T2;Cont			D٦	Γ2;Con				
Quality of Construction		Q4			Q4					Q4				Q4				
Actual Age		47			30			0		27		0		46				
Condition		C4			C3			-60,000		C3		-60,000		C3		-60		
Above Grade	Total Bdr		aths	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		+10,000		Bdrms.	Baths			Bdrms.	Baths			
Room Count			3.0	7	4	3.0		. 0,000	8	5	3.0		8	5	3.0			
Gross Living Area	2,67		sq. ft.	<u> </u>	.,850	sq. ft.		-68,500		2,492	sq. ft.	+67,500		2,670	sq. ft.			
Basement & Finished	· ·	Osf	3q. it.		0sf	3q. n.		-00,000		0sf	3q. it.	. 07,000		0sf	3q. it.			
Rooms Below Grade		)3i			031					031				031				
Functional Utility	Δν.	erage			Avera	70				Averag	10			Avera	70			
Heating/Cooling		/Centra			NA/Ce					WA/Cei				WA/Ce				
Energy Efficient Items	Dual Par				olar Pa		$\vdash$	-20,000						l Pane \				
Garage/Carport			dow					-20,000	Dua	3gbi3d			Dua					
Porch/Patio/Deck		3gbi3dw Porch/Concrete					3gbi3dw		+ +	Do	rch/Con			Do	3gbi3dw			
						-5,000				-5,000		Porch/Concrete 1 Fireplace						
Fireplaces	1 Fireplace None				=				+			Firepla		-5,000	- 1			200
Pool			$\overline{}$	1 Pool 1,639,888				-20,000		None		0		1 Poo		-20		
Listing Price \$	IN	one			+ X		•	74.500	$\overline{}$	1,59999 + X				1,500,0 + X		¢ 54.00		
Net Adjustment (Total)				NI-+ A			\$	-74,500	NI-+ /	+   <u>^  </u> 		\$ -84,500				\$ -54,00		
Adjusted Sale Price					\dj: -5%		Φ.			•				\dj: -3%		A FCC 0		
of Comparables				GIUSS	s Adj :	1970	Ф	1,564,500	GIUS	s Auj. I	70	\$ 1,565,500	GIUS	s Auj. <i>i</i>	70	\$ 1,566,0		
Donart the regulte of the re	accarab an	d analy	oio of	the pric	or colo c	r transfa	r hi	otony of the cubi	oot pr	onorty on	d compo	roble colos						
ITEM	esearch and	and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the sub SUBJECT COMPARABLE SA					5 COMPARA											
	+						COMPARABLE SA		LE #	4	COMP	ARABLE SALE #	5 COMPARAB		LE SALE#			
Data of Drian Cala/Transfer	r 07/1				4													
		er		\$0								Dan						
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe					240			Doolayee				Doglavost			Doo	laucot		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	er	DC	OC# :	25664				Realques				Realquest				lquest		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	er urce(s)	DC	OC# :	25664 1/202	:3		_	02/01/202	23	1-4-1		02/01/2023	41		02/0	1/2023		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er urce(s)	DC	OC# :	25664 1/202	:3	nd compa	arab	02/01/202	23	ne datab		02/01/2023	the c	ompara	02/0	1/2023		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er urce(s)	DC	OC# :	25664 1/202	:3	nd compa	arab	02/01/202	23	ne datab		02/01/2023	the c	ompara	02/0	1/2023		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er urce(s)	DC	OC# :	25664 1/202	:3	nd compa	arab	02/01/202	23	ne datab		02/01/2023	the c	ompara	02/0	1/2023		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er urce(s)	DC	OC# :	25664 1/202	:3	nd compa	arab	02/01/202	23	ne datab		02/01/2023	the c	ompara	02/0	1/2023		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er urce(s)	DC	OC# :	25664 1/202	:3	nd compa	arab	02/01/202	23	ne datab		02/01/2023	the c	ompara	02/0	1/2023		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er urce(s)	DC	OC# :	25664 1/202	:3	nd compa	arab	02/01/202	23	ne datab		02/01/2023	the c	ompara	02/0	1/2023		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er urce(s)	DC	OC# :	25664 1/202	:3	nd compa	arab	02/01/202	23	ne datab		02/01/2023	the c	ompara	02/0	1/2023		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er urce(s)	DC	OC# :	25664 1/202	:3	nd compa	arat	02/01/202	23	ne datab		02/01/2023	the c	ompara	02/0	1/2023		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er urce(s)	DC	OC# :	25664 1/202	:3	nd compa	arab	02/01/202	23	ne datab		02/01/2023	the c	ompara	02/0	1/2023		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra	er urce(s)	DC	OC# :	25664 1/202	:3	nd compa	arat	02/01/202	23	ne datab		02/01/2023	the c	ompara	02/0	1/2023		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra months.	er urce(s) ansfer histo	DC ory of the	02/0 e subj	25664 01/202 ject pro	agperty an			02/01/202	23 rch th		pase, I	02/01/2023 no prior sale of			02/0	1/2023 for the last		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra months.	er urce(s) ansfer histo	DC ory of the	OC# : 02/0 le subj	25664 01/202 ject pro	pperty an	closed		02/01/202	23 rch th		pase, I	02/01/2023			02/0	1/2023 for the last		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra months. Summary of Sales Compa condition and appea	er urce(s) ansfer histo arison Appro	DC ory of the	OC# 2 02/0 e subj	25664 01/202 ject pro	operty an	closed	d s	02/01/202 ble sales Sear	e3 rch th	' month	ns of si	02/01/2023 no prior sale of	and a	ige, an	02/0: ables 1	1/2023 for the last		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra months.  Summary of Sales Compa condition and appea Adjustments are ma	er urce(s) ansfer histo arison Appro	oach subject	All ( ct's n :: 1).	25664 01/202 ject pro Comp marke . Site:	operty are at a rea \$20/5	closec	d s	02/01/202 ble sales Sear	ast 7	' month	ns of si	02/01/2023 no prior sale of milar design a	and a	nge, an	02/0: ables 1	1/2023 for the last lar quality, Gross livi		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or tra months.  Summary of Sales Compa condition and appea Adjustments are ma area: \$380/SF(For 0	er urce(s) ansfer histo arison Appro al from s ade as fo	oach subjections	All ( ct's n s: 1).	Comparke Site:	os are t area \$20/s an 20	closed i. SF(For	d s	02/01/202 ple sales Sear ales within I t size differe	ast 7	' month	ns of si	milar design a 0% of the sub 4). Bathroom	and a ject's : \$20	ige, an	o2/0: ables data data data data data data data dat	for the last lar quality, Gross livi		
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour Analysis of prior sale or tra months.  Summary of Sales Compa condition and appea Adjustments are ma area: \$380/SF(For 0	arison Appro al from s ade as fo GLA diffe	oach subjections erenctions	All (st's n): 1). Lee more th	Comparke. Site: ore thian 50	os are st area \$20/s an 20 ) years	closed i. SF(For sqft); s); 6). F	lo 3).	ales within I t size differe Bedroom:	ast 7 ence \$100 00/F	/ month larger 000/Beo ireplac	ns of si than 1 droom; e;7) C:	no prior sale of milar design a 0% of the sub 4). Bathroom ar storage: \$10	and a ject's : \$20	nge, an s lot siz 0000/B 0/car.8	o2/0: ables in ad similare); 2). sathroo ). The	lar quality, Gross liviom; 5). Age		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour Analysis of prior sale or tra months.  Summary of Sales Compa condition and appea Adjustments are ma area: \$380/SF(For 0) \$700/Year(For age 0) adjustment used 0.	ansfer histo  arison Appro al from s ade as fo GLA difference .4% for t	oach subjective months to the contract of the	All (ct's ns: 1). ce more th	Comparke Site: ore than 50 lot date	os are et area \$20/5 ann 200 years te diffe	closed I. SF(For I sqft); s); 6). Ference	lo 3).	ales within I t size differe Bedroom: place: \$3,0 ore than 3 r	ast 7 ast 7 00/F nontil	month larger 000/Bec ireplac hs acco	than 1droom; e;7) Coording	milar design a  0% of the sub 4). Bathroom ar storage: \$10	and a ject's : \$20 0,000 ata,	ige, an s lot siz 0000/B 0/car.8 9).Lo	o2/02 pables in add similar (2e); 2). Seathroom (2). The cation:	lar quality, Gross liviom; 5). Age monthly ti		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or tramonths.  Summary of Sales Compacondition and appearation and appearations are area: \$380/SF(For 0) \$700/Year(For age 0)	ansfer histo  arison Appro al from s ade as fo GLA difference .4% for t	oach subjective months to the contract of the	All (ct's ns: 1). ce more th	Comparke Site: ore than 50 lot date	os are et area \$20/5 ann 200 years te diffe	closed I. SF(For I sqft); s); 6). Ference	lo 3).	ales within I t size differe Bedroom: place: \$3,0 ore than 3 r	ast 7 ast 7 00/F nontil	month larger 000/Bec ireplac hs acco	than 1droom; e;7) Coording	milar design a  0% of the sub 4). Bathroom ar storage: \$10	and a ject's : \$20 0,000 ata,	ige, an s lot siz 0000/B 0/car.8 9).Lo	o2/02 pables in add similar (2e); 2). Seathroom (2). The cation:	lar quality, Gross liviom; 5). Age monthly ti		
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source (source) Analysis of prior sale or transmonths.  Summary of Sales Compacton (source) Condition and appearance (source) Adjustments are marea: \$380/SF(For condition)	arison Approal from sade as for GLA difference 4% for totor; 10)	oach subject ollows erencte months	All ( All ( See more the ontra agy:\$	Comparke Site: ore the lan 50 oct dat 52000	os are et area \$20/5 nan 20 years te diffe 0/Sola	closed BF(For sqft); s); 6). Ference	lo 3).	ales within I t size differe Bedroom: place: \$3,0 ore than 3 r	ast 7 ast 7 00/F nontil	month larger 000/Bec ireplac hs acco	than 1droom; e;7) Coording	milar design a  0% of the sub 4). Bathroom ar storage: \$10	and a ject's : \$20 0,000 ata,	ige, an s lot siz 0000/B 0/car.8 9).Lo	o2/02 pables in add similar (2e); 2). Seathroom (2). The cation:	lar quality, Gross liviom; 5). Age monthly ti		

### Bluebay Appraisal Inc. EXTRA COMPARABLES 7-8-9

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

City Morgan Hill County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95037

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

	FEATURE SUBJECT COMPARAE						RABLE	SA	ALE# 7	(	COMPA	RABLE S	SALE#	8		COMPAR	RABLE SA	ALE#	9
	Address 15350 (	dress 15350 Calle Enrique 512 Vi						or	rento										
		Morgan Hill, CA 95037 Morg																	
		HIII, C	A 9503	1															
	Proximity to Subject					0	.13 mil	les	s S										
	Sale Price	\$		l			\$		1,325,000			\$					\$		
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$	0.00	sq. ft.	¢	534.4	<b>a</b> c	sq.		\$			q. ft.		\$			q. ft.	
		Ψ	0.00	3q. it.					940;DOM 9			<u> </u>	q. II.		Ψ		<u> </u>	q. π.	
	Data Source(s)																		
	Verification Source(s)				R	ealque	st Doc	# :	25604168				_						
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DF	SCRIPT	'ION	DF	SCRIP	TION	+	+(-) \$ Adjustment		SCRIP1	TION	+(-)\$	Adjustment	DF	SCRIP1	TION	+(-) \$ Ad	iustment
	Sale or Financing				ArmLth		$\top$	( ) <b>v</b> / tajasas				\	, taja 0 ti 110 ti				( ) \$ / 1.0	,	
								+											
	Concessions				Conv;0			$\perp$											
	Date of Sale/Time				s02/24;c01/24		1/24		+37,100										
	Location	A:I	A;Res;School			N;Res	s:		-20,000										
	Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple		E	ee Sim	·	1												
				•				+	. 404 005										
	Site		12040 s			5051 s		+	+104,835										
	View		N;Res	;		N;Res	3;												
	Design (Style)	DT	2;Conte	emp	DT	2;Cont	temp												
	Quality of Construction		Q4			Q4													
								+											
	Actual Age		47			33		+	0										
	Condition		C4		<u> </u>	C4		1											
	Above Grade	Total	Bdrms.	Baths	Total	Bdrms.	Baths		+10,000	Total	Bdrms.	Baths			Total	Bdrms.	Baths		
	Room Count	8	5	3.0	7	4	3.0	Τ						_					
						,479		+	±70 F00							1	2% tr		
	Gross Living Area		,670	sq. ft.			sq. ft.	+	+72,580			sq. ft.					sq. ft.		
	Basement & Finished		0sf	l		0sf													
	Rooms Below Grade	L			L					L					L				
ANALYSIS	Functional Utility		Averag	e		Averag	ne	T											
လ								+											
	Heating/Cooling		NA/Cen			VA/Cer		+											
⋖	Energy Efficient Items	Dual	Pane W	/indow	Dual	Pane V	Vindow	┸											
Z	Garage/Carport		3gbi3dv	w	:	2gbi2d	lw		+10,000										
	Porch/Patio/Deck		ch/Con			ch/Con			•										
Z	Fireplaces					Firepla		+	-5,000										
တ		1	Firepla					+	-5,000										
Ĕ	Pool		None			None	;	_											
	Listing Price \$		None		1	,299,9	98		0										
ARISON	LIBUING FIICE D												+ -						
IPAR					X	+	-	\$	209.515		+	_	\$			+ .	_	\$	
MPAR	Net Adjustment (Total)				X Net A	+ 16º	-	\$	209,515	Net A			\$		Net 4		-	\$	
OMP.	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price				Net A	dj: 16%					dj: 0%	)				Adj: 0%			
COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables				Net A			\$				)	\$					\$	
OMP.	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables				Net A	dj: 16%					dj: 0%	)				Adj: 0%			
COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables	esearcl	າ and ana	alysis of	Net A Gross	dj: 16% Adj : :	20%	\$	1,534,515	Gros	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	0% 0%	\$			Adj: 0%			
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the re-	esearcl	n and ana		Net A Gross the price	dj: 16% Adj : :	20% r transfe	\$ er h	1,534,515	Gross ect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% nd compa	\$ arable s	ales	Gros	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	0%	\$	± 9
COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of		n and ana	SUE	Net A Gross the price	dj: 16% Adj : 2 or sale o	20% r transfe	\$ er h	1,534,515	Gross ect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% nd compa	\$ arable s			Adj: 0% s Adj: (	0%		‡ 9
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference	er	n and ana	SUE 07/1	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/2024	dj: 16% Adj : 2 or sale o	20% r transfe	\$ er h	1,534,515	Gross ect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% nd compa	\$ arable s	ales	Gros	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	0%	\$	<b>‡</b> 9
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of	er		SUE 07/1	the price BJECT 5/2024	dj: 16% s Adj : : or sale o	20% r transfe	\$ er h	1,534,515 history of the sub DMPARABLE SA	Gross ject pro LE#	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% nd compa	\$ arable s	ales	Gros	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	0%	\$	<b>#</b> 9
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference	er		SUE 07/1	the price BJECT 5/2024	dj: 16% s Adj : : or sale o	20% r transfe	\$ er h	1,534,515	Gross ject pro LE#	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% nd compa	\$ arable s	ales	Gros	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	0%	\$	‡ 9
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sal	er er		SUE 07/1 DOC# :	the prices 5/2024 \$0 25664	dj: 16% s Adj : 2 or sale or 4	20% r transfe	\$ er h	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque	Grossiect pro LE #	Adj: 0% s Adj: 0	0% nd compa	\$ arable s	ales	Gros	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	0%	\$	<b>‡</b> 9
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Price of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Tran	er er urce(s)	[	97/1 07/1 DOC# :	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202- \$0 25664 1/202	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3	r transfe	\$ CC	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Grossiect pro	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	nd compa COMP	\$ arable s ARABL	ales E SALE#	Gros 8	Adj: 0% s Adj: (	D%	\$ LE SALE #	
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source of Data Source of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sale or transference of Data Source of Data Sour	er er urce(s) ansfer l	history of	SUE 07/1 DOC# 02/0 the subj	the price BJECT 5/2020 \$0 25664 11/2020 ject pro	dj: 16% s Adj : : or sale or 4 340 3 perty an	r transfe	\$ ara	1,534,515 history of the sub DMPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202 hble sales Sea	Gross iect pro LE #	operty ar	nd compa COMP.	sarable s	ales E SALE # or sale of	8 the c	Adj: 0%s Adj: (	MPARABI	\$_E SALE #	ast 12
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source of Data Source of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sale or transference of Data Source of Data Sour	er er urce(s) ansfer l	history of	SUE 07/1 DOC# 02/0 the subj	the price BJECT 5/2020 \$0 25664 11/2020 ject pro	dj: 16% s Adj : : or sale or 4 340 3 perty an	r transfe	\$ ara	1,534,515 history of the sub MPARABLE SA Realque: 02/01/202	Gross iect pro LE #	operty ar	nd compa COMP.	sarable s	ales E SALE # or sale of	8 the c	Adj: 0%s Adj: (	MPARABI	\$_E SALE #	ast 12
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Data Source(s)  Effective Date of Data Source of Data Source of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sale or transference of Data Source	er er urce(s) ansfer l	history of  Approach market :	SUE 07/1 DOC# 02/0 the subj	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202 \$0 25664 1/202 ject pro	dj: 16% s Adj : : or sale or 4 340 3 perty an	r transfe	ser h	1,534,515 history of the sub DMPARABLE SA  Realque: 02/01/202 hble sales Sea	Gross iect pro LE # st 23 rch th	nths of	nd compa COMP	sarable s ARABL	ales E SALE #  or sale of	8 the c	COM  COM  compara	ables	\$  E SALE #  for the la	ast 12
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior	er er urce(s) ansfer l arison A ect's I	history of  Approach market a follows:	SUE 07/1 DOC# : 02/0 if the subject of the subject	Net A Gross the price BJECT 5/202 \$0 25664 1/202 ject pro	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3 perty an	r transfe	sal ize	1,534,515  iistory of the sub DMPARABLE SA  Realque: 02/01/202 ible sales Sea  les within last e difference la	Gross ject pro LE # st 23 rch th	nths of	base,  f similar	sarable s ARABL no pri	ales E SALE #  or sale of	8 the c	COM COM compara	nr qualit	\$  E SALE #  for the la  y, condit g area:	ast 12
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sale of	er er urce(s) ansfer l arison A ect's I le as f	history of  Approach market a follows:	SUE 07/11 DOC# : 02/0 The sub  All (area. 1). Site: than 2	the price BJECT 5/2020 \$0 25664 11/2020 ject pro	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3 perty an	r transfe	sal size	1,534,515  iistory of the sub DMPARABLE SA  Realque: 02/01/202 ible sales Sea  les within last e difference la \$10000/Bedi	Gross  iect pro LE #  st 23  rch th  7 mo  rger th  room;	nths of 4). Ba	base,  f similar	sarable s ARABL no pri	ales E SALE #  or sale of  In and age ect's lot so 00/Bathro	e, and ize);	COM COM compara d simila 2). Gro 5). Age	ables ar qualit ss living: \$700/	\$  E SALE #  for the la  y, condit g area: Year(Fo	ast 12
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior	er er urce(s) ansfer l arison A ect's I le as f	history of  Approach market a follows:	SUE 07/11 DOC# : 02/0 The sub  All (area. 1). Site: than 2	the price BJECT 5/2020 \$0 25664 11/2020 ject pro	dj: 16% s Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3 perty an	r transfe	sal size	1,534,515  iistory of the sub DMPARABLE SA  Realque: 02/01/202 ible sales Sea  les within last e difference la \$10000/Bedi	Gross  iect pro LE #  st 23  rch th  7 mo  rger th  room;	nths of 4). Ba	base,  f similar	sarable s ARABL no pri	ales E SALE #  or sale of  In and age ect's lot so 00/Bathro	e, and ize);	COM COM compara d simila 2). Gro 5). Age	ables ar qualit ss living: \$700/	\$  E SALE #  for the la  y, condit g area: Year(Fo	ast 12
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour Analysis of prior sale or transments.  Summary of Sales Comparand appeal from subjection Adjustments are made \$380/SF(For GLA difference more than	arison A ect's r le as f ference	Approach market a follows: the more ars); 6)	SUE 07/11 DOC# 02/0 The subj	the price BJECT 5/202 \$0 25664 11/202 ject pro	dj: 16% S Adj : 3 or sale of 4 340 3 perty an S are cl /SF(Fo ); 3). B \$3,000	r transfe	sal sal	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sea  les within last e difference la \$10000/Bedi ce;7) Car store	Gross ject pro LE #  st 23 rch th  7 mo  rger tl oom; age: \$	nths of 4). Bas 10,000	base,  f similar  w of th throom:	sarable s ARABL no pri	ales E SALE #  or sale of  in and age ect's lot so 00/Bathro monthly	e, and ize); com; time	COM  COM  COM  COM  COM  COM  COM  COM	ar qualit ss living 1: \$700/	\$  E SALE #  for the la  y, condit g area: Year(Fo	ast 12
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour Analysis of prior sale or transments.  Summary of Sales Comparand appeal from subjection Adjustments are made \$380/SF(For GLA difference more than the contract date difference	arison A ect's I le as f ference	Approach market a follows: ee more ars); 6)	All (area. 1). Site than 2. Fire pthan 3	the price BJECT 5/2020 \$0 25664 11/2020 ject processes: \$20 \$20 \$45 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20	dj: 16% s Adj : : or sale of 4 340 3 perty an /SF(Fo ); 3). B \$3,000 is acco	r transfe  r transfe  d compa  d compa  losed s  r lot si  gedroor  lofinepl  prding t	sal ize m: lac	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sea  les within last e difference la \$10000/Bedi ce;7) Car stor:	Gross ject pro LE #  st 23 rch th  rger tl room; age: \$ a, 9)	nths of han 10 4). Bas 10,000. Locati	base,  f similar  % of th throom: 0/car.8) on:\$200	no pridesignessubjects \$2000. The	ales E SALE #  or sale of  gn and agreet's lot so 00/Bathro monthly er benefit/	e, and ize); joom; itime	COM COM Similar Compara Compar	ables ables ss living 9700/ ment us	\$  E SALE #  for the la  y, condit  y area: Year(Fo  sed 0.49	tion r age 6 for
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sales Comparant of	arison A ect's I le as f ference 50 yeerence	Approach market a follows: ee more ars); 6)	All (area. 1). Site than 2. Fire pthan 3	the price BJECT 5/2020 \$0 25664 11/2020 ject processes: \$20 \$20 \$45 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20	dj: 16% s Adj : : or sale of 4 340 3 perty an /SF(Fo ); 3). B \$3,000 is acco	r transfe  r transfe  d compa  d compa  losed s  r lot si  gedroor  lofinepl  prding t	sal ize m: lac	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sea  les within last e difference la \$10000/Bedi ce;7) Car stor:	Gross ject pro LE #  st 23 rch th  rger tl room; age: \$ a, 9)	nths of han 10 4). Bas 10,000. Locati	base,  f similar  % of th throom: 0/car.8) on:\$200	no pridesignessubjects \$2000. The	ales E SALE #  or sale of  gn and agreet's lot so 00/Bathro monthly er benefit/	e, and ize); joom; itime	COM COM Similar Compara Compar	ables ables ss living 9700/ ment us	\$  E SALE #  for the la  y, condit  y area: Year(Fo  sed 0.49	tion r age 6 for
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sour Analysis of prior sale or transments.  Summary of Sales Comparand appeal from subjection Adjustments are made \$380/SF(For GLA difference more than the contract date difference	arison A ect's I le as f ference 50 yeerence	Approach market a follows: ee more ars); 6)	All (area. 1). Site than 2. Fire pthan 3	the price BJECT 5/2020 \$0 25664 11/2020 ject processes: \$20 \$20 \$45 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20	dj: 16% s Adj : : or sale of 4 340 3 perty an /SF(Fo ); 3). B \$3,000 is acco	r transfe  r transfe  d compa  d compa  losed s  r lot si  gedroor  lofinepl  prding t	sal ize m: lac	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sea  les within last e difference la \$10000/Bedi ce;7) Car stor:	Gross ject pro LE #  st 23 rch th  rger tl room; age: \$ a, 9)	nths of han 10 4). Bas 10,000. Locati	base,  f similar  % of th throom: 0/car.8) on:\$200	no pridesignessubjects \$2000. The	ales E SALE #  or sale of  gn and agreet's lot so 00/Bathro monthly er benefit/	e, and ize); joom; itime	COM COM Similar Compara Compar	ables ables ss living 9700/ ment us	\$  E SALE #  for the la  y, condit  y area: Year(Fo  sed 0.49	tion r age 6 for
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sales Comparant of	arison A ect's I le as f ference 50 yeerence	Approach market a follows: ee more ars); 6)	All (area. 1). Site than 2 . Fire pthan 3	the price BJECT 5/2020 \$0 25664 11/2020 ject processes: \$20 \$20 \$45 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20	dj: 16% s Adj : : or sale of 4 340 3 perty an /SF(Fo ); 3). B \$3,000 is acco	r transfe  r transfe  d compa  d compa  losed s  r lot si  gedroor  lofinepl  prding t	sal ize m: lac	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sea  les within last e difference la \$10000/Bedi ce;7) Car stor:	Gross ject pro LE #  st 23 rch th  rger tl room; age: \$ a, 9)	nths of han 10 4). Bas 10,000. Locati	base,  f similar  % of th throom: 0/car.8) on:\$200	no pridesignessubjects \$2000. The	ales E SALE #  or sale of  gn and agreet's lot so 00/Bathro monthly er benefit/	e, and ize); joom; itime	COM COM Similar Compara Compar	ables ables ss living 9700/ ment us	\$  E SALE #  for the la  y, condit  y area: Year(Fo  sed 0.49	tion r age 6 for
ALES COMP,	Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables  Report the results of the results of Prior Sale/Transference of Prior Sale or transference of Prior Sales Comparant of	arison A ect's I le as f ference 50 yeerence	Approach market a follows: ee more ars); 6)	All (area. 1). Site than 2 . Fire pthan 3	the price BJECT 5/2020 \$0 25664 11/2020 ject processes: \$20 \$20 \$45 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20	dj: 16% s Adj : : or sale of 4 340 3 perty an /SF(Fo ); 3). B \$3,000 is acco	r transfe  r transfe  d compa  d compa  losed s  r lot si  gedroor  lofinepl  prding t	sal ize m: lac	Realques 02/01/202 ble sales Sea  les within last e difference la \$10000/Bedi ce;7) Car stor:	Gross ject pro LE #  st 23 rch th  rger tl room; age: \$ a, 9)	nths of han 10 4). Bas 10,000. Locati	base,  f similar  % of th throom: 0/car.8) on:\$200	no pridesignessubjects \$2000. The	ales E SALE #  or sale of  gn and agreet's lot so 00/Bathro monthly er benefit/	e, and ize); joom; itime	COM COM Similar Compara Compar	ables ables ss living 9700/ ment us	\$  E SALE #  for the la  y, condit  y area: Year(Fo  sed 0.49	tion r age 6 for

### **Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report**

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

**SCOPE OF WORK:** The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

**INTENDED USE:** The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

**INTENDED USER:** The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

**DEFINITION MARKET VALUE:** The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

**STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS:** The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Page

### **Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report**

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

### APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

### Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report Case No. 58295

- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.
- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

#### SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:


- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature Signat	Signature
Name Huibin Lan	Name
Company Name Bluebay Appraisal Inc.	Company Name
Company Address 41041 Trimboli Way #1492	Company Address
Fremont, CA 94538	
Telephone Number 5106736733	Telephone Number
Email Address appraiserlan@yahoo.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report 08/23/2024	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 08/23/2024	State Certification #
State Certification # AR030132	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State <u>CA</u>	
Expiration Date of Certification or License 02/18/2025	
	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	
15350 Calle Enrique	Did not inspect exterior of subject property
Morgan Hill, CA 95037	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$1,545,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	
Name <u>Clear Capital</u>	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Wedgewood Inc	
Company Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Redondo Beach, CA 90278	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

### Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM**

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

200						
Property Address	15350 Calle Enrique					
City Morgan Hill	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95037
Lender/Client Wed	newood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Rea	ch Blyd Suite 10	n Redondo Beac	h CA 00278



### Bluebay Appraisal Inc. **PLAT MAP**

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC Borrower

Property Address	15350 Calle Enrique					
City Morgan Hill	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95037
Lender/Client Wed	gewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan I	Reach Blyd Suit	100 Redondo Be	each CA 90278



ABLES 1-2-3

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

City Morgan Hill County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95037

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 525 La Canada Court Morgan Hill, CA 95037

File No.

Case No. 58295

35868684



COMPARABLE SALE # 2 15470 La Pala Court Morgan Hill, CA 95037



COMPARABLE SALE # 3 15635 La Tierra Drive Morgan Hill, CA 95037

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

 Property Address
 15350 Calle Enrique

 City
 Morgan Hill
 County
 Santa Clara
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 95037

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



COMPARABLE SALE # 15375 Via De Ninos Morgan Hill, CA 95037



COMPARABLE SALE # 14784 Excaliber Drive
Morgan Hill, CA 95037



COMPARABLE SALE # 6 15670 La Sierra Court Morgan Hill, CA 95037

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

City Morgan Hill County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95037

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



# **COMPARABLE SALE #** 7 512 Via Sorrento Morgan Hill, CA 95037

COMPARABLE SALE #

COMPARABLE SALE # 9

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

City Morgan Hill County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95037

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Certification Law. BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title: has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency 'Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser' AR 030132 Date Expires: Loretta Dillon, Deputy Bureau Chief, BREA Effective Date: February 19, 2023 February 18, 2025

Insurance

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

City Morgan HillCountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95037Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



#### **DECLARATIONS**

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE POLICY

301 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

#### THIS IS BOTH A CLAIMS MADE AND REPORTED INSURANCE POLICY.

THIS POLICY APPLIES TO THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE FIRST MADE AGAINST THE INSURED AND REPORTED IN WRITING TO THE COMPANY DURING THE POLICY PERIOD.

Insurance is afforded by the company indicated below: (A capital stock corporation)

Note: The Insurance Company selected above shall herein be referred to as the Company.

Policy Number: RAP3367375-23 Renewal of: RAP3367375-22

Program Administrator: Herbert H. Landy Insurance Agency Inc.

100 River Ridge Drive, Suite 301 Norwood, MA 02062

Item 1. Named Insured: Huibin Lan

Item 2. Address: 41526 Carmen St
City, State, Zip Code: Fremont, CA 94539

(Month, Day, Year) (Month, Day, Year)

(Both dates at 12:01 a.m. Standard Time at the address of the Named Insured as stated in Item 2.)

Item 4. Limits of Liability:

A. \$ \_\_\_\_ 500,000 \_\_\_\_ Damages Limit of Liability – Each Claim

B. \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Each Claim

C. \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_ Damages Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

D. \$ 1,000,000 Claim Expenses Limit of Liability – Policy Aggregate

Item 5. Deductible (Inclusive of Claim Expenses):

A. \$ 500 Each Claim

B. \$ **1,000** Aggregate

Item 6. **Premium**: \$ 835.00

Item 7. Retroactive Date (if applicable): 09/08/2006

Item 8. Forms, Notices and Endorsements attached:

D42100 (03/15) D42300 CA (10/13) IL7324 (07/21)

D42402 (05/13) D42408 (05/13) D42412 (03/17) D42413 (06/17)

D42414 (08/19)

Authorized Representative

D42101 (03/15) Page 1 of 1


Aerial Map

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

CityMorgan HillCountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95037Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

#### Requirements - Condition and Quality Ratings Usage

Appraisers must utilize the following standardized condition and quality ratings within the appraisal report.

#### **Condition Ratings and Definitions**

C:1

The improvements have been recently constructed and have not been previously occupied. The entire structure and all components are new and the dwelling features no physical depreciation.

**Note:** Newly constructed improvements that feature recycled or previously used materials and/or components can be considered new dwellings provided that the dwelling is placed on a 100 percent new foundation and the recycled materials and the recycled components have been rehabilitated/remanufactured into like-new condition. Improvements that have not been previously occupied are not considered "new" if they have significant physical depreciation (that is, newly constructed dwellings that have been vacant for an extended period of time without adequate maintenance or upkeep).

C2

The improvements feature no deferred maintenance, little or no physical depreciation, and require no repairs. Virtually all building components are new or have been recently repaired, refinished, or rehabilitated. All outdated components and finishes have been updated and/or replaced with components that meet current standards. Dwellings in this category are either almost new or have been recently completely renovated and are similar in condition to new construction.

**Note:** The improvements represent a relatively new property that is well maintained with no deferred maintenance and little or no physical depreciation, or an older property that has been recently completely renovated.

 $C_3$ 

The improvements are well maintained and feature limited physical depreciation due to normal wear and tear. Some components, but not every major building component, may be updated or recently rehabilitated. The structure has been well maintained.

**Note:** The improvement is in its first-cycle of replacing short-lived building components (appliances, floor coverings, HVAC, etc.) and is being well maintained. It's estimated effective age is less than its actual age. It also may reflect a property in which the majority of short-lived building components have been replaced but not to the level of a complete renovation.

C.4

The improvements feature some minor deferred maintenance and physical deterioration due to normal wear and tear. The dwelling has been adequately maintained and requires only minimal repairs to building components/mechanical systems and cosmetic repairs. All major building components have been adequately maintained and are functionally adequate.

**Note:** The estimated effective age may be close to or equal to its actual age. It reflects a property in which some of the short-lived building components have been replaced, and some short-lived building components are at or near the end of their physical life expectancy; however, they still function adequately. Most minor repairs have been addressed on an ongoing basis resulting in an adequately maintained property.

C5

The improvements feature obvious deferred maintenance and are in need of some significant repairs. Some building components need repairs, rehabilitation, or updating. The functional utility and overall livability are somewhat diminished due to condition, but the dwelling remains useable and functional as a residence.

**Note:** Some significant repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance. It reflects a property in which many of its short-lived building components are at the end of or have exceeded their physical life expectancy but remain functional.

C6

The improvements have substantial damage or deferred maintenance with deficiencies or defects that are severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements. The improvements are in need of substantial repairs and rehabilitation, including many or most major components.

**Note:** Substantial repairs are needed to the improvements due to the lack of adequate maintenance or property damage. It reflects a property with conditions severe enough to affect the safety, soundness, or structural integrity of the improvements.

### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Condition and Quality Rating Definitions

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

#### **Quality Ratings and Definitions**

Q1

Dwellings with this quality rating are usually unique structures that are individually designed by an architect for a specified user. Such residences typically are constructed from detailed architectural plans and specifications and feature an exceptionally high level of workmanship and exceptionally high-grade materials throughout the interior and exterior of the structure. The design features exceptionally high-quality exterior refinements and ornamentation, and exceptionally high-quality interior refinements. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are exceptionally high quality.

Q2

Dwellings with this quality rating are often custom designed for construction on an individual property owner's site. However, dwellings in this quality grade are also found in high-quality tract developments featuring residences constructed from individual plans or from highly modified or upgraded plans. The design features detailed, high-quality exterior ornamentation, high-quality interior refinements, and detail. The workmanship, materials, and finishes throughout the dwelling are generally of high or very high quality.

Q3

Dwellings with this quality rating are residences of higher quality built from individual or readily available designer plans in above-standard residential tract developments or on an individual property owner's site. The design includes significant exterior ornamentation and interiors that are well finished. The workmanship exceeds acceptable standards and many materials and finishes throughout the dwelling have been upgraded from "stock" standards.

Ω4

Dwellings with this quality rating meet or exceed the requirements of applicable building codes. Standard or modified standard building plans are utilized and the design includes adequate fenestration and some exterior ornamentation and interior refinements. Materials, workmanship, finish, and equipment are of stock or builder grade and may feature some upgrades.

05

Dwellings with this quality rating feature economy of construction and basic functionality as main considerations. Such dwellings feature a plain design using readily available or basic floor plans featuring minimal fenestration and basic finishes with minimal exterior ornamentation and limited interior detail. These dwellings meet minimum building codes and are constructed with inexpensive, stock materials with limited refinements and upgrades.

Ω6

Dwellings with this quality rating are of basic quality and lower cost; some may not be suitable for year-round occupancy. Such dwellings are often built with simple plans or without plans, often utilizing the lowest quality building materials. Such dwellings are often built or expanded by persons who are professionally unskilled or possess only minimal construction skills. Electrical, plumbing, and other mechanical systems and equipment may be minimal or non-existent. Older dwellings may feature one or more substandard or non-conforming additions to the original structure.

#### Requirements - Definitions of Not Updated, Updated and Remodeled

#### Not Updated

Little or no updating or modernization. This description includes, but is not limited to, new homes.

Residential properties of fifteen years of age or less often reflect an original condition with no updating, if no major components have been replaced or updated. Those over fifteen years of age are also considered not updated if the appliances, fixtures, and finishes are predominantly dated. An area that is 'Not Updated' may still be well maintained and fully functional, and this rating does not necessarily imply deferred maintenance or physical/functional deterioration.

#### Updated

The area of the home has been modified to meet current market expectations. These modifications are limited in terms of both scope and cost.

An updated area of the home should have an improved look and feel, or functional utility. Changes that constitute updates include refurbishment and/or replacing components meet existing market expectations. Updates do *not* include significant alterations to the existing structure.

#### Remodeled

Significant finish and/or structural changes have been made that increase utility and appeal through complete replacement and/or expansion.

A remodeled area reflects fundamental changes that include multiple alterations. These alterations may include some or all of the following: replacement of a major component (cabinet(s), bathtub, or bathroom tile), relocation of plumbing/gas fixtures/appliances, significant structural alterations (relocating walls, and/or the addition of square footage). This would include a complete gutting and rebuild.

#### **Explanation of Bathroom Count**

Three-quarter baths are counted as a full bath in all cases. Quarter baths (baths that feature only a toilet) are not included in the bathroom count. The number of full and half baths is reported by separating the two values using a period, where the full bath count is represented to the left of the period and the half bath count is represented to the right of the period.

#### Example:

3.2 indicates three full baths and two half baths.

### UNIFORM APPRAISAL DATASET (UAD) Property Description Abbreviations Used in This Report

File No.

Case No.

35868684

58295

Abbreviation **Full Name** May Appear in These Fields Α Adverse Location & View Acres Area, Site ac AdjPrk Adjacent to Park Location AdjPwr Adjacent to Power Lines Location <u>Ar</u>mLth Sales or Financing Concessions Arms Length Sale ΑT Attached Structure Design (Style) В Beneficial Location & View Bathroom(s) Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade ba br Bedroom Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade BsyRd **Busy Road** Location Contracted Date Date of Sale/Time Cash Cash Sale or Financing Concessions Commercial Influence Comm Location Conventional Conv Sale or Financing Concessions Garage/Carport Carport ср CrtOrd Court Ordered Sale Sale or Financing Concessions CtvSkv City View Skyline View View CtyStr City Street View View Garage/Carport Covered DOM Days On Market **Data Sources** DT **Detached Structure** Design (Style) dw Driveway Garage/Carport **Expiration Date** Date of Sale/Time Sale or Financing Concessions Estate Estate Sale **FHA** Federal Housing Administration Sale or Financing Concessions Garage Garage/Carport g Attached Garage Garage/Carport ga gbi Built-In Garages Garage/Carport qd **Detached Garage** Garage/Carport **GlfCse** Golf Course Location Golf Course View Glfvw View Design (Style) GR Garden HR High Rise Design (Style) Interior Only Stairs Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade in Ind Industrial Location & View Listing Listing Sales or Financing Concessions Location Lndfl Landfill Limited Sight LtdSaht View MR Mid Rise Design (Style) Mtn Mountain View View Neutral Ν Location & View NonArm Non-Arms Length Sale Sale or Financing Concessions Other Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade 0 Other Design (Style) Garage/Carport Open op Prk Park View View View Pstrl Pastoral View PubTrn **Public Transportation** Location PwrLn Power Lines View Relo Relocation Sale Sale or Financing Concessions **REO REO Sale** Sale or Financing Concessions Location & View Res Residential RHUSDA - Rural Housing Sale or Financing Concessions Recreational (Rec) Room Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade rr RT Row or Townhouse Design (Style) Settlement Date Date of Sale/Time SD Semi-detached Structure Design (Style) Short Short Sale Sale or Financing Concessions Area, Site, Basement sf Square Feet Area, Site sqm Square Meters Unk Unknown Date of Sale/Time Veterans Administration VA Sale or Financing Concessions w Withdrawn Date Date of Sale/Time Walk Out Basement Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wo Woods Woods View View Wtr Water View View WtrFr Water Frontage Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade wu Walk Up Basement

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle E	Enrique					
City Morgan Hill	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95037
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address 2015 M	lanhattan Beach	n Blvd Suite 100	), Redondo Bea	ch, CA 90278

The appraiser's competency for completing work order assignments within the subject's market area:

The appraiser is very familiar with the market area of the subject, approximate about 300 of appraisals completed in this market, there is about 10 miles that the appraiser traveled to the subject. The appraisaler has about 10 years of field work experience and has access to the MLS data of the subject's neighborhood. The appraiser reside in the neighbor county of Alameda county.

The appraiser certifies and agrees that this appraisal was prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institutions, Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA) of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. 3331 et seq.), and any applicable implementing regulations in effect at the time the appraiser signs the appraisal certification.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE OF THE SUBJECT: The subject has typical lot size, GLA, style ,construction quality and similar condition as a single family house( physically possible) and conform to the neighborhood with almost all the similar single family houses(The reasonable,probable and Legal use of the lot as the the single family home zoning of the neighborhood----Legally allowable), the single family house demand is still high in all the bay area and the subject's neighborhood(financially feasible and Maximu Productive), thus its current use is in its highest and best use.

The Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak has had a significant impact on local, national and global economies. Financial markets worldwide are experiencing unprecedented volatility. In some areas of the United States, there are current Shelter-in-Place orders and other restrictions on daily activities. These events are likely to impact real estate values in the short term but, as of the date of this appraisal, there is not enough data to substantiate that position. I have researched all available local sources and, as of this date, I have not found any data suggesting that significant changes in local real estate market have occurred. The market data presented in this appraisal report is considered the most recent and relevant available and the resulting analysis best reflects market conditions as of the effective date of appraisal.

No employee, director, officer, or agent of the lender, or any other third party acting as a joint venture partner,independent contractor, appraisal management company, or partner on behalf of the lender has influenced or attempted toinfluence the development, reporting, result, or review of this assignment through coercion, extortion, collusion, compensation, instruction, inducement, intimidation, bribery or in any other manner.

I have not been contacted by anyone other than the intended user (lender/client as identified on the first page of thereport), borrower, or designated contact to make an appointment to enter the property. I agree to immediately report anyunauthorized contacts either personally by phone or electronically to the Clear Capital.

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

City Morgan Hill	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95037
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	,	Address 2015 M	anhattan Beach	n Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Bea	ch, CA 90278

Appraiser searched out 5 miles from the subject ,within 12 months GLA 1602-3738 sqft and city of Morgan Hillfound the following 235 comparables :

Č .		
Street Address (Full)	Sale Price	Sq Ft Total
379 Calle Caballeria	1020000	1630
614 Serpentine CT	2400000	2986
890 Encino DR	1042000	1947
655 Sparhawk DR	2200000	3498
400 W Edmundson AVE	850000	1722
15790 Casino Real	1420000	3021
1650 Seville DR	1300000	2151
18850 Paprika DR	1550000	2012
525 Calle Siena	1755000	3378
1830 Kickapoo CT	2400000	3495
1840 Bluebonnet CT	1365000	1883
16960 Cory Dr	1175000	1992
17990 Stoney Creek WAY	1685000	2834
17060 Pine WAY	1200000	1654
13315 Uvas RD	1320000	2248
19030 Clayton AVE	2575000	3349
18223 Solano PL	1800000	2290
17240 Copper Hill DR	1840000	3089
695 San Gabriel AVE	1620000	2781
786 Bugatti PL	1600000	2100
18501 Murphy CT	1310000	2517
1661 Avenida De Los Padres	1975000	2571
16950 Marbella CT	1601000	2263
17269 James Lex Ln	1260000	2251
17515 Montoya CIR	1370000	2560
820 Encino DR	1250000	1644
18242 Bautista CIR	2600000	3709
16971 Grapevine CT	1639000	2299
3270 Quail LN	1441755	2200
1341 Bodega WAY	2175000	3032
16955 Oakridge LN	1300000	2390
321 Via Loma	1375000	1723
3000 Thomas Grade	1300000	2854
17490 Blue Jay DR	1300000	2496
16940 Burgundy LN	1835000	2331
15470 La Pala CT	1655000	2670
2055 Arline LN	2078000	2462
17521 Holiday DR	1530000	2292
14970 Dark Star CT	1175000	2240
16920 Burgundy LN	1798501	2331
15765 La Mar CT	1475000	2098
15635 La Tierra DR	1640000	2799
1540 Avenida De Los Padres	1955000	2571
15740 Via Castana	1553000	2420
18245 San Carlos PL	1830000	2220
980 Alkire AVE	1320000	1710
525 La Canada CT	1382000	2427
17080 Piedmont CT	1425000	2304
17000 FIGUITORE OT	1723000	230 <del>4</del>

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Property Address 15350 Calle E	nrique					
City Morgan Hill	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95037
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc		Address 2015 M	lanhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 100	). Redondo Bea	ch. CA 90278

<u> </u>			,	
17235 James Lex LN	1400000	1722		
16590 Jackson Oaks DR	1275000	2324		
17481 Holiday DR	1385000	2324 2472		
17451 Holiday DR 17451 Holiday DR	850000	2472 1652		
616 Kay Springs CT	2200000	3066		
16895 San Simeon DR	1435000	2162		
2815 Cantor DR	1435000	2820		
16981 Grapevine CT	1760000	2976		
680 Bel Air CT	1580000	2807		
15785 Oakridge CT	1500000	2204		
847 Talbot DR	1510000	2533		
15735 Ibiza LN	100000	2426		
1321 Bodega WAY	1398232	1910		
18170 Shadowbrook WAY	1900000	3671		
17570 Montoya CIR	1520000	2514		
3410 White Oak CT	1704000	2935		
17785 Holiday DR	1494000	2444		
615 San Gabriel AVE	1210000	1916		
855 San Blas LN	1420000	2005		
17055 Hill RD	1500000	2088		
14784 Excaliber DR	1650000	2492		
13140 Watsonville RD	3525000	3339		
526 Via Sorrento	1240000	2070		
742 Saint Timothy PL	1275000	2197		
16180 Jackson Oaks DR	1800000	2927		
15770 Piazza WAY	1350000	2090		
3360 Oakwood CT	1425000	2196		
15670 La Sierra CT	1620000	2670		
14638 Badger Pass RD	2357500	2503		
17586 Carriage Lamp WAY	1194000	1797		
1065 Appian WAY	1750000	3242		
721 San Cristoval CT	970000	1649		
1170 Jasmine WAY	1975000	3334		
15360 La Rocca DR	1540000	2230		
17245 Calle Mazatan	1310000	1654		
17053 Pistache DR	1270000	2381		
17428 Alisa CT	2000000	2833		
17020 John Telfer DR	1320000	1875		
17495 Montoya CIR	1501001	2560		
18360 San Antonio DR	2225000	3118		
17040 Holiday DR	1430000	2348		
2848 Mira Bella CIR	1600000	2807		
1311 Morning Star DR	2100000	3091		
15885 Descansa CT	1480000	3077		
16950 Church ST	1275000	2264		
17440 Serene DR	1555000	1710		
3757 Ridgeview CT	1715000	3012		
432 Calle Cerro	1185000	1777		
15375 Via De Ninos	1639000	2850		
2990 E Dunne AVE	1880000	2969		
975 W Dunne AVE	1150000	1808		
55 La Crosse DR	1690000	2342		

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Property Address 15350 Calle I	Enrique					
City Morgan Hill	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95037
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	;	Address 2015 M	lanhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 10	0. Redondo Bea	ch. CA 90278

Lender/Gliefit Wedgewood Inc		Addiess 2010 Manhattan Beach Bivd Odite 100, Nedondo Beach, OA 30270
40000 O-II DD	4000000	0400
16860 Gallop DR	1300000	2160
17965 Calle Hermosa	1430000	2227
1405 Snowbird LN	1780000	2424
18251 Tolusa PL	2195000	3212
19094 Ravenswood CT	2020000	3223
120 Mustard Ave	1685000	2894
1450 Avenida De Los Padres	1400000	1647
17054 Mimosa DR	1362000	2381
680 W Edmundson AVE	1970000	1992
16750 San Dimas LN	1290000	2236
18642 Turtle Dove DR	1870000	2631
240 Oak Grove CT	1645000	3232
75 Paloma DR	1575000	2106
16722 San Clemente LN	1440000	2634
17550 Montoya CIR	1500000	2706
17221 Quail CT	1527500	2304
18745 Old Monterey Rd	1735000	3165
17171 Copper Hill DR	1350000	2520
14915 Sword Dancer CT	1450000	2145
18600 Serra Avenida	1903000	3118
605 Price DR	1650000	2874
17499 Belletto DR	1565000	2100
685 Bel Air CT	1525000	2849
2880 Vista Del Valle	1790000	3635
15208 Monticello WAY	1480000	2387
15690 Via Castana	1580100	3022
2844 Mira Bella CIR	1615000	2475
410 E Central AVE	1375000	2162
17337 Tassajara CIR	1400000	2180
18735 Old Monterey Road	1665000	2894
625 Burnett AVE	1650000	2198
2970 Thomas Grade	1530000	2398
2362 Leptis CIR	1175000	1704
115 Campoli Drive	1750000	3165
250 Christine Lynn DR	1430000	1834
17470 Belletto DR	2012516	3219
17416 Walnut Grove DR	2015000	3284
14295 Sycamore DR	1150000	3516
125 Campoli Drive	1675000	2894
16715 Trail DR	1450000	2353
512 Via Sorrento	1325000	2479
385 Spring Hill DR	1300000	2548
699 San Gabriel AVE	1450000	3385
15070 La Alameda DR	2200000	2455
270 CALLE DEL REY	1570000	2438
3825 Live Oak LN	1135000	2372
230 Christine Lynn Dr	1545000	2996
1755 Almond WAY	1600000	2349
17860 Holiday DR	960000	2309
16645 De Witt AVE	1600000	1852
585 San Pablo CT	1250000	2341
15050 Bowden AVE	1690800	1913
10000 DOWGEN AVE	1030000	1010

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Property Address	15350 Calle Enrique						
City Morgan Hill		County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95037
Lender/Client W	edgewood Inc		Address 2015	Manhattan Beach	Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Beach	n, CA 90278

1505 Red Tail CT	1460000	2125	
1301 Bodega WAY	1389089	1726	
15605 La Bella CT	1465000	2670	
16765 Church ST	1160000	2205	
40 Angelica WAY	1360000	1838	
16130 Jackson Oaks DR	1510000	2795	
18835 Old Monterey RD	1580000	3130	
16313 Juan Hernandez DR	1290000	2221	
836 Claremont CT	1260000	1939	
13585 Struzenberg CT	2143242	3218	
17400 Walnut Grove DR	1230000	1686	
85 Paseo Madre Ct	1350000	2580	
535 Calle Buena VIS	1210000	1944	
18201 Bautista CIR	1400000	1647	
17322 Serene DR	1185000	1804	
2530 Magnolia WAY	1550000	2806	
1331 Bodega WAY	1280000	1895	
570 E Central AVE	1600000	2456	
1621 Avenida De Los Padres	2175000	3577	
17015 Abeto LN	1334000	2052	
18500 Serra CT	2400000	3577	
2130 Lilac LN	1825000	2257	
1616 Morning Star DR	1700000	2327	
16275 Oak Canyon DR	1510000	2509	
16711 Willow Creek DR	1315000	2576	
460 Corte Cabas	1275000	1654	
15950 Ferrara CT	1670000	2866	
385 Spring AVE	1368000	2162	
. •	1210000	1788	
295 Stoney Creek LN	1325000	1700	
397 Bryce Dr			
16809 Gallop DR	1031101	1638	
1680 Bradford WAY	2180000	3176	
17685 Raccoon CT	1330000	2847	
2816 Mira Bella CIR	1500000	2224	
747 Saint James DR	1290000	2531	
731 San Gabriel AVE	1660000	2991	
17481 Holiday DR	990000	2472	
865 Black Walnut CT	1080000	2262	
2385 Cimarron DR	975000	1907	
15885 Oakridge RD	1300000	1960	
17495 Belletto DR	1600000	3063	
16935 Pine WAY	1656000	2091	
810 La Crosse DR	1350000	2959	
470 Las Coches CT	1200000	1808	
1955 Morgan AVE	1900000	2195	
2810 Fountain Oaks DR	1290000	2028	
14520 Shadowlane CT	1260000	3188	
1020 Brookview CT	1475000	3053	
17040 Holiday DR	1075000	2348	
17097 Saint Brendan LOOP	1330000	1952	
682 Price DR	2160000	3298	
541 Via Sorrento	1250000	2408	
ori via conomo	120000	2700	

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Property Address 15350 Calle	Enrique					
City Morgan Hill	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95037
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc	C	Address 2015 M	anhattan Beacl	h Blvd Suite 100	, Redondo Beacl	n, CA 90278

864 Claremont DR	1870000	3385
19610 Annatto LN	1330000	2608
665 Claremont DR	909000	2169
231 Basil AVE	1480000	2601
17680 Raccoon CT	1350000	2680
16641 San Gabriel CT	1150000	1835
15196 Yvonne DR	2500000	3166
545 Cipres LN	1640000	2753
15615 La Jolla DR	1400000	2374
19441 Dougherty AVE	1400000	2828
420 Calle Cerro	1293888	1777
17037 Holiday DR	1525000	2679
1175 Jasmine WAY	1928000	3319
13595 Struzenberg CT	1430000	2700
17675 Laurel RD	1500000	2451
50 Angelica WAY	1299000	1936
16925 Burgandy LN	1100000	2470
597 Calle Florencia	1467375	2692
380 W Middle AVE	2220000	3461
18635 Arguello AVE	2650000	3349
18550 Serra Avenida	1618000	2220
16165 Jackson Oaks DR	1750500	2356
15950 Dondolare ST	1450000	2775
300 Berkshire DR	1449000	2331
18420 Alcala CT	2008000	3118
695 Connemara DR	1329000	2055
2772 Hay Loft CT	1365000	2136
15605 La Bella CT	1100000	2670
14995 Citation CT	1015000	1746
1460 Malaga CT	1625000	2549
484 Via Sorrento	1230000	2479

Bluebay Appraisal Inc.

### APPRAISAL COMPLIANCE ADDENDUM File No.

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

	APPRAISAL CO	MPLIANCE ADDE	NDUM Cas	se No. 58295
Borrower/Client Neighbor to	Neighbor Homes LLC			
Address 15350 Calle Enriqu				Unit No.
City Morgan Hill		y <u>Santa Clara</u>	State <u>CA</u>	Zip Code <u>95037</u>
Lender/Client Wedgewood I	nc			
	raisal Compliance Addendum is included to e	nsure this appraisal report meet	s all USPAP 2014 r	requirements.
APPRAISAL AND REPOR				
This Appraisal Report is one of the	<b>5</b> 7.			
X Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the		•	
Restricted Appraisal Report	This report was prepared in accordance with the	requirements of the Restricted Appr	raisal Report option o	of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b). The
	intended user of this report is limited to the ident	ified client. This is a Restricted Appr	raisal Report and the	rationale for how the appraiser arrived
	at the opinions and conclusions set forth in the re	port may not be understood properly	without the additiona	I information in the appraiser's workfile.
ADDITIONAL CERTIFICAT	IONS			
I certify that, to the best of my known	wledge and belief:			
The statements of fact conta	nined in this report are true and correct.			
The reported analyses, opin	ions, and conclusions are limited only by the repor	ted assumptions and are my person	nal, impartial, and unb	piased professional analyses,
opinions, and conclusions.				•
•	I have no present or prospective interest in the pro	perty that is the subject of this repor	rt and no personal inte	erest with respect to parties involved
	I have performed no services, as an appraiser or i		•	·
	g acceptance of this assignment.	3 1 1	.,.,	.,
	o the property that is the subject of this report or the	e parties involved with this assignm	ent.	
	gnment was not contingent upon developing or rep	· ·		
	eting this assignment is not contingent upon the de	- ·	rmined value or direc	tion in value that favors the cause
• •	he value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated res	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
this appraisal.	ie value opinion, the attainment of a supulated res	uit, of the occurrence of a subseque	ant event directly relat	led to the interided use of
	conclusions were developed and this report has be	oon propored in conformity with the	Uniform Standards of	f Professional Appraisal Practice that
were in effect at the time this		een prepared, in comornity with the	Ulliolili Stallualus Ul	i Fiolessional Appraisal Fractice that
	·	Albantia Alba accidinant af Albia wawawi		
	I have made a personal inspection of the property	•	H-:	h
	no one provided significant real property appraisa		this certification (if the	here are exceptions, the name of each
	nt real property appraisal assistance is stated else	- ,		
PRIOR SERVICES	ed in accordance with Title XI of FIRREA as amen	ded, and any implementing regulation	ons.	
			0 1: ( 60	
	ed services, as an appraiser or in another other cap	pacity, regarding the property that is	the subject of the rep	ort within the three-year period
immediately preceding acce				
	ices, as an appraiser or in another capacity, regard		of this report within the	e three-year period immediately
	s assignment. Those services are described in the	comments below.		
PROPERTY INSPECTION				
	sonal inspection of the property that is the subject	The state of the s		
l have <b>NOT</b> made	a personal inspection of the property that is the su	bject of this report.		
APPRAISAL ASSISTANCE				
	rovided significant real property appraisal assistan		ation. If anyone did pr	rovide significant assistance, they
are hereby identified along with a	summary of the extent of the assistance provided	in the report.		
none				
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				
	requiring disclosure and/or any state mandated re	quirements: <u>External only insp</u>	ection. I did not	do any services for the subject
within the last 3 years.				
MARKETING TIME AND E	XPOSURE TIME FOR THE SUBJECT P	ROPERTY		
X A reasonable marketing time	e for the subject property is 20-40 day(s	) utilizing market conditions pertiner	nt to the appraisal ass	signment.
X A reasonable exposure time	for the subject property is 20-40 day(s	).		
	, , , , , ,,	,		
APPRAISER		SUPERVISORY APPRA	AISER (ONLY IF	REQUIRED)
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	9			
. (	0			
00/	1			
Signature		Signature		
Name Huibin Lan		Manaa		
Date of Signature 08/23/202	24			
State Certification # AR03013				
or State License #		State Certification # or State License #		
State CA	- Lisses 02/49/2025	State		
Expiration Date of Certification o	License UZ/18/ZUZ5	Expiration Date of Certificatio		
E# # B	20/0004	Supervisory Appraiser Inspect		
Effective Date of Appraisal 08/2	23/2024	Did Not Exterio	or Only from street	Interior and Exterior

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

City Morgan HillCountySanta ClaraStateCAZip Code95037Lender/ClientWedgewood IncAddress2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

8/22/24, 9:44 PM Statistics Sheet

#### **Basic Market Statistics**

Use this sheet to see basic statistics on the market as a whole.

Status: Sold (235)

	<b>List Price</b>	DOM	Sale Price	Beds	Baths	Year Built	Sq Ft Total	Lot Size	\$/SqFt
Min	\$600,000	0	\$850,000	2	0	1930	1,630	1,374	\$327
Max	\$3,499,999	255	\$3,525,000	6	6	2024	3,709	312,043	\$1,056
Avg	\$1,524,871	21	\$1,542,583	4	3	1993	2,481	16,486	\$630
Median	\$1,450,000	11	\$1,475,000	4	3	1994	2,424	8,276	\$622
Sum	\$358,344,715		\$362,507,100						


Criteria:

235 Listings have been selected.

### Bluebay Appraisal Inc. LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Property Address	15350 Calle Enrique					
City Morgan Hill	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95037
Lender/Client Wedg	gewood Inc	Address	2015 Manhattan Bea	ach Blvd Suite 10	0, Redondo Beac	h, CA 90278



Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

County CA 95037 City Morgan Hill Santa Clara State Zip Code Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc

Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278



#### **ENHANCED REPORT 2.0**

#### Subject Property:



Site Address 15350 CALLE ENRIQUE MORGAN HILL, CA 95037-5613





Amy Zhang (510) 552-1058

#### **Document Contents**



**Provided By** 

Richard Chen 3340 Walnut Ave 116 Fremont, CA 94538 Richard.chen@ctt.com

#### PROPERTY OVERVIEW

#### 15350 CALLE ENRIQUE, MORGAN HILL, CA 95037-5613

#### Owner and Geographic Information



KO JUNG JA

Site Address:

15350 CALLE ENRIQUE, MORGAN HILL, CA 95037-5613

Housing Tract Number:

Legal Description:

Lot Code:

5677

Subdivision:

Tract Number:

Legal Brief Description:

LOT:1 CITY:MORGAN HILL SUBD:HIDDEN CREEK TR#:5677 TR 5677 LOT 1 City / Muni / Twp: MORGAN HILL

15350 CALLE ENRIQUE, MORGAN HILL, CA 95037-5613

Secondary Owner:

Mail Address:

**Property Details** 

Bathrooms: 3 ☐ Total Rooms: 10 War Built: Garage:

Fireplace:

HIDDEN CREEK

5677

Garage 2

Lot Size: 12,040 SF

Number of Units: 0

Single Family Residential

4-003

Sale Information



Transfer Date: Transfer Value: 08/16/2002 \$560,000.00 Cost/Sq Feet:

RE

Seller:

FAMILY TRUST OF RAY E JR & FAY E CHEZIK, ; CHEZIK JR, RAY E

16423881

#### **Assessment and Taxes**



Land Value: Improvement Value:

Market Improvement Value

\$311,818.00 \$467,735.00 Percent Improvement: Tax Amount: Tax Status: Market Land Value:

60.00% \$9,048.52 Current

Tax Rate Area:

Tax Account ID:

2023

Tax Year:

Market Value:

Borrower Neighbor to Neighbor Homes LLC

Property Address 15350 Calle Enrique

Vesting:

City Morgan Hill County Santa Clara State CA Zip Code 95037

Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address 2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

PROPERTY HISTO	DRY	15350 C	15350 CALLE ENRIQUE, MORGAN HILL, CA 95037-5			
Foreclosure Record - 07	//15/2024					
Recording Date:	07/15/2024	Document#:	<u>25664340</u>			
Document Type:	Notice of Sale					
Lender Type:		Borrowers Name:				
Vesting:						
Legal Description:						
Foreclosure Record - 06	5/24/2024					
Recording Date:	06/24/2024	Document#:	<u>25653450</u>			
Document Type:	Notice of Sale					
Lender Type:		Borrowers Name:				
Vesting:						
Legal Description:						
Foreclosure Record - 04	1/04/2024					
Recording Date:	04/04/2024	Document#:	<u>25618827</u>			
Document Type:	Notice of Default					
Lender Type:		Borrowers Name:				
Vesting:						
Legal Description:						
Foreclosure Record - 03	3/22/2024					
Recording Date:	03/22/2024	Document#:	<u>25613630</u>			
Document Type:	Notice of Default					
Lender Type:		Borrowers Name:				
Vesting:						
Legal Description:						
Foreclosure Record - 09	1/13/2023					
Recording Date:	09/13/2023	Document#:	25530243			
Document Type:	Notice of Default					
Lender Type:		Borrowers Name:				

### Bluebay Appraisal Inc. LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. 35868684 Case No. 58295

Property Address	15350 Calle Enrique					
City Morgan Hill	County	Santa Clara	State	CA	Zip Code	95037
Lender/Client Wedgewood Inc Address			2015 Manhattan Beach Blvd Suite 100, Redondo Beach, CA 90278			

